



Sejdinovic, D., Piechocki, R. J., & Doufexi, A. (2009). Rateless distributed source code design. In 5th International Mobile Multimedia Communications Conference (MobiMedia-2009), London, UK. (pp. 1 - 7). Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering (ICST).

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

#### University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

#### Take down policy

Explore Bristol Research is a digital archive and the intention is that deposited content should not be removed. However, if you believe that this version of the work breaches copyright law please contact open-access@bristol.ac.uk and include the following information in your message:

- Your contact details
- Bibliographic details for the item, including a URL
- An outline of the nature of the complaint

On receipt of your message the Open Access Team will immediately investigate your claim, make an initial judgement of the validity of the claim and, where appropriate, withdraw the item in question from public view.

#### Rateless Distributed Source Code Design

Dino Sejdinovic, Rob Piechocki, Angela Doufexi

Centre for Communications Research, University of Bristol

Kingston-upon-Thames, September 8, 2009.

MobiMedia 2009

### Outline





3 Fountain coding with multiple source nodes

## Outline



2 Rateless coding with side info

3 Fountain coding with multiple source nodes

### Multicast transmission in a lossy packet network



- Receivers experience different and dynamically changing packet loss rates.
- Wireless erasure networks / mobile environments.
- ARQ/Feedback implosion.

### Erasure coding



- Erasure codes (MDS Reed-Solomon)?
  - low operational complexity (mobile devices: computational resources and battery power)
    - Sparse graph codes coupled with **belief propagation** (BP) algorithm: LDPC, Turbo, LDGM, IRA...

## Erasure coding



- Erasure codes (MDS Reed-Solomon)?
  - support for a wide range of (and dynamically changing) packet loss rates

## Digital fountain



< 口 > < 同

#### # encoding packets= $\infty$ , code rate = 0

#### Practical fountain codes

Fountain codes are:

- rateless a potentially limitless amount of encoding packets.
- computationally efficient and scalable fast and parallelizable algorithms.
- nearly optimal reliable data reconstruction from any set of encoding packets only slightly greater than the size of the original message.

## Standardization

Digital Fountain's Raptor FEC has been adopted by:

- 3GPP Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast
- DVB-h IP datacast to handheld devices
- IETF Reliable Multicast Transport (RMT)

# LT codes



- (Luby 2002), LT(k,Ω(x)) code ensemble: k- size of the message, Ω(x) = Σ<sup>k</sup><sub>d=1</sub>Ω<sub>d</sub>x<sup>d</sup> probability distribution on {1,2,...,k} (gen. poly.)
  - Sample an output degree d with probability  $\Omega_d$ .
  - Sample *d* distinct data packets uniformly at random and XOR them.

## LT codes achieve capacity

#### Fact

There exist sequences of LT code ensembles  $LT(k, \Omega^{(k)}(x))$  which achieve capacity regardless of the erasure probability of the channel (universality) with computational cost of  $\mathcal{O}(k \log k)$ .

•  $\Omega^{(k)}(x)$  converges pointwise to limiting soliton distribution:

$$\Psi_{\infty}(x) = \sum_{d=2}^{\infty} \frac{x^d}{d(d-1)}$$

• Small perturbations suffice at finite lengths: robust soliton.

Fountain codes: state of the art

Rateless coding with side info Fountain coding with multiple source nodes

### Soliton distributions



< □ > < 同 >

#### Raptor codes



- (Shokrollahi 2006),  $\Omega(x)$  capped at a max. degree  $d_{max}$  as  $k \to \infty$  (lowers computational cost to  $\mathcal{O}(k)$  but introduces an error floor) decode fraction  $1 \delta$ .
- Error floor is removed by an outer very high rate LDPC code sufficient redundancy to finish off decoding.

# Decoding graph



#### $\varepsilon$ : code overhead

## BP decoding asymptotic analysis

- (Luby, Mitzenmacher, Shokrollahi 1998) AND-OR tree evaluation
- Generalized todensity evolution techniques (Richardson, Urbanke, *MCT*, 2008)
- Recipe for fountain code design:
  - formulate a particular version of density evolution set of recursive equations
  - generate an optimization procedure based on the density evolution equations (typically LP).

Optimisation of  $\Omega(x)$ 

Fix  $d_{\max}$  and  $\delta$  and minimise  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{split} \text{LP:} & \min\sum_{d=1}^{d_{\max}} \frac{\omega_d}{d} (\sim 1 + \varepsilon) \\ \sum_{d=1}^{d_{\max}} \omega_d (1 - y_i)^{d-1} & \geq & -\ln y_i, \ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \\ \omega_d & \geq & 0, \ d \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_{\max}\}. \end{split}$$

•  $1 = y_1 > y_2 > \cdots > y_m = \delta$  are *m* equidistant points on  $[\delta, 1]$ ,  $\delta$  is the desired error rate, and  $d_{max}$  is the max. degree.

4 日 2 4 同 2 4 三 2 4 4

## Outline





3 Fountain coding with multiple source nodes

# Slepian-Wolf Coding (SWC)



The admissible rate region for the pairs of rates  $(R_X, R_Y)$  is given by:

$$R_X \geq H(X|Y)$$

$$R_Y \geq H(Y|X)$$

$$R_X + R_Y \geq H(X,Y).$$

# Slepian-Wolf Coding (SWC)



### Scalable video multicast



- scalable video over loss prone wireless networks
- Single channel code for both:
  - video compression (Slepian-Wolf coding)
  - packet loss protection

Xu, Stankovic, Xiong - IEEE JSAC May 2007.

## Rateless Asymmetric SWC



#### "Erasure correlation" SWC



- Y is the output of an erasure channel when X is the input.
- Receivers have a priori knowledge of a number of data packets (transmission from other sources ?).

## Asymmetric SWC - side information

- Systematic Raptor Fresia, Vandendorpe (Globecom 2007).
- Non-systematic LT (shifted robust soliton) Agarwal, Hagedorn, Trachtenberg (ITA Workshop 2008).
- Both systematic and non-systematic: asymptotic analysis and design Sejdinovic, Piechocki, Doufexi, Ismail (IEEE ICC 2008, IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun. 2009).
- IR-HARQ with LDPC/Fountain codes Sejdinovic, Ponnampalam, Piechocki, Doufexi (IEEE WCNC 2008).

#### Asymptotic code design with side info



### Outline



2 Rateless coding with side info

3 Fountain coding with multiple source nodes

#### Multiple source nodes



< ロ > ( 同 > ( 回 > ( 回 > ))

#### Rateless Symmetric SWC



< 口 > < 同

### Multiple source nodes

#### Obstacles:

- No cooperation or centralized controller
- Each source node produces localized encoding packets.

#### Questions:

- How to perform (small) decentralized encoding taskssuch that the resulting decoding problemis well-behaved?
- Can relay help by combining data from multiple sources?

## DE: General case

- Packets dispersed across *s* source nodes.
- Sets of packets available at different nodes are not necessarily disjoint nor of equal size.
- Each source node oblivious of which packets are available at other source nodes.
- *IEEE Commun. Letters 2009* (under review, with Piechocki, Doufexi, Ismail)

## DE: General case

- Rigorous asymptotic analysis for many data dissemination scenarios.
- Generalized DE leads to a simple asymptotic code design yielding both multiterminal source coding and channel coding gains.
- Easy modification to include the case of informed collector node, i.e., decoder side information.
- Amenable to extension for noisy channels and general belief propagation algorithm (channels like BSC and BIAWGNC).

## Symmetric SWC with LT codes

#### Example

Source nodes  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are trying to multicast k packets. Each source node contains t > k/2 packets, but is oblivious of which tpackets are available at other node. Source nodes use  $LT(t, \Omega(x))$ ensemble and receiver obtains n/2 encoding packets from each source node.

## Symmetric SWC - DDLT



< ロ > ( 同 > ( 回 > ( 回 > ))

æ

Optimization of  $\Omega(x)$ , p = 1/3

$$LP: \qquad \min \sum_{d=1}^{d_{\max}} \frac{\omega_d}{d}$$

$$\frac{1}{1+p} \omega (1 - \frac{1-p}{1+p} y_i - \frac{2p}{1+p} y_i^2) \geq -\ln y_i, \ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

$$\omega_d \geq 0, \ d \in \{1, 2, \dots, d_{\max}\}.$$

.

(日) (同) (三) (

#### Intermediate performance



### Numerical results



## Limiting distribution

• Perturbation of the Limiting soliton for correlated data.

$$\Omega(x) = -\frac{2(1+p)}{1+3p} \int_0^x \ln \frac{\sqrt{t(p^2-1)+1}-p}{1-p} dt, \ x \in [0,1).$$
 (1)

#### Fact

When two terminals contain erasure correlated data, fountain coding can still achieve information theoretic limits, provided that the code design is appropriately modified.



- Overview of fountain coding LT, Raptor codes
- DSC with fountain codes
- Generic setting with multiple source nodes
- Symmetric SWC perturbation of soliton distribution achieves SW limit.