Driven Depinning in Anisotropic Media

Lei-Han Tang*, Mehran Kardar**, and Deepak Dhar***

Issac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB3 OEH, England

(August, 1994)

We show that the critical behavior of a driven interface, depinned from quenched random impurities, depends on the isotropy of the medium. In anisotropic media the interface is pinned by a bounding (conducting) surface characteristic of a model of mixed diodes and resistors. Different universality classes describe depinning along a hard and a generic direction. The exponents in the latter (tilted) case are highly anisotropic, and obtained exactly by a mapping to growing surfaces. Various scaling relations are proposed in the former case which explain a number of recent numerical observations.

PACS numbers: 47.55.Mh, 74.60.Ge, 75.60.Ch, 05.70.Ln

The pinning of interfaces by impurities occurs in many circumstances such as in random magnets or fluid flow through porous media. There has been considerable recent progress in understanding such collective depinning phenomena. Insights gained from charge density waves [1] have been extended to describe the critical behavior of depinning interfaces [2,3]. The renormalization group (RG) analysis indicates that the interface is a selfaffine fractal at the depinning transition. Narayan and Fisher have argued that the roughness exponent ζ , of a *d*-dimensional critical interface is (4 - d)/3, to all orders of perturbation theory [3]. However, a number of numerical [4–6] and experimental results [7,6], mostly in d = 1, have cast doubt on the generality of this consclusion.

Amaral, Barabasi, and Stanley [8] (ABS) have observed that numerical results fall roughly into two groups, which they classify according to the dependence of the average interface velocity v(s) on its slope s. In one class, the slope dependence is either absent or vanishes at the threshold. In the other, $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \equiv v''(0)$ diverges on approaching the depinning transition. We suggest that a more natural classification is obtained by considering the dependence of the threshold force $F_c(s)$ on slope; in turn related to the anisotropy of the random medium. The importance of such slope dependence, and the role of anisotropy, has been hinted at in a number of recent publications [3,9-13], but we believe that it has not been clearly elucidated. As a bonus, we find a third (and new) universality class describing the depinning of interfaces tilted with respect to the anisotropy axis. Interestingly, by taking advantage of a mapping to growing surfaces in one lower dimension, we can *exactly* calculate the highly anisotropic roughness exponents of such tilted surfaces. The results are confirmed by numerical simulations in one and two dimensions.

Theoretical studies of interface depinning usually start with the continuum equation,

$$\frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 h + F + f(\mathbf{x},h), \tag{1}$$

where $h(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the height of the interface at position \mathbf{x} at time t. The first term on the right hand side de-

scribes the smoothening effect of surface tension, the second term the uniform driving force, and the third a random force with short range correlations. This equation arises naturally from the energetics of a domain-wall in a disordered medium close to equilibrium [14]; its applicability to describing fluid flow in a porous medium [15] is less well-justified. Far from equilibrium, the most relevant local term consistent with translational symmetry is $\lambda(\nabla h)^2/2$. The usual mechanisms for generating such a term are of kinematic origin [16] $(\lambda \propto v)$ and can be shown to be irrelevant at the depinning threshold where the velocity v goes to zero [3]. However, if λ is *not* proportional to v and stays finite at the transition, it is a relevant operator and expected to modify the critical behavior. As we shall argue below, anisotropy in the medium is a possible source of the nonlinearity at the depinning transition.

A model flux line (FL) confined to move in a plane [17,18] provides an example where both mechanisms for the nonlinearity are present. Only the force normal to the FL is responsible for motion, and is composed of three components: (1) A term proportional to curvature arising from the smoothening effects of line tension. (2) The Lorentz force due to a uniform current density perpendicular to the plane acts in the normal direction and has a uniform magnitude F (per unit line length). (3) A random force $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{f}$ due to impurities, where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit normal vector [18]. Equating viscous dissipation with the work done by the normal force leads to the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \sqrt{1+s^2} \left[\frac{\partial_x^2 h}{(1+s^2)^{3/2}} + F + \frac{f_h - sf_x}{\sqrt{1+s^2}} \right], \quad (2)$$

where h(x,t) denotes transverse displacement of the line and $s \equiv \partial_x h$. The nonlinearities generated by $\sqrt{1+s^2}$ are kinematic in origin [16] and irrelevant as $v \to 0$ [3], as can be seen easily by taking them to the left hand side of Eq.(2). The shape of the pinned FL is determined entirely by the competition of the terms in the square brackets. Although there is no explicit simple s^2 term in this group, it will be generated if the system is *anisotropic*. To illustrate the idea, let us take f_h and f_x to be independent random fields with amplitudes $\Delta_h^{1/2}$ and $\Delta_x^{1/2}$ respectively; each correlated isotropically in space within a distance a. For weak disorder, a deformation of order a in the normal direction $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ takes place over a distance $L_c \gg a$ along the line. The total force due to curvature on this piece of the line is of the order of $L_c(a/L_c^2)$, and the pinning force, $[(L_c/a)(n_h^2\Delta_h + n_x^2\Delta_x)]^{1/2}$. Equating the two forces [14] yields $L_c = a(n_h^2\Delta_h + n_x^2\Delta_x)^{-1/3}$ and an effective pinning strength per unit length,

$$F_0(s) = aL_c^{-2} = a^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta_h + s^2 \Delta_x}{1 + s^2}\right)^{2/3}.$$
 (3)

The roughening by impurities thus reduces the effective driving force on the scale L_c to $\tilde{F}(s) = F - F_0(s)$. Therefore, even if initially F is independent of s, such a dependence is generated under coarse graining, provided that the random force is anisotropic, i.e. $\Delta_h \neq \Delta_x$. An expansion of $\tilde{F}(s)$ around its maximum (which defines the hard direction) yields an s^2 term which is positive and remains finite as $v \to 0$.

The FL indicates the origin of the two types of behavior for $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = v''(s=0)$ observed by ABS [8]: Nonlinearities of kinematic origin are proportional to v and vanish at the threshold; those due to anisotropy survive (and diverge) at the depinning transition. An immediate consequence of the latter is that the depinning threshold F_c depends on the average orientation of the line. In addition, due to the relevance of this term in the RG sense for d < 4, the critical behavior at the transition is modified. A one-loop RG of Eq.(1) with the added nonlinearity was carried out by Stepanow [12]. He finds no stable fixed point for $2 \le d \le 4$, but his numerical integration of the one loop RG equations in d = 1 yield $\zeta \approx 0.8615$ and a dynamical exponent z = 1. Due to the absence of Galilean invariance, there is also a renormalization of λ which is related to the diverging λ_{eff} observed in Ref. [8]. The nonperturbative nature of the fixed point precludes a gauge of the reliability of these exponents.

Numerical simulations of Eq.(1), with an added $(\nabla h)^2/2$ in d = 1 [11,13], indicate that it shares the characteristics of a class of lattice models [5,6] where the external force is related to the density p of 'blocking sites' by F = 1 - p. When p exceeds a critical value of p_c , blocking sites form a directed percolating path which stops the interface. For a given geometry, there is a direction along which the first spanning path appears. This defines a hard direction for depinning where the threshold force $F_c(s)$ reaches maximum. Higher densities of blocking sites are needed to form a spanning path away from this direction, resulting in a lower threshold force $F_c(s)$ for a tilted interface. Thus on a phenomenological level we believe that Eq.(1) modified by the inclusion of nonlinearity, and directed percolation (DP) models of interface depinning belong to the same universality class of anisotropic depinning. This analogy may in fact be generalized to higher dimensions, where the blocking path is replaced by a directed blocking surface [20,21]. Unfortunately, little is known analytically about the scaling properties of such a surface at the percolation threshold.

As emphasized above, the hallmark of anisotropic depinning is the dependence of the threshold force $F_c(s)$ on the slope s. Above this threshold, we expect v(F,s) to be an analytical function of F and s. In particular, for $F > F_c(s)$, there is a small s expansion $v(F,s) = v(F,s = 0) + \lambda_{\text{eff}} s^2/2 + \cdots$. On the other hand, we can associate a characteristic slope $\overline{s} = \xi_{\perp}/\xi_{\parallel} \sim (\delta F)^{\nu(1-\zeta)}$, to DP clusters where $\delta F = F - F_c(0)$, and ν is the correlation length exponent. Scaling then suggests

$$v(F,s) = (\delta F)^{\theta} g(s/\delta F^{\nu(1-\zeta)}), \qquad (4)$$

where $\theta = \nu(z-\zeta)$. Matching Eq.(4) with the small *s* expansion, we see that λ_{eff} diverges as $(\delta F)^{-\phi}$ (as defined by ABS [8]) with $\phi = 2\nu(1-\zeta) - \theta = \nu(2-\zeta-z)$. In d = 1, the exponents ν and ζ are related to the correlation length exponents ν_{\parallel} and ν_{\perp} of DP [19] via $\nu = \nu_{\parallel} \approx 1.73$ and $\zeta = \nu_{\perp}/\nu_{\parallel} \approx 0.63$, while the dynamical exponent is z = 1. Scaling thus predicts $\phi \approx 0.63$, in agreement with the numerical result of 0.64 ± 0.08 in Ref. [8]. Close to the line $F = F_c(0)$ (but at a finite *s*), the dependence of v on δF drops out and we have

$$v(F_c, s) \propto |s|^{\theta/\nu(1-\zeta)}.$$
(5)

As z = 1 in d = 1, the above equation reduces to $v \propto |s|$, in agreement with Fig.(1) of Ref. [8]. Note that Eqs.(5) and (6) are valid also in higher dimensions, though values of the exponents quoted above vary with d [21]. As $F = F_c(s)$ is the line where v(F, s) vanishes, Eq.(4) suggests

$$F_c(s) - F_c(0) \propto -|s|^{1/\nu(1-\zeta)}$$
. (6)

An interface tilted away from the hard direction not only has a different depinning threshold, but also completely different scaling behavior at its transition. This is because, due to the presence of an average interface gradient $\mathbf{s} = \langle \nabla h \rangle$, the isotropy in the internal \mathbf{x} space is lost. The equation of motion for fluctuations, $h'(\mathbf{x},t) = h(\mathbf{x},t) - \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{x}$, around the average interface position may thus include terms such as $\kappa \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla h'$, which break the rotational symmetries in \mathbf{x} space. The resulting depinning transition belongs to yet a new universality class with *anisotropic* response and correlation functions in directions parallel and perpendicular to \mathbf{s} ; i.e.

$$\left\langle [h(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x}')]^2 \right\rangle = |x_{\parallel} - x'_{\parallel}|^{\zeta} \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} - \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{t}}|}{|x_{\parallel} - x'_{\parallel}|^{\eta}} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} |x_{\parallel} - x'_{\parallel}|^{\zeta} & \text{for } \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} - \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{t}} = 0 \\ |\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} - \mathbf{x}'_{\mathbf{t}}|^{\zeta/\eta} & \text{for } x_{\parallel} - x'_{\parallel} = 0 \end{cases},$$

where η is the *ansiotropy* exponent, and \mathbf{x}_t denotes the d-1 directions transverse to \mathbf{s} .

A suggestive mapping allows us to determine the exponents for depinning a tilted interface: Imagine pushing up all points on the interface along a (d-1)-dimensional cross section of fixed x_{\parallel} . This move decreases the slope of the interface uphill but increases it downhill. Since $F_c(s)$ decreases with increasing s, at criticality the perturbation propagates only a finite distance uphill but causes a downhill avalanche. The disturbance front moves at a constant velocity ($\delta x_{\parallel} \propto t$) and hence $z_{\parallel} = 1$. Furthermore, the evolution of successive cross sections $\mathbf{x}_t(x_{\parallel})$ is expected to be the same as the evolution in time of a (d-1)-dimensional interface! The latter is governed by the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation [16], whose scaling behavior has been extensively studied. From this analogy we conclude,

$$\zeta(d) = \frac{\zeta_{\rm KPZ}(d-1)}{z_{\rm KPZ}(d-1)}, \quad \eta(d) = \frac{1}{z_{\rm KPZ}(d-1)}.$$
 (7)

In particular, the tilted interface with d = 2 maps to the growth problem in 1+1 dimensions where the exponents are known exactly, yielding $\zeta(2) = 1/3$ and $\eta(2) = 2/3$. This picture can be made more precise for a lattice model introduced below. Details will be presented elsewhere.

To get the exponent θ for the vanishing of velocity of the tilted interface, we note that since $z_{\parallel} = 1$, v scales as the excess slope $\delta s = s - s_c(F)$. The latter controls the density of the above moving fronts; $s_c(F)$ is the slope of the critical interface at a given driving force F, i.e., $F = F_c(s_c)$. Away from the symmetry direction, the function $F_c(s)$ has a non-vanishing derivative and hence

$$\delta F = F - F_c(s) = F_c(s_c) - F_c(s) \sim \delta s \sim v.$$
(8)

We thus conclude that generically $\theta = 1$ for tilted interfaces, independent of dimension.

Due to scarcity of analytical results, there is need for a simple model suitable for numerical investigation. We propose a variant of previously studied percolation models of interface depinning [5,21] with the essential ingredient of a slope dependent threshold. A solid-on-solid (SOS) interface is described by a set of integer heights $\{h_{\mathbf{i}}\}\$ where \mathbf{i} is a group of d integers. With each configuration is associated a random set of pinning forces $\{\eta_i \in [0,1)\}$. The heights are updated in parallel according to the following rules: h_i is increased by one if (i) $h_{\mathbf{i}} \leq h_{\mathbf{j}} - 2$ for at least one **j** which is a nearest neighbor of **i**, or (ii) $\eta_{\mathbf{i}} < F$ for a pre-selected uniform force F. If $h_{\mathbf{i}}$ is increased, the associated random force η_i is also updated, i.e. replaced by a new random number in the interval [0, 1). Otherwise, h_i and η_i are unchanged. The simulation is started with initial conditions $h_{\mathbf{i}}(t=0) = \operatorname{Int}[s\mathbf{i}_{x}],$ and boundary conditions $h_{i+L} = Int[sL] + h_i$ are enforced throughout. The CPU time is greatly reduced by only keeping track of active sites.

The above model has a simple analogy to a resistordiode percolation problem [20,21]. Condition (i) ensures that, once a site (\mathbf{i}, h) is wet (i.e., on or behind the interface), all neighboring columns of \mathbf{i} must be wet up to height h-1. Thus there is always 'conduction' from a site at height h to sites in the neighboring columns at height h-1. This relation can be represented by diodes pointing diagonally downward. Condition (ii) implies that 'conduction' may also occur upward. Hence a fraction F of vertical bonds are turned into resistors which allow for two-way conduction. Note that, due to the SOS condition, vertical downward conduction is always possible. For $F < F_c$, conducting sites connected to a point lead at the origin, form a cone whose hull is the interface separating wet and dry regions. The opening angle of the cone increases with F, reaching 180° at $F = F_c$, beyond which percolation in the entire space takes place, so that all sites are eventually wet. If instead of a point, we start with a planar lead defining the initial surface, the percolation threshold depends on the surface orientation, with the highest threshold for the untilted one.

Our simulations of lattices of 65536 sites in d = 1 and of 512×512 and 840×840 sites in d = 2 confirm the exponents for depinning in the hard direction as summarized in Ref. [21]. For a tilted surface in d = 1 the roughness exponent determined from the height-height correlation function is consistent with the predicted value of $\zeta = 1/2$ and different from $\zeta \approx 0.63$ of the untilted one. The dependence of the depinning threshold on slope is clearly seen from Fig. 1, where the average velocity is plotted against the driving force for s = 0 (open) and s = 1/2(solid). The s = 0 data can be fitted to a power-law $v \sim (F - F_c)^{\theta}$, where $F_c \approx 0.461$, $\theta = 0.63 \pm 0.04$ for d = 1, and $F_c \approx 0.201$, $\theta = 0.72 \pm 0.04$ for d = 2. Data at s = 1/2 is consistent with Eq.(8) close to the threshold.

We also measured height-height correlation functions at the depinning transition. For a tilted surface in d = 2, the height fluctuations and corresponding dynamic behaviors are different parallel and transverse to the tilt. Figure 2 shows a scaling plot of (a) $C_{\parallel}(r_{\parallel},t) \equiv \langle [h(x_{\parallel} + r_{\parallel}, x_t, t) - h(x_{\parallel}, x_t, t)]^2 \rangle$ and (b) $C_t(r_t, t) \equiv \langle [h(x_{\parallel}, x_t + r_t, t) - h(x_{\parallel}, x_t, t)]^2 \rangle$ against the scaled distances at the depinning threshold of an s = 1/2 interface. Each curve shows data at a given t = 32, 64, \cdots , 1024, averaged over 50 realizations of the disorder. The data collapse is in agreement with the mapping to the KPZ equation in one less dimension.

In summary, critical behavior at the depinning of an interface depends on the symmetries of the underlying medium. Different universality classes can be distinguished from the dependence of the threshold force (or velocity) on the slope, which is reminiscent of similar dependence in a model of resistor-diode percolation. In addition to isotropic depinning, we have so far identified two classes of anisotropic depinning: along a (hard) axis of inversion symmetry in the plane, and tilted away from it. We have no analytical results in the former case, but suggest a number of scaling relations that are validated by simulations. In the latter (more generic) case we have obtained *exact* information from a mapping to moving interfaces, and confirmed them by simulations in d = 1 and

d = 2. As it is quite common to encounter anisotropy for flux lines in superconductors, domain walls in magnets, and interfaces in porous media, we expect our results to have important experimental ramifications.

We have benefitted from discussions with D. Ertaş and J. Kertész. MK is supported by NSF grants DMR-93-03667 and PYI/DMR-89-58061). LHT is supported in part by the DFG through SFB-341.

- * Present address: Institut f
 ür Theoretische Physik, Universit
 ät zu K
 öln, Z
 ülpicher Str. 77, D-50937 K
 öln, Germany.
- ** Permanent address: Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.
- *** Permanent address: Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India.
- O. Narayan and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 46, 11 520 (1992).
- [2] T. Nattermann, S. Stepanow, L.-H. Tang, and H. Leschhorn, J. Phys. II (France) 2, 1483 (1992).
- [3] O. Narayan and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7030 (1993).
- [4] N. Martys, M. Cieplak, and M.O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1058 (1991).
- [5] L.-H. Tang and H. Leschhorn, Phys. Rev. A 45, R8309 (1992); K. Sneppen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3539 (1992).
- [6] S. Buldyrev, A.-L. Barabási, F. Caserta, S. Havlin, H.E. Stanley, and T. Vicsek, Phys. Rev. A 45, R8313 (1992).
- [7] M.A. Rubio, C.A. Edwards, A. Dougherty, and J.P. Gollub, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1685 (1989); V.K. Horváth, F. Family, and T. Vicsek, J. Phys. A **24**, L25 (1991); S.-j. He, G.L.M.K.S. Kahanda, and P.-z. Wong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3731 (1992).
- [8] L.A.N. Amaral, A.-L. Barabasi, and H.E. Stanley, Phys.

Rev. Lett. 73, 62 (1994).

- [9] G. Parisi, Europhys. Lett. 17, 673 (1992).
- [10] H. Leschhorn and L.-H. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2973 (1993)
- [11] Z. Csahók, K. Honda and T. Vicsek, J. Phys. A 26, L171 (1993).
- [12] S. Stepanow, unpublished.
- [13] S. Galluccio and Y.-C. Zhang, preprint (1994).
- [14] R. Bruinsma and G. Aeppli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1547 (1984).
- [15] J. Koplik and H. Levine, Phys. Rev. B 32, 280 (1985);
 D.A. Kessler, H. Levine, and Y. Tu, Phys. Rev. A 43, 4551 (1991).
- [16] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).
- [17] M. Dong, M.C. Marchetti, A.A. Middleton, and V. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 662 (1993).
- [18] C. Tang, S. Feng, L. Golubovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1264 (1994).
- [19] W. Kinzel, in Ann. Israel Phys. Soc., Vol 5, *Percolation Structures and Processes*, G. Deutscher, R. Zallen, and J. Adler, eds. (Hilger, Bristol, 1983), p. 425.
- [20] D. Dhar, M. Barma, and M.K. Phani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1238 (1981); D. Dhar, J. Phys. A 15, 1859 (1982);
 For a review see the article by S. Redner in the same volume as Ref. [19], p. 447.
- [21] S.V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, and H.E. Stanley, Physica 200, 200 (1993); and references therein.

FIG. 1. Average interface velocity v versus the driving force F, for d = 1, s = 0 (open circles), d = 1, s = 1/2 (solid circles), d = 2, s = 0 (open squares), and d = 2, s = 1/2 (solid squares).

FIG. 2. Height-height correlation functions (a) along and (b) transverse to the tilt for an 840^2 system at different times $32 \le t \le 1024$. The interface at t = 0 is flat; d = 2, s = 1/2, and F = 0.144.