

This is a repository copy of Comment on "Interplay of deformation and magmatism in the Pangong Transpressional Zone, Eastern Ladakh, India: Implications for remobilization of the trans-Himalayan magmatic arc and initiation of the Karakoram Fault" by K. Sen, B.K. Mukherjee and A.S. Collins, Journal of Structural Geology 62 (2014) 13-24.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/80281/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Wallis, D, Parsons, AJ, Phillips, RJ et al. (2 more authors) (2014) Comment on "Interplay of deformation and magmatism in the Pangong Transpressional Zone, Eastern Ladakh, India: Implications for remobilization of the trans-Himalayan magmatic arc and initiation of the Karakoram Fault" by K. Sen, B.K. Mukherjee and A.S. Collins, Journal of Structural Geology 62 (2014) 13-24. Journal of Structural Geology, 65. 117 - 119. ISSN 0191-8141

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2014.03.008

### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



1	Comment on "Interplay of deformation and magmatism in the Pangong Transpressional Zone,
2	Eastern Ladakh, India: Implications for remobilization of the trans-Himalayan magmatic arc
3	and initiation of the Karakoram Fault" by K. Sen, B.K. Mukherjee and A.S. Collins, Journal
4	of Structural Geology 62 (2014) 13-24
5	D. Wallis <sup>*1</sup> , A.J. Parsons <sup>1</sup> , R.J. Phillips <sup>1</sup> , M.P. Searle <sup>2</sup> & E.C. Ferré <sup>3</sup>
6	*Corresponding author
7	<sup>1</sup> School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, LS2 9JT
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, OX1 3AN
9	<sup>3</sup> Department of Geology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale IL 62901, USA
10	Keywords: Karakoram Fault, microstructure, anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility,
11	leucogranite, magmatism, deformation
12	1. Introduction
13	Sen et al. (2014) address the issue of the age of the Karakoram Fault Zone (KFZ), western Tibet, and
14	suggest that the fault has accommodated significant eastward extrusion of the Tibetan plateau since

15 initiating prior to c. 23 Ma. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) data provided by Sen et al.

16 potentially provide a new approach to investigating the relationship between the KFZ and the c. 22-

17 16 Ma Tangtse-Darbuk leucogranite (TDL) (Phillips et al., 2004). However, we show that

18 misinterpretation of key microstructures has led Sen et al. to reach conclusions starkly at odds with

19 the evidence that they present. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the study lacks the cautionary

20 procedures and considerations that are crucial to the valid interpretation of AMS data. We show that

- 21 the findings of Sen et al. are entirely consistent with pre-kinematic rather than syn-kinematic
- emplacement of the TDL. We conclude that the KFZ initiated after cooling of the TDL to ambient

lower amphibolite grade conditions after 15.55±0.74 Ma (Phillips et al., 2004) and is a relatively
recent structure of the India-Asia collision zone.

## 25 2. Re-interpretation of field and microstructural observations

Sen et al. recognise that the main bulk of the TDL is relatively undeformed and that strain increases 26 dramatically towards the Tangtse fault strand. This structural relationship is consistent with the 27 findings of previous studies of the TDL (Phillips and Searle, 2007; Wallis et al., 2013) and is widely 28 recognised as indicative of pre-kinematic intrusion of granitic bodies (Lamouroux et al., 1980; 29 Paterson and Tobisch, 1988). Sen et al. provide AMS data for fifteen KFZ samples and suggest that 30 ten show magnetic fabrics consistent with the known kinematics of the Pangong Transpressional 31 Zone (PTZ). Notably, two samples (7/4B and 7/5A) from the TDL interior show AMS fabrics 32 33 discordant to the KFZ deformation, which Sen et al. suggest result from magma chamber convection. 34 These discordant fabrics, preserved outside the marginal KFZ shear zones, fulfil another important criterion for identification of pre-kinematic granites (Miller and Paterson, 1995; Paterson et al., 35 1998). 36

The syn-kinematic interpretation of the TDL put forward by Sen et al. relies on their statement that 37 38 samples "MST 7/3A and MST 7/3B, which are relatively undeformed, show a magnetic fabric that is emplacement related and not tectonized", presumably meaning that the magnetic fabric formed after 39 emplacement but before full crystallisation. The evidence that they put forward as showing that these 40 samples are not 'tectonized' are their figures 4a-c. Figures 4a and 4b show only a large garnet in 41 42 MST 7/3B and are insufficient to determine whether or not the sample is tectonized as the matrix is not shown. The quartz microstructure in the sample is shown however in Figure 4c, where the 43 44 authors describe it as "devoid of dynamic recrystallization features and lacking any preferred orientation, showing regular grain boundaries and undulatory extinction." This description is 45 inconsistent with the microstructure shown in their Figure 4c. The highly irregular, amoeboid and 46

47 embayed quartz grain boundaries are indicative of deformation by grain boundary migration dynamic recrystallization. Sub-grain walls are also present within larger grains, suggesting a component of 48 sub-grain rotation. These combined deformation mechanisms indicate deformation at c.500°C at 49 typical geological shear zone strain rates of  $c.10^{-12} s^{-1}$  (Stipp et al., 2002) and are consistent with 50 previous microstructural observations and deformation temperature estimates within the Tangtse 51 fault strand (Wallis et al., 2013). Higher temperature quartz deformation microstructures such as 52 "chess board" extinction, which form at near-solidus temperatures (Blumenfeld et al., 1986), are 53 absent from the images provided. An alternative explanation for the quartz deformation 54 55 microstructures could be that they formed by deformation during, and resulting from, ascent and emplacement of partially crystallised granitic magma, as proposed by Sen and Collins (2013) for the 56 57 Ladakh batholith. However, such an interpretation should be supported by evidence of 58 ascent/emplacement related deformation microstructures (e.g. crystal tiling, magmatic growth in 59 pressure shadows). Similarly, the interpretation by Sen et al. that the TDL magma chamber underwent significant convection supports emplacement of mobile fluid magma rather than a crystal 60 61 mush undergoing dynamic recrystallization. Sen et al. note that the centre of the TDL preserves magmatic or high temperature microstructures such as fluid/melt inclusions in garnet and "melt" 62 filled cleavage and fractures in feldspar. These microstructures alone are insufficient to support syn-63 ascent/emplacement deformation of the quartz or a syn-KFZ interpretation of the TDL as they may 64 65 (most likely given the aforementioned evidence) result from normal magmatic processes including 66 deformation during magmatic convection, as suggested by Sen et al. for the magnetic fabrics of the TDL interior. The concordance between the magnetic fabrics in samples MST 7/3A and MST 7/3B 67 and the wider macroscopic, microstructural and magnetic deformation fabrics of the KFZ is therefore 68 69 best explained by deformation of these samples at c.500°C in the margin of the Tangtse fault strand, not by deformation during ascent/emplacement or sub-solidus cooling. The evidence put forward by 70 Sen et al. is therefore entirely consistent with a pre-KFZ interpretation of the TDL and lends no 71

support to the syn-KFZ interpretation. The KFZ must therefore have initiated after final solidification
of the TDL at 15.55±0.74 Ma (Phillips et al., 2004). The syn-kinematic migmatite structures formed
at c.17.4 Ma (Phillips et al., 2013) therefore do not record anatexis and melt migration within the
KFZ shear zone but provide valuable information on magma migration through the regionally
deforming crust of the Karakoram terrane prior to KFZ initiation.

### 77 **3.** Critique of AMS analysis

AMS can serve as a proxy for deformation when (1) all magnetic carriers are identified, that (2) all 78 possible controls on AMS are carefully taken into account and (3) a genetic relationship between the 79 origin of AMS and deformation fabrics is confirmed (Borradaile & Jackson, 2004, 2010). Modern 80 AMS investigations (Borradaile et al., 2011; Kontny et al., 2011; Kruckenberg et al., 2010) include a 81 82 variety of corroborative data (other than AMS data) to identify the magnetic carriers, and determine 83 the grain size and extent of magnetostatic interactions of any ferromagnetic phases. These additional procedures include magnetic hysteresis measurements (Tauxe et al., 2002), Isothermal Remanent 84 85 Magnetisation (IRM) acquisition curve analysis (Robertson & France, 1994), FORC analysis (Roberts et al., 2000) and thermomagnetic experiments (Ferré et al., 2003). In some cases it is also 86 necessary to determine the petrological relationship between the magnetic carriers and surrounding 87 crystal fabric with microscopy and/or SPO or CPO measurements (Kruckenberg et al., 2010). By 88 failing to conduct most of these additional analyses, Sen et al. are unable to correctly identify the 89 magnetic carriers and cannot rigorously evaluate the controls that may influence their AMS results. 90 Sen et al. present AMS data from 15 samples identified as leucogranite, dioritic gneiss, pink 91 mylonitised granite and migmatite. Sen et al. first use bulk susceptibility (Km) and corrected degree 92 93 of anisotropy (P') (Jelínek, 1981) to split their sample suite into paramagnetic and ferromagnetic samples (Figure 5a, Sen et al.). Sen et al. correctly note that many of the dioritic gneisses have high 94 values of  $K_m$  (>10<sup>-3</sup> SI) which are typical values for ferromagnetic materials. Sen et al. use a single 95

96 thermal magnetisation experiment on sample 7/3AX (Figure 5b, Sen et al.) to infer the presence of magnetite in all sample lithologies other than leucogranite. Whilst most of the values of K<sub>m</sub> are high 97 for these samples (>10<sup>-3</sup> SI), the variability in  $K_m$  and P' suggests that magnetite may not be the 98 99 magnetic carrier in all of these samples and at least two of these samples (7/7, 26/8/4, 27/8/2A) are most likely to be paramagnetic. This variability in K<sub>m</sub> and P' highlights the inappropriateness of 100 using a single thermomagnetic result to determine the magnetic carriers of multiple samples with a 101 variety of lithologies. Valid identification of the magnetic carriers requires further investigation, 102 either via additional thermal magnetisation experiments or more suitably via magnetic hysteresis 103 104 analysis (Tauxe et al., 2002) and IRM acquisition curve analysis (Robertson & France, 1994). Where ferromagnetic phases are identified, the grain sizes and magnetostatic interactions between these 105 106 grains, which also control AMS, should also be evaluated through magnetic hysteresis and FORC 107 analysis (Roberts et al., 2000; Dunlop, 2002).

108 There are other unexplained errors presented in the K<sub>m</sub>, P' and T plots in Figures 5a and 5d. Figure 5a (K<sub>m</sub> vs. P') displays seven leucogranite data points (white circles) and eight dioritic gneiss data 109 110 points (black squares), whilst Figure 5d (T vs. P') displays eight leucogranite data points and seven dioritic gneiss data points. Figure 5a displays an anomalous data point ( $K_m = 17500 : P' = 1.7$ ) that is 111 not presented in Table 2. Only three leucogranite data points with P' > 1.2 are displayed in Figure 5a, 112 whereas four leucogranite samples have P' > 1.2 in Table 2. Sample 27/8/2A (migmatite) is 113 represented by a white circle (i.e. leucogranite) in Figure 5a and a black square (diorite gneiss) in 114 Figure 5d. We highlight this last error because if sample 27/8/2A had been displayed as a black 115 square amongst the white circled leucogranite data cluster then Figure 5a would actually disagree 116 with Sen et al. 's assumption that magnetite controls the AMS of all samples except leucogranite. The 117 values of K<sub>m</sub> displayed in Table 2 and Figure 5a should also be presented as an order of x 10<sup>-6</sup> 118 (Tarling & Hrouda, 1993). 119

120 Sen et al. state that the AMS fabrics of the diorite gneisses, migmatites and pink mylonitic granites are concordant with the local strike of the KFZ and must be representative of lateral shearing along 121 the KFZ. However, the magnetic foliation of half of these samples is misaligned with the strike and 122 123 dip of the local structural foliation by 15-90° and 9-38° respectively. Sen et al. claim that the discordances between the orientations of the structural and magnetic fabrics "can be attributed to 124 scattered crystallization of very fine grained magnetite having no significant preferred orientation," 125 126 however, there is no clear evidence that magnetite controls the AMS of all of these samples and 127 without knowing the nature of all magnetic carriers and their relation to the surrounding deformation 128 fabric, it is not possible to justify a correlation between magnetic and deformation fabrics. Furthermore, Sen et al.'s explanation is actually incorrect. A scattering of magnetite grains with no 129 130 significant preferred orientation would produce a very scattered AMS fabric with wide confidence 131 ellipses surrounding the mean AMS axis orientations. Most of the AMS fabrics presented in Figure 6 are actually very well defined, with tight clusters and small confidence ellipses around the mean 132 AMS axes. 133

134 Sen et al. present good evidence to suggest that biotite is the magnetic carrier in the leucogranite 135 samples and that their random orientations within the Durbuk Pluton are likely to represent a magmatic fabric. However, the authors' interpretation that the AMS fabrics of leucogranite samples 136 7/3A and 7/3B represent syn-kinematic magmatic fabrics is less convincing. Such interpretations 137 require evidence of a KFZ aligned magmatic fabric in the absence of solid-state deformation. We 138 have demonstrated that the microstructural evidence from sample 7/3B is actually typical of dynamic 139 recrystallisation textures formed during solid-state deformation. It should also be noted that the 140 accompanying AMS fabric from this sample is actually misaligned with the strike and dip of the 141 KFZ by 28-43° and 27-42° respectively. We find the AMS orientation of 7/3B to be statistically 142 indistinguishable from the random AMS orientations of the rest of the leucogranite samples and 143 cannot justify a correlation between the AMS of this sample and KFZ-related deformation. Without 144

evidence for a lack of solid state deformation from 7/3A, it is not possible to suggest that this AMS
fabric was formed during syn-kinematic magmatic flow. It is just as likely that the strong AMS

147 fabric in 7/3A is due to localised shearing at the margin of the pluton after crystallisation.

# 148 4. Concluding Remarks

- 149 Mis-interpretation of critical microstructures has led Sen et al. to a syn-kinematic emplacement
- 150 interpretation of the TDL. Instead, the field and microstructural evidence that they observe is entirely
- 151 consistent with a pre-KFZ interpretation of the TDL, and precludes syn-KFZ emplacement.
- 152 Furthermore, the AMS results reported by Sen et al. lack the supporting magnetic data needed to
- determine and evaluate the AMS controls. Without these constraints, a valid correlation between
- 154 AMS and deformation fabrics cannot be made. We conclude that KFZ deformation in this region
- commenced after solidification of the TDL at 15.55±0.74 Ma (sample P1, Phillips et al., 2004) and
- 156 cooling to ambient lower amphibolite grade conditions (Wallis et al., 2013).

# 157 **References**

- Blumenfeld, P., Mainprice, D., Bouchez, J.L., 1986. C-slip in quartz from subsolidus deformed
  granite. Tectonophysics 127, 97-115.
- 160 Lamouroux, C., Soula, J.C., Deramond, J., Debut, P., 1980. Shear zones in the granodioritic massifs
- of the central Pyrenees and the behaviour of these massifs during Alpine orogenesis. J. Struct. Geol.2, 49-53.
- 163 Borradaile, G.J., Almqvist, B.S.G., Geneviciene, I., 2011. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility
- 164 (AMS) and diamagnetic fabrics in the Durness Limestone, NW Scotland. J. Struc. Geol. 34, 54-60.
- 165 Borradaile, G.J., Jackson, M., 2004. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS): magnetic
- 166 petrofabrics of deformed rocks. Geol. Soc., Lond., Spec. Pub. 238, 299-360.
- 167 Borradaile, G.J., Jackson, M., 2010. Structural geology, petrofabrics and magnetic fabrics (AMS,
- 168 AARM, AIRM). J. Struc. Geol. 32, 1519-1551.

- 169 Dunlop, D.J., 2002. Theory and application of the Day plot (Mrs/Ms versus Hcr/Hc) 1. Theoretical
- 170 curves and tests using titanomagnetite data. J. Geophys. Res.: S. Earth 107, EPM 4-1-EPM 4-22.
- 171 Ferré, E.C., Teyssier, C., Jackson, M., Thill, J.W., Rainey, E.S.G., 2003. Magnetic susceptibility
- anisotropy: A new petrofabric tool in migmatites. J. Geophys. Res.: S. Earth 108, 2086.
- Jelínek, V., 1981. Characterization of the magnetic fabric of rocks. Tectonophys. 79, T63-T67.
- 174 Kontny, A., Engelmann, R., Grimmer, J., Greiling, R., Hirt, A., 2011. Magnetic fabric development
- in a highly anisotropic magnetite-bearing ductile shear zone (Seve Nappe Complex, Scandinavian
- 176 Caledonides). Int. J. Earth Sci., 10.1007/s00531-011-0713-8, 1-22.
- 177 Kruckenberg, S.C., Ferré, E.C., Teyssier, C., Vanderhaeghe, O., Whitney, D.L., Seaton, N.C.A.,
- 178 Skord, J.A., 2010. Viscoplastic flow in migmatites deduced from fabric anisotropy: An example
- 179 from the Naxos dome, Greece. J. Geophys. Res, 115, B09401.
- 180 Miller, R.B., Paterson, S.R., 1995. Construction of mid-crustal magma chambers during regional
- 181 contraction, North Cascades, Washington. In: Brown, M., Piccoli, P.M. (Eds.), The Origin of
- 182 Granites and Related Rocks. U.S. Geol. Surv. Circ. 1129, 97-98.
- 183 Paterson, S.R., Fowler, T.K., Schmidt, K.L., Yoshinobu, A.Y., Yuan, E.S., Miller, R.B., 1998.
- 184 Interpreting magmatic fabric patterns in plutons. Lithos 44, 53-82.
- 185 Paterson, S.R., Tobisch, O.T., 1988. Using pluton ages to date regional deformations: Problems with
- 186 commonly used criteria. Geology 16, 1108-1111.
- 187 Phillips, R.J., Parrish, R.R., Searle, M.P., 2004. Age constraints on ductile deformation and long-
- term slip rates along the Karakoram fault zone, Ladakh. E. Plan. Sci. Lett. 226, 305-319.
- 189 Phillips, R.J., Searle, M.P., 2007. Macrostructural and microstructural architecture of the Karakoram
- 190 fault: relationship between magmatism and strike-slip faulting. Tectonics 26, TC3017.
- 191 Phillips, R.J., Searle, M.P., Parrish, R.R., 2013. The geochemical and temporal evolution of the
- 192 continental lithosphere and its relationship to continental-scale faulting: The Karakoram Fault,
- 193 eastern Karakoram, NW Himalayas. Geochem., Geophys., Geosys. 14, 583-603.

- 194 Robertson, D.J., France, D.E., 1994. Discrimination of remanence-carrying minerals in mixtures,
- using isothermal remanent magnetisation acquisition curves. Phys. Earth Plan. Int. 82, 223-234.
- 196 Roberts, A.P., Pike, C.R., Verosub, K.L., 2000. First-order reversal curve diagrams: A new tool for
- 197 characterizing the magnetic properties of natural samples. J. Geophys. Res.: S. Earth 105, 28461-
- 198 28475.
- 199 Sen, K., Collins, A.S., 2013. Reply to comment on "Dextral transpression and late-Eocene
- 200 magmatism in the trans-Himalayan Ladakh Batholith (North India): implications for tectono-
- 201 magmatic evolution of the Indo-Eurasian collisional arc. Int. J. Earth Sci. 102, 973-975.
- Sen, K., Mukherjee, B.K., Collins, A.S., 2014. Interplay of deformation and magmatism in the
- 203 Pangong Transpressional Zone, Eastern Ladakh, India: Implications for remobilization of the trans-
- Himalayan magmatic arc and initiation of the Karakoram Fault. J. Struct. Geol. 62, 13-24.
- 205 Stipp, M., Stünitz, H., Heilbronner, R., Schmid, S.M., 2002. Dynamic recrystallization of quartz:
- 206 correlation between natural and experimental conditions. In: De Meer, S., Drury, M.R., De Bresser,
- 207 J.H.P., Pennock, G.M. (Eds.), Deformation Mechanisms, Rheology and Tectonics: Current Status
- and Future Perspectives. Geol. Soc., Lond., Spec. Pub. 200, 171-190.
- Tarling, D.H., Hrouda, F., 1993. The magnetic anisotropy of rocks, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., 217 pp, Chapman and
  Hall, London.
- Tauxe, L., Bertram, H.N., Seberino, C., 2002. Physical interpretation of hysteresis loops:
- 212 Micromagnetic modeling of fine particle magnetite. Geochem. Geophys. Geosys. 3, 1055.
- 213 Wallis, D., Phillips, R.J., Lloyd, G.E., 2013. Fault weakening across the frictional-viscous transition
- zone, Karakoram Fault Zone, NW Himalaya. Tectonics 32, 1227-1246.