



Foreign Accent Syndrome As a Psychogenic Disorder: A Review

Stefanie Keulen^{1,2}, Jo Verhoeven^{3,4}, Elke De Witte¹, Louis De Page⁵, Roelien Bastiaanse² and Peter Mariën^{1,6*}

¹ Department of Linguistics and Literary Studies, Clinical and Experimental Neurolinguistics, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium, ² Department of Linguistics, Center for Language and Cognition, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, ³ Department of Language and Communication Science, School of Health Sciences, City University London, London, UK, ⁴ Department of Linguistics, Computational Linguistics and Psycholinguistics Research Center, Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerp, Belgium, ⁵ Department of Psychology, Clinical and Lifespan Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium, ⁶ Department of Neurology and Memory Clinic, ZNA Middelheim General Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium

In the majority of cases published between 1907 and 2014, FAS is due to a neurogenic etiology. Only a few reports about FAS with an assumed psychogenic origin have been published. The present article discusses the findings of a careful database search on psychogenic FAS. This review may be particularly relevant as it is the first to analyze the salient features of psychogenic FAS cases to date. This article hopes to pave the way for the view that psychogenic FAS is a cognate of neurogenic FAS. It is felt that this variant of FAS may have been underreported, as most of the psychogenic cases have been published after the turn of the century. This review may improve the diagnosis of the syndrome in clinical practice and highlights the importance of recognizing psychogenic FAS as an independent taxonomic entity.

Keywords: foreign accent syndrome, psychogenic, non-organic FAS, speech disorder, review

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Srikantan S. Nagarajan,
University of California, San Francisco,
USA

Reviewed by:

Gianluca Serafini,
University of Genoa, Italy
Stéphane Poulin,
Université Laval, Canada

*Correspondence:

Peter Mariën
peter.mariën@vub.ac.be

Received: 27 July 2015

Accepted: 04 April 2016

Published: xx April 2016

Citation:

Keulen S, Verhoeven J, De Witte E, De Page L, Bastiaanse R and Mariën P (2016) Foreign Accent Syndrome As a Psychogenic Disorder: A Review. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10:168. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00168

INTRODUCTION

It has now been over a century that researchers have reported on a motor speech disorder most frequently referred to as “Foreign Accent Syndrome” (FAS). The first patient with FAS was anecdotally described by Marie (1907). The term “FAS” was later coined by Whitaker (1982) who also proposed a set of diagnostic criteria: (1) “the accent is considered by the patient, by acquaintances and by the investigator, to sound foreign”; (2) “it is unlike the patient’s native dialect before cerebral insult”; (3) “it is clearly related to central nervous system damage (as opposed to an hysterical reaction, if such exist)”; (4) “(t)here is no evidence in the patient’s background of being a speaker of a foreign language (i.e., this is not like cases of polyglot aphasia)” (Whitaker, 1982, pp. 196 and 198). These criteria only apply to one of the three FAS subtypes in the taxonomic classification recently developed by Verhoeven and Mariën (2010), who distinguished between a neurogenic (including a developmental subtype), a psychogenic and a mixed variant of FAS.

Psychogenic FAS is defined by Verhoeven and Mariën (2010) as “the variant in which the foreign accent of the patient is grounded in underlying psychological issues” (p. 601). It is also referred to as “non-organic,” “functional,” or “psychosomatic” FAS. Aronson and Bless (1990) have expressed a clear preference for the term “psychogenic” because this term has “the advantage of stating positively, based on an exploration of its causes, that the [...] disorder is a manifestation of psychological disequilibrium such as anxiety, depression, personality disorder, or conversion reaction [...]” (p. 121). In general, this “sub-category” contains all the cases of FAS in which an organic substrate cannot be identified after careful clinical neurological, neuroradiological, and/or

neurophysiological examination, and for which a clear psychological factor is identified (e.g., Verhoeven et al., 2005) as well as the cases for which it is hypothesized that a disclosed organic deficiency cannot be held responsible for the FAS (e.g., Gurd et al., 2001; Van Borsel et al., 2005). The latter is not uncommon.

According to Baumgartner (1999) several researchers in speech and language pathology have published cases in which a clear neurological impairment was identified, but the speech or voice disorder was convincingly argued to be of psychogenic origin (Tippett and Siebens, 1991; Baumgartner and Duffy, 1997). Baumgartner (1999) emphasizes the importance of carefully considering the patient's medical history, meticulously interpreting the symptoms, and evaluating the coherence between different observations. If medical history, onset of symptoms, symptom characteristics and their evolution, neurological examinations, neuroimaging, and cognitive work-up do not unambiguously point toward a neurological disorder, an alternative interpretation should be considered.

This article presents a detailed review of FAS cases with an assumed psychogenic etiology published between 1907 and July 2014. The focus of the investigation is on the associated psychopathologies, the onset and remission of the accent, the type of accent, the segmental, and suprasegmental characteristics contributing to the perception of the patient's accent as "foreign," as well as the comorbid speech- and/or language symptoms.

The goal of this review is to analyze the main features of psychogenic FAS in order to shed more light on this taxonomic variant and facilitate the diagnosis in clinical practice.

METHODS

The available literature on (psychogenic) FAS was identified by means of regular searches in online electronic databases (*Web of Knowledge, ScienceDirect, PubMed, Medline, PsycINFO*), using the following keywords in Boolean search: "foreign accent syndrome," "FAS," "psychogenic AND FAS," "psychogenic AND foreign accent syndrome." The reference sections of all relevant articles were scanned to identify additional references. All the articles between 1907 and July 2014 were included. Only original case descriptions were retained for this review, as some of the data were re-used by the same or other authors in later publications. Inclusion criteria for psychogenic FAS were: (1) the onset of a foreign accent, (2) the presence of, or indication(s) for psychological/psychiatric symptoms, (3) the absence of neurological damage that could explain the speech and/or language symptomatology

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics and Associated Psychopathologies

The initial database search resulted in a corpus of 129 articles reporting instances of FAS (regardless of the etiology). However, at least 24 cases were published twice or more. Only original case reports were included for the counts in this section. Fifteen of

the 105 (original) FAS cases published between 1907 and July 2014 matched the inclusion criteria of psychogenic FAS (see **Table 1**). The putative psychogenic FAS cases represent 14% of all published FAS cases ($n = 15/105$). Two case reports [case 3, 8] were reported twice¹. Sixty-seven percent of the included patients are women ($n = 10/15$), and 33% are men ($n = 5/15$). The mean age of patients with assumed psychogenic FAS is 48 years and 1 month (range: 30–74 years, SD: 12 years and 9 months). Men had a mean age of 56 years and 2 months (range 30–74 years, SD: 17 years 8 months) and women 44 years and 1 month (range 32–54 years, SD: 7 years 11 months). Patient's occupation was only mentioned in a few case reports ($n = 5/15$) [cases 3, 5, 8, 10, 12]. Education levels were never stated. Five patients are described as right-handed [cases 2, 5, 8, 11, 12]. However, handedness was only formally assessed in one case (case 5: right-handed; Edinburgh Handedness Test; Oldfield, 1971). For the remaining cases [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13–15], handedness was not indicated. Two patients were self-proclaimed monolinguals [cases 8, 9], whereas two were definitely polyglots [case 5: Dutch-French-English, case 10: English-Spanish]. In case 5, FAS affected both Dutch and English, but French was perfect on all linguistic levels (suprasegmental, segmental, morphology, syntax). In case 10, however, it was not mentioned to what extent the patient's proficiency of Spanish was affected. As far as the psychological disorder is concerned, 33% of the cases presented with conversion disorder ($n = 5/15$; cases 5, 9–12), 13% with schizophrenia ($n = 2/15$) [cases 3, 6], 13% with bipolar disorder ($n = 2/15$) [cases 7, 8], 13% with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) ($n = 2/15$) [cases 14, 15], 7% with post-traumatic neurosis ($n = 1/15$) [case 1], and 7% with mania ($n = 1/15$) [case 13]. In 13% of the cases, no clear psychological disorder was associated with the FAS ($n = 2/15$) [cases 2, 4] (see **Table 1**). However, for these cases neurological and neurophysiological examinations as well as neuroimaging were regarded incompatible with a neurogenic etiology, and it was concluded that the FAS had to be non-organic in nature.

Phonetic Characteristics

Neurogenic FAS has been associated with a very diverse set of segmental and suprasegmental pronunciation characteristics, often with great inter-patient variability. While some studies primarily investigated the phonetic and acoustic characteristics of FAS, others focused on the pathophysiological substrate of the syndrome (see also Ingram et al., 1992; Kanjee et al., 2010). This dissociation equally applies to psychogenic FAS: some researchers have focused on the identification of the associated psychopathology and the link between the psychological disorder and FAS (e.g., Reeves and Norton, 2001; Reeves et al., 2007), whereas others described the segmental and suprasegmental transformations in speech (Verhoeven et al., 2005; Haley et al., 2010). The speech characteristics are listed in **Table 2**.

All the speech characteristics in **Table 2** have been reported for patients with neurogenic FAS as well. It seems that in patients

¹The case reported by Reeves and Norton (2001) was reported again in Reeves et al. (2007; case 3) and the case reported by Poulin et al. (2007) is identical to the case reported by Roy et al. (2012, case 1). However, all the available information was used for further analyses.

TABLE 1 | Overview of the psychogenic case reports (literature review: 1907- July 2014).

Case	Age/Gender/Handedness	Medical history	Neurological, biological, physical and/or radiological examination(s)	Psychological/psychiatric affection	Accent	Comorbid speech and language disorders/symptoms	
1	Critchley, 1962 (Case 1) = Critchley, 1970 (Case 1)	49/F/NI	/	/	Post-traumatic neurosis after head injury	English→Welsh	/
2	Gurd et al., 2001	47/F/R	/	Nov. 1999: normal Doppler, normal MRI, CT: small high signal lesion in cerebellar vermis; Dec. 1999: tone, power, coordination, and reflexes in arms and legs were normal, gait disorder; MRI: several small foci of T2 hyperintensities in peripheral white matter of both frontal lobes, left inf. frontal corona radiata and left thalamus, EEG: sharp and slow waves, but no history of epilepsy; presence of oligoclonal bands in CSF	MS (?)	English (North Yorkshire) → French	/
3	Reeves and Norton, 2001 = case 3 Reeves et al., 2007	65/M/NI	Psychotic exacerbations since thirties, schizophrenia at forty, Parkinson's disease with tremor in bilateral upper extremities, hypertension	MRI scan (with contrast): normal, Blood and histological exam: normal	(Positive) schizophrenia	American English → British English	/
4	Van Borsel et al., 2005	32/F/NI	Permanent right-sided neurosensory hearing loss with sloping configuration (as of the age of 6); age 23: head trauma and whiplash injury → chronic headache; age 32: minor head trauma → hoarseness → ENT exam was normal; onset of speech problems shortly of visit to ORL; on-going psychiatric history: depression (suicidal ideation); family problems.	No motor or sensory abnormalities; coordination, gait and posture: normal; CT: normal	Psychological impact, family problems + suicidal ideation	Dutch → "awkward" accent	Mute (initially), agrammatism
5	Verhoeven et al., 2005 = Verhoeven et al., 2013, case 3	51/F/R formal test, polyglot	Disrupted speech and gait problems since 1995; wheelchair-bound; no history of developmental or psychiatric disorders	Two months after "near-accident" (1995): CT: normal; EEG: normal Repeat investigation in 2003: Gait: unsteady, wide-based, coordination, muscle tone and tendon reflexes: normal; CT and (struct.); MRI: normal; EEG; normal; laboratory studies, lumbar puncture: normal	Psychotrauma → conversion disorder 1995: conversion disorder (MMPI) 2003: DIS-Q & MMPI: near normal	Dutch (The Netherlands) → French	Paragrammatism?
6	Reeves et al., 2007, Case 1	30/M/NI	10-year history of schizophrenia,	Laboratory work-up, physical examination: normal; MRI scan: normal; EEG: normal; Blood exam: normal; SPECT: normal	Positive schizophrenia	Southern American English accent → Jamaican accent	/
7	Reeves et al., 2007, Case 2	53/F/NI	30-year history of bipolar disorder	Laboratory work-up, physical examination: all normal; MRI scan: normal; EEG: normal; Blood exam: normal; SPECT: normal	Psychosis (bipolar disorder)	American English → "European"	/

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Case	Age/Gender/Handedness	Medical history	Neurological, biological, physical and/or radiological examination(s)	Psychological/psychiatric affectation	Accent	Comorbid speech and language disorders/symptoms	
8	Poulin et al., 2007 = Roy et al., 2012	74/M/R Epilepsy between 6 and 14 years; Bipolar disease as of 1982, multiple exacerbations; FAS first mentioned in 2003; Delirium due to lithium intoxication 6 months before FAS started; Tremor; Neurosensory hypoacusia	Neurological examination: Coordination and gait: decomposition of the half-turn, slight incoordination of left arm, micrographia; Primitive reflexes: palmomental and snout reflexes present; Radiological examination: MRI (Dec. 2005): normal, though slight atrophy in left sylvian fissure; 18-FDG PET scan: diffuse hypometabolism in frontal, parietal and temporal lobes and focal deficit concerning esp. the left sylvian sulcus	Bipolar disorder; recurrent psychotic episodes with manic exacerbations	Québec French → Acadian French/ French of France/ English	Mild agrammatism (as of 2002/2003), surface agraphia, Spanish and German sounding words come to mind: not able to suppress	
9	Tsuruga et al., 2008	44/F/NI End-thirties: nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, tinnitus, tired eyes, irritations: diagnosed with autonomic imbalance; Few years later: respiratory paroxysm, experienced aphonia (few hours) (hospitalized several), after violent familial experience: aphonia (2 days), loss of appetite, Later: FAS	Laboratory work-up: liver and thyroid: mild, though undefined abnormalities; MRI, SPECT, and EEG: normal	Conversion Disorder	Japanese → Chinese	/	
10	Haley et al., 2010	36/F/NI polyglot: late bilingual (Spanish)	Admission: gait: unsteady; posture: left-sided weakness, sensory: visual blurring, altered hearing left ear, slurred speech, weakness of left side of the face, subtle weakness of left arm and leg. 10 days after symptom onset: speech impairment, trouble swallowing and abnormal sensations in the left face, arm, and leg. 5 days later (stroke specialist): symptoms worsened, FAS was established	MRI: normal Echocardiogram: moderate mitral regurgitation (also 2 years prior), Blood analysis: normal. Impression of Bell's palsy, with additional conversion disorder symptoms. Follow-up: MRI (10 days later): no abnormalities, MR angiogram: no abnormality of the brain vasculature, CSF: no MS. Over subsequent months: several relapses, discontinuous periods with less accented speech, another brain MRI and cervical MRI during relapse: normal	Conversion disorder	English → French, Spanish, Jamaican, Caribbean, African	/
11	Cottingham and Boone, 2010	36/F/R Several hospitalizations for symptoms not explicable by neurological cause (e.g., sudden hoarseness of voice)	Motor vehicle accident, CT: (head): normal. Headaches 3 days after accident, facial numbness, weakness in right arm, speech difficulties: 10 days after accident. Later: deafness to left ear. Approx. 10 days post-onset: EEG, Brain MRA, MRI: normal, neurological examination: normal, but: speech apraxia + left-sided give-way weakness (non-neurological sign), dysarthria	Minor TBI/Conversion Disorder (?)	English → Eastern European accent (3 years after accident)	Initially dysarthric- or speech apraxic-like symptoms, telegraphic speech	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Case	Age/Gender/Handedness	Medical history	Neurological, biological, physical and/or radiological examination(s)	Psychological/psychiatric affectation	Accent	Comorbid speech and language disorders/symptoms
12 Jones et al., 2011	39/F/R	Unremarkable	One month after symptom onset: sensory loss, effort-dependent inconsistencies in strength when testing extremities, gait: disturbed, fluctuations, uneconomic postures, dramatic give way weakness; positive "chair test"; speech: disrupted articulation and prosody; CT, MRI (brain + cervical), EEG: normal	Conversion Disorder	American English → Jamaican accent	Initially mute
13 Lewis et al., 2012	54/F/NI	Unremarkable	CT (brain): normal	Mania	American English → Caribbean English	/
14 Polak et al., 2013, case 1	47/M/NI	Refractory OCD for over 25 years	March 2006: 2 DBS electrodes → treatment; Pre-operative MRI and post-operative CT: no lesions	Refractory OCD (for >25 years)	Standard Dutch → Pronounced regional Dutch accent	/
15 Polak et al., 2013, case 2	65/M/NI	Refractory OCD for over 50 years	/	Refractory OCD	Regional Dutch variant → more sophisticated/formal Dutch	/

Relevant information (from left to right) includes the age, gender and handedness of the patients, their medical history, the neurological and neuroradiological exams, the psychological or psychiatric affectation, the accent, and the comorbid speech and language disorders.

considered as psychogenic, vowels are more often affected than consonants and this also seems to hold for neurogenic patients (Ingram et al., 1992; Miller et al., 2006; Katz et al., 2008; Van der Scheer et al., 2014). Moreover, the nature of the changes is different for vowels and consonants: consonants are mainly affected by substitutions, omissions and additions, whereas errors against vowels mostly consist of substitution errors, vowel lengthening, and additions.

Accents Associated with Psychogenic FAS

Table 3 shows the variety of accents associated with psychogenic FAS.

In 9 out of 15 cases (60%) the accent changed between geographical variants of the same language [cases 1, 3, 6, 7, 11–15]. In 9 cases (60%) the mother tongue was a variant of English (either American or British, or a regional variant) [cases 1–3, 6, 7, 10–13]. In four cases, other variables, such as pathological language mixing [case 5] and code switching [cases 3, 14, 15], might have created the impression of FAS.

Onset and Remission of the Accent

An acute onset of FAS occurred in 7 cases [cases 3, 6–8, 13–15]. In these cases, FAS was associated with mania [case 13], bipolar disorder [cases 7, 8], and obsessive-compulsive disorder [cases 14, 15]. In the patients with schizophrenia [3, 6] the accent change co-occurred simultaneously with a psychosis. The patients who did not suffer psychiatric symptoms, related the

onset of their FAS to a motor vehicle accident [cases 1, 11], a “near-accident” [case 5], possibility of MS [case 2], a whiplash trauma 9 years prior to consultation for FAS or after consultation of an otolaryngologist for a change of voice quality after a minor head trauma [case 4], admission to hospital for the sudden onset of sensory and gait symptoms [cases 9, 10, 12]. In 47% of the FAS cases considered psychogenic, the onset of the accent was delayed in comparison to the occurrence of the adverse life event that was held responsible for the FAS by the patients themselves [cases 2, 4, 5, 9–12]. In 5 of these cases, the patients were diagnosed with a conversion disorder [cases 5, 9–12].

In 27% of the cases ($n = 4/15$) [cases 3, 6, 7, 13], the accent resolved simultaneously with the associated psychiatric disorder. In two cases (13%) [cases 4, 10] FAS resolved spontaneously. In all other patients [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15], FAS remained present throughout follow-up. In case 5, scores on the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI; Butcher et al., 1989) and Dissociation Questionnaire-Revised (DISQ-R; Vanderlinden et al., 2009) were near the accepted mean, but the accent persisted.

Only three patients received speech-language therapy to reduce FAS [cases 4, 10, 12]. Van Borsel et al. (2005) applied auditory masking and delayed auditory feedback (see also comments of Moreno-Torres et al., 2013). However, these interventions did not resolve FAS. Case 10 received a symptomatic intervention for psychogenic voice and speech disorders (Duffy, 2005). However, progression did not transfer

TABLE 2 | Overview of the segmental and suprasegmental changes in the speech of assumed psychogenic FAS.

Segmental	Case numbers	Percentage (%) of psychogenic patients for whom speech characteristics were noted
CONSONANTS		
Substitution (manner/place/aspect)	3, 4*, 5*, 8*, 9, 10*, 11, 12*, 13*	64
Omission	2, 4*, 6, 7, 9, 10*, 11, 13*	57
Addition	2, 4*, 5*	21
Cluster reduction	4*, 13	14
Increased friction	2	7
Lengthening	2	7
VOWELS		
Substitution	3, 4*, 5*, 12*, 13*	36
Lengthening	2, 3, 8*, 10*, 12*	36
Addition	5*, 11, 12*, 13*	29
Fronting	5*, 8*, 13*	21
Monophthongization of diphthongs	2, 10*, 12*	21
Reduced contrast	10*, 13*	14
Lenition	9, 10*	14
Backing	8*, 10*	14
Omission	12*	7
Shortening	9	7
Increased tenseness	10*	7
Suprasegmental		
Abnormal intonation	3, 6, 7, 8*, 9, 10*, 11, 12*, 13*	64
Slow speech rate	5*, 8*, 10*, 11, 12*	36
Incorrect word stress	2, 4*, 5*, 10*, 11	36
Syllable-timed speech	2, 4*, 8*, 10*, 13*	36
Variable pitch	2, 10*, 12*	21
Hypernasality	10*, 11, 12*	21
Slow articulation rate (excluding pauses)	8*, 12*	14
Terminal pitch rise (errors)	7, 13*	14
Larger than normal F0 excursions	8*, 10*	14
Excessive pausing	5*, 13*	14
Fast speech rate	13*	7
Terminal pitch fall (errors)	8*	7

Cases marked by an asterisk are cases for which formal phonetic and acoustic analyses were carried out. For the remaining cases, the characteristics were noted based on perceptual (impressionistic) phonetic analysis.

to conversational speech and the accent suddenly resolved after having quit outpatient therapy for several weeks. Case 12 agreed to behavioral speech therapy as well (targeting the production of

TABLE 3 | Overview of the different accents associated with FAS.

Case	Pre-FAS accent	Newly developed accent
Case 1	British English	Welsh
Case 2	British English (North Yorkshire)	French
Case 3	American English	British English
Case 4	Dutch (Belgium)	"An awkward accent"
Case 5	Dutch (The Netherlands)	French
Case 6	Southern American English	Jamaican English
Case 7	American English	"European"
Case 8	Montréal French	Acadian French, French of France, or English
Case 9	Japanese	Chinese
Case 10	American English	Eastern European
Case 11	English	French/Spanish/Jamaican/Caribbean/African
Case 12	American English	Jamaican English
Case 13	American English	Caribbean English
Case 14	Standard Dutch (The Netherlands)	Regional variant of Dutch (The Netherlands)
Case 15	Regional Dutch (The Netherlands)	Standard Dutch (The Netherlands)

individual speech segments), but she quit after one session for reasons that were not disclosed.

For patients whose accent change *resolved* during follow-up [cases 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13], the period between accent onset and remission was about 63 days on average, i.e., 9 weeks (range: 6 days–6 months, SD: 71 days). The patient described by Reeves and Norton (2001) [case 3], was re-admitted to hospital three times and this was taken into account for the calculation of the duration. In 60% of the cases [cases 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15] the accent did *not* resolve. In these patients, investigation of the period between accent onset and last follow-up revealed that the accent persisted for 45 months on average² (range: 15 months–8 years; SD: 28 months and 2 days).

Psychodiagnostic and Neuropsychological Testing

Formal psychodiagnostic testing was carried out in three patients (see **Table 4**). In case 5, the results obtained on the MMPI-2 in 1995 showed a conversion V-pattern. The conversion V-form designates a markedly low score on the depression scale (scale D): the conversion suppresses depression, which explains lower scores on scale D. On the other hand, it is associated with increased physical sensations, thereby increasing scores on the hypochondriasis scale and hysteria scale (Leavitt, 1985). The second patient's profile elicited an elevated degree of defensiveness (K: 70) and hysteria (Hys: 61). The restructured clinical scales revealed marginally elevated scores for depression (RC2: 66) and somatic complaints (RC1: 57). The elevated scores on the hysteria scale in conjunction with the somatic complaints (although only marginally elevated) are additional arguments to suspect conversion disorder, though the typical

²The exact duration is unknown. The calculated figure is entirely dependent upon the duration of the follow-up for reported case studies.

TABLE 4 | Overview of the patients subjected to psychodiagnostic tests.

Psychodiagnostics	
Test	Case number(s)
MMPI-2 (Butcher et al., 1989)	5, 11, 12
DISQ-R (Vanderlinden et al., 2009)	5
BDI-2 (Beck et al., 1996)	12
NEO-PI-R (Costa and McCrae, 1985)	12
SCL 90-R (Derogatis, 1983)	12
STAI (Spielberger et al., 1970)	12

MMPI-2, Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-II; DISQ-R, Dissociation Questionnaire Revised; BDI-2, Beck Depression Inventory-2; NEO-PI-R, Neuroticism Extroversion Openness Personality Inventory, Revised; SCL-R, Symptoms Checklist-90-items, Revised; STAI, State Trait Anxiety Inventory.

V-pattern was not found. Although exact scores were not provided, a conversion-V profile was also found on the MMPI-2 for case 12 (code type 1-3/3-1 is generally associated with conversion disorder). Scores on the neuroticism scale of the NEO-PI-R were low, which indicates stable personality and emotions, calmness, but also a decreased reactivity to everyday situations (Nelson, 2014). The patient scored in the average range for the extraversion, agreeableness and conscientiousness scales. No mention was made of scores for openness to experience. The SCL-90-R is a “90-item self-report symptom inventory” (Derogatis and Savitz, 1999) in which the patient rates the severity of a series of psychiatric symptoms. These are grouped around nine dimensions: somatization, obsessive-compulsiveness, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism (Domino and Domino, 2006). Only one clinical score was mentioned, i.e., for the somatization scale ($T = 65$). This agrees well with the profile elicited on the MMPI-2. The STAI is a self-report scale for anxiety consisting of two 20-item scales. The patient indicates (1) how he/she feels now (*state*) and (2) how he/she feels generally (*trait*) (Lam et al., 2005). Scores on the STAI were subclinical. Finally, the BDI-2 is a self-report inventory, which consists of a series of statements concerning complaints. The patient notes how he/she feels about the statements taking into account his/her psychological status over the last week. Scores on the BDI-2 were equally sub-clinical.

Only in a small number of case studies formal neuropsychological investigations were carried out. General cognition, memory, attention, executive functioning, and language was assessed in 4 cases [cases 5, 8, 11, 12]³ (see Table 5).

In case 9, only intelligence was investigated. In cases 3, 4, 6, 7, and 10 only language testing was performed. Neuropsychological examination consisted of a variety of tests (Table 5).

Cognitive performance was “within normal limits” (p. 715, Gurd et al., 2001) for case 2 and average to above average on all tasks in case 5. In case 8, memory and attention were normal, but the patient gave evidence of difficulties with short-term

³Gurd et al. (2001) (case 2) report that “Neuropsychological examination showed verbal and performance IQs, short- and long-term memory, naming, reading and spelling skills which were within normal limits” (p. 715). However, for IQ measures and evaluation of amnesic functions, it is not clear which tests were presented.

TABLE 5 | Overview of the patients subjected to neuropsychological tests.

Neuropsychology	
Test	Case number(s)
GENERAL COGNITIVE SCREENING TESTS	
MMSE (Folstein et al., 1975),	5
CLQT (Helm-Estabrooks, 2001)	10
WRAT (Wilkinson, 1993)	11*, 12
INTELLIGENCE	
WAIS (Wechsler, 1981, 1997a)	5, 9, 11, 12
MEMORY	
WMS (Wechsler, 1991, 1997b)	5, 12
Brown Peterson Task (Brown, 1958)	8
CVLT (+learning) (Delis et al., 2000)	12
RAVLT (+learning) (Rey, 1941)	11
BVMT-R (Benedict, 1997)	12
ATTENTION, SET-SHIFTING	
Stroop task (Stroop, 1935)	5, 8, 11, 12
Ruff figural fluency (Ruff, 1988)	12
TMT (Reitan, 1958, 1992)	5, 8, 11, 12
VISUO-SPATIAL ABILITIES	
Rey complex figure (Rey, 1941)	5, 11
Judgment of line orientation (Benton et al., 1983)	5
MOTOR FUNCTIONING	
Finger tapping test (Arnold et al., 2005)	11, 12
Grooved pegboard (Kløve, 1963; Lafayette Instrument, 2002)	12
SYMPTOM VALIDITY TESTS	
Green word memory test (Green, 2005)	12
DEMENTIA SCALES	
HDS (Cole et al., 1983)	5
ADAS (Rosen et al., 1984)	5
LANGUAGE	
BNT (Kaplan et al., 2001)	3, 6, 7, 10–12
PPTT (Howard and Patterson, 1992)	8
Token Test (De Renzi and Vignolo, 1962)	4, 8, 12
BDAE (Goodglass et al., 2001).	2*, 3, 5, 10
AAT (Graetz et al., 1992: Dutch version)	4*, 5
MAE (Benton et al., 2001)	10*, 11*, 12*
SAN-TEST (Deelman et al., 1981)	4*
DO-80 (Deloche and Hannequin, 1997)	8
Picture naming via an experimental test	2
PENO (Joanette et al., 1990).	8*
Phonemic fluency (FAS) (Norms: Tombaugh et al., 1999, case 11; Benton et al., 2001: case 12; case 5: unpublished norms)	5, 11
semantic fluency (animals, transport, vegetables, clothes: unpublished norms)	5
Word/sentence reading via an experimental test	2
Word sentence spelling via an experimental test	2

MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; WAIS, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale; WMS, Wechsler Memory Scale; TMT, Trail Making Test; WRAT, Wide Range Achievement Test; CVLT, California Verbal Learning Test; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; CLQT, Cognitive Linguistic Quick Test; BVMT-R, Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised; HDS, Hierarchic Dementia Scale (HDS); ADAS, Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale; BNT, Boston Naming Test (BNT); PPTT, Pyramid and Palm Tree Test; MAE, Multilingual Aphasia (Continued)

TABLE 5 | Continued

Examination; BDAE, Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination; AAT, Akense Afasie Test (Dutch version); SAN-test, Stichting Afasie Nederland; DO-80, Test de Dénomination Orale d'Images; PENO, Protocole d'Evaluation Neuropsychologique Optimal.
 2*: possibly only two subtasks of the BDAE were administered: the non-verbal and the verbal agility test.
 4*: only written language via AAT; sentence comprehension and word retrieval (animals) SAN-Test.
 8*: letter and category fluency.
 10*: auditory word and sentence comprehension, sentence repetition, and oral and written spelling MAE.
 11*: word reading and spelling tests of the WRAT; sentence repetition task, as well as the aural and reading comprehension task MAE.
 12*: repetition skills, auditory comprehension, token task, and reading comprehension MAE.

memory (Brown Peterson Task: mean of interference scores: 42%; norm: 97.22%, SD: 4.46), as well as with attention control and executive functions (Stroop test: Stroop effect: 249", norm: 142.4", range: 88–204"; TMT-A: 61", norm: 41.3", SD: 15" and TMT-B: 253", norm: 111.4", SD: 72.2"). In case 9, results on the WAIS-R were within the normal range (VIQ = 96, PIQ = 107, and FSIQ = 101). Case 11 presented poor executive functions (Stroop test, Interference <1 pc., and TMT-B: 83", mean: 56.0, SD: 21.2), problems with attention and poor processing speed (TMT-A: 43", mean: 23.8, SD: 6.9, Stroop test A: 101", <1 pc.). Case 12 demonstrated impaired intelligence, memory, attention, executive functions and fine-motor skills: WAIS-III (FSIQ = 65, VIQ = 76, PIQ = 60); Trail Making Test (146"), Grooved Pegboard (dominant hand: 149", mean = 85", range: 48"–121", non-dominant hand: 130", mean = 101"; range: 47–152"), and Green Word Memory Test (Green Word Memory Test: immediate = 87.5, delayed = 77.5, consistency = 70.0).

Most patients in whom language was assessed, obtained average to above average results [cases 3–7, 10]. Case 2, however, had impaired oral agility as demonstrated by the BDAE (non-verbal agility: 4/12 and verbal agility: 7/12). Case 8 presented with (severely) depressed scores on phonemic and semantic category fluency (letter fluency: 5, mean: 45.46, SD: 16.4; category fluency: 14, mean: 47.85, SD: 9.8). Case 11 obtained depressed scores on most tasks evaluating speech and language (WRAT; reading: 43, pc. 6; spelling: 43, pc. 37); MAE sentence repetition (A: 2, <pc. 1 and B: 3, <pc. 1), verbal fluency (FAS): 19, pc. 2. Case 12, also demonstrated low average to impaired scores on most of the administered tasks: the BNT score was considered low average (41/60). On the MAE the following scores were obtained: repetition: 5 (impaired); auditory comprehension: 15 (borderline impaired), token test (as part of MAE): 40 (low average), and reading comprehension: 16 (borderline).

Comorbid Speech and Language Disorders

Five cases presented additional speech and/or language deficits [cases 4, 5, 8, 11, 12], apart from FAS. Case 4 (Van Borsel et al., 2005) and case 12 (Jones et al., 2011) went through a period of pre-FAS mutism. In case 4 mutism was only documented by self-report. Van Borsel et al. (2005) noted that the patient's language was characterized by grammatical anomalies. This was also the case for the patient of Poulin et al. (2007) [case 8].

Case 5 implemented French syntax in native Dutch speech. Non-fluent expressive output was characterized by mistakes

typically made by French learners of Dutch. Oral output of case 11 was initially considered as dysarthria, later as "apraxia of speech" (p. 1010). As mentioned, the patient obtained lower scores for verbal fluency (FAS), but also for sentence repetition (MAE A&B: pc. <1) and the reading and spelling tasks of the WRAT (reading: 43, pc. 6; spelling: 43, pc. 37). It could have been expected that these symptoms are related to neurological damage. Indeed, apraxia of speech is caused by structural damage to the anterior insula of the language dominant hemisphere (Dronkers, 1996). Nevertheless, contrary to expectations, repeat structural imaging of the brain (CT and MRI) did not disclose any damage. In addition, FAS was accompanied by "telegraphic speech" (irregularly deleting prepositions, for instance). In this particular case, the comorbid symptoms and the language deficits were regarded as "not credible" because the extent of the deficit did not correspond to neuroimaging findings. The patient was diagnosed with FAS of a non-organic nature because of inconsistencies in the language symptoms.

DISCUSSION

Demographic Data

Analysis of the available literature suggests that psychogenic FAS is quite rare ($n = 15/105$) (14%). During the past decade FAS has increasingly attracted the attention of the scientific community as 93% of the psychogenic FAS cases ($n = 14$) were published in a time span of only 12 years (2001–2013). The finding that there are more women with psychogenic FAS than men (67% are women, 33% are men), might be partly explained by the increased predisposition of women to several of the associated psychopathologies. Most mental disorders are also more prevalent among women than men (see also: World Health Organization, 2014). For schizophrenia, prevalence figures are esteemed to be equal, irrespective of gender, though symptoms occur earlier in men (Angermeyer and Kühnz, 1988; Saha et al., 2005; National Institute of Mental Health, 2015). On the other hand, the analysis of the neurogenic population revealed a similar demographic distribution: 68.6% of the authentic (neurogenic) FAS cases were women ($n = 59/86$). Interestingly, Baker (2003) points out that it should also be taken into account that women are twice as likely to seek medical attention than men. It thus seems that the explanation for this demographic distribution remains speculative.

Associated Psychopathologies

Several different psychopathologies have been associated with FAS. In patients with schizophrenia, all FAS episodes *co-occurred* with a discontinuation of anti-psychotic drugs, which caused exacerbations [cases 3, 6]. In the bipolar patients FAS also co-occurred with positive symptoms [cases 7, 8]. Reeves et al. (2007) put forward the hypothesis of a direct link between the manic/psychotic exacerbations and FAS in their patients via a Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987). They also suggested that FAS could have been related to a temporary disruption of the inhibition of the bilateral superior temporal gyri (STG) during exacerbations. The STG is inhibited in healthy controls when the left dorsolateral PFC is activated

913 for word generation. It is hypothesized that FAS may have been
914 caused by the intermittent suppressed neural circuitry.

915 Moreno-Torres et al. (2013) observed that the dopaminergic
916 system may be disrupted in FAS patients. The intake of
917 dopamine antagonists (olanzapine, risperidone) in case 3
918 and 6 could have restored the neurotransmitter balance
919 and diminish the FAS. Particularly in schizophrenic patients,
920 the so-called “dopaminergic hypothesis” (Meltzer and Stahl,
921 1976; McCutcheon and Stone, 2015) agrees well with this
922 theory. This hypothesis claims that positive symptoms in
923 schizophrenia can be reduced by the intake of dopamine
924 antagonists or dopamine D2-receptor blockers. It has also been
925 shown that modulation of the dopaminergic system influences
926 the functionality of the (pre)fronto-striato-pallidal-thalamic
927 network, which is hypothesized by Reeves and Norton (2001) to
928 be implicated in the accent change, and has been related to the
929 occurrence of psychosis (Honey et al., 2003).

930 The symptoms of case 13 might be explained along the same
931 lines, as excess dopamine transmission has been suspected to
932 incite manic symptoms (Swerdlow and Koob, 1987; Cookson,
933 2013). Nevertheless, the pathophysiology of both psychiatric
934 disorders is characterized by subtle differences. In schizophrenia,
935 abnormal activity occurs in the striatum and the prefrontal
936 cortex, whereas in mania the activity may be located more toward
937 the dorsal nigrostriatal pathways (Cookson, 2013). Nevertheless,
938 Cookson (2013) reported that antipsychotic drugs such as
939 risperidone, and olanzapine (dopamine antagonists, and more
940 specifically the ones administered to the schizophrenic FAS
941 cases: case 3 and 6) work well on manic symptoms, such
942 as pressured speech. The speech of case 13 was marked by
943 excessive pressure, increased speed, loudness and forcefulness.
944 The patient’s FAS resolved simultaneously with resolution of
945 mania after pharmacological treatment.

946 In case 8, a psychiatrist related the accent change and
947 sudden Spanish and German sounding words to a psychological
948 problem at a subconscious level. Poulin et al. (2007) performed
949 a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET scan which demonstrated metabolic changes
950 in the area of the left insular and anterior temporal cortex
951 and a diffuse hypoperfusion affecting the frontal, parietal, and
952 temporal lobes bilaterally. MRI of the brain showed a slight
953 asymmetrical atrophy. All imaging was performed in euthymic
954 state. The possibility that both the language and psychological
955 disorder were consistent with the neuroradiological findings was
956 considered. However, the alterations at a linguistic level remain
957 odd, even in the light of the attested neuroradiological findings.
958 For instance, the output of the patient—contrary to what is
959 expected in cases of agrammatism—was fluent, and despite a
960 hypoperfusion affecting the insula, articulation was perceived as
961 normal in every respect. There was no sign of apraxia of speech,
962 dysarthria-, or aphasic-like symptoms. All of the investigated
963 linguistic functions were normal, except for a deficit in letter and
964 category fluency.

965 Case 14 and 15 suffered from refractory OCD and were treated
966 by means of deep brain stimulation (DBS). They both developed
967 hypomanic behavior and started experiencing accent changes
968 afterwards. The hypothesis of FAS due to an undetected lesion
969 induced by the electrode implantation was excluded, as the accent

970 only developed *after* the actual stimulation by the electrode
971 and post-operative CT confirmed the absence of any additional
972 structural brain damage. Furthermore, Polak et al. (2013) argue
973 that lesions caused by DBS are smaller than those generally
974 associated with FAS, including the peri-sylvian area, (pre-)motor
975 area, and insula of the language dominant hemisphere. However,
976 dysfunction of the previously mentioned cortico-striato-pallidal-
977 thalamic loop has frequently been suspected to be the pathogenic
978 mechanism behind OCD, and the function of this circuit is
979 altered when the nucleus accumbens is targeted for DBS.

980 “Hysteria,” or “hysterical reaction,” the term Whitaker (1982)
981 used as an exclusion criterion for FAS, is an outdated term for
982 “conversion disorder” [cases 5, 9–12]. Conversion disorder has
983 been subsumed under the concept of “*hysterical neuroses*” in
984 the DSM-II [American Psychiatric Association (APA), 1968].
985 According to Aronson and Bless (2011) a conversion reaction
986 can affect any system requiring sensory or voluntary motor
987 control and hence, also voice and speech. DSM-IV-TR [American
988 Psychiatric Association (APA), 2000] criteria allow for such an
989 interpretation as well, although the concept has frequently been
990 the object of debate and is regarded insufficiently clearly defined
991 to allow for a conclusive diagnosis (e.g., Delis and Wetter,
992 2007; Stone et al., 2011). In all psychogenic FAS patients with
993 conversion disorder or those patients for whom the hypothesis of
994 a conversion disorder was raised, the shift in accent was never the
995 “first” conversion symptom to occur: all case studies report more
996 general physical discomforts that *preceded* the FAS. Especially
997 gait and balance disturbance [cases 5, 9, 10–12] occurred but also
998 a range of sensory problems including tinnitus [case 9], left-sided
999 weakness affecting face and arm [case 10], blurred vision [case
1000 10], altered hearing [case 10], abnormal sensations in arms and
1001 legs [case 10], facial numbness [case 11], weakness in the right
1002 arm [case 11], deafness to the left ear [case 11], give-way weakness
1003 [case 12], and a right-side sensory loss [case 12].

1004 In cases 2 and 4 an associated psychological disorder was not
1005 obvious, rather there was a range of clinical observations and
1006 findings from radiological and neurophysiological investigations,
1007 which suggested a potential psychogenic origin of FAS. Gurd
1008 et al.’s patient (2001) [2] was qualified as “psychogenic,” even
1009 though CSF analyses revealed oligoclonal bands, a bio-marker
1010 of Multiple Sclerosis (MS) and EEG revealed transient spikes
1011 over the left temporal lobe. T2 hyper-intensities were found on
1012 MRI (judged clinically insignificant). It is therefore questionable
1013 whether patients suffering from MS (Gurd et al., 2001; Villaverde-
1014 González et al., 2003; Bakker et al., 2004; Chanson et al.,
1015 2009) really develop FAS as a consequence of their neurological
1016 disorder or due to accompanying psychological distress. Grazioli
1017 et al. (2008) note that over 50% of the MS patients suffer from
1018 depression. Case 2 obtained borderline results on the Hospital
1019 Anxiety and Depression Scale (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983). The
1020 case of Bakker et al. (2004) was noted to have very “labile
1021 emotions” (p. 271). The case of Villaverde-González et al. (2003)
1022 had a history of depression as well as an elevated irritability
1023 (p.1035). For the other patients, psychological well-being was not
1024 indicated.

1025 Van Borsel et al.’s (2005) patient [case 4] had no demonstrable
1026 lesions on CT, and displayed no symptoms apart from a change

of accent and some articulatory and grammatical difficulties. She had sustained a head trauma and whiplash 9 years earlier and had suffered from chronic headaches ever since. Her accent change had occurred after a visit to the otolaryngologist, approximately 1 month after she had suffered another minor head trauma. Van Borsel et al. (2005) diagnosed the speech disorder as non-organic FAS because of a psychiatric history (depression and suicidal ideation) which was related to marital problems, a completely normal neurolinguistic assessment apart from mild grammatical anomalies, articulatory difficulties, and an accent change, the absence of an organic deficit, and a spontaneous resolution of the accent 5 months after the initial visit.

Case 11 suffered a minor head trauma as well but developed FAS only 3 years later, associated with intermittent, atypical expressive language deficits, and apraxic as well as dysarthric symptoms. Initially, she also claimed that she was deaf to her left ear, but a hearing loss was formally ruled out. The patient displayed an “inconsistent” agrammatism, characterized by deletions of function words. She would use and subsequently erase the same words in a series of successive utterances. She also made other inconceivable mistakes, such as splitting numbers into digits. Given the high degree of automaticity of such numerical output, these errors are highly unlikely to occur in the absence of other language deficits. Since she passed most of the symptom validity tests, she was considered not to be feigning or malingering and was ultimately diagnosed with conversion disorder.

Segmental and Suprasegmental Characteristics

Patients with FAS of an assumed psychogenic etiology present with a variety of segmental and suprasegmental errors. At the segmental level, the image more or less corresponds to what is generally found in neurogenic patients, including a dissociation between vowels and consonants (e.g., Katz et al., 2008). At the suprasegmental level, slow speech rate is often seen [cases 5, 8, 10–12]. Slow speech rate can be linked to slow processing speed, which may occur as a consequence of psychological and psychiatric impairment (e.g., depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia). Analysis of (psychogenic) FAS-related segmental and suprasegmental errors has been predominantly impressionistic, except for a few cases in which (acoustic) measurements (e.g., fundamental frequency, speech intensity, speech, and articulation rate) were also included [cases 5, 8, 10, 12, 13]. Deviant intonation [cases 3, 6–13] is a function of pitch variation. Intonation was off in most patients with a reduced speech rate [cases 8, 10–12], but also in patients who spoke at a normal or even fast pace [case 13]. In four cases [cases 3, 6, 7, 13], deviant intonation may be associated with a psychopathology. In schizophrenia [cases 3, 6], difficulties with receptive affective prosody have been described (Rossell et al., 2013). However, Hoekert et al. (2007) state that dysfunctional expressive affective prosody also qualifies the speech profile. The manic patient of Lewis et al. (2012) demonstrated fast speech [FAS: 229 wpm; base line speech (BL): 173.9 wpm; average speech rate: 190 wpm based on (Yorkston et al., 1996)] and a

pitch level that was considerably higher during FAS than during the baseline condition (conversational speech; FAS: 265.63 Hz, BL: 160.56 Hz; average F0 for a woman: 160–225 Hz based on Baken, 1987; Titze, 1994) (see also: Hanwella and de Silva, 2011). A higher speech rate was negatively correlated with the size of the vowel space, i.e., a higher speech rate leads to a more compressed vowel space in non-brain damaged subjects, which was exactly what Lewis et al. (2012) found in their patient. This compression could explain the reduced intelligibility of speech in comparison to the BL conversation sample (FAS: 73% vs. BL: 100% intelligible): contrasts between vowels diminish and vowel duration is shortened (Chen et al., 1983; Turner et al., 1995; Weinrich and Simpson, 2014).

Accent Change

The overview of the different accents of the analyzed cases shows that there does not seem to be any consistency. However, some interesting observations can be made. Firstly, it is striking that in 7 out of 15 cases (47%) the accent changed from the standard language variant to a regional one, or the other way round. In 9 cases (60%) the mother tongue was some variant of English: either British English [cases 1, 2] or American English [cases 3, 6, 7, 10–13]. FAS is frequently documented in Anglo-saxon media⁴, as such the syndrome is more commonly known among lay people. For some cases more than *just* the accent gave the listeners the impression of a very specific foreign accent: language mixing (e.g., case 6) and code switching [case 3, 14, 15] were also observed. Code switching can be defined as switching between language varieties or registers within a single conversation. For case 3, this involved the use of words such as “*blokes*” instead of the usual American variant “*friend*.” Case 14 occasionally⁵ used a dialectal variant of Dutch while case 15 vocabulary typical for a more formal register and used words such as “*public toilet*” instead of the more informal: “*loo*.” Polak et al.’s (2013) patient’s alterations could be related to DBS, as such linguistic modifications can occur after stimulation. Verhoeven et al.’s (2005) 51-year-old female patient (case 5) occasionally used French words, made literal translations from French to Dutch, and adapted syntactic structures resembling Dutch of second language learners. It has to be mentioned that this patient had been a teacher of Dutch in a French company based in Holland and this may have rendered her very conscious of mistakes generally made by French learners of Dutch. These symptoms constitute another point of difference between the neurogenic and psychogenic patient population, as the insertion

⁴Madlen, Davies, “The woman with Foreign Accent Syndrome: Mother goes to bed with broad Staffordshire accent and wakes up sounding POLISH,” *MailOnline*, October 2nd 2014, accessed on March 23rd, 2015, <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-2778297/The-woman-Foreign-Accent-Syndrome-Mother-goes-bed-broad-Staffordshire-accent-wakes-sounding-POLISH.html> “Embarrassing bodies, Conditions: Foreign Accent Syndrome,” *channel4embarrassingillnesses.com*, accessed on February 2nd, 2015; <http://www.channel4embarrassingillnesses.com/conditions/foreign-accent-syndrome/> Thomas, Emily, ‘Sarah Colwill Speaks Out About Foreign Accent Syndrome In BBC Documentary “The Woman Who Woke Up Chinese”’, *Huffingtonpost.com*, April 4th, 2013; accessed on 23rd March, 2015; http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/09/04/sarah-colwill-n_3869077.html

⁵no examples were provided.

of foreign words or regional expressions was previously only noted in a case of Ryalls and Whiteside (2006: insertion of British equivalents of American expressions) and a case of Laures-Gore et al. (2006, case 2: insertion of Spanish words in English speech). Both case reports, however, represent instances of mixed FAS (see also Verhoeven and Mariën, 2010). “Pure” neurogenic FAS patients who demonstrated such lexical excursions have not been identified.

Psychodiagnostic and Neuropsychological Testing

Only three patients were tested with formal psychodiagnostic test batteries. Only in two patients [case 5, 12] the pattern was significant for a conversion disorder. In case 11, somatization and hysteria were (slightly) elevated and a diagnosis of conversion disorder was agreed upon based on the inexplicable symptom course and the presence of symptoms which could not be explained on the basis of neurological impairment (apart from the FAS, sensory and motor problems equally occurred: see also Section Associated Psychopathologies). For case 9, who underwent a psychodiagnostic interview, family conflict was regarded to have had such a profound effect on the patient’s mental state, that the symptoms could be related to psychological problems and a childhood trauma.

Only for case 11, additional symptom validity tests were administered. Incorporation of these tests in psychodiagnostic testing is always recommended, not only when secondary gains are at stake [case 11], but also when the impact of traumatic experiences or psychological discomforts are (possibly) downplayed (Cima et al., 2003; Bush et al., 2005). In these cases, it is important to interpret neurocognitive test results with caution, as these too can be consciously manipulated (see also: “cogniform condition/disorder”: a recently developed concept within the somatoform disorders; described by Delis and Wetter, 2007).

With respect to neuropsychological testing, results were diverse for scores on tasks evaluating memory, intelligence, executive functions and attention. Three out of the five patients diagnosed with conversion disorder had poor memory and/or attention and executive functions [cases 8, 11, 12] and in one instance, deficits in fine motor skills were also observed [case 12]. Deficits in learning and memory, but also in executive function, attention, processing skills and word finding have been associated with somatoform disorders (Niemi et al., 2002; Trivedi, 2006; Demir et al., 2013). Especially, attention and executive functions are often impaired in this patient group. One of the hypotheses that have been raised to explain cognitive impairment in this group is that these deficits relate to frontal brain dysfunction. However, Wall et al. (2013) point out that the studies claiming an association between cognitive deficits and conversion disorder did not include symptom validity tests in their test protocol for patient selection and therefore no generalizations can be made. Still, the authors argue that the incidence of neurologically inexplicable cognitive deficits in patients with conversion disorder is quite high. It remains unclear whether there is a fixed set of neurocognitive deficits specific to this population, or, as others argue, whether the deficits are related to the associated psychiatric distress (Lamberty, 2008).

Remission of the FAS

In the neurogenic population a late onset of FAS has only been noted when the FAS was “masked” by other speech or language disorders (mutism, Broca aphasia, apraxia of speech, or dysarthria). Apart from a pre-FAS muteness [cases 4, 12] and apraxic/dysarthric-like symptoms in one case [case 11], FAS was never “masked” by preceding speech/language deficits in current group. Hence, a delayed onset might be indicative of a psychogenic origin. For 27% of the investigated patients ($n = 4/15$), FAS resolved simultaneously with the remission of the related psychopathology [cases 3, 6, 7, 13]. In those cases, FAS developed after psychosis or after a (hypo)manic attack and was associated with a sudden withdrawal of neuroleptic drugs, or an unbalanced drug intake. In two cases (13%), FAS resolved spontaneously [cases 4, 10]. Only three patients received speech-language therapy in order to reduce the FAS [cases 4, 10, 12], and case 11 received speech-language therapy before the accent appeared. Case 10 received the symptomatic speech therapy as proposed by Duffy (2005). According to the authors, the patient occasionally managed to accurately realize the target items, though she herself did not embrace her progress. Delayed auditory feedback and auditory masking did not improve the speech deficits in the patient reported by Van Borsel et al. (2005), although this approach has been advocated by other researchers as well (González-Álvarez et al., 2003; Moreno-Torres et al., 2013). Butcher et al. (2007) point out that there is a lack of evidence-based treatment strategies for psychogenic speech and language disorders, and that this is directly related to the uncertainty and lack of confidence on the part of the speech therapist to diagnose a disorder of psychogenic origin. To the best of our knowledge, no large-scale study has ever been carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of a treatment for psychogenic speech disorders.

Comorbid Speech and Language Deficits

Table 1 shows that two patients [cases 4, 12] were mute before the onset of FAS. Psychogenic mutism is well-recognized [Salfield, 1950; DSM-V: American Psychiatric Association (APA), 2013]. For case 4, the mutism can be related to the impact of psychological issues (depression, suicidal ideation) as well as to severe anxiety problems (permanent fear that the patient’s son might develop Huntington disease). Case 12 was diagnosed with a conversion disorder. Mutism has previously been diagnosed in patients with conversion disorder and, in those specific cases, it is also referred to as “conversion mutism” (Rothbaum and Foa, 1991; Aggarwal et al., 2010).

In three cases, language was also characterized by agrammatic output [4, 8, 11]. McKenna and Oh (2005) note that Karl Kleist as early as 1914, used both the terms agrammatism (non-fluent, as in Broca-like speech; mostly seen in catatonic patients) and paragrammatism (fluent, more as in Wernicke-like speech; mostly seen in paranoid patients) in a psychiatric context. In 1976, Norman Geschwind described the case of a patient with a “hysterical pseudo-agrammatism” (Geschwind, 1976). The patient had been locked up in prison for passing bad checks, after which he suddenly developed a strange speech disorder and was admitted to a mental institution. What struck Geschwind

1255 was that the patient produced agrammatic speech at a normal
 1256 rate in combination with stuttering behavior, a combination of
 1257 symptoms, which according to Geschwind was “unique” (p. 81)
 1258 and very unlike what is seen in agrammatic aphasic patients. In
 1259 1983, Levy and Jankovic published an experiment, in which they
 1260 induced a (placebo) conversion reaction in a female patient in
 1261 her mid-twenties. The researchers set up a double-dissociation
 1262 experiment: first, the patient received a saline injection, but she
 1263 was told it contained phenytoin. Later, she received the phenytoin
 1264 injection, but this time she was told it contained “a neutral
 1265 substance.” The patient’s neurological symptoms worsened after
 1266 each explicitly mentioned “raise” in phenytoin, as did her
 1267 scores on the various neurolinguistic exams (among others:
 1268 the BDAE; Goodglass and Kaplan, 1972). Her speech became
 1269 slower, (moderately) slurred and hypophonic. She made several
 1270 literal paraphasias, used a telegraphic style in repetitions
 1271 and spontaneous speech, and employed overgeneralizations
 1272 in picture naming. After the medicine was told to “have
 1273 worn off” completely, neurolinguistic testing demonstrated only
 1274 one (!) naming error. De Letter et al. (2012) reported three
 1275 cases with (non-fluent) agrammatism, overgeneralizations, and
 1276 paraphasias which could not be attributed to an underlying
 1277 organic cerebral pathology. All three patients presented with
 1278 psychiatric conditions: case 1 suffered from bipolar disorder,
 1279 case 2 had a “manipulative personality” (p. 877), and case 3
 1280 had quite an extensive psychiatric history marked by mood
 1281 swings, depression, and aggressiveness. All patients produced
 1282 non-fluent speech, characterized by excessively long pauses.
 1283 Furthermore, the patients demonstrated hypophonia, persevered
 1284 in their errors, and spoke with a reduced speech rate. As was
 1285 the case for the patient of Levy and Jankovic (1983) the patients
 1286 never produced frustrated reactions and never attempted self-
 1287 correction. For De Letter et al. (2012) the fluctuating language
 1288 problems and neurological symptoms were the primary reasons
 1289 for considering the speech/language problems of their patients
 1290 as psychogenic, although they demonstrated organic anomalies.
 1291 They argue that “the presence of a language disorder in patients
 1292 with organic cerebral disease cannot demonstrate causation (e.g.,
 1293 Whitlock, 1967)” (p. 876).

1294 Van Borsel et al. (2005) explicitly argues that “grammatical
 1295 anomalies [...] did not conform to the pattern of agrammatism
 1296 typical of Broca’s aphasia or paragrammatism as seen in
 1297 Wernicke’s aphasia” (p. 424). In case 8, the agrammatism was
 1298 equally noted in a context of otherwise well-articulated, fluent
 1299 speech. However, apart from verbal fluency deficits (category and
 1300 letter fluency) in case 8, there were no other notable deficits
 1301 that characterized the neurolinguistic profile of most of these
 1302 agrammatic patients. For case 11, it was mentioned that the
 1303 patient had an agrammatism that was typologically different from
 1304 Broca-aphasia (Kean, 1977, 1985): e.g., the patient was fluent
 1305 and speech was not consistently agrammatic as she was able
 1306 to rephrase sentences, and use initially omitted prepositions or
 1307 verbs.

1308 The case described by Cottingham and Boone (2010) [case 11]
 1309 also presented with dysarthria-like symptoms and a suspected
 1310 apraxia of speech, for which no structural lesions were seen
 1311 on CT or MRI. Hence, the speech and language symptoms of

1312 their patient were considered as “non-credible.” There are other
 1313 reports of patients demonstrating similar incredible language
 1314 symptoms. Recently, a report of De Witte and Mariën (2015)
 1315 observed inexplicable post-operative language symptoms and
 1316 considered them as psychogenic in a 28-year-old male patient,
 1317 who had undergone awake surgery for the removal of a tumor
 1318 in the left anterior inferior temporal gyrus. Post-operatively, the
 1319 patient was able to repeat, read, write, name high and middle
 1320 frequency words but auditory comprehension and naming of
 1321 low frequency words were severely impaired and he displayed
 1322 inconsistent comprehension deficits. It was noted that results
 1323 on the CES-D (Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression;
 1324 Eaton et al., 2004) and STAI (Spielberger et al., 1983) were
 1325 higher than the cut-off, indicating a higher risk for depression or
 1326 anxiety disorder. De Witte and Mariën (2015) hypothesize that
 1327 the symptoms of their patient were non-organic because of the
 1328 patient’s sensitivity to stress and depression, the atypical (course
 1329 of the) symptoms, and the fact that, despite the comprehension
 1330 deficits, the patient had very good insight in the disorder
 1331 as his aunt suffered from vascular aphasia. If the symptoms
 1332 themselves, or the course of the symptoms, cannot be explained
 1333 by attested neurological deficits, the possibility of a psychogenic
 1334 etiology should at least be considered (see also: Baumgartner,
 1335 1999).

1336 The case reported by Verhoeven et al. (2005) [case 5],
 1337 presented with a form of “pseudo-paragrammatism.” This
 1338 patient’s speech was characterized by mistakes typically made
 1339 by French learners of Dutch. The patient did not speak in a
 1340 telegram style speech, nor did she omit function words. She
 1341 did, however, change the syntax in such a way that it no
 1342 longer corresponded to what could be expected in her native
 1343 language. She used French grammar in Dutch discourse, but
 1344 not when speaking English. Paragrammatic speech is generally
 1345 fluent, and marked by complex sentences which contain function
 1346 words, verbs (also finite ones), nouns, in short: all elements
 1347 required for the construction of a well-formed sentence are
 1348 present, but the speakers do not apply the grammatical rules as
 1349 expected.

1351 SHORTCOMINGS AND LIMITATIONS

1352 The results of this review should be interpreted with caution.
 1353 The scarcity of comparable measures characterizing the case
 1354 reports compelled us to limit the quantitative analysis of
 1355 FAS. With a view to future diagnostics, it is hoped that
 1356 linguistic manifestations, medical findings, medical history, and
 1357 psychiatric symptoms are documented in great detail, in order
 1358 to enable a reliable FAS diagnosis and suitable therapeutic
 1359 interventions.

1361 CONCLUSION

1362 This paper explored psychogenic FAS as a subtype of FAS.
 1363 The following conclusions can be drawn: firstly, psychogenic
 1364 FAS is related to the presence of a psychiatric or psychological
 1365 disturbance in the absence of demonstrable neurological damage
 1366 or an organic condition that might explain the accent. Secondly,
 1367
 1368

1369 psychogenic FAS occurs more in women than men, in an
 1370 age range which is likely to be prone to depression and
 1371 mental problems (25–49 years). Thirdly, psychogenic FAS is
 1372 characterized by both suprasegmental and segmental changes.
 1373 A deviant intonation (variable pitch) and a slow speech and
 1374 articulation rate are the most typical prosodic features. At a
 1375 segmental level, vowels are more affected than consonants.
 1376 Future research should report on segmental and suprasegmental
 1377 changes in as much detail as possible, in order to aid diagnosis
 1378 based on semiological distinctions between neurogenic and
 1379 psychogenic FAS. Fourthly, the remission of FAS seems to be
 1380 related to resolution of comorbid positive psychiatric symptoms.
 1381 Fifthly, psychodiagnostic testing—including symptom validity
 1382 tests—is highly recommended with a view to suspected
 1383 psychogenic FAS; not only in view of adequate therapy, but
 1384 also for the interpretation of cognitive deficits, which may be
 1385 aggravated as well. Sixthly, patients with psychogenic FAS often
 1386 demonstrate linguistic features in speech and language that
 1387 are not consistent with neurogenic speech/language disorders,
 1388 e.g., in psychogenic cases, FAS can co-occur with a form of
 1389 isolated “pseudo-” agrammatism in unaffected fluent speech
 1390 (different from agrammatism seen in non-fluent aphasic
 1391 patients) and paragrammatism. Pre-FAS mutism has also been
 1392 attested. Furthermore, language often shows code switching
 1393 and language mixing which rarely occurs in polyglot aphasic
 1394 patients.

1395 REFERENCES

- 1399 Aggarwal, A., Disnesh, D. S., Kumar, R., and Sharma, R. C. (2010). Mutism as
 1400 the presenting symptom: Three case reports and selective review of literature.
 1401 *Indian J. Psychol. Med.* 32, 61–64. doi: 10.4103/0253-7176.70542
- 1402 American Psychiatric Association (APA) (1968). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
 1403 of Mental Disorders II*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- 1404 American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
 1405 of Mental Disorders (4th Edn. Text Reviewed)*. Washington, DC: American
 1406 Psychiatric Association.
- 1407 American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
 1408 of Mental Disorders V*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- 1409 Angermeyer, M. C., and Kühn, L. (1988). Gender differences in age at onset
 1410 of schizophrenia. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 237, 351–364. doi:
 1411 10.1007/BF00380979
- 1412 Arnold, G., Boone, K. B., Lu, P., Dean, A., Wen, J., Nitch, S., et al. (2005). Sensitivity
 1413 and specificity of finger tapping test scores for the detection of suspect effort.
 1414 *Clin. Neuropsychol.* 19, 105–120. doi: 10.1080/13854040490888567
- 1415 Aronson, A. E., and Bless, D. M. (1990). *Clinical Voice Disorders, 3rd Edn.* New
 1416 York, NY: Thieme.
- 1417 Aronson, A. E., and Bless, D. M. (2011). *Clinical Voice Disorders, 4th Edn.* New
 1418 York, NY: Thieme.
- 1419 Baken, R. J. (1987). *Clinical Measurement of Speech and Voice*. London: Taylor &
 1420 Francis.
- 1421 Baker, J. (2003). Psychogenic voice disorders and traumatic stress experience:
 1422 a discussion paper with two case reports. *J. Voice* 17, 308–318. doi:
 1423 10.1067/S0892-1997(03)00015-8
- 1424 Bakker, J. I., Apeldoorn, S., and Metz, L. M. (2004). Foreign accent syndrome
 1425 in a patient with multiple sclerosis. *Can. J. Neurol. Sci.* 31, 271–272. doi:
 1426 10.1017/S0317167100053956
- 1427 Baumgartner, J. M. (1999). “Acquired Psychogenic Stuttering,” in *Acquired
 1428 Psychogenic Stuttering, 2nd Edn.*, ed R. Curlee (New York, NY: Thieme Medical
 1429 Publishers), 269–288.

1429 Future research should work toward validation of a set
 1430 of criteria for psychogenic FAS via an extensive comparison
 1431 with the neurogenic cognate. Moreover, in view of an efficient
 1432 therapeutic guidance and clinical diagnosis, future research
 1433 should focus on the treatment of non-organic *speech and
 1434 language* disorders in large populations. We believe that
 1435 a combination therapy focusing on the cognitive-behavioral
 1436 problems on the one hand, and the speech and language deficits
 1437 on the other, may be beneficial in this population. The intricate
 1438 symptomatology often gives proof of overlapping cognitive,
 1439 psychological and speech problems, and the FAS is interpreted as
 1440 an (indirect or direct) emanation of the underlying psychological
 1441 disturbances.

1442 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

1443 Conception and design: SK, PM, EDW, JV; acquisition of data:
 1444 SK, PM, EDW, JV; analysis and interpretation of data: SK, PM;
 1445 drafting the manuscript: SK and PM; critical manuscript revision:
 1446 all authors; and final manuscript approval: SK and PM on behalf
 1447 of all authors.

1448 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1449 EDW is a post-doctoral research fellow of the Research
 1450 Foundation—Flanders (FWO).

- 1451 Baumgartner, J., and Duffy, J. R. (1997). Psychogenic stuttering in adults
 1452 with and without neurologic disease. *J. Med. Speech Lang. Pathol.* 5,
 1453 75–95.
- 1454 Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., and Brown, G. K. (1996). *Beck Depression Inventory-II
 1455 Manual*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- 1456 Benedict, R. H. B. (1997). *Brief Visuospatial Memory Test Revised*. Odessa, FL:
 1457 Psychological Assessment Resources Inc.
- 1458 Benton, A. L., Hamsher, K., and Sivan, A. B. (2001). *Multilingual Aphasia
 1459 Examination, 4th Edn.* Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- 1460 Benton, A. L., Hamsher, K., Varney, N. R., and Spreen, O. (1983). *Contributions
 1461 to Neuropsychological Assessment: A Clinical Manual*. New York, NY: Oxford
 1462 University Press.
- 1463 Brown, J. (1958). Some tests of the decay theory of immediate memory. *Q. J. Exp.
 1464 Psychol.* 10, 12–21. doi: 10.1080/17470215808416249
- 1465 Bush, S. S., Ruff, R. M., Troster, A. I., Barth, J. T., Koffler, S. P., Pliskin, N. H., et al.
 1466 (2005). Symptom validity assessment: Practice issues and medical necessity:
 1467 NAN Policy & Planning Committee. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* 20, 419–426. doi:
 1468 10.1016/j.acn.2005.02.002
- 1469 Butcher, J. N., Dahlstrom, W. G., Graham, J. R., Tellegen, A. M., and Kaemmer,
 1470 B. (1989). *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 (MMPI-2): Manual
 1471 for Administration and Scoring*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota
 1472 Press.
- 1473 Butcher, P., Elias, A., and Cavalli, L. (2007). *Understanding and Treating
 1474 Psychogenic Voice Disorder. A CBT Framework*. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons
 1475 Ltd.
- 1476 Chanson, J. B., Kremer, S., Blanc, F., Marescaux, C., Namer, I. J., and de Seze, J.
 1477 (2009). Foreign accent syndrome as a first sign of multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler.*
 1478 15, 1123–1125. doi: 10.1177/1352458509106611
- 1479 Chen, F. R., Zue, V. W., Picheny, M. A., Durlach, N. I., and Braid, L. D. (1983).
 1480 “Speaking clearly: Acoustic characteristics of intelligibility of stop consonants,”
 1481 in *Working Papers II, Speech Communication Group*, Vol. II, ed Research
 1482 Laboratory of Electronics (Cambridge, MA: Speech Communication Group),
 1483 1–8.

- 1483 Cima, M., Merckelbach, H., Hollnack, S., Butt, C., Kremer, K., Schellbach-
1484 Matties, R., et al. (2003). The other side of malingering: supernormality. *Clin.*
1485 *Neuropsychol.* 17, 235–243. doi: 10.1076/clin.17.2.235.16507
- 1486 Cole, M. G., Dastoor, D. P., and Koszycki, D. (1983). The hierarchic dementia scale.
1487 *J. Clin. Exp. Gerontol.* 5, 219–234.
- 1488 Cookson, J. (2013). Dopamine hypothesis of mania. *J. Mood Disord.* 3(Suppl. 1),
1489 S1–S3.
- 1490 Costa, P. T. Jr., and McCrae, R. R. (1985). *The NEO Personality Inventory Manual*.
1491 Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- 1492 Cottingham, M. E., and Boone, K. B. (2010). Non-credible language deficits
1493 following mild traumatic brain injury. *Clin. Neuropsychol.* 24, 1006–1025. doi:
1494 10.1080/13854046.2010.481636
- 1495 Critchley, M. (1962). “Regional ‘accent,’ demotic speech, and Aphasia,” in *Livre*
1496 *Jubilairre Docteur Ludo Van Bogaert* (Bruxelles: Les Editions Acta Medica
1497 Belgica), 182–191.
- 1498 Critchley, M. (1970). *Aphasiology and Other Aspects of Language*. London: Edward
1499 Arnold.
- 1500 De Letter, M., Van Borsel, J., Penen, K., Hemelsoet, D., Vervae, A., Meurs, A.,
1501 et al. (2012). Non-organic language disorders: three case reports. *Aphasiology*
1502 26, 867–879. doi: 10.1080/02687038.2012.655705
- 1503 De Renzi, E., and Vignolo, L. A. (1962). The token test: A sensitive test
1504 to detect receptive disturbances in aphasics. *Brain* 85, 665–678. doi:
1505 10.1093/brain/85.4.665
- 1506 De Witte, E., and Mariën, P. (2015). Non-organic language deficits following
1507 awake brain surgery: a case report. *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg.* 12, 11–13. doi:
1508 10.1016/j.clineuro.2014.12.012
- 1509 Deelman, B. G., Koning-Haanstra, M., Liebrand, W. B. G., and Van Den Burg,
1510 W. (1981). *SAN Test. Een Afasietest voor Auditief Taalbegrip en Mondeling*
1511 *Taalgebruik*. Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- 1512 Delis, D. C., Kramer, J. H., Kaplan, E., and Ober, B. A. (2000). *California Verbal*
1513 *Learning Test, 2nd Edn.* San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- 1514 Delis, D. C., and Wetter, S. R. (2007). Cogniform disorder and cogniform
1515 condition: proposed diagnoses for excessive cognitive symptoms. *Arch. Clin.*
1516 *Neuropsychol.* 22, 589–604. doi: 10.1016/j.acn.2007.04.001
- 1517 Deloche, G., and Hannequin, D. (1997). *Test de Dénomination Orale d’Images-DO*
1518 *80*. Paris: Éditions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée.
- 1519 Demir, S., Çelikel, F. Ç., Taycan, S. E., and Etukan, İ. (2013). Konversiyon
1520 Bozukluğunda Nöropsikolojik Değerlendirme [Neuropsychological assessment
1521 in conversion disorder]. [Article in Turkish]. *Turk Psikiyatri Derg.* 24, 75–83.
- 1522 Derogatis, L. R. (1983). *SCL-(90)-R: Administration, Scoring, and Procedures*
1523 *Manual, 2nd Edn.* Baltimore, MD: Clinical Psychometric Research.
- 1524 Derogatis, L. R., and Savitz, K. (1999). “The SCL-90-R, brief symptom inventory,
1525 and matching clinical rating scales,” in *The Use of Psychological Testing for*
1526 *Treatment Planning and Outcomes Assessment, 2nd Edn.*, ed M. E. Maruish
1527 (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers), 679–724.
- 1528 Domino, G., and Domino, M. L. (2006). *Psychological Testing: An Introduction,*
1529 *2nd Edn.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 1530 Dronkers, N. F. (1996). A new brain region for coordinating speech articulation.
1531 *Nature* 384, 159–161. doi: 10.1038/384159a0
- 1532 Duffy, J. R. (2005). *Motor Speech Disorders: Substrates, Differential Diagnosis, and*
1533 *Management, 2nd Edn.* Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Mosby.
- 1534 Eaton, W. W., Muntaner, C., Smith, C., Tien, A., and Ybarra, M. (2004). “Center for
1535 epidemiologic studies depression scale: review and revision (CESD and CESD-
1536 R),” in *The Use of Psychological Testing for Treatment Planning and Outcomes*
1537 *Assessment, 3rd Edn.* ed M. E. Maruish (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum),
1538 363–377.
- 1539 Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., and McHugh, P. R. (1975). “Mini-mental state,” A
1540 practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J.*
1541 *Psychiatr. Res.* 12, 189–198. doi: 10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6
- 1542 Geschwind, N. (1976). “Selected Papers on Language and the Brain,” in *Boston*
1543 *Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Vol. 16*, eds R. S. Cohen and M. W.
1544 Wartofsky (Boston, MA: D. Reidel Publ. Comp.).
- 1545 González-Álvarez, J., Parcet-Ibars, M. A., Ávila, C., and Geffner-Sclarsky, D.
1546 (2003). Una rara alteración del habla de origen neurológico: el síndrome del
1547 acento extranjero. *Rev. Neurol.* 26, 227–234.
- 1548 Goodglass, H., and Kaplan, E. (1972). *The Assessment of Aphasia and Related*
1549 *Disorders*. Philadelphia, PA: Lea and Febiger.
- 1550 Goodglass, H., Kaplan, E., and Barresi, B. (2001). *Boston Diagnostic Aphasia*
1551 *Examination, 3rd Edn.* Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins.
- 1552 Graetz, P., De Bleser, R., and Willmes, K. (1992). *Akense Afasie Test*. Lisse: Swets &
1553 Zeitlinger.
- 1554 Grazioli, E., Yeh, A. E., Benedict, R. H. B., Parrish, B., and Weinstock-Guttman, B.
1555 (2008). Cognitive dysfunction in MS: bridging the gap between neurocognitive
1556 deficits, neuropsychological batteries and MRI. *Disclosures. Fut. Neurol.* 3,
1557 49–59. doi: 10.2217/14796708.3.1.49
- 1558 Green, P. (2005). *Green’s Word Memory Test for Microsoft Windows: User’s Manual*.
1559 Edmonton, AB: Green’s Publications Inc.
- 1560 Gurd, J. M., Coleman, J. S., Costello, A., and Marshall, J. (2001). Organic or
1561 functional? A new case of foreign accent syndrome. *Cortex* 37, 715–718. doi:
1562 10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70622-1
- 1563 Haley, K. L., Roth, H., Helm-Estabrooks, N., and Thiessen, A. (2010). Foreign
1564 accent syndrome due to conversion disorder: phonetic analyses and clinical
1565 course. *J. Neurolinguist.* 23, 1–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroling.2009.08.001
- 1566 Hanwella, R., and de Silva, V. A. (2011). Signs and symptoms of acute mania: a
1567 factor analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 11:137. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-11-137
- 1568 Helm-Estabrooks, N. (2001). *Cognitive Linguistic Quick Test*. San Antonio, TX:
1569 Psychological Corporation.
- 1570 Hoekert, M., Kahn, R. S., Pijnenborg, M., and Aleman, A. (2007). Impaired
1571 recognition and expression of emotional prosody in schizophrenia: review and
1572 meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* 96, 135–145. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2007.07.023
- 1573 Honey, G. D., Suckling, J., Zelaya, F., Long, C., Jackson, S., Ng, V., et al. (2003).
1574 Dopaminergic drug effects on physiological connectivity in a human cortico-
1575 striato-thalamic system. *Brain* 126, 1767–1781. doi: 10.1093/brain/awg184
- 1576 Howard, D., and Patterson, K. (1992). *The Pyramids and Palm Trees test: A Test*
1577 *for Semantic Access from Words and Pictures*. Bury St Edmunds: Thames Valley
1578 Test Company.
- 1579 Ingram, J. C. L., McCormack, P. F., and Kennedy, M. (1992). Phonetic analysis of
1580 a case of foreign accent syndrome. *J. Phon.* 20, 475–492.
- 1581 Joannette, Y., Poissant, A., Ska, B., and Fontaine, F. (1990). *Protocole D’Evaluation*
1582 *Neuropsychologique Optimale (PENO)*. Montréal: Laboratoire Théophile-
1583 Alajouanine, Centre de recherche du Centre hospitalier Côte-des-Neiges.
- 1584 Jones, H. N., Story, T. J., Collins, T. A., DeJoy, D., and Edwards, C. L.
1585 (2011). Multidisciplinary assessment and diagnosis of conversion disorder in
1586 a patient with foreign accent syndrome. *Behav. Neurol.* 24, 245–255. doi:
1587 10.1155/2011/786560
- 1588 Kanjee, R., Watter, S., Sévigny, A., and Humphreys, K. R. (2010). A case of foreign
1589 accent syndrome: Acoustic analyses and an empirical test of accent perception.
1590 *J. Neurolinguist.* 23, 580–598. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroling.2010.05.003
- 1591 Kaplan, E., Goodglass, H., and Weintraub, S. (2001). *Boston Naming Test, 2nd Edn.*
1592 Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins.
- 1593 Katz, W. F., Garst, D. M., and Levitt, J. (2008). The role of prosody in a case
1594 of foreign accent syndrome (FAS). *Clin. Linguist. Phon.* 22, 537–566. doi:
1595 10.1080/02699200802106284
- 1596 Kay, S. R., Fiszbein, A., and Opler, L. A. (1987). The positive and negative
1597 syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* 13, 261–276. doi:
1598 10.1093/schbul/13.2.261
- 1599 Kean, M.-L. (1977). The linguistic interpretation of aphasic syndromes:
1600 Agrammatism in Broca’s aphasia, an example. *Cognition* 5, 9–46. doi:
1601 10.1016/0010-0277(77)90015-4
- 1602 Kean, M.-L. (1985). *Agrammatism*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- 1603 Kløve, H. (1963). “Clinical Neuropsychology,” in *The Medical Clinical of North*
1604 *America*, eds F. M. Forster (New York, NY: Saunders), 1647–1658.
- 1605 Lafayette Instrument (2002). *Grooved Pegboard Test. User Instructions*. Lafayette,
1606 IN: Lafayette Instrument.
- 1607 Lam, R. W., Michalak, E. E., and Swinson, R. P. (2005). *Assessment Scales in*
1608 *Depression, Mania and Anxiety*. Oxfordshire: Taylor and Francis.
- 1609 Lambert, G. J. (2008). *Understanding Somatization in the Practice of Clinical*
1610 *Neuropsychology*. New York, NY: Oxford UP.
- 1611 Laures-Gore, J., Henson, J. C., Weismer, G., and Rambow, M. (2006). Two cases
1612 of foreign accent syndrome: An acoustic-phonetic description. *Clin. Linguist.*
1613 *Phon.* 20, 781–790. doi: 10.1080/02699200500391105
- 1614 Leavitt, F. (1985). The value of the MMPI conversion ‘V’ in the assessment
1615 of psychogenic pain. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 29, 125–131. doi: 10.1016/0022-
1616 3999(85)90033-9

- 1597 Levy, R. S., and Jankovic, J. (1983). Placebo-induced conversion reaction: A
1598 neurobehavioral and EEG study of hysterical aphasia, seizure and coma. *J.*
1599 *Abnorm. Psychol.* 7, 89–129. doi: 10.1037/0021-843x.92.2.243
- 1600 Lewis, S., Ball, L. J., and Kitten, S. (2012). Acoustic and perceptual correlates of
1601 foreign accent syndrome with manic etiology; a case study. *Commun. Disord.*
1602 *Q.* 34, 242–248. doi: 10.1177/1525740112466913
- 1603 Marie, P. (1907). Présentation de malades atteints d'anarthrie par lésion de
1604 l'hémisphère gauche du cerveau. *Bull. Mem. Soc. Med. Hop. Paris* 1, 158–160.
- 1605 McCutcheon, R., and Stone, J. (2015). Glutamate and dopamine in schizophrenia:
1606 an update for the 21st century. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 29, 97–115. doi:
1607 10.1177/0269881114563634
- 1608 McKenna, P., and Oh, T. (2005). *Schizophrenic Speech: Making Sense of Bathrooms
1609 and Ponds that Fall in Doorways*. Cambridge: CUP.
- 1610 Meltzer, H. Y., and Stahl, S. M. (1976). The dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia:
1611 A review. *Schizophr. Bull.* 2, 19–76. doi: 10.1093/schbul/2.1.19
- 1612 Miller, N., Lowit, A., and O'Sullivan, H. (2006). What makes acquired
1613 foreign accent syndrome foreign? *J. Neurolinguist.* 19, 385–409. doi:
1614 10.1016/j.jneuroling.2006.03.005
- 1615 Moreno-Torres, I., Berthier, M. L., del Mar Cid, M., Green, C., Gutiérrez,
1616 A., García-Casares, N., et al. (2013). Foreign accent syndrome: A
1617 multimodal evaluation in the search of neuroscience-driven treatments.
1618 *Neuropsychologia* 51, 520–537. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2012.
1619 11.010
- 1620 National Institute of Mental Health (2015). "Schizophrenia. Who is at Risk?"
1621 Available online at: [http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/schizophrenia/
1622 index.shtml](http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/schizophrenia/index.shtml) (Accessed June 17, 2015).
- 1623 Nelson, L. L. (2014). "Peacefulness as a personality trait," in *Personal Peacefulness,
1624 Psychological Perspectives*, eds G. K. Sims, L. L. Nelson, and M. R. Puopolo (New
1625 York, NY: Springer), 7–45.
- 1626 Niemi, P. M., Portin, R., Aalto, S., Hakala, M., and Karlson, H. (2002). Cognitive
1627 functioning in severe somatization – a pilot study. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 106,
1628 461–463. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0447.2002.01445.x
- 1629 Oldfield, R. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh
1630 Inventory. *Neuropsychologia* 9, 97–113. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(71)90067-4
- 1631 Polak, A. R., Witteveen, A. B., Mantione, M., Figeo, M., de Koning, P.,
1632 Olf, M., et al. (2013). Deep brain stimulation for obsessive-compulsive
1633 disorder affects language: a case report. *Neurosurgery* 73, 907–910. doi:
1634 10.1227/NEU.0000000000000022
- 1635 Poulin, S., Macoir, J., Paquet, N., Fossard, M., and Gagnon, L. (2007). Psychogenic
1636 or neurogenic origin of agrammatism and foreign accent syndrome in a bipolar
1637 patient: a case report. *Ann. Gen. Psychiatry* 6:1. doi: 10.1186/1744-859X-6-1
- 1638 Reeves, R. R., Burke, R. S., and Parker, J. D. (2007). Characteristics of psychotic
1639 patients with foreign accent syndrome. *J. Neuropsychiatry. Clin. Neurosci.* 19,
1640 70–76. doi: 10.1176/jnp.2007.19.1.70
- 1641 Reeves, R. R., and Norton, J. W. (2001). Foreign accent-like syndrome during
1642 psychotic exacerbations. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol. Behav. Neurol.* 14,
1643 135–138.
- 1644 Reitan, R. (1958). Validity of the trail making test as an indicator of organic brain
1645 damage. *Percept. Mot. Skill* 8, 271–276. doi: 10.2466/PMS.8.7.271-276
- 1646 Reitan, R. M. (1992). *Trail Making Test: Manual for Administration and Scoring*.
1647 Tucson, AZ: Reitan Neuropsychology Laboratory.
- 1648 Rey, A. (1941). L'examen psychologique dans les cas d'encéphalopathie
1649 traumatique. *Arch. Psychol.* 28, 215–285.
- 1650 Rosen, W. G., Mohs, R. C., and Davis, K. L. (1984). A new rating
1651 scale for Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 141, 1356–1364. doi:
1652 10.1176/ajp.141.11.1356
- 1653 Rossell, S. A., Van Rheenen, T. E., Groot, C., Gogos, A., O'Regan, A., and Joshua,
1654 N. R. (2013). Investigating affective prosody in psychosis: A study using the
1655 comprehensive affective Testing System. *Psychiatry Res.* 210, 896–900. doi:
1656 10.1016/j.psychres.2013.07.037
- 1657 Rothbaum, B. A., and Foa, E. B. (1991). Exposure treatment of PTSD concomitant
1658 with conversion mutism: A case study. *Behav. Ther.* 22, 449–456. doi:
1659 10.1016/S0005-7894(05)80377-6
- 1660 Roy, J.-P., Macoir, J., Martel-Sauvage, V., and Boudreault, C.-A. (2012). Two
1661 French-speaking cases of foreign accent syndrome: an acoustic-phonetic
1662 analysis. *Clin. Linguist. Phon.* 26, 934–945. doi: 10.3109/02699206.2012.723237
- 1663 Ruff, R. M. (1988). *Ruff Figural Fluency Test: Administration Manual*. San Diego,
1664 CA: Neuropsychological Resources.
- 1665 Ryalls, J., and Whiteside, J. (2006). An atypical case of foreign accent syndrome. *1654
1655 Clin. Linguist. Phon.* 20, 157–162. doi: 10.1080/02699200400026900
- 1666 Saha, S., Chant, D., Welhalm, J., and McGrath, J. (2005). A systematic
1667 review of the prevalence of schizophrenia. *PLoS Medicine* 2:e141. doi:
1668 10.1371/journal.pmed.0020141
- 1669 Salfeld, D. J. (1950). Observations on selective mutism in children. *Br. J. Psychiatry*
1670 96, 1024–1032. doi: 10.1192/bjp.96.405.1024
- 1671 Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., and Lushene, R. E. (1970). *Manual for the State
1672 Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- 1673 Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., and Jacobs, G. A.
1674 (1983). *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting
1675 Psychologists Press.
- 1676 Stone, J., LaFrance, W. C. Jr., Brown, R., Spiegel, D., Levenson, J. L., and Sharpe,
1677 M. (2011). Conversion disorder: current problems and potential solutions for
1678 DSM-5. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 71, 369–376. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2011.07.005
- 1679 Stroop, J. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reaction. *J. Exp. Psych.* 18,
1680 643–662. doi: 10.1037/h0054651
- 1681 Swerdlow, N. R., and Koob, G. F. (1987). Dopamine, schizophrenia, mania,
1682 and depression: Toward a unified hypothesis of cortico-striato- pallido-
1683 thalamic function. *Behav. Brain. Sci.* 10, 197–245. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X
1684 00047488
- 1685 Tippett, D. C., and Siebens, A. A. (1991). Distinguishing psychogenic form
1686 neurogenic dysfluency when neurologic and psychologic factors coexist. *J.*
1687 *Fluency Disord.* 16, 3–12. doi: 10.1016/0094-730X(91)90031-7
- 1688 Titze, I. R. (1994). *Principles of Voice Production*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice
1689 Hall.
- 1690 Tombaugh, T. N., Kozak, J., and Rees, L. (1999). Normative data stratified by age
1691 and education for two measures of verbal fluency: FAS and animal naming.
1692 *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* 14, 167–177.
- 1693 Trivedi, J. K. (2006). Cognitive deficits in psychiatric disorders: Current status.
1694 *Indian J. Psychiatry* 48, 10–20. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.31613
- 1695 Tsuruga, K., Kobayashi, T., Hirai, N., and Koto, S. (2008). Foreign accent syndrome
1696 in a case of dissociative (conversion) disorder. *Seishin Shinkeigaku Zasshi* 110,
1697 79–87.
- 1698 Turner, G. S., Tjaden, K., and Weismer, G. (1995). The influence of speaking rate on
1699 vowel space and speech intelligibility for individuals with amyotrophic lateral
1700 sclerosis. *J. Speech Hear. Res.* 38, 1001–1013. doi: 10.1044/jshr.3805.1001
- 1701 Van Borsel, J., Janssens, L., and Santens, P. (2005). Foreign Accent
1702 syndrome: an organic disorder? *J. Commun. Disord.* 38, 421–429. doi:
1703 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2005.03.004
- 1704 Van der Scheer, F., Jonkers, R., and Gilbers, D. (2014). Foreign accent
1705 syndrome and force of articulation. *Aphasiology* 28, 471–489. doi:
1706 10.1080/02687038.2013.866210
- 1707 Vanderlinden, J., Van Dyck, R., Vandereycken, W., Vertommen, H., and
1708 Verkes, J. (2009). The dissociation questionnaire (DIS-Q): development and
1709 characteristics of a new self-report questionnaire. *Clin. Psychol. Psychother.* 1,
1710 21–27. doi: 10.1002/cpp.5640010105
- 1711 Verhoeven, J., De Pauw, G., Pettinato, M., Hirson, A., Van Borsel, J., and Mariën,
1712 P. (2013). Accent attribution in speakers with Foreign Accent Syndrome.
1713 *J. Commun. Disord.* 46, 156–168. doi: 10.1016/j.jcomdis.2013.02.001
- 1714 Verhoeven, J., and Mariën, P. (2010). Neurogenic foreign accent syndrome:
1715 Articulatory setting, segments and prosody in a Dutch speaker. *J. Neurolinguist.*
1716 23, 599–614. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroling.2010.05.004
- 1717 Verhoeven, J., Mariën, P., Engelborghs, S., D'Haenen, H., and De Deyn, P. P.
1718 (2005). A foreign speech accent in a case of conversion disorder. *Behav. Neurol.*
1719 16, 225–232. doi: 10.1155/2005/989602
- 1720 Villaverde-González, R., Fernández-Villalba, E., Moreno-Excribano, A.,
1721 Aliás-Linares, E., and García-Santos, J. M. (2003). Síndrome del acento
1722 extanjero como primera manifestación de esclerosis múltiple. *Rev. Neurol.* 26,
1723 1035–1039.
- 1724 Wall, J. R., Mariner, J., and Davis, J. J. (2013). "Somatoform disorder," in *The
1725 Neuropsychology of Psychopathology*, eds C. A. Noggle and R. S. Dean (New
1726 York, NY: Springer), 307–325.
- 1727 Wechsler, D. (1981). *WAIS-R Manual*. New York, NY: Psychological Corporation.
- 1728 Wechsler, D. (1991). *Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised manual*. San Antonio, TX:
1729 Psychological Corporation.
- 1730 Wechsler, D. (1997a). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, 3rd Edn. (WAIS-3)*. San
1731 Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment.

- 1711 Wechsler, D. (1997b). *Wechsler Adult Memory Scale, 3rd Edn.* San Antonio, TX:
1712 Pearson Clinical. 1768
- 1713 Weinrich, M., and Simpson, A. (2014). Differences in acoustic vowel space and the
1714 perception of speech tempo. *J. Phon.* 43, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.wocn.2014.01.001 1769
- 1715 Whitaker, H. A. (1982). “Levels of impairment in disorders of speech,” in
1716 *Proceedings of the NATO Advances Study Institute of Neuropsychology and*
1717 *Neurocognition, Augusta, Georgia, 8-18th September 1980.* eds R. Malatesha and
1718 L. Hartlage (The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff), 168–207. 1770
- 1719 Whitlock, F. A. (1967). The aetiology of hysteria. *Acta Psychol. Scand.* 43, 144–162. 1771
- 1720 Wilkerson, G. (1993). *Wide Range Achievement Test 3: Manual.* Wilmington, DE:
1721 Wide Range, Inc. 1772
- 1722 World Health Organization (2014). *Gender and Women’s Mental Health.* Geneva:
1723 World Health Organization. 1773
- 1724 Yorkston, K., Beukelman, D., and Hakel, M. (1996). *Speech Intelligibility Test for*
1725 *Windows [Computer Software].* Lincoln, NE: Madonna Rehabilitation Hospital. 1774
- 1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
- Zigmond, A. S., and Snaith, R. P. (1983). The hospital anxiety and depression
scale. *Acta Psychiat. Scand.* 67, 361–370. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.
tb09716.x 1775
- Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was
conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could
be construed as a potential conflict of interest. 1776
- Copyright © 2016 Keulen, Verhoeven, De Witte, De Page, Bastiaanse and Mariën.*
This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums
is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply
with these terms. 1777
- 1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824