

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Erratum

### Citation for published version:

Askounis, A, Sefiane, K, Koutsos, V & Shanahan, MER 2013, 'Erratum: Structural transitions in a ring stain created at the contact line of evaporating nanosuspension sessile drops (Physical Review E (2013) 87 (012301) DOI:10.1103/PhysRevE.87.012301)' Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear and Soft Matter Physics, vol. 88, no. 4, 049903. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.88.049903

### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1103/PhysRevE.88.049903

### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Published In: Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear and Soft Matter Physics

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Erratum: Structural transitions in a ring stain created at the contact line of evaporating nanosuspension sessile drops [Phys. Rev. E 87, 012301 (2013)]

Alexandros Askounis, Khellil Sefiane, Vasileios Koutsos, and Martin E. R. Shanahan (Received 11 October 2013; published 24 October 2013)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.88.049903 PACS number(s): 83.80.Hj, 61.30.Hn, 47.55.D-, 47.55.np, 99.10.Cd

In our recent article, we presented in Fig. 2 the evolution of excess free energy of an evaporating drop, per unit length of triple line,  $\delta \overline{G}$ , vs time. The calculation of  $\delta \overline{G}$  was based on Eq. (3). Although Eq. (3) is correct, the values of  $\delta \overline{G}$  presented in Fig. 2 were miscalculated and we here present the corrections in Fig. 1 below.

This miscalculation leads to the following corresponding changes in the text of the article: The third and fourth sentences in the last paragraph of page 2 that read "At ~800 s,  $\delta \overline{G}$  reaches a maximum value of ~1.7 × 10<sup>-5</sup> N, which is an order of magnitude larger than what has been reported previously for a similar system [6]. This could be attributed to the more refined calculation of  $\delta \overline{G}$ , here." should be changed to "At ~800 s,  $\delta \overline{G}$  reaches a maximum value of ~7.5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> N, which is compatible with what has been calculated previously for a similar system [6]. It may be noted that our calculation of  $\delta \overline{G}$  here is more refined." Furthermore, part of the eighth sentence of the same paragraph (on page 3) should be changed from "...the first jump requires slightly higher energy,  $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$  N compared to ~ $1.2 \times 10^{-5}$  N for the rest of the jumps, ..." to "... the first jump requires slightly higher energy,  $7.5 \times 10^{-6}$  N compared to ~ $6.5 \times 10^{-6}$  N for the rest of the jumps, ...". The rest of the results and the conclusions of the paper remain unaffected.

A small typographical mistake in Eq. (5) was also found. In the denominator of the first fraction inside the square bracket, radial distance *r* is missing a power of 2. The correct formula is

$$\bar{\upsilon}(r,t,P) = \frac{4\Delta c R(t)}{\pi \rho r \theta(t)} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{R^2(t) - r^2}} - \frac{R^2(t) - r^2}{R^3(t)} \right] \frac{D_{\text{ref}} P_{\text{ref}}}{P}.$$
(5)

This mistake does not affect any results or conclusions.



FIG. 1. (Color online) Corrected version of Fig. 2.

We would like to express our thanks to M. Öksüz and the group of Professor H. Yildirim Erbil for bringing the error in the  $\delta \overline{G}$  calculation to our attention.