University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

Performance of MIMO Downlink WiMAX
at
Application Layer

Rudzidatul Akmam, Angela Doufexi, Dritan Kaleshi

Introduction

- WiMAX performance evaluation for single antenna and multiple antenna techniques has received considerable attention by WiMAX researches and operators.

- Most of WiMAX capacity studies focus on PHY and MAC performance and to date only limited analysis has been presented on WiMAX goodput at higher layers.

- This paper evaluates the maximum total goodput for Single Input Single Output (SISO) and MIMO (both STBC and SM) in WiMAX, operating range and combination of traffic classes (UGS and rtPS) at Application Layer.

PHY DL Data Rates and After Overhead

Modulation and Encoding Rate	SISO and STBC (Mbps)		SM (Mbps)	
	PHY Data Rates	*After IP and MAC Overheads*	*PHY Data Rates*	*After IP and MAC Overheads*
QPSK ½	3.571	3.393	7.142	6.786
QPSK ¾	5.357	5.089	10.714	10.178
16QAM ½	7.143	6.786	14.286	13.572
16QAM ¾	10.714	10.179	21.428	20.358
64QAM ½	10.714	10.176	21.428	20.358
64QAM 2/3	14.286	13.571	28.572	27.142
64QAM ¾	16.071	15.268	32.142	30.536

WiMAX DL PHY DATA RATES and THEIR DATA RATES AFTER CONSIDERING THE IP AND MAC OVERHEADS FOR SISO, STBC 2x2, SM 2x2 (PUSC, DL:UL[99:99])

Link Level Simulation (1)

- We perform SISO, SM 2x2, STBC 2x2 at link level to produce BER curves

- The parameters used are:

  - Spatial Channel Model Extension (SCME) and an urban micro 3GPP tapped delay line (TDL)

  - A correlation factor of 0.4

  - STBC Alamouti

  - A minimum mean square error (MMSE) receiver for SM-MIMO

- We set exit and entry thresholds at BER between 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} for the link adaptation

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Link Level Simulation (2)

System Level Simulation (1)

- The generated BER performance results are incorporated as SNR-BER look-up tables to compute burst errors for the instantaneous channel conditions and also for link adaptation

- The use of BER tables and threshold values realise the Qualnet link adaptation decisions more realistic.

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Scenarios

- A BS communicates to a stationary SS with fixed-size data packets of 1024 bytes at Constant-Bit-Rate (CBR) which is performed across QPSK, 16QAM and 64QAM.

- The load is increased to saturation by increasing the packet rate; packet size is constant.

- The traffic load is calculated as:

$$Traffic\ Load\ (bps) = \frac{Packet\ Size\ (bytes)\ x\ 8\ bits}{Packet\ Interval\ (s)}$$

- The scenario is then expanded to two types of scenarios (i) multiple SSs and (ii) multiple connections

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Simulation Results: SISO (1)


UGS goodput vs traffic load for SISO

Traffic Load (kbps)	UGS Goodput (Mbps)	Packet Loss (%)	Average End-2-End Delay (ms)
9102.22	9.11	0.01	20.30
9637.65	9.64	0.01	20.60
9869.88	9.88	0.01	21.26
10240.00	9.58	6.59	81.85
11702.86	9.58	18.26	100.67
13653.33	9.58	29.24	103.92

64 QAM ½ SISO

- The BS does not adopt all modulation and coding scheme due to some modes never provide the highest throughput in these channel conditions

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Simulation Results: STBC 2x2 (2)

UGS goodput vs traffic load for STBC 2x2

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Simulation Results: SM 2 x2 (3)

UGS goodput vs traffic load for SM 2x2

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Simulation Results: Maximum Goodputs (4)

| Modulation Coding Scheme	Antenna Technology	SNR (dB)	Maximum Traffic Load (Mbps)	Maximum User Goodput (Mbps)
QPSK ½	SISO	19.40	3.3092	3.2934
	STBC	6.25	3.2768	3.2701
	SM	20.54	6.5536	6.5504
QPSK ¾	SISO			
	STBC	8.41	4.8188	4.8138
	SM	23.93	9.6376	9.5591
16QAM ½	SISO	23.16	6.5536	6.5504
	STBC	12.49	6.5536	6.5504
	SM	24.93	13.1070	13.1010
16QAM ¾	SISO			
	STBC	14.7	9.8698	9.8671
	SM			
64QAM ½	SISO	30.10	9.8698	9.8601
	STBC	16.07	9.8698	9.8601
	SM	32.15	19.7390	19.7300
64QAM 2/3	SISO	32.16	13.1281	13.1276
	STBC	17.22	13.1072	13.1038
	SM	34.75	26.2986	26.2752
64QAM ¾	SISO	41.23	14.7603	14.7324
	STBC	30.12	14.8945	14.5392
	SM	42.75	29.4676	29.2873

Max. goodputs in the range of 94.5% to 97.0% of the theoretical data rates due to packet losses during transmission

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

🔥Operating Range Analysis

Maximum User Goodput vs. Distance

- **SISO and STBC 2x2 achieve similar maximum goodput, but STBC operates at greater range than SISO due to the spatial diversity**

- **SM MIMO 2x2 doubles the achievable maximum goodput at shorter ranges since it needs a higher SNR for enhanced performance**

- **After 90m, the network switches from SM MIMO 2x2 to STBC 2x2 to achieve better performance and also broader cell coverage**

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Multiple Subscriber Stations

Legend:
- 6 Mbps/user with 1024 bytes packet size
- Total Traffic Load =~14.89 Mbps with 1024 bytes packet size
- Total Traffic Load =~ 14.89 Mbps with 186 bytes packet size

X-axis: Total of Subscriber Stations (1SS, 3 SSs, 6 SSs, 10 SSs)
Y-axis: Total Goodput (Mbps)

Goodput performance for multiple subscriber stations for 64QAM ¾ of STBC

- **The achievable maximum goodput drops because of (i) an increased number of users in the cell (more users generates more overheads) and (ii) the size of packet sizes (the smaller packet size generates more overheads)**

- **Once the cell achieves the maximum goodput, the total traffic load is no longer affects the cell performance and every user achieves lower goodput when the cell consists of more users**

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Combination of Traffic Classes

UGS Max Goodput for a single connection and UGS Goodput after assuming an additional rtPS connection

The previous achievable maximum UGS goodput inevitably decreases between 51% and 58% of the one achieved in a single connection for SISO, STBC and SM due to sharing the resources with the rtPS connection

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Conclusion

1. The achievable maximum goodput for a single user with CBR traffic is found to be between 94.5% and 97.0% of the theoretical data rates.

2. STBC 2x2 operates at greater range than SISO though they have similar maximum achievable goodput.

3. SM MIMO 2x2 achieves maximally up to ~30 Mbos at ~79 m from the BS whilst STBC achieves a better goodput after ~90 m from the BS.

4. A WiMAX system can sensible switch from SM to STBC at a distance of ~90 m

5. When having dual connections (UGS and rtPS), the maximum goodput of UGS is dropped to 51% and 58% depending on the antenna mode and MCS types.

University of BRISTOL

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering

Any Questions?