Plant Cell Rep (2007) 26:727–733 DOI 10.1007/s00299-006-0274-7

GENETIC TRANSFORMATION AND HYBRIDIZATION

Retransformation of a male sterile *barnase* line with the *barstar* gene as an efficient alternative method to identify male sterile–restorer combinations for heterosis breeding

Naveen C. Bisht · Arun Jagannath · Pradeep K. Burma · Akshay K. Pradhan · Deepak Pental

Received: 25 July 2006 / Revised: 10 November 2006 / Accepted: 17 November 2006 / Published online: 5 January 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2006

Abstract We report in this study, an improved method for identifying male sterile-restorer combinations using the barnase-barstar system of pollination control for heterosis breeding in crop plants, as an alternative to the conventional line \times tester cross method. In this strategy, a transgenic male sterile barnase line was retransformed with appropriate barstar constructs. Double transformants carrying both the barnase and barstar genes were identified and screened for their male fertility status. Using this strategy, 66–90% of fertile retransformants (restored events) were obtained in Brassica juncea using two different barstar constructs. Restored events were analysed for their pollen viability and copy number of the barstar gene. Around 90% of the restored events showed high pollen viability and $\sim 30\%$ contained single copy integrations of the barstar gene. These observations were significantly different from those made in our earlier studies using line (*barnase*) \times tester (*barstar*) crosses, wherein only two viable male sterile-restorer combinations were identified by screening 88 different crosscombinations. The retransformation strategy not only generated several independent restorers for a given male sterile line from a single transformation experiment but also identified potential restorers in the T₀ generation itself leading to significant savings in time, cost and labour. Single copy restored plants with high pollen viability were selfed to seg-

Communicated by W. Harwood

N. C. Bisht · A. Jagannath · D. Pental (⊠) Centre for Genetic Manipulation of Crop Plants (CGMCP), University of Delhi, South Campus, Benito Juarez Road, New Delhi, 110021 India e-mail: dpental@gmail.com

P. K. Burma · A. K. Pradhan · D. Pental Department of Genetics, University of Delhi, South Campus, Benito Juarez Road, New Delhi, 110021 India regate male sterile (*barnase*) and restorer (*barstar*) lines in the T_1 progeny which could subsequently be diversified into appropriate combiners for heterosis breeding. This strategy will be particularly useful for crop plants where poor transformation frequencies and/or lengthy transformation protocols are a major limitation.

Keywords *Barnase–barstar* · *Brassica juncea* · Fertility restoration · Heterosis breeding · Retransformation

Introduction

Hybrid vigor or heterosis is a well-known phenomenon in plant breeding and has been successfully used to break the yield barrier in various crop plants. Development of commercial hybrids in a crop requires a stable pollinationcontrol mechanism and suitable combiners (parents) that would contribute to high heterosis. Hybrid production in agricultural crops requires introduction of male sterility into one of the combiners that would function as the female parent. In crop plants wherein seeds are the harvested product, the male parent must also contain a fertility restoration mechanism to ensure seed set in the F_1 hybrids.

The *barnase–barstar* system is one of the most extensively studied transgenic systems till date for the development of male sterile and restorer lines in crop plants. Induction of male sterility and its restoration by tissue (tapetum)-specific expression of a ribonuclease gene (*barnase*) and its corresponding inhibitor (*barstar*) from the bacterium *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* was first reported by Mariani et al. (1990, 1992). We have reported significant improvements in the *barnase–barstar* strategy in our earlier work on development of male sterile and restorer lines in *B. juncea* (mustard),

a major oilseed crop of the Indian subcontinent (Jagannath et al. 2001; Bisht et al. 2004). Jagannath et al. (2001) described the use of a Spacer DNA fragment as an effective insulator to prevent deregulated expression of the barnase gene (driven by the tapetum specific promoter, TA29) to achieve high frequency generation of agronomically viable male-sterile lines in B. juncea. Significant improvements in restoration abilities were achieved by using improved barstar constructs based on the simultaneous use of a wild-type and a codon-modified sequence of the barstar gene under independent transcriptional control of two different tapetum-specific promoters (A9 and TA29) with overlapping expression profiles (Bisht et al. 2004). Using this strategy, the temporal expression pattern and the levels of barstar protein were altered favourably leading to the identification of efficient restorers for all the barnase lines that were tested in combination with the same.

However, development of agronomically suitable male sterile-restorer combinations using the above strategy required production of a large number of independent barstar transgenic lines, identification of single copy transformants, crosses between barnase and barstar lines in various possible combinations and screening a large population of F₁ progeny plants for their male sterility/fertility status, pollen viability and segregation of the *barnase* and *barstar* genes. For example, in our earlier work using TA29-barstar constructs (Jagannath et al. 2002; Bisht et al. 2004), 24 single copy barstar lines (identified by Southern analysis of 145 transgenic plants) were crossed with five single copy male sterile barnase lines in 88 different combinations. Following screening of about 6100 F₁ plants (approx. 70 progeny plants per cross) for their male fertility/sterility status, segregation of the barnase and barstar genes (by Tissue-PCR) and pollen viability, only two viable male sterile-restorer combinations could be identified. Using the improved two gene-two promoter strategy for enhanced expression of *barstar* gene (Bisht et al. 2004), 23 suitable male sterile-restorer combinations could be identified from 40 tested combinations. This required screening of around 2400 F₁ plants derived by crosses between ten single copy barstar lines (identified from 52 plants screened by Southern analysis) and four different barnase lines. This method for identification of agronomically viable male sterile-restorer combination(s) is therefore not only time-consuming, expensive and labour intensive, but also requires large tracts of transgenic containment area for screening the F₁ progeny plants. Moreover, using the line \times tester cross method, a perfect male sterile-restorer combination can be identified only in the F₁ generation.

The current study describes an efficient, cost-effective alternative to the conventional line \times tester cross method for identifying agronomically viable male sterile–restorer combinations. In this strategy, a transgenic male sterile

barnase line was retransformed with a suitable *barstar* construct and male fertile double transformants carrying both the *barnase* and *barstar* genes were identified among the T_0 plants. Fertile (restored) double transformants were analysed for their pollen viability and copy number status of the *barstar* gene to identify potential male sterile–restorer combinations. Selfing of single copy restored events with high pollen viability would segregate the *barnase* and *barstar* lines in the T_1 generation which could subsequently be diversified into appropriate combiners for heterosis breeding.

Materials and methods

Barstar gene constructs

Two different *barstar* constructs [developed using the basic binary vector pPZP200 (Hajdukiewicz et al. 1994)] were tested in the current study. The first construct (hpt::TA29bswt) contains the wild-type barstar gene driven by an 870 bp fragment of the TA29 promoter (Koltunow et al. 1990) while the second construct (hpt::A9-bsmod::TA29bswt; Bisht et al. 2004) harbours two different barstar expression cassettes - the modified sequence of the barstar gene driven by a 1.5 kb fragment of the A. thaliana A9 promoter (Paul et al. 1992) and the wild-type barstar gene driven by the TA29 promoter. Both constructs also contained a 35S-hpt-35SpA cassette [hygromycin phosphotransferase gene (hpt) driven by 35S promoter and with the 35S polyA signal at its 3' end]. All DNA manipulations were performed using standard protocols (Sambrook et al. 1989). The constructs were mobilized into the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 by electroporation (Mattanovich et al. 1989) and used for genetic transformation of B. juncea.

Retransformation of a male-sterile *barnase* line (bn 3.6) with the *barstar* constructs

A male sterile *barnase* line of *B. juncea* (*bn* 3.6) developed earlier in the laboratory (Jagannath et al. 2001) was used for transformation with the *barstar* constructs. The *barnase* line contains the *barnase* gene under transcriptional control of tapetum-specific TA29 promoter and the *bar* gene [conferring phosphinothricin (PPT)-resistance] driven by the CaMV35S promoter (with the AMV leader sequence) as a plant selection marker. Genetic transformation of *B. juncea barnase* line (*bn* 3.6) was carried out following the protocols described earlier (Mehra et al. 2000; Jagannath et al. 2003). Selection of explants was performed on regeneration medium containing 20 mg 1^{-1} of hygromycin. Regenerating explants were transferred after 25–30 days to regeneration medium containing both hygromycin (20 mg l^{-1}) and phosphinothricin [10 mg l^{-1} ; active component of the commercial herbicide formulation, Basta (Agrevo)]. Putative transformed shoots were transferred for rooting on MS medium supplemented with 2 mg l^{-1} IBA (indole-3butyric acid), hygromycin $(20 \text{ mg } l^{-1})$ and phosphinothricin $(10 \text{ mg } l^{-1}).$

Transgenic plants were transferred to soil in the months of October-November and grown in a containment net-house in accordance with guidelines of the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India.

Characterization of transgenic plants

Fig. 1 Schematic

combinations

Male fertility/sterility status of transgenic plants was established based on morphological observations of anthers vis-à-vis pollen production and their ability to set seed on selfing. Two to three inflorescence axes (each containing \sim eight to ten unopened buds) of each plant were covered with pollination bags to test for the formation of selfed seeds. Presence/absence of selfed seeds was taken as a confirmation of male fertility/sterility respectively. Pollen viability assays were performed by fluorescein diacetate (FDA) staining following Heslop-Harrison et al. (1984). For each sample, pollen from freshly opened flowers was tested and a minimum of three independent observations was taken to determine the percentage of viable pollen in the same. Tissue-PCR for ascertaining the presence/absence of the barnase and *barstar* gene(s) was performed following Klimyuk et al. (1993). Transgenic plants were subjected to Southern analysis to identify plants with single copy insertions of the barstar expression cassette. Genomic DNA isolations, gel electrophoresis and hybridisations were performed according to protocols described earlier (Jagannath et al. 2001). Only single copy plants were selfed and analysed further.

Results

Retransformation of the barnase line bn 3.6 with barstar constructs and analysis of T₀ double transformants

The *B. juncea* male sterile *barnase* line, *bn* 3.6 (Jagannath et al. 2001) used for retransformation by two barstar constructs contained a single copy of the barnase gene and the bar gene as a selectable marker in hemizygous condition. The design of the retransformation experiment has been schematically represented in Fig. 1. A total of 95 and 114 double transformants were obtained using the hpt::TA29-bswt and hpt::A9-bsmod::TA29-bswt constructs, respectively in a single retransformation experiment (Table 1).

A representative population of T₀ double transformants obtained was analysed for their ability to form pollen. The plants were classified as complete male fertile (successful restoration wherein the barstar protein completely inhibits the activity of barnase in tapetum), complete male sterile (unsuccessful restoration or presence of barnase gene alone) and semi-fertile (bearing fertile as well as sterile anthers and/or flowers indicating chimeras).

barstar construct with hpt as a plant selection marker representation of various steps performed in retransformation of barnase line, bn 3.6 for Retransformation of *barnase* line *bn* 3.6 (containing *bar* gene) obtaining male sterile/restorer Double transformants (*barnase* + *barstar* containing transformants) selected on medium containing both Basta and hygromycin Transgenics analyzed for their male-fertility/sterility status in field Single copy T_0 fertile (restored) plants with high pollen viability (>70%) selected and selfed T₁ segregants for male-sterility (containing *barnase* gene) or fertility restoration (containing barstar gene alone) selected by Tissue-PCR

Transfer the barnase and barstar genes to suitable combiners for heterosis breeding

	Construct		
	hpt:: TA29-bswt	hpt::A9-bsmod:: TA29-bswt	
No. of explants inoculated	920	1276	
No. of $Hyg^R + Bas^R$ plants	95	114	
No. of plants analysed in field	64	88	
Fertility status based on pollen formation			
F	42	79	
S/SF	22	9	
Frequency of fertile (restored) plants	65.6	89.8	
Pollen viability of fertile events			
Class I (> 70%)	38	73	
Class II (< 70%)	4	6	
No. of plants (class I) analysed for copy number	20	44	
No. of single copy plants obtained	6	14	

Table 1 Retransformation of a male sterile *barnase* line (*bn* 3.6) withtwo different *barstar* constructs: fertility restoration studies

TA29—tapetum specific promoter TA29, A9—tapetum specific promoter A9, *hpt*—hygromycin resistance conferring gene, *bswt*—wildtype sequence of *barstar* gene, *bsmod*—modified sequence of *barstar* gene, *bn*—*barnase* gene, Hyg^R - hygromycin resistant plants; Bas^R —Basta resistant plants, F—fertile, S—sterile, SF—semi-fertile.

When the male sterile line, bn 3.6 was transformed with the hpt::TA29-bswt construct, around 66% (42/64) of the double transformants were completely male fertile (Table 1). These restored plants were analysed for their pollen viability by FDA staining to determine the extent of restoration and were classified into two classes based on their pollen viability: <70% and >70%. Our earlier observation for pollen viability and seed set indicate that pollen viability of $\sim 70\%$ or above is sufficient to achieve good seed set (unpublished data). Approximately 90% of the T_0 fertile plants showed > 70% pollen viability (Table 1) and proper seed set on selfing. In case of retransformation with the two gene-two promoter construct (hpt::A9-bsmod::TA29-bswt), the frequency of fertile plants among the double transformants increased significantly to around 90% as compared to the hpt::TA29-bswt construct. A majority of these fertile plants (92%) showed > 70% pollen viability reflecting enhanced expression of the barstar gene in tapetal tissues.

A representative population of T_0 fertile (restored) plants with > 70% pollen viability were subjected to Southern analysis for identifying single copy events (Table 1). Genomic DNA of transgenic plants was digested with *Hinc*II and blots were probed with the wild-type *barstar* gene for copy number analysis on the RB flank. Single copy events thus identified were subsequently digested with *Xba*I and probed with a partial fragment of the 35S-*hpt*-pA cassette for analysis of copy number on the LB flank (Fig. 2a). Plants showing single copy integration on both flanks of the T-DNA were considered as true single copy events. A representative example of a Southern blot for the (re)transformants developed using the *hpt*::A9-*bsmod*::TA29-*bswt* construct and probed with the *barstar* gene for RB integration is given in Fig. 2b. A total of 6 and 14 single copy *barstar* double transgenics were obtained out of 20 and 44 plants analysed for the *hpt*::TA29-*bswt* and *hpt*::A9-*bsmod*::TA29-*bswt* constructs respectively indicating that single copy plants were obtained at approximately similar frequencies (\sim 30%) with each construct.

Characterization of T₁ progeny: segregation of *barnase* (*bar::bn*) and *barstar* (*hpt::*TA29-*bswt* or *hpt::*A9-*bsmod::*TA29-*bswt*) plants

Six single copy T_0 restored events obtained using the hpt::TA29-bswt construct (L2-1, L2-7, L2-25, L2-26, L2-48 and L2-64) and four events for the hpt::A9-bsmod::TA29bswt construct (K2-25, K2-31, K2-40 and K2-47) were taken for further analysis. Ten T₁ plants (selfed progeny of T₀ plants) for each event were grown in the field and analysed for the presence of the barnase and barstar genes by tissue PCR. Of a total of 97 T₁ plants (derived from ten independent restored events, Table 2) analysed by tissue-PCR, 48 plants contained both barnase and barstar genes, 21 plants contained only barnase gene, 23 plants contained only barstar gene and 5 plants were free from both barnase and barstar genes. This segregation profile was observed to fit to the expected digenic segregation ratio of 9:3:3:1. The segregation profiles for these genes were correlated with the male sterility-fertility status and pollen viability of each T₁ plant (Table 2). All sterile plants contained only the *barnase* gene (*bar::bn*) while the fertile plants carried three types of gene combinations: barstar gene alone (hpt::bs), both barnase and barstar genes (bar::bn-hpt::bs) and absence of both barnase and barstar genes. However, it was not possible to ascertain the genotype (homozygous or heterozygous) of male sterile barnase and male fertile barstar segregants in this generation. All the fertile T₁ progeny containing both barnase and barstar genes as well as barstar gene alone were also found to have a pollen viability > 70% comparable to that of the parent T₀ event reflecting stable inheritance of the restoration ability in subsequent generations.

For all events except two (L2-7 and K2-47), the T_1 progeny segregated for the *barnase* and *barstar* genes in accordance with their male fertility–sterility status (Table 2). In case of the above events, some semi-fertile plants were also observed in the T_1 progeny. Tissue-PCR analysis of such plants showed the presence of both *barnase* and *barstar* genes indicating the breakdown of fertility restoration in the T_1 generation for these events. Since the retransformation strategy provides a backup of several independent restorers for a given *barnase* line, problems arising due to the

Fig. 2 (a) T-DNA map of hpt::A9-bsmod::TA29-bswt construct. (b) Southern analysis of a representative population of T₀ restored (fertile) plants obtained from a retransformation experiment using the above construct. Genomic DNA of T₀ restored events was digested with HincII and probed with bswt fragment for analysis of copy number at the RB flank. The single copy plants thus obtained were digested with XbaI and probed with a partial fragment of hpt gene for analysis of copy number at the LB flank (data not shown). Positions (in kb) of Lambda DNA/HindIII size marker are indicated. Lane no. 14 indicates untransformed wild type plant (negative control). Abbreviations: 35SPr cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter, 35SpA polyA sequence of 35S transcript, LB and RB - left and right border of T-DNA of A. tumefaciens Ti plasmid respectively



breakdown of restorer lines in subsequent generations can readily be addressed.

Analysis of F₁ progeny obtained from crosses between *barnase* (*bar::bn*) and *barstar* (*hpt::*TA29-*bswt* or *hpt::*A9-*bsmod::*TA29-*bswt*) T₁ segregants

The efficacy of the retransformation strategy in identifying suitable restorers was further corroborated by analyzing the F₁ progeny derived from a cross involving randomly selected male sterile *barnase* (*bar::bn*) and male fertile *barstar* (*hpt::bs*) segregants from T_1 generation, for each *barstar* construct. Approximately, 70 F₁ plants were grown in the field and sprayed with Basta (at a final concentration of $200 \text{ mg } l^{-1}$ phosphinothricin). The F₁ progeny segregated in a 1:1 ratio for Basta resistance/sensitivity, thereby indicating that the T1 barnase segregants taken in the two crosses were heterozygous in nature (Table 3). Further the presence of both male sterile and fertile plants in the Basta resistant F_1 progeny of the two crosses indicated that the barstar gene in the T₁ plant was also in the heterozygous condition (Table 3). While the Basta resistant F_1 progeny derived from L2-25 line segregated in the 1:1 ratio for male sterility/fertility, the progeny derived from K2-25 line showed distortion in segregation which could probably be due to the small number of individuals analysed in this cross.

Discussion

The strategy outlined in this paper is a more efficient alternative for obtaining suitable restorers for a given male sterile *barnase* line over the line \times tester cross method which is both time-consuming and labour intensive. In the current study, two different barstar constructs were used to transform a male sterile barnase line, bn 3.6, and the double transformants carrying both barnase and barstar genes were selected and analysed for their male fertility/sterility status and pollen viability. Using the basic hpt::TA29-bswt construct, about 66% of the double transformants were fertile (restored) while the two gene-two promoter construct (hpt::A9-bsmod::TA29-bswt) provided $\sim 90\%$ of fertile (restored) double transformants. In both the cases, about 90% of the restored plants showed pollen viability > 70%, which is necessary for normal self seed formation. Thus, there was a major improvement in obtaining restorer lines by retransformation as compared to the conventional methodology of developing independent, single copy barnase and barstar lines and identifying restorers by crossing barnase and barstar lines in line \times tester crosses. For example, in our previous studies using the TA29-barstar construct, when a total of 24 single copy barstar lines were crossed with five different barnase lines, only two barstar lines were identified as potential restorers for only one of the barnase lines, following analysis

Restored event	Construct code									
	L2-1	L2-7	L2-25 ^{\$}	L2-26	L2-48	L2-64	$\frac{hptK9-03h}{K2-25^{\$}}$	K2-31	K2-40	K2-47
PV(%) in T ₀	97	98	98	95	90	87	93	91	92	87
Prg #1	F(-/bs) [86]	F(bn/bs) [80]	S(bn/-)	F(-/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	F(-/bs) [94]	F(bn/bs) [87]	F(bn/bs) [88]
Prg #2	S (bn/-)	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs) [80]	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs) [87]	F(-/bs)	F(-/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(-/-)	S(bn/-)
Prg #3	-	F(bn/bs) [90]	F(bn/bs) [75]	F(-/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(-/bs)	F(-/-) [93]	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	SF(bn/bs)
Prg #4	F (bn/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs) [85]	F(bn/bs) [84]	F(-/bs) [93]	F(-/-)	F(bn/bs) [85]	nd(-/bs)
Prg #5	F(bn/bs) [93]	SF(bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	nd(bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(-/bs)	F(-/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(-/-)
Prg #6	F (-/bs)	S(bn/-)	S(bn/-)	S(bn/-)	F(-/bs)	F(bn/bs)	S(bn/-)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)
Prg #7	F(bn/bs) [88]	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs) [83]	F(bn/bs) [71]	F(-/-) [91]	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs)
Prg #8	F (bn/bs)	SF(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs) [80]	F(bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	F(-/bs)	F(bn/bs) [74]	F(bn/bs) [88]	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs) [84]
Prg #9	F (bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	S(bn/-)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	S(bn/-)	F(bn/bs) [88]	F(-/bs)	-
Prg #10	F (bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	F(-/bs)	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	F(-/bs)	F(bn/bs)	F(bn/bs)	

Table 2 Analysis of a representative population of T_1 plants (Progeny #1–10) derived by selfing single copy T_0 restored events: segregation ofmale-fertile/sterile plants and pollen viability vis-à-vis segregation of the *barnase* and *barstar* genes

(L2-1, L2-7, L2-25, L2-26, L2-48, L2-64) and (K2-25, K2-31, K2-40 and K2-47) are single copy T_0 restored events obtained by retransforming *barnase* line *bn* 3.6 with *hpt*::TA29-*bswt* and *hpt*::A9-*bsmod*::TA29-*bswt* constructs, respectively. Each cell represents the fertility status (F—fertile, S—sterile; SF—semi-fertile) followed by presence of *barnase* (bn) and/or *barstar* (bs) gene in the T_1 progeny. The pollen viability (in %) observed in some cases is represented in square brackets []. nd—not determined. [§]The T_1 *barnase* (*bar::bn*) containing progeny of this event was crossed to a corresponding *barstar* plant (*hpt::bs*) and the F_1 progeny thus obtained were analysed in the subsequent generation.

Table 3 F_1 progeny profile of crosses between T_1 male-sterile (*bar::bn*) and T_1 restorer (*hpt::bs*) plants. Values in parentheses represent the chi-square value for the respective 1:1 ratios

Fertile (restored) event (T ₀)	L2-25	K2-25
Female \times male progeny (T ₁)	#1 × #5	#9 × #5
No. of seeds transplanted	70	70
Basta resistance status Male-fertility status	30 res : 38 sens (0.94) 16F : 12S (0.57)	26 res : 23 sens (0.18) 4F: 13S (4.76)

res-resistant, sens-sensitive, F-male-fertile, S-male-sterile.

of F_1 progeny. The retransformation strategy reported here also helped in the identification of potential restorers in the T_0 generation itself, thereby saving cost and labor in developing and analyzing several hundred F_1 progeny obtained from each *barnase* \times *barstar* cross.

The frequency of single-copy plants in the restored population obtained using both the constructs was around 30% which clearly indicated that the higher frequency of fertile (restored) plants using the two gene-two promoter construct (*hpt*::A9-*bsmod*::TA29-*bswt*) was a reflection of construct efficacy alone and not due to any bias towards multiple copy integration in the restored plants. The two gene-two promoter construct, therefore, provides very high restoration capabilities. This observation is in consensus with our earlier observation wherein we have shown the use of the two gene-two promoter strategy for effective restoration of different male sterile *barnase* lines using the line \times tester cross method (Bisht et al. 2004).

Using the strategy mentioned in this study, a large number of effective single-copy restorers could be identified in the T₀ generation, following stringent selection based on pollen viability, self seed formation and Southern analysis. The barnase and barstar genes could be segregated subsequently in the T_1 generation to obtain effective restorer lines. Since every T₀ restored plant is an independent transformation event, T₁ plants (derived from each restored event) containing the barstar gene alone would function as an independent restorer line for the same male sterile barnase line and could be maintained by selfing or could be transferred to a desired combiner by backcrossing. The retransformation strategy confers an additional advantage by providing a substantial backup of many independent restorers for a given barnase line which would be most useful if some restorer lines falter over subsequent generations. Further, the combined use of the retransformation strategy and the two

gene-two promoter construct mentioned in this study would be particularly useful for crop plants like cotton and grainlegumes, wherein transformation protocols are difficult and lengthy, as a large number of restored events can be easily obtained in the T_0 generation.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by a research grant from the National Dairy Development Board and its subsidiary Dhara Vegetable Oil and Food Company Limited (DOFCO) and the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India. N.C.B. was supported by a Research Fellowship from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR).

References

- Bisht NC, Jagannath A, Gupta V, Burma PK, Pental D (2004) A two gene–two promoter system for enhanced expression of a restorer gene (*barstar*) and development of improved fertility restorer lines for hybrid seed production in crop plants. Mol Breed 14:129–144
- Hajdukiewicz P, Svab Z, Maliga P (1994) The small versatile *pPZP* family of *Agrobacterium* binary vectors for plant transformation. Plant Mol Biol 25:989–994
- Heslop-Harrison J, Heslop-Harrison Y, Shivanna KR (1984) The evaluation of pollen quality and a further appraisal of the fluorochromatic (FCR) test procedure. Theor Appl Genet 67:367– 375
- Jagannath A, Bandyopadhyay P, Arumugam N, Gupta V, Burma PK, Pental D (2001) The use of a Spacer DNA fragment insulates the tissue-specific expression of a cytotoxic gene (*barnase*) and allows high-frequency generation of transgenic male sterile lines in *Brassica juncea* L. Mol Breed 8:11–23

- Jagannath A, Arumugam N, Gupta V, Pradhan AK, Burma PK, Pental D (2002) Development of transgenic *barstar* lines and identification of a male sterile (*barnase*)/restorer (*barstar*) combination for heterosis breeding in Indian oilseed mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Curr Sci 82:46–52
- Jagannath A, Bandyopadhyay P, Mehra S, Arumugam N, Burma PK, Pental D (2003) Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of Brassica juncea. In: Jaiwal PK, Singh RP (eds) Plant genetic engineering, vol 2. Improvement of food crops. Sci Tech Publishing LLC, USA, pp 349–360
- Klimyuk VI, Carroll BJ, Thomas CM, Jones JDG (1993) Alkali treatment for rapid preparation of plant material for reliable PCR analysis. Plant J 3:493–494
- Koltunow AM, Truettner J, Cox KH, Wallroth M, Goldberg RB (1990) Different temporal and spatial gene expression patterns occur during anther development. Plant Cell 2:1201–1224
- Mattanovich D, Ruker F, Machado A, Laimer M, Regner F, Steinkellner H, Himmler G (1989) Efficient transformation of *Agrobacterium* spp. by electroporation. Nucl Acids Res 17:6747
- Mariani C, De Beuckeleer M, Truettner J, Leemans J, Goldberg RB (1990) Induction of male sterility in plants by a chimeric ribonuclease gene. Nature 347:737–741
- Mariani C, Gossele V, De Beuckeleer M, De Block M, Goldberg RB, De Greef W, Leemans J (1992) A chimaeric ribonuclease-inhibitor gene restores fertility to male sterile plants. Nature 357:384–387
- Mehra S, Pareek A, Bandyopadhyay P, Sharma P, Burma PK, Pental D (2000) Development of transgenics in Indian oilseed mustard (*Brassica juncea*) resistant to herbicide phosphinothricin. Curr Sci 78:1358–1364
- Paul W, Hodge R, Smartt S, Draper J, Scott R (1992) The isolation and characterization of the tapetum specific *Arabidopsis thaliana* A9 gene. Plant Mol Biol 19:611–622
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, NY