

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Kinetic modelling of heterogeneous catalytic systems

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2015 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 013001 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/27/1/013001)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 144.82.107.166 This content was downloaded on 01/06/2015 at 15:07

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 (2015) 013001 (28pp)

Topical Review

Kinetic modelling of heterogeneous catalytic systems

Michail Stamatakis

University College London, Torrington Place, London WC1E 7JE, UK

E-mail: m.stamatakis@ucl.ac.uk

Received 15 August 2014, revised 9 October 2014 Accepted for publication 16 October 2014 Published 13 November 2014

Abstract

The importance of heterogeneous catalysis in modern life is evidenced by the fact that numerous products and technologies routinely used nowadays involve catalysts in their synthesis or function. The discovery of catalytic materials is, however, a non-trivial procedure, requiring tedious trial-and-error experimentation. First-principles-based kinetic modelling methods have recently emerged as a promising way to understand catalytic function and aid in materials discovery. In particular, kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulation is increasingly becoming more popular, as it can integrate several sources of complexity encountered in catalytic systems, and has already been used to successfully unravel the underlying physics of several systems of interest. After a short discussion of the different scales involved in catalysis, we summarize the theory behind KMC simulation, and present the latest KMC computational implementations in the field. Early achievements that transformed the way we think about catalysts are subsequently reviewed in connection to latest studies of realistic systems, in an attempt to highlight how the field has evolved over the last few decades. Present challenges and future directions and opportunities in computational catalysis are finally discussed.

Keywords: heterogeneous catalysis, *ab initio*, first principles, statistical mechanics, kinetic Monte Carlo, mathematical modelling, kinetic phase transitions

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

The importance of heterogeneous catalysis in modern applications that enhance the quality of life cannot be overstated. A well-known example is the catalytic converter used in vehicles to oxidize CO and reduce NO_x , thereby controlling emissions and improving air quality [1]. Iron-based catalysts for the production of ammonia by the Haber process is another notable example, the importance of which is evidenced by the fact that fertilizers, generated from ammonia thus produced, are responsible for sustaining one-third of the Earth's population [2]. Heterogeneous catalysts are commonly used in industrial practice, being

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

involved in the production of several key chemical compounds, such as hydrogen, sulfuric acid, nitric acid, hydrogen cyanides, and polymers [3].

However, the discovery of catalysts for chemistries of industrial interest is a non-trivial task. Traditional practice involves trial-and-error experimentation which is time-consuming, costly, and does not guarantee success. On the other hand, theoretical studies at the molecular- and catalyst-level can provide a detailed understanding of how catalysts work and what affects their performance [4]. Moreover, modelling can be used to screen for potentially active catalytic materials before synthesizing the most promising candidates in the lab, thereby improving the efficiency of catalyst discovery. These ideas are central to the 'rational catalyst design' approach, which has been steadily evolving from a proof-of-concept to a revolutionary paradigm in the catalysis field [4–10].

To simulate the various aspects of catalytic behaviour, there are nowadays several theoretical and computational approaches at one's disposal. For instance, first-principles methods, such as the widely used density functional theory (DFT), can be employed at the molecular scale to understand the elementary events and the reaction mechanisms giving rise to catalytic activity. First-principles calculations thus provide important information about the chemistry of interest; yet, it may often be difficult to make statements about the performance of the catalytic material under operating conditions, especially in the presence of competing pathways with similar barriers. To this end, kinetic modelling studies are required to estimate activity and selectivity at the catalyst scale, which may employ Langmuir-Hinshelwood-type models, Sabatier analysis [11], mean-field micro-kinetic models [12], or more sophisticated statistical mechanical treatments, in particular kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulation [13, 14]. The latter is motivated by the structural complexity exhibited by real-life heterogeneous catalysts, which expose several different types of sites that may have distinct functionalities. For instance, sites at the interface between metal and support may behave differently than these two phases, planar versus low coordinated sites or defects may exhibit disparate catalytic activity, and alloys may expose a variety of sites at the interface of the two (or more) components. First-principles based KMC models have indeed been successfully used to unravel such complexities and aid in catalyst discovery; for instance, recent studies on ethanol synthesis from syngas identified effective promoters for Rh-based catalysts and made connections with experiments [15, 16]. From an industrial/practical perspective, one is further interested in simulating the behaviour of chemical reactors, a task for which computational fluid dynamics (CFD) can be employed. More importantly, multiscale modelling frameworks can provide unified descriptions ranging from the molecular to the reactor scale [17, 18], and are therefore playing an increasingly prominent role in theoretical catalysis and reaction engineering.

Thus, in the following section, we give an overview of the most popular methods at each scale, briefly discussing the main concepts and ideas that enable coarse-graining. We then focus on molecular- and catalyst-scale modelling using firstprinciples KMC methods, which are regarded as exceptionally promising in the detailed understanding of catalytic systems. We highlight the timeliness of this approach by showcasing a number of recent studies that have elucidated the complexity encountered in heterogeneous catalysts, and discuss current challenges and opportunities in the field.

2. Simulating catalytic surfaces

2.1. Catalysis: a multiscale problem

Catalytic activity arises as a 'collective' phenomenon, in which myriads of elementary events (adsorption, desorption, diffusion, surface or Eley–Rideal reactions) happening on different sites of the catalytic surface, result in an increased rate for a net chemical reaction. This increase is with respect



Figure 1. Schematic depiction of a PES in the vicinity of two minima, separated by a barrier. The initial and final states are noted, corresponding to some reactant and product configurations (left and right diamonds), as well as the transition state, and the minimum energy path connecting these three states.

to the reaction rate in the absence of the catalyst, a material that is neither consumed nor generated during the process. Catalysis is inescapably a problem involving multiple spatial and temporal scales. The former encompass the electronic and molecular scales (Å), the catalytic nanoparticle scale (nm- μ m), and the reactor scale (mm-m). The temporal scales encompass the timescales for the occurrence of an elementary event (ns- μ s), as well as for the evolution of the dynamics on single nanoparticles (μ s-s) and reactors (s-h). The theoretical understanding of catalytic phenomena at the fundamental level has thus motivated the development of bottom-up multiscale approaches (table 1).

At the lowest level of these approaches lie detailed descriptions of the elementary events of adsorption, desorption, diffusion and reactions on the catalytic surface. Each such elementary event is the result of the rearrangement of atoms from a reactant configuration to a product configuration, which entails bond breaking and/or formation. From a physics perspective, such an event can be fully described by a timedependent Schrödinger equation for the system of interacting nuclei and electrons. In practice, this is a formidable task, and one resorts to simpler approaches: the Born-Oppenheimer approximation [19, 20] can thus be used to parametrize the energy of the system with respect to the positions of the nuclei $E(\mathbf{R_1}, \mathbf{R_2}, \dots, \mathbf{R_N})$, thereby yielding the so-called potential energy surface (PES) [19]. To calculate the latter, electronic structure methods [21, 22] can be used, out of which DFT has emerged as highly popular in the catalysis community due to its computational efficiency. The PES provides a wealth of information about the catalytic system under investigation: local minima in this function correspond to stable species or binding configurations, and a reaction event is the transition from such a minimum to a neighbouring one by crossing the intermediate barrier (figure 1). In our discussion, we will assume that electronic excitations do not take place and we will focus entirely on the ground state PES.

Conceptually, one could simulate a catalytic system, consisting of numerous reacting molecules, by employing a first-principles molecular dynamics (MD) approach, in which the force-field is prescribed by the aforementioned energy function, $E(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, ..., \mathbf{R}_N)$. To obtain this

	Table 1. Comparison of different frameworks for the simulation of catalytic surfaces.			
	Molecular dynamics (MD)	Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC)	Well mixed micro-kinetic (MK) models	Spatially distributed MK models
Treatment of space State variables	Continuous 3D Atoms' positions and velocities	Discrete (lattice) Occupancies of lattice sites	None Species coverages	Continuous 2D Coverages with respect to x, y
Treatment of reactions (or elementary events in general)	Barrier crossings explicitly simulated	Statistical: point processes with known statistics of occurrence	Rate-based: continuous conversion of species A to B	Rate-based, but with rates being functions of x , y

function, one could for instance use ab initio calculations to parametrize a reactive force-field (ReaxFF [23, 24]), and there has been a significant amount of work that makes use of such methods on catalytic systems [24-31]. Yet. this approach becomes extremely demanding computationally when simulating catalytic systems for long timescales. The main cause of this challenge is that 'interesting' barrier crossings are rare events: the simulation algorithm would thus spend a lot of computational time wandering around a local minimum before a reaction happens. Even if one was able to simulate a few reaction events, this would hardly be enough to provide an overview of the catalytic function of the material, since the reaction mechanisms encountered therein often contain several elementary steps and parallel or competing pathways. Thus, a proper sampling of several such events would be needed, which would necessitate rather long simulations.

KMC algorithms were designed to overcome this challenge by focusing on the timescale of the barrier crossings, while treating the wandering motion around a PES minimum in a coarse-grained sense. To this end, one only needs to locate the minima of the PES (stable molecular configurations) as well as the transition states; for the latter, several methods have been developed, a comparison of which has been performed by Klimeš and Michaelides [32]. Subsequently, transition state theory (TST) arguments are employed to describe the statistics of the transitions rather than the exact times of occurrence, durations and trajectories thereof. Central to TST is the assumption that the reactant and transition states in a reactive system are at quasi-equilibrium [33, 34]. Thus, by making use of fundamental laws in statistical mechanics, one can derive the rate constant, k_{TST} , for the crossing (see section 2.2.3 below). This constant provides the statistical description of the reaction occurrence: it does not reveal exactly when a reaction will take place in the system, it rather implies that within a time interval $[t_{\text{ini}}, t_{\text{fin}}]$, on average $k_{\text{TST}} \cdot (t_{\text{fin}} - t_{\text{ini}})$ reaction events will be observed (Poisson statistics) per reacting molecule (or per pair of molecules for a bimolecular reaction). The average is taken over an ensemble of systems that have the same number of reactant molecules but different positions and momenta which satisfy the quasi-equilibrium condition of TST.

Rather than talking about the average number of events within a time interval, the theory of Poisson processes enables one to also make statements about the statistics of the waiting times between successive reaction events [35]. Under constant reaction conditions (T, P, compositions) these waiting times are exponentially distributed with rate parameter equal to k_{TST} . This result lies in the heart of a KMC

simulation: given the reaction mechanism of the chemistry under investigation, namely the elementary events and the rate constants thereof, KMC proceeds with the simulation by generating exponentially distributed random times for the occurrence of these events and executing them in the order they arise. This randomness gives rise to sampling error which can be reduced to arbitrarily small values by performing multiple simulations, or just long runs for ergodic systems under stationary conditions. A KMC simulation would in principle yield the same macroscopic observables (e.g. catalytic activity) with a corresponding MD simulation if both methods use the same PES, to the extent that TST faithfully captures the statistics of barrier crossings, providing accurate values for the rate constants. The predictive power of TST is of course a non-trivial subject: there exist several variants of TST approximations [36-38], and the development of novel, highly accurate methodologies has long been the subject of intense research.

It is possible to coarse-grain KMC simulations even further to derive deterministic models incorporating ratebased descriptions of reactions. System-expansion type of arguments [39–41] and mean-field approximations [42–45] can be employed to develop 'well-mixed' micro-kinetic (MK) models [12] widely used in the catalysis community, or spatially distributed models [46-48]. Such descriptions are derived on the basis of the following premises: (i) for large systems, reaction events occur extremely frequently on the macroscopic timescale, because of the large populations of molecules and consequently the large number of reactive collisions among them; (ii) the contribution of each individual reaction event in changing the number of molecules in the system is rather small due to the large populations of molecules therein. One can subsequently use scaling arguments to introduce a set of intensive variables (for instance, coverages or concentrations) and derive the macroscopic rate-based model as a limiting case of the underlying KMC model. In practice, the rate-based models incorporate further simplifying approximations. MK models, for instance, make use of the mean-field approximation which neglects spatial correlations, treating the adsorbate overlayer as homogeneous. Such an assumption limits the accuracy of MK models, since adsorbate ordering due to adsorbate lateral interactions is wellestablished experimentally and strongly supported by detailed theoretical models [45, 49-51]. Moreover, finite diffusion rates also result in spatial correlations which have been shown to exert a strong influence on the catalytic rate [52-54]. Improved MK models that take into account such effects have

thus been proposed [51–53]; yet, a general-purpose framework is still under development.

Deterministic macroscopic models do have, however, significant advantages over the previous approaches discussed: their computational efficiency makes it possible to use them in reactor-scale simulations employing CFD frameworks. Moreover, coupling CFD with deterministic MK models (versus stochastic KMC models) avoids problems with accuracy [55, 56]. Since CFD is considered as a mature field, employing tested and thoroughly validated modelling approaches and computational algorithms, recent research efforts have focused on the development of frameworks that integrate chemistry modules with CFD codes, culminating in the implementation thereof into computational packages such as CatalyticFOAM [57]. These advances pave the road towards the design of chemical reactors and processes from first-principles-based multiscale methods [58].

Still though, there are several challenges that need to be overcome, primarily related to the accuracy of the models at the individual scales, their ability to treat the several sources of complexity in catalytic systems, as well as the accurate coarsegraining and seamless coupling of models across different scales, so that no loss of important information is incurred. In our discussion, we will focus on the recent advances in KMC simulation methods, which couple the molecular with the catalyst scale, and appear to be rather promising in dealing with the complex features of heterogeneous catalysts.

2.2. Fundamental concepts behind KMC algorithms

Spatial KMC algorithms have steadily gained popularity in the catalysis community over the past two decades, being able to simulate spatial scales ranging from nm to μ m, and temporal scales from ms to hours). Coupled with first-principles calculations in a multiscale type of approach [13, 14, 59–61], KMC has matured into an indispensable tool for the kinetic modelling of heterogeneous catalysts. The most important advantage of the KMC method, lies in that it integrates several sources of complexity into a comprehensive framework: it thus models the spatial inhomogeneity of the catalytic surface due to the different facets or defects encountered in catalytic nanoparticles, faithfully represents the adlayer structure arising from spatial correlations, and captures the effect of neighbouring environment on reaction rate. Below, we examine the technical aspects of KMC that make it possible to model these phenomena.

2.2.1. The Master equation. A KMC simulation captures stochastically the changes in the numbers and locations of molecules bound on a catalytic surface. The latter is represented as a lattice, namely a collection of discrete sites, onto which molecules can adsorb, diffuse, and react, and from which adsorbates can desorb. These *elementary events* are treated as Poisson processes under constant reaction conditions (see discussion in section 2.1). Let W denote the state space of the system, encompassing all the possible adsorbate configurations on the lattice and numbers of gas phase molecules specified up to additive constants (this will

become clearer shortly), and let $w \in W$ denote a state thereof. Moreover, let $k_{w' \to w}(w')$ represent the rate for the transition from state w' to w due to an elementary event, and $k_{w \to w'}(w')$ represent the rate of the reverse transition. Then the stochastic equation that describes the dynamics of the system, known as the Master equation, can be written as follows [39, 62, 63]:

$$\frac{dP(\boldsymbol{w},t)}{dt} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{w}' \neq \boldsymbol{w}} \left[k_{\boldsymbol{w}' \rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}} \left(\boldsymbol{w}' \right) \cdot P\left(\boldsymbol{w}', t \right) - k_{\boldsymbol{w} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}'} \left(\boldsymbol{w} \right) \cdot P\left(\boldsymbol{w}, t \right) \right].$$
(1)

The above equation expresses a balance for the probability of finding the system at state w at time tP(w, t). The sum in the right-hand side is taken over all the possible states of the system, with the understanding that if there is no elementary event that brings the system to state w from state $w' \in W$, then $k_{w' \to w}(w') = 0$. For instance, consider an empty lattice of $N_{\rm L}$ sites in contact with a gas phase containing a single species that adsorbs on a single site (without dissociating). There will thus be $N_{\rm L}$ possible lattice processes, each of which brings the lattice to a configuration where one specific site is occupied by a molecule of that species. There is, however, no elementary event that would result in the simultaneous adsorption of two molecules on the surface. KMC simulates a sequence of elementary events, which is nothing more than a random walk on W that obeys equation (1) and is also referred to as a *stochastic realization* of the corresponding random process.

At stationary conditions, the probability at each state must stay constant (dP(w, t)/dt = 0) and thus the total probability flux leaving a state must be balanced by the total probability flux towards that state. *Microscopic reversibility* and the principle of *detailed balance* impose an even stronger condition [64, 65]: each microscopic process has a corresponding reverse process, and the average individual rates of the two processes must balance at thermodynamic equilibrium:

$$k_{w' \to w}\left(\boldsymbol{w}'\right) \cdot P^{eq}\left(\boldsymbol{w}'\right) - k_{w \to w'}\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) \cdot P^{eq}\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 0.$$
(2)

Imposing the detailed balance condition by individually specifying the rate constants of the transitions can be rather cumbersome. It is however possible to simplify things by introducing the microscopic energy of the system with respect to configuration $\mathcal{E}(w)$. This energy has electronic and vibrational contributions from the lattice species [66], as well as translational, rotational and vibrational contributions from the gas species that have not yet adsorbed on, or have already desorbed from the lattice:

$$\mathcal{E}(w) = E(w) + E_{\text{vib}}(w) + E_{\text{gas}}^{\text{gas}}(w) + E_{\text{transl}}^{\text{gas}}(w) + E_{\text{rot}}^{\text{gas}}(w) + E_{\text{vibr}}^{\text{gas}}(w)$$
(3)

where E, E_{vib} are the electronic energy and vibrational free energy of the given lattice configuration, whereas E^{gas} , E^{gas}_{transl} , E^{gas}_{rot} , E^{gas}_{vibr} are the electronic energy, as well as the translational, rotational and vibrational free energies, respectively, of the gas species. In the above, we have assumed that the vibrational energy levels are with respect to the bottom of the potential energy well; if the ground state is used as the reference, zeropoint energy correction terms need to be added in equation (3). The gas species reservoirs are implicitly taken into account in the KMC, since elementary events may consume or produce a given number of gas molecules. Thus, one needs to track the differences in the total energy of the system as a result of such changes. Since we are interested only in differences, the numbers of gas phase species are specified only up to additive constants (for instance they can all be set to zero initially).

At thermodynamic equilibrium, according to the Boltzmann distribution:

$$P^{eq}(w) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{E}(w)}{k_B \cdot T}\right)$$
 (4)

and therefore, the transition rate constants must satisfy:

$$\frac{k_{w' \to w}\left(w'\right)}{k_{w \to w'}\left(w\right)} = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(w\right) - \mathcal{E}\left(w'\right)}{k_{B} \cdot T}\right).$$
(5)

The above equation can also be written as:

$$\frac{k_{w' \to w}\left(w'\right)}{k_{w \to w'}\left(w\right)} = \frac{q_w}{q_{w'}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{w' \to w}}{k_{\text{B}} \cdot T}\right)$$
(6)

where q_w and $q_{w'}$ are quasi-partition functions for the products and reactants, respectively, incorporating the vibrational contributions of surface species, as well as the translational, rotational and vibrational contributions of gas species, and $\Delta E_{rxn}^{w' \to w}$ is the change in the electronic energy of the system, brought about by the reaction/transition from state w' to w:

$$\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{w' \to w} = E\left(w\right) + E^{\text{gas}}\left(w\right) - E\left(w'\right) - E^{\text{gas}}\left(w'\right). \quad (7)$$

Equations (6) and (7) can be used as guidelines in specifying the functional relations of the rate constants of the forward and reverse transitions. In the next two sections, we will discuss how this is achieved in the context of KMC simulations of catalytic surfaces.

2.2.2. Modelling lattice energetics. For a reversible event that entails transitions between states w' and w, the expression $\Delta E_{\rm rxn}^{w' \to w}$ in equation (7) accounts for the energy changes brought about by removing all the reactant molecules from the lattice and the gas phase (depending on the nature of the event), as well as adding the product molecules onto the lattice and gas phase. Accounting for the contributions of gas species or non-interacting lattice species is straightforward: given the electronic and vibrational energies of the species of interest, calculated for instance via DFT, one merely needs to count the number of molecules consumed or produced by the reaction for each species. However, depending on the catalytic system, lattice species may exert strong lateral interactions which lead to the formation of structured adlayers [45, 49, 50]and strongly influence the rates of elementary events [50, 67]. These adsorbate-adsorbate lateral interactions thus have to be taken into consideration when building a KMC model, since they can have a significant effect on the quality of the predictions thereof. It is typically assumed that the major contribution of these interactions is on the electronic energy, since the vibrational modes of adsorbates are to a good approximation independent of coverage [68]. Therefore, the objective is to find E(w) in equation (3), which may be a complicated function of the state variable w (the latter of course incorporates all information about the coverage).

2.2.2.1. Bond-order conservation-based models. Neurock and co-workers [13, 59, 69] developed first-principles-based KMC models that took into account two types of interactions: (i) through-space electrostatic contributions (attractive or repulsive) modelled by an explicit function of the distance between the interacting adsorbates; (ii) through-surface interactions that were modelled with either the use of pairwise additive contributions or within the bond-order conservation (BOC) framework [70, 71]. For the pairwise additive interaction model, in the case of repulsive interactions, the number of contributions due to the neighbouring adsorbates are subtracted from the intrinsic binding energy found from ab initio calculations. In the case of attractive interactions, such contributions are added to the intrinsic binding energy. The total energy of the lattice E(w) is then calculated as the sum of the binding energies of all adsorbates.

The BOC framework, on the other hand, employs a different parametrization of the adsorbates' binding energy. BOC, which was later reformulated and renamed as unity bond index-quadratic exponential potential (UBI-QEP) [71], assumes that in a many-body system: (i) all forces are functions of distance only (spherical symmetry), (ii) interaction between two bodies (for instance an adsorbate and a metal atom) can be captured by a Morse potential, and (iii) the bond order between two bodies is an exponential function of bond distance, and the total bond order (sum of bond orders for all interacting pairs of bodies) is conserved at unity. Thus, the total bond energy in this framework is a sum of two-body contributions, and the binding energy of an adsorbate can be expressed as

$$BE_{A} = BE_{A,0} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(2 \cdot x_{A,i} - x_{A,i}^{2} \right)$$
(8)

where $BE_{A,0}$ is the binding energy at the top site in the absence of neighbouring adsorbates, *n* is the coordination number of the adsorbate (1 for an atop site, 2 for bridge, 3 or 4 for (1 1 1) or (1 0 0) hollow site, respectively), $x_{A,i}$ is the order of the *i*th bond:

$$x_{\mathrm{A},i}(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{r-r_0}{b}\right) \tag{9}$$

where r is the distance between the two bodies, r_0 the bond length at equilibrium, and b a scaling constant. It is then possible to estimate the interactions between an adsorbate and its neighbours by quantifying the metal atom sharing: this amounts to using an expression of the form (8) in which the bond order is expressed by considering the bonds of the metal atom [59, 69]:

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}'_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{A}}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[2 \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}_{\text{metal}}/n)_{\mathbf{A}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}_{\text{metal}}/n)_{j}} - \left(\frac{(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}_{\text{metal}}/n)_{\mathbf{A}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}_{\text{metal}}/n)_{j}}\right)^{2} \right]$$
(10)

where BE'_A is the adsorbate binding energy in the presence of neighbours, BE_{metal} the binding energy of the metal, and m_i the coordination of metal atom *i*. Given the binding energies of all adsorbates, the total lattice energy is the sum thereof, similarly to the case of the pairwise additive interaction model. Hansen and Neurock [59] observed that for several of the systems they considered, BOC overestimated the energetic interactions compared to *ab initio* calculations. To overcome this issue they used a linear rescaling of the BOC approach [59]. A generally applicable modified parametrization scheme that markedly improves the accuracy of the UBI-QEP method has also recently been developed by Maestri and Reuter [72].

2.2.2.2. Cluster expansion-based models. The cluster expansion Hamiltonian [73] is another class of models that can be used to describe lattice energetics. Such models have long been used to study the thermodynamics of adsorption, adlayer ordering, phase change, and reconstruction on surfaces [74]. It is only recently that they have been incorporated into kinetic models [75–77], and have already shown remarkable potential in elucidating subtle features in catalytic systems, such as the inhomogeneity in the chemical reactivity of even structurally simple single-crystal surfaces [75].

The cluster expansion formulation is the most general method for capturing lattice energetics. This mathematical construct first devised by Sanchez *et al* [73] is based on the definition of a complete basis set using discrete Chebyshev polynomials $\Theta_n(\sigma)$ for the description of a single lattice site with state variable $\sigma = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, and products thereof for constructing the basis functions for sets of lattice sites, referred to as clusters in this approach. A function $\Phi_{\alpha s}(\sigma)$ for cluster α containing lattice sites $\{p, p', \ldots, p^2\}$ is formed as the following product:

$$\Phi_{\alpha s}\left(\sigma\right) = \Theta_{n}\left(\sigma_{p}\right) \cdot \Theta_{n'}\left(\sigma_{p'}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \Theta_{n''}\left(\sigma_{p''}\right) \qquad (11)$$

where $s = \{n, n', ..., n^2\}$, and the configurational variable $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_{N_L})$ expressing the occupation of all sites. The variable σ is a component of the KMC state variable w, along with the numbers of gas species molecules N_{gas} . $\Phi_{\alpha s}(\sigma)$ is thus a basis function on the configurational space of the lattice, and the set of all such functions can be used to represent any function of the lattice configuration, for instance the lattice energy:

$$E(w) = E(\sigma, N_{gas}) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{s} E_{\alpha s}^{Lat} \cdot \Phi_{\alpha s}(\sigma) \qquad (12)$$

where α ranges over all clusters, namely combination of sites on the lattice, and *s* ranges over all indexes specifying Chebyshev polynomials. This approach has been recently generalized to lattices with multiple site types [78]. In a more intuitive formulation of the above principle, the total energy of the lattice can be decomposed into single-, pair- and many-body contributions, encompassing short- and long-range interactions. The total energy of the lattice is then given as the sum of all of these contributions [66, 68, 75, 76, 79]:

$$E(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{k} \frac{n_{k}^{c}(\boldsymbol{w})}{m_{k}} \text{ECI}_{k}$$
(13)

where k ranges over all 'figures', which are sets of lattice sites with specific coverage patterns representing elementary

interactions, n_k^c is the number of instances of such figures found on the lattice for the configuration w, m_k is a multiplicity constant that prevents over-counting, and ECI_k is the effective cluster interaction of figure k. In practice, the basis set is truncated to include interactions of up to a specific length (e.g. 8th nearest-neighbour [68]) and between a limited number of adsorbates (e.g. three bodies [68]).

Fitting the ECI parameters to ab initio data is conceptually straightforward: the problem is linear, and thus a least-squares formulation is sufficient. However, complications arise due to the large number of parameters that would have to be fitted if the procedure was done in a brute-force way [80]. This large number of parameters emanates from the fact that adsorbates can bind to several different site types, and adsorbate overlayers are multicomponent systems in catalysis applications; these factors result in a combinatorial explosion of the possible interaction patterns. Thus, instead of a one-off fitting, an iterative training procedure can be employed [81-83] (this can in principle also be done on the fly during the KMC simulation). Higher order patterns (for instance three-body terms) are not considered until the smaller and same-range lower order patterns have been exhausted, and the accuracy of the expansion is continuously evaluated by computing the cross-validation score [83]. The latter is a statistical measure of the error, obtained by leaving one configuration out of the training set, predicting that configuration's energy, repeating the 'leave-one-out and predict' procedure for all configurations, and summing the squared errors of these predictions. Moreover, Seko et al [84] have stressed the necessity of including states away from the ground state in the training set, a practice particularly important in systems with high entropy, which sample an extensive region of the configurational space.

Since cluster expansion-based models have only recently been employed in kinetic simulations in catalysis, there is still a lack of studies comparing them with earlier BOCbased models. Yet, the following remarks could guide the choice of a method for a particular application. With regard to representing lattice energetics, the ability of the cluster expansion to mathematically represent any function on a lattice is certainly a desirable feature, even though in practice one may not need to include terms beyond three-body interactions [68]. BOC-based models on the other hand employ a specific parametrization of the binding energy, and have been shown to deviate from the DFT data necessitating corrections [59]. Yet, an advantage of the BOC approach is that it can be used to also estimate activation energies, making it possible to build models for both energetics and kinetics from DFT-computed binding energy data. The refined scheme by Maestri and Reuter [72] has actually been shown to be rather successful in capturing the activation energy of dissociation reactions encountered in the water-gas shift and steam reforming chemistries: the predictions show a 10% variation around the DFT data for Rh(111) and Pt(111) at various oxygen coverages (within a 2×2 cell). It would further be of interest to investigate how the scheme generalizes for more complex surfaces, long-range interactions and multicomponent adlayers.

2.2.3. Modelling kinetics of elementary events. As already mentioned in section 2.2.1, the state-to-state transitions in equation (1) are the result of microscopic processes such as adsorption, desorption, diffusion and elementary reaction events. The rate constants, or propensities, of these events can be calculated from TST as first proposed by Eyring in his seminal paper 80 years ago [85]. Central to this theory is the idea of treating the barrier crossing as a one-dimensional problem along the reaction coordinate, and averaging over all other degrees of freedom. TST further assumes that the reactant and transition states are in quasi-equilibrium: this enables the calculation of the probability flux through the transition state by considering the (equilibrium) probability of finding the system at the transition state, as well as the (equilibrium) distribution of momenta. These ideas lead to the following expression for the rate constant of an elementary event [33, 86].

$$k_{\rm TST} = \kappa \cdot \frac{k_{\rm B} \cdot T}{h} \cdot \frac{q^{\ddagger}}{q_{\rm reac}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B} \cdot T}\right)$$
(14)

where κ is the so-called 'transmission coefficient', a correction factor accounting for trajectories that do not lead to product formation due to re-crossing the barrier; $k_{\rm B}$ denotes Boltzmann's constant; *h* Planck's constant; *T* the temperature; q^{\ddagger} and $q_{\rm reac}$ the quasi-partition functions of transition state and reactants, containing translational, rotational and vibrational contributions, E^{\ddagger} the activation energy of the event (the electronic energy of the transition state minus that of the reactants). For examples of how this equation is used to calculate the rates of specific events (for instance non-activated adsorption or Eley–Rideal reactions) the reader is referred to [61] and the supplementary material of [80].

As discussed in section 2.2.1, microscopic reversibility [65] dictates that for every forward event a corresponding reverse event is also possible. The rate of a reverse event must be such that the detailed balance condition holds (equation (6)), which can be written as follows by making use of equation (14):

$$\frac{k_{\text{TST}}^{\text{Ivd}}}{k_{\text{TST}}^{\text{rev}}} = \frac{q_{\text{prod}}}{q_{\text{reac}}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}}{k_{\text{B}} \cdot T}\right)$$
$$= \frac{q_{\text{prod}}}{q_{\text{reac}}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\text{fwd}}^{\ddagger} - E_{\text{rev}}^{\ddagger}}{k_{\text{B}} \cdot T}\right)$$
(15)

assuming that the transmission coefficients for the forward and reverse events are equal.

Equation (15) has an important consequence: since the energy change for an elementary event ΔE_{rxn} is related to forward and reverse activation energies, it follows that any change in ΔE_{rxn} brought about by interaction of reactants or products with other adsorbates in the vicinity of the reaction event, must also affect the kinetics of the elementary event (figure 2(*a*)). A convenient way to model this effect, is by assuming a linear relationship between the activation energy and the reaction energy (figure 2(*b*)), as originally proposed by Brønsted, Evans and Polanyi [87]:

$$E_{\text{fwd}}^{\ddagger} = \alpha \cdot \Delta E_{\text{rxn}} + \beta$$

$$E_{\text{rev}}^{\ddagger} = (\alpha - 1) \cdot \Delta E_{\text{rxn}} + \beta$$
(16)

where the constants α and β can be found by fitting firstprinciples data into the above relations. A parametrization that relates these constants with the activation and reaction energies in the zero-coverage limit $(E_{fwd,0}^{\ddagger})$ or $E_{rev,0}^{\ddagger}$, and $\Delta E_{rxn,0}$ respectively) as well as the 'proximity factor' ω of the reaction (a parameter quantifying how reactant- or product-like the transition state is [88]) has been recently implemented in KMC by Nielsen *et al* [76]:

$$\alpha = \omega$$

$$\beta = E_{\text{fwd},0}^{\ddagger} - \omega \cdot \Delta E_{\text{rxn},0} = E_{\text{rev},0}^{\ddagger} - (\omega - 1) \cdot \Delta E_{\text{rxn},0}.$$
(17)

Equations (16) or (17) ensure thermodynamic consistency in a KMC simulation [89] and allow for a quantitative (or semi-quantitative) treatment of the effect of lateral interactions on the reaction rate. The predictions of the barriers from these equations are of course limited by how well the linear functions fit the first-principles data, and recent studies that have implemented this methodology show errors of about $0.2 \,\text{eV}$ at most [75, 77] (which is within the acceptable DFT error range of a few tens of an eV [90, 91]). Alternatively, one can opt for more detailed approaches, for instance the energy of the transition state may be parametrized by a cluster expansion [92], or even explicitly defined for specific configurations of the neighbouring species [93, 94]. The latter approach can quickly become intractable due to the combinatorial complexity of the possible configurations, especially for multicomponent adsorbate layers on multi-site lattices. The cluster expansion approach is more promising; however, it necessitates a large amount of calculations in order to fit each transition state's cluster expansion.

Given a set of elementary events whose kinetic constants are known from equation (14) (subject to (15)), one can generate random waiting times for the occurrence of these events on the lattice by noting that these times follow the distribution (see chapter 4.3: 'The next jump density function' in [62]):

$$p_{\tau}^{j}(\tau|t, w) = k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(w, t+\tau)$$

$$\cdot \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{\tau} k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(w, t+\tau') \, \mathrm{d}\tau'\right).$$
(18)

The above equation expresses the probability density that elementary event *j* will occur at time $t+\tau$, given that the system is at state *w* at time *t*. Note that we have explicitly indicated the dependence of the rate constant on the state of the system, since for instance, a desorption event will exhibit different activation energies for the various possible local environments in the presence of lateral interactions. Moreover, we have denoted a temporal dependence of the rate constant, mainly to take into account cases in which the temperature changes over time, for instance in temperature programmed desorption (TPD) or annealing experiments. In the case that the rate constant is time independent, equation (18) simplifies to the exponential distribution:

$$p_{\tau}^{j}(\tau|t, w) = k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(w) \cdot \exp\left(-k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(w) \cdot \tau\right).$$
(19)

One can generate samples from the above distributions by appropriately mapping uniformly distributed variables



Figure 2. (*a*) Conceptual plot of the energy with respect to reaction coordinate for O_2 dissociative adsorption on Pt(111). In the presence of neighbouring O adatoms, the repulsive interactions destabilize the final state (bound O–O pair). (*b*) Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi linear relation of the activation energy for O_2 dissociative adsorption, with respect to the binding energy of the resulting O–O pair (developed by Schneider and co-workers [75]).

(see chapter 1.8: 'Random number generating procedures' in [62]). For instance, using the inversion generating method for equation (18) necessitates solving the following non-linear equation:

$$\int_{0}^{\tau_{j}} k_{\text{TST}}^{j} \left(w, t + \tau' \right) \, \mathrm{d}\tau' = -\ln\left(1 - u\right) \tag{20}$$

where *u* is a uniform random number and τ_j the waiting time sought. For time independent rate constant the above equation simplifies to

$$\tau_j = -\frac{1}{k_{\text{TST}}^j(w)} \cdot \ln\left(1 - u\right) \tag{21}$$

which provides sample values of distribution (19).

2.3. Implementing KMC simulation computationally

2.3.1. General structure of KMC algorithms. The energetic and kinetic components discussed in the two previous subsections are essentially the foundation of KMC. The role of a KMC algorithm is to orchestrate the event simulation procedures such that the resulting trajectory follows the statistics prescribed by the master equation (1). The general structure of a KMC algorithm is shown in figure 3. After defining the simulation setup and parameters, with the specification of the lattice structure, the energetics model, and the reaction mechanism, the lattice is initialized with a desired configuration and the simulation starts. At each KMC step of the simulation, a lattice process is executed, the KMC time is advanced by an appropriately calculated random time, all data structures are updated and the simulation proceeds with the next KMC step. The result is a stochastic trajectory which contains information about the sequence of events executed, the resulting lattice configurations, as well as the instantaneous loss or appearance of reactant or product molecules, respectively, in the gas phase. This information can be post-processed to yield a wealth of information about the catalytic system considered: one can thus estimate catalytic



Figure 3. General structure of on-lattice KMC algorithms. The event selection procedure and the update of any pertinent data structures varies, depending on the particular algorithm, as described in sections 2.3.2–2.3.4.

performance metrics such as activity or selectivity, perform pathway analysis to identify the dominant pathway and the rate-determining step, and calculate species coverages to detect the most abundant reaction intermediate.

Most of the computational effort in a KMC simulation is directed towards the selection of the events simulated, as well as the update of the data structures holding information about the lattice state and the elementary lattice processes. For carrying out these operations, various techniques have been proposed, which exhibit different levels of efficiency. We will briefly outline the general principles and considerations behind these techniques; for a more detailed presentation the reader can consult [95], and chapter 3: 'Kinetic Monte Carlo algorithms' in [96].

2.3.2. Null-event method. For determining which lattice process will take place, early studies employed the random selection of a lattice site and subsequently an event to be executed, as well as a neighbouring site for a two-site event (for instance the Ziff-Gulari-Barshad model for CO oxidation [97]). This approach is referred to as random selection [93] or null-event method [95], since there is always the possibility that the event selected cannot be realized, for example adsorption in an already occupied site, thereby resulting in a null-event. With regard to when the executed event occurs, early studies used the number of Monte Carlo steps as a rough estimate of time. On the other hand, one can rigorously consider the time for the occurrence of any lattice process, which is generated by an equation similar to (20), but with the sum of rate constants entering the integrant:

$$\int_{0}^{\tau_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{proc}}^{\theta}} k_{\text{TST}}^{j} \left(\boldsymbol{w}, t + \tau' \right) \, \mathrm{d}\tau' = -\ln\left(u_{1}\right). \tag{22}$$

In the above equation, index j ranges over a set of $N_{\text{proc}}^{\emptyset}$ lattice processes, including the ones that are not active and would result in null-events. Also, we have used the fact that if u_1 is a uniformly distributed random number, $1 - u_1$ will also be as such.

The computational effort for the null-event method, normalized by the number of sites, is lattice-size independent. However, the efficiency of this category of implementations strongly depends on the probability that a non-realizable event (null-event) will be selected. They perform rather well for simple cases, for instance, if there is a type of process that may occur practically anywhere on the lattice. The existence of several types of elementary events that occur infrequently as well as the effect of lateral interactions on the rate constant can often undermine the performance of the random selection methods (see section 3.9: 'Practical considerations concerning algorithms' in [98]).

2.3.3. Direct method. Approaches that avoid the selection of non-realizable events belong to the class of rejection-free methods [95]. Two such fundamental techniques that give statistically equivalent results were introduced by D Gillespie back in the 70s. The first one is referred to as the

direct method [99], or variable step size method [100], and necessitates the generation of two random numbers: the first number represents the time for the occurrence of *any* lattice process, and is generated by an equation similar to (22), with the difference that only realizable (active) processes are now counted (the number of these processes is denoted by N_{proc}). The second random number indicates which process j_{exe} will be executed, and is chosen such that the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{j_{\text{exe}}-1} k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(\boldsymbol{w}, t+\tau) < u_{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{proc}}} k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(\boldsymbol{w}, t+\tau)$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\text{exe}}} k_{\text{TST}}^{j}(\boldsymbol{w}, t+\tau).$$
(23)

Instead of considering each lattice process individually, one can group events of the same type into classes. Consider, for instance the case of adsorption of a single species on a lattice with a single site type and coordination number 4, and assume there are only pairwise nearest-neighbour interactions. One can thus have adsorption on a site where the number of neighbouring adsorbates ranges from 0 to 4. This creates five classes of events; the multiplicity n_q of each class q is equal to the number of empty sites with the corresponding number of occupied neighbours. This is referred to as the *N*-fold way [101] and allows for a more efficient search through the smaller list of classes. This approach, however, becomes impractical for systems with several species and complicated energetics models (long-range interactions or many-body terms), due to the high number of classes that need to be specified.

To reduce the computational expense of the direct method, one can alternatively perform the search for j_{exe} by utilizing divide-and-conquer types of methods [94, 102–104]. An efficient implementation thereof employs a binary tree storing the partial sums of the rate constants appearing in equation (23). Then the summation is done in constant time, since the head node of the tree will always contain the updated value of the sum. Searching through the tree for the process j_{exe} in equation (23) can be done in computational time of $O(\log_2(N_{proc}))$ at worst [103], as opposed to linear time for a brute-force implementation. Updating the partial sums after the execution of an event will also incur a $O(\log_2(N_{proc}))$ cost.

2.3.4. First reaction method. A conceptually different family of methods relies on the generation of random times for each of the possible lattice processes using equation (20) or (21), followed by the execution of the most imminent process, namely the one with the shortest inter-arrival time:

$$j_{\text{exe}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{j=1,2,\dots,N_{\text{proc}}} \left(\tau_{\text{TST}}^{j} \right). \tag{24}$$

This method is referred to as the first reaction method [99]. As originally proposed, one would have to generate as many random times at each KMC step as the possible processes; however, this is not necessary for independent processes [102], such as those involving species further from the maximum lateral interaction length. One can thus compute the absolute

time $t + \tau_{\text{TST}}^{j}$ in which process j will be executed, and keep it intact until either of following occurs: (i) process j is simulated; (ii) another process takes place that consumes any one of the reactants of process j (in which case process j can no longer happen); (iii) the rate constant k_{TST}^{j} changes due to lateral interactions emerging as a result of another lattice process being executed within the vicinity of j (in which case τ_{TST}^{j} needs to be updated).

Efficient implementations of the first reaction method employ inverted lists for an efficient book-keeping of the dependencies between different events [13, 59, 76, 105]. For instance, they keep track of the processes in which an adsorbate participates, thereby enabling an efficient deletion of the processes that can no longer happen in scenario (ii) just noted. Moreover, they incorporate binary heaps [76, 102, 105] in which the search for the most imminent lattice process can be done in constant time [103]. However, updating, inserting or deleting elements in the heap are now $O(log_2(N_{proc}))$ operations, and can be expensive if numerous lattice processes are to be stored therein. On the other hand, a major advantage of the first reaction method is that it is the most efficient approach for time-dependent rate constants [98].

2.3.5. Parallelization techniques. The aforementioned algorithms simulate one lattice process per KMC step: during each such step, the state of only a small number of sites is manipulated, and any updates (of rates or possible processes) remain within the local neighbourhood. In the cases where massive updates are needed, for instance because of the existence of long-range interactions, one can trivially parallelize the computation of new rate constants. In a recent study, an OpenMP algorithm implementing such a scheme exhibited a speedup of about $10 \times$ for 16 processors in the case of a KMC model of NO oxidation with a cluster expansion Hamiltonian containing up to 8th nearest-neighbour interactions [76]. The efficiency exhibits a plateau for a high number of processors, since the overhead of scheduling and communication becomes significant compared to the workload of each processor.

Obviously, one can trivially parallelize KMC runs in the case of parameter sweeps, or by invoking multiple copies of the same simulation with different seeds for the random number generator. The latter approach would be useful in order to decrease the statistical error by increasing the sample size. Such a scheme would work well for a spatially homogeneous system; however, in certain physical problems this may not be the case. Thus, the underlying catalytic surface may be spatially inhomogeneous, such as in the case of nanoparticles which expose multiple facets and low coordinated sites. Moreover, the adsorbate layer may exhibit pattern formation [106]. In such cases, one may be interested in simulating large domains.

Thus, a different approach to parallelizing KMC simulations is via spatially decomposing the simulated domain and assigning each domain to a processor. This is a much more challenging approach because of the need for communication between neighbouring domains to avoid causality errors. The origin of the latter can be understood by a simple example

(figure 4): suppose that neighbouring domains A and B are simulated by processors P1 and P2. If no attempt is made to synchronize the efforts of the two processors, it can happen that P2 finds itself at simulation time $t_B > t_A$, the simulation time of P1. If P1 executes a diffusion event that sends an adsorbate to domain B, any KMC events simulated by processor P2 for times after that diffusion event took place ($t_{conflict} = t_A$ up to t_B) are now incorrect.

Tackling this type of problem in a rigorous way has motivated the development of algorithms that either prevent such issues, or detect and correct them by using 'rollback' procedures. Such methods are yet to be applied in the simulation of catalytic systems; however, we will briefly highlight them, as this is a potentially promising area of research. Thus, a conservative approach has been suggested by Lubachevsky [107, 108] and later improved by Korniss and co-workers [109, 110], for the simulation of Ising spin systems. Lubachevsky's algorithm allows the simulation of an event in a subdomain only under the condition that the waiting time of the first lattice process to occur therein is smaller than the corresponding waiting times of all neighbouring subdomains. This condition makes sure that no causality errors are incurred and therefore requires no rollbacks. Relaxation algorithms, on the other hand assume that causality errors are not too frequent, and incorporate rollback methods for correcting them. A widely known such algorithm is Jefferson's 'Time Warp' [111] which allows the multiple processors to propagate the simulated system asynchronously, and when a causality violation arises, the conflicted threads are rolled-back and propagated again in revised trajectories. Synchronous relaxation algorithms have also been developed [112, 113] which have been used in the simulation of film growth [114, 115].

The aforementioned algorithms can be complicated to implement and provide limited speedup. To tackle these problems, semi-rigorous algorithms have been proposed: the algorithm by Martínez et al [116], is a generalization of the N-fold way [101] and relies on the partitioning of a lattice into subdomains and computing the total rate constant for each of them. The largest such aggregate rate constant is used to compute rate constants of null-events for all the other subdomains (this step is necessary to ensure synchrony). A global waiting time is then generated and event selection proceeds in parallel for each subdomain. Appropriate rules for resolving boundary conflicts would result in the simulation of the correct statistics; however, such conflicts are treated in an approximate way in favour of computational efficiency. To this end, the synchronous sublattice method developed by Shim and Amar [117] can be used [118] thereby incurring a quantifiable error in the KMC simulation [119]. A comparison of rigorous synchronous algorithms and the semi-rigorous synchronous sublattice method for the simulation of island coarse-graining on Ag(111) revealed that the sublattice method provides the highest efficiency [120].

With the need for accurate simulations of realistic catalytic systems it is expected that the aforementioned methods will attract significant interest within the catalysis community.



Figure 4. Demonstration of how spatial parallelization without synchronization can result in conflicts: neighbouring subdomains A and B are simulated in parallel by processors P1 and P2. P2 finds itself at simulation time $t_B > t_A$, (t_A =simulation time of P1). At simulation time t_A , P1 executes a diffusion event that sends an adsorbate to domain B. P2's simulated trajectory from $t_{conflict} = t_A$ onwards is now incorrect. P2 has to roll-back in time (to point $t_{conflict}$) and re-simulate.

2.3.6. Computational codes. Despite the power of the KMC method, there is currently a lack of widely used publicly available computational codes and software packages, in contrast to the abundance of several prevalent packages that perform *ab initio* simulations. As the focus of investigations of catalytic systems continuously expands to larger scales, it is expected that existing KMC packages will gain popularity and result in the creation of established user communities. We thus review some of the main KMC codes that have been developed in recent years.

SPPARKS (Stochastic Parallel PARticle Kinetic Simulator) is a general C++ software package for on- and offlattice KMC simulations that has been developed by Steve Plimpton, Aidan Thompson, Alex Slepoy and co-workers at Sandia National Laboratories [121-125]. SPPARKS is open source publicly available, incorporates an approximate parallelization technique similar to the sublattice method discussed in section 2.3.5 (for more information see section 3.2 'Parallelism' of [125]), and employs a range of algorithms for KMC, in particular, rejection-free (direct) methods as well as null-event KMC (see sections 2.3.1-2.3.4). In addition, Metropolis (equilibrium) MC simulations can be performed. A Python interface for SPPARKS has also been developed, allowing the user to run the program interactively, and visualize the results. To simulate a specific system, the user has to write a SPPARKS script that involves commands which are subsequently executed by the program. SPPARKS employs a modular architecture such that a developer can expand and extend the code with new solvers, sweeping rules for event detection, as well as new applications (for the simulation of specific systems of interest). In fact, a SPPARKS module for heterogeneous catalysis simulations has recently been developed by Van den Bossche and applied to the hydrogenation of benzene on Pt(111) [126]. Yet, SPPARKS has mostly been used for materials problems, such as grain or thin film growth, diffusion, and sintering phenomena [127–129].

CARLOS is a general-purpose program developed by Johan Lukkien and Tonek Jansen at Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, for the simulation of chemical reactions on catalytic crystal surfaces [93, 98, 130, 131]. CARLOS is distributed by the software company Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA (formerly Accelrys), as a Materials Studio package called Kinetix. CARLOS can simulate a variety of catalytic surfaces (with periodic boundaries) including stepped planes and defects, and also tackle time-dependent conditions (for instance while simulating TPD spectra). The effect of lateral interactions on reaction rate is treated by explicitly defining the different rate constants for the various configurations of the spectator species. This 'proliferation' of reaction events can, however, result in a large list of possible events, especially for multicomponent adlayers and long-range interactions. In addition, rotational symmetry is not taken into account by default, for example, a two-site event has to be defined explicitly for each and every neighbour on the lattice. A simulation is defined in a 'system file' that is subsequently parsed by the program, which can visualize the results on the fly. CARLOS has been optimized for speed and memory utilization, and employs a variety of solvers with different computational efficiencies [93] (first reaction method, random selection method, and variable step size method; see sections 2.3.2-2.3.4).

The kmos package [94] developed in Fortran90 and Python by Max J Hoffmann, Sebastian Matera and Karsten Reuter at Technische Universität München, is able to simulate periodic or aperiodic 2D and 3D systems, reaction events that involve multiple active sites, and multi-dentate species that bind to more than one sites. As in the case of the CARLOS code, the symmetries of the lattice are not taken into account, and thus one has to explicitly define elementary events involving equivalent collections of sites in different orientations. However, the kmos application programming interface (API) can tackle the definition of such events in a straightforward way. Lateral interactions are taken into account in this package by explicitly defining the rate constants for specific occupancies of distant sites (similarly to the CARLOS code). The solvers implemented are the ones described by Lukkien *et al* [93], and model definition, runtime and post-processing is handled by the API offered with kmos. The code is available from the CPC program library [132] and also from Github [133]. A number of projects are already using kmos, including the investigation of quantum effects in hydrogen activation [134], and the simulation of catalytic hydrogenation of benzene on Pt(111) [126].

Zacros is a Fortran2003 software package developed by Michail Stamatakis at University College London (UCL), based on the Graph-Theoretical KMC framework introduced by Stamatakis and Vlachos [105]. This package can tackle periodic or aperiodic 2D lattices of arbitrary complexity, elementary events involving multi-dentate species and several site types, as well as long-range lateral interactions and manybody effects. The program allows the user to define a cluster expansion Hamiltonian (see section 2.2.2.2) as the energetics model, independently of the reaction mechanism. Then, the influence of lateral interactions on reaction rate is treated within the BEP framework as described in section 2.2.3 [76]. If desired, the user can still explicitly define the kinetic parameters of a reaction for a specific arrangement of spectator species on the distant sites. Moreover, the symmetries of the lattice are inherently accounted for in Zacros, while also giving the ability to restrict the occurrence of reaction events to specific directions, for instance on a 4-fold lattice, dissociative adsorption of O_2 can be specified on only vertically arranged pairs of sites. Keyword-based text files are used to set up a simulation, whereas MATLAB scripts can be used for post-processing the results. OpenMP parallelization has been implemented to tackle the detection and update of the processes affected by lateral interactions after each reaction Significant speedup can be achieved for systems event. involving long-range interactions between adsorbates. Zacros is distributed free of charge for academics via e-Lucid, the online licensing portal of UCL [135]. The graph-theoretical KMC and the Zacros implementation have already been used in a variety of applications, such as the simulation of structure sensitivity effects for the water-gas shift reaction on Pt [136]; CO oxidation on Au nanoclusters [137, 138]; dissociation, spillover and associative desorption dynamics on bimetallics [8, 139, 140]; NO oxidation and NO₂ reduction on Pt [76], as well as CO oxidation on Pd [77].

Finally, a very recent addition to the list of available KMC codes comes from Mikael Leetmaa and Natalia V Skorodumova, in KTH-Royal Institute of Technology and Uppsala University, Sweden [141]: KMCLib is a Python module with a highly optimized C++ library that incorporates the N-fold way for event selection and execution, thereby being able to simulate the reaction and diffusion of millions of particles in up to 3D geometries. MPI parallelization is employed in the detection of lattice processes (by matching a process with a local geometry) and the rate calculations

thereof, and has been shown to be efficient whenever these procedures are computationally expensive, for instance in the presence of long-range interactions. Analysis modules that can perform on-the-fly calculations of diffusion rates for instance, are implemented as plugins via an API. KMCLib is well-suited for applications involving diffusion on surfaces or in solids, and its capabilities and performance have been demonstrated in two systems: (i) a conceptual one-dimensional model involving three types of particles, two of which can flip locations reversibly while particles of the third type are inert; (ii) oxygen-vacancy diffusion in a 3D fluorite structure of a metal oxide [141].

3. Physics unravelled by heterogeneous catalyst models

Kinetic models of heterogeneously catalysed reactions have shed light into the underlying chemical mechanisms and physical phenomena that give rise to catalysis, or affect the performance of a catalyst. A review of chemical systems simulated in detail with first-principles KMC has been presented before [80], whereas here we focus on the physical phenomena unravelled with KMC. Our discussion presents conceptual studies on model systems but also first-principlesbased KMC models of realistic catalysts, in order to make the link between the two and hopefully stimulate further studies on the topics highlighted.

3.1. Complexity and emergent behaviour

Complexity and emergent behaviour are ubiquitous in nature, exhibited by a plethora of physical systems including catalytic reactions. Thus, kinetic phase transitions and bistability [142], as well as oscillations and wave formation [143] have been observed in a variety of catalytic systems. Such phenomena have long been the focus of both experimental and theoretical studies.

A kinetic phase transition is a qualitative change in the dynamic behaviour of the system as a result of altering a parameter. A simple KMC simulation scheme of kinetic phase transitions in a conceptual model of the CO oxidation reaction was first proposed in the seminal work by Ziff, Gulari and Barshad (ZGB) in 1986 [97]. The ZGB model, assumes irreversible adsorption of CO and O_2 (the latter adsorbs dissociatively), and rapid surface reaction between CO and O adatoms toward CO₂ gas. For CO-lean feeds, the surface is poisoned by O, and as the molar fraction of CO (y_{CO}) increases, a 2nd order transition occurs into a reactive region (figure 5). This region disappears at a 1st order transition, after which the catalytic surface gets poisoned by CO (figure 5(a)). In the ZGB model, the adsorbed species are immobile, a limitation that was overcome in a subsequent model by Jensen and Fogedby [144]. This work showed that accounting for diffusion extends the range of the reactive region by shifting the 2nd and 1st order transition points to lower and higher values of y_{CO} , respectively, and increasing the rate of CO_2 production. Moreover, fast diffusion makes the O-poisoned phase eventually disappear. These effects were attributed to



Figure 5. (*a*) Kinetic phase transitions for the Ziff–Gulari–Barshad model. The 2nd order transition appears for $y_{CO} = 0.39$ and the 1st order transition for $y_{CO} = 0.53$. (*b*) Snapshot showing the spatial arrangement of the adsorbates for $y_{CO} = 0.5$ in the end of the simulation. Red and blue points denote the adsorbates CO and O, respectively. α is the lattice constant.

the homogenization achieved by diffusion, which reduces the density of empty site pairs and breaks the islands of O and CO on the surface. Since the original publication by Ziff *et al* [97], several studies employing KMC and mean-field models have investigated kinetic phase transitions in variants of the ZGB and other conceptual models (reviewed in [142, 145–147]).

In order to tackle the more realistic case of automotive catalysts, in which the exhaust mixture contains nitric oxides in addition to CO, Basit and Ahmad considered adding NO to the gas phase [148]. Thus, to study the effect of this species on the kinetic phase transitions of the CO oxidation reaction, Basit and Ahmad [148] combined the ZGB model for CO oxidation by O₂ and a model by Yaldram and Khan for the NO-CO reaction (YK model) [149]. It was thus shown that the 1st order transition of the ZGB model gets converted to a continuous 2nd order transition, even for small NO concentrations. On the other hand, Buendía and Rikvold studied the effect of gas phase impurities (non-reactive) on the ZGB model with O2 adsorption on next-nearest-neighbour sites (to eliminate the Opoisoned state) [150]. If impurities are not allowed to desorb from the surface, Buendía and Rikvold's model shows that the CO and O coverages vary continuously with respect to y_{CO} , no CO₂ is produced at steady state, and the 1st order transition is no longer observed. In the case that impurities can desorb, the reactive region reappears, and its range depends on the concentration and desorption rate of the impurities.

Zhdanov and co-workers studied the effect of surface oxide formation on the bistable behaviour and the hysteresis of CO oxidation on Pt [151, 152]. The model incorporates reversible formation of surface metal oxide by adsorbed oxygen adatoms, and subsurface oxide by diffusion into the subsurface layer. A number of kinetic parameters of this KMC model were fixed to physically reasonable values and an exploration of the remaining parameter space was performed [151]. Thus, the oxide formation propensity and its effect on reaction rate were investigated with respect to the energetics of surface and subsurface oxide. The model predicts that surface oxide is formed in islands on the nanometre scale and suppresses CO adsorption and reaction, thereby making CO impingement much faster than the CO oxidation process, in agreement with experimental observations [152]. The range where CO coverages exhibit bistability is greatly influenced by oxide formation, for instance the bistable regime diminishes when surface (but not subsurface) oxide is stable. In the case where surface and subsurface oxide formation is possible, the shape of the hysteresis loop is markedly affected, making the transition from high to low CO coverages smoother [151].

The phase behaviour of the CO oxidation has also been investigated by first-principles KMC models; in particular, Reuter *et al* [14, 60, 61] studied this reaction extensively on the RuO₂(110) surface. In these studies, DFT was employed to investigate the binding of CO and O species on the bridge and cus sites of the oxide, as well as all the possible diffusion and elementary reaction events therein. Subsequently, KMC simulation was used to map the catalytically active regions as well as the poisoned states on a 2D phase diagram with the partial pressures of CO and O₂ as the control variables. The transitions between the different regions were found to occur continuously over a range of pressures, rather than abruptly (as in the case of 1st order transitions).

Kinetic oscillations have also been observed in catalytic systems, and thus, studies of kinetically driven oscillations have been performed. Noussiou and Provata have developed a surface oxide model for CO oxidation, showing that a large difference in the reactivity of the metallic versus the oxidized surface can result in oscillatory behaviour [153]. Zhdanov has studied the reduction of NO by CO on Pt(100) at low temperatures, under which no reconstruction occurs [154]. The model accounts for CO and NO adsorption, NO decomposition to N and O, CO oxidation by the O adatom, as well as associative desorption of N. Parametrization was done in an empirical way, in line with experimental observations. Sustained oscillations were reproduced by this model for approximately equal CO and NO impingement rates, slow desorption thereof, and fast NO decomposition and CO oxidation. The qualitative features of the oscillations were further studied for various sizes of the four-fold (rectangular) lattice: it was found that lattices of size 15×15 or larger



Figure 6. (*a*) Oscillatory behaviour for Zhdanov's model of the CO+NO reaction on the Pt(100) surface [157]. (*b*) Snapshot showing the patches of restructured surface in the end of the simulation. Black dots denote Pt atoms in the metastable state. Red, orange and blue denote the adsorbates CO, NO and O, respectively. α is the lattice constant.

exhibit regular oscillations. For smaller lattices, the stochastic component dominates and large fluctuations are observed in the temporal profiles of the CO and NO coverages [154].

Such dynamical behaviours are reminiscent of the oscillations exhibited by the Belousov–Zhabotinsky reaction [155] and similar systems. Still though, oscillatory dynamics in catalytic systems are to a large extent attributed to catalyst restructuring and will be discussed in the following section.

3.2. Catalyst reconstruction

Even when a catalytic reaction takes place on a welldefined crystal plane, the catalytic surface may not be static. Under certain conditions (temperature, composition of the gas phase), restructuring (also referred to as reconstruction) can occur, which changes the coordination numbers of the surface atoms and can profoundly affect the reaction kinetics. One of the most interesting effects of catalyst reconstruction is perhaps the emergence of oscillations and chemical waves [106]. A number of KMC models that incorporate catalyst reconstruction have been proposed to explain such phenomena. A review of such modelling studies has been authored by Zhdanov [156]; below, we highlight seminal and recent studies.

Zhdanov has thus proposed a conceptual KMC model to study the effect of catalyst reconstruction on the CO– NO reaction on Pt(100) [157]. This model assumes a square lattice of the Pt atoms and captures reconstruction by considering two different energy states for each Pt atom, referred to as 'stable' and 'metastable'. The actual lattice remains static during the simulation, and therefore, changes in the density of sites are not modelled. The energetic interactions between the stable and unstable states are, however, accounted for, to enable the propagation of the reconstructed phase along the lattice (hence, same states exhibit attractive interactions, different states repulsive). For the switching between the two states, a Metropolis criterion is applied, which takes into account the adsorbate–catalyst energetic interactions. The reaction mechanism is the same as in the later work by Zhdanov [154], discussed in the previous section, but with NO dissociation occurring only on the (1×1) reconstructed phase, modelled by the metastable atoms. The main findings of this model pertain to the qualitative characteristics of the oscillations and the islands of reconstructed catalyst. Thus, sustained oscillations (figure 6(a)) and island formations of reconstructed catalyst (figure 6(b)) were observed for a relatively narrow, yet not unrealistically so, range of CO pressures. The oscillation features and the size of the islands were found to exhibit a weak dependence on the relative rates of NO and CO diffusion with respect to the rest of the elementary steps: faster diffusion results in oscillations with larger amplitude and longer periods, and also promotes the formation of slightly larger islands [157]. To assess the stability of the observed patterns in the presence of defects on the catalytic surface, Pt atoms were forced to stay in the metastable state; this however, did not affect the stability of the oscillations and islands [157]. In addition to the CO-NO reaction, Zhdanov has also applied this KMC simulation scheme to study the NO-H₂ reaction on Pt(100) [158-160], and the CO oxidation on the same catalytic surface [161], also investigating the effect of system size [162].

More recent studies of the CO–NO reaction on Pt(100)have been presented by Zgrablich and co-workers [163, 164]. In these models, the change in the density of sites is also neglected, and the emergence and propagation of the reconstructed phase is modelled by rule-based mechanisms: the nucleation mechanism enables the hex \rightarrow square (1 × 1) reconstruction when five adsorbed molecules (CO or NO) cluster together. The trapping mechanism on the other hand, allows for the reconstruction to propagate to occupied sites that are nearest-neighbours to a reconstructed cluster. Moreover, Zgrablich and co-workers [163, 164] took into account the different reactivities of the two catalytic phases towards NO dissociation, and incorporated a novel elementary step in the reaction mechanism according to which adsorbed NO and N react giving rise to an activated complex (N-NO)[•] that subsequently decays to N2 gas and an O adatom. The existence of sustained oscillations was explained on the basis of the sequential emergence of reactive and recovery stages. In particular, during an oscillatory cycle, CO and NO adsorb on the hex phase triggering reconstruction. NO dissociates on the reconstructed phase only, and the products, N and O, react with CO and NO (or desorb associatively in the case of N). These reactions are fast, thereby resulting in an increase of the density of empty sites, which triggers the recovery to the hex phase, in preparation for the onset of the next cycle. As a result of this mechanism, reaction fronts can be observed on large catalytic surfaces, when the mobility of species is appreciable. The NO dissociation rate was found to modulate the period of the oscillations, with higher (lower) rates resulting in shorter (longer) periods, or even the cessation of the oscillations for rates beyond a specific range. More importantly, Zgrablich and co-workers [163, 164] discovered that the emergence of oscillations and reactive fronts are rather sensitive to the rates with which the surface reconstructs: too fast hex \rightarrow (1 \times 1) reconstruction rates or too slow rates for the opposite transition, both have a detrimental effect to the regularity of the oscillations. Finally, the effect of temperature was investigated, and it was shown that higher temperatures result in higher oscillation frequencies, in agreement with experiments.

Rafti and Vicente [165] used Zhdanov's [158] approach of modelling reconstruction in order to study the reduction of NO by NH_3 on the Pt(100) surface. The NO induced reconstruction, hex \rightarrow (1 \times 1), was found to result in oscillations in this system as well: for temperatures in the range of 390 to 410 K, aperiodic oscillations were obtained, whereas for higher temperatures, namely 410-480 K, periodicity was observed. Outside of this temperature range, the system exhibits negligible or no reactivity: for T < 390 K, the surface exists predominantly in the hex phase, onto which NH₃ does not adsorb, and NO coverages are low. For T > 480 K, the system exhibits a NO-covered (1×1) phase and negligible turnover frequency [165]. It is important to note the qualitative differences with the case where no reconstruction takes place: by repeating the simulations after forcing the surface to always be at the (1×1) state, Rafti and Vicente [165] observed a NH₃-rich surface for T < 420 K, and a NO-rich surface for T > 540 K, both non-reactive. In the intermediate range of temperatures (420-540 K) the system exhibits a finite turnover frequency, but no oscillatory features in its temporal behaviour. These findings are in agreement with experiments [166] and underscore the importance of reconstruction on pattern formation in catalytic systems.

Reconstruction can also occur in catalytic structures other than surfaces: in a recent study of the CO oxidation reaction on the Au_6^- nanocluster supported on MgO, DFT calculations revealed a 'breathing' mechanism for catalyst reconstruction that results in carbonate (CO₃) formation [137]. The latter process was not detected when the Au_6^- cluster atoms were frozen in the calculation setup. The energetic and kinetic data obtained from DFT for all CO oxidation and CO₃ formation pathways, were subsequently incorporated into KMC simulations, which showed that carbonate is rapidly formed on the Au_6^- cluster and, due to its high stability, poisons the catalyst. This study thus highlights the effect of reconstruction on catalytic activity at the nanoscale. In all previous studies, reconstruction processes were taken into account in the energetics and kinetics of the elementary steps of the reaction mechanisms considered; the lattices remained static. While such approaches have provided useful insight, they are unable to account for changes in the density of active sites, or capture the emergence of new sites upon roughening or re-faceting. Conceptually different approaches which overcome these challenges by taking into account the dynamics of the lattice have thus been developed.

In particular, Noussiou and Provata [167] studied reconstruction effects on the ZGB model [97], by switching between 4- and 6-fold lattices thereby mimicking the behaviour of the (1×1) to hex reconstruction. This switching was done globally in the simulation domain, a scheme that was justified on the basis of the sizes of the reconstructed islands, which are found experimentally to be on the order of the simulation domain size [167]. As a result of this reconstruction mechanism, the model exhibits sustained oscillations.

Moreover, Cherezov *et al* [168] have presented a conceptual model of reconstruction on a bcc metal lattice, employing Metropolis MC for metal atom hops, and KMC to capture the chemistry. The latter involves dissociative adsorption of a species B₂, single-site adsorption/desorption of a species A, and the bimolecular reaction between A and B similar to the ZGB model [97]. It is found that a rough surface is smoothened out by stabilization of flat areas due to adsorption of molecules. Moreover, the mobility of the catalyst atoms shifts the 1st and 2nd order transition points to lower partial pressures of component A (thus, higher B fractions). These shifts were attributed to the hindering of adsorption of the bimolecular species by the surface roughness, as this type of adsorption requires a flat site of six catalyst atoms.

Finally, Monine *et al* [169, 170] have introduced hybrid models for simulating CO oxidation on reconstructing Pt(110) surfaces. In these models, the dynamics of the Pt atoms are treated within a KMC framework (parametrized using DFT and experimental data), whereas the surface coverages of CO and O species are treated as continuous mean-field variables averaged over the surface. This setting is justified by the higher adsorbate diffusion rates compared to the Pt atom hopping rates. Thus, the KMC model for reconstruction is coupled with a system of deterministic mean-field rate equations capturing the chemistry. The models successfully reproduce the conditions (CO pressure) under which roughening and faceting occurs, as well as the emergence of a periodic hill-and-valley structure.

This and the aforementioned studies are particularly promising, and pave the road towards accurate, unified descriptions of the structural dynamics and the chemistry of catalytic systems.

3.3. Effects of adsorbate lateral interactions

When adsorbates bind to a catalytic surface, the resulting overlayers are not uniform; rather, ordered structures are formed due to the repulsive and/or attractive lateral interactions between the adsorbed entities [50]. These interactions are also known to affect the rates of elementary events [67, 171–174],

by stabilizing or destabilizing the initial, transition or final states thereof, resulting in different activation barriers (figure 2). For instance, repulsive interactions would destabilize the bound state and therefore promote desorption of an adsorbate from the surface. In addition, ordering in the adlayer can affect the density of 'reactive sites' [75]; for example, pairwise repulsions would result in a lower density of nearest-neighbour occupied sites on the catalytic surface.

Early studies employing analytical and computational methods were able to unravel the richness of such phenomena in catalysis, by focusing on specific elementary events [173]. For instance, analytical approximations to lattice-gas model solutions explained the drop in the heat of adsorption at high coverages as well as qualitative features of TPD spectra, such as multiple peaks [175]. In an early computational study employing KMC, Weinberg and co-workers [176] modelled N₂ dissociative adsorption and associative desorption via a precursor on Ru(001). Nearest-neighbour pairwise additive interactions between precursor and chemisorbed species, as well as between two chemisorbed molecules, were taken as repulsive, whereas next-nearest-neighbour interactions were taken as attractive. This model reproduced the experimentally observed initial increase of the adsorption coefficient with respect to coverage, due to the formation of energetically favourable $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}) R30^\circ$ islands, as well as the subsequent decrease of this coefficient at high coverages due to crowding effects, which on average intensify the repulsions. TPD spectra were also qualitatively captured: at low coverages, the spectra exhibit one peak attributed to desorption from the peripheries of the islands. At high coverages, a low temperature peak emerges, because of desorption from grain boundaries between antisense domains, whereby lie loosely bound species due to repulsive interactions.

Several further studies have been carried out, for instance, Lombardo and Bell [177] investigated the effect of metal-adsorbate and adsorbate-adsorbate interactions using BOC-based models for the energetics, incorporated into KMC simulation. These models successfully captured the experimentally observed numbers and locations of TPD peaks for CO desorbing from Pd(111), as well as H₂ from Mo(100) and Ni(111). Meng and Weinberg [178] employed KMC simulation with a lattice-gas model and analysed the TPD features for attractive, repulsive or absent next-nearestneighbour interactions, in the presence of repulsive nearestneighbour interactions. Models of co-adsorbed species have also been developed by Gupta and Hirtzel [179] using latticegas energetics, as well as Lombardo and Bell using BOC [180], and were shown to exhibit complex features, not present in the case where pure species desorb from the catalytic surface. Thus, peaks can broaden or shift to lower temperatures due to repulsions; multiple peaks can merge, and new peaks can form as well. Attractions can lead to sharper peaks, shift the location thereof to higher desorption temperatures and markedly affect the overlayer structure, for instance, they can lead to segregation.

In several of the aforementioned studies adsorption/ desorption and diffusion events were incorporated in the simulations. It is, however, worth mentioning that the effect of **Topical Review**

lateral interactions on diffusion alone has been characterized in the early studies by Bowker and King [171, 172]. These studies focused on the effect of lateral interactions on the diffusivity of adsorbed molecules on a square 2D lattice (with four-fold symmetry), but also in 1D geometries, relevant for example in the case of stepped surfaces. KMC simulations and comparisons with an analytical model, based on order-disorder theory in the quasi-chemical approximation framework, were performed for the ideal case of no interactions, as well as in the presence of repulsive or attractive interactions. Pairwise additive nearest-neighbour [171] and next-nearestneighbour interactions [172] were considered. In the case of no interactions, the model reproduces Fickian diffusion, as expected. For repulsive interactions, the coverage profile of the diffusing adsorbate becomes asymmetric, with a low slope in the high-coverage regime and a sharp front in the low-coverage region, giving the impression of a moving boundary. Attractive interactions result in sharper, slightly asymmetric coverage profiles. The coverage dependence of the diffusion coefficient was also investigated: for no interactions this coefficient remains constant. However, in the presence of repulsive interactions, higher coverages result in increased mobility (higher diffusion coefficients). The opposite effect is found for attractive interactions, where higher coverages slow down diffusion. By combining nextnearest-neighbour attractive with nearest-neighbour repulsive interactions [172], Bowker and King were able to provide a qualitative explanation for the maximum in the diffusion coefficient with respect to coverage, observed experimentally for O adatoms on W(110) [181]. Subsequent studies on the diffusion of interacting adsorbates have elaborated on the effect of different types of interactions (attractive, repulsive, nearest- and next-nearest-neighbour) [182-185], correlated non-monotonic trends of the diffusion coefficient with the phase transitions of the overlayer [186, 187], focused on lattices with multiple site types [188–190], analysed memory effects in tracer and collective diffusion [191], and investigated the correlation function of fluctuations in the ordered structure of adlayers [192, 193].

Conceptual models of catalytic reactions between interacting adsorbates have been developed as well. Starting with the ZGB model for CO oxidation [97], Wolf and co-workers [194] incorporated nearest-neighbour interactions by including normalization factors in the rate constants that depend on the coverage of neighbouring sites. Luque et al [195] incorporated interactions into the ZGB model by expressing the transition probabilities of CO/O₂ adsorption and CO+O oxidation via Arrhenius equations, with barriers that depend on nearest-neighbour coverages. Satulovsky and Albano [196] employed a Maxwell–Boltzmann type dependence of the rate constants on the interaction energies. In the studies just noted, no diffusion was taken into account. Kaukonen and Nieminen [197] did consider diffusion, and accounted for energetic interactions through Boltzmann terms that captured the changes in the activation energies of elementary events. These studies revealed that for repulsive interactions that facilitate desorption (or hinder adsorption), the 'interacting ZGB' model exhibits the same kinetic phase transitions as the original one, namely a 2nd order transition from the O-poisoned phase to the reactive phase, and a 1st order transition from the reactive to the CO-poisoned phase. Yet, the reactive window is wider in the presence of interactions. Attractive interactions that facilitate adsorption (or hinder desorption) result in a narrowing of the reactive region and eventually the loss thereof, in which case the system exhibits only a 1st order transition from the O-poisoned to the COpoisoned regime.

More recent studies have aimed at developing realistic KMC models of reaction kinetics on catalytic surfaces. Völkening and Wintterlin have presented an elegant model of CO oxidation on Pt(111), motivated by scanning tunnelling microscopy experiments [198]. A titration protocol was employed in which pre-adsorbed O is exposed to gas phase CO and allowed to react. The simulations employed a lattice with a single site type of coordination number 6, and a variety of energetic models, yielding results that were compared to experiments. It was thus found that the adsorbate domain morphologies can be adequately captured by a KMC model that includes attractions between O adatoms and their effect on the activation energy of the CO oxidation reaction. The diffusion of O and CO (the latter being fast) were also necessary to include in the model.

An ab initio KMC study of a similar system, namely a titration experiment on O-precovered Pd(111), has recently been conducted by Piccinin and Stamatakis [77]. This study was also motivated by experiments, which suggested that different overlayer phases exhibit different reactivity, with the $p(2\times 2)$ being inert, and the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ and $p(2\times 1)$ exhibiting distinct kinetic behaviours [199]. To capture the lateral interactions, a detailed cluster expansion Hamiltonian was developed, incorporating pairwise additive terms up to the 3rd nearest-neighbour, as well as three-body terms, for CO and O species bound to face-centred cubic (fcc) and hexagonal close packed sites. The activation energies in the reaction mechanism were expressed via Brønsted-Evans-Polanyi (BEP) relations (section 2.2.3), in order to explicitly account for the influence of lateral interactions on the rate constants of the elementary events (adsorption/desorption, diffusion and reaction). These simulations were able to reproduce the experimental coverage profiles (figure 7(a)), as well as the quantitative behaviour of the system, in particular, the reaction orders and apparent activation energies. А sensitivity analysis with respect to the next-nearest-neighbour O-O interaction parameter was performed and suggests that, while there may be a correlation between the emergence of different phases in the adlayer and the variable reactivities, the presence or absence of ordering (figure 7(b)) leaves the catalytic properties of the system practically unaffected. Hence, the variable reactivity was attributed to the different local environments around a CO+O pair that exist at different adsorbate coverages.

It is thus interesting to note that among the several reactive configurations present at any given time on the catalytic surface, only those with the lowest activation energies contribute to the overall activity (figure 7(c)). A similar effect has also been observed in an earlier first-principles-based MC model of NO oxidation on Pt(1 1 1) by Schneider

and co-workers [75], in which O adsorbed on fcc sites was taken into account. A very detailed cluster expansion model of lateral interactions was utilized, incorporating up to 8th nearest-neighbour interactions and two triplets (three-body terms). By analysing the statistics of O_2 dissociation events, which are rate limiting for this reaction under the conditions studied, Schneider and co-workers showed that the dominant contribution to the turnover frequency comes from events occurring on pairs of empty sites that have a low number of occupied neighbours. These site pairs are precisely the ones with lower activation energies, given that the dominant interactions between O adatoms are strongly repulsive.

Schneider and co-workers have very recently extended this methodology to a wide range of metals, in particular Ru, Os, Co, Rh, Ir, Ni, Pd, Pt, Cu, Ag and Au [200]. By comparing models that incorporate lateral interactions with models that neglect such interactions, it was shown that the traditional 'volcano plot' of activity [11], in line with the Sabatier principle, has to be refined: most metals investigated actually exhibit rates that are uncorrelated with those of their non-interacting (conceptual) counterparts, and their activities depend on the deviations from mean-fieldlike behaviour [200]. The authors further argue that strong repulsive lateral interactions are critical for the catalyst retaining its functionality over a wide range of operating conditions, by stabilizing the oxygen coverage, but should not be too strong such that they negatively affect reaction rates.

First-principles kinetic models incorporating lateral interactions can also shed light into puzzling experimental observations regarding kinetic phase transitions of certain catalytic systems. In particular, Zhdanov and Matsushima [201], presented a DFT-parametrized KMC model of the reaction between N₂O and CO on Pd(110), to explain a counterintuitive feature of this system, pertinent to the existence of a first-order kinetic phase transition without hysteresis being observed [202]. The proposed reaction mechanism takes into account decomposition of gaseous N2O into adsorbed O and N₂ gas, CO adsorption, and reaction between bound CO and O towards gaseous CO₂. A mean-field model of this system clearly exhibits hysteresis; however, MC simulations revealed that in the presence of attractive interactions the hysteresis regime shrinks, providing a possible explanation why such a feature was not detected experimentally. It is interesting to note that in this model, the lateral interactions between adsorbed O-O pairs were taken to be anisotropic, to implicitly model the missing row reconstruction on the Pd(110) surface. Thus, the interactions along the horizontal and vertical directions were taken as repulsive and attractive, respectively [201].

Even though lateral interactions have been found to have a marked effect on the observed catalytic behaviour, not all observables may exhibit a strong dependence thereon. For instance, Mei *et al* [203] studied the selective hydrogenation of acetylene on Pd(1 1 1) using the first-principles KMC method by Neurock and co-workers [13, 59]. A DFT-parametrized BOC model was employed for the *through-surface* interactions and a Merck molecular force-field (MMFF) model for the *through-space* interactions. By switching off the lateral interactions and comparing the results of this case with those



Figure 7. (*a*) Simulated coverages with respect to time for the titration experiment (O-precovered Pd(1 1 1) exposed to CO atmosphere), at T = 320 K [77]. (*b*) Varying the 2nd nearest-neighbour energetic repulsion (noted in the graphs) affects the emergence of the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ phase. The temporal behaviour of the coverages is identical to that of (*a*) (within the accuracy of KMC). (*c*) Distribution of the activation energies of all the possible lattice KMC processes (blue histogram), versus the ones that are actually executed during the simulation (red stems).

of the full model, it was found that the overall apparent activation energy decreases only slightly in the absence of lateral interactions. Still though, the surface coverages differ considerably between these two cases, and taking into account the interactions is important for a correct prediction of reaction orders and selectivity, the latter being severely underestimated in the absence of interactions.

3.4. Structure sensitivity

One of the most intriguing problems in catalysis is how the geometric structure of a material affects its catalytic performance, if at all. It was indeed observed early on, that for some reactions, the size and/or shape of catalytic nanoparticles markedly affects activity [204]. This effect was attributed to nanoparticles of various morphologies exposing different Miller planes (with distinct surface atom coordination numbers), and exhibiting different densities of low-coordinated sites. Defect sites may also be present, depending on the catalyst preparation. A reaction may proceed on these sites via different pathways, characterized by diverse energetic landscapes, and may therefore occur preferentially on a specific subset of catalytic sites. Such reactions are referred to as 'structure sensitive' and require detailed investigations to unravel the dependence of activity (or possibly selectivity) on structure, with the ultimate aim of optimizing catalytic performance. Theoretical investigations can facilitate this task and aid in obtaining a fundamental understanding of the underlying physics of structure sensitivity.

Early studies have thus investigated the behaviour of models of catalytic reactions as a function of lattice geometry. Focusing on the kinetic phase transitions of the ZGB model, Meakin and Scalapino [205] pointed out the importance of geometric factors pertinent to the dissociation of O₂ on a pair of empty nearest-neighbour sites. In particular, while the original ZGB simulations were conducted on a rectangular lattice (coordination number 4), Meakin and Scalapino [205] extended this work to a hexagonal lattice (coordination number 6), as well as 'confined geometries' of 1D lattices and narrow strips. The qualitative behaviour of the hexagonal lattice is similar to the rectangular, with a 2nd and a 1st order transition for increasing CO molar fraction, y_{CO} . Yet, the location of these transitions shifts to lower and higher reactive y_{CO} values; as a result, the reactive window becomes wider for this lattice. More importantly, on the 1D lattice there is no reactive window, and the transition between an O-poisoned state to a CO-poisoned state occurs abruptly. Meakin and Scalapino [205] further carried out simulations on strips, by introducing 'inert' sites along the long sides of rectangular lattices. It was found that for strips of three lattice units and above, the reactive window is restored. Changes in the reactivity of the surface, depending on the combinations of the neighbouring sites onto which the O adatoms can be located upon dissociation, have also been reported by Tammaro and Evans [206] and Cortés and Valencia [207]. These investigations are perhaps more pertinent to the adsorption mechanism than the geometric structure of the surface.

A conceptually similar study to that of [205] was performed by Yaldram and Khan [149] on the NO-CO reaction. The mechanism simulated incorporates adsorption of CO, dissociative adsorption of NO into N and O, CO oxidation by O adatoms towards CO₂ gas, adsorbed NO reduction by a N adatom (leaving O on the surface and producing N2 gas), and finally N₂ associative desorption. The simulations revealed no kinetic phase transitions (and no reactive window) for a lattice with coordination number 4; whereas for coordination number 6, a reactive state exists. The latter emerges at a critical CO concentration via a 2nd order transition and disappears via a 1st order transition, for a fixed NO concentration. Yaldram and Khan [149] also showed that the reactive window becomes narrower for lower values of the NO dissociation rate, until it disappears for a critical value thereof. More recently, Luque et al [208] investigated the effect of lattice connectivity in the presence of diffusion of N adatoms and lateral interactions (attractive or repulsive between CO, O and N). In agreement with the studies by Yaldram and Khan [149], a reactive window (with respect to CO concentration) was observed only in the six-fold lattice in the absence of interactions and diffusion (note that Luque et al [208] refer to the lattice with coordination number 6 as triangular). Diffusion of N enables the four-fold lattice to exhibit a reactive window, whereas it leaves the reactive window of the six-fold lattice practically unaffected. Repulsive lateral interactions destroy reactivity on both lattices, whereas attractive interactions produce reactive windows on both lattices for an extended range of CO concentrations, still though with the six-fold lattice having a broader window [208].

KMC simulations of the NO-CO catalytic reaction on a series of percolation clusters, ranging from a uniform surface to the incipient percolation cluster fractal, have also been performed by Cortés and Valencia [209–212]. Interestingly enough, these studies revealed that the rate of CO₂ production correlates linearly with the mean coordination number of the lattice for high gas CO concentrations, whereas for low concentrations linearity is lost and the dependence is less pronounced (figure 8). In the former case, the NO gas concentration is low, making the NO dissociative adsorption and the N and O adatom coverages critical for the catalytic activity. In the latter case, the high availability of N and O adatoms causes the observed insensitivity of CO₂ production rate with respect to catalyst morphology [211]. These results reveal that structure sensitivity (or lack thereof) has to be considered with reference to the operating conditions, a



Figure 8. Activity of the CO–NO reaction carried out on percolation clusters with respect to the average coordination number thereof for a range of CO gas molar fractions, y_{CO} , noted in the legend [211].

conclusion also reached by a more recent KMC study on the water-gas shift reaction [136], discussed later in this section.

The aforementioned studies considered relatively simple chemistries and investigated the effect of the geometric structure of the lattice, assuming a single type of active sites. The latter assumption is true only in highly ideal situations concerning model catalysts, whereas real catalysts expose low coordinated as well as defect sites, and they involve synergy with neighbouring support sites. Such sources of complexity attracted the interest early on: variants of the ZGB system have thus been developed, in which special types of sites, mimicking the function of a reducible support, act as an infinite reservoir of O adatoms in the periphery of a metal island [213] or interspersed with the metal phase [214]. In both cases, the existence of these sites was shown to enhance the reactivity of the surface, due to the synergy between O-rich sites and the remaining metal sites. Later, Savchenko et al [215] considered surface morphologies involving patches of two site types that exhibit different sticking coefficients towards CO and O_2 . KMC simulations on this system showed that CO spillover (diffusion between the different patches) enhances the reactivity even higher, in addition to the interfacial synergistic effect shown by the previous studies. Subsequent studies on the same type of reaction mechanism, involving dissociative adsorption of one of the two reactants, generalized these observations for nanometre-sized catalytic particles, emphasizing that the observed kinetics may not necessarily be captured by just superimposing the individual facet kinetics [216, 217].

In addition, Vlachos *et al* [218] considered the case of defect sites onto which adsorbates can bind strongly, for a different reaction mechanism. The latter incorporated reversible adsorption of a species A, and decomposition thereof in the presence of one or two neighbouring vacant sites towards a gas phase species B. Even though in that study no reaction or desorption was allowed on defect sites, the latter constitute nucleation centres in the presence of attractive lateral interactions. As a result, the kinetic phase transition from (almost) clean surfaces to A-rich configurations shifts to lower partial pressures of the reactant species A.

the range of the observed bistable region decreases for higher defect densities, indicating a sharper transition to the A-rich state [218].

The studies discussed above revealed several interesting effects of the morphology of the catalytic surface on the observed kinetic behaviour thereof. With the advent of *ab initio* KMC methodologies, new avenues are opening for in-depth comprehensive investigations of the origin and consequences of structure sensitivity effects on catalytic systems.

Thus, Stamatakis et al [136] recently investigated structure sensitivity effects for the water-gas shift reaction $(CO+H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2+H_2)$ on Pt catalysts using first-principlesbased KMC. The simulated reaction mechanism incorporated reversible adsorption of CO and H₂O, the decomposition of the latter into OH, O and H, and different pathways for the water-gas shift: direct oxidation of CO via O adatoms, or alternatively, formation and decomposition of carboxyl (COOH) or formate (HCOO), all of these processes followed by H₂ associative desorption. Different operating conditions were also investigated: CO-rich or CO-lean feeds for a range of temperatures on three different surface morphologies. The latter were Pt(111), a flat surface, Pt(322) with a step: terrace site ratio of 4:1, and Pt(211) with a step:terrace site ratio of 2:1 (figure 9(a)). It was found that CO-rich feeds result in negligible structure sensitivity with the $Pt(1 \ 1 \ 1)$ being slightly more reactive than stepped surfaces (figure 9(b)). Under these conditions, the model predicts that step sites remain almost poisoned by CO, whereas the water-gas shift proceeds via the carboxyl pathway on terraces or at the step-terrace interface (figure 9(d)). On the other hand, the stepped surfaces exhibit much higher activities for CO-lean feeds and high temperatures (figure 9(c)), an effect that was attributed to the lower coverages and higher activities on steps, and the dominant effect of the direct CO oxidation pathway thereon (figure 9(d)).

It is worth mentioning that different rates on different exposed surfaces may not necessarily result in a measurable structure sensitivity effect. In particular, Mei et al [219] studied NO oxidation and reduction on Pt(100) and Pt(111), both planes exposed by cuboctahedral nanoparticles. Spillover of adsorbates was explicitly taken into account in the KMC simulations, and the edge and corner sites were treated as (111) sites for simplicity. By comparing the activities for a range of particle sizes, it was shown that NO oxidation appears as structure sensitive while NO reduction does not. In fact, a close inspection of the specific rates of these two chemistries on the two facets reveals that NO reduction does not occur on (111) facets, whereas NO oxidation rates can take place on both facets with a slightly higher rate on Pt(111). The apparent insensitivity of NO reduction was attributed to the fraction of (100) sites staying almost constant as the particle size increased for the sizes/shapes investigated. This study underlines the importance of nanoparticle morphology (shape) in addition to size, in characterizing the structure sensitivity of a catalytic reaction.

4. Summary and perspective

Computational catalysis is an active and exciting field of research that has already yielded results important in

20

the fundamental understanding and future development of catalytic materials. Motivated by these developments, we briefly discussed the different scales encountered in catalytic systems and explored recent methodological advances in the KMC simulation thereof. In this topical review, we further highlighted some of the interesting physical phenomena that have thus been unravelled, whereas in a previous paper, we had summarized recent findings from the perspective of a chemist [80]. A striking observation is that, in less than two decades, a marked transition was achieved, from simulations of conceptual systems and simple chemistries, to comprehensive studies of realistic kinetics, able to provide semi-quantitative or even quantitative predictions for model catalysts. Still, though, there are major outstanding challenges that need to be overcome in order to capture and analyse the complexity of industrial catalysts [58].

The large numbers of reaction intermediates for realistic chemistries, in conjunction with the structural complexity of supported catalysts, necessitates detailed investigations of a multitude of reaction pathways on the different site types: support, metal, as well as metal-support interface. For catalysts with multicomponent metal or support phases (for instance bimetallics) proposing a full reaction mechanism and parametrizing the model via DFT calculations, can be even more challenging, as the number of elementary events may quickly become intractable. Recent research on selflearning KMC, also referred to as adaptive KMC, which detects possible reaction events 'on the fly' as the simulation proceeds, may prove invaluable for such applications [220-222]. Alternatively, this challenge could be overcome by developing software packages that would facilitate (or automate) the workflow of detecting the elementary events a priori, and subsequently incorporate the latter into the KMC simulation. Equally important would be methods for parameterizing the energetics and kinetics models on the basis of descriptors [10], or by using group additivity approaches [223-228].

Capturing the dynamic changes of the catalytic surface is also a major challenge, as we have already discussed in section 3.2 on catalyst reconstruction. Changes in the composition of the catalytic entities may take place and markedly affect catalytic activity, for instance in the methanol synthesis chemistry, Zn atoms migrating from the ZnO support onto the edges of Cu nanoparticles have been proposed as the active sites for this chemistry [229]. Moreover, sintering of nanoparticles may lead to the deactivation of the catalyst [230]. To model such changes, one would need to explicitly capture the temporal evolution of the catalytic surface, a task that could be achieved by algorithms combining a submodel for the catalytic surface dynamics and another one for the kinetics thereon (similar, for instance, to the work by Monine *et al* [169, 170]).

A perhaps more fundamental challenge has to do with the quality of the predictions, given that the vast majority of published first-principles KMC studies use DFT calculations for the parametrization of the models. The error of such calculations on estimating the energy of transition states is expected to be on the order of a few tens of an eV [90,91], which is rather high, considering that an error of 0.2 eV at



Figure 9. (*a*) The three Pt surfaces onto which water-gas shift was simulated by Stamatakis *et al* [136]. (*b*) Arrhenius plot for a CO-rich feed, CO: $H_2O = 0.5$. A weak structure sensitivity effect is observed with the flat surface exhibiting higher rates. (*c*) Arrhenius plot for a CO-lean feed, CO: $H_2O = 10^{-3}$. Structure sensitivity is observed for high temperatures with the stepped surfaces exhibiting much higher rates. (*d*) Prominent pathways and contribution of different site types thereto.

300 K, can lead to an uncertainty of four orders of magnitude for the kinetic rate constant, due to the exponential dependence of the latter on the barrier. Limitations in the accuracy of DFT can also lead to qualitative deviations from experimental data, exemplified for instance by the so-called 'CO puzzle': the incorrect prediction (by semi-local DFT functionals) that CO would preferentially bind to hollow, rather than top sites [231–233] (for a recently developed correction method see [234]). In turn, such qualitative and quantitative deviations may result in unreliable predictions: as demonstrated by recent studies of the CO oxidation on $\text{RuO}_2(110)$, slightly different parameter sets for a KMC model thereof may lead to distinctly different catalytic behaviours [235, 236]. These observations underscore not only the needs for more accurate DFT methods and the validation of the KMC results by detailed comparisons with experimental data [236], but also the need for methods that can quantify the effect of the uncertainty of certain parameters on the simulation results. Besides the need for uncertainty quantification, in complex systems it is also essential to identify the main parameters influencing the observed behaviour and characterize such influences, with the ultimate goal of optimizing the performance of the system. Such a task is achieved through sensitivity analysis methods. Both uncertainty quantification and sensitivity analysis, in the context of lattice KMC simulations, are attracting significant interest in the catalysis and applied mathematics communities [237–239], and they may hold the key to obtaining an unprecedented understanding of the underlying catalytic mechanisms in complex systems.

Finally, bridging the gaps between the supported metal nanoparticle, the catalytic pellet and ultimately the reactor would be a major achievement in the development of bottomup multiscale modelling frameworks with predictive power. CatalyticFOAM, a CFD software package able to simulate complex catalytic chemistries based on MK models has recently been developed by Maestri and Cuoci [57]. It is desirable to also couple CFD with KMC models, in order to benefit from the higher fidelity and accuracy of KMC. Recent studies have focused on this challenge, developing hybrid algorithms that incorporate mass and heat transfer effects into KMC simulations [55, 56, 240-243]; yet, deviations from the predictions of corresponding deterministic models have been observed [55], as well as violations of mass conservation, which have to be corrected during the course of the simulation [56]. Future development efforts for treating mass transfer could make use of the reaction-diffusion master equation [244] (also known as multivariate master equation [245]), essentially a stochastic finite volume formulation. One could use this approach in combination with surface KMC, or by coupling to both CFD and KMC, if computational expense becomes an issue. Note that this master equation is in principle able to treat diffusion under low pressures or in confined geometries, for which the continuum approximation breaks down. For instance, one would be able to model selective access of desired reactants to active sites within a zeolite pore. The aforementioned advancements are extremely promising and, with the necessary future developments, they are envisioned to eventually enable the purely first-principlesbased catalyst discovery, as well as reactor design and optimization.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Hideaki Kasai for the opportunity to visit Osaka University in June 2014 and engage in fruitful discussions in the modelling of catalytic systems. My thanks to all collaborators who have contributed to the *Zacros* project, in particular to Dr James Hetherington and the UCL Research Software Development Team. I would also like to acknowledge the use of UCL Legion High Performance Computing Facility (Legion@UCL), and associated support services, in the completion of this work.

References

 Freund H J, Meijer G, Scheffler M, Schlögl R and Wolf M 2011 CO oxidation as a prototypical reaction for heterogeneous processes *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* 50 10064–94

- [2] Wolfe D W 2001 *Tales from the Underground: A Natural History of Subterranean Life* (New York: Basic Books)
- [3] Satterfield C N 1991 Heterogeneous Catalysis in Industrial Practice (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [4] Greeley J, Nørskov J K and Mavrikakis M 2002 Electronic structure and catalysis on metal surfaces *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 53 319–48
- [5] Greeley J and Mavrikakis M 2004 Alloy catalysts designed from first principles *Nature Mater.* 3 810–5
- [6] Man I C, Su H Y, Calle-Vallejo F, Hansen H A, Martinez J I, Inoglu N G, Kitchin J, Jaramillo T F, Norskov J K and Rossmeisl J 2011 Universality in oxygen evolution electrocatalysis on oxide surfaces *ChemCatChem* 3 1159–65
- [7] Hansgen D A, Vlachos D G and Chen J G G 2010 Using first principles to predict bimetallic catalysts for the ammonia decomposition reaction *Nature Chem.* 2 484–9
- [8] Guo W, Stamatakis M and Vlachos D G 2013 Design principles of heteroepitaxial bimetallic catalysts ACS Catal. 3 2248–55
- [9] Honkala K, Hellman A, Remediakis I N, Logadottir A, Carlsson A, Dahl S, Christensen C H and Norskov J K 2005 Ammonia synthesis from first-principles calculations *Science* 307 555–8
- Bligaard T, Norskov J K and Lundqvist B I 2008
 Understanding heterogeneous catalysis from the fundamentals *Handbook of Surface Science* ed E Hasselbrink and B I Lundqvist (Amsterdam: Elsevier) chapter 8
- [11] Bligaard T, Nørskov J K, Dahl S, Matthiesen J, Christensen C H and Sehested J 2004 The Bronsted–Evans–Polanyi relation and the volcano curve in heterogeneous catalysis J. Catal. 224 206–17
- [12] Dumesic J A, Rudd D F, Aparicio L M and Rekoske J E 1993 The Microkinetics of Heterogeneous Catalysis (Washington, DC: American Chemical Society)
- [13] Neurock M and Hansen E W 1998 First-principles-based molecular simulation of heterogeneous catalytic surface chemistry *Comput. Chem. Eng.* 22 S1045–60
- [14] Reuter K, Frenkel D and Scheffler M 2004 The steady state of heterogeneous catalysis, studied by first-principles statistical mechanics *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 116105
- [15] Yang L and Liu P 2014 Ethanol synthesis from syngas on transition metal-doped Rh(1 1 1) surfaces: a density functional kinetic Monte Carlo study *Top. Catal.* 57 125–34
- [16] Mei D H, Rousseau R, Kathmann S M, Glezakou V-A, Engelhard M H, Jiang W L, Wang C M, Gerber M A, White J F and Stevens D J 2010 Ethanol synthesis from syngas over Rh-based/SiO(2) catalysts: a combined experimental and theoretical modeling study *J. Catal.* 271 325–42
- [17] Majumder D and Broadbelt L J 2006 A multiscale scheme for modeling catalytic flow reactors AIChE J. 52 4214–28
- [18] Raimondeau S and Vlachos D G 2002 Recent developments on multiscale, hierarchical modeling of chemical reactors *Chem. Eng. J.* 90 3–23
- [19] Tully J C 2000 Perspective on 'Zur quantentheorie der molekeln'—Born M, Oppenheimer R (1927) Ann. Phys. 84: 457 Theor. Chem. Acc. 103 173–6
- [20] Born M and Oppenheimer R 1927 Zur Quantentheorie der Molekeln Ann. Phys. 84 457–84
- [21] Szabo A and Ostlund N S 1989 Modern Quantum Chemistry: Introduction to Advanced Electronic Structure Theory (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [22] Martin R M 2004 Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)
- [23] Goddard W A, Merinov B, Van Duin A, Jacob T, Blanco M, Molinero V, Jang S S and Jang Y H 2006 Multi-paradigm

multi-scale simulations for fuel cell catalysts and membranes *Mol. Simul.* **32** 251–68

- [24] van Duin A C T, Dasgupta S, Lorant F and Goddard W A 2001 ReaxFF: a reactive force field for hydrocarbons J. Phys. Chem. A 105 9396–409
- [25] Goddard W A, van Duin A, Chenoweth K, Cheng M J, Pudar S, Oxgaard J, Merinov B, Jang Y H and Persson P 2006 Development of the ReaxFF reactive force field for mechanistic studies of catalytic selective oxidation processes on BiMoO_x *Top. Catal.* 38 93–103
- [26] Goddard W A, Chenoweth K, Pudar S, van Duin A C T and Cheng M J 2008 Structures, mechanisms, and kinetics of selective ammoxidation and oxidation of propane over multi-metal oxide catalysts *Top. Catal.* 50 2–18
- [27] Liu B, Lusk M T, Ely J F, van Duin A C T and Goddard W A 2008 Reactive molecular dynamics force field for the dissociation of light hydrocarbons on Ni(1 1 1) *Mol. Simul.* 34 967–72
- [28] Valentini P, Schwartzentruber T E and Cozmuta I 2011 ReaxFF grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation of adsorption and dissociation of oxygen on platinum (1 1 1) Surf. Sci. 605 1941–50
- [29] Ludwig J and Vlachos D G 2007 Ab initio molecular dynamics of hydrogen dissociation on metal surfaces using neural networks and novelty sampling J. Chem. Phys. 127 154716
- [30] Ludwig J and Vlachos D G 2008 Molecular dynamics of hydrogen dissociation on an oxygen covered Pt(111) surface J. Chem. Phys. 128 154708
- [31] Ludwig J, Vlachos D G, van Duin A C T and Goddard W A 2006 Dynamics of the dissociation of hydrogen on stepped platinum surfaces using the ReaxFF reactive force field *J. Phys. Chem.* B 110 4274–82
- [32] Klimeš J, Bowler D R and Michaelides A 2010 A critical assessment of theoretical methods for finding reaction pathways and transition states of surface processes *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **22** 074203
- [33] Pechukas P 1976 Statistical approximations in collisional theory *Dynamics of Molecular Collisions. Part B* ed W H Miller (New York: Plenum)
- [34] Anderson J B 1973 Statistical theories of chemical reactions. Distributions in the transition region J. Chem. Phys. 58 4684–92
- [35] Fichthorn K A and Weinberg W H 1991 Theoretical foundations of dynamical Monte Carlo simulations J. Chem. Phys. 95 1090–6
- [36] Pechukas P 1981 Transition-state theory Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 32 159–77
- [37] Truhlar D G and Garrett B C 1984 Variational transition-state theory Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 35 159–89
- [38] Truhlar D G, Garrett B C and Klippenstein S J 1996 Current status of transition-state theory J. Phys. Chem. 100 12771–800
- [39] van Kampen N G 1992 Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [40] Stamatakis M and Vlachos D G 2011 Equivalence of on-lattice stochastic chemical kinetics with the well-mixed chemical master equation in the limit of fast diffusion *Comput. Chem. Eng.* 35 2602–10
- [41] Mastny E A, Haseltine E L and Rawlings J B 2006 Stochastic simulation of catalytic surface reactions in the fast diffusion limit J. Chem. Phys. 125 194715
- [42] Bragg W L and Williams E J 1935 The effect of thermal agitation on atomic arrangement in alloys Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 145 699–730
- [43] Fowler R H 1935 A statistical derivation of Langmuir's adsorption isotherm *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 31 260–4

- [44] Fowler R H 1936 Adsorption isotherms—critical conditions Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 32 144–51
- [45] Masel R I 1996 Principles of Adsorption and Reaction on Solid Surfaces (New York: Wiley)
- [46] Katsoulakis M A and Vlachos D G 2004 Mesoscopic modeling of surface processes Dispersive Transport Equations and Multiscale Models vol 136 (Berlin: Springer) pp 179–98
- [47] Vlachos D G and Katsoulakis M A 2000 Derivation and validation of mesoscopic theories for diffusion of interacting molecules *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 3898–901
- [48] Evans J W, Liu D J and Tammaro M 2002 From atomistic lattice-gas models for surface reactions to hydrodynamic reaction–diffusion equations *Chaos* 12 131–43
- [49] Liu D J and Evans J W 2013 Realistic multisite lattice-gas modeling and KMC simulation of catalytic surface reactions: kinetics and multiscale spatial behavior for CO-oxidation on metal (100) surfaces *Prog. Surf. Sci.* 88 393–521
- [50] Murzin D Y 2005 On surface heterogeneity and catalytic kinetics *Indust. Eng. Chem. Res.* 44 1688–97
- [51] Dooling D J and Broadbelt L J 2001 Microkinetic models and dynamic Monte Carlo simulations of nonuniform catalytic systems AIChE J. 47 1193–202
- [52] Temel B, Meskine H, Reuter K, Scheffler M and Metiu H 2007 Does phenomenological kinetics provide an adequate description of heterogeneous catalytic reactions? J. Chem. Phys. 126 204711
- [53] Matera S, Meskine H and Reuter K 2011 Adlayer inhomogeneity without lateral interactions: rationalizing correlation effects in CO oxidation at RuO₂(110) with first-principles kinetic Monte Carlo J. Chem. Phys. 134 064713
- [54] Rieger M, Rogal J and Reuter K 2008 Effect of surface nanostructure on temperature programmed reaction spectroscopy: first-principles kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of CO oxidation at RuO₂(110) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 016105
- [55] Balter A, Lin G and Tartakovsky A M 2012 Effect of nonlinearity in hybrid kinetic Monte Carlo-continuum models *Phys. Rev.* E 85 016707
- [56] Schaefer C and Jansen A P J 2013 Coupling of kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of surface reactions to transport in a fluid for heterogeneous catalytic reactor modeling *J. Chem. Phys.* 138 054102
- [57] Maestri M and Cuoci A 2013 Coupling CFD with detailed microkinetic modeling in heterogeneous catalysis *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 96 106–17
- [58] Sabbe M K, Reyniers M-F and Reuter K 2012 First-principles kinetic modeling in heterogeneous catalysis: an industrial perspective on best-practice, gaps and needs *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2 2010–24
- [59] Hansen E W and Neurock M 1999 Modeling surface kinetics with first-principles-based molecular simulation *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 54 3411–21
- [60] Reuter K and Scheffler M 2003 First-principles atomistic thermodynamics for oxidation catalysis: surface phase diagrams and catalytically interesting regions *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 046103
- [61] Reuter K and Scheffler M 2006 First-principles kinetic Monte Carlo simulations for heterogeneous catalysis: application to the CO oxidation at RuO₂(110) *Phys. Rev.* B 73 045433
- [62] Gillespie D T 1992 Markov Processes: an Introduction for Physical Scientists (London: Academic)
- [63] Gardiner C W 2004 Handbook of Stochastic Methods for Physics, Chemistry, and the Natural Sciences (Berlin: Springer)
- [64] Lewis G N 1925 A new principle of equilibrium Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 11 179–83

- [65] Tolman R C 1925 The principle of microscopic reversibility Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 11 436–9
- [66] Bray J M and Schneider W F 2013 First-principles thermodynamic models in heterogeneous catalysis *Computational Catalysis* ed A Asthagiri and M J Janik (London: Royal Society of Chemistry) chapter 2
- [67] Zhdanov V P 1991 Arrhenius parameters for rate-processes on solid-surfaces Surf. Sci. Rep. 12 183–242
- [68] Schmidt D J, Chen W, Wolverton C and Schneider W F 2012 Performance of cluster expansions for coverage-dependent adsorption of atomic oxygen on Pt(111) J. Chem. Theory Comput. 8 264–73
- [69] Hansen E and Neurock M 1999 Predicting lateral surface interactions through density functional theory: application to oxygen on Rh(100) Surf. Sci. 441 410–24
- [70] Shustorovich E 1986 Chemisorption phenomena: analytic modeling based on perturbation theory and bond-order conservation *Surf. Sci. Rep.* 6 1–63
- [71] Shustorovich E and Sellers H 1998 The UBI-QEP method: a practical theoretical approach to understanding chemistry on transition metal surfaces *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **31** 5–119
- [72] Maestri M and Reuter K 2011 Semiempirical rate constants for complex chemical kinetics: first-principles assessment and rational refinement *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* 50 1194–7
- [73] Sanchez J M, Ducastelle F and Gratias D 1984 Generalized cluster description of multicomponent systems *Physica* A 128 334–50
- [74] Stampfl C 2007 Predicting surface phase transitions from *ab initio* based statistical mechanics and thermodynamics *Phase Transit.* 80 311–32
- [75] Wu C, Schmidt D J, Wolverton C and Schneider W F 2012 Accurate coverage-dependence incorporated into first-principles kinetic models: catalytic NO oxidation on Pt(1 1 1) J. Catal. 286 88–94
- [76] Nielsen J, d'Avezac M, Hetherington J and Stamatakis M 2013 Parallel kinetic Monte Carlo simulation framework incorporating accurate models of adsorbate lateral interactions J. Chem. Phys. 139 224706
- [77] Piccinin S and Stamatakis M 2014 CO oxidation on Pd(111): a first-principles-based kinetic Monte Carlo study ACS Catal. 4 2143–52
- [78] Lerch D, Wieckhorst O, Hammer L, Heinz K and Müller S 2008 Adsorbate cluster expansion for an arbitrary number of inequivalent sites *Phys. Rev.* B 78 121405
- [79] Miller S D and Kitchin J R 2009 Uncertainty and figure selection for DFT based cluster expansions for oxygen adsorption on Au and Pt (1 1 1) surfaces *Mol. Simul.* 35 920–7
- [80] Stamatakis M and Vlachos D G 2012 Unraveling the complexity of catalytic reactions via kinetic Monte Carlo simulation: current status and frontiers ACS Catal. 2 2648–63
- [81] Blum V, Hart G L W, Walorski M J and Zunger A 2005 Using genetic algorithms to map first-principles results to model Hamiltonians: application to the generalized Ising model for alloys *Phys. Rev.* B 72 165113
- [82] Hart G L W, Blum V, Walorski M J and Zunger A 2005 Evolutionary approach for determining first-principles Hamiltonians *Nature Mater.* 4 391–4
- [83] van de Walle A and Ceder G 2002 Automating first-principles phase diagram calculations *J. Phase Equilib.* 23 348–59
- [84] Seko A, Koyama Y and Tanaka I 2009 Cluster expansion method for multicomponent systems based on optimal selection of structures for density-functional theory calculations *Phys. Rev.* B 80 165122
- [85] Eyring H 1935 The activated complex in chemical reactions J. Chem. Phys. 3 107–15

- [86] Hänggi P, Talkner P and Borkovec M 1990 Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after Kramers *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 62 251–341
- [87] Evans M G and Polanyi M 1938 Inertia and driving force of chemical reactions *Trans. Faraday Soc.* 34 0011–23
- [88] Grabow L C, Gokhale A A, Evans S T, Dumesic J A and Mavrikakis M 2008 Mechanism of the water gas shift reaction on Pt: first principles, experiments, and microkinetic modeling J. Phys. Chem. C 112 4608–17
- [89] Mhadeshwar A B, Wang H and Vlachos D G 2003 Thermodynamic consistency in microkinetic development of surface reaction mechanisms J. Phys. Chem. B 107 12721–33
- [90] Feibelman P J 2010 DFT versus the 'real world' (or, waiting for Godft) *Top. Catal.* 53 417–22
- [91] Nørskov J K, Bligaard T, Rossmeisl J and Christensen C H 2009 Towards the computational design of solid catalysts *Nature Chem.* 1 37–46
- [92] van der Ven A, Ceder G, Asta M and Tepesch P D 2001 First-principles theory of ionic diffusion with nondilute carriers *Phys. Rev.* B 64 184307
- [93] Lukkien J J, Segers J P L, Hilbers P A J, Gelten R J and Jansen A P J 1998 Efficient Monte Carlo methods for the simulation of catalytic surface reactions *Phys. Rev.* E 58 2598–610
- [94] Hoffmann M J, Matera S and Reuter K 2014 kmos: a lattice kinetic Monte Carlo framework *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 185 2138–50
- [95] Chatterjee A and Vlachos D G 2007 An overview of spatial microscopic and accelerated kinetic Monte Carlo methods J. Comput.-Aided Mater. Des. 14 253–308
- [96] Jansen A P J 2012 Kinetic Monte Carlo alogorithms An Introduction to Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations of Surface Reactions (Heidelberg: Springer) pp 37–71
- [97] Ziff R M, Gulari E and Barshad Y 1986 Kinetic phase transitions in an irreversible surface-reaction model *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 56 2553–6
- [98] Jansen A P J 2012 An Introduction to Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations of Surface Reactions (Berlin: Springer)
- [99] Gillespie D T 1976 A general method for numerically simulating the stochastic time evolution of coupled chemical reactions J. Comput. Phys. 22 403–34
- [100] Jansen A P J 1995 Monte-Carlo simulations of chemical-reactions on a surface with time-dependent reaction-rate constants *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 86 1–12
- [101] Bortz A B, Kalos M H and Lebowitz J L 1975 New algorithm for Monte-Carlo simulation of Ising spin systems J. Comput. Phys. 17 10–18
- [102] Gibson M A and Bruck J 2000 Efficient exact stochastic simulation of chemical systems with many species and many channels J. Phys. Chem. A 104 1876–89
- [103] Cormen T H, Leiserson C E, Rivest R L and Stein C 2009 Introduction to Algorithms (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press)
- [104] Schulze T P 2002 Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations with minimal searching *Phys. Rev.* E **65** 036704
- [105] Stamatakis M and Vlachos D G 2011 A graph-theoretical kinetic Monte Carlo framework for on-lattice chemical kinetics J. Chem. Phys. 134 214115
- [106] Ertl G 2008 Reactions at surfaces: from atoms to complexity (Nobel lecture) Angew. Chem. Int. Edn 47 3524–35
- [107] Lubachevsky B D 1987 Efficient parallel simulations of asynchronous cellular arrays *Complex Syst.* 1 1099–123
- [108] Lubachevsky B D 1988 Efficient parallel simulations of dynamic Ising spin systems J. Comput. Phys. 75 103–22
- [109] Korniss G, Novotny M A, Guclu H, Toroczkai Z and Rikvold P A 2003 Suppressing roughness of virtual times in parallel discrete-event simulations *Science* 299 677–9

- [110] Korniss G, Toroczkai Z, Novotny M A and Rikvold P A 2000 From massively parallel algorithms and fluctuating time horizons to nonequilibrium surface growth *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 1351–4
- [111] Jefferson D R 1985 Virtual time ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst. 7 404–25
- [112] Chandy K M and Sherman R 1989 Space–time and simulation *Proc. SCS Multiconference on Distributed Simulation (Tampa, FL)* pp 53–7
- [113] Eick S G, Greenberg A G, Lubachevsky B D and Weiss A 1990 Synchronous relaxation for parallel simulations with applications to circuit-switched networks ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul. 3 287–314
- [114] Shim Y and Amar J G 2006 Hybrid asynchronous algorithm for parallel kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of thin film growth J. Comput. Phys. 212 305–17
- [115] Merrick M and Fichthorn K A 2007 Synchronous relaxation algorithm for parallel kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of thin film growth *Phys. Rev.* E 75 011606
- [116] Martínez E, Marian J, Kalos M H and Perlado J M 2008 Synchronous parallel kinetic Monte Carlo for continuum diffusion-reaction systems J. Comput. Phys. 227 3804–23
- [117] Shim Y and Amar J G 2005 Semirigorous synchronous relaxation algorithm for parallel kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of thin film growth *Phys. Rev.* B 71 125432
- [118] Martínez E, Monasterio P R and Marian J 2011 Billion-atom synchronous parallel kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of critical 3D Ising systems J. Comput. Phys. 230 1359–69
- [119] Arampatzis G, Katsoulakis M A, Plechac P, Taufer M and Xu L F 2012 Hierarchical fractional-step approximations and parallel kinetic Monte Carlo algorithms J. Comput. Phys. 231 7795–814
- [120] Nandipati G, Shim Y, Amar J G, Karim A, Kara A, Rahman T S and Trushin O 2009 Parallel kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of Ag(1 1 1) island coarsening using a large database J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 084214
- [121] Plimpton S, Thompson A and Slepoy A 2012 SPPARKS Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulator, available from http://spparks.sandia.gov/
- [122] Slepoy A, Thompson A P and Plimpton S J 2008 A constant-time kinetic Monte Carlo algorithm for simulation of large biochemical reaction networks *J. Chem. Phys.* 128 205101
- [123] Cardona C G, Tikare V and Plimpton S J 2011 Parallel simulation of 3D sintering Int. J. Comput. Mater. Sci. Surf. Eng. 4 37–54
- [124] Wagner G J, Zhou X W and Plimpton S J 2010 Equation-free accelerated simulations of the morphological relaxation of crystal surfaces *Int. J. Multiscale Comput. Eng.* 8 423–39
- [125] Plimpton S et al 2009 Crossing the Mesoscale No-Man's Land via Parallel Kinetic Monte Carlo (Albuquerque, NM: Sandia National Laboratories)
- [126] Van den Bossche M 2012 Kinetic Monte Carlo modeling of the catalytic hydrogenation of benzene on Pt(1 1 1) *Thesis* Universiteit Gent, Ghent, Belgium (http://buck.ugent.be/fulltxt/RUG01/ 011/887/133/RUG01-001887133_2012_0001_AC.pdf)
- [127] Shirazi M and Elliott S D 2013 Multiple proton diffusion and film densification in atomic layer deposition modeled by density functional theory *Chem. Mater.* 25 878–89
- [128] Shirazi M and Elliott S D 2014 Atomistic kinetic Monte Carlo study of atomic layer deposition derived from density functional theory J. Comput. Chem. 35 244–59
- [129] Cardona C G, Tikare V and Plimpton S J 2011 Parallel simulation of 3D sintering Int. J. Comput. Mater. Sci. Surf. Eng. 4 37–54
- [130] Lukkien J J and Jansen A P J 2009 CARLOS Project: a general purpose program for the simulation of chemical

reactions taking place at crystal surfaces, available from http://carlos.win.tue.nl/

- [131] Jansen A P J and Lukkien J J 1999 Dynamic Monte-Carlo simulations of reactions in heterogeneous catalysis *Catal. Today* 53 259–71
- [132] Hoffmann M J, Matera S and Reuter K 2014 kmos: A Lattice Kinetic Monte Carlo Framework Queen's University, Belfast, available from http://cpc.cs.qub.ac.uk/summaries/AESU_v1_0.html
- [133] Hoffmann M J 2014 kMC on steroids: a vigorous attempt to make lattice kinetic Monte Carlo modeling as fast as possible, available from http://mhoffman.github.io/kmos/
- [134] Kyriakou G, Davidson E R M, Peng G W, Roling L T, Singh S, Boucher M B, Marcinkowski M D, Mavrikakis M, Michaelides A and Sykes E C H 2014 Significant quantum effects in hydrogen activation ACS Nano 8 4827–35
- [135] Stamatakis M 2013 Zacros: advanced lattice-KMC simulation made easy, available from http://tinyurl.com/zacroskmc
- [136] Stamatakis M, Chen Y and Vlachos D G 2011 First principles-based kinetic Monte Carlo simulation of the structure-sensitivity of the water-gas shift reaction on platinum surfaces J. Phys. Chem. C 115 24750–62
- [137] Stamatakis M, Christiansen M, Vlachos D G and Mpourmpakis G 2012 Multiscale modeling reveals poisoning mechanisms of MgO-supported Au clusters in CO oxidation *Nano Lett.* 12 3621–6
- [138] Nikbin N, Austin N, Vlachos D G, Stamatakis M and Mpourmpakis G 2014 Catalysis at the sub-nanoscale: complex CO oxidation chemistry on a few Au atoms *Catalysis Sci. Technol.* accepted
- [139] Marcinkowski M D, Jewell A D, Stamatakis M, Boucher M B, Lewis E A, Murphy C J, Kyriakou G and Sykes E C H 2013 Controlling a spillover pathway with the molecular cork effect *Nature Mater.* 12 523–8
- [140] Guo W and Vlachos D G 2014 On factors controlling activity of submonolayer bimetallic catalysts: nitrogen desorption *J. Chem. Phys.* 140 014703
- [141] Leetmaa M and Skorodumova N V 2014 KMCLib: a general framework for lattice kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 185 2340–9
- [142] Zhdanov V P and Kasemo B 1994 Kinetic phase-transitions in simple reactions on solid-surfaces Surf. Sci. Rep. 20 113–89
- [143] Imbihl R 2008 Non-linear dynamics in catalytic reactions Handbook of Surface Science ed E Hasselbrink and B I Lundqvist (Amsterdam: Elsevier) chapter 9
- [144] Jensen I and Fogedby H C 1990 Kinetic phase-transitions in a surface-reaction model with diffusion—computer-simulations and mean-field theory *Phys. Rev.* A 42 1969–75
- [145] Evans J W 1991 Kinetic phase-transitions in catalytic reaction models *Langmuir* 7 2514–9
- [146] Albano E V 1996 The Monte Carlo simulation method: a powerful tool for the study of reaction processes *Heterogen*. *Chem. Rev.* 3 389–418
- [147] Loscar E and Albano E V 2003 Critical behaviour of irreversible reaction systems *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 66 1343–82
- [148] Basit A and Ahmad W 2011 A Monte Carlo simulation study of the CO–NO–O₂ reaction on the surface of a metal catalyst J. Korean Phys. Soc. 59 134–40
- [149] Yaldram K and Khan M A 1991 NO–CO reaction on square and hexagonal surfaces: a Monte-Carlo simulation *J. Catal.* 131 369–77
- [150] Buendia G M and Rikvold P A 2012 Effects of inert species in the gas phase in a model for the catalytic oxidation of CO *Phys. Rev.* E 85 031143
- [151] Zhdanov V P 2007 Simulation of the effect of surface-oxide formation on bistability in CO oxidation on Pt-group metals *J. Chem. Phys.* **126** 074706

- [152] Zhdanov V P and Kasemo B 2003 The effect of oxide formation on bistability in CO oxidation on Pt J. Catal. 220 478–85
- [153] Noussiou V K and Provata A 2008 Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of the oscillatory CO oxidation at high pressures: the surface oxide model *Chem. Phys.* 348 11–20
- [154] Zhdanov V P 2004 Kinetic oscillations on nm-sized catalyst particles: NO reduction by CO on Pt Catal. Lett. 93 135–8
- [155] Pechenkin A 2009 B P Belousov and his reaction J. Biosci. 34 365–71
- [156] Zhdanov V P 2002 Monte Carlo simulations of oscillations, chaos and pattern formation in heterogeneous catalytic reactions Surf. Sci. Rep. 45 233–326
- [157] Zhdanov V P 1999 Simulation of surface restructuring and oscillations in CO–NO reaction on Pt(100) J. Chem. Phys. 110 8748–53
- [158] Zhdanov V P 1999 Surface restructuring, kinetic oscillations, and chaos in heterogeneous catalytic reactions *Phys. Rev.* E 59 6292–305
- [159] Zhdanov V P 2000 Surface restructuring and aperiodic kinetic oscillations in heterogeneous catalytic reactions *Physica* D 144 87–96
- [160] Zhdanov V P 2003 Perturbation of period-2 oscillations in catalytic reactions accompanied by surface restructuring *Phys. Rev.* E 68 056212
- [161] Zhdanov V P and Kasemo B 2000 Surface restructuring, kinetic oscillations, and chaos in heterogeneous catalytic reactions J. Stat. Phys. 101 631–47
- [162] Zhdanov V P and Kasemo B 2002 Kinetic oscillations on nm-sized catalyst particles: surface restructuring *Surf. Sci.* 513 L385–90
- [163] Alas S J, Rojas F, Kornhauser I and Zgrablich G 2006 Dynamic Monte Carlo simulation of oscillations and pattern formation during the NO plus CO reaction on the Pt(100) surface J. Mol. Catal. A 244 183–92
- [164] Alas S J and Zgrablich G 2006 Study of oscillations and pattern formation in the NO plus CO reaction on Pt(100) surfaces through dynamic Monte Carlo simulation: toward a realistic model J. Phys. Chem. B 110 9499–510
- [165] Rafti M and Vicente J L 2007 Catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃ on a Pt(100) surface: Monte Carlo simulations *Phys. Rev.* E 75 061121
- [166] McMillan N, Snively C and Lauterbach J 2007
 Spatio-temporal dynamics of the NO + NH₃ reaction on polycrystalline platinum *Surf. Sci.* 601 772–80
- [167] Noussiou V K and Provata A 2007 Surface reconstruction in reactive dynamics: a kinetic Monte Carlo approach Surf. Sci. 601 2941–51
- [168] Cherezov E A, Kovalev E V, Elokhin V I and Myshlyavtsev A V 2006 Statistical lattice model for the bimolecular reaction on the dynamically changing surface of a body-centered metal crystal *Kinet. Catal.* 47 469–80
- [169] Monine M I and Pismen L M 2004 Realistic kinetic Monte Carlo study of the surface phase reconstruction *Phys. Rev.* E 69 021606
- [170] Monine M I, Pismen L M and Imbihl R 2004 A realistic kinetic Monte Carlo simulation of the faceting of a Pt(110) surface under reaction conditions *J. Chem. Phys.* 121 11332–44
- [171] Bowker M and King D A 1978 Adsorbate diffusion on single-crystal surfaces: I. The influence of lateral interactions Surf. Sci. 71 583–98
- [172] Bowker M and King D A 1978 Adsorbate diffusion on single-crystal surfaces: II. Extension to next nearest neighbor interactions *Surf. Sci.* 72 208–12
- [173] Lombardo S J and Bell A T 1991 A review of theoretical-models of adsorption, diffusion, desorption,

and reaction of gases on metal-surfaces *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **13** 1–72

- [174] Hellman A and Honkala K 2007 Including lateral interactions into microkinetic models of catalytic reactions J. Chem. Phys. 127 194704
- [175] Adams D L 1974 Consequences of adsorbate–adsorbate interactions for thermal desorption and LEED measurements Surf. Sci. 42 12–36
- [176] Hood E S, Toby B H and Weinberg W H 1985 Precursor-mediated molecular chemisorption and thermal-desorption—the interrelationships among energetics, kinetics, and adsorbate lattice structure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55 2437–40
- [177] Lombardo S J and Bell A T 1988 A Monte-Carlo model for the simulation of temperature-programmed desorption spectra Surf. Sci. 206 101–23
- [178] Meng B and Weinberg W H 1994 Monte-Carlo simulations of temperature-programmed desorption spectra J. Chem. Phys. 100 5280–9
- [179] Gupta D and Hirtzel C S 1988 Monte-Carlo simulation of temperature-programmed desorption of molecules from solid-surfaces *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **149** 527–33
- [180] Lombardo S J and Bell A T 1989 Monte-Carlo simulation of temperature-programmed desorption of coadsorbed species *Surf. Sci.* 224 451–75
- [181] Butz R and Wagner H 1977 Diffusion of oxygen on tungsten (110) Surf. Sci. 63 448–59
- [182] Uebing C and Gomer R 1991 A Monte-Carlo study of surface-diffusion coefficients in the presence of adsorbate adsorbate interactions: I. Repulsive interactions J. Chem. Phys. 95 7626–35
- [183] Uebing C and Gomer R 1991 A Monte-Carlo study of surface-diffusion coefficients in the presence of adsorbate adsorbate interactions: II. Attractive interactions J. Chem. Phys. 95 7636–40
- [184] Uebing C and Gomer R 1991 A Monte-Carlo study of surface-diffusion coefficients in the presence of adsorbate adsorbate interactions: III. Repulsive nearest-neighbor and attractive next-nearest-neighbor interactions J. Chem. Phys. 95 7641–6
- [185] Uebing C and Gomer R 1991 A Monte-Carlo study of surface-diffusion coefficients in the presence of adsorbate adsorbate interactions: IV. Attractive nearest-neighbor and repulsive next-nearest-neighbor interactions J. Chem. Phys. 95 7648–52
- [186] Bowler A M and Hood E S 1991 Time-dependent Monte-Carlo studies of surface-diffusion J. Chem. Phys. 94 5162–71
- [187] Farbman I, Asscher M and BenShaul A 1996 Effects of adsorbate lateral repulsion on desorption and diffusion kinetics studied by Monte Carlo simulations J. Chem. Phys. 104 5674–82
- [188] Chvoj Z, Chab V and Conrad H 1999 Surface diffusion on a lattice with two non-equivalent adsorption sites: repulsive interactions Surf. Sci. 426 8–25
- [189] Mak C H, Andersen H C and George S M 1988 Monte-Carlo studies of diffusion on inhomogeneous surfaces J. Chem. Phys. 88 4052–61
- [190] Torri M and Elliott J A W 1999 A statistical rate theory description of CO diffusion on a stepped Pt(1 1 1) surface J. Chem. Phys. 111 1686–98
- [191] Vattulainen I, Ying S C, Ala-Nissila T and Merikoski J 1999 Memory effects and coverage dependence of surface diffusion in a model adsorption system *Phys. Rev.* B 59 7697–707
- [192] Argyrakis P, Chumak A A and Maragakis M 2005 Fluctuations in an ordered $c(2 \times 2)$ two-dimensional lattice-gas system with repulsive interactions *Phys. Rev.* B **71** 224304

- [193] Argyrakis P, Maragakis M, Chumak O and Zhugayevych A 2006 Dynamic correlations in an ordered c(2 × 2) lattice gas Phys. Rev. B 74 035418
- [194] Araya P, Porod W, Sant R and Wolf E E 1989 Monte-Carlo simulations of carbon-monoxide oxidation on Pt catalysts *Surf. Sci.* 208 L80–90
- [195] Luque J J, Jimenezmorales F and Lemos M C 1992 Monte-Carlo simulation of a surface-reaction model with local interaction J. Chem. Phys. 96 8535–8
- [196] Satulovsky J and Albano E V 1992 The influence of lateral interactions on the critical-behavior of a dimer–monomer surface-reaction model *J. Chem. Phys.* **97** 9440–6
- [197] Kaukonen H P and Nieminen R M 1989
 Computer-simulations studies of the catalytic-oxidation of carbon-monoxide on platinum metals *J. Chem. Phys.* 91 4380–6
- [198] Völkening S and Wintterlin J 2001 CO oxidation on Pt(1 1 1)—scanning tunneling microscopy experiments and Monte Carlo simulations J. Chem. Phys. 114 6382–95
- [199] Nakai I, Kondoh H, Shimada T, Resta A, Andersen J N and Ohta T 2006 Mechanism of CO oxidation reaction on O-covered Pd(111) surfaces studied with fast x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy: change of reaction path accompanying phase transition of O domains J. Chem. Phys. 124 224712
- [200] Frey K, Schmidt D J, Wolverton C and Schneider W F 2014 Implications of coverage-dependent O adsorption for catalytic NO oxidation on the late transition metals *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 4 4356–65
- [201] Zhdanov V P and Matsushima T 2007 Interplay of first-order kinetic and thermodynamic phase transitions in heterogeneous catalytic reactions *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 036101
- [202] Zhdanov V P, Ma Y S and Matsushima T 2005 Analysis of the kinetics of N₂O–CO reaction on Pd(110) Surf. Sci. 583 36–45
- [203] Mei D, Sheth P A, Neurock M and Smith C M 2006 First-principles-based kinetic Monte Carlo simulation of the selective hydrogenation of acetylene over Pd(1 1 1) J. Catal. 242 1–15
- [204] Somorjai G A and Carrazza J 1986 Structure sensitivity of catalytic reactions *Indust. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **25** 63–9
- [205] Meakin P and Scalapino D J 1987 Simple-models for heterogeneous catalysis—phase transition-like behavior in nonequilibrium systems J. Chem. Phys. 87 731–41
- [206] Tammaro M and Evans J W 1995 Monomer–dimer surface-reaction model—influence of the dimer adsorption mechanism *Phys. Rev.* E 52 2310–7
- [207] Cortés J and Valencia E 1997 Effect of the coordination of the superficial site in the ZGB model for the CO–O₂ reaction Surf. Sci. 371 L243–7
- [208] Luque J J, Gomez A and Cordoba A 2004 CO plus NO surface reaction model by Monte Carlo simulation *Physica* A 331 505–16
- [209] Cortés J and Valencia E 2005 Monte Carlo studies of the dynamic behavior of the reduction reaction of NO by CO over a surface of clusters supported on a fractal *Phys. Rev.* E 71 046136
- [210] Cortés J and Valencia E 2006 Interpretation of the experimental data on the reduction reaction of NO by CO on rhodium by Monte Carlo simulations and by solving the kinetic equations of the reaction mechanism *J. Phys. Chem.* B 110 7887–97
- [211] Cortés J and Valencia E 2008 Monte Carlo simulations of a recent kinetics mechanism for the reduction reaction of NO by CO on percolation clusters *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* 81 1267–73
- [212] Cortés J and Valencia E 2008 Monte Carlo study of the influence of the structural heterogeneity of the surface in the

CO–NO reaction on Pd and its relation with experimental data *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **463** 251–7

- [213] Brosilow B J, Gulari E and Ziff R M 1993 Boundary effects in a surface-reaction model for CO oxidation J. Chem. Phys. 98 674–7
- [214] Herz R K, Badlani A, Schryer D R and Upchurch B T 1993 Two-component catalysts for low-temperature CO oxidation: a Monte-Carlo study J. Catal. 141 219–38
- [215] Savchenko V I and Efremova N I 1996 Kinetics of CO oxidation on the surface of heterophase catalysts. Modeling by the Monte Carlo method *React. Kinet. Catal.* L 57 49–54
- [216] Zhdanov V P and Kasemo B 1998 Monte Carlo simulation of the kinetics of rapid reactions on nanometer catalyst particles Surf. Sci. 405 27–37
- [217] Zhdanov V P and Kasemo B 2000 Simulations of the reaction kinetics on nanometer supported catalyst particles *Surf. Sci. Rep.* 39 25–104
- [218] Vlachos D G, Schmidt L D and Aris R 1991 The effect of phase transitions, surface diffusion, and defects on heterogeneous reactions: multiplicities and fluctuations *Surf. Sci.* 249 248–64
- [219] Mei D, Du J and Neurock M 2010 First-principles-based kinetic Monte Carlo simulation of nitric oxide reduction over platinum nanoparticles under lean-burn conditions *Indust. Eng. Chem. Res.* 49 10364–73
- [220] Xu L J and Henkelman G 2008 Adaptive kinetic Monte Carlo for first-principles accelerated dynamics J. Chem. Phys. 129 114104
- [221] Xu L J, Mei D and Henkelman G 2009 Adaptive kinetic Monte Carlo simulation of methanol decomposition on Cu(100) J. Chem. Phys. 131 244520
- [222] Chill S T, Welborn M, Terrell R, Zhang L, Berthet J C, Pedersen A, Jónsson H and Henkelman G 2014 EON: software for long time simulations of atomic scale systems *Modelling Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 22 055002
- [223] Benson S W and Buss J H 1958 Additivity rules for the estimation of molecular properties. thermodynamic properties J. Chem. Phys. 29 546–72
- [224] Benson S W, Cruicksh Fr, Golden D M, Haugen G R, Oneal H E, Rodgers A S, Shaw R and Walsh R 1969 Additivity rules for estimation of thermochemical properties *Chem. Rev.* 69 279
- [225] Kua J, Faglioni F and Goddard W A 2000 Thermochemistry for hydrocarbon intermediates chemisorbed on metal surfaces: CH_{n-m} (CH_3)_m with n = 1, 2, 3 and $m \le n$ on Pt, Ir, Os, Pd, Ph, and Ru J. Am. Chem. Soc. **122** 2309–21
- [226] Salciccioli M, Chen Y and Vlachos D G 2010 Density functional theory-derived group additivity and linear scaling methods for prediction of oxygenate stability on metal catalysts: adsorption of open-ring alcohol and polyol dehydrogenation intermediates on Pt-based metals *J. Phys. Chem.* C 114 20155–66
- [227] Salciccioli M, Edie S M and Vlachos D G 2012 Adsorption of acid, ester, and ether functional groups on Pt: fast prediction of thermochemical properties of adsorbed oxygenates via DFT-based group additivity methods *J. Phys. Chem.* C 116 1873–86
- [228] Vorotnikov V, Wang S and Vlachos D G 2014 Group additivity for estimating thermochemical properties of furanic compounds on Pd(1 1 1) *Indust. Eng. Chem. Res.* 53 11929–38
- [229] Behrens M et al 2012 The active site of methanol synthesis over Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ industrial catalysts Science 336 893–7
- [230] Natesakhawat S, Ohodnicki P R, Howard B H, Lekse J W, Baltrus J P and Matranga C 2013 Adsorption and deactivation characteristics of Cu/ZnO-based catalysts for

methanol synthesis from carbon dioxide *Top. Catal.* **56** 1752–63

- [231] Feibelman P J, Hammer B, Nørskov J K, Wagner F, Scheffler M, Stumpf R, Watwe R and Dumesic J 2001 The CO/Pt(111) puzzle J. Phys. Chem. B 105 4018–25
- [232] Olsen R A, Philipsen P H T and Baerends E J 2003 CO on Pt(111): a puzzle revisited J. Chem. Phys. 119 4522–8
- [233] Stroppa A and Kresse G 2008 The shortcomings of semi-local and hybrid functionals: what we can learn from surface science studies *New J. Phys.* **10** 063020
- [234] Soini T M, Krüger S and Rösch N 2014 The DFT +Umol method and its application to the adsorption of CO on platinum model clusters *J. Chem. Phys.* 140 174709
- [235] Hess F, Farkas A, Seitsonen A P and Over H 2012
 'First-Principles' kinetic Monte Carlo simulations revisited: CO oxidation over RuO₂(110) *J. Comput. Chem.* 33 757–66
- [236] Hess F and Over H 2014 Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of heterogeneously catalyzed oxidation reactions *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 4 583–98
- [237] McGill J, Ogunnaike B A and Vlachos D G 2012 Efficient gradient estimation using finite differencing and likelihood ratios for kinetic Monte Carlo simulations J. Comput. Phys. 231 7170–86

- [238] Pantazis Y and Katsoulakis M A 2013 A relative entropy rate method for path space sensitivity analysis of stationary complex stochastic dynamics J. Chem. Phys. 138 054115
- [239] Arampatzis G and Katsoulakis M A 2014 Goal-oriented sensitivity analysis for lattice kinetic Monte Carlo simulations J. Chem. Phys. 140 124108
- [240] Mei D and Lin G 2011 Effects of heat and mass transfer on the kinetics of CO oxidation over RuO₂(110) catalyst *Catal. Today* 165 56–63
- [241] Matera S and Reuter K 2009 First-principles approach to heat and mass transfer effects in model catalyst studies *Catal. Lett.* 133 156–9
- [242] Matera S, Reuter K 2012 When atomic-scale resolution is not enough: spatial effects on *in situ* model catalyst studies *J. Catal.* 295 26–8
- [243] Matera S and Reuter K 2010 Transport limitations and bistability for *in situ* CO oxidation at RuO₂(110): first-principles based multiscale modeling *Phys. Rev.* B 82 085446
- [244] Gardiner C W, Mcneil K J, Walls D F and Matheson I S 1976 Correlations in stochastic theories of chemical-reactions J. Stat. Phys. 14 307–31
- [245] van den Broeck C 1982 A stochastic derivation of the multivariate master equation describing reaction diffusion-systems *Phys. Lett.* A 90 119–22