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#### Abstract

Microfluidics is a fast growing field in which the manipulation of bubbles in liquid phase is of utmost importance. In this paper, the absorption of spherical bubbles in a square microchannel is investigated for a bubbly flow. Numerical simulations of the gas-liquid two-phase flow and the mass transfer around spherical bubbles in a square microchannel are carried out. Correlations are established for the bubble velocity and the mass transfer rate. A model for the dissolution of spherical bubbles along a square microchannel is proposed in the case of the bubbly flow regime and validated using existing experimental data. This model can be used, for instance, for designing microabsorbers for lab-on-a-chip applications.
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## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, microfluidic devices are increasingly used. Indeed, they enable using less reactant, having a deep control on the involved processes, lowering the risk due to the use of high quantity of hazardous materials and being easily handled. Microfluidic devices involving gas-liquid two-phase flows in microchannels are encountered in many circumstances such as gas-liquid absorption. Gas-liquid two-phase flow patterns were investigated in Cubaud and Ho (2004) and in Kim et al. (2011) for square and rectangular microchannels. In both papers, five main gasliquid two-phase flow regimes were reported as a function of the gas and liquid superficial velocities and named, after Cubaud and Ho (2004), as: bubbly, wedging, slug, annular and dry flows. The bubbly flow corresponds to discrete spherical bubbles with diameters smaller than the channel height and moving in a continuous liquid phase. The mass transfer around gas bubbles for different two-phase flow regimes was studied in square and rectangular microchannels (Kashid et al. (2011), Sun and Cubaud (2011), Cubaud et al. (2012)). These studies mainly focused on the slug flow, and the transition to bubbly flow was only observed in the experiments by Cubaud et al. (2012).

To the best of our knowledge, the gas-
liquid two-phase flow and the mass transfer around bubbles in square microchannels for the bubbly flow regime have not been analyzed yet, neither theoretically neither numerically. The aim of the present work is therefore to fill the gap by developing a numerical procedure in order to simulate the gas-liquid two-phase flow and the mass transfer around spherical bubbles in a square microchannel. Correlations for the bubble velocity and the mass transfer rate around spherical bubbles in a square microchannel are proposed and a model describing the dissolution of spherical bubbles along a square microchannel is presented, and compared to available experimental data.

## 2. Problem statement

A square microchannel of length $L_{c}$ and width w is considered. A segment of this microchannel with a length L and containing, at its center, a spherical bubble of diameter d is studied. Taking benefit of symmetries, only a quarter of the microchannel is analyzed. It is described in Fig. 1, including the labels of the boundaries and notations.


Figure 1: Computational domain for modelling a spherical bubble in a segment of a square microchannel.

In the laboratory reference frame ( $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}$ ), the bubble moves through the microchannel with a velocity $V_{B}$ in the positive $\tilde{x}$ direction. The liquid and gas mixture moves with a total superficial velocity $J_{A}$ in the same direction. $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is equal to $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}\right) / \mathrm{w}^{2}$, with $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}$ the volumetric liquid and gas flow rates, respectively. The reference frame attached to the center of the bubble ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) moves at the bubble velocity $V_{B}$ in the positive $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}$ direction. Therefore, in ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ), the bubble is stationary, the liquid-gas mixture moves with a velocity $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in the positive x direction and the walls of the microchannel move with a velocity $V_{B}$ in the positive $x$ direction.

As the density and the viscosity of the liquid are much higher than those of the gas, a one-sided approach is used where only the liquid flow is considered and the bubble is a spherical hole in the computational domain with a mass flux at its surface. The liquid flow and the mass transfer around the bubble inside the square microchannel are analyzed by solving, in ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ), the continuity, the NavierStokes and the mass transport equations in stationary conditions.

The boundary conditions for the liquid flow and the mass transport are presented in Table 1. Periodic boundary conditions are used between the IN and the OUT planes in order to reproduce the chain of bubbles that are generated in real microchannels. Therefore, a pressure difference $\Delta \mathrm{P}$ and a concentration difference $\Delta \mathrm{C}$ exist between the IN and the OUT planes and are parts of the computational unknowns. As periodic conditions are used, L represents the distance between two successive bubbles.

| Boundary | Boundary conditions <br> for the liquid flow | Boundary conditions <br> for the mass transport |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wall | Moving with a velocity <br> $\left(V_{\mathrm{B}}, 0,0\right)$ with a no slip <br> condition | No mass flux |
| bubble | Undeformable and <br> stationary surface with a <br> stress-free condition | Saturation concentration <br> $\mathrm{C}_{\text {sat }}$ |
| sym | Symmetry conditions | Symmetry conditions |
| IN and <br> OUT | Periodic conditions with <br> a mass flow rate equal to <br> $\left(V_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \rho \mathrm{w}^{2}$ | Periodic conditions with <br> an average concentration <br> equal to $\mathrm{C}_{\text {bulk }}$ on the <br> plane IN |

Table 1: Boundary conditions for the liquid flow and the mass transport.

Three non-dimensional control parameters are used: $d / w, \operatorname{Re}_{J_{A}}=\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{W}}{\mu}$ and $\mathrm{Sc}=\frac{\mu}{\rho \mathrm{D}}$, with $\rho$ the density of the liquid $\left[\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$, $\mu$ the dynamic viscosity of the liquid [ Pa s ] and D the diffusion coefficient of the dissolved gas $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right]$. The ranges covered by these three non-dimensional control parameters are $0.15 \leq \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{w} \leq 0.75$, $5.74 \leq \mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}} \leq 28.7$ and $150 \leq \mathrm{Sc} \leq 550$. These ranges ensure covering the realistic values of the parameters encountered for usual gas and liquid combinations. Two nondimensional variables are defined for the postprocessing: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and the Sherwood number $\mathrm{Sh}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{D}}$, with $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ the mass transfer coefficient across the bubble interface.

## 3. Numerical procedure

Based on the computational domain of Fig. 1, a grid is generated for each value of $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{w}$ using the software Gambit 2.4. Refined zones for the mesh are used around the bubble and next to the walls to ensure that the diffusion boundary layers are correctly captured. The grid independence is checked for each value of d/w.

For each generated grid, the system of equations defining the problem is solved in stationary conditions in the liquid phase with the boundary conditions of Table 1. The threedimensional version with a double precision of the solver Ansys Fluent 14.5 is used for this purpose.

The steady bubble velocity $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ implies that the x -component of the force $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ exerted by the liquid on the bubble surface should be equal to zero. It provides a relation between $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$. Here, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is imposed (through $\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}}$ ) and $V_{B}$ is then evaluated such that $F_{x}$ vanishes. From the numerical results of the mass transport, the mass transfer coefficient $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ is calculated by dividing the mass flow rate at the bubble surface by the bubble surface area and by the driving concentration difference $\mathrm{C}_{\text {sat }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {bulk }}$. The length of the computational domain L is adjusted to a value, depending on $d, J_{A}$ and $D$, such that when L is increased by $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the relative
change in $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ is lower than $1 \%$.

## 4. Correlations

Based on the form of the correlation of Cubaud et al. (2012) and the limiting values of $V_{B} / J_{A}$ for $d \rightarrow 0$ and $d \rightarrow \infty$, a form of correlation is proposed for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and fitted to the numerical results. It leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{A}}}=1+1.1 \exp \left[-\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{w}}\right)^{5}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the form of well-known relation of Levich (1962) to express Sh for the creeping flow around spheres with a stress-free condition, a form of correlation is proposed for Sh and fitted to the numerical results. It leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sh}=2+3 \operatorname{Re}^{1 / 3} \mathrm{Sc}^{1 / 3} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{w}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\operatorname{Re}=\operatorname{Re}_{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}} \frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}}{} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{w}}$.
Equations (1) and (2) are established and valid for the range of control parameters given in section 2 .

## 5. Model for the bubble dissolution

The liquid fraction $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ at a coordinate x along the microchannel, the bubble at the coordinate x and the preceding one are considered with $1(x)$ the distance between the centers of these two bubbles, as represented in Fig. 2. By definition, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{w}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{G}}=\frac{\pi \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})^{3}}{6 \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{x})} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})$, the introduction of which in Equation (3) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{L}(x)=1-\frac{\pi d^{3}(x)}{6 w^{2} 1(x)} \frac{V_{B}(x)}{J_{A}(x)}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $V_{B}(x) / J_{A}(x)$ is calculated using Equation (1).


Figure 2: Representation of two successive bubbles in the microchannel.

The variation of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}$ along the microchannel can be neglected as the density of the gas is much lower than the density of the liquid.

It is assumed here that the relative variation of $l(x)$ is equal to the relative variation of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{l}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pressure drop in the liquid phase $\Delta \mathrm{P}$ along a square microchannel for a laminar one-phase flow at a superficial velocity $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{w}^{2}$ can be calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{P} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{w}} \rho \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\gamma \mu_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2 \mathrm{w}^{2}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{f}=\gamma \mu / \rho_{\mathrm{L}} w$ is the friction factor and $\gamma$ is a constant equal to 56.8 for a square channel (Bruus (2008)). The pressure drop $\Delta \mathrm{P}_{2 \text {-phase }}$ for a two-phase bubbly flow (characterized by $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ close to unity) along the (same) square microchannel can be evaluated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{P}_{2-\text { phase }} \equiv \frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}}=\frac{\gamma \mu_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2 \mathrm{w}^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been shown by Cubaud and Ho (2004) to be valid. Assuming a linear pressure drop along the microchannel, the pressure in the liquid phase along the microchannel can be computed using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=-\frac{\gamma \mu_{\mathrm{L}}}{2 \mathrm{w}^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{x})} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pressure in the gas phase $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}}$ can be calculated from the pressure in the liquid phase using the Young-Laplace equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}}=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})+\frac{4 \sigma}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x})}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is the surface tension of the gasliquid interface.

A mass balance for the transferred species between the gas phase and the liquid phase written for a control segment of length $\Delta x$ leads, for $\Delta \mathrm{x} \rightarrow 0$, to the following equation describing the evolution of the concentration of the dissolved gas in the liquid phase (C) along the microchannel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\left.-\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}(x) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}(x)+\alpha_{L}^{\prime}(x) p_{G}(x)+\alpha_{L}^{2}(x)\right)_{G}^{\prime}(x)}{\alpha_{L}^{2}(x) \mathrm{RT}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with T the temperature (supposed constant in this work) and R the gas constant.

The change of the diameter of a bubble along a microchannel is due to the mass transfer between the bubble and the liquid
phase and the bubble expansion due to the pressure decrease along the microchannel, and is described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})+3 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})}{6 \mathrm{RT}}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{x})\left(\mathrm{Hp}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x})\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where H is the Henry coefficient of the dissolved gas and $\mathrm{k}_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ is evaluated using Equation (2) and the definition of Sh.

To summarize, the complete model for the dissolution of spherical bubbles along a square microchannel in the bubbly flow regime consists of:

- four first-order ordinary differential equations (Equations (5), (8), (10) and (11));
- the Young-Laplace equation (Equation (9));
- the relation for $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ (Equation (4));
- the correlations for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (Equation (1)) and for Sh (Equation (2)).

Four boundary conditions are necessary for the first-order ordinary differential equations. These conditions are the values of $1, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{C}$ and d at the position $\mathrm{x}=0$, which are denoted $\mathrm{l}_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{0}$, respectively.

## 6. Comparison to the literature

The experimental data of Cubaud et al. (2012) can be used in order to validate the model for the dissolution of spherical bubbles along a square microchannel. Indeed, in the work of Cubaud et al. (2012), a bubbly flow is observed in a nearly square microchannel during a part of their experiments for the dissolution of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in water at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The microchannel has a width $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{c}}=87 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, a height $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{c}}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a length $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}} \approx 10$ cm . In the experimental data of Cubaud et al. (2012), $t, \alpha_{L}, V_{B} / J_{A}$ and $d$ are recorded along the microchannel every 0.755 ms . These data are adapted in order to be able to compare them to the results computed with our model. The time t is converted to the coordinate x using a constant $V_{B}$ for a time interval. In the work of Cubaud et al. (2012), $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ is evaluated by $1-\frac{\pi d^{3}}{6 w_{C} h_{C} l}$ instead of $1-\frac{\pi d^{3}}{6 w_{C} h_{C}} \frac{V_{B}}{J_{A}}$. It has been corrected here. The ratio $V_{B} / J_{A}$ of

Cubaud et al. (2012) has also been corrected because $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is evaluated by $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}} / \alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$. As the microchannel is nearly square, $w$ in the model is taken equal to its hydraulic diameter: $w=\frac{2 w_{c} h_{c}}{w_{c}+h_{c}}$. Only the parts of the experiments of Cubaud et al. (2012) where d/w $\leq 0.75$ is considered (see Fig. 3). The coordinate $x=x_{0}$ along the microchannel where $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{w}=0.75$ is used in the model as the inlet of the microchannel. The length of the microchannel $\mathrm{L}_{\text {out }}$ used in the model is calculated by $\mathrm{L}_{\text {out }}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{x}_{0}$.


Figure 3: Sketch of the real microchannel, the segment considered in the model and the segment recorded by the camera in Cubaud et al. (2012).

From the adapted data of Cubaud et al. (2012), the boundary conditions $1_{0}, \mathrm{p}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ are determined: $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ is equal to $0.75 \mathrm{w}, 1_{0}$ is calculated from the non corrected version of $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ at the coordinate $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ by $1_{0}=\frac{\pi d_{0}^{3}}{6 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(1-\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right)}, \mathrm{p}_{0}$ is adjusted such that $p\left(L_{\text {out }}\right)=101325 \mathrm{~Pa}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ is calculated by $\mathrm{C}_{0}=\frac{1-\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\text {in }}\right)}{\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\text {in }}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\text {in }}\right)}{\mathrm{RT}}-$ $\frac{1-\alpha_{L}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\alpha_{L}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{\left(p_{0}+4 \sigma / \alpha_{0}\right)}{R T}$, with " $x_{\text {in }}$ " the inlet position of the real microchannel.

The system of equations of the complete dissolution model described in Section 5 is solved with the boundary conditions given above for a square microchannel having the same hydraulic diameter as the microchannel of Cubaud et al. (2012) and a length $L_{\text {out }}$. The computed values of $d / w, \alpha_{L}$ and $V_{B} / J_{A}$ along the microchannel are compared to the experimental values of Cubaud et al. (2012) in Fig. 4.

The agreement is good between the computed and the experimental results for $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{w}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$. The small deviation between them can be explained by the fact that the bubble separation distances are smaller in the
experiments than in the numerical simulations and thus the mass transfer around the bubbles is influenced by the preceding bubbles. An accurate value for $L_{c}$ is not provided in the work of Cubaud et al. (2012) and thus the value of 10 cm used in the model is maybe not accurate enough. For $V_{B} / J_{A}$, a difference up to $15 \%$ is observed between the numerical results and the experimental ones. This deviation could be explained by the fact that the bubble interface is maybe not perfectly clean in the experiments, which would invalidate the stress-free boundary condition used in the model. Another source of discrepancy can arise here from the fact that the correlations established for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and Sh are obtained for a square microchannel and not for a rectangular one as in the experiments of Cubaud et al. (2012).


Figure 4: Comparison between the values of $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{w}, \alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ computed with the model and the experimental results of Cubaud et al. (2012) for $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}=110 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$. $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ is subtracted to the x coordinate of the experimental results and only the part of the microchannel where the images are recorded is considered for the comparison.

## 7. Conclusion

The gas-liquid two-phase flow and the mass transfer around spherical bubbles in a square microchannel are studied numerically in this work. Correlations are established for $V_{B} / J_{A}$ and Sh. A model for the dissolution of spherical bubbles along a square microchannel in the bubbly flow regime is proposed. A quite good agreement is observed between the numerical results predicted using this model and the experimental data of Cubaud et al. (2012). It can be seen as a validation for the dissolution model as well as for the correlations for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and Sh (Equations (1) and (2)).

The proposed correlations and model for the dissolution of spherical bubbles can be applied for square microchannels of various sizes and for various combinations of gas and liquid. They are used, for instance, for designing a lab-on-a-chip device for the absorption of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in methanol (to be published).
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