



Cartlidge, J., & Phelps, S. (2011). Estimating Demand for Dynamic Pricing in Electronic Markets. GSTF International Journal on Computing (JoC), 1(2), 128 - 133. 10.5176/2010-2283_1.2.50

Link to published version (if available): 10.5176/2010-2283_1.2.50

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

Take down policy

Explore Bristol Research is a digital archive and the intention is that deposited content should not be removed. However, if you believe that this version of the work breaches copyright law please contact open-access@bristol.ac.uk and include the following information in your message:

- Your contact details
- Bibliographic details for the item, including a URL
- An outline of the nature of the complaint

On receipt of your message the Open Access Team will immediately investigate your claim, make an initial judgement of the validity of the claim and, where appropriate, withdraw the item in question from public view.

Estimating Demand for Dynamic Pricing in Electronic Markets

John Cartlidge Department of Computer Science University of Bristol Bristol, UK, BS8 1UB john.cartlidge@bristol.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Economic theory suggests sellers can increase revenue through dynamic pricing; selling identical goods or services at different prices. However, such discrimination requires knowledge of the maximum price that each consumer is willing to pay; information that is often unavailable. Fortunately, electronic markets offer a solution; generating vast quantities of transaction data that, if used intelligently, enable consumer behaviour to be modelled and predicted.

Using eBay as an exemplar market, we introduce a model for dynamic pricing that uses a statistical method for deriving the structure of demand from temporal bidding data. This work is a tentative first step of a wider research program to discover a practical methodology for automatically generating dynamic pricing models for the provision of cloud computing services; a pertinent problem with widespread commercial and theoretical interest.

Keywords

Demand modelling, dynamic pricing, eBay, cloud computing

1. INTRODUCTION

An understanding of supply and demand (S&D) is fundamental to microeconomics, finance and marketing. Yet, the theoretical and statistical tools necessary for a detailed *empirical* analysis of S&D in real markets has historically remained elusive [6, 8]. More recently, however, techniques have been developed to estimate S&D in financial markets [7]; and in electronic auction markets such as eBay [9, 10]. These models are able to recover S&D curves by analysing high-frequency trading data,¹ thus allowing an analysis of the marketplace in sufficient detail to be of use to traders.

Previous studies by other authors have outlined the principles by which S&D could be analysed in a retail electronic

*Contact author.

GSTF International Journal on Computing (JoC), vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 128-133, Feb, 2011. doi:10.5176/2010-2283_1.2.50 © 2011 Global Science and Technology Forum. Steve Phelps Centre for Computational Finance & Economic Agents, University of Essex Colchester, UK, CO4 3SQ sphelps@essex.ac.uk

auction marketplace [12]. In this paper we apply these principles to eBay, demonstrating how S&D can be estimated from actual empirical trading data; and exemplifying how structural S&D estimates can be used to determine optimal sales strategy: how many additional units to sell; and at what price.

Although this paper concentrates on eBay, the statistical estimation model we employ is general enough to be used in any online auction venue. In future, the authors aim to extend and apply the model to the dynamic pricing of cloud computing—the online utility provision of hardware and software services. Cloud computing is a burgeoning market that is set to flourish in the near future; presenting a paradigm shift in information technology that may have a profound positive disruption on society [5]. Yet, there is currently little theory on advanced pricing models for cloud computing. The authors aim to address this deficiency.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 describes online auctions and the demand estimation problem for sellers. Section 3 introduces the demand estimation model; and is followed by an exemplar application on real empirical eBay data in Section 4. Section 5 discusses future work extensions; in particular dynamic pricing for cloud computing services. Finally, Section 6 provides a brief summary and conclusion.

2. ELECTRONIC AUCTION VENUES

Over the past 15 years there has been a phenomenal rise in the volume of trade executed in online auctions. Founded in 1995, eBay alone now has a global presence in 37 markets and a customer base of 233 million.² Online auctions offer rich pickings for individuals and corporations wanting to exploit the potential liquidity of the global marketplace.

2.1 Power Selling in Online Auctions

While customer to customer (C-to-C) trade still accounts for a large proportion of online auction volume, increasingly there has been a rise in the number of businesses selling to individual customers (business to customer, or B-to-C, trade). Corporations with business models incorporating the sale of large quantities of stock in online auctions are known as power sellers. Rather than offload individual items or oneoff shipments, power sellers regularly ship large volumes in online auctions as part of their core sales strategy.

¹That is, data sampled at a frequency higher than one day.

 $^{^{2} \}rm http://pages.ebay.co.uk/aboutebay.html~(24-Nov-2010)$



Figure 1: eBay simulation. Auction rules—only successively higher bids accepted—lead to censoring, with observed demand lower than true demand.

Sellers have a strong incentive to understand the behaviour of their potential customers. Estimating the parameters eBay market, volume, time, listing format, price—that will maximise revenue requires knowledge of the dynamics of consumer demand. For example, a seller that anticipates a large surge in demand in a particular marketplace will have a better understanding of how and when to increase supply in that market and the price they should expect to achieve. Although all sellers benefit from a better understanding of demand, it is power sellers—those that supply the greatest quantity per unit time—that have the most to gain (or lose).

2.2 The Demand Estimation Problem

In online auction venues, power sellers not only have access to more traditional methods of estimating demand personal experience, market research, trial and error—they also have access to the bid history of each auction; the timestamped succession of highest bids. This valuable resource enables observation of how often and at what price bids are posted during the auction period. By observing the highest bid registered by each user one can begin to estimate the maximum (limit) price of each individual. Once the limit price of each bidder is known, it is an easy step to calculate the demand function—the volume demanded at each price.

Unfortunately, a problem exists: to estimate demand it is necessary to know the limit price of all potential buyers. However, since an auction's bid history records the succession of highest bids, it is not a full record of all potential bidders—individuals that arrive at an auction once the auction price has already exceeded their own limit price are not recorded. Such potential bidders are forced to leave an auction without registering a bid and thus do not appear in the bid history of an auction—their bids are censored. This leads to an under-estimation of demand (see Fig. 1).

The problem is: how to recover censored bids from observed bid history for more accurate estimation of market demand? To tackle this problem, the following section introduces a model to recover censored bids.

3. MODELLING DEMAND

We introduce a statistical model for recovering censored demand in electronic auctions: that is, demand that may not be directly observed from the set of auction transaction data. Using [12] as a foundation, the model relies on time-stamped auction data. We use the arrival times of market participants to estimate arrival rates—demand—across different price levels. While the model is general enough to be applied to any electronic auction, we use eBay—a prominent online market with high liquidity—as our exemplar.

3.1 Model Assumptions

To make it easier to work with observed bid history data, we first segment price into discrete intervals. Then, across all auctions we measure the time until first bid arrival in each price segment. We should expect that occasionally there will be long time-intervals before a first bid is registered, but that more often these intervals will be shorter. If we suppose that bids arrive independently and that no two bids arrive simulataneously, then we may assume that the time until first arrival of a bid in each price segment follows an exponential distribution.

The value we wish to estimate is the most likely expected number of bids, λ_i , that will be posted in each price segment, i, during a given time interval; thus allowing us to evaluate the relative proportion of bidders, or demand, in each price segment.

We model λ_i using a Poisson distribution by assuming the following:

- A Bids arrive at random in continuous time.
- B Bids arrive singly: the probability of two bids arriving simultaneously is zero.
- C Bids arrive uniformly: the expected number of bids in a given interval is proportional to the size of the interval; and arrival rates do not vary over time.³
- D Bids arrive independently: the probability of a bid arriving with price i in any small interval is independent of the probability of a bid arriving with price i in any other small interval.

We make some further assumptions about the strategic behaviour of bidders:

- E Bidders bid at exactly their limit price.
- F Bidders attempt to post a bid upon arrival: they do not strategically wait.

Finally, we assume the following is true of the auction mechanism:

G Posted bids must be greater than current auction price.

 $^{^3}$ In reality, arrival rates rapidly increase towards the end of an auction as bidders attempt to *snipe*. Preliminary analysis has shown that as many as 25% of all bidders arrive during the final hour. Hence, the model is likely to underestimate arrival rates.

3.2 Estimating Arrival Rates

Following [12], let us segment prices into K equally sized bins and let X_i denote the time of arrival of the first bid in price segment i, where i = 1, 2, ..., K. Then, X_i is an exponentially distributed continuous random variable with probability distribution function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x} & : x \ge 0\\ 0 & : x < 0 \end{cases}$$
(1)

Hence, X_i has mean time $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ and expected arrival rate λ_i . The probability of X_i occurring *near* time t = T is:

$$P[t < X \le \delta t] = f(t) \cdot \delta t \tag{2}$$

The probability of X occurring *after* time T is:

$$P[X > T] = 1 - P(X \le T)$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^T \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i t}$$

$$= 1 - \left[-e^{\lambda_i t} \right]_0^T$$

$$= 1 + e^{-\lambda_i T} - e^0$$

$$= e^{-\lambda_i T}$$
(3)

We demonstrate how to estimate arrival rates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_K$ through a worked example with n = 2 bidders; however the result can be generalised to n bidders.

Assume there are two potential bidders with limit prices iand j (i < j) and corresponding arrival times X_i and X_j . Then, when an auction is complete, it is possible that the bid history may contain: (a) no bidders; (b) one bidder of type i; (c) one bidder of type j; or (d) two bidders. Let $\langle x_i, x_j, \ldots, x_n : a_t \rangle$ denote the recorded bid history of auction a with end time t, then for an auction A_T , we can calculate the following likelihoods:

(a) Probability no bidders appear in bid history:

$$P[\langle -: A_T \rangle] = P[(X_i > T) \cap (X_j > T)]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda_i T} \cdot e^{-\lambda_j T}$$

(b) Probability only bidder i appears in bid history:

$$P[\langle x_i : A_T \rangle] = P[(x_i < X_i \le x_i + \delta x_i) \cap (X_j > T)]$$

= $\lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x_i} \delta x_i \cdot e^{-\lambda_j T}$

(c) Probability only bidder j appears in bid history:

$$P[\langle x_j : A_T \rangle] = P[(X_i > X_j) \cap (x_j < X_j \le x_j + \delta x_j)]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda_i x_j} \cdot \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_j} \delta x_j$$

(d) Probability both i and j appear in bid history:

$$P[\langle x_i, x_j : A_T \rangle] = P[(x_i < X_i \le x_i + \delta x_i) \cap (x_j < X_j \le x_j + \delta x_j)] = \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x_i} \delta x_i \cdot \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_j} \delta x_j$$

Assume that we have observed three auctions with bid histories as follows: two bids $\langle x_i, x_j : A_T \rangle$; no bids $\langle - : A_T \rangle$;

one bid $\langle x_j : A_T \rangle$. Then the likelihood function is:

$$L(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x_i} \delta x_i \cdot \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_j} \delta x_j \cdot e^{-\lambda_i T}$$
$$\cdot e^{-\lambda_j T} \cdot e^{-\lambda_i x_j} \cdot \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x_j} \delta x_j$$

Taking natural logarithm gives the log-likelihood function:

$$l(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) = \ln \lambda_i + 2 \ln \lambda_j + \ln \delta x_i + 2 \ln \delta x_j - \lambda_i x_i + T + x_j - \lambda_j x_j + T + x_j$$

Then, maximum likelihood values of arrival rates are:

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} - (x_i + T + x_j) = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda}_i = \frac{1}{x_i + T + x_j}$$
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{2}{\lambda_j} - (x_j + T + x_j) = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda}_j = \frac{2}{x_j + T + x_j}$$

Thus, we see that the maximum likelihood arrival rate for type i is the number of auctions in which we observe a bid of type i (1 in example, above) divided by the sum of the arrival times in each auction of either: the first bid to arrive of type i; or in auctions where no bids of type i appear, the first bid to arrive of the next highest type; or if no higher bids arrive, the auction close time, T.

Similarly, the arrival rate for type j is the number of auctions in which we observe a bid of type j (2 in above example) divided by the sum of the arrival times in each auction of either: the first bid to arrive of type j; or in auctions where no bids of type j appear, the first bid to arrive of the next highest type; or if no higher bids arrive, the auction close time T.

For brevity, let x_{i+}^n be the arrival time of bidder *i* in the n^{th} auction if bidder *i* is recorded in the n^{th} auction, or the arrival time of the next highest bidder, or the auction duration if no higher bidder arrives. Then, we get the general result:

$$\hat{\lambda}_i = \frac{\# \text{ auctions in which type i bid appears}}{x_{i^+}^1 + x_{i^+}^2 + \dots + x_{i^+}^n}$$

Let us call the divisor in the above equation the effective opening time for bidders of type i; i.e., the total time type i bidders have available to place a bid across all auctions. Once an auction price has surpassed a bidder's limit price, the auction is effectively closed to that bidder. If limit price is never surpassed, then the effective auction close equals actual auction close. Using this terminology, the above equation can be rewritten in words:

$$\hat{\lambda}_i = \frac{\text{total number of bids from type } i \text{ bidders}}{\text{total effective opening time}}$$

This is an intuitive result: the average arrival rate of bidders equals the number of bidders observed over the total time available for bids to be placed. Finally, to effectively consider parallel auctions, we must measure bid arrivals using absolute time rather than auction time. Then, the arrival rate of bidders of each bin is calculated as:

$$\hat{\lambda}_i = \frac{\text{total number of bids from type } i \text{ bidders}}{T_{i}}$$

 i^{-1} total time that at least one auction is effectively open

3.3 Confidence Interval Estimation

The model developed in the previous section reduces to the standard formula for calculating survival rates with Type I censored data (see, for example, [1]). Under Type I censoring, the maximum likelihood for survival rate, λ , is:

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{r}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \tag{4}$$

where x_i is the i^{th} data point (may be arrival or censoring point), n is total number of data points, both censored and uncensored, and r is number of failures. Using this, we can estimate the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for λ as:

$$\frac{2n}{\hat{\lambda}_i \cdot \chi^2_{\left(2n;\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}} < \frac{1}{\lambda_i} < \frac{2n}{\hat{\lambda}_i \cdot \chi^2_{\left(2n;1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}} \tag{5}$$

Where $\hat{\lambda_i}$ is maximum likelihood estimation, λ_i is true value, and $\chi^2_{(v;x)}$ is the value of chi squared distribution with k degrees of freedom that gives x cumulative probability.

3.4 Multiple Bids from Individual Bidders

Once a bidder has placed a bid in an auction, there is nothing to stop them from bidding in other auctions.⁴ Indeed, it is likely that once an auction has effectively closed an unsuccessful bidder will move to an alternative auction venue. However, unless a bidder wins an auction and then subsequently bids in another auction, we assume that each bidder demands only one item. Thus, directly counting proxy bids will lead to an overestimation of demand.

The model estimates demand by resolving multiple bids across auctions as follows:

- A Simulate each auction using proxy bid data to calculate the effective opening times of each price bin.
- B Order all proxy bids across all auctions by time. Begin with the earliest bid and move down the list in order of time. For each bid, if bidder ID is new—ID does not appear in Demand list—add bid to Demand; otherwise, edit bid in Demand such that:
 - (a) Bidder ID: identity of bidder.
 - (b) Bid time: time of earliest bid.
 - (c) Bid price: price of highest bid.
- C For each price bin, calculate the mean arrival rate of bidders by dividing the number of bids in Demand by the total effective opening time.

3.5 Model Validation

To validate the statistical model, we employ a simple simulation of eBay containing 4 parameter distributions: bid price, B_p ; time to next bid arrival, B_t ; time to next auction opening, A_t ; and auction length, A_l . Let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$ denote random variable X is normally distributed with mean $= \mu$ and standard deviation $= \sigma$; and $X \sim U(\{i, j, \ldots, n\})$ denote X is random variable uniformly distributed across the set of values $\{i, j, \ldots, n\}$. Then, set: $B_p \sim N(50, 15)$



Figure 2: Simulation of eBay. Demand estimation (solid line) is a good fit of true demand (dot).

dollars; $B_t \sim N(4,1)$ hours; $A_t \sim N(2,0.5)$ days; and $A_l \sim U(\{1,3,5,7,10\})$ days.

Fig. 2 shows the true and observed demand of bidders in a simulated eBay market over 16 simulated weeks. This data replicates that shown in Fig. 1; and demonstrates censoring between observed (dash) and true (dot) demand. Plugging observed demand from the simulation into the statistical model, we generate an estimate of true market demand (solid line). Clearly, there is a good fit between estimated and true demand, suggesting the model works as anticipated; effectively recovering censored data.

4. EBAY APPLICATION

Here, we demonstrate the model on real eBay data; using S&D analysis to inform dynamic pricing strategy. Data is taken from the German market⁵ for Lexmark X7170 multifunction printers over a 6 month period between 01-Nov-05 and 01-Apr-06.

4.1 Competitive Demand

Fig. 3 displays the S&D curves estimated by the statistical model introduced in Section 3. These curves suggest a market equilibrium price, $E_p =$ \$130, and equilibrium quantity, $E_q = 275$.

Using market S&D it is possible to estimate the expected revenue a seller, X_s , would achieve by increasing supply volume. We make a conservative assumption: that the highest bidders have already been supplied by the market. Hence, if X_s increases supply, only excess (or competitive) demand will be captured. This produces the intuitive result that increasing sales volume will reduce market price. Competitive demand—the shaded area of Fig. 3—is calculated as the difference between demand and supply at all prices below E_p : notice that, as price tends to 0, competitive demand tends to the demand curve.

We use competitive demand to estimate the price a seller

 $^{^{4}}$ Bidders have been observed strategically posting stub quotes in multiple simultaneous auctions; submitting very low bids in the hope that no other bidders join the auction.

⁵http://www.ebay.de/



Figure 3: Six months estimated supply (line) and demand (dash) for Lexmark X7170 in Germany.

will attain for increasing sales volume by a given quantity.

4.2 **Revenue and Costs**

The application has two revenue models. These are:

- **Differential pricing:** assume each item is sold at the highest price it can attain. Prices will vary between sales.
- **Fixed pricing:** assume all units are sold at the same fixed price. This is a more conservative estimator of revenue.

Fig. 4 shows anticipated revenue and costs for a seller, X_s , using a fixed price revenue model. These costs include eBay and shipping costs associated with each sale and rise with volume sold. Other costs specific to X_s , such as labour costs or OEM commission, are entered into the model as customized variables. These costs are not graphed, but *are* factored into profit calculations, *below*.

The revenue curve is calculated using competitive demand. In Fig. 4, we see anticipated revenue has a maximum around quantity 700, but then steeply falls. This is because we are using a fixed revenue model—all units sold at the same price. Thus, as volume increases and marginal price falls, the price of each unit also falls.

4.3 Profit

Profit equals total revenue minus total $\cot 1.6$ Fig. 5 shows anticipated profit as a function of increased sales quantity. We see that a maximum profit of approximately \$10,000 is achieved with approximately 700 sales. Once sales exceed 1000, profits become negative.

Assuming costs rise as volume is increased, there will always be a turning point in the profit curve; even under differential pricing. This turning point is the maximum possible anticipated profit available to a seller for a given product. Maximum profit and volume can be used to produce summary estimates of current production efficiency.



Figure 4: Revenue and costs using fixed pricing model. Anticipated revenue (line) is maximised at quantity = 700; while costs (dash) increasingly rise.



Figure 5: Profit is maximised with 700 sales. Profit becomes negative when quantity exceeds 1000.

4.4 Extensions

We have described a model for estimating demand in electronic auction venues and applied it, using real eBay data, to infer optimal sales strategy and dynamic pricing. This is a promising first step. However, the model makes some unrealistic assumptions such as fixed arrival rates, which are likely to reduce estimation accuracy. In future, we would like to address this by modifying the model to incorporate more realistic behaviours, such as sniping; and use heuristic methods to calibrate the model against empirical data, e.g., [11].

5. PRICING CLOUDS

Over the last 50 years, roughly once a decade, the commercial provision of IT has undergone a step change—from mainframes to the Internet *via* mini-computers, single-user PCs and the client-server LAN model [4]. Following this pattern, we appear to be entering a new paradigm: the online utility provision of hardware and software services, or "cloud computing". Offering on-demand availability to effectively infinite computing resources at prices kept low though scale economies of central supply, cloud computing has the potential to positively impact the whole of society, in a manner analogous to the impact of the utility provision of electricity during the 20th Century [5].

Computing behemoths such as Amazon, Google and Microsoft are investing heavily in the provision of cloud com-

 $^{^{\}overline{6}}$ Note that Fig. 4 does not include costs that are specific to a particular seller, X_s . Hence, profit cannot be directly calculated from the revenue and costs curves displayed.

puting infrastructure through large warehouse-scale computing facilities [2]. However, current understanding of advanced pricing mechanisms for cloud services is inadequate. Traditionally, hosted services operate on a simple amortized cost-plus charging model, with little price differentiation.

Research into the decentralised control and provision of utility computing through the use of auction markets has generated a large literature [3]. Such utility computing markets present a direct opportunity to apply techniques—structural estimation of S&D for dynamic pricing—developed in this paper. It future, we aim to extend our work toward dynamic pricing of cloud computing services—a pertinent research goal with highly lucrative commercial potential.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have described a statistical model for estimating product demand by utilising the bid information available from electronic auction markets such as eBay. Further, we have applied this model to estimate supply and demand for a real marketplace using actual empirical data. To forecast future revenue accurately, sellers must have an accurate understanding of consumer demand. The more accurately a seller understands demand, the better they are able to maximise profit. Whilst the experience of sellers, trial and error, and market research reports each lend some insight into the behaviour of customers, each is a very poor alternative to the quantitative estimates produced by the model presented here. Producing a full demand curve, the model allows sellers to maximise profit by predicting sales volume and average price ahead of time. This accurate forecasting ability allows sellers to optimise their strategy ahead of time, rendering costly (and risky) trial and error strategies obsolete and presenting an opportunity for dynamic pricing.

The model is entirely general. As long as there is an ascending auction format, the model is able to build a representation of demand, whatever the product or where ever it is sold. By understanding demand, sellers are able to better optimise their sales strategy and reduce risk. As such, the model is of value to any company or individual that wants to sell, offering significant positive impact on the revenuegenerating potential of all auction sellers.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by EPSRC grant: $EP/H042644/1^7$

8. REFERENCES

- L. J. Bain and M. Engelhardt. Introduction to Probability and Mathematical Statistics. Duxbury Press, 2nd edition, 1992.
- [2] L. A. Barroso and U. Hölzle. The datacenter as a computer: An introduction to the design of warehouse-scale machines. In M. D. Hill, editor, Synthesis Lectures on Computer Architecture, Lecture #6. Morgan and Claypool, 2009.
- [3] J. Broberg, S. Venugopal, and R. Buyya. Market-oriented grids and utility computing: The state-of-the-art and future directions. *Journal of Grid Computing*, 6(3):255–276, 2008.

- [4] S. Bullock and D. Cliff. Complexity and emergent behaviour in ICT systems. Technical Report HPL-2004-187, Hewlett-Packard Labs, Bristol, UK, Oct 2004.
- [5] N. Carr. The big switch: Rewiring the world, from Edison to Google. W. W. Norton, New York, 2006.
- [6] J. Laffont. Game theory and empirical economics: The case for auction data. *European Economic Review*, 41:1–35, 1998.
- [7] W. L. Ng. Modelling dynamic demand and supply curves of electronic markets. Technical Report WP029-08, CCFEA, Univ. of Essex, Colchester, UK, Sep 2008.
- [8] H. J. Paarsch and H. Hong. An Introduction to the Structural Econometrics of Auction Data. MIT Press, 2006.
- [9] K. Sailer. Searching the eBay Marketplace. Discussion Papers in Economics 1234, Univ. of Munich, Dept. of Economics, 2006.
- [10] U. Song. Nonparametric Estimation of an eBay Auction Model with an Unknown Number of Bidders. Working paper, Dept. of Economics, Univ. of British Columbia, Canada, Jun 2004.
- [11] P. Winker. Applications of optimization heuristics to estimation and modelling problems. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 47(2):211–223, Sep 2004.
- [12] A. Zhang, D. Beyer, J. Ward, T. Liu, A. Karp, K. Guler, S. Jain, and H. Tang. Modeling the price-demand relationship using auction bid data. Technical Report HPL-2002-202, Hewlett-Packard Labs, Palo Alto, CA, Jul 2002.

Author Profiles

Dr. John Cartlidge has a First Class Honours degree in



Artificial Intelligence & Mathematics (2000) and a Ph.D. in Computer Science (2004) from the University of Leeds. He spent four years in industrial research working for Hewlett-Packard Labs and other smaller companies before returning to academia in 2008. He is currently a Research Associate in Cloud Computing at the Department of Computer Science, University of Bristol, UK.

Dr. Steve Phelps received his Ph.D. degree while he was



with the Agent Applications, Research and Technology (Agent ART) Group at the University of Liverpool. He is currently a Lecturer with the Centre for Computational Finance and Economic Agents (CCFEA) at the University of Essex, UK. His research centres on agent-based modelling in finance and economics.

 $^{7 \\} http://gow.epsrc.ac.uk/ViewGrant.aspx?GrantRef=EP/H042644/1$