JACOBSON RADICAL ALGEBRAS WITH QUADRATIC GROWTH

Citation for published version:
Smoktunowicz, A & Young, AA 2013, 'JACOBSON RADICAL ALGEBRAS WITH QUADRATIC GROWTH' Glasgow Mathematical Journal, vol 55A, pp. 135-147., 10.1017/S0017089513000554

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.1017/S0017089513000554

Published In:
Glasgow Mathematical Journal

Jacobson radical algebras with quadratic growth

Agata Smoktunowicz*
and Alexander A. Young †

Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that over every countable algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ there exists a finitely generated $\mathbb{K}$-algebra that is Jacobson radical, infinite dimensional, generated by two elements, graded, and has quadratic growth. We also propose a way of constructing examples of algebras with quadratic growth that satisfy special types of relations.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: 16N40, 16P90
Key words: Nil algebras, growth of algebras, Gelfand-Kirillov dimension

Introduction

Algebras with linear growth were described by Small, Stafford and Warfield in [6]. In [3] (pp. 18) Bergman proved that algebras with growth function smaller than $f(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ have linear growth. What properties would algebras with a growth function close to $f(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ satisfy? Examples of primitive algebras with very small growth functions were constructed by

* The research of the first author was supported by Grant No. EPSRC EP/D071674/1.
† The research of the second author was partially supported by the United States National Science Foundation.

Usi Vishne using Moorse trajectories [9]. In [1] Bartholdi constructed self-similar algebras with very small growth functions over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$ which are graded nil. In fact, all algebras constructed in [1] are primitive and hence not Jacobson radical (as mentioned in [8]).

We will construct an example with growth function bounded above by $n^2 + 4n + 3$ which are both infinite dimensional and Jacobson radical. It is unclear whether this algebra is nil. We will also present a way to construct other examples which are bounded above by the same growth function.

Recall that non-nil Jacobson radical algebras with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two were constructed in [8], and nil algebras with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension not exceeding three were constructed in [5]. It is not known if there are nil algebras with quadratic growth, or more generally with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two.

Our first main result is the following:

Theorem 0.1. *Let* $\mathbb{K}$ *be an algebraically closed field. Let* $A = \mathbb{K}\langle x, y\rangle$ *to be the free noncommutative algebra generated (in degree one) by the elements* x, y. *Let* $H(n) \subset A$ *be the homogeneous subspace of degree* $n \geq 0$. *Finally, for any* $F \subseteq H(n)$, *let:*

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn + j)FA.$$

For any sequence $\{N_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of strictly increasing natural numbers and any sequence* $\{F_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of homogeneous subspaces such that* $F_i \subseteq H(2^{N_i})$ *and* $\dim F_i < \frac{1}{2}(N_i - N_{i-1} + 1)$, *the quotient algebra* $A/\langle\mathcal{E}(F_i)\rangle_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *can be homomorphically mapped onto an infinite dimensional graded algebra with quadratic or linear growth. Moreover, the dimension of this algebra's homogeneous subspace of dimension* n *would be bounded above by* $2n + 2$.

In other words, there's a graded ideal $E \lhd A$ such that $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}(F_i) \subseteq E$ and A/E is infinite dimensional and has quadratic growth. Specifically, $1 \leq H(n)/(E \cap H(n)) \leq 2n+2$ for each $n \geq 1$. As a corollary we get the following result.

Corollary 0.2. *Over every countable, algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ there exists a finitely generated $\mathbb{K}$ algebra that's Jacobson radical, infinite dimensional, generated by two elements, graded and has quadratic growth.*

We also propose a new way of constructing examples of algebras with quadratic growth satisfying special types of relations.

The general path of the proof is as follows:

- Subspaces $U(2^n), V(2^n) \subseteq H(2^n)$ are constructed, depending on $U(2^i)$, $V(2^i)$ for $i < n$. This part bears resemblance to results from [4]. Properties that the $V(2^n)$ spaces exhibit include $V(2^{n-1})^2 \subseteq V(2^n)$ and $\dim V(2^n) = 2$, the latter being instrumental in establishing quadratic growth. We assure that sets $\{F_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ are contained in our sets $U(2^n)$.

- In section 3 we introduce ideal E, whose construction uses the sets $U(2^n)$, in order to arrive at our desired quotient A/E. Note that the ideal E is defined differently than in [4]. We then find an upper bound of the growth of A/E.

- In sections 4 and 5 we show that for some appropriate choice of sets $\{F_i\}$, the constructed algebra A/E is Jacobson radical.

We wrap up the proof of Theorem and its corollary in section 5.

1 Notation

In what follows, $\mathbb{K}$ is a countable field and $A = \mathbb{K}\langle x, y\rangle$ is the free $\mathbb{K}$-algebra in two non-commuting indeterminates x and y. The monomials in this algebra will be the products of the form $x_1 \cdots x_n$, with each $x_i \in \{x, y\}$ (whereas the monomials *with coefficient* will be of the form $kx_1 \cdots x_n$ with $k \in \mathbb{K}$). The degree of a monomial is the length of this product. For any $n \geq 0$, $H(n)$ will denote the homogeneous subspace of degree n: the $\mathbb{K}$-space generated by the degree-n monomials. Finally, $\bar{A} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(n)$ will be the $\mathbb{K}$-space of polynomials with no constant term.

2 Constructing sets $U(2^n)$ and $V(2^n)$

Suppose we have a strictly increasing sequence of naturals $\{N_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ with $N_0 = 1$ and a sequence of homogeneous subspaces $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ with each $F_i \subseteq 2^{N_i}$ and $F_0 = (0)$.

In this section, we address the question: does there exist, for every $i \geq 0$, a subspace $U_i \subset H(2^i)$ and two monomials (with non-zero coefficient) $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2} \in H(2^i)$ such that, for each $i \geq 0$:

1. $U_i \oplus \mathbb{K}v_{i,1} \oplus \mathbb{K}v_{i,2} = H(2^i)$.
2. There exists a $v \in \mathbb{K}v_{i,1} + \mathbb{K}v_{i,2}$ such that $U_{i+1} = H(2^i)U_i + U_iH(2^i) + vH(2^i)$.
3. $F_i \subseteq U_{N_i}$.

We will eventually set $V_i = \mathbb{K}v_{i,1} + \mathbb{K}v_{i,2}$, so that $U_i \oplus V_i = H(2^i)$.

We shall attack the problem with induction. For the base case, set U_0 as an arbitrary subspace of $H(1)$ with $\dim U_0 = \dim H(1) - 2$, and set $v_{0,1}$, $v_{0,2}$ as two linearly independent monomials such that $U_0 + \mathbb{K}v_{0,1} + \mathbb{K}v_{0,2} = H(1)$.

For the inductive step, assume the existence of $U_{N_i}, v_{N_i,1}, v_{N_i,2}$ for some $i \geq 0$, and find possible $U_k, v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}$ for all $N_i < k \leq N_{i+1}$.

Let $W \cong \mathbb{K}^{2(N_{i+1}-N_i)}$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-space with indices $\{x_{k,1}, x_{k,2}\}_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1}$, let W_k be the subspace of all elements where $(x_{k,1}, x_{k,2}) = (0,0)$, and let $\overline{W} = W \setminus \bigcup_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1} W_k$.

Given some vector $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$, define $U_k(\vec{w}), v_{k,1}(\vec{w}), v_{k,2}(\vec{w})$ recursively for each $N_i \leq k \leq N_{i+1}$, as follows: first, set $U_{N_i}(\vec{w}) = U_{N_i}$, $v_{N_i,1}(\vec{w}) = v_{N_i,1}$, $v_{N_i,2}(\vec{w}) = v_{N_i,2}$.

Then, assuming $U_k(\vec{w}), v_{k,1}(\vec{w}), v_{k,2}(\vec{w})$ are defined for some $N_i \leq k < N_{i+1}$:

$$U_{k+1}(\vec{w}) = H(2^k)U_k(\vec{w}) + U_k(\vec{w})H(2^k) + (x_{k,2}(\vec{w})v_{k,1}(\vec{w}) - x_{k,1}(\vec{w})v_{k,2}(\vec{w}))H(2^k).$$

If $x_{k,1}(\vec{w}) \neq 0$, set:

$$v_{k+1,1}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,1}(\vec{w})^{-1}v_{k,1}^2(\vec{w}),$$

$$v_{k+1,2}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,1}(\vec{w})^{-1}v_{k,1}(\vec{w})v_{k,2}(\vec{w}),$$

and if $x_{k,1}(\vec{w}) = 0$, then $x_{k,2}(\vec{w}) \neq 0$, so set:

$$v_{k+1,1}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,2}(\vec{w})^{-1}v_{k,2}(\vec{w})v_{k,1}(\vec{w}),$$

$$v_{k+1,2}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,2}(\vec{w})^{-1}v_{k,2}^2(\vec{w}).$$

For any $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$, this clearly satisfies conditions (1-2).

Lemma 2.1. *For any $N_i \leq k < N_{i+1}$, $a, b \in \{1,2\}$, $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$,*

$$v_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k,b}(\vec{w}) \in x_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k+1,b}(\vec{w}) + U_{k+1}(\vec{w})$$

Proof. If $x_{k,1}(\vec{w}) \neq 0$, and $a = 1$, $v_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k,b}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k+1,b}(\vec{w})$.

If $x_{k,1}(\vec{w}) \neq 0$, and $a = 2$,

$$v_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k,b}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k+1,b}(\vec{w}) + x_{k,1}(\vec{w})^{-1}(x_{k,2}(\vec{w})v_{k,1}(\vec{w}) - x_{k,1}(\vec{w})v_{k,2}(\vec{w}))v_{k,b}(\vec{w}).$$

If $x_{k,1}(\vec{w}) = 0$ and $a = 1$,

$$v_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k,b}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,2}(\vec{w})^{-1}(x_{k,2}(\vec{w})v_{k,1}(\vec{w}) - x_{k,1}(\vec{w})v_{k,2}(\vec{w}))v_{k,b}(\vec{w}).$$

And if $x_{k,1}(\vec{w}) = 0$ and $a = 2$, $v_{k,a}(\vec{w})v_{k,b}(\vec{w}) = x_{k,2}(\vec{w})v_{k+1,b}(\vec{w})$. □

Let $P = \mathbb{K}[x_{k,1}, x_{k,2}]_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1}$, i.e. the (commutative) algebra of polynomial functions $W \to \mathbb{K}$. Let $Q = \prod_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1}(\mathbb{K}x_{k,1} + \mathbb{K}x_{k,2})^{2^{N_{i+1}-k-1}}$ be a homogenous subspace of P.

Theorem 2.2. *For any sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}}$ of $\{1,2\}$, there exists some $p_s \in Q$ such that for any $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$,*

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}} v_{N_i,s_k} \in p_s(\vec{w})v_{N_{i+1},s_{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_{i+1}}(\vec{w}).$$

Proof. We will use induction to show that, for any $0 \leq h \leq N_{i+1} - N_i$ and any sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{2^h}$ of $\{1,2\}$,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2^h} v_{N_i,s_k} \in \left(\prod_{j=0}^{h-1} \prod_{k=1}^{2^{h-j-1}} x_{N_i+j,s_{2^j(2k-1)}}(\vec{w}) \right) v_{N_i+h,s_{2^h}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i+h}(\vec{w}),$$

with the end result of the theorem proven when $h = N_{i+1} - N_i$.

The base case is simply $v_{N_i,s_1} \in v_{N_i,s_1}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i}(\vec{w})$.

For the inductive step, let $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{2^{h+1}}$ be a sequence of $\{1,2\}$, and assume the inductive statement is true for $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{2^h}$ and $\{s_k\}_{k=2^h+1}^{2^{h+1}}$. Lemma 2.1 shows that:

$$v_{N_i+h,s_{2^h}}(\vec{w}) v_{N_i+h,s_{2^{h+1}}}(\vec{w}) \in x_{N_i+h,s_{2^h}}(\vec{w}) v_{N_i+h+1,s_{2^{h+1}}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i+h+1}(\vec{w}).$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2^{h+1}} v_{N_i,s_k} \in \left(\left(\prod_{j=0}^{h-1} \prod_{k=1}^{2^{h-j-1}} x_{N_i+j,s_{2^j(2k-1)}}(\vec{w}) \right) v_{N_i+h,s_{2^h}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i+h}(\vec{w}) \right) \cdot$$

$$\left(\left(\prod_{j=0}^{h-1} \prod_{k=1}^{2^{h-j-1}} x_{N_i+j,s_{2^j(2k-1)+2^h}}(\vec{w}) \right) v_{N_i+h,s_{2^{h+1}}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i+h}(\vec{w}) \right) \subseteq$$

$$\left(\prod_{j=0}^{h-1} \prod_{k=1}^{2^{h-j}} x_{N_i+j,s_{2^j(2k-1)}}(\vec{w}) \right) x_{N_i+h,s_{2^h}}(\vec{w}) v_{N_i+h+1,s_{2^{h+1}}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i+h+1}(\vec{w}) =$$

$$\left(\prod_{j=0}^{h} \prod_{k=1}^{2^{h-j}} x_{N_i+j,s_{2^j(2k-1)}}(\vec{w}) \right) v_{N_i+h+1,s_{2^{h+1}}}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_i+h+1}(\vec{w}).$$

□

Corollary 2.3. *For any $f \in H(2^{N_{i+1}})$, there exists $p, q \in Q$ such that $\forall \vec{w} \in \overline{W}$, $f \in p(\vec{w}) v_{N_{i+1},1}(\vec{w}) + q(\vec{w}) v_{N_{i+1},2}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_{i+1}}(\vec{w})$.*

Proof. First, note that:

$$H(2^{N_{i+1}}) = (U_{N_i} + \mathbb{K} v_{N_i,1} + \mathbb{K} v_{N_i,2})^{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}} =$$

$$(\mathbb{K}v_{N_i,1} + \mathbb{K}v_{N_i,2})^{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}} + \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}} H((k-1)2^{N_i})U_{N_i}H(2^{N_{i+1}} - k2^{N_i})$$

And for each $f \in H(2^{N_{i+1}})$, there exists a $f' \in (\mathbb{K}v_{N_i,1} + \mathbb{K}v_{N_i,2})^{2^{N_{i+1}-N_i}}$ such that, for any $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$, $f \in f' + U_{N_{i+1}}(\vec{w})$.

Since f' can be written as a linear combination of the elements of the form $\prod_{k=1}^{2^{N_{i+1}}} v_{N_i,s_k}$, it's sufficient to prove the corollary over these elements, which is done in theorem 2.2. □

Let $d = \dim F_{i+1}$, let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{d}$ be elements that generate F_{i+1}, and let $\{p_k, q_k\} \subseteq Q$ be such that $\forall \vec{w} \in \overline{W}$, $f_k \in p_k(\vec{w})v_{N_{i+1},1}(\vec{w}) + q_k(\vec{w})v_{N_{i+1},2}(\vec{w}) + U_{N_{i+1}}(\vec{w})$, as detailed in corollary 2.3. If there exists a $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$ such that each $p_k(\vec{w}) = q_k(\vec{w}) = 0$, then we can set $(U_k, v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}) = (U_k(\vec{w}), v_{k,1}(\vec{w}), v_{k,2}(\vec{w}))$, and condition (4) can be satisfied.

Let $G = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{K}p_k + \mathbb{K}q_k \subseteq Q$ be the vector space generated by $\{p_k, q_k\}$. Our remaining goal is to show $\exists \vec{w} \in \overline{W} : G(\vec{w}) = (0)$.

Let R be the algebra generated by Q, i.e. $R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q^k$.

Lemma 2.4. *If G, P are defined as above, then:*

$$R \cap GP \subseteq G + GR.$$

Proof. Let M be the set of all monomials of P (without coefficient). Let M_Q be the monomials that generate Q, let $M_R = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_Q^j$ be the monomials that generate R, and let $M_R' = M \backslash (M_R \cup \{1\})$. P can be decomposed: $P = \mathbb{K} \oplus R \oplus \mathbb{K}M_R'$.

Note that for any $m \in M_Q$ and any $m' \in M_R'$, $mm' \in M_R'$. As R is generated by monomials, $R \cap QM_R' = (0)$.

Let $g \in G$, and let $p \in P$ have the decomposition $p = k + r + s$, with $k \in \mathbb{K}$, $r \in R$ and $s \in \mathbb{K}M_R'$. Suppose that $gp \in R$. Since $gk + gr \in R$, $gs \in R \cap QM_R' = (0)$. Therefore, $gp \in \mathbb{K}g + gR$, and $R \cap GP \subseteq G + GR$. □

Theorem 2.5. *If $\{\vec{w} \in W : G(\vec{w}) = (0)\} \subseteq W \backslash \overline{W} = \bigcup_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1} W_k$, then $d \geq \frac{1}{2}(N_{i+1} - N_i + 1)$.*

Proof. Let Z be the affine variety function of P: if $I \lhd P$ is an ideal, then $Z(I) = \{\vec{w} \in W : I(\vec{w}) = (0)\}$. It's our goal to show that if $Z(GP) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1} W_k$, then $d \geq \frac{1}{2}(N_{i+1} - N_i + 1)$.

Since Q annihilates each W_k, it must annihilate $Z(GP)$ as well. Hilbert's nullstellensatz states that since $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed, for each $q \in Q$, there must be an exponent $q^\pi \in GP$.

Using lemma 2.4, $q^\pi \in R \cap GP \subseteq G + GR$, and so the quotient algebra $R/(G+GR)$ is nil. Since $G^2 \subseteq GR$, R/GR is nil as well. All finitely generated commutative nil algebras are finite dimensional, so applying Lemma 3.2 in [2] several times gives $2d \geq \operatorname{GKdim} R$. Recall that Lemma 3.2 [2] says that if R is a commutative finitely generated graded algebra of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension t, and I is a principal ideal generated by a homogeneous element then R/I has Gelfand-Kirillov dimension at least $t-1$.

Remember that for any $j \geq 0$, $Q^j = \prod_{k=N_i}^{N_{i-1}-1} (\mathbb{K}x_{k,1} + \mathbb{K}x_{k,2})^{j2^{N_{i+1}-k-1}}$, and:

$$\dim Q^j = \prod_{k=N_i}^{N_{i+1}-1} (j2^{N_{i+1}-k-1} + 1) \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}(N_{i+1}-N_i-1)(N_{i+1}-N_i)} j^{N_{i+1}-N_i},$$

therefore $\operatorname{GKdim} R \geq N_{i+1} - N_i + 1$. □

We can thus conclude that as long as $\dim F_{i+1} < \frac{1}{2}(N_{i+1} - N_i + 1)$, there is a $\vec{w} \in \overline{W}$ such that $G(\vec{w}) = 0$, and we have appropriate spaces $\{U_k\}$ and monomials $\{v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}\}$ for all $k \leq N_{i+1}$. If this holds for all $i \geq 0$, the induction can proceed.

3 Constructing the ideal E

For any $i \geq 0$, let $V_i = \mathbb{K}v_{i,1} + \mathbb{K}v_{i,2}$, let $v_i \in V_i$ be such that $U_{i+1} = H(2^i)U_i + U_iH(2^i) + v_iH(2^i)$, and let $Q_i = U_i + \mathbb{K}v_i$. If $v_{i,1} \notin \mathbb{K}v_i$, let $W_i = \mathbb{K}v_{i,1}$, otherwise, $W_i = \mathbb{K}v_{i,2}$. This way $Q_i \oplus W_i = H(2^i)$, $U_{i+1} = H(2^i)U_i + Q_iH(2^i)$, and $V_{i+1} = W_iV_i$.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $j > i$ and any $k \leq 2^{j-i} - 1$,*

$$H(k2^i)U_iH(2^j - (k+1)2^i) \subseteq U_j$$

Proof. Apply induction on the value of j by using $H(2^i)U_i + U_iH(2^i) \subseteq U_{i+1}$. □

For any $n > 0$, let $m \geq 0$ be maximal such that $2^m \leq n$, and define:

$$R(n) = \{x \in H(n) : xH(2^{m+1} - n) \subseteq U_{m+1}\}$$

$$L(n) = \{x \in H(n) : H(2^{m+1} - n)x \subseteq U_{m+1}\}$$

Also, set $R(0) = L(0) = (0)$.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $n > 0$ and any M such that $2^M > n$,*

$$R(n)H(2^M - n) \subseteq U_M$$

$$H(2^M - n)L(n) \subseteq U_M$$

Proof. Apply simple induction on M, using the fact that $H(2^M)U_M + U_MH(2^M) \subseteq U_{M+1}$. □

Proposition 3.3. *For any $n > 0$, $R(n)H(1) \subseteq R(n+1)$ and $H(1)L(n) \subseteq L(n+1)$.*

Proof. Let $m \geq 0$ be maxiamal such that $2^m \leq n$. If $2^{m+1} - 1 < n$, then:

$$R(n)H(1) \cdot H(2^{m+1} - n - 1) = R(n)H(2^{m+1} - n) \subseteq U_{m+1},$$

and $R(n)H(1) \subseteq R(n+1)$.

If $2^{m+1} - 1 = n$, then:

$$R(n)H(1) \cdot H(2^{m+2} - n - 1) \subseteq U_{m+1}H(2^{m+1}) \subseteq U_{m+2},$$

and $R(n)H(1) \subseteq R(n+1)$.

By symmetry, $H(1)L(n) \subseteq L(n+1)$. □

Define the space $R'(n) \subseteq H(n)$ recursively; if $n = 0$, set $R(0) = \mathbb{K}$, and otherwise, m be maximal such that $2^m \leq n$ and set:

$$R'(n) = W_m R'(n - 2^m)$$

Note that $\dim R'(n) = 1$.

Proposition 3.4. *For any $n \geq 0$, $R(n) \oplus R'(n) = H(n)$.*

Proof. Use induction on n. The base case $n = 0$ is trivial.

For the inductive step, $n \geq 0$, let m be maximal such that $2^m \leq n$, and assume that $R(n - 2^m) \oplus R'(n - 2^m) = H(n - 2^m)$. Proposition 3.2 can be used to confirm that:

$$Q_m H(n - 2^m) \cdot H(2^{m+1} - n) = Q_m H(2^m) \subseteq U_{m+1},$$

$$H(2^m) R(n - 2^m) \cdot H(2^{m+1} - n) \subseteq H(2^m) U_m \subseteq U_{m+1},$$

$$R(n) + R'(n) \supseteq Q_m H(n - 2^m) + H(2^m) R(n - 2^m) + W_m R'(n - 2^m) = H(n).$$

Since $\dim R'(n) = 1$, either $R(n) \oplus R'(n) = H(n)$ or $R'(n) \subseteq R(n)$. However, the latter option implies $R(n) = H(n)$ and that $H(n) \cdot H(2^{m+1} - n) \subseteq U_{m+1}$, a clear contradiction. Therefore, $R(n) \oplus R'(n) = H(n)$. □

Proposition 3.5. *For any $n \geq 0$,*

$$0 < \dim H(n)/L(n) \leq 2$$

Proof. Let m be maximal such that $2^m \leq n$.

If $H(n)/L(n)$ were zero, then $L(n) = H(n)$ and $H(2^{m+1} - n) H(n) \subseteq U_{m+1}$, a contradiction.

Using proposition 3.2, $R(2^{m+1} - n) H(n) \subseteq U_{m+1}$. By proposition 3.4,

$$L(n) = \{x \in H(n) : R'(2^{m+1} - n) x \in U_{m+1}\}$$

Let $p \in H(2^{m+1} - n)$ be an element that generates $R'(2^{m+1} - n)$, and let $\phi : H(n) \to H(2^{m+1})/U_{m+1}$ be the $\mathbb{K}$-linear transformation:

$$\phi : x \mapsto px/U_{m+1}$$

So that $L(n) = \ker \phi$. Since the image of ϕ is at most dimension 2, $\dim H(n)/L(n) \leq 2$. □

Let $L'(n) \subseteq H(n)$ be a space such that $L(n) \oplus L'(n) = H(n)$. Proposition 3.5 shows that $\dim L'(n)$ is either 1 or 2.

Define the space $E(n) \subseteq H(n)$ as:

$$E(n) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L(i)H(n-i) + H(i)R(n-i)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $n > 0$, $E(n)H(1) + H(1)E(n) \subseteq E(n+1)$.*

Proof. Using proposition 3.3,

$$E(n)H(1) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L(i)H(n-i) \cdot H(1) + H(i)R(n-i)H(1) \subseteq$$

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} L(i)H(n+1-i) + H(i)R(n+1-i).$$

It remains to show that $E(n)H(1) \subseteq L(n+1)H(0)+H(n+1)R(0) = L(n+1)$.

Let $m \geq 0$ be maximal such that $2^m \leq n+1$.

$$H(2^{m+1} - n - 1)E(n)H(1) \subseteq$$

$$H(2^{m+1} - n - 1)L(n - 2^m + 1)H(2^m) + H(2^m)R(2^m - 1)H(1) \subseteq$$

$$U_m H(2^m) + H(2^m)U_m \subseteq U_{m+1}$$

Therefore, by definition, $E(n)H(1) \subseteq L(n+1)$.

$H(1)E(n) \subseteq E(n+1)$ can be proven by symmetry. □

Let $E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(n)$.

Theorem 3.2. *E is an ideal of A.*

Proof. Apply lemma 3.1 to the definition of E. □

Proposition 3.6. *A/E is infinite dimensional.*

Proof.

$$\dim A/E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dim H(n)/E(n) > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dim H(n)/R(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dim R'(n) = \infty$$

□

Proposition 3.7. *A/E has quadratic or linear growth.*

Proof. Using the fact that $(L(i)H(n-i)+H(i)R(n-i)) \oplus L'(i)R'(n-i) = H(n)$, and recalling proposition 3.5,

$$\dim H(n)/E(n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \dim L'(i)R'(n-i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2 = 2(n+1),$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \dim H(i)/E(i) \leq n^2 + 3n + 1.$$

Proposition 3.6 shows algebra isn't finite dimensional. Bergman's Gap Theorem [3] proves that the only growths strictly less than quadratic are linear and finite, so A/E must have quadratic or linear growth. □

4 $E \supseteq \mathcal{E}(F_i)$

Theorem 4.1. *For any $n > 0$, let m be maximal such that $2^m \leq n$.*

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^{2^{m+1}-n} \{x \in H(n) : H(i)xH(2^{m+1}-n-i) \subseteq U_m H(2^m) + H(2^m)U_m\} \subseteq E(n).$$

Proof. It's sufficient to show that for any $0 \leq i \leq 2^{m+1}-n$ and any $x \in H(n)$ such that $x \notin L(2^m-i)H(n-2^m+i) + H(2^m-i)R(n-2^m+i)$,

$$H(i)xH(2^{m+1}-n-i) \nsubseteq U_m H(2^m) + H(2^m)U_m.$$

x can be uniquely decomposed into $x_1 + x_L x_R$, with:

$$x_1 \subseteq L(2^m-i)H(n-2^m+i) + H(2^m-i)R(n-2^m+i),$$

$$x_L \subseteq L'(2^m-i),\ x_R \in R'(n-2^m+i)$$

Under our assumption, $x_L x_R \neq 0$. However,

$$H(i)x_1H(2^{m+1}-n-i) \in$$

$$H(i)L(2^m-i)H(2^m) + H(2^m)R(n-2^m+i)H(2^{m+1}-n-i) \subseteq$$

$$U_mH(2^m) + H(2^m)U_m$$

Therefore it's sufficient to show there exists $y \in H(i)$ and $z \in H(2^{m+1}-n-i)$ such that $yx_Lx_Rz \notin U_mH(2^m) + H(2^m)U_m$.

As $x_L \notin L(2^m - i)$, there must exist a $y \in H(i)$ such that $yx_L \notin U_m$. Let $yx_L = x_{LU} + x_{LV}$, with $x_{LU} \in U_m$ and $0 \neq x_{LV} \in V_m$. Symmetrically, there's a $z \in H(2^{m+1} - n - i)$ with $x_R = x_{RU} + x_{RV}$, $x_{RU} \in U_m$, and $0 \neq x_{RV} \in V_m$.

$$yx_Lx_Rz = x_{LU}x_Rz + x_{LV}x_{RU} + x_{LV}x_{RV} \notin U_mH(2^m) + H(2^m)U_m$$

□

For any non-zero homogeneous space $F \subseteq H(n)$, let $\mathcal{E}(F)$ denote the space:

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn+j)FA.$$

Proposition 4.1. *For any non-zero homogeneous space $F \subseteq H(n)$, $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is an ideal.*

Proof. By the definition, it's clear that $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is right ideal. To prove it's a left ideal, it's sufficient to show that $H(1)\mathcal{E}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(F)$.

$$H(1)\mathcal{E}(F) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn+j+1)FA =$$

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn+j)FA \cap \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn+n)FA =$$

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn+j)FA \cap \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H(kn)FA \subseteq \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(kn+j)FA = \mathcal{E}(F).$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *For any $i \geq 0$, $\mathcal{E}(F_i) \subseteq E$.*

Proof. Since it's graded, $\mathcal{E}(F_i)$ can decomposed into homogeneous subspaces. If $n < 2^{N_i}$, $\mathcal{E}(F_i) \cap H(n) = \emptyset$, and if $n \geq 2^{N_i}$,

$$\mathcal{E}(F_i) \cap H(n) = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-j)2^{-N_i}-1 \rfloor} H(k2^{N_i}+j)F_i H(n-(k+1)2^{N_i}-j)$$

Let $n \geq 2^{N_i}$ and let m be maximal such that $2^m \leq n$. For any $0 \leq j \leq 2^{m+1} - n$,

$$\begin{gathered} H(j)(\mathcal{E}(F_i) \cap H(n))H(2^{m+1}-n-j) \subseteq \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (n+j)2^{-N_i}-1 \rfloor} H(k2^{N_i})F_i H(2^{m+1}-(k+1)2^{N_i}) \subseteq \\ H(k2^{N_i})U_{N_i} H(2^{m+1}-(k+1)2^{N_i}). \end{gathered}$$

Using proposition 3.1, this is contained in U_{m+1}, and so by thoerem 4.1, $\mathcal{E}(F_i) \cap H(n) \subseteq E(n)$. □

5 Enumerating elements

To build a Jacobson radical homomorphic image through this method, we use a method very similar to used in Theorem 9 in [7], but readapted for our constraints. First, we require that the field $\mathbb{K}$ be countable, so that we can enumerate the polynomials of $\bar{A}$. For each such $f \in \bar{A}$, we will find a $g \in \bar{A}$ and a sufficiently "small" F such that $f + g - fg \in \mathcal{E}(F)$.

Let $f \subseteq \bar{A}$ be any polynomial with no constant term, and let d be minimal such that $f \in \sum_{n=1}^{d} H(n)$. f can be decomposed as $f = f_{(1)} + \cdots + f_{(d)}$ with each $f_{(i)} \in F(i)$. Recursively define the spaces $s(n) \subseteq H(n)$ for each $n \geq 0$ with:

- $s(0) = 1$,
- $s(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n,d\}} f_{(i)} s(n-i)$ for $n > 0$.

This way,

$$s(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq i_1,\ldots,i_k \leq d, i_1+\cdots+i_k=n} f_{(i_1)} \cdots f_{(i_k)}.$$

Lemma 8 from [8] can be used to prove a simple property:

Lemma 5.1. *For any $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$ and any $n \geq m_1 + m_2 + 2d$,*

$$s(n) \subseteq \sum_{a,b=1}^{d} H(m_1 + a)s(n - m_1 - m_2 - a - b + 1)H(m_2 + b - 1)$$

Using s, we can build our subspace F. Recall that $|X|$ is the number of generators of A.

Theorem 5.2. *For any $N \geq 2d$, there exists a homogeneous subspace $F \subseteq H(N)$ with $\dim F \leq \left(\frac{|X|^d-1}{|X|-1}\right)^2$ and a polynomial $g \in \bar{A}$ such that $f+g-fg \in \mathcal{E}(F)$.*

Proof. Let $g = -\sum_{n=1}^{2N+d} s(n)$, and let P be the two-sided ideal generated by $\{s(2N+i)\}_{i=1}^{d}$. By the recursive construction of s,

$$g = -\sum_{n=1}^{2N+d} s(n) = -\sum_{n=1}^{2N+d} \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n,d\}} f_{(i)} s(n-i) =$$

$$-\sum_{n=1}^{d} f_{(n)} - \sum_{n=1}^{2N+d} \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n-1,d\}} f_{(i)} s(n-i) = -f - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{n=i+1}^{2N+d} f_{(i)} s(n-i) =$$

$$-f - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{n=1}^{2N} f_{(i)} s(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{n=2N+1}^{2N+d-i} f_{(i)} s(n) \in -f + fg + P$$

Now, set $F = \sum_{a,b=0}^{d-1} H(a)s(N-a-b)H(b)$. It is our goal to show that $P \subseteq \mathcal{E}(F)$. Thanks to proposition 4.1, it sufficient to show that for any $1 \leq i \leq d$, $s(2N+i) \in \mathcal{E}(F)$. Consequently, it's sufficient to show that for any $0 \leq j < N$,

$$s(2N+i) \in H(j)FH(N+i-j) = \sum_{a,b=0}^{d-1} H(j+a)s(N-a-b)H(N+i+b-j),$$

which can be extracted easily from lemma 5.1.

Finally, recall that $\dim H(n) = |X|^n$, where $|X|$ is the number of generators of A.

$$\dim F \leq \sum_{a,b=0}^{d-1} \dim H(a)s(N-a-b)H(b) = \sum_{a,b=0}^{d-1} |X|^{a+b} = \left(\frac{|X|^d - 1}{|X| - 1}\right)^2.$$

□

In order to make our quotient algebra $\bar{A}/E$ Jacobson radical, for every $f \in \bar{A}$ there needs to be a $g \in \bar{A}$ such that $f+g-fg \in E$. As $\bar{A}$ is countable, we can make an enumeration $f_1, f_2, \ldots$. For each f_m, let d_m be minimal such that $f_m \in \sum_{n=1}^{d_m} H(n)$. For any $N_m \geq 1 + \log_2 d_m$, theorem 5.2 can give us a $g_m \in \bar{A}$ and an $F_m \subseteq H(2^{N_m})$ such that $f_m + g_m - f_m g_m \in \mathcal{E}(F_m)$ and $\dim F_m \leq \left(\frac{|X|^{d_m}-1}{|X|-1}\right)^2$.

If each $\dim F_m < \frac{1}{2}(N_m - N_{m-1} + 1)$, then we can construct the ideal E as detailed in section 3. A/E is infinite dimensional (proposition 3.6), has quadratic growth (because affine algebras with linear growth are PI by Small-Stafford-Warfield Theorem [6]) with each $\dim H(n)/E(n) \leq 2(n+1)$ (proposition 3.7), and contains each $\mathcal{E}(F_m)$ (corollary 4.2). Fortunately, each N_m can be set arbitrarily high in relation to N_{m-1}. The needed upper bound of dimension of F_m depends on d_m, $|X|$, N_m and N_{m-1}, so if each N_m is set to $\lceil \sup\{1 + \log_2 d_m, 2\left(\frac{|X|^{d_m}-1}{|X|-1}\right)^2 + N_{m-1}\}\rceil$, each F_m will be "small enough" for the construction of E.

References

[1] Laurent Bartholdi, *Branch rings, thinned rings, tree enveloping rings*, Israel J. Math **154** (2006), 93-139.

[2] Laurent Bartholdi, Agata Smoktunowicz, *Images of Golod-Shafarevich algebras with small growth*, submitted.

[3] G R Krause and T H Lenagan, Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 22. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[4] T H Lenagan and Agata Smoktunowicz, *An infinite dimensional algebra with finite Gelfand-Kirillov algebra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (2007), no. 4, 989–1001

[5] T H Lenagan and Agata Smoktunowicz and Alexander Young, *Nil algebras with restricted growth*, to appear in Proc.Edin.Math.Soc.

[6] L W Small, J T Stafford and R B Warfield, Jr, *Affine algebras of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension one are PI*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 97 (1985), no. 3, 407–414

[7] Agata Smoktunowicz, *Jacobson radical algebras with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two over countable fields*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **209** (2007), no. 3, 839-851.

[8] Agata Smoktunowicz, Laurent Bartholdi, *Jacobson radical non-nil algebras of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 2*, to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics.

[9] Vishne, Uzi Primitive algebras with arbitrary Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. J. Algebra 211 (1999), no. 1, 150-158

Alexander A. Young:
Department of Mathematics
University of California at San Diego
9500 Gilman Drive
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112
USA

E-mail: aayoung@math.ucsd.edu
Agata Smoktunowicz:
Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences
School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh,
James Clerk Maxwell Building, King's Buildings, Mayfield Road,
Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, Scotland, UK

Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences
ul.Sniadeckich 8, P.O.Box 21, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail: A.Smoktunowicz@ed.ac.uk