

This is a repository copy of *Bioassay studies support the potential for latrogenic transmission of variant Creutzfeldt Jakob disease through dental procedures*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/80652/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Kirby, E, Dickinson, J, Vassey, M et al. (8 more authors) (2012) Bioassay studies support the potential for latrogenic transmission of variant Creutzfeldt Jakob disease through dental procedures. PLoS One, 7 (11). ARTN e49850. ISSN 1932-6203

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049850

#### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 TITLE PAGE:

- 2 Bioassay studies support the potential for iatrogenic transmission of variant
- 3 Creutzfeldt Jakob disease through dental procedures
- 4
- 5 **AUTHORS**: (proposed)
- 6 Elizabeth Kirby<sup>1</sup><sup>¶</sup>, Joanne Dickinson<sup>1</sup><sup>¶</sup>, Matthew Vassey<sup>1</sup>, Mike Dennis<sup>1</sup>, Mark
- 7 Cornwall<sup>1</sup>, Neil McLeod<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Smith<sup>2</sup>, Philip D Marsh<sup>1,3</sup>, James T. Walker<sup>1</sup>,
- 8 J. Mark Sutton<sup>1\*</sup> and Neil D.H. Raven<sup>1</sup>.
- 9

#### 10 ADDRESS OF ALL CONTRIBUTORS:

Health Protection Agency - Porton Down, Salisbury, SP4 0JG: <sup>2</sup>Glasgow Dental
School, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of
Glasgow.<sup>3</sup>Leeds Dental Institute, Leeds, LS2 9LU.

14

\*CORRESPONDENCE TO: Dr. J. Mark Sutton, Microbiology Services
Division, Health Protection Agency - Porton Down, Salisbury, SP4 0JG. Tel:
00 44 (0)1980 612649: <u>mark.sutton@hpa.org.uk</u>

18

<sup>19</sup> <sup>¶</sup> These authors made an equal contribution to the study.

20

KEYWORDS: Creutzfeldt Jakob Disease, iatrogenic transmission, oral
 tissues, dental practice, transmission.

23

24

#### 26 ABSTRACT

#### 27 Background:

Evidence is required to quantify the potential risks of transmission of variant Creutzfeldt Jakob (vCJD) through dental procedures. Studies, using animal models relevant to vCJD, were performed to address two questions. Firstly, whether oral tissues could become infectious following dietary exposure to BSE? Secondly, would a vCJD-contaminated dental instrument be able to transmit disease to another patient?

34

#### 35 Methods:

BSE-301V was used as a clinically relevant model for vCJD. VM-mice were
challenged by injection of infected brain homogenate into the small intestine
(Q1) or by five minute contact between a deliberately-contaminated dental file
and the gingival margin (Q2). Ten tissues were collected from groups of
challenged mice at three or four weekly intervals, respectively. Each tissue
was pooled, homogenised and bioassayed in indicator mice.

42

#### 43 *Findings:*

44 Challenge via the small intestine gave a transmission rate of 100% (mean

incubation 157±17 days). Infectivity was found in both dental pulp and the

46 gingival margin within 3 weeks of challenge and was observed in all tissues

47 tested within the oral cavity before the appearance of clinical symptoms.

48 Following exposure to deliberately contaminated dental files, 97% of mice

49 developed clinical disease (mean incubation 234±33 days).

50

#### 51 Interpretation:

- 52 Infectivity was higher than expected, in a wider range of oral tissues, than was
- 53 allowed for in previous risk assessments. Disease was transmitted following
- 54 transient exposure of the gingiva to a contaminated dental file. These
- 55 observations provide evidence that dental procedures could be a route of
- 56 cross-infection for vCJD and support the enforcement of single-use for certain
- 57 dental instruments.

58

- 59 **Funding:** The study was funded by the Department of Health (England);
- 60 Contract number 007/0099

#### 62 INTRODUCTION

vCJD remains a challenge for public health due to uncertain prevalence in the 63 64 population and the possibility of cross-infection through medical procedures. The disease almost certainly emerged due to the consumption of bovine 65 spongiform encephalopathy (BSE)-infected meat [1] but clinical cases have 66 67 not reflected the widespread exposure of the UK population. The possibility of 68 a self-sustaining and potentially amplifying "epidemic", caused by the 69 iatrogenic transmission of vCJD from pre-symptomatic cases and 70 asymptomatic carriers to more genetically susceptible individuals, is a major 71 concern.

72

73 The prevalence of the disease in the population is estimated at between 237 74 and 109 vCJD carriers per million of the UK population (95% confidence limits 75 49-692 per million [2] and 3-608 per million [3], respectively) All clinical cases of vCJD, to date, have been PRNP-129 Met homozygotes, but pre-/sub-76 clinical carriage has been identified in 2 valine homozygotes and a 77 78 heterozygous patient [2][4][5]. Extended asymptomatic incubation periods in these genotypes have been suggested by transgenic animal studies [6] and 79 80 also by studies on Kuru [7]. A recent study has identified a patient with 81 atypical sporadic CJD and valine homozygous at PRNP codon 129 [8] which 82 could represent the first case of clinical disease in this genogroup. Aside from 83 blood transfusion [5,9] there remains no evidence of iatrogenic vCJD 84 transmission to date via any surgical route.

85

The potential transmission of vCJD by dental practice remain poorly defined. A risk assessment carried out by the Department of Health in 2004

88	(http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/Publica
89	tionsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_4084662 ; last accessed 12 <sup>th</sup> November
90	2011), suggested a low level of risk, based on the assumption that there
91	would be insignificant levels of infectivity except within the dental pulp and
92	that only dental instruments which contacted this material posed any risk of
93	cross infection. These assumptions are tested in this study. This risk
94	assessment was revised in 2007
95	(http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsP
96	olicyAndGuidance/DH_081170; last accessed 12th November 2011), based
97	on data which includes the preliminary outputs of this study.
98	
99	Studies have described the presence of infectivity in hamsters following
100	intraperitoneal challenge with 263K scrapie [10], with 7.2 (gingiva) and 5.6
101	(dental pulp) log LD $_{50}$ i.c. units (the dose capable of causing the death of 50%
102	of challenged animals when injected intracranially into hamsters) per gram of
103	tissue. The study also showed that scrapie could be transmitted through
104	injection into the dental pulp. A recent study has shown infectivity in the root
105	of the right caudal incisor tooth in an ME7-scrapie infected mouse following
106	intracerebral challenge [11]. Collectively these studies suggest the potential
107	for transmission of the disease via the oral cavity, but comprehensive data,
108	particularly using prion strains more directly relevant to modelling vCJD in
109	humans, remains lacking.
110	

111 Bioassays using tissues from vCJD patients are underway (HPA 112 unpublished), but no disease-associated prion protein (PrP<sup>Sc</sup>) staining has

been observed in any oral tissue from vCJD patients [12]. With very small number of samples involved and the absence of direct transmission from human tissue to animals in low titre vCJD tissues (<10<sup>3</sup> ID/gram tissue; [13]), rodent-passaged TSE strains are essential to assess the relative levels of infectivity in different tissues, following exposure by different routes, as well as data on spread of disease.

119

120 The present study provides evidence on the potential risks of vCJD 121 transmission by measuring relative levels of infectivity in oral tissues and 122 assessing the potential for transmission through contact of a contaminated 123 instrument with the gingival margin.

124

#### 125 METHODS

#### 126 **Primary challenge of VM mice via the small intestine**

All studies were conducted under a project license approved by the UK Home 127 Office. Prior to submission for approval the license was reviewed by the 128 129 Microbiological Services Porton Ethical review committee and signed off by 130 the Establishment Certificate Holder. Project license 30/2700 was granted by the UK Home Office under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986. A 131 volume of 100µl of a 2% (w/v) titred stock of BSE301V-infectious mouse brain 132 homogenate (estimated titre 10<sup>8.9</sup> infectious units per gram brain) [14] was 133 134 injected into the lumen of the upper small intestine. Groups of 10 VM mice (8-10 weeks old) were anaesthetised by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of 135 136 Hypnorm (fentanyl/fluoanisone) and Hypnovel (midazolam) (Schering-Plough Animal Health, Welwyn Garden City, UK). With the animal in dorsal 137

recumbency, a small incision was made in the skin of the upper abdomen, the upper loop of the jejunum just posterior to the duodenum was visualised and an injection made through the mesenteric membrane using a 1ml syringe with a 30G needle. Groups of 10 mice were sacrificed at 3-weekly intervals (3 to 21 weeks) post-inoculation (p.i.) or on appearance of defined clinical symptoms at around 22-24 weeks [14].

144

145 Primary challenge of VM mice via transient exposure of the gingival

146 **margin.** 

Dental files were selected to perform the study, due to their relatively small size and ease of handling. Size "08" (21mm) dental files were immersed in 10% brain homogenate and incubated for 30 minutes. Files were removed, and air dried at room temperature for 1 hour.

151

The mice were fully anaesthetized, as above, and the infected dental file was gently inserted into the mouth of the mouse in parallel with the right jawbone at the height of the gingival margin. It is highly likely that the far point of the file (up to a maximum of 1mm will have entered the outer layer of the gingival epithelium (but not the area known as the gingival sulcular

epithelium adjacent to the tooth socket). Due to the parallel placement, this penetration would have been at a very glancing angle to the tissue and the majority of the file was thus left lying in parallel contact with the gingiva along the length of the jaw (jaw about 6 to 7mm length; contact region with file estimated at around 5mm) for the designated 5 minute period, after which it was gently withdrawn. Due to the serrated nature of these files damage to the

163 epithelium cannot be ruled out, but on no occasion was there any trauma or

bleeding observed during or after this procedure so any damage to the 164 epithelium will have been minimal.

166

165

The maximum load of infectivity on coated dental files was estimated. The 167 168 dental files are manufactured as a morse taper with an end diameter of 0.08mm. Assuming a 5mm section was inserted into the mouth, the maximum 169 diameter would be around 0.18mm. Surface area of a plain wire would be 170 approximately  $2mm^2 (2\pi (r_{av})h + \pi r_{end}^2)$ . The fluting is assumed to increase the 171 area by no more than 5 fold (maximum surface area 10 mm<sup>2</sup>). Previous 172 173 studies using a similar coating strategy have suggested retention of approximately 0.2µg brain tissue per mm<sup>2</sup> [15]. Based on a titre of  $10^{8.9}$  ID<sub>50</sub> 174 per gram brain [14] the maximum load of infectivity on the dental file is 175 estimated at  $4x10^{2.9}$  ID<sub>50</sub> per challenge). 176

177

178 Groups of 10 mice were sacrificed at 4-weekly intervals (1-6 months) post-

179 inoculation (p.i.) or on appearance of defined clinical symptoms [14].

180

#### Analysis of time-course samples 181

The whole brain (including the medulla oblongata), spleen, salivary gland, 182 183 trigeminal ganglia, dental pulp, gingival margin, lingual muscle (front 2/3rds of 184 the tongue), lingual tonsil (back 1/3 of tongue including tonsular tissue), salivary gland (submandibular) and saliva (following pilocarpine stimulation) 185 186 were collected from mice at the different time points. The individual tissues 187 from each time point were pooled and stored at -80°C prior to re-inoculation.

Tissue homogenates were prepared at 20% (w/v) tissue in phosphate buffered saline using a Ribolyser (Fast prep 120A; Q-Biogene). As the weight of tissue from the dental pulp could not be measured this tissue was diluted to the minimum volume of homogenate required for re-inoculation. Ribolyser beads were washed with 100µl PBS, which was used to dilute the homogenates to 10% (w/v) prior to inoculation.

194

195 In vivo analysis.

The infectivity of the tissues was assessed by i.c. inoculation into the brains of VM mice. Groups of 6 VM mice (6-8 weeks old) were anaesthetised by intraperitoneal injection with alfaxalone/alfadolone (Saffan, Schering-Plough Animal Health, Welwyn Garden City, UK) and inoculated intra-cranially with 200 20µl of the 10% homogenate. Non-specific toxicity was observed in some groups and samples were diluted (to 1% or 0.1%) as required.

202

203 Mice were monitored for clinical symptoms and sacrificed by injection of 204 barbiturate (pentabarbitone sodium) at a defined clinical end-point. Brains 205 from indicator mice were removed and stored in formalin prior to histological 206 assessment by Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency, 207 Weybridge, UK.

208

209 In vitro analysis

Homogenates were analysed by Western blot essentially as described previously [14]. In brief, homogenates were digested with Proteinase-K at a final concentration of 5.37  $\mu$ g/ml for 30 minutes at 60°C. The enzyme was

213 inactivated by incubation with 5mM APMSF (Sigma, Gillingham, UK) in Nu-Page<sup>™</sup> gel loading buffer (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) at 99°C for 10 minutes. 214 215 Samples, together with the relevant controls, were run on 4-12% Bis Tris 216 NuPage gels (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) and transferred to nitrocellulose. The 217 membrane was blocked in 5% skimmed milk powder in phosphate buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBS-T) for 30 minutes, washed in PBS-T 218 219 and incubated with primary antibody 6H4 (Prionics, Schlieren, Switzerland) (at 1:10,000 dilution) for 18 hrs at 4°C. The membrane was washed four times in 220 PBS-T and bound antibody was detected with anti-mouse horse radish 221 222 peroxidase (HRP)-conjugate (Sigma, Gillingham, UK); diluted 1:1000). Signal 223 was generated using West Dura reagent (Pierce, Cramlington, UK) and 224 imaged using a Chemidoc image analyser (Pharmacia, Sandwich, UK). The 225 Western blot method could not detect signal below a gel loading equivalent to a 0.1% brain homogenate (results not shown). 226

227

228

#### 229 Role of the funding source:

The study was funded by The Department of Health (England) under contract number 007/0099. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. The funding body were invited to comment on the manuscript and this resulted in minor changes to the text of the document.

235

The authors were not paid to write the manuscript other than through salarysupport as part of the grant awarded.

- 239 The contributing author had full access to all the data generated as part of the
- study and made the final decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

241 **RESULTS** 

# Primary transmission of infectivity from the small intestine to simulate oral exposure to BSE.

Mice were challenged via direct inoculation into the small intestine to avoid any chance of contamination of the oral tissues during the primary challenge. Disease transmission was observed in all animals, with a mean incubation period to a defined clinical endpoint of  $157 \pm 17$  days (Table 1A). Previous studies have shown that direct i.c. challenge with the same titre of infectious BSE-301V stock (estimated titre  $10^{8.9}$  infectious units per gram brain [14]) reaches a clinical end-point in  $120 \pm 8.5$  days.

251

# Analysis of relative levels of infectivity in oral tissues following simulated oral exposure.

254 The levels of infectivity in different oral and control tissues were assessed by 255 re-inoculation of 10% (w/v) tissue homogenate, intracranially into VM mice. The mean incubation period was compared to a titration series generated 256 from BSE-301V terminal brain material as reported previously [14]. It is 257 258 assumed in this study that serial dilution of infectivity would be unaffected by the tissue type and as such the incubation period can be used as an 259 260 indication of the relative titre in the different tissues. In all cases shorter 261 incubation to clinical symptoms is indicative of higher titre.

262

The study aimed to demonstrate the relative maximum levels of infectivity in different oral tissues following simulated food-borne exposure to BSE contamination. All tissues/fluids at the terminal stage of disease showed the

266 presence of infectivity (Table 2). In all tissues except for the lingual tonsil, 267 terminal tissues showed the maximal levels of infectivity recorded for that tissue. Incubation periods ranged from 118 days (± 0 days, 2/2 animals 268 269 infected) for brain tissue through to 213 days (± 33 days, 4/5 animals infected) for lingual muscle tissue. In the case of lingual tonsil, the shortest incubation 270 271 period (197 ± 26 days) and highest attack rate (5/5) was reached by the 15 week time point. The lingual tonsil material from terminal animals showed 272 lower levels of infectivity with only a single animal (1/6) succumbing to 273 274 disease with an incubation of 222 days.

275

276 The oral tissues most likely to be contacted during routine dental surgery, 277 (gingival margin and dental pulp), gave mean incubation periods of 152 days (± 0 days, 6/6 animals challenged) and 160 days (± 55 days, 6/6 animals 278 challenged), respectively. To provide a comparison of the relative levels of 279 280 infectivity, titrated brain samples gave mean incubation periods of 141±11 281 day (~1000 ID<sub>50</sub>/milligram), 157±18.5 (~100 ID<sub>50</sub>/milligram) and 226±94 days (~10 ID<sub>50</sub>/milligram) ([14]). This suggests that gingival margin has between 282 283 100 and 1000  $ID_{50}$ /milligram, whilst dental pulp is at least 10 to 100  $ID_{50}$ /milligram given that the homogenate was less than 10% (w/v). 284

285

Maximal levels of infectivity were observed in all time course tissues, other than saliva, ahead of the appearance of any clinical symptoms. Maximal levels were reached by week 3 (spleen), 9, (salivary gland), 12 (brain, dental pulp, lingual tonsil), 15 (trigeminal ganglia, lingual muscle, alveolar bone), 18 (gingival margin), respectively. Clinical symptoms appeared around week 22,

with these animals collected as the terminally diseased group. Saliva from terminal animals was the only time point which showed infectivity for this sample (mean incubation 207±44 days; 4/6 animal diseased).

294

By the first time-point at 3 weeks post-challenge, infectivity was already 295 296 detected in the brain, spleen, trigeminal ganglia, gingival margin, dental pulp, salivary gland, alveolar bone, and lingual tonsil (with synulox), but not in 297 lingual muscle or saliva. Incubation periods ranged from 129 days (±2 days; 298 299 attack rate 4/4 animals) for spleen to 273 days (1/5 animals) for gingival 300 margin (Table 2). The incubation period in the spleen sample was already at 301 the minimum level, corresponding to a maximum level of infectivity for this 302 tissue. By contrast brain tissue showed a mean incubation period of 233 days 303 with only 1 of 3 mice that survived challenge developing disease.

304

Brain samples were also analysed by Western blot using antibody 6H4 following proteinase K digestion of the 10% homogenates (Figure 1). In contrast to the bioassay results, levels of detectable PrP<sup>res</sup> varied significantly with the conventional triple glycoform banding pattern being observable in the 12 week brain samples only with extended exposure (results not shown) and increasing in the 15, 18 and 21 week samples to reach maximal levels only in the terminal group.

312

313

314 Transmission of infectivity from the gingival margin following transient315 exposure.

316 Dental files were used to assess whether short term contact was able to 317 transmit infectivity via the gingival margin. The exposure was designed to 318 mimic relatively atraumatic contact between a contaminated dental instrument 319 and gingival epithelium (although limited abrasion of the gingival epithelium cannot be excluded - see materials and methods). The dental files were 320 321 coated in 10% (w/v) brain homogenate to provide a worse case challenge via this route and in the absence of prior data on levels of infectivity in oral 322 323 tissues.

324

Transmission via this challenge route was shown to be efficient with 97.1% 325 326 (68/70) of challenged animals succumbing to disease. When the incubation 327 period of individual animals was plotted (Figure 2A and B), two distinct incubation-period groups were identified (Paired T-test; p< 0.001). The mean 328 329 incubations for these two populations are shown separately in table 1B. The 330 "early" terminal group had a mean incubation period of 166±18 days (n=11; 331 range 140-188) whilst the "standard" terminal group had a mean incubation 332 period of 247±14 days (n=57; range 211-275).

333

334

Relative levels of infectivity in early and standard terminal groups,
 resulting from challenge via the gingival margin.

337

The tissues from early and standard terminal groups were collected and processed as separate groups for re-inoculation into indicator mice (Table 3). The groups showed similar incubation periods in most tissues. Only alveolar

bone (174 ±6 days, 6/6 animals challenged vs 160 ±5 days, 6/6 animals challenged) did not show overlapping standard deviations for early vs standard terminal groups, respectively. Comparisons were not made where there were less than 3 surviving animals in each challenged group (lingual muscle, saliva and gingival margin).

346

Analysis of relative levels of infectivity in oral tissues following transient
challenge via the gingival margin.

349 Levels of infectivity were assessed as described above. Again, all tissues at 350 the terminal stage of disease showed the presence of infectivity (Table 3). 351 Incubation periods ranged from 126 days (+/- 5 days, 6/6 animals challenged) for brain material to 198 days (+/- 43 days, 3/5 animals challenged) for lingual 352 tonsil, with all tissues showing maximal levels of infectivity in terminal animals. 353 354 Saliva again showed infectivity only in terminally diseased animals (160 days. 355 1/2 animals challenged) and in a single animal at the earliest time point at extended incubation (353 days, 1/5 animals challenged) possibly due to 356 persistence of the original inoculum. 357

358

Maximal levels of infectivity were again reached for all tissues (except for saliva) well ahead of the presentation of clinical symptoms by 4 months (brain and dental pulp) and 5 months (for all remaining tissues).

362

363 By the first time point in the time course, infectivity was detected in spleen, 364 gingival margin, lingual muscle, dental pulp, salivary gland, lingual tonsil and 365 alveolar bone, but not in brain, saliva or trigeminal ganglia. Incubation periods

366 ranged from 181 days (+/- 13 days, 6/6 animals challenged) for salivary gland 367 to 314 days (+/- 116 days, 3/6 animals challenged) for gingival margin. In 368 several cases, notably in alveolar bone, lingual tonsil and gingival margin, 369 infectivity was not observed in the 2 month time-point, nor in the 3 month 370 time-point for gingival margin and lingual tonsil. This again may suggest 371 localised persistence of the inoculum followed by clearance and later 372 infiltration.

373

### 374 Comparison of relative levels of infectivity between terminal groups 375 challenged by the small intestine or gingival margin.

376 The relative levels of infectivity were compared between terminally diseased 377 animals from the two different challenge routes. Only the trigeminal ganglia (mean incubation 136+/-17 days, 4/4 for small intestine route vs 160 +/-4 378 days, 6/6 for the gingival challenge route (standard terminal group) and 159 379 380 +/- 6 6/6 (early terminal group) did not show overlapping standard deviations. 381 The lingual muscle samples were statistically different in the early terminal 382 group from the gingival challenge route when compared to the small intestine 383 challenge route (too few animals survived in the standard terminal group for 384 valid comparisons to be made). Comparisons were not made where there 385 were less than 3 surviving animals in each group (saliva and gingival margin 386 in addition to the lingual muscle standard group).

387

388 At earlier time points accumulation of infectivity was proportionally slower in 389 spleen and trigeminal ganglia than in the gingival challenge route. Spleen in 390 particular showed much slower accumulation of maximal levels of infectivity,

- reached by week 3 in the small intestine challenge but not until month 5 in the
- 392 gingival challenge group.
- 393

#### 395 Discussion

The principle aim of the study was to provide underpinning information regarding the potential risks of vCJD transmission by dental procedures, which would contribute to a revised dental risk assessment. The data provide an important insight into potential risks, albeit in a small animal model and using a worse-case approach.

401

402 The data presented here adds considerable information to the previous 403 studies related to dental transmission [10-12]. The levels of infectivity observed in this study are lower than those seen in the Ingrosso study [10]. 404 405 There are a number of potential reasons for this difference including; the 406 challenge route used (intraperitoneal vs direct introduction to the small intestine), the higher end titre of scrapie vs the BSE agent (typically  $10^{11}ID_{50}$ 407 per gram brain for 263K Scrapie compared to  $\sim 10^9$  ID<sub>50</sub> for BSE-301V) and 408 409 the different nature of the two prion agents themselves. As BSE-301V is 410 derived from the same prion agent that caused vCJD in humans, it could be 411 argued that the lower values are more representative of the levels of infectivity 412 that might be encountered in dental patients. The absence of detectable disease-associated prion protein (PrP<sup>Sc</sup>) in human vCJD dental tissues [12] is 413 not incompatible with the levels of infectivity observed in this study, given that 414 415 the bioassay model is considered to be 100-1000 fold more sensitive than even the high sensitivity Western Blot model used in the Head study. The re-416 infection studies carried out here are also more representative of the routine 417 418 risks of disease transmission during dental procedures, than the highly

invasive procedure used previously [10], where infectious brain material wasinjected directly into the pulp cavity.

421

422 The transmission of infectivity following direct inoculation into the small intestine proved to be highly efficient. This novel route of challenge probably 423 424 accesses the same routes of infection that would be encountered after oral uptake of infectious material but without the significant reduction in titre (of the 425 426 order of 2-3 log) expected on passage through the stomach. Whilst the 427 approach will inevitably result in localised trauma at the incision site, the incubation period suggests that leakage into the peritoneum was not the 428 429 primary route of infection as intraperitoneal challenge has resulted in animals 430 reaching their clinical end-point at 196 days [16] with oral challenge at 245 431 days (unpublished; referenced in http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/do 432 cuments/digitalasset/dh 081219.pdf (last accessed 12th November 2011)). 433 434 Rapid accumulation of infectivity in the spleen, reaching maximal levels by the three week time-point, provides evidence of efficient infection through the 435 436 small intestine.

437

The observed levels of infectivity, as estimated from incubation period, are higher than would have been expected in many tissues within the oral cavity. The two tissues most likely to be relevant to understanding the risks of iatrogenic dental transmission, the gingival margin and dental pulp, show levels of infectivity of between 100-1000 and at least 10-100 infectious doses (ID) per mg tissue, respectively (based on the titration series for brain material

shown in [14]). The maximal levels of infectivity were reached well ahead of
the presentation of clinical symptoms in the majority of tissues. This is likely to
be similar in the human situation.

447

At the outset of the study, there was no indication in the literature that the two 448 449 routes of infection would be as efficient as they proved to be. As such the study used a high challenge dose in order to be able to draw conclusions as 450 451 to the spread of infection and accumulation of high levels of infectivity under 452 worst-case conditions. Despite this, we do not believe that the use of a high challenge dose, distorts the key findings of the study. In the small intestine 453 454 challenge experiments, the levels of infectivity in oral tissues are actually 455 lower than the levels observed in the one limited but comparable study [10]. The accumulation of infectivity in the spleen is comparable to the rate seen in 456 other peripheral challenges (ip and oral) using the same model. The ability of 457 458 the spleen to amplify infectivity from low-dose oral or peripheral challenge 459 suggests that similar levels of infectivity would have been reached in the oral tissues even with a lower challenge. The different levels of infectivity and the 460 461 different rate of accumulation of infectivity in different tissues also suggests that the model is not simply saturated with infectivity, but rather that it 462 463 represents normal spread of infectivity from the intestine, potentially via both lymphoreticular and direct neuronal transmission. 464

465

Relative levels of infectivity in vivo and PrP<sup>Sc</sup>-signal detectable in vitro.

467

468 The presence of maximal levels of infectivity in the brain in mice at 12 weeks is a reminder of the long pre-symptomatic phase of TSE infection. The earliest 469 clinical symptoms were evident at 18 weeks post-exposure with an average of 470 471 22 weeks. The 10-fold dilution data for the 15, 21 and terminal groups, although limited in nature, suggest that the levels of infectivity reach a 472 473 maximal level and are maintained over this period, rather than continuing to accumulate in the brain. The observed differences in the level of abnormal 474 prion protein, PrP<sup>Sc</sup>, in the same time-course samples indicates a marked 475 476 separation between the level of infectivity and the detected level of its 477 surrogate marker as observed in previous studies [17, 18]. This may indicate 478 that an equilibrium is reached for the most infectious form of the agent (e.g. 479 the 14-28 unit prion protein oligomers [19]) and that this remains unaltered despite the ongoing accumulation of Proteinase K-resistant aggregated 480 PrP<sup>res</sup>. Alternatively, the overall level of infectivity may remain approximately 481 constant since the capacity to act as individual nuclei of infection diminishes 482 proportionately to the increasing extent of PrP<sup>res</sup> aggregation. 483 Neither 484 hypothesis was tested in the current study.

485

The transient exposure of the gingival margin to infectivity dried onto dental files demonstrates the potential for iatrogenic transmission of infectivity through contaminated dental instrument contact within the oral cavity. The challenge was designed to be less invasive than previous oral inoculations [10] and gingival scarification [21]. Given the relatively atraumatic instrument contact, the efficiency of transmission was greater than expected with >97% of challenged animals succumbing to disease, with a total population mean of

493 233 days. The identification of two sub-populations within the culled animals on the basis of incubation period is intriguing. One of these populations could 494 495 represent animals infected by ingestion of material following oral exposure. 496 However, the use of a low challenge titre dried onto the file (estimated at around  $4 \times 10^{2.9}$  ID per file) and given the incubation period observed for much 497 498 higher challenges via the oral route (245 days; see above), would suggest 499 that ingestion is not the major infection route. The rapidly progressing (early) disease may be a result of localised trauma to the gingiva, providing more 500 501 efficient spread of the disease, or may indicate that localised uptake has 502 accessed different infection routes, perhaps mediated by neuronal (early 503 terminal) and/or lymphatic (standard terminal) tissues, respectively. The 504 relatively rich neurological innervations of the oral cavity and links with the 505 trigeminal nucleus in the brain stem may contribute to this rapid route of 506 spread. Despite the significant differences in the incubation period of animals 507 identified as early or standard terminal groups, widespread differences in the 508 levels of infectivity in tissues were not observed on re-challenge.

509

510 The gingival challenge route is entirely novel and was designed to ask 511 specifically whether infectivity could be transmitted via transient contact rather 512 than direct inoculation [10]. To assess this, and given the very small amounts 513 of inocula that are carried on the contaminated dental files, a high titre 514 material was essential in order to test the feasibility of transmission. In terms of the validity of the model, the absence of infectivity at the 2 month time point 515 516 for several tissues, including gingival margin, suggests that infection is not 517 simply being generally disseminated through the oral cavity. Again this

518 suggests that whilst the model is a worst-case the results are not incompatible

519 with a natural infection from a contaminated instrument at lower titres.

520

521 Similar levels of infectivity were observed at the end of the two bioassay studies, with the exception of lingual muscle which was higher in mice 522 523 challenged via the gingival challenge route. Comparing the levels of infectivity at the first point of each time course showed greater dissemination of 524 525 infectivity within the oral cavity for the gingival challenged animals. This might 526 have been expected with the tissues potentially retaining some of the initial 527 inocula. This is supported by the subsequent loss of infectivity in three of the 528 oral tissues by the second time-point, with gingival margin and lingual tonsil 529 remaining non-infectious at the third monthly time-point. In contrast, organs which might be indicative of ingestion and systemic spread from the oral 530 cavity remained less infectious for a greater proportion of the time-course. For 531 532 example, the spleen showed only limited transmission and a longer incubation 533 period following gingival challenge at the first time-point, with levels of infectivity not reaching those observed following challenge via the small 534 535 intestine until the final terminal group. Similarly, neither trigeminal ganglia nor brain showed infectivity at the first monthly time-point and increased only 536 gradually over time. The high levels of infectivity in the salivary gland at the 537 538 first time-point and increasing through the incubation suggest that infectivity 539 was concentrated and amplified in this organ rather than being disseminated 540 from the descending nerves linked to the trigeminal ganglion, the latter 541 showing lower levels of infectivity throughout the time-course.

542

543

#### 544 Implications for public health.

545 There is currently no evidence for the transmission of vCJD through any form 546 of surgical procedure, including dental practice. Proven transmission by blood transfusion [5,9] suggests that surgical transmission is a potential risk via 547 548 procedures that contact either nervous or lymphoid tissue. For example, infectivity has been found in the rectum from a vCJD patient (at 0.001%) 549 infectivity of brain), but not for sporadic CJD, demonstrating the broader 550 551 distribution of infectivity [22-24]. Together with this observation, the highly 552 efficient transmission of infection through direct inoculation into the small 553 intestine in this study, perhaps raise concerns for endoscopic procedures.

554

555 This study uses a well established mouse model and BSE strain (as a 556 surrogate for vCJD) that has been used previously to investigate risks of 557 human to human transmission. Taken together, the observations in the 558 current study provide theoretical grounds for concern in relation to dental 559 procedures. The levels of infectivity observed in all the oral tissues, notably 560 gingival margin tissue with up to an estimated 1000 ID per mg of tissue, were 561 higher than estimated previously.

562

A separate component of the study, has assessed the residual protein contamination on a range of dental instruments after routine cleaning and disinfection in general dental practice in England [25]). The study showed a number of instrument types and cleaning procedures where the upper interquartile range for residual protein was in excess of 100µg. This could

568 equate to up to 100 ID per instrument even in the case of the gingival tissue. 569 Autoclaving has been shown to achieve up to a 3-log inactivation of various TSE agents [26] although an autoclave designed for the dental market has 570 571 recently been investigated and shown to provide only a 2-log inactivation in the TSE model used here (134°C, 18 minutes; Sutton et al unpublished). 572 573 Whilst the combined prion inactivation of multi-stage decontamination procedures remains to be proven, a dental instrument soiled with infectious 574 575 gingival tissue, under this combination of cleaning and autoclaving, would not 576 leave a significant safety margin.

577

578 The gingival challenge was designed as a worse case scenario, with respect 579 to the loading of infectious material onto the surface of the dental instrument, but was not a highly invasive procedure. The procedure resulted in very high 580 581 levels of transmission, suggesting that if the titre of the challenge material was 582 reduced, transmission would still be likely to occur. Even if this was a 583 relatively rare event, the very large number of dental interventions that take place and the high number of procedures carried out on younger age groups 584 585 (in contrast to most medical surgical procedures) means the risks are not negligible. 586

587

The study provides evidence to inform the ongoing debate about the control of potential risks of vCJD transmission in dental practice. Preliminary data from the study have already been provided to Department of Health as part of their revision to the dental risk assessment (http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod consum dh/groups/dh digitalassets/@dh/@en/do

cuments/digitalasset/dh 081217.pdf; accessed 12<sup>th</sup> November 2011). A 593 594 number of additional control measures have been put in place, including the technical 595 recent revision of the Health memorandum relating to 596 decontamination in dental settinas in England (http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsP 597 olicyAndGuidance/DH 109363; accessed 12th November 2011). An emphasis 598 599 on universal decontamination methods and single use for difficult to clean devices would appear to be sensible precautions given the observations 600 601 described in this study, which significantly broaden the possible routes of 602 infection through dental procedures, with wider dissemination of infectivity in 603 the oral cavity and transmission by transient exposure.

604

605

606

#### 607 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We gratefully acknowledge the Biological Investigations Group for their skilled assistance with this study, Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratory Agency, Weybridge for histology, and the expert advice of Dr Robert Somerville, University of Edinburgh for his suggestions on the manuscript. The views expressed in the publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Health or the Health Protection Agency. There are no conflicts of interest.

615

616

#### 617 **Figures and tables:**

### Table 1A: Summary of primary challenge data for different transmission routes 619

Challenge Route	Attack rate (number of	Mean incubation / days				
	animals succumbing to	post infection $\pm$ standard				
	disease / number of animal	deviation				
	challenged (% attack rate))					
Small intestine challenge	46/46 (100%)	$157 \pm 17^{*}$				
Gingival margin challenge	68/70 (97.1%)	$233 \pm 33.4^{11}$				

<sup>620</sup> range 131-230 days, median 153 days; 1 mouse died without clinical BSE

- 621 symptoms at 422 days post-challenge, with no histological confirmation of
- 622 BSE and was excluded from the calculation (otherwise 178 ±67 days). Outlier
- 623 at 230 days; otherwise 156 ± 14 range 131-192.

## 624 <sup>¶</sup> Table 1B: Separate analysis of primary cull data from gingival challenge shows 625 two populations

626

Challenge Route	Attack rate (number of	Mean incubation / days
	animals succumbing to	post infection $\pm$ standard
	disease / number of animal	deviation (range). Data for
	challenged (% attack rate))	TSE positive animals only.
Gingival margin; Early	11/11 (100)	166 ± 18 (140-188)
terminal only		
Gingival margin; Late	57/59 (96.6%)	247 ± 14 (211-275)
terminal only		

627

Weeks													1				<b>.</b> .
	Brain	Brain 0.1%	Brain 0.1%	Spleen	Spleen repeat	Spleen: 1%	Spleen: 0.1%	Saliva	Gingival margin	Lingual muscle	Dental pulp	Trigeminal canolion	Salivary glano	Alveolar bone	Alveolar bone repeat	Lingual tonsil	Lingual tonsil + synulox
3	233			129	134				273		269	168	184		230		380
	N/A			$\pm 2$	$\pm 0$				N/A		N/A	$\pm 0$	$\pm 22$		±25		N/A
	1/3			4/4	5/5			0/6	1/5	0/4	1/6	2/3	5/5		2/6	0/4	1/5
6	<i>197</i>			142	151				243	328	<i>192</i>	551	158		257		424
	±26			±13	±23				$\pm 30$	±193	$\pm 0$	N/A	$\pm 4$		±18		±73
	5/5			6/6	2/2			0/6	4/5	3/6	4/6	1/6	5/5		2/6	0/5	2/6
9	147				132	170	199		284		346	249	141		231		238
	±4				±9	±47	±105		±127		±90	±69	$\pm 3$		±33		±18
	5/5				6/6	3/3	4/5	0/6	5/6	0/6	3/5	4/5	6/6		2/6	0/4	2/6
12	118			130	130				184	344	148	140	139		<i>192</i>	215	190
	+/-0			$\pm 0$	±5				±16	±101	$\pm 0$	±5	±7		±11	±39	±24
	2/2			4/4	6/6			0/6	5/6	3/4	6/6	6/6	6/6		6/6	5/5	4/5
15	118	135		153	178				188	204	237	120	140		172		<i>197</i>
	$\pm 0$	$\pm 4$		±15	±49				±28	$\pm 24$	$\pm 40$	$\pm 0$	$\pm 0$		±7		±26
	6/6	6/6		4/5	6/6			0/6	3/6	4/5	5/6	6/6	6/6		6/6		5/5
18		118		130					153	182	156	115	134		167		196
		$\pm 0$		$\pm 0$					±5	±21	$\pm 0$	±9	±9		$\pm 8$		±12
		4/4		3/3				0/5	6/6	4/5	2/5	6/6	5/6		5/5		5/5
21			185	137					157	236	186	121	150		183		377
			$\pm 114$	±6					±6	$\pm 72$	±27	$\pm 6$	$\pm 5$		±6		$\pm 245$
			6/6	6/6				0/6	6/6	5/6	6/6	5/5	5/5		6/6		2/6
Term.			118	134				207	152	213	160	136	143	158	185		222
			$\pm 0$	±6				<u>+</u> 44	$\pm 0$	±33	$\pm 55$	±17	±6	$\pm 11$	±15		N/A
			2/2	6/6				4/6	6/6	4/5	6/6	4/4	6/6	4/4	6/6		1/6
				1	1												

Table 2: Average incubation periods for VM mice challenged with tissues taken following small intestine challenge. The mean incubation period (Bold), standard deviation (italics) and attack rate (mice infected / mice challenged) are all shown.

635

								n u			• •
Brain	Brain: 1%	Brain: 1%	Spleen	Saliva	Gingival margin	Lingual muscle	Dental pulp	Trigemin l ganglion	Salivary gland	Alveolar bone	Lingual tonsil + synulox
			252	353	314	311	255		181	262	296
			± 11	N/A	± 116	± 36	± 47		± 13	± 69	N/A
0/1	0/6		2/6	1/5	3/6	4/5	5/5	0/6	6/6	3/5	1/6
157			163			243	244	292	146		
± 12			±8			± 63	± 39	N/A	± 8		
3/4			3/3	0/6	0/6	5/6	4/6	1/6	4/4	0/6	0/6
147			163			249	206	317	143	281	
± 5			± 11			± 46	± 30	±60	± 5	± 77	
5/5			5/6	0/6	0/3	5/6	5/6	2/5	5/5	3/6	0/4
131			162		211	226	184	214	141	200	268
± 2			± 45		± 24	± 18	± 7	± 15	± 0	± 23	± 88
4/4			6/6	0/6	3/5	5/6	6/6	5/6	6/6	6/6	3/6
124			140		189	192	228	166	136	198	205
± 19			±4		± 11	± 10	± 124	± 17	± 4	± 34	± 24
6/6			6/6	0/5	4/5	6/6	6/6	6/6	6/6	3/6	5/5
136			141		241	206	182	289	136	297	200
± 7			±6		± 32	± 22	± 13	± 123	± 3	± 167	± 5
3/3			5/5	0/6	4/4	6/6	6/6	3/6	6/6	4/6	2/6
120		128	150	183	153	155	179	159	134	174	217
± 7		± 4	± 16	N/A	±0	± 2	± 19	± 6	±3	±6	± 64
3/3		6/6	6/6	1/3	2/2	5/5	6/6	6/6	5/5	6/6	5/5
126			134	160	181	175	161	160	134	160	198
± 5			± 2	N/A	± 0	± 1	± 10	± 4	± 3	±5	± 43
6/6			4/4	1/2	2/2	2/2	4/5	6/6	5/5	6/6	3/5
	uipsug         )/1         157         ± 12         3/4         147         ± 5         5/5         131         ± 2         4/4         ± 2         4/4         ± 2         4/4         ± 19         5/6         ± 7         3/3         120         ± 7         3/3         126         ± 5         5/6	uind and box	uinspace $uinspace       uinspace       uinspace$	unipole         <	understand         unders	unit         unit <thunit< th="">         unit         unit         <th< td=""><td>iii <math>iii</math> <math>iii</math> <math>iii</math> <math>iii</math> <math>iii</math> <math>iii</math> <math>iiii</math> <math>iiii</math> <math>iiii</math> <math>iiii</math> <math>iiii</math> <math>iiiii</math> <math>iiiii</math> <math>iiiiii</math> <math>iiiiiiiiii</math> <math>iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii</math></td><td>understand       understand       understand<!--</td--><td>understand         understand         <thunderstand< th="">         understand         underst</thunderstand<></td><td><math>iin_{u}</math> <math>iin_{u}</math> <math>iin_{u}</math></td><td><math>iiiade {iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{ii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{ii}{ii}{ii} {iii}{ii}{</math></td></td></th<></thunit<>	iii $iii$ $iii$ $iii$ $iii$ $iii$ $iii$ $iiii$ $iiii$ $iiii$ $iiii$ $iiii$ $iiiii$ $iiiii$ $iiiiii$ $iiiiiiiiii$ $iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii$	understand       understand </td <td>understand         understand         <thunderstand< th="">         understand         underst</thunderstand<></td> <td><math>iin_{u}</math> <math>iin_{u}</math> <math>iin_{u}</math></td> <td><math>iiiade {iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{ii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{ii}{ii}{ii} {iii}{ii}{</math></td>	understand         understand <thunderstand< th="">         understand         underst</thunderstand<>	$iin_{u}$	$iiiade {iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iiii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{ii}{iii}{iii}{iii}{ii}{ii}{ii} {iii}{ii}{$

636 **Table 3: Average incubation periods for indicator animals challenged with tissues taken following gingival margin challenge of VM mice.** The

637 mean incubation period (Bold), standard deviation (italics) and attack rate (mice infected / mice challenged) are shown for each tissue type taken

through the time course. Based on the frequency distribution, two separate groups of terminal samples were taken and treated separately, termed earlyand standard terminal groups.

640 **Figure legends**:

**Figure 1:** Detectable levels of PrP<sup>Sc</sup> on Western blots do not correlate with

the levels of infectivity. 10% brain homogenates from an uninfected brain

643 (lane 2) time-course samples week 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 (lane 3-9), and the

terminal sample (lane 10) were digested with proteinase K at 60°C for 10

645 minutes and assessed by Western blot. The observed signal does not

646 correspond with the levels of infectivity found in corresponding bioassays for

647 the week 12-21 post-exposure time-points.

648

**Figure 2**: Comparison of the cull dates for the mice challenged via the

650 gingival margin. Panel A; Frequency distribution plots show the presence of a

normally distributed population with a mean incubation period of around 250

days plus a small number of animals with significantly shorter incubations

ranging from 140-188 days. Panel B; when these two groups are compared

they show distinct means and distribution and are considered as distinct

655 populations (p< 0.001).

656

657

658

- **Figures**:
- **Figure 1.**





**Figure 2A** 







#### 670 **References:**

Bruce ME, Will RG, Ironside JW, et al. (1997) Transmissions to mice
indicate that 'new variant' CJD is caused by the BSE agent. Nature 389: 498501.

674 2. Hilton DA, Ghani AC, Conyers L, et al. (2004) Prevalence of

675 lymphoreticular prion protein accumulation in UK tissue samples. J Pathol.

676 **203**: **733-739**.

677 3. Clewley JP, Kelly CM, Andrews N et al. (2009) Prevalence of disease

related prion protein in anonymous tonsil specimens in Britain: cross sectional

opportunistic survey. BMJ. 338: b1442.

4. Ironside JW, Bishop MT, Connolly K, et al. (2006) Variant Creutzfeldt-

581 Jakob disease: prion protein genotype analysis of positive appendix tissue

samples from a retrospective prevalence study. BMJ. 332: 1186-1188.

5. Peden AH, Head MW, Ritchie DL, Bell JE, Ironside JW (2004)

684 Preclinical vCJD after blood transfusion in a PRNP codon 129 heterozygous
685 patient Lancet 364: 527-529.

686 6. Bishop MT, Hart P, Aitchison L, et al. (2006) Predicting susceptibility

and incubation time of human-to-human transmission of vCJD. Lancet Neurol.

688 **5: 393-398**.

689 7. Collinge J, Whitfield J, McKintosh E, et al. (2006) Kuru in the 21st
690 century - an acquired human prion disease with very long incubation periods
691 Lancet 367: 2068-2074.

8. Mead S, Joiner S, Desbruslais M, et al. (2007) Creutzfeldt-Jakob

disease, prion protein gene codon 129VV, and a novel PrP<sup>Sc</sup> type in a young

694 British woman Arch. Neurol. 64: 1780-1784.

695 9. Llewelyn CA, Hewitt PE, Knight RS, et al. (2004) Possible transmission
696 of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease by blood transfusion Lancet 363:417697 421.

Ingrosso L, Pisani F, Pocchiari M. (1999) Transmission of the 263K
scrapie strain by the dental route J. Gen. Virol. 80: 3043-3047.

11. Okada H, Sakurai M, Yokoyama T, Mohri S (2010) Disease-associated

prion protein in the dental tissue of mice infected with scrapie J. Comp.

702 Pathol. 143: 218-222.

12. Head MW, Ritchie D, McLoughlin V, Ironside JW (2003) Investigation

of PrPres in dental tissues in variant CJD Br. Dent. J. 195: 339-343.

13. Bruce ME, McConnell I, Will RG, Ironside, JW (2001) Detection of

variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease infectivity in extraneural tissues Lancet 358:208-209.

14. McLeod AH, Murdoch H, Dickinson J, et al. (2004) Proteolytic

inactivation of the bovine spongiform encephalopathy agent Biochem.

710 Biophys. Res. Commun. 317:1165-1170.

15. Lemmer K, Mielke M, Pauli G, Beekes M. (2004) Decontamination of

surgical instruments from prion proteins: in vitro studies on the detachment,

713 destabilization and degradation of PrPSc bound to steel surfaces J. Gen.

714 Virol. 85: 3805-3816.

16. Farquhar CF, Dornan J, Moore RC, Somerville RA, Tunstall AM, Hope J

(1996) Protease-resistant PrP deposition in brain and non-central nervous

system tissues of a murine model of bovine spongiform encephalopathy J.

718 Gen. Virol. 77: 1941-1946.

18. Sakaguchi S, Katamine S, Yamanouchi K, et al. (1993) Kinetics of

720 infectivity are dissociated from PrP accumulation in salivary glands of

721 Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease agent-inoculated mice J. Gen. Virol. 74: 2117-

722 **2123**.

19. Manson JC, Jamieson E, Baybutt H, et al. (1999) A single amino acid

alteration (101L) introduced into murine PrP dramatically alters incubation

time of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy EMBO. J. 18: 6855-6864.

20. Silveira JR, Hughson AG, Caughey B. (2006) Fractionation of prion

727 protein aggregates by asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation Methods

728 Enzymol. 412: 21-33.

Carp RI. (1982) Transmission of scrapie by oral route: effect of gingival
scarification. Lancet. 1: 170-171.

731 22. Joiner S, Linehan JM, Brandner S, Wadsworth JD, Collinge J. (2005)

High levels of disease related prion protein in the ileum in variant CreutzfeldtJakob disease Gut 54: 1506-1508.

Wadsworth JD, Joiner S, Fox K, et al. (2007) Prion infectivity in variant
Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease rectum Gut 56: 90-94.

736 24. Head MW, Ironside JW (2007) vCJD and the gut: implications for

737 endoscopy. Gut 56: 9-11.

738 25. Vassey M, Budge C, Poolman T, et al. (2011) A quantitative

assessment of residual protein levels on dental instruments reprocessed by

manual, ultrasonic and automated cleaning methods Br. Dent. J. 210: E14.

741 26. Fernie K, Steele PJ, Taylor DM, Somerville RA. (2007) Comparative

studies on the thermostability of five strains of transmissible-spongiform-

r43 encephalopathy agent Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 47:175-183.