

Archer, Simon C. and Mc Coy, Finola and Wapenaar, Wendela and Green, Martin J. (2014) Association between somatic cell count during the first lactation and the cumulative milk yield of cows in Irish dairy herds. Journal of Dairy Science, 97 (4). pp. 2135-2144. ISSN 0022-0302

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/3045/1/Archer_JDS_2014.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

- Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners.
- To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in Nottingham ePrints has been checked for eligibility before being made available.
- Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or notfor-profit purposes without prior permission or charge provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.
- · Quotations or similar reproductions must be sufficiently acknowledged.

Please see our full end user licence at: <u>http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf</u>

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

J. Dairy Sci. 97:2135–2144 http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7158 © American Dairy Science Association[®], 2014.

Association between somatic cell count during the first lactation and the cumulative milk yield of cows in Irish dairy herds

S. C. Archer,*¹ F. Mc Coy,† W. Wapenaar,* and M. J. Green*

*University of Nottingham, School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, Sutton Bonington Campus, Sutton Bonington, Leicestershire, LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

†Animal and Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Teagasc, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland

ABSTRACT

Reduced potential milk yield is an important component of mastitis costs in dairy cows. The first aim of this study was to assess associations between somatic cell count (SCC) during the first lactation, and cumulative milk yield over the first lactation and subsequent lifetime of cows in Irish dairy herds. The second aim was to assess the association between SCC at 5 to 30 d in milk during parity 1 (SCC1), and SCC over the entire first lactation for cows in Irish dairy herds. The data set studied included records from 51,483 cows in 5,900 herds. Somatic cell count throughout the first lactation was summarized using the geometric mean and variance of SCC. Data were analyzed using linear models that included random effects to account for the lack of independence between observations, and herdlevel variation in coefficients. Models were developed in a Bayesian framework and parameters were estimated from 10,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations. The final models were a good fit to the data. A 1-unit increase in mean natural logarithm SCC over the first lactation was associated with a median decrease in first lactation and lifetime milk yield of 135 and 1,663 kg, respectively. A 1-unit increase in the variance of natural logarithm SCC over the first lactation was associated with a median decrease in lifetime milk yield of 719 kg. To demonstrate the context of lifetime milk vield results, microsimulation was used to model the trajectory of individual cows and evaluate the expected outcomes for particular changes in herd-level geometric mean SCC over the first lactation. A 75% certainty of savings of at least €199/heifer in the herd was detected if herd-level geometric mean SCC over the first lactation was reduced from >120,000 to <72,000 cells/mL. The association between SCC1 and SCC over the remainder of the first lactation was highly herd dependent, indicating that control measures for heifer mastitis should

be preferentially targeted on an individual-herd basis toward either the pre- and peripartum period, or the lactating period, to optimize the lifetime milk yield of dairy cows.

Key words: dairy heifer, somatic cell count, cumulative milk yield

INTRODUCTION

Increased SCC between 5 and 30 DIM during parity 1 (SCC1) has been reported to have a negative effect on both cumulative milk yield and risk of disposal for cows in Irish dairy herds (Archer et al., 2013a,b). Early-lactation SCC in heifers is considered a reflection of the adequacy of control measures during the pre- and peripartum (**ppp**) period (De Vliegher et al., 2012), and improving management for ppp heifers to reduce the prevalence of cows with SCC1 \geq 400,000 cells/mL would be expected to have an economically important impact on lifetime milk yield (LiMY; Archer et al., 2013a). In Belgian and Dutch heifers, increased SCC early in the first lactation has been associated with increased SCC at subsequent test days throughout the first lactation (De Vliegher et al., 2004; Santman-Berends et al., 2012). For cows that survive, SCC beyond early lactation, therefore, gives information on the legacy of IMI from the ppp period, as well as IMI originating while heifers are in milk. A negative relationship between geometric mean first-lactation SCC and cumulative first-lactation milk yield (**FLMY**) has been reported (Raubertas and Shook, 1982; Hortet and Seegers, 1998). However, to our knowledge, no studies have investigated the association between numeric summaries of SCC throughout the entire first lactation and cumulative milk yield beyond the first lactation. Furthermore, the association between SCC1 and SCC throughout the entire first lactation has not been investigated for cows in Irish dairy herds. These relationships will help understand the relative importance of the ppp and lactating period for the control of heifer mastitis.

The aims of this study were 2-fold: first, to investigate associations between SCC throughout the first lactation and cumulative milk yield over the first lactation

Received June 19, 2013.

Accepted December 4, 2013.

¹Corresponding author: simon.archer@nottingham.ac.uk

and the subsequent lifetime of cows in Irish dairy herds. Microsimulation was then used to show the financial impact of herd-level reductions in the prevalence of cows with high SCC during the first lactation in terms of LiMY. The second aim was to assess the association between SCC at 5 to 30 DIM during the first lactation and SCC throughout the entire first lactation of cows in Irish dairy herds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Selection

Data were provided by the Irish Cattle Breeding Federation (Bandon, Co. Cork, Ireland), and 51,483 cows in 5,900 herds were selected. Selection criteria were ≥ 2 SCC recordings during parity 1 (the first at 5 to 30) DIM between January 2005 and March 2007), and age at first calving (AFC) > 700 d (to remove 6% of heifers with increased risk of culling due to dystocia; Berry and Cromie, 2009). The median number of heifers included per herd was 7 (range of 1 to 98). Cumulative milk yields for all lactations up to July 25, 2012, were calculated using a recognized method (Olori et al., 1999). Lactation milk yields were summed for each cow to give an estimate of LiMY from the date of first calving to the end of the study period. Descriptive statistics were calculated for cows in a subset of 5,413 herds (with ≥ 2 eligible cows/herd) that was split into quartiles based on herd-level geometric mean first-lactation SCC (herd_gSCC_p1; quartile 1: <72,000 cells/mL; quartile 2: 72,000 to 93,000 cells/mL; quartile 3: 94,000 to 119,000 cells/mL; quartile 4: >120,000 cells/mL). Descriptive statistics included number of cows, firstlactation SCC parameters, proportion of cows with SCC1 \geq 400,000 cells/mL, number of recordings in the first lactation, proportion of cows surviving up to the fourth lactation, and first-lactation and LiMY.

First-Lactation SCC and Cumulative Milk Yield

Statistical Analysis. First-lactation SCC was summarized by its geometric mean and variance, which were positively associated. For comparison, the outcomes of interest (y_{ij}) were (1) FLMY or (2) LiMY for the ith cow in the jth herd. Random effects models were developed that took the form

$$\begin{split} y_{ij} &= \alpha \,+\, \mathbf{X_{ij}} \boldsymbol{\beta_1} \,+\, \mathbf{X_j} \boldsymbol{\beta_2} \,+\, u_j \,+\, e_{ij}, \\ & u_j \,\sim\, \text{Normal} \Big(0, \sigma_u^2 \Big), \\ & e_{ij} \,\sim\, \text{Normal} \Big(0, \sigma_e^2 \Big), \end{split}$$

Journal of Dairy Science Vol. 97 No. 4, 2014

where α = intercept value, \mathbf{X}_{ij} = matrix of exposure variables for each cow, β_1 = vector of coefficients for X_{ij} , X_i = matrix of exposure variables for each herd, β_2 = vector of coefficients for \mathbf{X}_{i} , \mathbf{u}_{i} = a random effect to account for residual variation between herds (assumed to be normally distributed, with mean = 0 and variance $= \sigma_{u}^{2}$), and e_{ij} = residual level 1 error (assumed to be normally distributed, with mean = 0 and variance = σ_{e}^{2}). The geometric mean and variance of first-lactation SCC, SCC1, and AFC were investigated for inclusion as polynomial terms (to powers of 5) on a natural logarithmic scale to account for nonlinear associations with cumulative milk yield. Month and year of first calving were investigated for inclusion as linear or categorical terms. Biologically plausible interactions and herd-level random slopes (herd \times fixed effect interactions) were assessed. To facilitate posterior predictions from the models that incorporated all uncertainty in parameters, the models for FLMY and LiMY were developed in a Bayesian framework using WinBUGS 1.4.3 software (Lunn et al., 2000). This approach required initial values for covariates to run a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure and these were generated in MLwiN software (Rasbash et al., 2012), using the iterative generalized least squares procedure (Goldstein, 2003). Parameters were estimated from 10,000 MCMC simulations, following a burn-in of 1,000 simulations, during which time chain convergence occurred, determined by visual inspection of 3 chains to ensure that a stationary distribution had been reached (Gilks et al., 1996). Vague prior distributions were used for the random effect variances $\sigma_u^{-2} \sim \text{Gamma} (0.001, 0.001), \sigma_e^{-2} \sim \text{Gamma} (0.001, 0.001), \text{ and } \beta \sim \text{Normal} (0, 10^6)$, to give major influence to the data in the estimation of parameters (Green et al., 2004). Distributions of covariates and interaction terms were inspected; these remained in the model based on biological plausibility and if the 95% Bayesian credible interval (**BCI**) excluded 0. Sensitivity of the results to prior distributions for the herdlevel random effect variance (Spiegelhalter et al., 2004) was evaluated by repeating simulations using the prior $\sigma_{\rm u}^2 \sim \text{Uniform } (10^{-9}, 10^9).$

Model Checking. Fixed and random effects were used to predict cow FLMY and LiMY (y.pred_{ij}); thus,

y.pred_{ij}
$$\sim P(y.pred_{ij}|\boldsymbol{\beta}, data, u_j),$$

where y.pred_{ij} are posterior predictions of cumulative milk yield for the ith cow in the jth herd, β is the vector of model coefficient distributions, and u_j is the random effect for the jth herd. Predicted and observed mean FLMY and LiMY were calculated at the cow level for quartiles of cows categorized by geometric mean of first-lactation SCC (quartile 1: <55,000 cells/ mL; quartile 2: 55,000 to 90,000 cells/mL; quartile 3: 91,000 to 149,000 cells/mL; quartile 4: \geq 150,000 cells/mL; mL), or grouped by SCC1 (group 1: <55,000 cells/mL; group 2: 55,000 to 149,000 cells/mL; group 3: 150,000 to 399,000 cells/mL; group 4: \geq 400,000 cells/mL); these categories were not in the final models. Posterior predicted distributions of mean cumulative milk yield for cows in these groups were inspected to determine if the observed values were within the 95% BCI of the posterior predictions, as an indication of internal model fit and usefulness (Gelman et al., 1996).

Microsimulation. Management changes to improve mastitis have an effect on the whole herd rather than individual cows. Therefore, to illustrate the potential effect of reductions in herd_gSCC_p1 on the mean LiMY of cows, and to demonstrate financial relevance, microsimulation was carried out as was conducted in previous research to investigate herd-level reductions in the prevalence of cows with high SCC1 (Archer et al., 2013a). The Bayesian model was, therefore, extended to include a one-step microsimulation of LiMY for 1,000 simulated cows with different characteristics, based on herd_gSCC_p1 quartile. Increase in the mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC for firstlactation cows by herd was associated with increase in the between-herd variance of these parameters. For each simulated cow, values for the mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation were, therefore, drawn from normal distributions based on the observed data to give a realistic distribution of values. To demonstrate the effect of an achievable reduction in herd_gSCC_p1 on LiMY, herds with herd_gSCC_p1 in quartile 4 were assumed to move to quartiles 1 or 2, and herds in quartile 3 were assumed to move to quartile 1. For ease of comparison, all simulated cows were assumed to have a first-calving date in February 2007. At each of 10,000 MCMC simulations (following a burn-in of 1,000), final model coefficients were combined with data from the simulated cows to generate predictions of LiMY for the ith cow in the jth herd (y.pred_{ii}):

y.pred_{ii}
$$\sim P(y.pred_{ii}|\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{X}^{sim}),$$

where β is a vector of model coefficient distributions and X^{sim} is a matrix of data for simulated cows, including simulated values for the mean and variance of the natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation, based on herd_gSCC_p1 quartile, and indicator variables to denote a first calving in February 2007.

The mean LiMY for simulated cows in each herd scenario was calculated following each MCMC simulation. Differences in mean LiMY were multiplied by an estimated gross margin (milk price – variable costs of production), that was drawn from a normal distribution with mean = $0.17 \notin /L$, and standard deviation = $0.03 \notin /L$ for each cow (Hennessy et al., 2011), to give the difference in expected revenue associated with reductions in herd_gSCC_p1. Posterior distributions of mean savings /heifer calved into the herd were plotted as a cumulative probability distribution to show the probability of different levels of financial return for changes in herd_gSCC_p1.

SCC Legacy During the First Lactation: Statistical Analysis

Proportions of cows in each SCC1 group and firstlactation geometric mean quartile were determined. Mean and variance of the natural logarithm of SCC during the first lactation ($\operatorname{Resp}_{ijk}$) were outcomes in the following bivariate linear model (Rasbash et al., 2012):

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Resp}_{ijk} &= (\beta_0 + v_{0k} + u_{0jk})_{\cdot} z_{0jk} + (\beta_1 + v_{1k} + u_{1jk})_{\cdot} z_{1jk} \\ &+ (\beta_2 + v_{2k})_{\cdot} z_{0jk} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{jk} + (\beta_3 + v_{3k})_{\cdot} z_{1jk} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{jk}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} v_{0k} \\ v_{1k} \\ v_{2k} \\ v_{3k} \end{bmatrix} \sim \operatorname{Normal} (0, \sum v), \ \sum v = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{v0}^2 & \sigma_{v1}^2 \\ \sigma_{v01}^2 & \sigma_{v1}^2 \\ \sigma_{v011}^2 & \sigma_{v12}^2 & \sigma_{v2}^2 \\ \sigma_{v01112}^2 & \sigma_{v12}^2 & \sigma_{v2}^2 \\ \sigma_{v01112}^2 & \sigma_{v12}^2 & \sigma_{v2}^2 \\ \sigma_{u01}^2 & \sigma_{u1}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where z_{0ik} , and z_{1ik} are indicator variables for mean and variance of the natural logarithm of SCC during the first lactation, respectively, for the *j*th cow in the kth herd. The model intercepts β_0 , and β_1 for the mean and variance of first-lactation natural logarithm of SCC, respectively, were allowed to vary randomly to account for lack of independence between cows $(u_{0k}, and u_{1k})$ and herds $(v_{0k}, and v_{1k})$. Exposure variables for each cow (matrix \mathbf{X}_{ik}) had corresponding vectors of coefficients β_2 and β_3 for the mean and variance of first-lactation natural logarithm of SCC, respectively, which could vary randomly at the herd level, as defined by v_{2k} and v_{3k} . Cow-level random effects $(u_{0k}, and u_{1k})$ were assumed to have a multivariate normal distribution with mean = 0 and covariance matrix = Σ_{u} , consisting of variances for the mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC throughout the first lactation: σ_{u0}^2 and σ_{u1}^2 , respectively, and their covariance σ_{u01}^2 . The herdlevel random effects covariance matrix (Σ_{v}) had an expanded structure to include variances for random coefficients, in addition to the intercepts, and hence additional covariance terms. The model was fitted using MCMC in MLwiN software (Browne, 2012), with vague prior distributions for $\sigma_{vi}^{-2} \sim \text{Gamma} (0.001, 0.001), \sigma_{ui}^{-2} \sim \text{Gamma} (0.001, 0.001), \text{ and } \beta \sim \text{Normal} (0, 10^6).$ Somatic cell count between 5 and 30 DIM during parity 1 was the exposure of interest and this was included as natural logarithm-scale polynomials. In order for the model to be useful for predictions of the mean and variance of first-lactation natural logarithm of SCC by 30 DIM, only confounding variables deemed to be operating by 30 DIM were assessed. These were month of first calving, AFC, and DIM at the first recording. Biologically plausible interactions and herd-level random slopes (for SCC1) were investigated for inclusion. Parameters were included in the model if the 95% BCI excluded 0 and there was a reduction in the deviance information criteria (Spiegelhalter et al., 2002). Convergence was assessed by inspection of chains to ensure that a stationary distribution had been reached (Browne, 2012), and model fit was assessed by checking distributions of cow and herd-level mean residuals for normality (Goldstein, 2003).

RESULTS

Descriptive Results

Lifetime milk yield for all 51,483 cows was evaluated over maximum follow-up times between 5.3 and 7.5 vr. Descriptive statistics, grouped by herd_gSCC_p1 quartile, are shown in Table 1. Trends were observed for decreased FLMY, LiMY, and proportions of cows surviving with increasing herd_gSCC_p1. These changes were associated with an increase in the herd-level proportion of recordings with high SCC, both at 5 to 30 DIM (\geq 400,000 cells/mL), and throughout the first lactation (\geq 400,000 and \geq 200,000 cells/mL), and also increased variability in these proportions between herds (Table 1). Increasing herd_gSCC_p1 was associated with increasing variance of the mean natural logarithm of SCC for cows over the first lactation both between and within herds (Table 1). Forty-six percent of cows had SCC1 <150,000 cells/mL and geometric mean first-lactation SCC $\leq 90,000$ cells/mL, and only 5% of cows had SCC1 >150,000 cells/mL and geometric mean first-lactation SCC $\leq 90,000$ cells/mL (Table 2). Twenty-four percent of cows had SCC1 <150,000 cells/ mL and geometric mean first-lactation SCC >90,000cells/mL (Table 2). Similarly, 25% of cows had SCC1 \geq 150,000 cells/mL and geometric mean first-lactation SCC >90,000 cells/mL (Table 2).

First-Lactation SCC and Cumulative Milk Yield

Model Results. The final model for FLMY (model 1; Table 3) accounted for month and AFC. Cows that calved in June 2007, aged 27 mo were used as

the baseline for comparison. A 1-unit increase in mean natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation (for example, an increase in first-lactation geometric mean SCC (**GMSCC**) from 50,000 to 150,000 cells/mL, or 150,000 to 400,000 cells/mL) was associated with a median decrease in FLMY of 135 kg (95% BCI of 108 to 163 kg). Variance of natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation was not associated with change in FLMY. An interaction was observed between natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation and AFC, and FLMY decreased with decreasing AFC (Figure 1).

The final model for LiMY (model 2; Table 3) accounted for month and year of first calving, and AFC. A 1-unit increase in the mean natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation was associated with a median decrease in LiMY of 1,663 kg (95% BCI of 1,347 to 1,986 kg; calculated by adding the polynomial terms for natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation from model 2; Table 3). A 1-unit increase in the variance of natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation was associated with a median decrease in LiMY of 719 kg (95% BCI of 553 to 888 kg). In contrast to model 1, decrease in AFC from 27 to 24 mo was associated with a median increase in LiMY of 574 kg (95% BCI of 483 to 663 kg).

Model Checking. Predictions of FLMY or LiMY for cows aggregated in quartiles by geometric mean first-lactation SCC indicated good fit and, hence, that models 1 and 2 were adequate for predictions in these herds (Figure 2). There was <1% difference in the median, and 95% BCI limits of the coefficient distributions for exposures of interest when a uniform prior distribution for the herd-level random effect variance was used, indicating that choice of prior distribution had no substantive effect on model interpretation.

Microsimulation. Figure 3 shows the cumulative probability distribution of potential cost savings for every heifer in the herd attributable to increased LiMY, associated with reductions in herd_gSCC_p1. Direct probabilities for different levels of saving can be read from Figure 3. For example, there was 75% certainty of cost savings of at least €199/heifer in the herd if herd_gSCC_p1 decreased from \geq 120,000 to \leq 72,000 cells/mL. That would be equivalent to moving from the upper to the lower quartile for herd_gSCC_p1 (Table1). For a herd in which 20 heifers complete the first lactation, this is equivalent to a saving of €3,980 associated with moving from the highest to the lowest herd_gSCC_p1 quartile. Further scenarios for the example herd are given in Table 4.

SCC Legacy During the First Lactation: Model Results

The association between the natural logarithm of SCC1 and both the mean and variance of the natural

		Herd-level first-lactati	on GMSCC (cells/mL)	
lem	<72,000	72,000 to 93,000	94,000 to 119,000	$\geq 120,000$
juartile of herds	Best 25%	Middle	e 50%	Worst 25%
otal number of cows	11,709	14,172	13,516	11,599
(umber of recordings in the first lactation [interquartile range (IQR)]	7 (5-9)	7(5-9)	7(5-9)	7 (5–8)
roportion of cows that survive the first lactation	0.81	0.84	0.83	0.79
roportion of cows that survive the second lactation	0.65	0.67	0.67	0.61
roportion of cows that survive the third lactation	0.50	0.53	0.51	0.50
roportion of cows that survive the fourth lactation	0.36	0.39	0.37	0.33
Median lifetime milk yield (IQR; $\times 1,000$ kg)	$24.0\ (12.0-36.7)$	$23.2 \ (12.0 - 35.8)$	$23.1 \ (12.0 - 35.6)$	21.0(11.0-33.2)
Median first lactation milk yield $(IQR; \times 1,000 \text{ kg})$	5.3(4.2-7.3)	$5.2 \ (4.1 - 6.9)$	5.2(4.0-7.0)	5.0(3.8-6.8)
Action of In SCC over the first lactation for cows in the mean herd	4.01(0.37)	4.42(0.42)	4.67(0.45)	5.07(0.61)
oetween-herd variance)	~	~		~
ariance of ln SCC over the first lactation for cows in the mean herd	0.58 (0.96)	0.60(0.96)	0.61 (1.22)	$0.69 \ (1.49)$
between-herd variance)				
roportion of recordings $\geq 200,000$ cells/mL during the first lactation for cows	$0.08 \ (0.04 - 0.12)$	0.14 (0.10 - 0.19)	$0.21 \ (0.16 - 0.25)$	$0.33\ (0.27{-}0.41)$
1 the median herd (IQR between herds)				
roportion of recordings $\geq 400,000$ cells/mL during the first lactation for cows	$0.02\ (0.00-0.05)$	$0.05\ (0.02{-}0.08)$	$0.08 \ (0.04 - 0.11)$	$0.15\ (0.10{-}0.21)$
a the median herd (IQR between herds)				
roportion of cows with SCC1_hi ³ in the median herd (IQR between herds)	$0.00\ (0.00-0.10)$	$0.07\ (0.00-0.17)$	$0.11 \ (0.00 - 0.20)$	$0.19\ (0.00-0.31)$
With at least 2 eligible cows/herd.				

Table 1. Descriptive data from 5,413 Irish herds¹ categorized by first-lactation herd-level geometric mean SCC (GMSCC) and for 50,996 parity-1 cows² in these herds

²With an SCC record at 5 to 30 DIM and at least 1 more SCC record during the first lactation ³Somatic cell count ≥400,000 cells/mL between 5 and 30 DIM during parity 1. logarithm of SCC throughout the first lactation varied by herd (model 3; Tables 5 and 6), and the betweenherd variation in the relationship between SCC1 and GMSCC during the first lactation was large (Table 6; Figure 4). For most herds, an increase in SCC1 was associated with an increase in geometric mean firstlactation SCC, but this was not always the case (Figure 4). The mean (baseline) AFC in model 3 was 27 mo and this interacted with SCC1 (Table 5). With SCC1 unchanged, a 3-mo change in AFC was positively associated with a median change in GMSCC during the first lactation of 1.4% (95% BCI of 0.6 to 2.1%). The impact of SCC1 on SCC throughout the first lactation increased with time of measurement between 5 and 30 DIM (Table 5; Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate large differences in cumulative milk yield over the first lactation and subsequent lifetime of cows associated with the geometric mean and variance of SCC during the first lactation. The results highlight that, in addition to the importance of optimizing the udder health of heifers in early lactation (De Vliegher et al., 2005; Archer et al., 2013a), optimal udder health is also vital throughout the remainder of the first lactation in terms of lifetime productivity. The median decrease in LiMY of 1,663 kg/1-unit increase in mean In SCC over the first lactation was much larger than the median first-lactation milk loss of 135 kg associated with a 1-unit increase in mean ln SCC over the first lactation. Cumulative measures of milk yield depend on both longevity and daily milk yield while alive. Therefore, a greater negative effect of high first-lactation GMSCC on cumulative milk production occurs over a longer time period, as was observed previously for SCC1 (Archer et al., 2013a). In particular, the effect of high first-lactation GMSCC on FLMY relates to when IMI and raised SCC actually occurs. If this is late in the first lactation, the impact on FMLY will be relatively small. In contrast, the potential impact of high first-lactation GMSCC on LiMY is larger, as this is considered over up to 7.5 yr. The difference in the time period over which cumulative milk yield is measured is also an explanation for a decrease in LiMY, but not FLMY associated with an increase in the variance of first-lactation SCC, which relates to increased risk of IMI (Schepers et al., 1997).

The first-lactation milk loss we identified was similar to the estimate made by Raubertas and Shook (1982); however, it exceeded estimates from higher-yielding cows in more recent studies based on test-day recordings, in which a 1-unit increase in mean ln SCC over the first

000	First-l				
$(\times 1,000 \text{ cells/mL})$	<55	55 to 90	91 to 149	$\geq \! 150$	Total
<55	0.15	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.29
55 to 149	0.07	0.15	0.13	0.06	0.41
150 to 399	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.18
>400	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.12
Total	0.23	0.28	0.26	0.23	1.00

Table 2. Proportion of 51,483 Irish dairy cows in each SCC1 group¹ and cow-level first-lactation geometric mean SCC (GMSCC) quartile

¹Somatic cell count between 5 and 30 DIM during parity 1.

lactation was associated with losses of 85 to 120 kg over 305 d for primiparous cows (Dürr et al., 2008; Halasa et al., 2009). Importantly, previous analyses based on test-day recordings only show the milk loss in affected cows that survive, which is probably associated with residual udder pathology, but exclude milk loss associated with premature culling. In contrast, cumulative measures of milk yield take cow longevity into account to give a more realistic estimate of milk loss. The trend for higher FLMY with increased AFC may relate to the size of heifers at calving, which would be expected to increase with AFC, with larger heifers being better able to compete with cows in the herd for resources. This is consistent with previous research (Berry and Cromie, 2009). However, lower AFC appears desirable, as it is associated with increased longevity and, hence, LiMY (Berry and Cromie, 2009; Archer et al., 2013a,b). This is possibly because subsequent lactations more likely fall in line with the seasonal calving patterns of Irish dairy herds (Archer et al., 2013c).

Geometric mean and variance of first-lactation SCC includes SCC1; therefore, losses were higher than previ-

Table 3. Bayesian credible intervals from 10,000 simulations of the final models; outcomes of cow-level first-lactation and lifetime milk yields (kg)

	Model 1: first-lactation milk yield			Model 2: lifetime milk yield		
Exposure (baseline)	Lower 2.5%	Median	Upper 97.5%	Lower 2.5%	Median	Upper 97.5%
Intercept	954	3,068	5,228	-3,378	9,770	22,460
Mean $\ln SCC^{1} (4.54)^{1}$	-163	-135	-108	-1,279	-1,090	-910
Mean ln SCC $(4.54)^2$	NA^2	NA	NA	-707	-573	-437
Variance of $\ln SCC(0.62)$	NA	NA	NA	-888	-719	-553
$\ln AFC^{3} (6.7)^{1}$	1,976	2,169	2,362	-4,798	-3,396	-1,976
$\ln AFC (6.7)^2$	-1,569	-1,131	-695	-30,510	-21,240	-12,280
$\ln AFC (6.7)^3$	ŃA	ŃA	NA	13,660	26,940	40,500
$\ln AFC (6.7)^4$	NA	NA	NA	-12,730	-7,969	-3,273
Mean $\ln SCC^2 \times \ln AFC$	-440	-272	-110	NÁ	NÁ	NA
Month of first calving: June						
January	623	784	942	5,448	6,446	7,386
February	269	428	580	4,225	5,171	6,060
March	-51	106	258	2,694	3,626	4,513
April	-326	-170	-13	886	1,845	2,771
May	-494	-320	-150	-222	813	1,854
July	365	627	881	-1,552	24	1,587
August	865	1,121	1,376	1,326	2,879	4,451
September	1,203	1,399	1,588	3,706	4,907	6,049
October	1,222	1,415	1,601	3,986	5,133	6,249
November	1,053	1,259	1,453	3,082	4,290	5,492
December	946	1,178	1,414	1,950	3,347	4,710
Year of first calving: 2007						
2004	-124	2,039	4,164	1,394	14,240	27,510
2005	182	2,335	4,448	-1,379	11,280	24,420
2006	274	2,424	4,541	-3,757	8,894	22,060
Random effect SD		-				
Cow level	2,037	2,051	2,064	12,418	12,498	12,578
Herd level	1,265	1,297	1,330	6,899	7,094	7,294

¹Over the entire first lactation.

 $^{2}NA = not applicable.$

 ${}^{3}\text{AFC} = \text{age at first calving (d)}.$

Journal of Dairy Science Vol. 97 No. 4, 2014

Table 4. Simulated cost savings (\mathbf{f}) through increased lifetime milk yield¹ associated with specific reductions in herd-level first-lactation geometric mean SCC (GMSCC), for an example herd in which 20 heifers complete the first lactation

	Herd-level first-lactation GMSCC (quartiles ²)					
Probability	4 to 1	4 to 2	3 to 1			
).75).5).25	$\geq 3,980 \\ \geq 4,220 \\ \geq 4,460$	$\geq 3,100 \\ \geq 3,260 \\ \geq 3,420$	$\geq 1,820 \\ \geq 2,020 \\ \geq 2,200$			

¹Milk margin was drawn from a normal distribution with mean = 0.17 \notin /L and SD = 0.03 \notin /L for each cow.

²1: \leq 72,000 cells/mL; 2: 72,000 to 93,000 cells/mL; 3: 93,000 cells/mL to 120,000 cells/mL; 4: \geq 120,000 cells/mL.

ous median estimates for the reduction in FLMY and subsequent LiMY of 105 and 864 kg, respectively, associated with 1-unit increase in ln SCC1 (Archer et al., 2013a), as the time period at risk of IMI is greater. Inclusion of SCC1 and interactions with SCC1 and geometric mean and variance of first-lactation SCC in models 1 and 2 did not improve fit to the data and had no additional effect on FLMY or LiMY, respectively (95% BCI included 0). This is likely because SCC1 and first-lactation GMSCC are correlated (model 3), and

Figure 1. Median predictions of first-lactation milk yield for specific cows (first calving in February 2007) from model 1 (exposure: mean natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation) to show the effect of age at first calving (AFC). GMSCC = geometric mean SCC.

Figure 2. Assessment of model fit and usefulness; predictions of first-lactation and lifetime milk yield from 10,000 simulations of model 1 (exposures: first-lactation mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation) and model 2 (exposures: first-lactation mean natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation), respectively, and observed values in 5,900 Irish dairy herds grouped by first-lactation geometric mean SCC (GMSCC; group 1: <55,000 to 89,000 cells/mL; group 3: 90,000 to 149,000 cells/mL; group 3: $\geq 150,000$ cells/mL). The horizontal line is the median, the surrounding boxes contain 50% of the data, the vertical whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range, and outliers are shown beyond this.

Figure 3. Microsimulation over 10,000 simulations of model 2 (exposures: first-lactation mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation); minimum cost saving/heifer in the herd attributable to increased lifetime milk yield associated with reduction in herd quartile (1: \leq 72,000 cells/mL; 2: 72,000 to 93,000 cells/mL; 3: 93,000 cells/mL to 120,000 cells/mL; 4: \geq 120,000 cells/mL) for first-lactation geometric mean SCC.

ARCHER ET AL.

Table 5. Bayesian credible interval for fixed effects following 10,000 simulations of the final model for the mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC during the first lactation (model 3)

	Mear	Mean ln SCC during $p1^1$			Variance of ln SCC during p1		
Fixed effect (baseline)	Lower 2.5%	Median	Upper 97.5%	Lower 2.5%	Median	Upper 97.5%	
Intercept	4.519	4.558	4.596	0.480	0.492	0.503	
Month of first calving: June							
January	-0.132	-0.093	-0.054	NA^2	NA	NA	
February	-0.123	-0.086	-0.048	NA	NA	NA	
March	-0.095	-0.057	-0.019	NA	NA	NA	
April	-0.050	-0.010	0.029	NA	NA	NA	
May	-0.023	0.020	0.062	NA	NA	NA	
July	-0.086	-0.021	0.044	NA	NA	NA	
August	-0.146	-0.083	-0.022	NA	NA	NA	
September	-0.134	-0.088	-0.039	NA	NA	NA	
October	-0.149	-0.103	-0.055	NA	NA	NA	
November	-0.092	-0.043	0.006	NA	NA	NA	
December	-0.142	-0.092	-0.042	NA	NA	NA	
$\ln AFC^{3}$ (6.7)	0.129	0.173	0.215	0.054	0.098	0.142	
$\ln \text{SCC1}^4 (4.66)^{1}$	0.358	0.368	0.378	0.043	0.054	0.065	
\ln SCC1 (4.66) ²	-0.031	-0.028	-0.025	0.133	0.137	0.141	
$\ln \text{SCC1} (4.66)^{1} \ln \text{AFC} (6.7)$	-0.067	-0.033	0.001	-0.096	-0.059	-0.020	
\ln SCC1 (4.66)^2 · ln AFC (6.7)	0.014	0.032	0.049	-0.047	-0.026	-0.004	
$DIM1^{5}(5)$	0.007	0.007	0.008	-0.004	-0.004	-0.003	
\ln SCC1 (4.66)^1·DIM1 (5)	0.004	0.004	0.005	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	

 $^{1}p1 = first lactation.$

 $^{2}NA = not applicable.$

 ${}^{3}\text{AFC}$ = age at first calving.

 ${}^{4}SCC1 = SCC \text{ at } 5 \text{ to } 30 \text{ DIM during parity } 1.$

 5 DIM1 = DMI at first recording (5 to 30 d).

this mediates the impact of SCC1 on cumulative milk yield, as previously reported (De Vliegher et al., 2005). To enable mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC over the first lactation to be calculated for all heifers, the current study only included data for heifers that survived for at least 2 recordings in the first lactation. Therefore, compared with Archer et al. (2013a), the total impact of mastitis early in the first lactation on cumulative milk yield has probably been underestimated, because cows that were culled soon after calving were excluded. Furthermore, additional economic benefits may exist through mastitis control measures applied during lactation for multiparous cows, if these are housed with heifers.

Heifers with high SCC in early lactation may have high GMSCC throughout the first lactation (De Vliegher et al., 2004; Santman-Berends et al., 2012), as a result of failure to cure from early lactation IMI or subsequent new IMI. In this study, the impact of high SCC1 on SCC throughout the first lactation depended on the magnitude of the increase in SCC1, and when it was measured. This finding is consistent with a higher rate of decrease in SCC after calving for uninfected heifers and those with IMI due to minor pathogens compared with heifers with IMI due to major pathogens or chronic IMI (Barkema et al., 1999). Importantly, the association between cow-level SCC1 and GMSCC over the first lactation in the current study varied between herds (Figure 4), suggesting that differences in the dynamics of IMI and the management of heifers between herds has an important effect on patterns of SCC during the first lactation. This may relate to differences in the detection and treatment of mastitis, infection pressure in the herd from the environment and other cows, parlor management routines that risk transmission of pathogens to uninfected cows, or variability in stress factors such as production level that may impede recovery from IMI. In addition to high cow SCC early in the first lactation (Archer et al., 2013a), high SCC throughout the entire first lactation was associated with reduced FLMY and LiMY. Therefore, control measures to reduce SCC in an individual herd may be relatively more important during either the ppp period (Green et al., 2008) or the lactating period (Barkema et al., 2009). A rational approach to managing heifer mastitis in herds with high first-lactation GMSCC would be to identify if this is a result of high SCC during the ppp or the lactating period and prioritize control measures accordingly, as both scenarios appear equally likely in Irish dairy heifers (Table 2). Further investigations should evaluate risk factors for heifer mastitis in terms of impact on SCC throughout the entire first lactation to develop herd-specific management interventions to optimize the LiMY of dairy cows.

Figure 5. Predictions of median geometric mean SCC (GMSCC) during the first lactation from model 3 for the median herd; association with SCC1 was dependent on DIM at the first recording. Model 3 was a multivariate normal model with mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC during the first lactation as outcomes, and herd-level random slopes for SCC at 5 to 30 DIM during parity 1 (SCC1; exposure of interest). The predictions refer to cows with a first calving during February and aged 27 mo.

Figure 4. Predictions of median geometric mean SCC (GMSCC) during the first lactation from model 3; association with SCC1 varied by herd and results for the median herd, and 95% Bayesian credible interval for the relationship in different herds is shown. Model 3 was a multivariate normal model with mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC during the first lactation as outcomes, and herd-level random slopes for SCC at 5 to 30 DIM during parity 1 (SCC1; exposure of interest). The predictions refer to cows with a first calving during February, aged 27 mo, and with a first recording at 5 DIM.

Table 6. Matrices of median (co)variances (95% Bayesian credible interval) following 10,000 simulations of the final model; outcomes of mean and variance of natural logarithm of SCC during the first lactation (model 3)

Cow	level	Herd level					
$\sigma_{\rm u0}^{2\ 1}$	$\sigma_{\rm u1}^{2\ 2}$	$\sigma_{\mathrm{v}0}^{2}{}^{3}$	$\sigma_{v1}^{2\ 4}$	$\sigma_{\rm v2}^{2}{}^5$	$\sigma_{\mathrm{v3}}^{2}{}^{6}$	$\sigma_{\mathrm{v}4}^{2}$ 7	$\sigma_{v5}^{2\ 8}$
0.274 (0.271 to 0.278) 0.066 (0.063 to 0.069)	0.310 (0.306 to 0.314)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.049 \ (0.045 \ {\rm to} \ 0.052) \\ -0.006 \ (-0.009 \ {\rm to} \ -0.004) \\ 0.008 \ (0.006 \ {\rm to} \ 0.009) \\ -0.001 \ (-0.002 \ {\rm to} \ 0.000) \\ -0.023 \ (-0.025 \ {\rm to} \ -0.021) \\ 0.001 \ (0.000 \ {\rm to} \ 0.003) \end{array}$	0.031 (0.027 to 0.034) -0.008 (-0.010 to -0.007) 0.003 (0.002 to 0.004) 0.002 (0.000 to 0.004) -0.004 (-0.005 to -0.003)	0.013 (0.012 to 0.015) 0.000 (0.00 to 0.001) -0.001 (-0.003 to 0.000) -0.004 (-0.005 to -0.003)	0.002 (0.002 to 0.002) -0.002 (-0.003 to -0.002) -0.002 (-0.002 to -0.001)	0.019 (0.017 to 0.022) 0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001) (0.008 (0.008 to 0.009)

¹Cow-level variance of the intercept for mean ln SCC during the first lactation.

 $^2\mathrm{Cow-level}$ variance of the intercept for variance of ln SCC during the first lactation.

³Herd-level variance of the intercept for mean ln SCC during the first lactation.

⁴Herd-level variance of the intercept for variance of ln SCC during the first lactation.

⁵Herd-level variance of the coefficient for ln SCC at 5 to 30 DIM during parity 1 (SCC1) for the mean ln SCC during the first lactation.

⁶Herd-level variance of the coefficient for ln SCC1 for the variance of ln SCC during the first lactation.

 7 Herd-level variance of the coefficient for $\ln SCC1^{2}$ for the mean $\ln SCC$ during the first lactation.

 8 Herd-level variance of the coefficient for ln SCC1² for the variance of ln SCC during the first lactation.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated that for cows in Irish dairy herds, geometric mean and variance of first lactation SCC were negatively associated with both FLMY and LiMY. The apparent legacy of SCC early in the first lactation on SCC for the remainder of the first lactation was highly herd dependent. Approximately 50% of Irish dairy herds have potential to make savings through reducing SCC throughout the first lactation. This could involve preferentially targeting mastitis control measures in a herd-specific manner toward the ppp period, or toward the lactating period, depending on individual herd SCC patterns. Further research is needed to define the most cost-effective control measures in different circumstances.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S. C. Archer was funded by a Teagasc Walsh Fellowship (Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland). The authors thank the Irish Cattle Breeding Federation (Bandon, Co. Cork, Ireland) for access to their database.

REFERENCES

- Archer, S. C., F. Mc Coy, W. Wapenaar, and M. J. Green. 2013a. Association between somatic cell count early in the first lactation and the lifetime milk yield of cows in Irish dairy herds. J. Dairy Sci. 96:2951–2959.
- Archer, S. C., F. Mc Coy, W. Wapenaar, and M. J. Green. 2013b. Association between somatic cell count early in the first lactation and the longevity of Irish dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 96:2939–2950.
- Archer, S. C., F. Mc Coy, W. Wapenaar, and M. J. Green. 2013c. Association of season and herd size with somatic cell count for cows in Irish, English, and Welsh dairy herds. Vet. J. 196:515–521.
- Barkema, H. W., H. A. Deluyker, Y. H. Schukken, and T. J. Lam. 1999. Quarter-milk somatic cell count at calving and at the first six milkings after calving. Prev. Vet. Med. 38:1–9.
- Barkema, H. W., M. J. Green, A. J. Bradley, and R. N. Zadoks. 2009. Invited review: The role of contagious disease in udder health. J. Dairy Sci. 92:4717–4729.
- Berry, D. P., and A. R. Cromie. 2009. Associations between age at first calving and subsequent performance in Irish spring calving Holstein-Friesian dairy cows. Livest. Sci. 123:44–54.
- Browne, W. J. 2012. MCMC Estimation in MLwiN, v2.26. Centre for Multilevel Modelling, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
- De Vliegher, S., H. W. Barkema, H. Stryhn, G. Opsomer, and A. de Kruif. 2004. Impact of early lactation somatic cell count in heifers on somatic cell counts over the first lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 87:3672–3682.
- De Vliegher, S., H. W. Barkema, H. Stryhn, G. Opsomer, and A. de Kruif. 2005. Impact of early lactation somatic cell count in heifers on milk yield over the first lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 88:938–947.

- De Vliegher, S., L. K. Fox, S. Piepers, S. McDougall, and H. W. Barkema. 2012. Invited review: Mastitis in dairy heifers: Nature of the disease, potential impact, prevention, and control. J. Dairy Sci. 95:1025–1040.
- Dürr, J. W., R. I. Cue, H. G. Monardes, J. Moro-Méndez, and K. M. Wade. 2008. Milk losses associated with somatic cell counts per breed, parity and stage of lactation in Canadian dairy cattle. Livest. Sci. 117:225–232.
- Gelman, A., X. Meng, and H. Stern. 1996. Posterior predictive assessment of model fitness via realized discrepancies. Statist. Sinica 6:733–807.
- Gilks, W. R., S. Richardson, and D. J. Spiegelhalter. 1996. Markov chain Monte Carlo in practice. Chapman and Hall, London, UK.
- Goldstein, H. 2003. Multilevel Statistical Models. 3rd ed. Arnold, London, UK.
- Green, M. J., A. J. Bradley, G. F. Medley, and W. J. Browne. 2008. Cow, farm, and herd management factors in the dry period associated with raised somatic cell counts in early lactation. J. Dairy Sci. 91:1403–1415.
- Green, M. J., P. R. Burton, L. E. Green, Y. H. Schukken, A. J. Bradley, E. J. Peeler, and G. F. Medley. 2004. The use of Markov chain Monte Carlo for analysis of correlated binary data: Patterns of somatic cells in milk and the risk of clinical mastitis in dairy cows. Prev. Vet. Med. 64:157–174.
- Halasa, T., M. Nielen, A. P. W. De Roos, R. Van Hoorne, G. de Jong, T. J. G. M. Lam, T. van Werven, and H. Hogeveen. 2009. Production loss due to new subclinical mastitis in Dutch dairy cows estimated with a test-day model. J. Dairy Sci. 92:599–606.
- Hennessy, T., B. Moran, A. Kinsella, and G. Quinlan. 2011. National Farm Survey 2010. Accessed May 18, 2012. http://www.teagasc. ie/publications/2011/1016/NFS10.pdf.
- Hortet, P., and H. Seegers. 1998. Calculated milk production losses associated with elevated somatic cell counts in dairy cows: A review and critical discussion. Vet. Res. 29:497–510.
- Lunn, D. J., N. Best, and D. Spiegelhalter. 2000. WinBUGS—A Bayesian modelling framework: Concepts, structure, and extensibility. Stat. Comput. 10:325–337.
- Olori, V. E., S. Brotherstone, W. G. Hill, and B. J. McGuirk. 1999. Fit of standard models of the lactation curve to weekly records of milk production of cows in a single herd. Livest. Prod. Sci. 58:55–63.
- Rasbash, J., F. Steele, W. J. Browne, and H. Goldstein. 2012. A User's Guide to MLwiN, v2.26. Centre for Multilevel Modelling, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
- Raubertas, R. F., and G. E. Shook. 1982. Relationship between lactation measures of somatic cell concentration and milk yield. J. Dairy Sci. 65:419–425.
- Santman-Berends, I. M. G. A., R. G. M. Olde Riekerink, O. C. Sampimon, G. van Schaik, and T. J. G. M. Lam. 2012. Incidence of subclinical mastitis in Dutch dairy heifers in the first 100 days in lactation and associated risk factors. J. Dairy Sci. 95:2476–2484.
- Schepers, A. J., T. J. G. M. Lam, Y. H. Schukken, J. B. M. Wilmink, and W. J. A. Hanekamp. 1997. Estimation of variance components for somatic cell counts to determine thresholds for uninfected quarters. J. Dairy Sci. 80:1833–1840.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., K. R. Abrams, and J. P. Myles. 2004. Bayesian approaches to clinical trials and health-care evaluation. Wiley, Chichester, UK.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., N. G. Best, B. P. Carlin, and A. van der Linde. 2002. Bayesian measure of model complexity and fit. J. R. Stat. Soc., B 64:583–639.