



Qian, X., Droste, S. K., Gutièrrez-Mecinas, M., Collins, A., Kersanté, F., Reul, J. M. H. M., & Linthorst, A. C. E. (2011). A Rapid Release of Corticosteroid-Binding Globulin from the Liver Restrains the Glucocorticoid Hormone Response to Acute Stress. Endocrinology, 152(10), 3738 - 3748. 10.1210/en.2011-1008

Link to published version (if available): 10.1210/en.2011-1008

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

Take down policy

Explore Bristol Research is a digital archive and the intention is that deposited content should not be removed. However, if you believe that this version of the work breaches copyright law please contact open-access@bristol.ac.uk and include the following information in your message:

- Your contact details
- Bibliographic details for the item, including a URL
- An outline of the nature of the complaint

On receipt of your message the Open Access Team will immediately investigate your claim, make an initial judgement of the validity of the claim and, where appropriate, withdraw the item in question from public view.

A Rapid Release of Corticosteroid-Binding Globulin from the Liver Restrains the Glucocorticoid Hormone Response to Acute Stress

Xiaoxiao Qian, Susanne K. Droste, María Gutièrrez-Mecinas, Andrew Collins, Flavie Kersanté, Johannes M. H. M. Reul, and Astrid C. E. Linthorst

Henry Wellcome Laboratories for Integrative Neuroscience and Endocrinology, School of Clinical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS1 3NY, United Kingdom

A strict control of glucocorticoid hormone responses to stress is essential for health. In blood, glucocorticoid hormones are for the largest part bound to corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG), and just a minor fraction of hormone is free. Only free glucocorticoid hormone is able to exert biological effects, but little is known about its regulation during stress. We found, using a dual-probe in vivo microdialysis method, that in rats, the forced-swim stress-induced rise in free corticosterone (its major glucocorticoid hormone) is strikingly similar in the blood and in target compartments such as the subcutaneous tissue and the brain. However, in all compartments, the free corticosterone response was delayed by 20-30 min as compared with the total corticosterone response in the blood. We discovered that CBG is the key player in this delay. Swim stress evoked a fast (within 5 min) and profound rise in CBG protein and binding capacity in the blood through a release of the protein from the liver. Thus, the increase in circulating CBG levels after stress restrains the rise in free corticosterone concentrations for approximately 20 min in the face of mounting total hormone levels in the circulation. The stress-induced increase in CBG seems to be specific for moderate and strong stressors. Both restraint stress and forced swimming caused an increase in circulating CBG, whereas its levels were not affected by mild novelty stress. Our data uncover a new, highly dynamic role for CBG in the regulation of glucocorticoid hormone physiology after acute stress. (Endocrinology 152: 3738-3748, 2011)

A ppropriate physiological and behavioral responses to stressful events are vital for health (1, 2). One essential physiological response is the secretion of glucocorticoid hormone from the adrenal gland. Glucocorticoid hormones, cortisol in humans and corticosterone in rats and mice, regulate many processes in the body including the mobilization of energy stores and immune function (3). Furthermore, glucocorticoids play a key role in the consolidation of memories of a stressful event so the subject can respond more effectively should the event reoccur in the future (4, 5). In view of their multiple roles, it is not surprising that glucocorticoid hormone dysfunction is involved in many metabolic, autoimmune, and psychiatric diseases (6).

The majority of circulating glucocorticoid hormone in the blood is bound to a transport protein called cortico-

Copyright © 2011 by The Endocrine Society

doi: 10.1210/en.2011-1008 Received March 17, 2011. Accepted July 20, 2011.

steroid-binding globulin (CBG; also called transcortin) and to a lesser extent to albumin (7–9). Consequently, only a minor fraction (<10%) of the circulating gluco-corticoid hormone concentration is actually free and thus available to enter the tissue and bind glucocorticoid receptors (8, 10). Therefore, a tight regulation of the free hormone concentration and hence the concentration of circulating CBG seems to be very important for glucocorticoid physiology.

CBG is a glycoprotein of the serpin family of serine protease inhibitors (11, 12). It is mainly synthesized and stored in the liver (13). Subjects carrying certain polymorphisms within the *cbg* gene or presenting *cbg* gene deletions show aberrant baseline glucocorticoid levels and responses to stress and may suffer from chronic fatigue, hy-

ISSN Print 0013-7227 ISSN Online 1945-7170 Printed in U.S.A.

First Published Online August 9, 2011

Abbreviations: AUC, Area under the curve; CBG, corticosteroid-binding globulin; CBG-ir, CBG-immunoreactive; MR, mineralocorticoid receptor.

potension, and obesity (14-17). It has been thought for many years that the circulating concentrations of CBG are rather stable with slow changes, if evoked, taking place over several hours. Therefore, after a stressful challenge, it would be expected that changes in free glucocorticoid concentration would closely reflect changes in levels of total glucocorticoid hormone. However, recently, we made a remarkable discovery in rats combining blood sampling with microdialysis in the brain (to determine total and free glucocorticoid levels, respectively). We found that after a stressful challenge, *i.e.* forced swimming, the free corticosterone response in the brain was approximately 20 min delayed compared with the rise in total hormone in the blood (18). Thus, apparently total and free glucocorticoid hormone responses do not necessarily show parallel changes. Such delayed response of free hormone may have significant implications for the timing and impact of glucocorticoid-responsive processes in response to stress. We postulated that the delay is either brain specific and depends on processes at the blood-brain barrier or is ubiquitous in the body caused by a rapid release of CBG from the liver. We found that the delayed free hormone response is indeed ubiquitous because it occurs not only in the brain but also in the blood and the subcutaneous tissue. Furthermore, we report that forced-swim stress evokes the release of CBG from the liver resulting in an increase in CBG blood concentrations within 5 min. Both the timing and the magnitude of this event suggest that the rapid increase in circulating CBG levels after stress stabilizes for approximately 20 min the concentration of free glucocorticoid hormone in the face of rising total hormone levels. These findings uncover a new, highly dynamic role for CBG in controlling free glucocorticoid hormone concentrations after acute stress and thus in glucocorticoid physiology in general.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Male Wistar rats (Harlan, Loughborough, UK) were handled daily (~5 min/rat) starting 1 wk before any surgical or other experimental manipulation. At the time of surgery, rats weighed approximately 250 g. Rats were housed three per cage, under standard lighting (0500–1900 h lights on) and temperature (21–22 C) conditions with food and water available *ad libitum*. All surgical procedures were performed under isoflurane (Merial Animal Health Ltd., Harlow, UK) anesthesia and carprofen (Rimadyl, 4 mg/kg, sc; Pfizer, Sandwich, UK) was given for postoperative pain relief. All procedures were carried out in accordance with United Kingdom Home Office regulations.

Free corticosterone in the blood and the subcutaneous tissue

Surgical and microdialysis procedures

Dual-probe microdialysis in freely behaving rats was used to measure free corticosterone levels in the blood and the subcutaneous tissue simultaneously. A microdialysis probe designed for microdialysis in blood vessels and peripheral tissue [CMA20 Elite with polyarylethersulfone membrane, 20-kDa cutoff, 10-mm length, and 0.5-mm membrane diameter; in vitro recovery of free corticosterone is $51.5 \pm 2.2\%$ (n = 6) at 37 C; CMA Microdialysis, Stockholm, Sweden] was inserted into the jugular vein by using a probe introducer and split tubing. The inlet and outlet tubing of the probe was tunneled under the skin to the neck region and secured. During the same surgery, a second microdialysis probe (CMA20 Elite, specifications as above) was inserted under the skin of the neck region and secured. Dental cement and anchor screws were used to fix a small metal peg (for later connection to a liquid swivel) to the skull. The skin was closed with surgical silk (4.0; Ethicon, Somerville, NJ). After surgery, animals were housed individually in Plexiglas cages (length, width, and height = 27, 27, and 35 cm, respectively) with food and water ad libitum. They were connected to a motorized five-channel swivel (with four microdialysis channels) and counterbalance arm system (MCS/5A; Instech Laboratories Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA) via the peg. This system allows animals to move freely in all directions, including full rearing and sleeping in a curled-up position. Probes were perfused with sterile, pyrogen-free Ringer solution (147 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 2.25 mM CaCl₂; Delta Pharma, Pfüllingen, Germany) at 2.05 µl/min using a microinfusion-pump (KD Scientific, Holliston, MA). Fluorethylene polymer tubing (Microbiotech AB, Stockholm, Sweden) with a dead volume of 1.2 μ l/100 mm length was used for all connections. Dead volumes were accounted for. Microdialysis samples were collected in cooled vials using automated refrigerated sample collectors (CMA 470; CMA Microdialysis). Samples were stored at -80 C for later determination of the concentrations of free corticosterone. Experiments were performed on six animals simultaneously.

Experimental design

Collection of baseline (prestress) microdialysis samples at 10min intervals was started 3 d after insertion of the microdialysis probes, at 0900 h. At 1100 h, animals were forced to swim individually in a glass beaker from which they could not escape (15 min, water at 25 C, as described in detail previously) (19). After the stressful challenge, rats were carefully dried and returned to their home cage and left undisturbed for the remainder of the experiment while sampling continued until 1530 h. Between 1100 h (start of stressor) and 1300 h, a sampling interval of 5 min was used.

Free corticosterone in the blood and the hippocampus

Surgical and microdialysis procedures

Simultaneous measurement of free corticosterone levels in the blood and in hippocampal brain tissue was conducted by dualprobe microdialysis in freely behaving rats. Ten days before the start of the experiment, a guide cannula (CMA12; CMA Microdialysis) was implanted just entering the hippocampus at the dorsal site as described before (20), and a peg was adhered to the skull (see above). Animals were housed as described above. After 7 d of recovery, a microdialysis probe [CMA12 Elite with polyarylethersulfone membrane, 20-kDa cutoff, 4-mm length, and 0.5-mm membrane diameter; *in vitro* recovery of free corticosterone is $27.0 \pm 1.7\%$ (n = 6) at 37 C; CMA Microdialysis] was inserted through the guide cannula into the hippocampus and a peripheral microdialysis probe [CMA20 Elite (see above) with 4 mm length; *in vitro* recovery of free corticosterone is 27.0 \pm 2.8% (n = 6) at 37 C; CMA Microdialysis] was inserted into the jugular vein as described above. Note that the membranes of the peripheral and brain microdialysis probes are made from the same material and have similar recoveries for corticosterone. Animals were connected to a swivel and counterbalance arm system, and microdialysis was performed as described above.

Experimental design

Collection of baseline (prestress) microdialysis samples at 10min intervals was started 3 d after insertion of the microdialysis probes, at 0900 h. At 1100 h animals were subjected to forced swimming as described above or exposed to a novel environment. Exposure to a novel environment comprised of placing the rats individually in a clean, empty novel cage and increasing the light intensity in the room from approximately 200 lux to 500 lux for 30 min after which the animals were returned to their home cage. After the termination of the stress procedures, rats were left undisturbed for the remainder of the experiment while sampling continued until 1530 h. A sampling interval of 5 min was used between 1100 h (start of stressor) and 1300 h.

Plasma total corticosterone and CBG

Rats were forced to swim as described above and killed by decapitation under quick isoflurane anesthesia (<15 sec in a glass jar containing isoflurane vapor) at 0 (baseline, nonstressed controls), 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, or 480 min or 24 h after the start of the stressor. The trunk blood was immediately collected in chilled tubes containing EDTA (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and Trasylol (Bayer, Newbury, UK) and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 30 min (4 C). Plasma was split and aliquots stored at -80 C for later determination of total corticosterone (RIA) and CBG (radioligand binding and Western blot analysis) concentrations.

To assess the stressor specificity of the effects of stress on plasma total corticosterone and CBG levels, separate groups of rats were subjected to novel-environment stress (as described above) or restraint stress (30 min in a Plexiglas rat restrainer with ventilation holes) and killed at 0 (baseline controls) or 30 min (*i.e.* at the time point of the maximum effect of swim stress on plasma total corticosterone and CBG as found in the preceding experiments) after the start of the stressor. Plasma total corticosterone was measured by RIA and CBG concentrations by radioligand binding assay.

Tissue collection for CBG immunohistochemistry in the liver

Rats were forced to swim and were killed at 0, 15, or 30 min or 24 h (n = 6–12). Rats were quickly anesthetized (with isoflurane, as above) and received a terminal overdose of sodium pentobarbital (Euthetal, 0.3 ml/100 g body weight, ip; Merial), after which they were perfused via the heart with 100 ml 0.9% saline and 500 ml 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The whole liver was collected and stored in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at 4 C for later immunohistochemistry.

Measurement of corticosterone by RIA

Plasma and dialysate corticosterone concentrations were measured using a commercial rat RIA (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH) as described before (18).

Measurement of plasma CBG by radioligand binding assay

Plasma CBG levels were determined using a [³H]corticosterone ([1,2,6,7- 3 H(N)]corticosterone, specific activity 60–100 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer, Boston, MA) binding assay, largely as published previously (21, 22). Briefly, binding of [³H]corticosterone in the absence (total binding) or presence of 1000-fold excess of nonradioactive corticosterone (nonspecific binding) was determined in 1:100 diluted plasma samples at a single over 95% saturating concentration of 60 nM [³H]corticosterone in duplicates. After overnight incubation at 0-2 C and subsequent separation of bound and free [3H]corticosterone by gel filtration (Sephadex LH-20; GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden), the radioactivity of the bound fraction was measured in a liquid scintillation β -counter. For the calculation of the concentration of plasma CBG binding sites (expressed as nanomolar), the specific activity of [³H]corticosterone was corrected for the presence of endogenous corticosterone (as measured by RIA, see main text) in the plasma samples. The specific binding was calculated by subtraction of the nonspecific binding from the total binding. The nonspecific binding was less than 1% of the total binding.

Measurement of plasma CBG protein levels by Western blot analysis

Plasma samples were diluted 1:100 in 0.05 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and sodium dodecyl sulfate loading buffer and heated at 90–100 C for 5 min. Next, the diluted plasma samples (20 μ g total protein per well) were subjected to SDS-PAGE after which the proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). After blocking nonspecific binding sites with 5% nonfat milk and 2% BSA (Sigma) in PBS-Tween 0.2% for 1 h at room temperature, the membrane was separately incubated overnight with first a rabbit antimouse transferrin (internal sample loading control) primary antibody (1:5000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and second a rabbit antihuman CBG primary antibody (1:5000; Affiland, Ans-Liege, Belgium). Immunoreactive proteins were further detected by an antirabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:10,000; Jackson Immunoresearch, Newmarket, UK) and detection system (ECL Western blotting detection kit; GE Healthcare). The quantification of CBG and transferrin levels was performed using Image] software (version 1.43). The CBG data were normalized with regard to the transferrin content in each sample and subsequently expressed as the percentage of the CBG levels in the baseline control animals. CBG presented as a single immunoreactive band of approximately 55 kDa, which corresponds with results of others using antimouse and antihuman CBG antibodies (15, 23, 24).

Immunohistochemistry of CBG in the liver

Whole livers were sectioned (50 μ m) using a Vibratome (Leica, Nussloch, Germany), and immunohistochemistry was performed on free-floating sections. Sections were incubated in blocking solution (2% BSA, 50 mM glycine, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% normal goat serum) for 1 h at room temperature and then

incubated in a rabbit antihuman CBG primary antibody solution (1:300; Affiland) for 72 h at 4 C. CBG-immunopositive liver cells were detected by biotinylated goat antirabbit secondary antibody (1:300; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and avidin-biotinperoxidase (ABC, 1:300; Vector), followed by diaminobenzidine staining. Digital images were captured using a bright-field light microscope and camera (Leica) and analyzed using Leica Application Suite version 2.8.1 software. This CBG antibody has already been used before to specifically detect CBG immunoreactivity in the rat (25, 26). The antibody shows no cross-reactivity with other major binding proteins including T_4 -binding globulin from the SERPIN family (0.00%), retinol-binding globulin (0.00%), SHBG (0.70%), and albumin (0.00%) (information provided by Affiland). The staining described here in the liver was similar to that found using a sheep antihuman CBG antibody (Biotrend, Köln, Germany; data not shown) and to staining with an antirat CBG antibody (27). Furthermore, similar baseline staining and depleting effects of swim stress were found in a pilot experiment using a new, commercial antirat CBG antibody that shows less than 5% cross-reactivity with the major serpins A1, A4, and A5 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

Calculations and statistical analyses

Figure 1 depicts free corticosterone concentrations as measured in dialysates expressed as micrograms per deciliter without correction for membrane recovery. The time courses were analyzed by repeated-measures ANOVA (within-subject factors were time and compartment). To reduce the probability of type 1 errors, the factor time consisted of 14 levels, *i.e.* baseline and eight 15-min periods followed by five 30-min periods. The timecourse data were further used to calculate the maximum concentration of free corticosterone after stress, the time point of the maximum effect, and the area under the curve (AUC) (GraphPad Prism version 5.0) and analyzed by unpaired or paired t test with Bonferroni correction as appropriate. To directly compare the free corticosterone concentrations with the plasma concentrations of total corticosterone and CBG, dialysate levels were subsequently calculated in nanomolar and corrected for membrane recovery using recovery data determined at 37 C in vitro, as described above (Fig. 2).

The effect of swim stress on plasma CBG concentrations as measured by radioligand binding was analyzed by one-way ANOVA (between-subject factor was time after stress) and Dunnett *post hoc* analysis. The effects of different stressors (novelenvironment stress, restraint stress, and forced swimming) on plasma total corticosterone and CBG levels were analyzed by one-way ANOVA (between-subject factor was stressor) and *post hoc* Bonferroni comparisons. The effect of swim stress on CBG levels as measured by Western blot analysis was analyzed by one-sample *t* test.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 16.0) with P < 0.05 as the level of significance.

Results

Effects of swim stress on free corticosterone in three body compartments

To investigate whether the time courses of stress-induced free glucocorticoid hormone differ between the



FIG. 1. Effect of stress on free corticosterone in different body compartments as assessed by simultaneous dual-probe microdialysis. A, Forced-swim stress (15 min, gray bar) caused a comparable increase in free corticosterone levels in the blood (jugular vein) and the subcutaneous tissue (n = 6; Table 1). B, The free corticosterone response to forced-swim stress was similar in the blood and the hippocampus during the rising phase of the response, but levels in the hippocampus returned to baseline faster than in the blood (n = 11; Table 2). C, Compared with swim stress, novel-environment stress (30 min, gray bar) caused a significantly smaller increase in free corticosterone in the blood and the hippocampus (n = 8; Table 2). The free corticosterone responses in the two compartments were very similar during the rising phase, but hippocampal levels returned to baseline slightly faster compared with those in the blood. Symbols are placed at the midpoint of the sample duration. Sample duration decreased from 10 to 5 min between 1100 and 1300 h. Free corticosterone levels (micrograms per deciliter) are not corrected for recovery by the microdialysis membrane; levels depicted in B and C are lower than those in A because of the shorter length of the dialysis membrane used in blood-brain studies. Values represent mean + SEM. FS, Forced-swim stress; HP, hippocampus; JV, jugular vein; NE, novel environment; ST, subcutaneous tissue.

blood, the subcutaneous tissue, and the brain, we made a comparison between these compartments using simultaneous dual-probe microdialysis. Figure 1A shows that forced swimming caused a profound increase in the levels of free



FIG. 2. Swim stress (15 min) causes a rapid increase in plasma total corticosterone and CBG levels but a delayed response in free corticosterone in blood and target tissues. A, Plasma total corticosterone levels (n = 6-12) were significantly elevated 5 min after the onset of swim stress and reached peak levels at approximately 30 min. All free corticosterone responses were significantly delayed by 20–30 min [derived from Fig. 1, A and B: jugular vein (JV) n = 17; subcutaneous tissue (ST) n = 6; hippocampus (HP) n = 11]. B, Forced-swim stress caused an increase in plasma concentrations of CBG, as measured by radioligand binding assay (n = 5-12). CBG levels increased within 5 min and reached maximum levels approximately 30 min after the onset of stress. *, P < 0.05 compared with baseline values (Dunnett's *post hoc* test). C, *top*, Representative Western blot of CBG (*lower bands*, 50–55 kDa) and transferrin (*upper bands*, 73–80 kDa, sample loading control) in plasma from rats killed under baseline conditions or 30 min after stress onset; *bottom*, forced-swim stress (n = 12) significantly increased plasma concentrations of CBG compared with control (n = 6). +, P < 0.01, one-sample *t* test. D, Free corticosterone levels in the three target compartments (see A) were calculated as one overall mean (n = 34) and plotted together with the swim-stress-induced responses in plasma total corticosterone and CBG. The graph clearly shows that the increase in plasma CBG parallels the rise in plasma total corticosterone levels and that the magnitudes of the CBG and the free corticosterone response are in the same range (85–105 nM). Values in A, B, and D are expressed as nanomolar, and free corticosterone levels are corrected for recovery by the membrane (see *Materials and Methods*). Further details are as in Fig. 1. CORT, Corticosterone; TF, transferrin.

corticosterone [repeated-measures ANOVA, effect of time $F_{(13,65)} = 24.69$; $P \le 0.0005$] with remarkably similar time courses in the blood and the subcutaneous tissue (repeated-measures ANOVA, interaction compartment \times

time $F_{(13,65)} = 1.41$; P > 0.05]. No significant differences in the maximum responses and AUC were found between these two peripheral compartments (Table 1). The levels of free corticosterone started to rise at 5–10 min after

TABLE 1. Effect of forced-swim stress on free corticosterone levels in the blood and the subcutaneous tissue as assessed by simultaneous dual-probe microdialysis in rats

| Compartment | Baseline free corticosterone (µg/dl) | Maximum stress-induced free corticosterone concentration (μg/dl) | Time point of maximum response (min after initiation of stressor) | AUC (1100–1400 h; arbitrary units) |
|---------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Blood | $\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.07 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$ | 1.62 ± 0.20 ^a | 58.34 ± 2.10 | 17.25 ± 1.94 |
| Subcutaneous tissue | | 1.85 ± 0.37 ^a | 55.83 ± 3.25 | 17.97 ± 3.54 |

Baseline levels of free corticosterone were comparable between the blood and the subcutaneous tissue (P > 0.05, paired t test). Forced-swim stress (15 min at 25 C) caused a profound increase in free corticosterone in both compartments. No significant differences were found in the time point and the level of the maximum response and in the AUC between the two compartments (P > 0.05, paired t test). Free corticosterone levels are not corrected for recovery by the microdialysis membrane. Values represent mean \pm sEM (n = 6) and are calculated from the time courses shown in Fig. 1A.

^a P < 0.01 effect of stress as compared with baseline within the respective compartment, paired t test.

TABLE 2. Effect of forced-swim stress and novel-environment exposure on free corticosterone levels in the blood and the hippocampus as assessed by simultaneous dual-probe microdialysis in rats

| Stressor | Compartment | Baseline free corticosterone (µg/dl) | Maximum stress-induced free corticosterone concentration (μg/dl) | Time point of maximum response (min after initiation of stressor) | AUC (1100–1400 h; arbitrary units) |
|-------------------|-------------|--|--|---|--|
| Forced swimming | Blood | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 0.85 ± 0.06^{a} | 55.00 ± 1.65 | 9.23 ± 0.55 |
| Forced swimming | Hippocampus | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.73 ± 0.07^{a} | 49.10 ± 1.90 | $7.43 \pm 0.69^{\circ}$ |
| Novel environment | Blood | 0.03 ± 0.01 | $0.23 \pm 0.04^{a,b}$ | 26.88 ± 2.49 ^b | 2.82 ± 0.37^{b} |
| Novel environment | Hippocampus | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.26 ± 0.04 ^{a,b} | 25.00 ± 1.64 ^b | 2.60 ± 0.34^{b} |

Baseline levels of free corticosterone were comparable between the blood and the hippocampus (P > 0.05, paired *t* test). Both forced-swim stress (15 min at 25 C) and exposure to a novel cage (novel environment, 30 min) caused clear increases in free corticosterone levels. However, the effect of novel environment exposure was much smaller than that of forced swim stress as indicated by significant differences in the maximum response and the AUC between the two stressors. Also, in both compartments, the time point of the maximum effect was significantly (about 25–30 min) earlier after novel-environment stress than after forced-swim stress. Although the free corticosterone responses were very similar in the blood and the hippocampus, ANOVA with repeated-measures analyses revealed a significant interaction between compartment and time for both stressors (see *Results*). This is reflected in a trend toward a significantly smaller AUC in the hippocampus as compared with the blood after forced-swim stress. Free corticosterone levels are not corrected for recovery by the microdialysis membrane. Note that free corticosterone levels are lower than those presented in Table 1 because of the shorter length of the dialysis membrane used in these blood-brain experiments. Values represent mean $\pm ssm$ (forced swimming n = 11; novelty n = 8) and are calculated from the data shown in Fig. 1, B and C.

^a P < 0.01 effect of stress as compared with baseline within the respective compartment, paired t test.

^b P ≤ 0.0005 for the comparison between forced-swim and novel-environment stress within the respective compartment, unpaired t test.

 $^{c}P = 0.055$ for the comparison between the blood and the hippocampus, paired t test.

completion of the stress procedure and reached maximum levels at 55-60 min in both compartments (Table 1). Next, we directly compared the responses in free corticosterone between the blood and the brain (i.e. the hippocampus). During the rising phase of the swim stress-induced response, free hormone concentrations followed very similar kinetics in the two compartments (Fig. 1B). The free corticosterone levels peaked at the same time, and maximum levels were not significantly different (Table 2). However, free corticosterone levels in the hippocampus returned to baseline significantly faster compared with those in the blood [repeated-measures ANOVA, interaction compartment × time $F_{(13,130)} = 11.30; P \leq$ 0.0005], resulting in a trend toward a smaller AUC in the brain tissue (Table 2). Nevertheless, the similarity in the rising phase and peak times of the responses in the two compartments suggests that these aspects of the free hormone response are not brain specific.

Stressor specificity of the free corticosterone response

To investigate the stressor specificity of the free corticosterone response, we challenged a separate group of rats with a mild form of psychological stress, *i.e.* exposure to a novel environment, and measured free corticosterone in the blood and the hippocampus simultaneously. Novelty stress induced a rise in free corticosterone levels between 5 and 15 min after the start of the stressor with maximum levels reached near the end of the 30-min stressful challenge. No differences between the two compartments were observed in the rising phase of the free corticosterone response (Fig. 1C and Table 2). However, similar to the response to forced swimming, after novelty stress, free corticosterone levels returned to baseline faster in the hippocampus than in the blood [repeated-measures ANOVA, interaction compartment × time $F_{(13,91)} = 3.26$; $P \le 0.0005$]. The free corticosterone response to novelty was significantly smaller than the response to forced-swim stress in both compartments, which further underlines the high degree of similarity in free corticosterone responses in different compartments [repeated-measures ANOVA, interaction time × stressor $F_{(13,221)} = 56.66$; $P \le 0.0005$].

The delayed response of free corticosterone is not compartment specific

The free corticosterone responses in the three compartments were strikingly similar with a maximum found between 50 and 60 min after the onset of swim stress. To compare these responses in free corticosterone with the response in plasma total corticosterone, rats were killed at different time points after forced swimming, and the plasma total corticosterone concentration was measured (Fig. 2A). The plasma total hormone concentration rose very rapidly, attaining already significantly increased levels at 5 min after stress onset [one-way ANOVA, effect of stress $F_{(5,51)} = 216.91$; $P \le 0.0005$; Dunnett post hoc analysis, 5-min time point vs. baseline P < 0.05], and reached its maximum at 30 min. A comparison of the changes in total corticosterone with those in free hormone clearly demonstrates that a delay of 20-30 min in the free hormone response is not a brain-specific phenomenon but a ubiquitous finding among all investigated compartments (Fig. 2A). Moreover, because the delay is observed in the blood, it is unrelated to any tissue penetration. These observations indicate that there exists a mechanism transiently restraining the rise of the free hormone fraction after stress.

Rapid increase in plasma CBG after swim stress

We hypothesized that after swim stress, an increased concentration of circulating CBG would absorb the initial rise in corticosterone levels consequently resulting in a delayed increase in free corticosterone levels. Therefore, using a radioligand binding assay, we determined the concentrations of CBG in the plasma samples obtained from rats killed at different time points after swim stress. Baseline plasma CBG levels were 330.5 ± 16.6 nm (n = 11). After challenging rats with forced swimming, CBG levels rose within 5 min and reached maximum levels of $436.6 \pm$ 18.1 nM (n = 12) at 30 min after stress onset (Fig. 2B). CBG levels were still elevated after 2 h and returned to baseline after 2–8 h [one-way ANOVA, effect of stress $F_{(7,62)}$ = 2.38; P < 0.05]. These findings were further substantiated by Western blot analyses demonstrating that the concentration of plasma CBG protein was significantly elevated by $53.2 \pm 16.9\%$ (n = 12) at 30 min after swim stress (Fig. 2C). Thus, acute forced-swim stress resulted in increased CBG binding capacity of corticosterone in plasma through an increase in the circulating CBG protein concentration. The stress-induced increases in plasma total corticosterone and CBG levels evolved in parallel (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, the magnitude of the CBG rise (~ 105 nM) corresponded very well with the response in free corticosterone levels, which reached maximum levels of approximately 85 nm. Together, the data strongly indicate that the increase in plasma CBG is responsible for the delay in the rise in free corticosterone levels after acute stress.

Stressor specificity of the CBG response

The response in free corticosterone to novelty stress peaked at 25-30 min, which is similar to that known for the maximum response in plasma total hormone. Thus, regarding novelty, there seemed to be no delay in the free hormone response. We postulated that novelty stress had not mobilized any CBG and, in general, that a rise in CBG levels after stress depends on the intensity of the stressor. Therefore, we measured plasma levels of total corticosterone and CBG 30 min after the onset of three stressors of different intensity, i.e. exposure to novelty (mild), restraint (intermediate), and swim stress (strong). As expected, all stressors increased plasma total corticosterone levels, but the increase was highly dependent on the severity of the stressor [Fig. 3A; one-way ANOVA, effect of stress $F_{(3,55)} =$ 311.16; $P \le 0.0005$]. Moreover, the stress-induced rise in plasma concentrations of CBG depended greatly on the severity of the stressor [Fig. 3B; one-way ANOVA, effect of stress $F_{(3,53)} = 9.04$; $P \le 0.0005$] with novelty stress exerting no significant effects.



FIG. 3. Effects of stress on plasma levels of total corticosterone and CBG are stressor dependent. A and B, Plasma total corticosterone (nanomolar) (A) and CBG levels (percentage of baseline) (B) in response to novel-environment stress, restraint stress, or forced-swim stress. Rats were killed under baseline conditions (n = 29) or 30 min after stress onset (n = 8–12). #, P < 0.05; *, $P \le 0.0005$ compared with baseline; +, $P \le 0.0005$ compared with other stressors (Bonferroni post hoc test). Values represent mean + sem. FS, Forced-swim stress; NE, novel environment; RS, restraint stress.

NE Stresso RS

FS

CBG is released from the liver

75

50

baseline

After the swim challenge, plasma CBG levels, as measured by radioligand binding assay, rose by 35% within 30 min, which is both substantial and rapid given that the protein is over 50 kDa. Calculations indicate that within this short time frame, approximately 50 μ g CBG is released into the circulation (based on a total plasma volume of ~ 8 ml in a rat of 250 g body weight, and an increase in CBG of ~ 105 nm over baseline levels). To determine the origin of this considerable amount of protein, we studied CBG immunostaining in the liver as the main site of CBG production and storage. CBG-immunoreactive (CBG-ir) cells were primarily found surrounding the sinusoids of the liver. Immunostaining of CBG was, in accordance with previous observations (27), observed only in the cytoplasm. Under nonstressed conditions, CBG-ir cells presented mainly as a pattern of diffusely distributed individual immunostained cells (Fig. 4, A and E) or as a pattern of clustered immunostained cells (Fig. 4, B and F). Remarkably, at 30 min after swim stress, thus at the peak of plasma CBG levels, no CBG-ir cells were detectable in the liver (Fig. 4, C and G). Liver tissue collected immediately at the end of the forced-swim session (*i.e.* at 15 min) also presented no detectable levels of CBG-ir (data not shown), indicating that the stressor causes a rapid depletion of CBG from its



FIG. 4. The forced-swim stress-induced increase in plasma CBG levels is caused by release of the protein from the liver. Presented are examples of CBG immunoreactivity patterns in the rat liver under baseline and swim stress conditions. A and B, Diffuse (A) and cluster (B) patterns of CBG-ir liver cells with condensed staining were found surrounding the sinusoids of the liver under baseline conditions. C, Neither the diffuse nor the cluster pattern of CBG-ir cells was found 30 min after the onset of 15-min swim stress. D, CBG-ir liver cells were again found 24 h after stress in both the cluster pattern (shown here) and the diffuse pattern (data not shown). E–H, Magnifications of the *boxed areas* in A–D, respectively. CBG-ir cells are marked with *arrows*. *Scale bars*, 100 μ m (A–D); 25 μ m (E–H). FS, Forced-swim stress.

stores. Prestress levels of liver CBG immunostaining [as both diffusely distributed patterns (data not shown) and as clustered patterns (Fig. 4, D and H)] were reestablished within 24 h after the stress event, which corresponds with the CBG binding data (Fig. 2B).

Discussion

We investigated whether under stressful conditions free corticosterone levels are differentially regulated in differ-

ent compartments of the body. Therefore, we established a dual-probe microdialysis method to measure free corticosterone simultaneously in blood and target tissue. As expected, we found that the effects of stress on free corticosterone are stressor specific with respect to both the magnitude and the duration of the response. This observation is in good agreement with the stressor specificity known for the total corticosterone response to swim and novelty stress (28) and our previous work on free corticosterone in rats and mice (18, 29, 30). Importantly, however, we demonstrated here for the first time that the time courses and the magnitudes of the free corticosterone responses to stress are very similar in the blood, the subcutaneous tissue, and the brain. This observation leads to two key conclusions: 1) the free corticosterone response in target tissues is not affected by tissue penetration because no significant differences between blood and tissues were found during the rising phase of the response, and 2) processes at the blood-brain barrier do not impede the entrance of free corticosterone into the rat brain. There has been some debate as to whether corticosterone is a ligand for efflux pumps, such as P-glycoprotein, located at the blood-brain barrier (31-33). However, the similar rising phases of free corticosterone in the blood and the brain strongly support the notion that corticosterone is not transported by P-glycoprotein. Given that cortisol is a substrate for P-glycoprotein (31, 32), further research is needed to clarify the situation in humans. Free corticosterone levels showed a slightly accelerated decline in the hippocampus compared with the blood and the subcutaneous tissue after stress. The reason for this difference is unclear. An involvement of P-glycoprotein in the clearance of corticosterone from the brain tissue is unlikely given that the conformational structure of this protein makes it inaccessible for substrates from the extracellular space (34). Whether specific changes in the metabolism of corticosterone in the brain are involved is unknown. Our data clearly show that free corticosterone levels are very similar in different compartments of the body. However, other intracellular factors, such as the presence of CBG-like molecules in certain tissues [e.g. the anterior pituitary (35, 36) and the hypothalamus (25)], and the regeneration or inactivation of corticosterone by 11-B-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-1 and -2, respectively (37), may influence the impact of glucocorticoid hormones in target tissues.

Our previous work has shown that there is a delay of approximately 20 min between the total corticosterone response in the blood and the free corticosterone response in the brain after forced-swim stress (18). However, direct comparison of the time courses of free corticosterone measured in the blood, the subcutaneous tissue, and the hippocampus with the time course of plasma total corticosterone reveals that this 20- to 30-min delay is in fact omnipresent and not specific for the brain. The involvement of brain-specific mechanisms can therefore be ruled out. Given that CBG binds the majority of corticosterone in the blood, we investigated whether CBG concentrations would undergo any major changes after acute stress. Swim stress indeed evoked a profound increase in plasma CBG levels. Furthermore, CBG seems only to respond to stressors of moderate to strong intensity. Thus, both swim stress and restraint stress result in significant increases in CBG, whereas exposure to a novel environment is without effect. We propose that the rise in CBG levels is the main reason for the delay in the free corticosterone response for the following reasons. 1) The time courses of the rises in CBG and in total corticosterone are virtually identical, showing elevated levels within 5 min after stress onset and peak levels at 30 min. During the initial surge in CBG levels, the levels of free corticosterone remain low and stable and start to increase only at 20-25 min after the start of swim stress. 2) Free corticosterone and CBG levels attain very similar maximum increases of approximately 95 nm. Thus, the CBG response fits well in terms of both timing and magnitude to restrain and delay the free corticosterone response during the initial phase of the stress response.

Immunohistochemical studies demonstrated that the increase in circulating CBG is caused by a rapid release of CBG from liver cells. Plasma CBG levels had returned to baseline 24 h after swim stress, and at that time point, also CBG-ir was detectable again in liver cells. Whether this is caused by reuptake or new synthesis of CBG remains to be identified. The mechanisms underlying the fast, transient release of CBG from the liver are unknown. Given the low levels of free corticosterone in the early phase of the stress response it is unlikely that glucocorticoid receptor-mediated processes play a role. However, the sympathetic nervous system may be involved. The liver is highly innervated by the autonomic nervous system, which regulates the synthesis and secretion of, among others, glucose and lipids (38). Rapid changes in plasma levels of epinephrine and norepinephrine have been reported after various forced-swim stress protocols (39, 40). Recently, we found that plasma levels of epinephrine and norepinephrine start to rise at \sim 5 min and peak at \sim 20 min after the start of swim stress in rats (Wallinga, A. E., X. Qian, A. Collins, A. C. E. Linthorst and J. M. H. M. Reul, unpublished observations). Thus, stress-induced catecholamines may be promising mobilizers of liver CBG. In addition, rapidly released neuropeptides such as vasopressin and prolactin (for which liver cells express receptors) may be involved as well.

Because CBG levels have been regarded as relatively constant, it has received little attention in stress research.

Although there are some indications in birds that CBG may rise (41) or fall (42) shortly after acute stress, in rats, however, only slow decreases in CBG have been reported after severe and/or more prolonged forms of stress. Thus, 6 and 24 h immobilization stress (43) and chronic social stress (44) were found to decrease blood CBG levels in rats. Exposure of restrained rats to a 90-min tail-shock session caused a decrease in CBG levels at 24 h after the stressor (45). In contrast, our study is the first to demonstrate that a rapid release of CBG from the liver occurs in rats after acute stress and that this release is able to restrain the levels of free corticosterone for about 20 min. The release of CBG has important implications. 1) A delayed increase in free corticosterone inherently results in a postponed glucocorticoid hormone action including a postponed negative feedback. This will lead to stress-induced, glucocorticoidsensitive processes going on for longer than previously thought on the basis of the time course of plasma total hormone levels. Accordingly, in glucocorticoid-sensitive behavioral tests such as Morris water maze learning and the forced-swim test, glucocorticoids exert their facilitatory action well into the consolidation phase of behavioral responses (46, 47). 2) The absence of a rise in free corticosterone during the early phase of the stress response may allow the full initiation of (other) physiological defense mechanisms that are under inhibitory control of glucocorticoids (e.g. the immune system) (3). Interestingly, fever elevates free glucocorticoid levels by decreasing glucocorticoid hormone binding to CBG. Therefore, further complex interactions between CBG and free corticosterone are to be expected at later stages of the immune stress response (48, 49). However, because our forced-swim animals experience a decline in body temperature, this process is not expected to play a role in our studies (19). 3) During the initial phase of the stress response, glucocorticoid effects mediated by high-affinity intracellular mineralocorticoid receptors (MR) (50) will prevail in high MR-containing brain regions such as the hippocampus. Thus, for instance, rapid changes in hippocampal neurotransmitter release after swim stress (30) take place in the context of activated intracellular MR but still largely unoccupied (low-affinity) membrane MR (51) and intracellular glucocorticoid receptors (1).

In conclusion, our study has revealed that a rapid release of CBG from the liver restrains the rise in free corticosterone levels after stress signifying a novel, highly dynamic role in the regulation of glucocorticoid hormone physiology. Given that mutations within the *cbg* gene seem to be implicated in chronic fatigue, blood pressure regulation, and obesity, further research into the function and regulation of CBG release during stress is paramount.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Gillian Seaton and Celine Nicolas for advice on the Western blot method.

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Prof. Astrid C. E. Linthorst, Ph.D., University of Bristol, Henry Wellcome Laboratories for Integrative Neuroscience and Endocrinology, Dorothy Hodgkin Building, Whitson Street, Bristol BS1 3NY, United Kingdom. E-mail: Astrid.Linthorst@bristol.ac.uk.

This work was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, UK, Grant BB/F006802/1.

Disclosure Summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

References

- 1. Joëls M, Baram TZ 2009 The neuro-symphony of stress. Nat Rev Neurosci 10:459–466
- 2. McEwen BS 2007 Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. Physiol Rev 87:873–904
- Fulford AJ, Harbuz MS 2005 An introduction to the HPA axis. In: Steckler T, Kalin NH, Reul JMHM, eds. Handbook of stress and the brain. Part 1: the neurobiology of stress. 1st ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 43–65
- Reul JMHM, Chandramohan Y 2007 Epigenetic mechanisms in stressrelated memory formation. Psychoneuroendocrinology 32(Suppl 1): S21–S25
- 5. Roozendaal B, McEwen BS, Chattarji S 2009 Stress, memory and the amygdala. Nat Rev Neurosci 10:423–433
- 6. de Kloet ER, Joëls M, Holsboer F 2005 Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 6:463–475
- 7. Westphal U 1971 Steroid-protein interactions. Monogr Endocrinol 4:1–567
- Hammond GL 1990 Molecular properties of corticosteroid binding globulin and the sex-steroid binding proteins. Endocr Rev 11:65–79
- Breuner CW, Orchinik M 2002 Plasma binding proteins as mediators of corticosteroid action in vertebrates. J Endocrinol 175:99– 112
- Lewis JG, Bagley CJ, Elder PA, Bachmann AW, Torpy DJ 2005 Plasma free cortisol fraction reflects levels of functioning corticosteroid-binding globulin. Clin Chim Acta 359:189–194
- 11. Hammond GL, Smith CL, Goping IS, Underhill DA, Harley MJ, Reventos J, Musto NA, Gunsalus GL, Bardin CW 1987 Primary structure of human corticosteroid binding globulin, deduced from hepatic and pulmonary cDNAs, exhibits homology with serine protease inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84:5153–5157
- Klieber MA, Underhill C, Hammond GL, Muller YA 2007 Corticosteroid-binding globulin, a structural basis for steroid transport and proteinase-triggered release. J Biol Chem 282:29594–29603
- Weiser JN, Do YS, Feldman D 1979 Synthesis and secretion of corticosteroid-binding globulin by rat liver. A source of heterogeneity of hepatic corticosteroid-binders. J Clin Invest 63:461–467
- Petersen HH, Andreassen TK, Breiderhoff T, Bräsen JH, Schulz H, Gross V, Gröne HJ, Nykjaer A, Willnow TE 2006 Hyporesponsiveness to glucocorticoids in mice genetically deficient for the corticosteroid binding globulin. Mol Cell Biol 26:7236–7245
- Richard EM, Helbling JC, Tridon C, Desmedt A, Minni AM, Cador M, Pourtau L, Konsman JP, Mormède P, Moisan MP 2010 Plasma transcortin influences endocrine and behavioral stress responses in mice. Endocrinology 151:649–659
- Gagliardi L, Ho JT, Torpy DJ 2010 Corticosteroid-binding globulin: the clinical significance of altered levels and heritable mutations. Mol Cell Endocrinol 316:24–34
- 17. Perogamvros I, Underhill C, Henley DE, Hadfield KD, Newman

WG, Ray DW, Lightman SL, Hammond GL, Trainer PJ 2010 Novel corticosteroid-binding globulin variant that lacks steroid binding activity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95:E142–E150

- Droste SK, de Groote L, Atkinson HC, Lightman SL, Reul JMHM, Linthorst ACE 2008 Corticosterone levels in the brain show a distinct ultradian rhythm but a delayed response to forced swim stress. Endocrinology 149:3244–3253
- 19. Linthorst ACE, Flachskamm C, Reul JMHM 2008 Water temperature determines neurochemical and behavioural responses to forced swim stress: an in vivo microdialysis and biotelemetry study in rats. Stress 11:88–100
- Linthorst ACE, Peñalva RG, Flachskamm C, Holsboer F, Reul JMHM 2002 Forced swim stress activates rat hippocampal serotonergic neurotransmission involving a corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor-dependent mechanism. Eur J Neurosci 16:2441– 2452
- 21. Stefferl A, Storch MK, Linington C, Stadelmann C, Lassmann H, Pohl T, Holsboer F, Tilders FJ, Reul JMHM 2001 Disease progression in chronic relapsing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis is associated with reduced inflammation-driven production of corticosterone. Endocrinology 142:3616–3624
- 22. Droste SK, Collins A, Lightman SL, Linthorst ACE, Reul JMHM 2009 Distinct, time-dependent effects of voluntary exercise on circadian and ultradian rhythms and stress responses of free corticosterone in the rat hippocampus. Endocrinology 150:4170–4179
- 23. D'Elia M, Patenaude J, Hamelin C, Garrel DR, Bernier J 2003 No detrimental effect from chronic exposure to buprenorphine on corticosteroid-binding globulin and corticosensitive immune parameters. Clin Immunol 109:179–187
- Emptoz-Bonneton A, Cousin P, Seguchi K, Avvakumov GV, Bully C, Hammond GL, Pugeat M 2000 Novel human corticosteroidbinding globulin variant with low cortisol-binding affinity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85:361–367
- Möpert B, Herbert Z, Caldwell JD, Jirikowski GF 2006 Expression of corticosterone-binding globulin in the rat hypothalamus. Horm Metab Res 38:246–252
- Jirikowski GF, Pusch L, Möpert B, Herbert Z, Caldwell JD 2007 Expression of corticosteroid binding globulin in the rat central nervous system. J Chem Neuroanat 34:22–28
- Kuhn RW, Green AL, Raymoure WJ, Siiteri PK 1986 Immunocytochemical localization of corticosteroid-binding globulin in rat tissues. J Endocrinol 108:31–36
- Droste SK, Chandramohan Y, Hill LE, Linthorst ACE, Reul JMHM 2007 Voluntary exercise impacts on the rat hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenocortical axis mainly at the adrenal level. Neuroendocrinology 86:26–37
- 29. Linthorst ACE, Flachskamm C, Müller-Preuss P, Holsboer F, Reul JMHM 1995 Effect of bacterial endotoxin and interleukin-1 beta on hippocampal serotonergic neurotransmission, behavioral activity, and free corticosterone levels: an in vivo microdialysis study. J Neurosci 15:2920–2934
- Linthorst ACE, Reul JMHM 2008 Stress and the brain: Solving the puzzle using microdialysis. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 90:163–173
- 31. Karssen AM, Meijer OC, van der Sandt IC, Lucassen PJ, de Lange EC, de Boer AG, de Kloet ER 2001 Multidrug resistance P-glycoprotein hampers the access of cortisol but not of corticosterone to mouse and human brain. Endocrinology 142:2686–2694
- 32. Uhr M, Holsboer F, Müller MB 2002 Penetration of endogenous steroid hormones corticosterone, cortisol, aldosterone and progesterone into the brain is enhanced in mice deficient for both mdr1a and mdr1b P-glycoproteins. J Neuroendocrinol 14:753–759
- 33. Mason BL, Pariante CM, Thomas SA 2008 A revised role for Pglycoprotein in the brain distribution of dexamethasone, cortisol, and corticosterone in wild-type and ABCB1A/B-deficient mice. Endocrinology 149:5244–5253
- Aller SG, Yu J, Ward A, Weng Y, Chittaboina S, Zhuo R, Harrell PM, Trinh YT, Zhang Q, Urbatsch IL, Chang G 2009 Structure of

P-glycoprotein reveals a molecular basis for poly-specific drug binding. Science 323:1718–1722

- de Kloet ER, McEwen BS 1976 A putative glucocorticoid receptor and a transcortin-like macromolecule in pituitary cytosol. Biochim Biophys Acta 421:115–123
- 36. Koch B, Lutz-Bucher B, Briaud B, Mialhe C 1978 Specific interaction of corticosteroids with binding sites in the plasma membranes of the rat anterior pituitary gland. J Endocrinol 79:215–222
- Seckl JR, Walker BR 2004 11β-Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 as a modulator of glucocorticoid action: from metabolism to memory. Trends Endocrinol Metab 15:418–424
- Yi CX, la Fleur SE, Fliers E, Kalsbeek A 2010 The role of the autonomic nervous liver innervation in the control of energy metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 1802:416–431
- Scheurink AJ, Steffens AB, Bouritius H, Dreteler GH, Bruntink R, Remie R, Zaagsma J 1989 Adrenal and sympathetic catecholamines in exercising rats. Am J Physiol 256:R155–R160
- 40. Mabry TR, Gold PE, McCarty R 1995 Age-related changes in plasma catecholamine responses to acute swim stress. Neurobiol Learn Mem 63:260–268
- 41. Charlier TD, Underhill C, Hammond GL, Soma KK 2009 Effects of aggressive encounters on plasma corticosteroid-binding globulin and its ligands in white-crowned sparrows. Horm Behav 56:339– 347
- 42. Breuner CW, Lynn SE, Julian GE, Cornelius JM, Heidinger BJ, Love OP, Sprague RS, Wada H, Whitman BA 2006 Plasma-binding globulins and acute stress response. Horm Metab Res 38:260–268
- 43. Martí O, Martín M, Gavaldà A, Giralt M, Hidalgo J, Hsu BR, Kuhn RW, Armario A 1997 Inhibition of corticosteroid-binding globulin caused by a severe stressor is apparently mediated by the adrenal but not by glucocorticoid receptors. Endocrine 6:159–164

- 44. Spencer RL, Miller AH, Moday H, McEwen BS, Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC, Sakai RR 1996 Chronic social stress produces reductions in available splenic type II corticosteroid receptor binding and plasma corticosteroid binding globulin levels. Psychoneuroendocrinology 21:95–109
- 45. Fleshner M, Deak T, Spencer RL, Laudenslager ML, Watkins LR, Maier SF 1995 A long term increase in basal levels of corticosterone and a decrease in corticosteroid-binding globulin after acute stressor exposure. Endocrinology 136:5336–5342
- 46. de Kloet ER, de Kock S, Schild V, Veldhuis HD 1988 Antiglucocorticoid RU 38486 attenuates retention of a behaviour and disinhibits the hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal axis at different brain sites. Neuroendocrinology 47:109–115
- 47. Sandi C 1998 The role and mechanisms of action of glucocorticoid involvement in memory storage. Neural Plast 6:41–52
- Cabrera R, Korte SM, Lentjes EG, Romijn F, Schönbaum E, de Nicola A, de Kloet ER 2000 The amount of free corticosterone is increased during lipopolysaccharide-induced fever. Life Sci 66:553– 562
- Cameron A, Henley D, Carrell R, Zhou A, Clarke A, Lightman S 2010 Temperature-responsive release of cortisol from its binding globulin: a protein thermocouple. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95: 4689–4695
- Reul JMHM, de Kloet ER 1985 Two receptor systems for corticosterone in rat brain: microdistribution and differential occupation. Endocrinology 117:2505–2511
- 51. Karst H, Berger S, Turiault M, Tronche F, Schütz G, Joëls M 2005 Mineralocorticoid receptors are indispensable for nongenomic modulation of hippocampal glutamate transmission by corticosterone. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:19204–19207



Share Your Good News! Job change? Promotion? Award? Help *Endocrine News* spread the word.

endocrinenews@endo-society.org.