



Colony size predicts division of labour in Attine ants.

Journal:	<i>Proceedings B</i>
Manuscript ID:	RSPB-2014-1411.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Aug-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Ferguson-Gow, Henry; University of East Anglia, School of Biological Sciences; ZSL London Zoo, Institute of Zoology Sumner, Seirian; University of Bristol, School of Biological Sciences Bourke, Andrew; University of East Anglia, School of Biological Sciences Jones, Kate; University College London, Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research
Subject:	Behaviour < BIOLOGY, Evolution < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	Division of labour, Formicidae, social evolution , queen-worker dimorphism, worker size polymorphism
Proceedings B category:	Evolutionary Biology

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1 Colony size predicts division of labour in Attine ants

2 Henry Ferguson-Gow^{1,2} *†, Seirian Sumner^{2,3}, Andrew F. G. Bourke¹ and Kate E. Jones^{2,4}

3 ¹ School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich,
4 Norfolk, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom

5 ² Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent’s Park, London, NW1 4RY,
6 United Kingdom

7 ³ Current address: School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Woodland Road,
8 Bristol, BS8 1UG, United Kingdom

9 ⁴ Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of Genetics, Evolution and
10 Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United
11 Kingdom

12

13 * Corresponding authors: h.ferguson-gow@ucl.ac.uk and kate.e.jones@ucl.ac.uk

14

15 † Current address: Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of
16 Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London,
17 WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

18 Summary

19 Division of labour is central to the ecological success of eusocial insects, yet the evolutionary
20 factors driving increases in complexity in division of labour are little known. The size-
21 complexity hypothesis proposes that, as larger colonies evolve, both non-reproductive and
22 reproductive division of labour become more complex as workers and queens act to maximise
23 inclusive fitness. Using a statistically robust phylogenetic comparative analysis of social and
24 environmental traits of species within the ant tribe Attini, we show that colony size is
25 positively related to both non-reproductive (worker size variation) and reproductive (queen-
26 worker dimorphism) division of labour. The results also suggested that colony size acts on
27 non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour in different ways. Environmental
28 factors, including measures of variation in temperature and precipitation, had no significant
29 effects on any division of labour measure or colony size. Overall, these results support the
30 size-complexity hypothesis for the evolution of social complexity and division of labour in
31 eusocial insects. Determining the evolutionary drivers of colony size may help contribute to
32 our understanding of the evolution of social complexity.

33 Key Index words: Formicidae, queen-worker dimorphism, worker size polymorphism, social
34 evolution, caste evolution

35

36 1. Introduction

37 Insect eusociality represents one of the major transitions in evolution [1-3]. In these events,
 38 groups of formerly free-living individuals become sufficiently integrated to be considered
 39 individuals in their own right. A key component of this process is the evolution of division of
 40 labour [1, 4, 5]. In eusocial societies, the presence of a sterile caste (workers) and a dedicated
 41 reproductive caste (queens) creates a reproductive division of labour, while behavioural or
 42 morphological specialization within the worker caste on tasks such as brood care, nest
 43 maintenance, foraging and defence creates a non-reproductive division of labour. In 'simple'
 44 eusocial societies, queens are morphologically similar to workers, and workers are
 45 monomorphic. In 'complex' eusocial societies, queen-worker dimorphism is extreme and there
 46 is wide variation in worker size, often accompanied by discrete physical worker castes [4, 6,
 47 7]. Previous studies have suggested positive effects of division of labour on the foraging
 48 efficiency and colony productivity of social insects, and hence on their ecological success [8-
 49 11]. However, the evolutionary determinants of division of labour have been less well
 50 researched.

51 The 'size-complexity hypothesis' proposes that, as colony size increases, workers and queens
 52 maximize their inclusive fitness by specializing in non-reproductive and reproductive roles,
 53 respectively [4, 6, 12, 13]. Since such specialization permits further increases in colony size,
 54 the degree of non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour both increase via positive
 55 feedback between social complexity and colony size. The hypothesis therefore leads to the
 56 prediction that colony size is positively associated with two key aspects of social complexity -
 57 non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour.

58 Although theoretical models [14, 15] and single taxon experimental studies [16-18] offer
 59 some support for the size-complexity hypothesis, whether the predicted across-species
 60 relationships occur remains unclear, as early comparative studies [6, 19] were informal and
 61 lacked an explicit evolutionary framework [20]. More recent phylogenetic comparative

studies across formicoid ant species [21] and corbiculate bees [22] found positive correlations between colony size and measures of social complexity. While informative, these studies either omitted species with very large colony sizes ($> 10^6$ workers or more) [21], potentially missing the predicted relationships [4], or measured social complexity as a single variable [22], potentially missing the independent effects of colony size on individual components of social complexity, namely the extent of reproductive and non-reproductive division of labour [21].

Moreover, no previous study has considered possible effects of environmental factors, yet these also potentially influence the relationship between colony size and division of labour. For example, in ants, a non-linear relationship exists between colony size and primary productivity such that higher primary productivities are associated with decreasing colony size [23]. This suggests that it is important to control for environmental factors when analysing correlates of colony size across species. Environmental factors may also influence division of labour directly. Experiments show that in the desert ant *Cataglyphis velox*, smaller workers forage at lower temperatures than larger ones, suggesting that worker size variation has evolved as a mechanism for colonies to cope with diurnal fluctuations in temperature [24]. Overall, therefore, the potential role of environmental factors needs to be considered to gain a full understanding of the evolution of division of labour.

To test for evolutionary relationships between division of labour, colony size and environmental factors, we gathered species-specific data on social traits and evolutionary relationships and conducted a phylogenetically-controlled comparative analysis within the neotropical ant tribe Attini. We used worker size variation and queen-worker dimorphism as measures of non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour, respectively. We selected ants as the focal taxon because ants are the most socially diverse and ecologically successful social insect group [7, 25]. We focused on the tribe Attini because this taxon (252 species) exhibits wide variation in worker size, queen-worker dimorphism and colony size, and occurs in a relatively broad range of habitats and latitudes [26-32]. In addition, the Attini are

predominantly monogynous [26], i.e., having a single queen heading a colony, such that the size-complexity hypothesis can be tested in the absence of confounding effects of variation in colony genetic and social structure brought about by polygyny (multiple queens heading colonies) [4, 33]. Controlling for environmental variation, we show that evolutionary increases in colony size across the Attini are associated with increases in both worker size variation and queen-worker dimorphism.

2. Materials and Methods

(a) Data collection

We used all Attini genus names, including synonyms, as search terms in Web of Knowledge, Scopus and Google Scholar literature databases up to 2013. Literature sources resulting from this search were scanned manually and relevant data were extracted. Data from secondary sources were excluded. Additional data were collected from AntWeb (<http://www.antweb.org>). Data from 58 sources covering 632 observations of populations for 57 out of a total of 252 species in the Attini were collected (see electronic supplementary material, table S1). These data represented all Attine genera (except for the socially parasitic *Pseudoatta*, a derived form of *Acromyrmex* [34], and the recently erected genus *Paramyrcetophylax*). Taxonomic names followed the Bolton World Catalogue (<http://www.antweb.org>).

Data were collected and stored in a database following recommendations in Kattge *et al.* [35]. The following traits were recorded: worker and queen size measured as head width in mm (92 observations of populations for 36 and 39 species for worker and queen head widths, respectively), colony size (number of workers at colony maturity) (178 observations, 43 species) and geographical location (362 observations, 48 species). Where specific coordinates were not supplied in the source reference, they were inferred from the description of the locality except where the specified area exceeded 20 km². In these cases the locality was

deemed to be uninformative and excluded from analysis. Head-width measurements taken from AntWeb (<http://www.antweb.org>) (17 and 13 species for worker and queen head widths, respectively) were measured using the image analysis software ImageJ [36]. To ensure the measurements obtained from the specimens on AntWeb were representative, we compared the measurements obtained from images of seven species well represented both in the literature and on AntWeb. In all cases the AntWeb measurements were not significantly different from those obtained from the literature (paired *t*-test, $t=1.044$, $p=0.34$, $n=7$).

We calculated per-species means for colony size and worker and queen head width (see electronic supplementary material, table S1) by averaging the mean value from each observation weighted by its sample size as:

$$\bar{x} \text{ mean trait value} = \frac{\sum (x_s n_s)}{\sum n_s}$$

where x_s is the mean of the observation, n_s is the observation sample size, and $\sum n_s$ is the sum of all sample sizes of the observations contributing to the per-species mean for each trait. Observation sample sizes ranged from 1-1016; however, in many cases, observation sample sizes were not given in the original source and here we assumed it to equal 1. We report $\sum n_s$ as the sample size for each per-species mean trait value as this is more appropriate to the nature of our data than the number of sources.

To measure non-reproductive division of labour for each species, we quantified worker size variation using the coefficient of variation in worker head width (36 species) following previous authors [21]. We selected the coefficient of variation as it was an objective measure of trait variation that avoided subjective assessment of the number of discrete worker castes. In addition, using number of worker castes to measure worker size variation would not quantify non-reproductive division of labour correctly in species with size-based polyethism and a continuous distribution of worker sizes [8, 9]. Worker size variation was calculated as:

$$139 \quad \text{Worker size variation} = 100 \left(\frac{\sigma \text{ worker head width}}{\bar{x} \text{ worker head width}} \right)$$

140 where \bar{x} = mean, σ = standard deviation. Standard deviation of worker head width was
 141 calculated as the standard deviation of all mean worker head width observations contributing
 142 to each per-species value, and \bar{x} worker head width was calculated by averaging the mean
 143 value from each observation weighted by its sample size. Our measure of worker size
 144 variation was not influenced by sample sizes: a linear regression model (for data where
 145 observation sample sizes were known, controlling for study effort) of square root worker size
 146 variation and $\log \Sigma n_s$ was not significant ($\log \Sigma n_s$, beta = 0.002, df = 2, 30, $p = 0.857$).

147 To measure reproductive division of labour for each species, we quantified queen-worker
 148 dimorphism as the percentage difference between mean queen head width and mean worker
 149 head width (30 species), i.e. as:

$$150 \quad \text{Queen – worker dimorphism} \\ = 100 \left(\frac{2(\bar{x} \text{ queen head width} - \bar{x} \text{ worker head width})}{\bar{x} \text{ queen head width} + \bar{x} \text{ worker head width}} \right)$$

151 For both measures we selected head width as a measure of body size because it is the most
 152 commonly reported measure of queen and worker size in the literature and, although showing
 153 allometric variation in some cases (e.g. *Atta* [37]), it correlates well with body size [7, 38-40].

154 To quantify environmental variation we downloaded the following data layers from the online
 155 database BioClim (<http://www.worldclim.org/bioclim>): diurnal temperature range,
 156 isothermality, temperature seasonality, and precipitation seasonality. We resampled BioClim
 157 data from its original resolution into a grid size of 10 arc-minutes per pixel (approximately
 158 20km² at the equator) to reflect the threshold at which we discarded locality information. The
 159 R package “raster” [41] was used to extract these environmental values for sets of coordinates
 160 derived from the source references for each ant species and mean values for each species were
 161 calculated for use in subsequent analyses (48 species). Species locations ranged from latitudes

between 41.0° (DEC) and -29.7° (DEC), showing a broad range of environmental variation (see electronic supplementary material, table S1, figure S1).

(b) Phylogenetic reconstruction

Analyses of traits across species are often confounded by non-independence because closely related taxa have similar traits due to shared evolutionary history [42]. This non-independence can be statistically controlled for in analyses by incorporating an estimate of evolutionary relatedness. However, constructing rigorous and unbiased estimates of evolutionary relationships for all the taxa of interest is challenging when existing phylogenetic studies are incomplete and conflicting and use non-overlapping datasets [43]. Previous phylogenetic analyses of social traits in ants have not used formal methods to link separate phylogenies or cover missing taxa [21, 44, 45], resulting in phylogenetic estimates that may be biased and that contain no estimates of uncertainty.

Here, we go beyond previous studies and construct an Attini consensus phylogeny that analyses the available phylogenetic hypotheses to generate a new, unbiased estimate, accompanied by calculations of uncertainty. We constructed a phylogeny using supertree protocols [43, 46, 47], since these methods allowed us to produce a tree that maximised the number of species in the resulting phylogeny and therefore the phylogenetic overlap with the species in our trait dataset. Available phylogenetic information for Attini is mainly based on morphological characters and is not well represented by genetic sequences in GenBank. As other consensus phylogenetic methods rely on constructing an estimate from genetic sequences (e.g. the supermatrix approach [48]), we chose the supertree method as the most appropriate for these data as it can combine both morphological and genetic evidence. We used matrix representation with parsimony (MRP) [43, 46]. This method involves coding the topologies of published phylogenies into a weighted character matrix that is analysed using maximum parsimony to produce a composite tree [49]. MRP was selected for consistency with previous studies employing supertree methods [46, 50] and has been shown to return

trees as well supported as those derived using other methods [51-53]. Prior to analysis we implemented safe taxonomic reduction [54] to remove species that had little or no phylogenetic signal, which if retained would reduce the resolution of the final tree. The final matrix had 71 out of 252 species drawn from 12 source phylogenies (see the electronic supplementary material, table S2), representing all genera of Attini (except for *Paramyctophylax*). We implemented a parsimony ratchet [55] in PAUP* v.4.0b10 [56] to analyse the matrix, and took the resulting consensus. Support values for each node of the tree were generated using rQS [57], which prunes the supertree and each source tree to confer identical taxon sets on them and then compares the topologies, assigning each node a score between +1 (full support) and -1 (total conflict). Positive rQS values indicate support for a node. We obtained, aligned and concatenated 4321 bp of sequence data for five genes (*wingless*, *long-wavelength Rhodopsin*, *elongation factor 1 alpha 1*, *elongation factor 1 alpha 2* and *cytochrome oxidase subunit 1*) from species of the Attini represented in GenBank [58]. We used the software packages BEAST [59] in conjunction with the alignment to calculate relative branching time estimates for the species shared between the alignment and the supertree following previous studies [46] under a strict molecular clock [60]. Three Attini fossils were used as calibration points at nodes 11 [61], 50 [62] and 54 [63] and a non-Attini fossil (*Pheidole*) [64] was used to date node 1 (see electronic supplementary material, figure S2), allowing dates to be calculated from relative branch lengths. The perl script *chronographer.pl* [65] was used to infer missing node ages based on a pure-birth model resulting in a supertree topology with branching time estimates following [46]. The final supertree was deposited in TreeBASE (<http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phyloids/study/TB2:S14540>).

(c) Data analysis

We tested all social traits for phylogenetic signal using the phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) function of the R package "caper" [66]. All traits contained phylogenetic signal (worker size variation $\lambda = 0.97$, queen-worker dimorphism $\lambda = 0.94$ and

215 colony size $\lambda = 0.91$), and so we used phylogenetically-controlled regression models in
216 subsequent analyses.

217 Data were checked for normality and outliers. We used a square-root transformation for
218 worker size variation and a natural log. transformation for queen-worker dimorphism and
219 colony size to normalize the data. We checked for collinearity in all models separately by
220 calculating variance inflation factors (VIF) for each covariate. Covariates were sequentially
221 eliminated starting with the largest VIF until all VIFs were less than three [67].

222 Before fitting any models we removed species from the analysis with any missing data,
223 resulting in a dataset of 19 species. We adopted an information-theoretic approach to analyse
224 the effects of social and environmental factors on non-reproductive and reproductive division
225 of labour. PGLS models describing each possible iteration of specific hypotheses were fitted
226 to the data. We used the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) to assess model fit,
227 and calculated small-sample AICc weight and ΔAICc (the difference in AICc between the
228 model in question and the best fitting model) for each model. Models with $\Delta\text{AICc} < 7$ were
229 considered uninformative and were discarded [68]. Since no model had an AICc weight > 0.44
230 and the informative models for each hypothesis included between them all covariates, we do
231 not report a single best model. We instead report relative importance and averaged parameter
232 estimates from the set of informative models [68].

233 The averaged models were based on a single consensus phylogenetic tree (a strict consensus
234 of 10,000 equally parsimonious trees). Parameter estimates of the models are influenced by the
235 phylogenetic estimate used and therefore are sensitive to other reconstructions [69]. To
236 investigate the effects of phylogenetic uncertainty on our analysis, we fitted PGLS models on
237 a dated sample of 1,000 of the 10,000 most parsimonious trees from the PAUP* analysis of
238 the MRP matrix. We selected only variables that had a cumulative AICc weight of > 0.4 for
239 these models. This allowed more accurate measurements of parameter estimates, which were

generated as means from the sample of models, and of 95% phylogenetic uncertainty intervals [69].

3. Results

Mean worker size variation ranged from 0.23 to 64.37 (36 species), queen-worker dimorphism from 1.54% to 84.25% (30 species) and colony size from 16 to 6×10^6 workers (43 species). The largest values for all these traits were found in the genera *Atta* and *Acromyrmex* (the leafcutter ants) (figure 1).

(a) Phylogenetic reconstruction.

The topology of our supertree (electronic supplementary material, figure S2) was broadly in agreement with the most recent molecular phylogeny for the Attini [70]. Clades that emerged as paraphyletic were *Cyphomyrmex* (with respect to *Mycetophylax conformis*) (node 7) and *Trachymyrmex* (with respect to *Sericomyrmex*) (node 12). None of these relationships are novel [70-72] and no novel clades were generated [73]. Furthermore, the supertree recovered the three clades of Attini defined by the nature of their fungal-agricultural system, i.e. the lower Attines (which cultivate environmentally derived fungi), the higher Attines excluding leafcutters (which engage in obligate fungal symbiosis but do not harvest fresh leaves) and the leafcutters (which engage in obligate fungal symbiosis and harvest fresh leaves) [31, 70]. The mean rQS score over 10000 bootstrap replicates of the tree was 0.282 and only three (nodes 52, 59 and 60) of the 60 nodes had a negative rQS score (reflecting more mismatches than matches in the source trees) (see electronic supplementary material, table S3). We dated the root node (node 1) to 37.7 million years (MY) ago, the node representing the origin of the higher Attini to 17.3 MY ago and the origin of the leafcutters to 12 MY ago. While this root estimate is 8.3-17.3 MY younger than equivalent nodes on other molecular trees [70], the other values of the other nodes are within the confidence intervals of previous estimates [70].

(b) Determinants of non-reproductive division of labour

Colony size was significantly positively correlated with worker size variation (table 1; figure 2). All models featured colony size as a covariate and had a range of high R^2 values (0.770-0.818), and colony size had a cumulative AICc weight of 1, showing its importance in all supported models. Furthermore, colony size was the only covariate in the averaged model to have confidence intervals that did not include zero (table 1). The presence of queen-worker dimorphism, mean diurnal temperature range and precipitation seasonality in the averaged model suggest they have an effect on worker size variation; however, all three of these covariates had confidence intervals that included zero (table 1). Models omitting colony size had no support ($w_i=0$ in both cases, electronic supplementary material, table S4a). These models were robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (table 1). Differences in mating systems among the Attini could have potentially confounded our analyses as species that were found to exhibit the largest colony sizes and worker size variation (leafcutter ants) are polyandrous [72]. To investigate this, we reanalysed our data including mating system as a dichotomous variable (0, monandrous and 1, polyandrous) in the models. We used all data on the presence and absence of polyandry from the literature and, for non-leafcutter ant species where data were not available, we assumed monandry (electronic supplementary material, table S1). We found the significance of the correlation between colony size and worker size variation to be unchanged whilst controlling for queen-worker dimorphism and mating system (for colony size, $\beta = 0.271$ (CI = 0.133, 0.509), $W = 0.93$, results from an averaged model).

(c) Determinants of reproductive division of labour.

To complement the analysis of Fjerdingstad & Crozier [21], which found that colony size and worker size variation across 35 ant species were not significantly associated after controlling for queen-worker dimorphism, we first ran a model that included worker size variation as a covariate. This found no effect of colony size on queen-worker dimorphism. The resulting averaged model had only parameter estimates with confidence intervals that included zero

(table 1). The best fitting model set also captured less of the variation in queen-worker dimorphism than the models for worker size variation ($r^2 = 0.031-0.342$). These analyses were robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (table 1). However, according to our VIF threshold (VIF for worker size variation = 4.80), colony size and worker size variation could not be in the model together. We therefore ran models omitting worker size variation, which showed colony size to be a positive predictor of queen-worker dimorphism (table 1). The effect was not as powerful as the effect of colony size on worker size variation, and the covariate was not universally shared in the most informative models (cumulative AICc weight = 0.85). Overall, therefore, we found a significant positive correlation between colony size and queen-worker dimorphism, but this result was weaker than the correlation of colony size with worker size variation. Moreover, it disappeared when worker size variation was included as a covariate, either because of shared variance or because worker size variation predicts queen-worker dimorphism better than colony size.

(d) Environmental determinants of colony size.

We found no significant correlations between colony size and any of the environmental variables tested (table 1). The r^2 value of all models was low (range 0.001-0.211) and in all resulting average models the confidence intervals of the covariates overlapped with zero.

4. Discussion

In agreement with the size-complexity hypothesis [4, 6, 12, 13], our study shows that colony size is significantly positively correlated with measures of non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour in a tribe of ants. These findings provide novel support for the size-complexity hypothesis; we detected a strong relationship between colony size and worker size variation independent of the effects of queen-worker dimorphism, we controlled for environmental factors and we separated social complexity into component traits. Our results are also consistent with a recent study linking colony size with another predicted correlate of

social complexity [4, 6, 12], namely divergence in queen and worker lifespans in the eusocial Hymenoptera [44]. In addition, our results strengthen the idea that group size and complexity are positively related in the evolution of other levels of complexity within the hierarchy of major transitions, such as the evolution of multicellularity [4, 5, 13, 74].

We found no evidence for any effects of environmental factors on worker size variation, queen-worker dimorphism or colony size. Although colony size and primary productivity appear to be associated in ants, the relationship is non-linear [23] and, in general, relationships between colony size, latitude and climatic variables vary considerably across ant taxa [75]. Therefore, the lack of effects of environmental factors in our study could have arisen because Attini are exceptions to the colony size-primary productivity relationship or because the study sampled species across the range of primary productivities where the relationship is approximately flat [23].

Our results suggest that colony size acts upon the two forms of division labour in different ways. Specifically, we found that the positive association between colony size and queen-worker dimorphism became non-significant when worker size variation was included, whereas the positive association between colony size and worker size variation remained significant in both the presence and absence of queen-worker dimorphism. If the two forms of division of labour responded to increasing colony size in the same way, we would have expected to see any combination of the two measures result in the absence of a positive association (due to very high colinearity). One plausible scenario that could account for our findings is non-simultaneous evolution of the two traits. A potential mechanism for this arises from an assumption of the size-complexity hypothesis, namely that the chance of any given worker attaining direct fitness falls as colonies evolve to become larger [4, 6, 12]. If so, this would lead workers' inclusive fitness interests to coincide more closely with those of queens at larger colonies sizes [4], since workers would be more strongly selected to maximise their fitness indirectly by aiding the direct reproduction of queens. Selection for worker size variation, which helps improve colony productivity [9, 76], might then lead to even stronger

selection for increased fecundity in queens and hence to greater queen-worker dimorphism.

This hypothesis could be tested by investigating the order of trait divergence among worker size variation, queen-worker dimorphism and colony size, or by investigating the rates of evolutionary change of these traits.

An unexpected association from our results was a link between fungal-agricultural system and colony size. This was shown by the clustering of the three agricultural groups within the Attini, i.e., lower Attines, higher Attines (excluding leafcutter ants) and leafcutter ants, within the continuum of association between worker size variation and colony size (figure 2). To investigate this more formally, we examine the relationship between colony size and fungal-agricultural system. We find that colony size has a highly significant effect on agricultural system when treated as either a continuous variable (PGLS, $\beta=0.12$, $p < 0.001$), or a categorical variable (univariate multinomial logistic regression, see electronic supplementary material, multinomial model analysis). Although it is not possible from current data to determine the evolutionary sequence of events, a possible scenario is that shifts in the fungal-agricultural system in the Attini act as ecological drivers permitting increases in colony size, and that these then lead to increases in the complexity of division of labour proposed by the size-complexity hypothesis and detected by our analysis.

As phylogenetic reconstructions and large datasets of social and environmental trait data become increasingly available, studies like the present one that combine the power of phylogenetically-controlled analyses with the rich social and ecological diversity of eusocial insects will help test the size-complexity hypothesis in additional taxa and, more generally, investigate further how social and environmental factors influence the evolution of social complexity and division of labour within societies.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tracie Evans and Elizabeth Rowse for help with data collection, Olaf Bininda-Emonds for help with phylogenetic reconstruction and Koos (J.J.) Boomsma, David Redding,

370 Kim Mroz and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript. This study was
 371 funded by a NERC Open CASE PhD studentship (NE/H018565/1) (H.F.-G.).

372 References

- 373 1. Maynard-Smith, J. & Szathmary, E. 1995 *The major transitions in evolution*, Oxford
 374 University Press, Oxford.
- 375 2. Queller, D.C. & Strassmann, J.E. 2009 Beyond society: the evolution of organismality.
 376 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc London., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.* **364**, 3143-3155.
- 377 3. Boomsma, J.J. 2013 Beyond promiscuity: mate-choice commitments in social breeding.
 378 *Philos. Trans. R. Soc London., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.* **368**, 20120050.
- 379 4. Bourke, A.F.G. 2011 *Principles of social evolution*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 380 5. Simpson, C. 2012 The evolutionary history of division of labour. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser.*
 381 *B: Biol Sci* **279**, 116-121.
- 382 6. Bourke, A. 1999 Colony size, social complexity and reproductive conflict in social insects.
 383 *J. Evol. Biol.* **12**, 245-257.
- 384 7. Hölldobler, B. & Wilson, E.O. 1990 *The Ants*, Cambridge MA: Belknap Press.
- 385 8. Arnan, X., Ferrandiz-Rovira, M., Pladevall, C. & Rodrigo, A. 2011 Worker size-related
 386 task partitioning in the foraging strategy of a seed-harvesting ant species. *Behav. Ecol.*
 387 *Sociobiol.* **65**, 1881-1890.
- 388 9. Beshers, S.N. & Traniello, J.F. 1994 The adaptiveness of worker demography in the attine
 389 ant *Trachymyrmex septentrionalis*. *Ecology* **75**, 763-775.
- 390 10. Passera, L., Roncin, E., Kaufmann, B. & Keller, L. 1996 Increased soldier production in
 391 ant colonies exposed to intraspecific competition. *Nature* **379**, 630-631.
- 392 11. Yang, A.S., Martin, C.H. & Nijhout, H.F. 2004 Geographic variation of caste structure
 393 among ant populations. *Curr. Biol.* **14**, 514-519.
- 394 12. Alexander, R.D., Noonan, K.M. & Crespi, B.J. 1991 The evolution of eusociality. *The*
 395 *biology of the naked mole-rat*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press
- 396 13. Bonner, J. 2004 Perspective: the size-complexity rule. *Evolution* **58**, 1883-1890.

- 397 14. Jeon, J. & Choe, J.C. 2003 Reproductive skew and the origin of sterile castes. *The Am.*
 398 *Nat.* **161**, 206-224.
- 399 15. Gautrais, J., Theraulaz, G., Deneubourg, J.L. & Anderson, C. 2002 Emergent polyethism
 400 as a consequence of increased colony size in insect societies. *J. Theor. Biol.* **215**, 363-373.
- 401 16. Jeanne, R.L. 1986 The organization of work in *Polybia occidentalis*: costs and benefits of
 402 specialization in a social wasp. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **19**, 333-341.
- 403 17. Karsai, I. & Wenzel, J.W. 1998 Productivity, individual-level and colony-level flexibility,
 404 and organization of work as consequences of colony size. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci USA* **95**, 8665-
 405 8669.
- 406 18. Thomas, M.L. & Elgar, M.A. 2003 Colony size affects division of labour in the ponerine
 407 ant *Rhytidoponera metallica*. *Naturwissenschaften* **90**, 88-92.
- 408 19. Anderson, C. & McShea, D.W. 2001 Individual versus social complexity, with particular
 409 reference to ant colonies. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **76**, 211-237.
- 410 20. Dornhaus, A., Powell, S. & Bengtson, S. 2012 Group Size and Its Effects on Collective
 411 Organization. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **57**, 123-141.
- 412 21. Fjerdingstad, E.J. & Crozier, R.H. 2006 The evolution of worker caste diversity in social
 413 insects. *Am. Nat.* **167**, 390-400.
- 414 22. Rodriguez-Serrano, E., Inostroza-Michael, O., Avaria-Llautureo, J. & Hernandez, C.E.
 415 2012 Colony size evolution and the origin of eusociality in corbiculate bees (Hymenoptera:
 416 Apinae). *PLoS One* **7**, e40838.
- 417 23. Kaspari, M. 2005 Global energy gradients and size in colonial organisms: worker mass
 418 and worker number in ant colonies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 5079-5083.
- 419 24. Cerdá, X. & Retana, J. 1997 Links between worker polymorphism and thermal biology in
 420 a thermophilic ant species. *Oikos* **78**, 467-474.
- 421 25. Moreau, C.S. & Bell, C.D. 2013 Testing the museum versus cradle tropical biological
 422 diversity hypothesis: phylogeny, diversification, and ancestral biogeographic range evolution
 423 of the ants. *Evolution* **67**, 2240-2257.

- 424 26. Mehdiabadi, N.J. & Schultz, T.R. 2010 Natural history and phylogeny of the fungus-
 425 farming ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Myrmicinae: Attini). *Myrmecological News* **13**, 37-
 426 55.
- 427 27. Rabeling, C., Cover, S.P., Johnson, R.A. & Mueller, U.G. 2007 A review of the North
 428 American species of the fungus-gardening ant genus *Trachymyrmex* (Hymenoptera:
 429 Formicidae). *Zootaxa* **1664**, 1-53.
- 430 28. Hughes, W.O., Sumner, S., Van Borm, S. & Boomsma, J.J. 2003 Worker caste
 431 polymorphism has a genetic basis in *Acromyrmex* leaf-cutting ants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
 432 *USA* **100**, 9394-9397.
- 433 29. Mueller, U.G., Rehner, S.A. & Schultz, T.R. 1998 The evolution of agriculture in ants.
 434 *Science* **281**, 2034-2038.
- 435 30. Mueller, U.G., Schultz, T.R., Currie, C.R., Adams, R.M. & Malloch, D. 2001 The origin
 436 of the attine ant-fungus mutualism. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **76**, 169-197.
- 437 31. Henrik, H., Schiøtt, M., Rogowska-Wrzesinska, A., Nygaard, S., Roepstorff, P. &
 438 Boomsma, J.J. 2013 Laccase detoxification mediates the nutritional alliance between leaf-
 439 cutting ants and fungus-garden symbionts. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 583-587.
- 440 32. Murakami, T., Higashi, S. & Windsor, D. 2000 Mating frequency, colony size, polyethism
 441 and sex ratio in fungus-growing ants (Attini). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **48**, 276-284.
- 442 33. Frumhoff, P.C. & Ward, P.S. 1992 Individual-level selection, colony-level selection, and
 443 the association between polygyny and worker monomorphism in ants. *Am. Nat.* **139**, 559-590.
- 444 34. Cristiano, M.P., Cardoso, D.C. & Fernandes-Salomão, T.M. 2013 Cytogenetic and
 445 molecular analyses reveal a divergence between *Acromyrmex striatus* (Roger, 1863) and other
 446 congeneric species: taxonomic implications. *PLoS One* **8**, e59784.
- 447 35. Kattge, J., Ogle, K., Bönisch, G., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Madin, J., Nadrowski, K., Nöllert,
 448 S., Sartor, K. & Wirth, C. 2011 A generic structure for plant trait databases. *Methods Ecol.*
 449 *Evol.* **2**, 202-213.
- 450 36. Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. & Eliceiri, K.W. 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
 451 image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671-675.

- 452 37. Oster, G.F. & Wilson, E.O. 1978 *Caste and ecology in the social insects*, Princeton, NJ:
453 Princeton University Press.
- 454 38. Kaspari, M. 1993 Body size and microclimate use in Neotropical granivorous ants.
455 *Oecologia* **96**, 500-507.
- 456 39. Vainio, L., Hakkarainen, H., Rantala, M.J. & Sorvari, J. 2004 Individual variation in
457 immune function in the ant *Formica exsecta*; effects of the nest, body size and sex. *Evol.*
458 *Ecol.* **18**, 75-84.
- 459 40. Weiser, M.D. & Kaspari, M. 2006 Ecological morphospace of New World ants. *Ecol.*
460 *Entomol.* **31**, 131-142.
- 461 41. Hijmans, R.J. & van Etten, J. 2012 raster: Geographic analysis and modeling with raster
462 data. R package version 2.0-08.
- 463 42. Felsenstein, J. 1985 Phylogenies and the comparative method. *Am. Nat.* **125**, 1-15.
- 464 43. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2004 *Phylogenetic supertrees: Combining information to reveal*
465 *the Tree of Life*, New York, NY: Springer.
- 466 44. Kramer, B.H. & Schaible, R. 2013 Colony size explains the lifespan differences between
467 queens and workers in eusocial Hymenoptera. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **109**, 710-724.
- 468 45. Armitage, S.A., Fernández-Marín, H., Wcislo, W.T. & Boomsma, J.J. 2012 An evaluation
469 of the possible adaptive function of fungal brood covering by attine ants. *Evolution* **66**, 1966-
470 1975.
- 471 46. Nyakatura, K. & Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2012 Updating the evolutionary history of
472 Carnivora (Mammalia): a new species-level supertree complete with divergence time
473 estimates. *BMC Biol.* **10**, 12-42.
- 474 47. Jones, K.E., Purvis, A., Maclarnon, A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R. & Simmons, N.B. 2002 A
475 phylogenetic supertree of the bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera). *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **77**,
476 223-259.
- 477 48. de Queiroz, A. & Gatesy, J. 2007 The supermatrix approach to systematics. *Trends Ecol.*
478 *Evol.* **22**, 34-41.

- 479 49. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Beck, R.M.D. & Purvis, A. 2005 Getting to the roots of matrix
480 representation. *Syst. Biol.* **54**, 668-672.
- 481 50. Buerki, S., Forest, F., Salamin, N. & Alvarez, N. 2011 Comparative performance of
482 supertree algorithms in large data sets using the soapberry family (Sapindaceae) as a case
483 study. *Syst. Biol.* **60**, 32-44.
- 484 51. Nguyen, N.P.D., Mirarab, S. & Warnow, T. 2012 MRL and SuperFine+ MRL: new
485 supertree methods. *Algorithms Mol. Biol.* **7**, 3.
- 486 52. Davis, R.B., Baldauf, S.L. & Mayhew, P.J. 2010 Many hexapod groups originated earlier
487 and withstood extinction events better than previously realized: inferences from supertrees.
488 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci.* **277**, 1597-1606.
- 489 53. Gaubert, P., Denys, G. & Oberdorff, T. 2009 Genus-level supertree of Cyprinidae
490 (Actinopterygii: Cypriniformes), partitioned qualitative clade support and test of macro-
491 evolutionary scenarios. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **84**, 653-689.
- 492 54. Wilkinson, M. 1994 Common cladistic information and its consensus representation:
493 reduced Adams and reduced cladistic consensus trees and profiles. *Syst. Biol.* **43**, 343-368.
- 494 55. Nixon, K.C. 1999 The Parsimony Ratchet, a new method for rapid parsimony analysis.
495 *Cladistics* **15**, 407-414.
- 496 56. Swofford, D.L. 2002 PAUP* Phylogenetic Analysis Using parsimony (* And Other
497 Methods). Version 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- 498 57. Price, S.A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. & Gittleman, A.L. 2005 A complete phylogeny of
499 the whales, dolphins and even-toed hoofed mammals (Cetartiodactyla). *Biol. Rev. Camb.*
500 *Philos. Soc.* **80**, 445-473.
- 501 58. Benson, D.A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J. & Sayers, E.W. 2010
502 GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, D46-D51.
- 503 59. Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D. & Rambaut, A. 2012 Bayesian phylogenetics
504 with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Bio. Evol.* **29**, 1969-1973.
- 505 60. Purvis, A. 1995 A composite estimate of primate phylogeny. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.,*
506 *Ser B: Biol. Sci.* **348**, 405-421.

- 507 61. Baroni Urbani, C. 1980 First description of fossil gardening ants.(Amber collection
508 Stuttgart and Natural History Museum Basel; Hymenoptera: Formicidae. I: Attini.).
509 *Stuttgarter Beiträe zur Naturkunde* **54**, 1-13.
- 510 62. de Andrade, M. 2003 First descriptions of two new amber species of *Cyphomyrmex* from
511 Mexico and the Dominican Republic. *Beiträge zur Entomologie* **53**, 131-139.
- 512 63. Schultz, T.R. 2007 The fungus-growing ant genus *Apterostigma* in Dominican amber.
513 *Mem. Am. Entomol. Inst.* **80**, 425-436.
- 514 64. Dubovikoff, D. 2011 The first record of the genus *Pheidole* Westwood, 1839
515 (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from the Baltic amber. *Russian Entomological Journal* **20**, 255-
516 257.
- 517 65. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2012 chronoHrapher.pl v.1.3.3. (AG Systematik und
518 Evolutionsbiologie, IBU - Fakultät V, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg., Program
519 distributed by the author.
- 520 66. Orme, D., Freckleton, R.P., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N. & Pearse, W.
521 2012 caper: Comparative Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R. R package version
522 0.5.
- 523 67. Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. & Elphick, C.S. 2010 A protocol for data exploration to avoid
524 common statistical problems. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 3-14.
- 525 68. Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. & Huyvaert, K.P. 2011 AIC model selection and
526 multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and
527 comparisons. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **65**, 23-35.
- 528 69. Pearse, I.S. & Hipp, A.L. 2012 Global patterns of leaf defenses in oak species. *Evolution*
529 **66**, 2272-2286.
- 530 70. Schultz, T.R. & Brady, S.G. 2008 Major evolutionary transitions in ant agriculture. *Proc.*
531 *Natl. Acad. Sci., USA* **105**, 5435-5440.
- 532 71. Schultz, T.R. & Meier, R. 1995 A phylogenetic analysis of the fungus-growing ants
533 (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Attini) based on morphological characters of the larvae. *Syst.*
534 *Entomol.* **20**, 337-370.

- 535 72. Villesen, P., Murakami, T., Schultz, T.R. & Boomsma, J.J. 2002 Identifying the transition
536 between single and multiple mating of queens in fungus-growing ants. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.,*
537 *Ser. B* **269**, 1541-1548.
- 538 73. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2003 Novel versus unsupported clades: assessing the qualitative
539 support for clades in MRP supertrees. *Syst. Biol.* **52**, 839-848.
- 540 74. Fisher, R.M., Cornwallis, C.K. & West, S.A. 2013 Group Formation, Relatedness, and the
541 Evolution of Multicellularity. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 1120-1125.
- 542 75. Purcell, J. 2011 Geographic patterns in the distribution of social systems in terrestrial
543 arthropods. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **86**, 475-491.
- 544 76. Fournier, D., Battaille, G., Timmermans, I. & Aron, S. 2008 Genetic diversity, worker
545 size polymorphism and division of labour in the polyandrous ant *Cataglyphis cursor*. *Anim.*
546 *Behav.* **75**, 151-158.
- 547

Table 1. Averaged models describing effects of covariates on worker size variation, queen-worker dimorphism (where (a) and (b) represent models excluding and including worker size variation, respectively) and colony size in the Attini. Regression coefficients and confidence intervals are reported from best ($\Delta AICc > 4$) PGLS models from full candidate sets (see electronic supplementary material, tables S4a, b and c). Bold type indicates significant covariates.

Covariates	Worker size variation	Queen-worker dimorphism (a)	Queen-worker dimorphism (b)	Colony size
(intercept)	$\beta=1.806$ (-0.554, 4.165), $pCI\pm 0.000$	$\beta=1.659$ (1.644, 1.675), $pCI\pm 0.031$	$\beta=0.923$ (-0.957, 2.802), $pCI\pm 0.010$	$\beta=4.230$ (-3.954-12.414), $pCI\pm 0.146$
Colony size	$\beta=0.392$ (0.227, 0.559), $pCI\pm 0.000$, $W=1.00$	$\beta=0.159$ (0.042, 0.276), $pCI\pm 0.001$, $W=0.85$	$\beta=0.135$ (-0.030, 0.301), $pCI\pm 0.005$, $W=0.60$	-
Worker size variation	-	-	$\beta=0.235$ (-0.127, 0.598), $pCI\pm 0.011$, $W=0.52$	-
Queen-worker dimorphism	$\beta=0.108$ (-0.497, 0.713), $pCI=n/a$, $W=0.48$	-	-	-
Mean diurnal temperature range	$\beta=-0.015$ (-0.035, 0.0058), $pCI\pm 0.000$, $W=0.69$	-	-	$\beta=-0.021$ (-0.071, 0.029), $pCI\pm 0.001$, $W=0.40$
Isothermality	-	$\beta=-0.004$ (-0.044, 0.036), $W=0.28$	$\beta=-0.003$ (-0.046, 0.038), $W=0.33$	$\beta=0.022$ (-0.126, 0.170), $pCI\pm 0.001$, $W=0.50$
Temperature seasonality	-	-	-	-
Precipitation seasonality	$\beta=0.013$ (-0.017, 0.043), $pCI\pm 0.000$, $W=0.041$	$\beta=0.0009$ (-0.014, 0.016), $W=0.28$	$\beta=0.004$ (-0.009, 0.016), $W=0.36$	$\beta=-0.015$ (-0.210, 0.179), $pCI\pm 0.001$, $W=0.62$
Isothermality*precipitation seasonality	-	-	-	$\beta=0.003$ (-0.0002, 0.006), $W=0.13$
Latitude	-	-	-	$\beta=0.009$ (-0.077, 0.096), $W=0.19$

Key: β = model averaged regression slope (95% confidence intervals), pCI = 95% confidence interval for the regression slope from 1000 models including parameters with $W>0.4$ from a sample of 1000 equally likely trees; W = cumulative AICc weight over all models from the full candidate model set.

548 Figure legends

549 Figure 1. Distribution of colony size, worker size variation and queen-worker dimorphism on
550 a phylogenetic supertree for the Attini (30 species). The full tree (electronic supplementary
551 material, figure S2) was pruned to include only the species for which there were data on at
552 least one trait and appeared in the phylogeny. Black circles are proportional to \ln mean colony
553 size, grey circles to the square root of worker size variation and white circles to \ln queen-
554 worker dimorphism. Branch lengths are proportional to time (millions of years).

555

556 Figure 2. The relationship between \ln mean colony size and square root worker size variation
557 in the 19 species of Attini for which colony size and worker-size variation data were
558 available; triangles represent the lower Attini, circles the higher Attini (excluding the
559 leafcutter ants) and squares the leafcutter ants. Slope and intercept are taken from the
560 phylogenetically controlled averaged model (table 1), and dotted lines are $\pm 95\%$ confidence
561 intervals from the same model.



