Lattice expansion and non-collinear to collinear ferrimagnetic order in $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticle

R.N. Bhowmik^{*†} and R.Ranganathan[‡] Experimental Condensed Matter Physics division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata-700064, India

R.Nagarajan

Condensed Matter Physics division, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Colaba Road, Mumbai, India

We report magnetic behaviour of MnCr₂O₄, which belongs to a special class of spinel, known as chromite. Bulk MnCr₂O₄ shows a sequence of magnetic states, which follows paramagnetic (PM) to collinear ferrimagnetic (FM) state below $T_C \sim 45$ K and collinear FM state to non-collinear FM state below $T_S \sim 18$ K. The non-collinear spin structure has been modified on decreasing the particle size, and magnetic transition at T_S decreases in nanoparticle samples. However, ferrimagnetic order is still dominating in nanoparticles, except the observation of superparamagnetic like blocking and decrease of spontaneous magnetization for nanoparticle. This may, according to the core-shell model of ferrimagnetic nanoparticle, be the surface disorder effect of nanoparticle. The system also show the increase of T_C in nanoparticle samples, which is not consistent with the core-shell model. The analysis of the M(T) data, applying spin wave theory, has shown an unusual Bloch exponent value 3.35 for bulk MnCr₂O₄, which decreases and approaches to 1.5, a typical value for any standard ferromagnet, with decreasing the particle size. MnCr₂O₄ has shown a few more unusual behaviour. For example, lattice expansion in nanoparticle samples. The present work demonstrates the correlation between a systematic increase of lattice parameter and the gradual decrease of B site non-collinear spin structure in the light of magnetism of MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Spinel ferrite [1], represented by formula unit AB_2X_4 , has generated renewed research interest due to applications in nanoscience and technology. It has been established that the physical properties of spinel ferrites depend on the distribution of cations amongst the Tetrahedral (A) and Octahedral (B) sites and relative strengths of various kind of superexchange interactions via anions (X: O, S, Se ions). It is noted that most of the works are confined on spinel ferrites MFe_2O_4 (M= Zn, Co, Mn, Ni etc.) [2]. In these spinels B sites are occupied by Fe^{3+} . There are many spinels of different class and having no B site Fe^{3+} , but may be very relevant in view of physics and technological application. Chromites MCr_2X_4 (M = Mn, Fe etc., X= O, S ions) are one of such classes which exhibits many unusual magnetic properties like ferrimagnetism, colossal magneto resistance (CMR) effect etc. Recently, extensive work on Half-metallic ferrimagnet (HFM) FeCr₂S₄ shows varieties of magnetic properties with different (magnetic and nonmagnetic) substitutions [3, 4]. It has been proposed [4]that $Mn[Cr_{2-x}V_x]S_4$ series might be a strong candidate for HFM. One would expect similar magnetic and transport properties in MCr_2O_4 in comparison with MCr_2S_4 ,

since both (sulfide and oxide) spinels have identical cubic lattice structure, and both are ferrimagnet with T_C in the range (60 K-80 K) and non-collinear ferrimagnet below 20 K. The other interests for investigating the MnCr₂O₄ spinel is to understand the role of strongly negative J_{BB} (Cr-O-Cr) interactions in controlling the magnetic properties of chromites. In collinear ferrimagnetic structure of spinel A-O-B and B-O-B bond angle are 125^0 and 90^0 , respectively. For other configurations the distance between the oxygen ion and cations are too large to give rise to a strong A-O-B superexchange interaction. The investigation of the magnetic properties of chromites [6] revealed a number of unconventional features which could not be explained by Neel's theory for collinear (ferrimagnetic) spin structure.

It was proposed by Wickham and Goodenough [6] that direct (antiferromagnetic) interactions between B site cations are responsible for the non-collinear ferrimagnetic structure in chromites like MnCr₂O₄. In fact, many phenomena in recent years have been explained in terms of B site direct cation-cation interaction, as in ZnCr₂O₄ [7]. Neutron diffraction experiment confirmed the noncollinear spin structure between B site Cr^{3+} moments in chromites [8], including MnCr₂O₄ [9]. The neutron experiment shows that the decrease of magnetization in MnCr₂O₄ below 18 K, though identical with re-entrant magnetic transition [10], originates from the occuring of non-collinear spin structure in MnCr₂O₄.

Numerous attempts have been made to bridge the gap between ferrites (MFe_2O_4), which are collinear ferrimagnet, and chromites, which are non-collinear ferrimagnet. However, the picture of spin configuration of chromites are not very clear even upto date. Therefore, an investi-

^{*}e-mail: rnb@cmp.saha.ernet.in

[‡]e-mail: ranga@cmp.saha.ernet.in

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, Mahishadal Raj College, Mahishadal, East Midnapore, Pin Code: 721628, West Bengal, India

gation for chromites is essential and relevant, as many questions regarding their spin structure are still open [11]. The present work highlights the magnetic ordering in bulk and nanoparticles of $MnCr_2O_4$ chromite, focus has given to understand the origin of magnetic transition at ~ 18 K in $MnCr_2O_4$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Sample preparation and Characterization

We have prepared $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles by mechanical milling of the bulk material using Fritsch Planetary Mono Mill "Pulverisette 6". For bulk $MnCr_2O_4$, the stoichiometric mixture of MnO (99.999 % purity) and Cr_2O_3 (99.997 % purity) oxides were ground for 2 hours and pelletized. The pellet was sintered at 1000° C for 12 hours and at 1200° C for 24 hours with intermediate grinding. The sample was then cooled to room temperature at $2-3^{\circ}$ C/min. Before milling, the bulk sample was ground for two hours. X ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of the bulk sample was recorded using Philips PW1710 diffractometer with Cu K_{α} radiation. The XRD spectra of bulk sample matched with a typical spinel structure in cubic phase. The powdered MnCr₂O₄ (bulk) material was mechanical milled in a 80 ml agate vial with 10 mm agate balls with ball to sample mass ratio 12:1. The sintering and milling of bulk sample was carried out in Ar atmosphere to prevent the oxidation of Mn^{2+} . The milled samples are designated as mhX, where X denotes the number of milling hours. The XRD spectra of milled samples are also matched with standard cubic spinel structure. The XRD spectra, without any additional phase, showed that both bulk and nanoparticle samples are single phase chromites and having identical chemical composition. The absence of any impurity lines excludes the possibility of the formation of other alloyed compounds during mechanical milling. The lattice parameter of the samples were determined by standard full profile fitting method (Rietveld method) using FULLPROF Program. The calculations were performed assuming that the studied samples belong to Fd3m space group and ascribed to normal cubic spinel structure. The XRD peak lines were fitted with Lorentzian shape. The lattice parameter of our bulk sample is $8.41(\pm 0.002)$ Å, which is close enough to the reported value $8.42(\pm 0.02)$ Å [12] and 8.437 Å [13]. The systematic broadening of XRD lines with milling time corresponds to the decrease of particle size (Table I). The particle size was determined from the analysis of XRD 311 line using Debye-Scherrer equation. The effect of micro-strain, induced in the material during milling, in the determination of half-width has been minimized by matching the 311 line of the XRD spectra with Lorentzian shape. We have taken TEM data for three samples, i.e., mh60, mh48 and mh36. The TEM data (not shown in figure) give average particle size \sim 11.5 nm, 12.7 nm and 14.4 nm in comparison with XRD

data 11 nm, 12 nm and 13 nm for samples mh60, mh48 and mh36, respectively. This shows that the effect of mechanical strain is not significant in our determination of particle size using XRD data. It is found (Table I) that lattice parameter of the system is systematically increases with the decrease of particle size. This is reflected in the shift of the XRD peaks, as shown for 311 line in Fig. 1, to lower scattering angle (2θ) with increasing milling time. We, further, examined the originality of the shift of XRD peak with decreasing particle size by annealing the mh60 sample at 600° C (denoted as: mh60(t6)) and 900^oC (denoted as: mh60(t9)) for 12 hours under vacuum sealed condition. We have seen (inset of Fig. 1) that 311 peak of annealed sample tends toward the bulk sample. The decrease of lattice parameter, e.g. 8.474 \AA (for mh60, particle size ~ 11 nm), 8.442 Å (for mh60(t6), particle size ~ 12.8 nm), 8.424 Å (for mh60(t9), particle size ~ 17.9 nm) and 8.410 Å (for bulk sample), with increasing annealing temperature (i.e., increase of particle size) confirm the lattice expansion in our nanoparticles.

B. DC magnetization

1. Temperature dependence of magnetization

The temperature dependence of dc magnetization (Fig. 2) was measured under zero field cooled (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) modes, using SQUID (Quantum Design, USA) magnetometer. In ZFC mode, sample was cooled from 300 K to 2 K in the absence of dc magnetic field, followed by the application of magnetic field at 2 K and magnetization data were recorded while increasing the temperature. In FC mode, the sample was cooled from 300 K in presence of field. Cooling field and measurement fields are same. The zero field cooled magnetization (MZFC) at 100 Oe of bulk sample (Fig. 2a) shows a sharp increase below 45 K and remains almost temperature independent in the temperature range 40 K-18 K. Below 18 K, MZFC sharply decreases down to our measurement temperature 2 K. The magnetic behaviour of our bulk $MnCr_2O_4$ is consistent with the reported [11, 14] data which showed paramagnetic to ferrimagnetic transition below $T_C \approx 45$ K and collinear spin structure in B sites becomes non-collinear (canted) below $T_S \approx 18$ K, due to the dominance of J_{BB} interactions over J_{AB} interactions [13]. There is a small magnetic irreversibility (MFC > MZFC) between field cooled magnetization (MFC) and MZFC below 40 K. The magnetic change observed in MZFC at $\mathrm{T}_S\approx 18$ K also exists in MFC. It is noted that T_S remains almost unchanged upto field ~ 1 kOe, but get suppressed at 50 kOe data. This is consistent with a strong non-collinear ferrimagnetic order in bulk sample below 18 K, and such magnetic order is affected only at high magnetic field.

The M(T) (MZFC and MFC) data for nanoparticle (mh12, mh24, mh36, mh48 and mh60) samples are shown in Fig. 2b-2f. The behaviour is different in comparison

with the bulk. For example, magnetic irreversibility between MZFC and MFC starts well above of 45 K and the separation between MZFC and MFC increases on lowering the temperature. The other important observation is that plateau behaviour in the magnetization data (temperature range 40 K-18 K) of bulk sample slowly decreases and a maximum occurs below 40 K with decreasing the particle size (Fig. 2f). Note that there is no decrease of MFC below 18 K, instead the MFC in all nanoparticle samples show continuous increase down to 2 K. Fig. 2f (for mh60 sample) shows that the temperature T_{irr} , where magnetic irreversibility starts, decreases with increase of magnetic field. This behaviour of M(T)data along with large difference in MFC and MZFC shows blocking phenomenon of ferrimagnetic nanoparticles [15]. We, now, analyze the M(T) data to understand the particle size effect. Fig. 3a shows the temperature dependence of the inverse of dc magnetic susceptibility (χ) , using MZFC data at 100 Oe. It is interesting to note that χ^{-} (T) of bulk and nanoparticle samples follow the typical functional form: $\chi^{-1} = T/C + 1/\chi_0 - \sigma/(T-\theta)$, which consists of a Curie-Weiss (ferromagnetic) term and a Curie (paramagnetic) term and such equation has shown its application in ferrimagnetic spinel [16]. This means strong ferrimagnetic order still exist in our nanoparticles. The extraction of the constants C and σ form this equation is difficult because they are coupled in the paramagnetic regime. The asymptotic curie temperature (θ) , obtained by extrapolation as in Fig. 3a, is shown in the inset of Fig. 3. The closeness of T_C and θ in bulk sample characterizes its long range ferrimagnetic order. On the other hand, θ values for nanoparticle sample (e.g. ~ 60 K for particle size ~ 19 nm, ~ 70 K for particle size ~ 16 nm) are much higher than the $\theta \sim 45$ K for bulk. The increasing magnetic disorder in nanoparticle is manifested by the large difference between θ , and T_C [17], and broadening of the change in magnetization with temperature about T_C . According to the core-shell model of ferrimagnetic nanoparticles [18], the disorder is associated with increasing contribution of shell (surface) spins and long range ferrimagnetic order is associated with core spins. From the first order derivative of M(T) (both ZFC and FC) data (Fig. 4a), we find that there is a minimum below $T_C (\sim 45 \text{ K})$ for bulk sample. This minimum for MZFC becomes broad for nanoparticle samples and occuring at higher temperature with respect to the bulk sample. The increasing broadness about the minimum is related to the disorder effects in nanoparticle samples. This is understood from the fact that the sample is magnetically more ordered in FC state than the ZFC state. The first order derivative of M(T) (Fig. 4a) shows a sharp peak near to $T_S \sim 18$ K for bulk sample, which is broadened for nanoparticle samples. This indicates that B site (non-collinear) spin configuration below 18 K has been changed in nanoparticles. The difference between field cooled magnetization and zero field cooled magnetization ($\Delta M = MFC-MZFC$) vs T data (Fig. 4b) show that for bulk sample the ΔM is very small in the temperature range 40 K-18 K and increases below 18 K. For nanoparticle samples, ΔM shows significant increase below 60 K with shape different from the bulk. The large magnitude of ΔM over the whole temperature range, comparing the bulk sample, suggests increasing disorder effects in nanoparticle samples. On the other hand, shifting of the MZFC minimum of nanoparticle samples to higher temperature may indicate the increase of T_C with decreasing the particle size. Due to the increasing flatness about 45 K in M(T) data and occurance of separation between MFC and MZFC well above 45 K, it is very difficult to estimate the exact T_C values for nanoparticle samples. The spin wave theory can be applied, since ferrimagnetic order dominates in both bulk and nanoparticle samples. We have analyzed the MZFC data at 50 kOe, using the Bloch law: $M(T)=M_0(1-\beta T^{\alpha})$. Here, M_0 is the extrapolated value of saturation magnetization at 0 K, and β and α are the constants. The change of M₀, Bloch exponent α and Bloch coefficient β with particle size are shown in Table I, and in Fig. (5b), respectively. The saturation magnetic moment $\sim 30 \text{ emu/g}$ (or magnetic moment ~ 1.46 (± 0.01) μ_B per formula unit) at 2 K of our bulk sample is consistent with the reported value 1.4-1.6 μ_B [6]. It is interesting from the log-log plot of (M₀) -M(T)) vs T (Fig. 5a) that curves for all the samples intersect at the same temperature point ~ 44 K. This observation is notable in the sense that this temperature point is close to the $T_C \sim 45$ K of the bulk sample and invariant with particle size down to ~ 11 nm. The Bloch exponent $\alpha = 3.35 \pm 0.04$ for bulk MnCr₂O₄ is large in comparison with $\alpha = 1.5$ for a typical ferromagnet [19]. The exponent is reducing and approaching to the typical value of 1.5 with the decrease of particle size. For example, $\alpha = 1.7 \pm 0.05$ for sample of particle size ~ 11 nm. The theoretical calculation, as well as some experimental results on fine particles and clusters [20, 21] have shown that α becomes larger than 1.5, the value corresponding to bulk material. Hence, the particle size dependence of α is unconventional in MnCr₂O₄, where α decreases with decrease of particle size. The feature is that $T^{3/2}$ spin wave law alone can not be applied for bulk sample and there is a possibility of another origin contributing in M(T) behaviour. The extra magnetic contributions may introduce from a modulated magnetic order, arising from the non-collinear spin structure [22] in bulk system or alternation of core-shell structure [23] in nanoparticles. The tendency of decreasing the exponent towards 1.5, a typical value for standard ferro or ferrimagnet, for smaller particles suggest that the extra magnetic contribution, introduced due to the non-collinear structure of B site spins, decreases with the decrease of particle size.

2. Field dependence of magnetization

Fig. 6(a-c) show the M(H) data and Fig. 6(d-e) show the corresponding Arrot plot (M² vs H/M) for bulk (mh0), mh60 and mh48 samples, respectively. In bulk

sample, an initial rapid increase of M to its spontaneous magnetization (M_S) value within 1 kOe is followed by the lack of saturation (steep increase) with field (H) upto 120 kOe, for all temperatures below T_C . For higher temperatures, e.g. T = 40 K and 50 K, the non-linear contribution of M(H) is increasing in our bulk sample. Similar M(H) behaviour in MnCr₂S₄ [8] has been attributed to the coexistence of ferrimagnetic state and quasi paramagnetic state, arising from the non-collinear spin structure. The non-collinear spin structure between B site Cr^{3+} moments $MnCr_2O_4$ has been confirmed by neutron diffraction experiment [9]. The non-saturation of magnetization at higher field is attributed to paramagnetic type contributions, as shown in inset of Fig. 6a, arising from the B site non-collinear spin structure. The non-linear contribution in M(H) data is observed even at lower temperatures for nanoparticle samples, as shown in Fig. 6b for mh60. Fig. 6c suggest that a typical paramagnetic state (the linear increase of M(H)) for mh48 sample is observed only above 70 K. The gradual change in M(H) data near to T_C and above makes it very difficult to determine the exact value of T_C . In order to distinguish the long range order ferrimagnetic state from the paramagnetic state, we have analyzed the M(H) data using Arrot plot ($M^2vs H/M$). The Arrot plots are shown in Fig. 6d-f. We have calculated the magnitude of spontaneous magnetization (M_S) by extrapolating the data for $H \ge 10$ kOe to the M² axis. Although M(H) data at 50 K (Fig. 6a) is non-linear for bulk sample, the Arrot plot (Fig. 6d) gives zero value of M_S and confirms the paramagnetic state of bulk sample at 50 K. This is consistent with paramagnetic to ferrimagnetic transition temperature $T_C \sim 45$ K, observed from the M(T). Hence, non-linear increase of M(H) at 50 K (above T_C) can be attributed to the short range interactions amongst the spins or clusters of spins, as found in other system [24]. The upward curvature in Arrot plot, even in the order magnetic state, is not very conventional. The gradual increase of upward curvature with increase of temperature above T_C of mh48 sample (Fig. 6f) suggests that the paramagnetic contribution or disorder effect causes such curvature in Arrot plot even in the ferrimagnetic state. The more curvature in nanoparticle samples in comparison with the bulk indicates more magnetic disorder for lower particle sample. The paramagnetic contribution from each isotherm at $T \leq T_C$ were determined by fitting the data above 10 kOe either to linear equation (example 10 K data of bulk sample) or non-linear equation (example: 40 K data of bulk sample). We find that the value of the spontaneous magnetization (M_S) , determined from the revised Arrot plot (not shown in figures), after the subtraction of the paramagnetic contribution is slightly higher than that obtained without subtracting the PM contribution. Fig. 7 shows the temperature dependence of M_S for bulk and nanoparticle samples. The immediate feature is that M_S is decreasing for lowering particle size and M_S is not zero above 45 K, as in bulk, for nanoparticles. By definition T_C , i.e., paramagnetic to

ferrimagnetic transition temperature, is the temperature below which non-zero value of M_S exists in the sample. Therefore, Fig. 7 suggests the increase of T_C in the temperature range 45 K-55 K with the decrease of particle size. To confirm the increase of T_C , we have performed ac susceptibility measurements for selected samples and the data are shown in Fig. 8. The sharp transitions (peak) both in χ' (real part of ac susceptibility) and in χ'' (imaginary part of ac susceptibility) near to T_C and T_S are observed (Fig. 8a, Fig. 8b) for bulk sample, but no such peak either at T_C or T_S in χ' is seen for nanoparticle samples. However, χ'' shows a sharp peak which is independent of frequencies (Fig. 8d) and corresponds to the T_C of the sample. The occurance of this χ'' peak at higher temperature for smaller particle samples (Fig. 8b) confirms the increase of T_C with decreasing particle size of MnCr₂O₄. A small (but clear) change in χ'' near to $\mathrm{T}_S \sim 18~\mathrm{K}$ for our smallest particle size sample indicates that signature of non-collinear spin transition still present there, but weak in magnitude. Although a broad maximum in χ' , resemble to superparamagnetic blocking, at about 35 K is noted for mh60 sample, the other observations i.e., almost no shift of χ' maximum with frequencies in the range 37 Hz-637 Hz (Fig. 8c) and no such maximum in χ'' , indicates that such maximum in χ' is simply due to the disorder effect of shell spins, but not due to a typical superparamagnetic behaviour. The exhibition of a sharp peak in χ'' near to T_C conclusively indicates that long range ferrimagnetic order still dominant in our smallest particle size (11 nm) sample, and coexists with the increasing disorder of shell spins.

III. DISCUSSION

It is interesting to see that $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles show lattice expansion. A number of explanations for the lattice expansion in nano-materials, such as: change in oxygen coordination number with the cations [13], change of valence state of cations [25], crystallographic phase transformation [26], and contribution of excess volume of grain boundary spins [27, 28] are available in the literature. However, there is no satisfactory explanation. According to Banerjee et al. [27] lattice expansion in mechanical milled samples may be related to the mechanical strain induced effect, rather than intrinsic properties of the sample. This may not be true, because lattice expansion has been observed in both mechanical milled nanoparticles as well in chemical route prepared nanoparticles. Details of the origin of lattice expansion in our nanoparticles are discussed below.

The XRD pattern of our nanoparticle samples are identical with the bulk samples. The absence of any additional lines with respect to standard cubic spinel structure indicated that there is no crystallographic phase transformation in our nanoparticle sample. The agreement of the magnetic parameters (magnetic moment and T_C) of our bulk sample with literature value [6, 9, 14] has con-

firmed that Mn ions are in divalent $(Mn^{2+}: 3d^5)$ state. The mechanical milling in argon atmosphere is also not in favour of the formation of Mn ions with higher ionic (3+or 4+) states. By comparing the outer shell spin configuration of Mn^{2+} (3d⁵, moment: 5 μ_B), Mn^{3+} (3d⁴, moment:4 μ_B) and Mn^{4+} (3d³, moment:3 μ_B), it is evident that if Mn^{3+} or Mn^{4+} exists, at all, in our nanoparticle samples, the decrease of lattice parameter was expected. The increase of lattice parameter in nanoparticle samples conclude that there is no change in valence state of Mn ions. Hence, the decrease of magnetic moment in nanoparticle samples may be consistent with core-shell model [18]. The core-shell model [18] suggests that disorder in shell (surface) spins decrease the net magnetization of ferrimagnetic nanoparticle and such contribution of shell spins increases on decreasing the size of particle. The questions naturally raised, whether shell spin disorder is responsible only for the decrease of magnetization or this disorder may be translated into the lattice dynamics which can show 'disorder induced' magnetic order [29]. An extensive work is going on to understand the role of shell (surface) spin disorder [23, 30, 31] in different kind of nanoparticle spinel.

We, now, consider the effect of shell spin disorder in $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles. The microstructure of the shell may influence the lattice expansion in two ways, by increasing the free excess volume of the incoherent shell spins in the interface structure, and by lowering symmetry in oxygen coordination numbers with surface cations. F. Fava et al.[13] have already shown that increase of lattice volume in $MnCr_2O_4$ is related to the change in oxygen coordination number with the cations. Consequently, the lattice pressure on core spins may be reduced by the elastic coupling between (shell and core) spin lattices [27]. Many other factors such as breaking of long range crystallographic coherent length and random orientations of shell spins may exhibit interatomic spacing (lattice parameter) which is different from bulk lattice. Experimental results show larger interatomic spacings (lattice parameter) in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticle. Since the ratio of J_{AB} and J_{BB} superexchange interactions in chromites depend on both the bond angle and bond length (interatomic spacings) of B site spins (cations) [6], any change in the spin configuration either in shell or core must be reflected in the magnetic properties of nanoparticles. If the lattice expansion in $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles is intrinsic, one would expect two important effects. First, direct cation-cation (antiferromagnetic) interactions will be diluted. Consequently, noncollinear spin structure between B site Cr^{3+} cations of bulk $MnCr_2O_4$, revealed by the magnetic transition at ~ 18 K [6], will be decreased in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles. Second, inter-sublattice (J_{AB}) super-exchange (ferrimagnetic in nature) interactions will dominate over B-B (J_{BB}) interactions (antiferromagnetic in nature) [6, 8]. Consequently, increase of T_C is expected, as T_C is proportional to J_{AB} in spinel. A strong relationship between the lattice parameter and T_C of chromites has been found

from the comparison of lattice parameters (~ 8.41 Å, 10.18 Å and 10.23 Å, respectively) vs T_C (~ 45 K, 80 K and 84.5 K, respectively) for bulk MnCr₂O₄, MnCr₂S₄ and CdCr₂S₄ with identical lattice (cubic spinel) structure. The enhancement of T_C in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles, therefore, suggests the increase of interatomic distance between B site (Cr-Cr) cations.

We, now, show that the enhancement of T_C is not due to the site exchange of Mn^{2+} and Cr^{3+} amongst A and B sites in nanoparticles. Both Rh and Cr atoms are highly stabilized in B sites of cubic spinel structure due to their strong affinity for B site. The work on mechanical milled $CoRh_2O_4$ nanoparticles [23] indicated that there is no migration of Rh atoms from B site to A site for particle size down to ~ 16 nm. On the other hand, very small amount of cations exchange amongst A and B sites in mechanical milled $Zn_{0.8}Co_{0.2}Fe_2O_4$ nanoparticles [30] have shown drastic enhancement of both magnetization and ferrimagnetic ordering temperature in comparison with their bulk counterpart. Similar type of enhancement in magnetization is also expected in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticle, if mechanical milling really affect the site selection of cations (Mn^{2+} and Cr^{3+}). In bulk $MnCr_2O_4$, A site is fully occupied by Mn^{2+} ions and B site is fully occupied by Cr^{3+} ions. Experimentally it has been found that magnetic moment of ${\rm Mn}^{2+}$ and ${\rm Cr}^{3+}$ ions are \sim $5\mu_B$ and $3\mu_B$, respectively. For the sake of argument we assume that some of the Mn^{2+} ions migrate to B site in the exchange of equal number of Cr^{3+} ions to the A site in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles. Considering the formula for total magnetization of spinel oxide ($M = M_B - M_A$, where M_B and M_A are magnetization of B and A sites, respectively) and the fact that the number of B site magnetic ions is double in comparison with the number of A site magnetic ions, the drastic enhancement of total magnetization was expected in $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles. However, experimental results show the decrease of magnetization with decreasing particle size. Hence, we exclude the possibility of the site exchange of Mn^{2+} and Cr^{3+} among A and B sites in $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles. Therefore, Cr atoms even in nanoparticle show the great affinity to occupy the B site alone, as in bulk.

We, now, consider the particle size effect on the magnetic transition at ~ 18 K. Neutron experiments [8, 9] confirmed that collinear to non-collinear (canted) spin transition is the origin of magnetic transition at ~ 18 K in bulk $MnCr_2O_4$. Further increase of surface spin canting should result in more prominent magnetic transition at \sim 18 K, and decrease of ferrimagnetic ordering temperature (T_C) for nanoparticles. Our experiment on MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles show neither strong magnetic transition at 18 K nor decrease of T_C for smaller particles. On the other hand, experiment confirmed the enhancement of T_C in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles. Hence, weakening of the magnetic transition at 18 K in nanoparticles is not due to the effect of increasing surface spin canting. This is an effect of intrinsic change in non-collinear to collinear spin structure in B sites of $MnCr_2O_4$ and directly correlated

with lattice expansion of the system [32].

The other observations of nanoparticles, i.e. (i) large magnetic irreversibility between MFC and MZFC, (iii) appearance of a maximum in MZFC below 40 K, are resemble to superparamagnetic like blocking of nanoparticles. But, ac susceptibility measurement shows no typical superparamagnetic behaviour in our nanoparticles. Such behaviour, in fact, arises due to the increasing disorder of surface spins. The intersection of M(T) data, following Bloch law, near to 44 K (close to $T_C \sim 45$ K of bulk sample) for all samples suggests that strong ferrimagnetic order of core spins (bulk) is almost retained. We, therefore, suggest that surface spin disorder in nanoparticles is translated into the lattice dynamics to cause geometrical frustration effect [29] and results in lattice expansion.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Bulk MnCr₂O₄ is a ferrimagnet with paramagnetic to collinear ferrimagnetic state at $T_C \approx 45$ K and collinear ferrimagnetic to non-collinear ferrimagnetic state below 18 K. Experimental results suggest that non-collinear fer-

- S. Krupika and P. Novak, in Ferromagnetic materials, edited by E.P. Wolfarth (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), Vol. 3, p. 189
- [2] J.L. Dormann and M. Nogues, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2, 1223 (1990)
- [3] A.P. Ramirez, R.J. Cava, J. Krajewski, Nature (London), 386, 156 (1997)
- [4] M.S. Park, S.K. Kwon and B.I. Min, Phys. Rev. B 64, 100403 (R) (2001)
- [5] V.A.M. Brabers, Phys. Rev. Letts. 68, 3113 (1992)
- [6] D.G. Wickham and J.B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. 115, 1156 (1959)
- [7] S.-H. Lee, C. Broholm, T.H. Kim, W. Ratcliff II and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3718 (2000)
- [8] V. Tsurkan, M. Mcksch, V. Fritsch, J. Hemberger, M. Klemm, S. Klimm, S. Krner, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, D. Samusi, E.-W. Scheidt, A. Loidl, S. Horn, and R. Tidecks, Phys. Rev. B 68, 134434 (2003)
- [9] J.M. Hasting and L.M. Corliss, Phys. Rev. 126, 556 (1962)
- [10] S. Mukherjee, R. Ranganathan and S.B. Roy, Phys. Rev. B 50, 6866 (1994)
- [11] K. Tomiyasu and I. Kagomiya, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 73, 2539 (2004)
- [12] T.W. Swaddle, J.H. Lipton,G. Guastalla and P. Bayliss, Canadian Journal of Chemistry, 49, 2433 (1971)
- [13] F.F. Fava, I. Baraille, A. Lichanot, C. Larrieu and R. Dovesi, J.Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, 10715 (1997)
- [14] S. Horiuchi and S. Miyahara, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 28, 529 (1970)
- [15] Qi Chen and Z.J. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 73, 3156 (1998)
- [16] Z. Yang, S. Tan, Z. Chen and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 62, 13872 (2000)
- [17] D.S. Williams, P.M. Shand, T.M. Pekarek, R. Skomski,

rimagnetic state in MnCr₂O₄ occurs due to direct interactions between B site Cr³⁺ ions. The B site direct interactions, represented by magnetic transition at 18 K, decreases in $MnCr_2O_4$ nanoparticles. We attribute the decrease of B site direct interactions to the lattice expansion in MnCr₂O₄ nanoparticles, essentially confined in shell, which results in the change from non-collinear to collinear structure of B site spins. In this sense, our experimental work provide substantial evidence to, further, confirm the proposal made by Wickham and Goodenough that direct (antiferromagnetic) interactions between B site cations are possible in chromites and causes collinear to noncollinear ferrimagnetic transition at about 18 K. Reduction of magnetic moment, large magnetic irreversibility between MZFC and MFC, and appearance of superparamagnetic like blocking are some of the notable disorder effects in nanoparticles. Exceptional large Bloch exponent $\alpha = 3.35$ for bulk MnCr₂O₄ and decrease of α with decreasing particle size, identify unconventional magnetic features in $MnCr_2O_4$.

Acknowledgment: We thank Dr. Chandan Mazumdar for useful discussions and SQUID measurements.

V. Petkov, D.L. Leslie-Pelecky, Phys. Rev. B 68, 214404 (2003)

- [18] R.H. Kodama, A.E. Berkowitz, E.J. McNiff and S. Foner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 394 (1996)
- [19] M. Lubecka, L.J. Maksymowicz and R. Zuberek, Phys. Rev. B 42, 3926 (1990)
- [20] X. Battle and A. Labarta, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 35, R15 (2002)
- [21] P.V. Hendriksen, S. Linderoth and P.A. Lindgard, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7259 (1993)
- [22] R. Abe, Y. Tsunoda, M. Nishi and K.Kakurai, J.Phys.: Condens. Matter 10, L79 (1998)
- [23] R.N.Bhowmik, R. Nagarajan and R. Ranganathan, Phys. Rev. B 69,054430 (2004)
- [24] J. Mira et al., Phys. Rev. B 59, 123 (1999)
- [25] S. Tsunekawa, K. Ishikawa, Z.-Q. Li, Y. Kawazoe and A. Kasuya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3440 (2000)
- [26] P.P. Chatterjee, S.K. Pabi and I. Manna, J. Appl. Phys. 86, 5912 (1999)
- [27] R. Banerjee, E.A. Sperling, G.B. Thompson, H.L. Fraser, S. Bose and P. Ayyub, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 4250 (2003)
- [28] L. Wang and F.S. Li, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 223,233 (2001)
- [29] S.-H. Lee, C. Broholm, W. Ratcliff, G. Gasparovic, Q. Huang, T.H. Kim and S.-W. Cheong, Nature 418, 856 (2002)
- [30] R.N. Bhowmik, R. Ranganathan, S. Sarkar, C. Bansal and R. Nagarajan, Phys. Rev. B 68, 134433 (2003)
- [31] R. N. Bhowmik, R. Ranganathan, R. Nagarajan, Biswatosh Ghosh, and S. Kumar, Phys. Rev. B 72, 094405(2005)
- [32] A. Fukunaga, S. Chu and M.E. McHenry, J. Mater. Res. 13, 2465 (1998)

TABLE I: The parameters for milled sample mhX, where X represents milling time in hours on bulk sample. particle in size (D) and lattice parameter a(A) were obtained from XRD spectra. Saturation magnetization at 0 K (M₀) was obtained from the M(T) data at 50 kOe. T_C was determined from first order derivative of M(T), M_S (T) and ac susceptibility data.

Sample	D (nm)	$a(\pm 0.002 \ \text{\AA})$	T_C (K)	$M_0(emu/g)$
mh0	150	8.410	$44{\pm}1$	30.54
mh12	19	8.412	$46{\pm}1$	25.91
mh24	16	8.425	$47{\pm}1$	25.24
mh36	13	8.440	$49{\pm}1$	22.81
mh48	12	8.448	51 ± 1	22.35
mh60	11	8.474	52 ± 1	21.78



Fig.1 Variation of (311) XRD peak line of cubic spinel structure with milling hours and the same (311) line is compared with the mh60 samples after annealing at 600° C (mh60(t6)) and 900° C (mh60(t9)) for 12 hours.



Fig. 2 Temperature dependence of magnetization at different magnetic fields for bulk and mechanical milled nanoparticle samples. solid symbol and open symbol represents MZFC and MFC data, respectively.



Fig. 3 χ^{-1} vs T data at measurement field 100 Oe for bulk and nanoparticle (mhX) samples. θ indicates asymptotic curie temperature. The inset shows particle size (mh0: bulk, mh12: 19 nm, mh24: 16 nm, mh36: 13 nm, mh48: 12 nm and mh60: 11 nm) dependence of θ .



Fig. 4 (a) First order derivative of M(T) vs Temperature.(b) TRM (MFC-MZFC) vs temperature for bulk and nanoparticle samples.Measurement field is 100 Oe.



Fig. 5 (a) log-log plot of $[M_0-M(T)]$ vs T for MZFC at 50 kOe data. M_0 for each sample was estimated by extrapolating the MZFC data to 0 K. (b) particle size dependence of the exponents obtained from Bloch law.



Fig. 6 Field dependence of magnetization at selected temperatures for samples (a) mho (bulk), (b) mh60 and (c) mh48, and corresponding Arrot plots (M² vs H/M) for samples (d) mh0, (e) mh60 and (f) mh48. Inset of Fig. 6a shows the M(H) data at 10 K



Fig. 7 Temperature dependence of M_s for selected samples to estimate the temperatures (T_c) at which M_s becomes zero.



Fig 8. Temperature dependence of real part (χ') and imaginary part (χ'') of ac susceptibility data at 1 Oe. (a) χ' for bulk, mh12 and mh60 at 37 Hz, and (b) corresponding χ'' , (c) χ' at 37 Hz-637 Hz for mh60 sample, and (d) corresponding χ'' .