1	Title:
2	Knowledge, attitudes and practices of medical staff towards obesity management in
3	patients with spinal cord injuries: an International Survey of four Western European
4 5	countries
5 6	
7	Authors:
8 9	Samford Wong ^{1,2,3} , Joost van Middendorp ^{1,4} , Maurizio Belci ¹ , , Ilse van Nes ⁵ , Ellen Roels ⁶ , Eimear Smith ⁷ , Shashi P Hirani ³ , Alastair Forbes ^{2,8}
10	
11 12	¹ National Spinal Injuries Centre, Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Aylesbury, UK ² Centre for Gastroenterology and Clinical Nutrition, University College London,
13	London, UK
14 15	³ School of Health Science, City University, London, UK ⁴ Stoke Mandeville Spinal Foundation, Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Aylesbury, UK
15	⁵ Sint Maartenskliniek, Depatmrnt of Rehabilitation, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
17	⁶ Fysische Geneekunde en Revalidatie, UZ Leuven Campus Pellenberg, Belgium
18	⁷ National Rehabilitation & Mater Misericordiae University Hospitals, Dublin,
19	Republic of Ireland
20	⁸ Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
21 22	
22	Correspondence address:
24	Samford Wong, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, National Spinal Injuries
25	Centre, Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Aylesbury, UK HP21 8AL.
26	Work fax: +44 (0)1296 315049
27	Email: <u>Samford.Wong@ucl.ac.uk</u>
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	

44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	Abstract Objective: To 1) examine the opinions of medical staff working in spinal cord injury (SCI) centres (SCICs); 2) evaluate their knowledge, attitudes and practices towards obesity prevention and management; 3) report the number of beds and dietitians available at each SCIC. Methods: A 37-item questionnaire was sent to 23 SCICs in the UK, the Netherlands, Belgium and the Republic of Ireland between September 2012 and January 2013. Results: Eighteen SCICs returned the questionnaires for analysis. All respondents stated that they had an interest in obesity treatment but only 2.3% of the respondents received training in obesity management. Sixty-one percent of staff did not consider body mass index (BMI) to be appropriate for use in SCI patients and subsequently less than half of the respondents use BMI routinely. The majority of respondents reported that they are confident in dealing with overweight (74.5%) and obese (66.1%) SCI adults, less than half (44.1%) are confident in treating overweight and obese SCI children. Respondents also indicated the need for nationally adopted guidelines and a
59 60 61 62	lack of physical-activity provision. There were 18.6 whole-time equivalent (WTE) dietitians recorded in 22 SCICs, equivalent to 45 beds per WTE dietitians (range $10 - 400$). Non-UK SCIC dietitians are significantly better resourced than in UK SCICs (beds per WTE dietitian: 28.9 vs 76.7, p=0.025).
63 64	Conclusion: Medical staff expressed the need to participate in obesity prevention and management. Appropriate training should be considered for all medical staff and the
65 66 67	development of specific weight management guidelines and dietetic provision should be considered.
68	Keywords: Obesity management; Spinal Cord Injuries; Staff survey; Weight
69	management
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	

85 Introduction

Obesity is common after spinal cord injury (SCI). It has become a major clinical and 86 87 public health problem which requires several medical interventions, modifications of individual behaviour and environmental changes.¹ Recent literatures found that upto 88 45% of SCI patients were overweight and 29% were obese^{2,3}. Obesity is recognised as 89 90 both a cause and consequence of disease and it has been shown to be associated with poorer clinical outcomes and increased healthcare costs². There are many health risks 91 92 and associated co-morbidities including hypertension, diabetes, ischaemic heart 93 disease, gallstones, osteoarthritis and some malignancies.¹

94 Yet in clinical practice, many patients, allied health professionals and hospital
95 managers do not realise how common obesity is in hospitalised patients^{4,5}. If ignored,
96 this will cause a greater problem with the development of chronic nutrition-related
97 complications¹.

Among medical staff, knowledge of, attitudes towards and practices in the management of obesity have been studied in various English-speaking countries, especially amongst General Practitioners (GPs)⁶⁻⁹. However, despite high awareness of obesity as a medically significant issue¹⁰, the magnitude of the obesity epidemic remains high and is worsening, particularly in patients with neurological disabilities such as spinal cord injuries². Weight management is not commonly offered to SCI patients, at least not in the UK^{11,12}.

105 SCI specialists have been identified as important potential contributors to the 106 prevention and treatment of overweight and obesity, in part, because of continued 107 involvement during rehabilitation. SCI medical staff are therefore in a unique position 108 to provide guidance to patients. In some countries, SCI consultants will continue to see 109 their patients as part of life-long follow up. They are the most frequently used source 110 for information about weight control and are perceived to be the most reliable formal 111 source of information. However to our knowledge, no studies reporting the views of 112 SCI specialists have been published.

A more detailed understanding of knowledge, attitudes and practice is necessary to determine the best way to facilitate the contribution of SCI medical staff in addressing obesity after SCI. Although there are standard published recommendations for SCI management and optimal staffing levels^{13,14}, these documents do not make specific recommendations on obesity management.

Whilst dietitians are considered essential members of the multidisciplinary team
 (MDT) caring for patients with obesity management,^{1,15} the availability of dietitians in
 British and European SCICs remains variable.⁴

We therefore conducted this international survey in order to include all the SCICs in four western European Countries including Belgium, the Republic of Ireland, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom who share similar management approach for SCI care. The aim of the study were: (i) to examine the opinions of weight management among medical staff working in SCICs; (ii) to evaluate their knowledge, attitudes and practices towards obesity prevention and management; (iii) to report the number of dietitians per bed available at each SCIC.

129

130 Methods

A 37 item cross-sectional survey was developed based on reviewed literature⁸ and was
 modified further by a team of multi-disciplinary professionals working in SCICs.

Three, 3, 4 and 5-point scales were used, in which the participants had to indicate their level of agreement with each statement by selecting one from 'strongly agree', 'agree', 'neutral', 'disagree' or 'strongly disagree'; or in practice statements, from 'very confident', 'fairly confident' or 'not confident' and in service statements, from 'all of the time', 'most of the time', 'occasionally' or 'not at all'.

The questionnaire consisted of five sections; 5 questions on demographic data
and staff awareness; 10 statements on exploring attitudes; 3 statements on self efficacy;
11 statements on major limitations and; 8 statements on service improvements.

141 In addition to gathering baseline demographic data and professional 142 characteristics, a spokesman for each SCIC was asked to provide the number of 143 available SCI beds and the number of whole time equivalent (WTE) dietetic staff.

Because of the small sample size and for ease of presenting the data, most of the responses were grouped together, such that 'agreed' encompassed both 'strongly agreed' and 'agreed', 'disagreed' both 'strongly disagreed' and 'disagreed', and 'most of the time' referring to 'all' and 'most of the time'.

148

149 Ethics

Formal ethical permission to conduct the study was not required by the Stoke Mandeville hospital review board as this was considered to be a clinical audit not involving active patient participation (NRES).¹⁶ This was accepted by the other centres.

The questionnaires were approved by the local clinical audit departments for phrasing and grammar of the questions. In addition, a pilot study (n=3) was performed to assess the content and the time required to complete the questionnaire; feedback from this guided the drafting of the final version of the questionnaire (Appendix 1). For Dutch and Belgian participants, the English survey was translated into native language by the study co-author (JvM) and validated by co-authors (ER and IVN) all of whom are competent in both languages (Appendix 2).

160

161 Survey administration

162 The survey was administered to all medical staff working in the SCICs over four 163 European countries (Belgium: n=3, the Republic of Ireland: n=1; the Netherlands: n=8, 164 and the United Kingdom: n=11) between October 2012 and May 2013, with a covering 165 letter addressed to the local medical lead explaining that findings would be used to 166 identify current knowledge, attitude and practices of medical staff and to identify areas 167 for improvement. Participants were reassured that all findings would be treated 168 anonymously and in confidence to encourage respondents to answer honestly. 169 Completed questionnaires were anonymised prior to analysis. Two reminders were sent 170 (one at 8 weeks and one 12 weeks after the initial survey distribution).

171

172 Statistical analysis

173 Descriptive statistics were used to calculate the response frequency. Data are reported174 as medians (ranges).

Further statistical analysis was conducted to compare the existence of associations between respondents' demographic and professional characteristics and their survey responses. In addition, the dietetics workforce was compared between UK and non-UK SCICs. For numeric data on an ordinal level, the Mann-Whitney test was used, and for cross-tabulation on a nominal level, the Chi-squared test was performed. The data were analysed using Minitab version 15 (Minitab Ltd, Coventry, UK) and significance was accepted if p<0.05.

182

183 Results

184 Medical staff from 23 SCICs were approached. The centres contained a total of 823

185 SCI beds (48 in Belgium, 36 in the Republic of Ireland, 258 in the Netherlands, and

186 481 in the United Kingdom). (Table 1 and Table 2)

The overall SCIC response rate was 78.4% (18/23 SCICs; 59 individual responses, 2-12 responses per SCIC, 63.6% in the United Kingdom (n=7), 66.7% in Belgium (n=2), 62.5% in the Netherlands (n=5) and 100% in the Republic of Ireland (n=1).

191

192 Demographics and professional characteristics

193 Nearly half of the respondents were male (n=26). The median duration of practice in 194 SCICs was 2.5 years. Fifty-four percent (n=32) of respondents were senior 195 doctors/consultants (had completed training) and 67.8% (n=40) were from the UK 196 SCICs. (Table 1)

No junior/trainee doctors reported that they had received formal training in
obesity management of SCI patients and only 2 (6.3%) senior doctors reported that they
had formal training in this area.

200

201 Medical staff attitudes and knowledge towards obesity management

Forty-seven (76%) respondents agreed with the statement, "Obesity is a major health problem amongst patients with SCI and requires urgent action". Non-UK respondents (100% v 70%, p=0.037) and non-UK consultants (100% v 71.4%, p=0.028) were more likely to agree with the statement than UK respondents. (Table 3)

Most respondents believed that they have a role in obesity prevention (64.5%) and offer advice to their patients (77.9%). Most (86.5%) believed that advice on weight maintenance should be given to all patients with SCI in order to prevent obesity. Most respondents (86.4%) believed that weight management should be offered at an early stage rather than waiting until the patients are obese (18.6%).

Although all surveyed SCICs have dietitian support (Table 3), not all respondents reported that their centre has a dietitian that deals with weight management for SCI patients.

214

215 Obesity recognition

Most of the respondents (61%) reported that they do not believe that BMI is an appropriate measure to guide weight management in SCI patients. A minority (35.6%) of the respondents reported they monitor patients' BMI. In the out-patient setting this is even less common (23.7%). Non-UK respondents were less likely to use BMI measurements (26.3% v 35.6% in in-patients; 0% v 35% in out-patients) than UK
respondents.

222

223 Self-reported proficiency / ability

Most respondents felt more confident in treating overweight than obese SCI adults (Table 4). Three out of 4 respondents (74.6%) felt adequately trained to treat patients who are overweight, but only 2/3 (66.1%) of respondents rated themselves competent in managing obesity; fewer than half (44.1%) were confident in treating paediatric obesity, even though most centres were also responsible for the care of children with SCI. (Table 4)

Significantly fewer UK respondents reported being confident in treating obese paediatric patients with SCI than non-UK correspondents (35% v 63.2%, p=0.042, χ^2 : 4.144). (Table 3)

233

234 Barriers to weight management

The leading five obstacles, identified as limitations in delivering optimal care to obese patients, in descending order, were lack of nationally adopted guidelines (64.4%), lack of patient motivation and non-compliance (61%), lack of provision of a suitable physical activity programme (61%), short consultation time for medical staff (55.9%) and lack of specialist weight management clinics to which to refer patients (52.5%). (Table 5)

There were significantly more UK respondents reported short consultation times to be a limiting factor (70% v 26.3%, p=0.015). Similarly, there were significantly more UK respondents who felt they had inadequate training in providing lifestyle and behavioural counselling for their patients when compared to non-UK respondents (65% v 21.1%, p=0.030).

246

247 Weight management strategies

All respondents felt an ideal weight management programme should include dietary advice (100%) and physical activity advice (100%). Leaflets and education material were rated as highly important as preventive measures and in general support. (Table 6)

A large majority of respondents stated that family support (93.2%) and behavioural counselling (88.1%) were important. Most respondents would consider

254	referred their patients to a dietitian (84.7%) as a first treatment step. Pharmacotherapy
255	and bariatric surgery were the least used strategies, only 6.8% of respondents
256	considered anti-obesity medications, and only 3.4% considered bariatric surgery as an
257	option for weight management.
258	
259	Dietetic provision in SCICs

The 22 responding centres house a total of 823 SCI beds. There were 18.42 whole-time equivalent (WTE) dietitians recorded; the median of 65.7 beds per WTE dietitian conceals a huge range (from 10 - 400). The workforce allocation is summarised in Table 1. Non-UK SCICs were significantly better resourced than UK SCICs (beds per WTE dietitian: 29.3 vs 76.7, p=0.0322).

265

266 Suggestions

Ten out of fifty-nine respondents (16.9%) provided additional feedback. All responses
were positive; common suggestions were the need for specific guidelines for weight
management and opportunities to attend training.

270

271 Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first international multicentre survey to report on knowledge, attitudes and practices of SCIC medical staff in weight management and on the provisions of dietitians in SCICs. Previous surveys have primarily focused on obesity management among general practitioners and found that practices regarding obesity management vary widely.⁷⁻⁹

277 Weight gain after SCI is common. This is most likely due to reduced nutritional 278 requirements secondary to enforced inactivity and immobilisation as a result of paralysis and changes in body composition¹⁷, most marked in tetraplegia.¹⁸ In the long 279 280 term, there seems to be a tendency for people with SCI to gain weight. Energy needs 281 tend to decrease as a function of time post-injury related to loss of muscle mass. 282 Desirable body weight / BMI for people with SCI may be lower than for the general population.¹⁹⁻²⁰ After SCI, the percentage of body fat increases and muscle decreases. 283 284 The body composition represented by a fixed BMI will therefore change after SCI. Buccholz's¹⁹ and Laughton's group²⁰ have showed that BMI in chronic SCI subjects 285 286 indicates a much higher body fat percentage as compared to age and gender matched 287 able-bodied subjects suggesting further research to define optimal BMI is needed.

288 All respondents agree that successful weight management should start with 289 prevention. Currently, there are no SCI specific guidelines for prevention and 290 management of overweight and obesity. Generic guidelines published by the UK 291 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) suggest that dietary and 292 lifestyle changes (a reduction in energy intake, following the eat-well plate set by the government)¹ and increased physical activity in conjunction with behaviour 293 modification support should be considered before any anti-obesity medications or 294 bariatric surgery ^{20,21}. 295

Although weight loss has been advocated as a primary treatment strategy for obesity, to date, little high quality evidence exists to support this concept in patients with SCI. To our best knowledge, only limited trials have reported the effect of dietary interventions in obese SCI individuals. Studies demonstrate that a carefully planned program with restricted dietary intake and lifestyle modification could be an effective way to reduce the body weight of obese patients with SCI without compromising total lean body mass and overall health.^{11,12}

It is acknowledged that all patients with SCI should receive dietary advice in order to prevent obesity and its complications. In clinical practice, to include all patients would lead to an unmanageable caseload. To offer educational material and input in patient education sessions may be an alternative, more effective and achievable approach. One UK SCIC offers dietetic input for patients with a BMI of 28 kg/m² or above and the preliminary data has suggested that this approach has helped overweight individuals with SCI to reduce weight without compromising lean body mass.¹²

310 Dietitians see as their remit the management of factors related to obesity 311 surrounding the physiological, psycho-social and ethnic needs of the patient. Professional guidelines and recommendations offer assistance on how dietitians might 312 improve the quality of care and outcomes.²² To tackle malnutrition and nutrition-related 313 314 complications, the dietetic practice manual published by the British Dietetics 315 Association has recommended that each SCIC should have access to a specialist 316 dietitian in order to assess patients' nutritional status and to provide further nutritional advice.²² More recently, the American Dietetic Association has also published 317 guidelines for managing patients with SCI.²⁴ It has emphasised the importance of a 318 319 specialist dietitian in managing patients in acute, rehabilitation and community settings. 320 The present study found considerable variation in dietetic provision among SCICs 321 varied between centres and British centres has significantly lower dietetic provision322 when compare to some non-UK centres.

323

324 Strengths and limitations

The main strength of this study is that it is the first official international survey conducted in a multicentre European setting which obtained an overall 78.4% response rate from across 4 European countries.

Although the respondent sample size (n=59) was small, we feel this is adequate to reflect the views of SCI doctors working in SCICs. To our knowledge, this represents at least 46.8% of all senior medical staff in the UK and Ireland SCICs (15 out of a total 32) which is comparable to the literature (53% response rate).²⁵

Because the centre response rate varied from 2-12 responses per SCIC, some larger centres may be over-represented in the results. In addition, our technique of secondary invitation of respondents by selected lead individuals within a SCIC could introduce selection bias and we acknowledge this; however, guidance was provided to them to circulate the questionnaire to all medical staff, with varying degrees of experience and special interest, working in the SCIC.

There was a predominance of respondents from the UK (n=40) compared to non-UK respondents (n=19). Although this arguably over-represents one country's perspective, it does not reflect the reality of staff mix in the SCI centres. The numbers of senior medical staff surveyed was comparable in the UK and non-UK centres (14 vs 19).

343

344 Conclusion

Limited knowledge among medical staff and variation in dietetic provision in SCIC are probably barriers to effective weight management.⁴ Without proper guidelines and training, it is unlikely that healthcare staff will have sufficient knowledge to identify atrisk patients or to offer appropriate treatment. This study reinforces the need to consider collaborating with national professional bodies to develop SCI-specific weight management guidelines which include clear guidance on optimal dietetic service provision within the SCICs.

352 Contributions

353 SW- Protocol development, Questionnaire development, data analysis, manuscript

354 preparation

355 JvM – Questionnaire translation, manuscript revision

- 356 MB- Clinical supervision, manuscript revision
- 357 IvN, Questionnaire translation, manuscript revision
- 358 ER Questionnaire translation, manuscript revision
- 359 ES- manuscript revision
- 360 SH Statistical supervision, manuscript revision
- 361 AF Academic supervision, manuscript revision and guarantor
- 362
- 363 Acknowledgements:

All authors contributed to the report. The authors are grateful to all medical-staff facilitating the dissemination of study questionnaires from the UK, the Netherlands, Belgium and the Republic of Ireland.

We also thank the following persons who provided information for this study: Anthony
 Twist, Sian Gruffudd, Carolyn Taylor, Kim Paterson, Philippa Bearne, Heather Nunn,

- 369 Rees Colling, Nusrat Kauser, Tebbe Sluis, Christof Smit, Janneke Stolwijk, Dirk van
- 370 Kuppevelt, Govert Snoek, Helma Bongers, Marga Tepper, Willemijn Faber, Hans
- 371 Slootman, David Gobets, Catja Dijkstra, Casper van Koppenhagen and Annick Viaene.
- 372

373 Conflict of interest: Parts of the study data were presented at the International Spinal
 374 Cord Society annual conference in October 2013, in Istanbul, Turkey and the British

Association of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition annual meeting in November 2013, in

376 Harrogate, UK. University College London (UCL) Staff receive support from the

Biomedical Research Centre funding awarded to UCL and its partner Trust by the
National Institute for Health Research.

- 379
- 380
- 381
- 382
- 383
- 384
- 385
- 386
- 387
- 388
- 389
- 390
- 391
- 571
- 392

393 References

- 394 1. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: Guideline on the prevention,
- 395 identification, assessment and management of overweight and obesity in adults and
- 396 children. NICE 2006, London

- 397 <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/11000/30365/30365.pdf</u> [accessed
- 398 25Dec2013]
- 2.Wong S, Derry F, Jamous A, Hirani SP, Grimble G, Forbes A. The prevalence of
 malnutrition in spinal cord injured patients a UK multicentre study. *Br J Nutr* 2012;
- 401 **108**, 918-923.
- 402 3.De Groot S, Post MW, Hoekstra T, Valent LJ, Faber WX, van der Woude LH.
- 403 Trajectories in the course of body mass index after spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med*404 *Rehabil* 2014; **95**, 1083-1092.
- 405 4.Wong S, Derry F, Grimble G, Forbes A. How do spinal cord injury centres manage
 406 malnutrition? A cross-sectional survey of 12 regional centres in the United

407 Kingdom and Ireland. *Spinal Cord* 2012; **50**, 132-135.

- 408 5.Wong S, Derry F, Graham A, Grimble G, Forbes A. An audit to assess awareness and
- 409 knowledge of nutrition in a UK spinal cord injuries centre. *Spinal Cord* 2012; 50,
 410 446-451.
- 411 6. Campbell K, Engel H, Timperio A, Cooper C, Crawford. Obesity Management:
- 412 Australian General Practitioners' attitudes and practices. *Obesity Research* 2000; 8,
 413 459-466.
- 7.Thuan JF, Avignon A. Obesity management: attitudes and practices of French
 general practitioners in a region of France. *Int J Obes* 2005; 29, 1100-1106.
- 416 8.Al-Ghawi A, Uauy R. Study of the knowledge, attitudes and practices of physicians
- 417 towards obesity management in primary health care in Bahrain. *Pub Health Nutr*418 2009; **12**, 1791-1798.
- 419 9.Fogelman Y, Vinker S, Lachter J, Biderman A, Itzhak B, Kitai E. Managing
- 420 obesity: a survey of attitudes and practices among Israeli primary care physicians.
- 421 *Int J Obes* 2002; **26**, 1393-1397.
- 422 10. Kristeller JL, Hoerr RA. Physician attitudes toward managing obesity:
- 423 differences among six speciality groups. *Prev Med* 1997; **26**, 542-549.
- 424 11. Chen Y, Henson S, Jackson AB, Richards JS. Obesity intervention in persons
 425 with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2006; 44, 82-91.
- 426 12. Wong S, Graham A, Grimble, Forbes A. Spinal Clinic for Obese Out-patient
 427 Project (SCOOP) a 1 year report. *Food Nutr Sci* 2011; 2, 901-907.

428 13. Joint Standard Development Groups of the South England Review Group

429 (2010) Standard for patients requiring spinal cord injury care (Revised 2010).

430 <u>http://www.secscg.nhs.uk/EasySiteWeb/getresource.axd?AssetID=99975&type=full</u>

432 14. NHS England (2013) NHS standard contract for spinal cord injuries (all

433 ages). NHS England, Redditch. <u>http://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-</u>

434 <u>content/uploads/2013/06/d13-spinal-cord.pdf</u> [accessed 09.09.2013]

- 435 15. Gall A, Turner-Stokes L, Guideline Development Group. Chronic spinal cord
- 436 injury: management of patients in acute hospital settings. *Clin Med* 2008; **8**, 70-74.

437 16. National Research Ethics Service. Is Your Project Research? 2011.

```
438 <u>http://www.nres.nhs.uk/applications/is-your-project-research/</u> (accessed on
```

439 24June2013)

440 17. Spungen A, Bauman WA, Wang J, Pierson RN. The relationship between total
441 body potassium and resting energy expenditure in individuals with paraplegia. *Arch*442 *Phys Med Rehabil* 1993; 66, 420-426.

443 18. Mollinger LA, Spurr GB, El Ghatit AZ, Barboriak JJ, Rooney CB, Davidoff

444 DD, Bongard RD. Daily energy expenditure and basal metabolic rates of patients

445 with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rahabil* 1985; **66**, 420-426.

446 19. Buchholz AC, Bugaresti JM. A review of body mass index and waist
447 circumference as markers of obesity and coronary heart disease risk in persons with
448 chronic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2005; 43, 513-518.

Laughton GE, Buchholz AC, Martin Ginis KA, Goy RE. Lowering body mass
index cutoffs better identifies obese persons with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord*2009; 47, 757-762.

452 21. Wong S, Barnes T, Coggrave M, Forbes A, Pounds-Cornish E, Appleton S,

453 Belci M (2013) Morbid obesity after spinal cord injury: an ailment not to be treated?
454 *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013; **67**, 998-999.

455 22. Joint Standard Development Groups of the South England Review Group.

456 Standard for Patients Requiring Spinal Cord Injury Care (Revised 2010) 2010.

- 457 http://www.secscg.nhs.uk/EasySiteWeb/getresource.axd?AssetID¹/₄99975&type¹/₄fu
- 458 Il&servicetype=Attachment (accessed 20 November 2013).

^{431 &}lt;u>&servicetype=Attachment</u> assessed 20 November 2010.

Thomas B, Bishop J. Manual of Dietetic Practice. Blackwell Publishing: 23. Oxford, 2007.

- American Dietetic Association (2009) Spinal cord injury (SCI). Evidence-based 24. nutrition practice guideline. American Dietetic Association, Chicago. http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=14889 [accessed 20 Nov 2013]
- 25. Ferrante J, Piasecki AK, Ohman-Strickland PA, Crabtree BF. Family Physicians' practices and attitudes regarding care of extremely obese patients. Obesity 2009; 17, 1710-1716.