

Au-MoS₂ hybrids as hydrogen evolution electrocatalysts

Ronen Bar-Ziv,¹ Priyadarshi Ranjan,² Anna Lavie,³ Akash Jain,⁴ Somenath Garai,³ Avraham Bar Hen,² Ronit Popovitz-Biro,³ Reshef Tenne,³ Raul Arenal,^{5,6,7} Ashwin Ramasubramaniam,^{*8} Luc Lajaunie,^{*7,9} Maya Bar-Sadan^{*2}

1. Nuclear Research Center Negev, Beer-Sheva 84190, Israel

2. Department of Chemistry, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

3. Department of Materials and Interfaces, Weizmann Institute, Rehovot 76100, Israel

4. Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

5. Laboratorio de Microscopías Avanzadas, Instituto de Nanociencia de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

6. ARAID Foundation, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain.

7. Instituto de Ciencias de Materiales de Aragon, CSIC-U. de Zaragoza, Calle Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

8. Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

9. Present address: Departamento de Ciencia de los Materiales e Ingeniería Metalúrgica y Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus Río San Pedro S/N, Puerto Real 11510, Cádiz, Spain

** Corresponding authors*

Abstract

Core-shell nanoparticles provide a unique morphology to exploit electronic interactions between dissimilar materials conferring them new or improved functionalities. MoS₂ is a layered transition-metal disulfide that has been studied extensively for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) but still suffers from low electrocatalytic activity due to its poor electronic conductivity. To understand the fundamental aspects of the MoS₂-Au hybrids with regard to their electrocatalytic activity, a single to a few layers of MoS₂ were deposited over Au nanoparticles via a versatile procedure that allows for complete encapsulation of Au nanoparticles of arbitrary geometries. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy of the Au@MoS₂ nanoparticles provides direct evidence of the

core-shell morphology and also reveals the presence of morphological defects and irregularities in the MoS₂ shell that are known to be more active for HER than the pristine MoS₂ basal plane. Electrochemical measurements show a significant improvement in the HER activity of Au@MoS₂ nanoparticles relative to free-standing MoS₂ or Au-decorated MoS₂. The best electrochemical performance was demonstrated by the Au nanostars – the largest Au core employed here – encapsulated in an MoS₂ shell. Density-functional theory calculations show that charge transfer occurs from the Au to the MoS₂ layers, producing a more conductive catalyst layer and a better electrode for electrochemical HER. The strategies to further improve the catalytic properties of such hybrid nanoparticles are discussed.

Keywords: Core-shell, Density Functional Theory, charge transfer, Nano stars, Nano rods, electrocatalysis, transition-metal dichalcogenides

1. Introduction

Layered transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), in particular of Group VIB TMDs like MoS₂, WS₂ and MoSe₂, used traditionally in petrochemistry, have begun to attract interest for key sustainable-energy reactions such as hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and CO₂ reduction.¹⁻⁵ In their ground state (2H phase), Mo and W TMDs are semiconductors with thickness-dependent band-gaps in the range of 1-2 eV⁶ and, consequently, are not particularly effective as electrocatalysts. Another limitation of these materials for electrocatalysis, as shown by both calculations and experiments, is that the active sites for HER are located along the (limited) exposed edges while the basal planes are relatively inert. It is against this background that efforts are currently being dedicated to further modify the MoS₂ structures with the aim of improving performance by increasing the density of their catalytic sites either by creating defects,⁷⁻⁹ modifying the morphology or by doping with other transition metals.^{2-3, 10-11} Another approach is to combine MoS₂ with other materials and produce hybrids with improved electrical conductivity,¹² more active basal planes,¹³ or improved photocatalytic activity.¹⁴⁻¹⁵ Recently, a study exploring the thickness dependence (number of layers) of MoS₂ electrocatalysts showed a significant improvement in the catalytic activity for HER with decreasing number of layers.¹⁶ The layer-dependent electrocatalysis was correlated to interlayer hopping of electrons between layers of MoS₂. Therefore, increasing the hopping efficiency is a crucial factor for the design of MoS₂ with optimal catalytic activity. Although many materials have been tested and researched as heterogenous catalysts, the use of hybrid materials, such as core-shell structures, is a noteworthy approach for tuning the catalytic properties of layered nanomaterials. In particular, increasing evidence shows that the core is not merely an inert support for the shell and complex interactions (electronic and mechanical) at the core-shell interface can alter catalytic behavior at the surface of few-layer shells.^{14, 17-18} However, there is lesser knowledge about the influence of such core-shell interactions on the mechanisms of electrocatalytic reactions, which is the focus of this work.

Hybrid nanoparticles made of coinage-metal cores coated with MoS₂ layers have been reported recently by several groups.^{12, 14-15, 17, 19-20} The choice of Au as a *model* core material, albeit expensive as a practical catalyst, is quite straightforward in this context: Au is inert towards oxidation; Au interacts strongly with MoS₂ via the S atoms; and the localized surface plasmon frequency of nanostructured Au is strong and in the visible range. The optical characteristics of

transition-metal core–MoS₂ shell nanoparticles present a new hybridized state between the surface plasmons of the metal core and the excitonic transitions of the MoS₂ shell.^{14-15, 17} These hybridized states can be optically tuned by varying the shape of the nanoparticles.²¹ Further modulation of this transition by coupling to the excitonic modes of MoS₂ has been demonstrated,^{14-15, 17} offering myriad potential applications.²² It is therefore appealing to study hybrid Au-MoS₂ core-shell structures as model photocatalysts²³⁻²⁵ and electrocatalysts.²⁶ However, while photocatalysis using plasmonic nanostructures is a complex process, wherein a correct understanding of the process may be hindered by strong thermal effects from the metal nanoparticles,²⁷ electrocatalysis is a more straightforward route towards understanding the basic mechanisms of reactions at Au-MoS₂ surfaces.

In this work, various MoS₂ and Au structures were produced: three geometries of Au cores coated by a conformal MoS₂ shell, as well as a complementary structure consisting of free-standing MoS₂ nanoflowers decorated with exposed Au nanoparticles. All materials were processed to produce drop-casted electrodes from a suspension of the catalysts in a Nafion-based ink and their electrocatalytic activity towards hydrogen evolution was studied. Electrochemical measurements reveal that the structures with the largest Au core (Au nanostars) are superior HER electrocatalysts with lower onset potentials and Tafel slopes relative to Au-decorated free-standing MoS₂. Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations suggest that the dominant mechanism for the improved catalytic activity is most likely one wherein the Au support promotes the formation of basal-plane S vacancies in MoS₂ and further stabilizes H adsorption at these catalytically active sites. These effects are driven by electron transfer from the Au core to the MoS₂ shell (also seen in the XPS measurements), and such charge transfer could also potentially improve the conductivity of the semiconducting 2H phase thereby benefiting overall electrocatalytic performance.

2. Experimental

Experimental procedures

All Au cores were pre-formed and then encapsulated within MoS₂ by overnight stirring with ammonium tetrathiomolybdate ((NH₄)₂MoS₄) to bind MoS₄⁻² anions to the Au cores, using procedures similar to those reported in our previous publication.¹⁷ Detailed procedures for the synthesis of the Au cores, the encapsulation in MoS₂ and the experimental procedures for the preparation of electrodes for the electrocatalytic measurements are available in the Supporting Information. In addition, two reference samples were produced—free standing MoS₂ and free-standing MoS₂ decorated with Au nanoparticles; detailed synthesis protocols and characterization are provided in the Supporting Information.

Computational methods

DFT calculations were performed using *Vienna Ab Initio* Simulation Package (VASP; version 5.4.1).²⁸⁻²⁹ The projector-augmented wave (PAW)³⁰⁻³¹ method was used to describe core and valence electrons along with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) form³² of the generalized-gradient approximation to describe electron exchange and correlation. The planewave kinetic energy cutoff was set to 400 eV and Gaussian smearing of 0.05 eV was used for Brillouin-zone integrations. Structural optimization was performed using the conjugate-gradient method with a force tolerance of 0.01 eV/Å. The relaxed lattice parameters for bulk Au (FCC) and single-layer MoS₂ (2H phase) are 4.15 Å and 3.18 Å, respectively. All calculations of isolated MoS₂ were performed using a 4 × 4 supercell; for Au-supported cases, supercells and atomic positions are provided in the Supporting Information. During relaxation of MoS₂/Au slab, the bottom two layers of Au were fixed at their bulk positions. To avoid spurious interactions with the periodic images, at least 10 Å of vacuum was inserted normal to the slabs. The Brillouin zone was sampled using a 5 × 5 × 1 *Γ*-centered *k*-point mesh in all calculations. H-adsorption energies were calculated by inserting one H atom on the surface of the slab and dipole corrections³³⁻³⁴ were applied along the normal direction. Electronic density-of-states were calculated using 3000 energy-grid points. Similar to prior studies,³⁵⁻³⁶ solvent effects have been neglected here, as a first approximation, and are not expected to change the overall conclusions.

3. Results and Discussion

The comparative study presented here is based on various morphologies of Au—spherical nanoparticles, elongated nanorods, and spiky Au nanostars—encapsulated within a few MoS₂ layers. In addition, a sample of Au nanoparticles deposited on nanostructured MoS₂ was produced, to represent samples where the Au is directly exposed to the surrounding medium and can itself potentially catalyze the HER reaction.

Materials analysis and characterization with electron microscopy

I. Au particles embedded within MoS₂ (P-Au@MoS₂)

Similar to the prior work,¹⁷ the product consisted of nanoparticles with sizes between 10-20 nm embedded within almost amorphous material. **Figure 1a** shows a TEM image of an assortment of P-Au@MoS₂ nanostructures showing that the Au cores are sheathed conformably by MoS₂ monolayers (blue arrows in Figure 1d); Often, this core–shell morphology is seen more clearly at higher magnification in **Figure 1b**. **Figure S1** shows the core level EELS spectrum of one such nanoparticle, showing Au, Mo and S signals. **Figure 1c** shows a STEM HAADF image of a group of P-Au@MoS₂ nanoparticles while **Figure 1d** displays a single nanoparticle at higher magnification using the same technique. Automatic indexing of the FFT performed using the JEMS software³⁷ revealed that the core of the nanoparticle corresponds to the Au face-centered cubic structure seen along the [011] zone axis. The Au core forms a multiply twinned particle, typical of Au nanostructures, with a truncated octahedron morphology.




Figure 1. Electron microscopy images of P-Au@MoS₂. a) TEM image of a few particles. b) TEM image of a single particle. c) STEM HAADF image of a group of particles with truncated-tetrahedron Au core structure. d) HR-STEM HAADF of a single particle. The inset shows the FFT analysis performed at the center of the nanoparticle in the area highlighted by the green square.

II. Au rods embedded within MoS₂ (R-Au@MoS₂)

The Au nanorods (aspect ratio of ~ 3), were coated with MoS₂ forming a single layer (**Figure 2a**) or a few-layers thick coating (**Figure 2b**), embedded within MoS₂ amorphous material. The coating of the MoS₂ layer was not as perfect as for the spherical nanoparticles,¹⁷ frequently consisting of incomplete shells. The Fourier transform (FFT) within the inset of **Figure 2b** shows the reflections from the (200) and (1-11) planes of Au. Note that the Au lattice is not coincident with the growth axis in this case. **Figure S2** shows another Au nanorod coated with a monolayer of MoS₂: the perfect contour of the MoS₂ layer and the lattice image of the Au nanorod can be appreciated. The (001) plane of the Au lattice is parallel to the growth axis of the nanorod, which is not always the case (*vide infra*). It is nonetheless not clear at this point if the lattice of the Au nanorod has any influence on the growth mode of the top MoS₂ layer. **Figure S3** shows a tilt series of one such nanorod in three angles ($0, \pm 25^\circ$). The tilt series shows, that notwithstanding the

imperfections in the MoS₂ layer, it encapsulates the entire Au nanorod. Note that the hybrid nanoparticle was placed on a lacy grid with its upper part placed on the amorphous carbon frame and its lower part suspended in vacuum. Therefore, the contrast and image-quality of the MoS₂ layer placed on the amorphous carbon substrate, is not as good as the one in vacuum. In general, the wrapping of the MoS₂ layer on the tip of the Au nanorod is less continuous along its facets. **Figure 3a** shows a STEM-HAADF image of a group of R-Au@MoS₂. The average value of the aspect ratio is 2.5, with variation between 1.6 and 3.3. **Figure 3b** shows the tip of one such hybrid nanoparticle in greater detail. The individual MoS₂ layers are clearly discernible on the surface of the nanorod. The MoS₂ interlayer distance has been extracted from this image and is equal to 0.70 nm. This value is slightly higher than the one reported for the interlayer spacing of few-layer MoS₂ (0.62 nm).³⁸⁻³⁹ The tip of the Au nanorod is coated with three MoS₂ layers, while two MoS₂ layers are seen at the facet of the nanorod. It is quite common to observe that the coating at the tip of the nanorod contains more MoS₂ layers than at the facets of the nanorods (**Figure S4a**). Note that the centers of the facets also tend to display a local increase of the number of MoS₂ layers (**Figure S4b**). Raman measurements of P-Au@MoS₂ and R-Au@MoS₂ showed that the separation of the E_{2g}¹ and A_{1g} peaks is 22-23 cm⁻¹ (**Figure S5**), also confirming that the MoS₂ shell comprises 2-3 molecular layers of MoS₂.³⁸ In particular, the observed shift of the E_{2g}¹ to lower frequencies, to and beneath 380 cm⁻¹, is the fingerprint of S vacancies.⁴⁰⁻⁴²




Figure 2. a-b) TEM image of an individual gold nanorod within MoS₂ (R-Au@MoS₂). The inset in b shows the FFT analysis performed within the area highlighted by the grey square.




Figure 3. (a) STEM HAADF of an assortment of R-Au@MoS₂. (b) HR-STEM HAADF micrograph of the tip of a single R-Au@MoS₂ nanoparticle. The green arrow highlights the area used to extract the intensity profile, displayed in the inset, of the STEM HAADF image. The black arrow in the inset marks the distance between three peaks of the intensity profile, showing a 0.70 nm spacing between adjacent layers.

III. Au nanostars embedded within MoS₂ (S-Au@MoS₂)

Large spiky nanostar Au particles were produced and coated with MoS₂. TEM images depict the nanostars before (**Figure 4a-c**) and after the coverage with MoS₂ (**Figure 4d-f**). The arm length varies between 60-360 nm and the diameter of the entire nanostructure is in the range of 115-475 nm. The coverage on the Au nanostars was more amorphous (**Figure 4f**), since a thermal annealing stage resulted in the reconstruction of the Au particles into featureless structures and the loss of the spiky nature. Additional data, including the change in absorption spectrum upon MoS₂ deposition, the powder XRD diffraction of the samples, and EDS chemical maps of the S-Au@MoS₂ structures are presented in **Figure S6-7** in the Supporting Information.




Figure 4. TEM images of Au nanostars: (a) A low magnification image. (b) A higher magnification of the area in the orange frame marked in (a). (c) A higher magnification of the area in the blue frame marked in (b). TEM images of Au nanostars coated with MoS₂: S-Au@MoS₂. (d) A low magnification image. (e) A higher magnification of the area in the orange frame marked in (d). (f) A higher magnification of the area in the blue frame marked in (e).

Electrocatalytic measurements

The electrocatalytic activity toward HER under acidic conditions (0.5 M H₂SO₄) was evaluated using a standard, three-electrode setup with the working electrode prepared by drop casting the catalysts onto a polished glassy carbon (GC) electrode. The polarization curves are presented in

Figure 5a from which we determine the overpotentials at $10 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ and the Tafel slopes (**Figure 5b**). The overpotentials of the hybrid materials are consistently lower than bare MoS_2 or Au. As reference samples, MoS_2 nanoflowers and Au-deposited nanoflowers were also prepared and measured for the catalytic activity towards HER (see the Supporting Information for detailed synthesis protocol and TEM images in **Figure S8**). The hybrids exhibit an improvement of more than 200 mV of the overpotential (at $10 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$) relative to edge-oriented MoS_2 nanoflowers, regardless of whether the Au particles are embedded within MoS_2 or exposed on its surface. The larger the Au structures, the lower is the overpotential at $10 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$, reaching 164 mV for the S-Au@ MoS_2 . Interestingly, the structures with similar size of Au particles (P-Au@ MoS_2 and nanoflowers deposited with Au particles) showed close results. The HER kinetics is also estimated using the corresponding Tafel plots (**Figure 5b**) from which we observe that MoS_2 nanoflowers or Au alone show inferior catalytic properties compared with the hybrid nanoparticles considering both the overpotential and the Tafel slope. The hybrid Au core - MoS_2 shell also show substantially lower Tafel slopes, reaching 50 mV/dec for S- MoS_2 , indicating a faster rate of the HER for the hybrids. The exchange current density, j_0 , is presented in Table S1, and shows moderately higher values for the hybrids.




Figure 5. (a) HER polarization curves. The polarization curves are based on geometrical current density and were not IR corrected. (b) Corresponding Tafel slopes obtained for the hybrid Au/MoS₂ materials, Au (bare rods), MoS₂ nanoflowers and commercial 10% Pt/C in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ (pH ~0.25). The scan rate of the polarization curves was 10 mV·sec⁻¹.

Additional insights into the improved catalytic activity of the hybrid Au@MoS₂ can be obtained via first-principles density functional theory (DFT) calculations. In particular, we seek to understand, via DFT calculations, the relative importance of mechanical strains and electronic interactions that arise at the Au–MoS₂ core–shell interface, and the implications of these effects for the catalytic properties of the MoS₂ shell. We modeled the Au@MoS₂ nanoparticle as a monolayer of MoS₂ (1L-MoS₂) supported on an Au (111) slab. As the calculated lattice mismatch

between the MoS₂ monolayer and the Au surface is 7.72%, it is unrealistic to enforce epitaxial matching on the MoS₂ layer; the alternate approach of compressing the Au slab³⁴ leads to artifacts in the electronic structure of the support. While it is reasonable to expect some degree of tensile strain in the curved MoS₂ shell, the precise values for our samples are difficult to extract experimentally as some disorder of the MoS₂ shell is also expected, based on previous data.⁴³ Hence, we chose to model the supported hybrid Au/MoS₂ system by rotating the MoS₂ layer to produce two coincident-site lattices, one with a small biaxial tensile strain, $\varepsilon=+0.67\%$, and the second with a larger tensile strain of $\varepsilon=+2.48\%$ (see Supporting Information for more details). While it is not possible to account fully for the geometric complexity of the Au cores, the slab models employed here nevertheless allow us to explore separately the strain and support effects that influence the catalytic activity of the MoS₂ shell, thus allowing for broader conclusions beyond the specific set of Au core structures reported here.

Figure 6 displays the free energy of hydrogen adsorption (ΔG_H), a widely-used descriptor of HER activity in acidic media,⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ for unsupported and Au-supported 1L-MoS₂ at standard conditions [300 K and a potential of 0 V vs. Reversible Hydrogen Electrode (RHE)]. ΔG_H was calculated as

$$\Delta G_H = E_{slab+H} - E_{slab} - \frac{E_{H_2}}{2} + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S, \quad (1)$$

where E_{slab+H} , E_{slab} , and E_{H_2} are the total energies of either 1L-MoS₂ or the composite 1L-MoS₂/Au (111) slab with an adsorbed H atom, the clean slab (no H), and an H₂ molecule in the gas phase, respectively; ΔE_{ZPE} and ΔS are the differences in zero-point energies and entropies, respectively, of an adsorbed H atom and its reference state in the H₂ molecule. As per Sabatier's principle,⁴⁷ a surface with $\Delta G_H=0$ is an ideal HER catalyst⁴⁶ with negative/positive values of ΔG_H leading to over-/under-binding of H. In agreement with previous reports,^{36, 48-50} we find that the basal plane of unstrained MoS₂ monolayer is inert towards HER due to its highly unfavorable $\Delta G_H \sim 1.9$ eV at a S top-site. At +0.67% and +2.48% strain, the adsorption energy decreases by 0.02 eV and 0.13 eV, respectively; the addition of the Au(111) support further lowers ΔG_H by 0.15 eV ($\varepsilon=+0.67\%$) and 0.16 eV ($\varepsilon=+2.48\%$). While the electronic effect of the Au support is more significant than the strain effect, the resulting adsorption energies at the S top-sites are still too thermodynamically unfavorable for HER. However, it is well known that basal plane S-vacancies in MoS₂ are highly active for HER^{36, 48} and, indeed, we find that ΔG_H drops to ~ 0.1 eV at a S-

vacancy site in unstrained MoS₂ monolayer. At +0.67% and +2.48% strain, ΔG_H decreases slightly to 0.05 eV and -0.06 eV, respectively, and the addition of the Au support further reduces these adsorption energies to -0.02 eV and -0.11 eV. Thus, at low to moderate tensile strains, the support and strain effects act in synergy and render S-vacancy sites more favorable for HER.




Figure 6. Free energy of H-adsorption (ΔG_H) at S top sites and S-vacancy sites on the basal plane of freestanding MoS₂ monolayer and Au (111)-supported MoS₂ monolayer at biaxial tensile strains of +0.67% and +2.48%. MoS₂ and MoS_{2-v} represents the defect-free and defective single layers, respectively. Blue and red dashed lines are the reference values of ΔG_H at a S top-site and a vacancy site, respectively, in the basal plane of an unstrained, freestanding MoS₂ monolayer.

Electronic structure calculations offer insights, beyond thermodynamics calculations, into the microscopic interactions between the MoS₂ monolayer and the Au (111) support. **Figure 7** displays the total and angular-momentum projected density of states (DOS) of a pristine, unsupported MoS₂ monolayer and the Au-supported counterparts at +0.67% and +2.48% biaxial strains. The absence of electronic states near the Fermi level for unsupported MoS₂ monolayer renders H-adsorption unfavorable, which is well documented.⁵⁰ However, when an MoS₂ monolayer is deposited on an Au support, we notice the gradual emergence of gap states near the Fermi level, which leads to slightly stronger—albeit, still thermodynamically unfavorable—adsorption of H. The density of the gap states increases with increasing tensile strain and the overall band gap of MoS₂ also decreases as known from previous studies.⁵¹⁻⁵⁵ A Bader analysis⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ reveals that electrons are transferred from the Au slab to the MoS₂ monolayer (1.4×10^{13} e⁻/cm² for $\varepsilon=+0.67\%$ and 8.28×10^{12} e⁻/cm² for $\varepsilon=+2.48\%$). Charge-density-difference plots (**Figure 8**)

are consistent with the Bader analysis and reveals that charge redistribution occurs largely at the MoS₂/Au interface with smaller effects on the upper S basal plane.




Figure 7. Total and angular-momentum-projected density of states (DOS) of (a) unsupported and unstrained 1L-MoS₂, (b) 1L-MoS₂ on Au (111) with +0.67% strain, and (c) 1L-MoS₂ on Au (111) with +2.48% strain. Only the states projected on to the MoS₂ layer are displayed for clarity and the Au states are excluded. The Fermi level is set to zero and the work function Φ of the various systems are also indicated in each panel.




Figure 8. Charge-density-difference plots for MoS₂ on Au, at (a) +0.67% and (b) +2.48% strain; isosurfaces are set to $4.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ e}^{-}/\text{\AA}^3$ and $5.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ e}^{-}/\text{\AA}^3$ in panels (a) and (b), respectively and yellow/cyan colors indicate charge accumulation/depletion. The charge transferred from the Au slab to MoS₂ is indicated in each case. Blue, red and orange spheres represent the S, Mo and Au atoms. (c, d) Integrated (over xy plane) charge-density differences corresponding to panels (a) and (b) as a function of distance normal to the slab (z); yellow, green and red dots show the z -coordinates of Au, S and Mo layers in the MoS₂/Au slab. Charge-transfer is strongly localized at the MoS₂/Au interface.

For unstrained MoS₂ monolayer with vacancy defects (MoS_{2-v}; **Figure 9**), we find that dangling Mo bonds at the S-vacancy appear as antibonding gap states, ~1 eV above the Fermi

level, consistent with previous reports^{36,50,59} and these defect states are responsible for enhancing H-adsorption. The addition of the Au support injects charge into the MoS₂ layer and shifts the Fermi level such that the vacancy defect states are much closer to the Fermi level, further stabilizing H adsorption at S-vacancy sites. Thus, our electronic structure studies confirm that, for a monolayer, the support effect, arising from charge transfer from Au to MoS₂, is dominant over the strain effect and that the new gap states introduced during this charge transfer process are responsible for promoting H adsorption on the sulfur basal plane. Furthermore, charge transfer to the MoS₂ shell can also improve the conductivity of the semiconducting 2H phase, which is, in turn, beneficial for electrocatalysis. XPS measurements on P-Au@MoS₂ show a shift in the binding energies of the Mo towards lower binding energies (compared with pristine MoS₂) and a shift of the Au binding energies towards the higher energies, usually attributed to charge transfer from the Au to the Mo (see **Figure S10** and **Table S2** for the XPS data and analysis).

We also performed a more detailed analysis (see Supporting Information) of the competing thermodynamics of HER and electrochemical desulphurization, the latter having been shown to be a promising route for activation of MoS₂ via generation of sulphur vacancies.^{45,60-61} In essence, the calculations show that at negative potentials, the Au support not only stabilizes H adsorption at the catalytically active sites but also promotes the formation of basal-plane S vacancies in MoS₂. Tensile strains are slightly detrimental to the formation of vacancies but once vacancies are formed, strain plays a smaller role in stabilizing H adsorption at these defects (see Supporting Information). The insights gained from these trends may serve to explain the dominant factors in this system as well as to indicate further possible activation routes for these materials. In a nutshell, the MoS₂ shells are likely defect-rich, in order to encapsulate the Au nanoparticle, producing a combined effect whereby S vacancies, strain, and charge injection from the substrate all make the MoS₂ monolayer a better HER catalyst. Finally, while we have not modeled multilayer MoS₂@Au structures due to the computational cost, we expect that the improvement in HER activity will be less significant as compared to the monolayer case since the dominant charge transfer effect is fairly localized to the interface between the first MoS₂ layer and the Au slab; the strain effect is expected to be of nearly similar magnitude between single and multiple layers and, as shown above, is of secondary importance to the charge transfer effect.




Figure 9. Total and angular-momentum-projected density of states of 1L-MoS₂ with a basal-plane S vacancy (MoS_{2-v}) for (a) an unsupported, unstrained single layer, (b) MoS_{2-v} on Au (111) at +0.67% strain, and (c) MoS_{2-v} on Au (111) +2.48% strain.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have presented a method to synthesize core-shell Au@MoS₂ nanoparticles and applied this to realize various MoS₂-coated Au nanoparticles. Electron microscopy showed that the MoS₂ layers completely encapsulate the Au nanoparticle even though these layers contain defects and seams. Such defects are more common near regions of high curvature such as the tips of the nanorods and nanostars. The hybrid Au@MoS₂ core-shell nanoparticles are superior electrocatalysts relative to free standing MoS₂. Theoretical modeling suggests that the improved

catalytic activity is likely due to charge transfer from the Au cores to the MoS₂ shells, which improves the electronic conductivity of the semiconducting MoS₂ shells and also reduces the energetic cost for generation of active chalcogen vacancy sites.

Acknowledgments

The electron microscopy was performed at the Irving and Cherna Moscowitz Center for Nano and Bio-Nano Imaging of the Weizmann Institute of Science. We acknowledge the support of the Israel Science Foundation grant # 339/18; the Kimmel Center for Nanoscale Science grant No. 43535000350000; the Irving and Azelle Waltcher Foundations in honor of Prof. M. Levy grant No. 720821; The Perlman Family Foundation; The HR-STEM and STEM-EELS studies were conducted at the Laboratorio de Microscopias Avanzadas, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain. R. A. acknowledges support from Spanish MINECO grant MAT2016-79776-P (AEI/FEDER, UE) and from EU H2020 “Graphene Flagship” grant agreement 785219. A. J. and A. R. gratefully acknowledge research funding from the U.S. Department of Energy under Award Number DE-SC0010610, and computing support from the Massachusetts Green High-Performance Computing Center. This research was supported by a grant from the United States - Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel (2016/149).

Supporting Information. Extended experimental section (including materials and methods), EELS measurements, additional TEM images, UV-Vis absorption, EDS maps, XPS and Raman characterization, calculation of the exchange current density; theoretical modeling of the thermodynamics of HER versus electrochemical desulphurization, structural models of Au-supported MoS₂ monolayers

References

1. Asadi, M.; Kim, K.; Liu, C.; Addepalli, A. V.; Abbasi, P.; Yasaei, P.; Phillips, P.; Behranginia, A.; Cerrato, J. M.; Haasch, R.; Zapol, P.; Kumar, B.; Klie, R. F.; Abiade, J.; Curtiss, L. A.; Salehi-Khojin, A., Nanostructured transition metal dichalcogenide electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction in ionic liquid. *Science* **2016**, *353* (6298), 467-470.
2. Meiron, O. E.; Kuraganti, V.; Hod, I.; Bar-Ziv, R.; Bar-Sadan, M., Improved catalytic activity of Mo_{1-x}W_xSe₂ alloy nanoflowers promotes efficient hydrogen evolution reaction in both acidic and alkaline aqueous solutions. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9* (37), 13998-14005.

3. Vasu, K.; Meiron, O. E.; Enyashin, A. N.; Bar-Ziv, R.; Bar-Sadan, M., Effect of Ru Doping on the Properties of MoSe₂ Nanoflowers. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *123* (3), 1987-1994.
4. Li, G.; Zhang, D.; Qiao, Q.; Yu, Y.; Peterson, D.; Zafar, A.; Kumar, R.; Curtarolo, S.; Hunte, F.; Shannon, S.; Zhu, Y.; Yang, W.; Cao, L., All The Catalytic Active Sites of MoS₂ for Hydrogen Evolution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (51), 16632-16638.
5. Lukowski, M. A.; Daniel, A. S.; Meng, F.; Forticaux, A.; Li, L.; Jin, S., Enhanced Hydrogen Evolution Catalysis from Chemically Exfoliated Metallic MoS₂ Nanosheets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135* (28), 10274-10277.
6. Wilson, J. A.; Yoffe, A. D., The transition metal dichalcogenides discussion and interpretation of the observed optical, electrical and structural properties. *Adv. Phys.* **1969**, *18* (73), 193-335.
7. Zhong, L.; Bruno, R. C.; Ethan, K.; Ruitao, L.; Rahul, R.; Humberto, T.; Marcos, A. P.; Mauricio, T., Defect engineering of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *2D Mater.* **2016**, *3* (2), 022002.
8. Xie, J.; Zhang, H.; Li, S.; Wang, R.; Sun, X.; Zhou, M.; Zhou, J.; Lou, X. W.; Xie, Y., Defect-Rich MoS₂ Ultrathin Nanosheets with Additional Active Edge Sites for Enhanced Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25* (40), 5807-5813.
9. Ye, G.; Gong, Y.; Lin, J.; Li, B.; He, Y.; Pantelides, S. T.; Zhou, W.; Vajtai, R.; Ajayan, P. M., Defects Engineered Monolayer MoS₂ for Improved Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16* (2), 1097-1103.
10. Deng, J.; Li, H.; Xiao, J.; Tu, Y.; Deng, D.; Yang, H.; Tian, H.; Li, J.; Ren, P.; Bao, X., Triggering the Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution Activity of the Inert Two-Dimensional MoS₂ Surface via Single-Atom Metal Doping. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8* (5), 1594-1601.
11. Wang, H.; Zhang, Q.; Yao, H.; Liang, Z.; Lee, H.-W.; Hsu, P.-C.; Zheng, G.; Cui, Y., High Electrochemical Selectivity of Edge versus Terrace Sites in Two-Dimensional Layered MoS₂ Materials. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14* (12), 7138-7144.
12. Li, Y.; Majewski, M. B.; Islam, S. M.; Hao, S.; Murthy, A. A.; DiStefano, J. G.; Hanson, E. D.; Xu, Y.; Wolverton, C.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Chen, X.; Dravid, V. P., Morphological Engineering of Winged Au@MoS₂ Heterostructures for Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, *18* (11), 7104-7110.
13. Maiti, P. S.; Ganai, A. K.; Bar-Ziv, R.; Enyashin, A. N.; Houben, L.; Bar Sadan, M., Cu_{2-x}S-MoS₂ Nano-Octahedra at the Atomic Scale: Using a Template To Activate the Basal Plane of MoS₂ for Hydrogen Production. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (14), 4489-4492.
14. Li, Y.; Cain, J. D.; Hanson, E. D.; Murthy, A. A.; Hao, S.; Shi, F.; Li, Q.; Wolverton, C.; Chen, X.; Dravid, V. P., Au@MoS₂ Core-Shell Heterostructures with Strong Light-Matter Interactions. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16* (12), 7696-7702.
15. Li, Y.; DiStefano, J. G.; Murthy, A. A.; Cain, J. D.; Hanson, E. D.; Li, Q.; Castro, F. C.; Chen, X.; Dravid, V. P., Superior Plasmonic Photodetectors Based on Au@MoS₂ Core-Shell Heterostructures. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11* (10), 10321-10329.
16. Yu, Y.; Huang, S.-Y.; Li, Y.; Steinmann, S. N.; Yang, W.; Cao, L., Layer-Dependent Electrocatalysis of MoS₂ for Hydrogen Evolution. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14* (2), 553-558.

17. Lavie, A.; Yadgarov, L.; Houben, L.; Popovitz-Biro, R.; Shaul, T.-E.; Nagler, A.; Suchowski, H.; Tenne, R., Synthesis of core-shell single-layer MoS₂ sheathing gold nanoparticles, AuNP@1L-MoS₂. *Nanotechnology* **2017**, *28* (24), 24LT03.
18. DiStefano, J. G.; Li, Y.; Jung, H. J.; Hao, S.; Murthy, A. A.; Zhang, X.; Wolverton, C.; Dravid, V. P., Nanoparticle@MoS₂ Core-Shell Architecture: Role of the Core Material. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (14), 4675-4682.
19. Li, Z.; Jiang, S.; Xu, S.; Zhang, C.; Qiu, H.; Li, C.; Sheng, Y.; Huo, Y.; Yang, C.; Man, B., Few-layer MoS₂-encapsulated Cu nanoparticle hybrids fabricated by two-step annealing process for surface enhanced Raman scattering. *Sens. Actuators B Chem* **2016**, *230*, 645-652.
20. Chen, P. X.; Qiu, H. W.; Xu, S. C.; Liu, X. Y.; Li, Z.; Hu, L. T.; Li, C. H.; Guo, J.; Jiang, S. Z.; Huo, Y. Y., A novel surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy substrate based on a large area of MoS₂ and Ag nanoparticles hybrid system. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *375*, 207-214.
21. Eustis, S.; El-Sayed, M. A., Why gold nanoparticles are more precious than pretty gold: Noble metal surface plasmon resonance and its enhancement of the radiative and nonradiative properties of nanocrystals of different shapes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, *35* (3), 209-217.
22. Shi, Y.; Wang, J.; Wang, C.; Zhai, T.-T.; Bao, W.-J.; Xu, J.-J.; Xia, X.-H.; Chen, H.-Y., Hot Electron of Au Nanorods Activates the Electrocatalysis of Hydrogen Evolution on MoS₂ Nanosheets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (23), 7365-7370.
23. Yin, Z.; Chen, B.; Bosman, M.; Cao, X.; Chen, J.; Zheng, B.; Zhang, H., Au Nanoparticle-Modified MoS₂ Nanosheet-Based Photoelectrochemical Cells for Water Splitting. *Small* **2014**, *10* (17), 3537-3543.
24. Li, X.; Guo, S.; Kan, C.; Zhu, J.; Tong, T.; Ke, S.; Choy, W. C. H.; Wei, B., Au Multimer@MoS₂ hybrid structures for efficient photocatalytical hydrogen production via strongly plasmonic coupling effect. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *30* (C), 549-558.
25. Yang, L.; Guo, S.; Li, X., Au nanoparticles@MoS₂ core-shell structures with moderate MoS₂ coverage for efficient photocatalytic water splitting. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2017**, *706*, 82-88.
26. Shi, J.; Ma, D.; Han, G.-F.; Zhang, Y.; Ji, Q.; Gao, T.; Sun, J.; Song, X.; Li, C.; Zhang, Y.; Lang, X.-Y.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Z., Controllable Growth and Transfer of Monolayer MoS₂ on Au Foils and Its Potential Application in Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8* (10), 10196-10204.
27. Sivan, Y.; Un, I. W.; Dubi, Y., Assistance of metal nanoparticles in photocatalysis – nothing more than a classical heat source. *Faraday Discuss.* **2019**, *214*, 215-233
28. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J., Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54* (16), 11169-11186.
29. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J., Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *6* (1), 15-50.
30. Blöchl, P. E., Projector Augmented-Wave Method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50* (24), 17953-17979.
31. Kresse, G.; Joubert, D., From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1999**, *59* (3), 1758-1775.

32. Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M., Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77* (18), 3865-3868.
33. Makov, G.; Payne, M. C., Periodic boundary conditions in ab initio calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *51* (7), 4014-4022.
34. Neugebauer, J.; Scheffler, M., Adsorbate-substrate and adsorbate-adsorbate interactions of Na and K adlayers on Al(111). *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *46* (24), 16067-16080.
35. Tsai, C.; Chan, K.; Nørskov, J. K.; Abild-Pedersen, F., Theoretical insights into the hydrogen evolution activity of layered transition metal dichalcogenides. *Surf. Sci.* **2015**, *640* (Supplement C), 133-140.
36. Li, H.; Tsai, C.; Koh, A. L.; Cai, L.; Contryman, A. W.; Fragapane, A. H.; Zhao, J.; Han, H. S.; Manoharan, H. C.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; Nørskov, J. K.; Zheng, X., Activating and optimizing MoS₂ basal planes for hydrogen evolution through the formation of strained sulphur vacancies. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *15*, 48.
37. Stadelmann, P. JEMS-SAAS. <http://www.jems-saas.ch/Home/jemsWebSite/jems.html>.
38. Lee, C.; Yan, H.; Brus, L. E.; Heinz, T. F.; Hone, J.; Ryu, S., Anomalous Lattice Vibrations of Single- and Few-Layer MoS₂. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4* (5), 2695-2700.
39. Deokar, G.; Vignaud, D.; Arenal, R.; Louette, P.; Colomer, J. F., Synthesis and characterization of MoS₂ nanosheets. *Nanotechnology* **2016**, *27* (7), 075604.
40. Parkin, W. M.; Balan, A.; Liang, L.; Das, P. M.; Lamparski, M.; Naylor, C. H.; Rodríguez-Manzo, J. A.; Johnson, A. T. C.; Meunier, V.; Drndić, M., Raman Shifts in Electron-Irradiated Monolayer MoS₂. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10* (4), 4134-4142.
41. Chen, Y.; Huang, S.; Ji, X.; Adepalli, K.; Yin, K.; Ling, X.; Wang, X.; Xue, J.; Dresselhaus, M.; Kong, J.; Yildiz, B., Tuning Electronic Structure of Single Layer MoS₂ through Defect and Interface Engineering. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12* (3), 2569-2579.
42. Wu, K.; Li, Z.; Tang, J.; Lv, X.; Wang, H.; Luo, R.; Liu, P.; Qian, L.; Zhang, S.; Yuan, S., Controllable defects implantation in MoS₂ grown by chemical vapor deposition for photoluminescence enhancement. *Nano Res.* **2018**, *11* (8), 4123-4132.
43. Gołasa, K.; Grzeszczyk, M.; Binder, J.; Božek, R.; Wysmołek, A.; Babiński, A., The disorder-induced Raman scattering in Au/MoS₂ heterostructures. *AIP Advances* **2015**, *5* (7), 077120.
44. Greeley, J.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Bonde, J.; Chorkendorff, I.; Nørskov, J. K., Computational high-throughput screening of electrocatalytic materials for hydrogen evolution. *Nat. Mater.* **2006**, *5*, 909.
45. Nørskov, J. K.; Bligaard, T.; Logadottir, A.; Kitchin, J. R.; Chen, J. G.; Pandelov, S.; Nørskov, J. K., Trends in the exchange current for hydrogen evolution. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2005**, *152* (3), J23-J26.
46. Parsons, R., The rate of electrolytic hydrogen evolution and the heat of adsorption of hydrogen. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1958**, *54* (0), 1053-1063.
47. Sabatier, P., *Catalysis in Organic Chemistry*. D. Van Nostrand Company: 1922.

48. Tsai, C.; Li, H.; Park, S.; Park, J.; Han, H. S.; Nørskov, J. K.; Zheng, X.; Abild-Pedersen, F., Electrochemical generation of sulfur vacancies in the basal plane of MoS₂ for hydrogen evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15113.
49. Jin, H.; Guo, C.; Liu, X.; Liu, J.; Vasileff, A.; Jiao, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Qiao, S.-Z., Emerging Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials for Electrocatalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (13), 6337-6408.
50. Lin, S.-H.; Kuo, J.-L., Activating and tuning basal planes of MoO₂, MoS₂, and MoSe₂ for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17* (43), 29305-29310.
51. He, K.; Poole, C.; Mak, K. F.; Shan, J., Experimental Demonstration of Continuous Electronic Structure Tuning via Strain in Atomically Thin MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13* (6), 2931-2936.
52. Conley, H. J.; Wang, B.; Ziegler, J. I.; Haglund, R. F.; Pantelides, S. T.; Bolotin, K. I., Bandgap Engineering of Strained Monolayer and Bilayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13* (8), 3626-3630.
53. Scalise, E.; Houssa, M.; Pourtois, G.; Afanas'ev, V.; Stesmans, A., Strain-induced semiconductor to metal transition in the two-dimensional honeycomb structure of MoS₂. *Nano Res.* **2012**, *5* (1), 43-48.
54. Johari, P.; Shenoy, V. B., Tuning the Electronic Properties of Semiconducting Transition Metal Dichalcogenides by Applying Mechanical Strains. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6* (6), 5449-5456.
55. Koskinen, P.; Fampiou, I.; Ramasubramaniam, A., Density-Functional Tight-Binding Simulations of Curvature-Controlled Layer Decoupling and Band-Gap Tuning in Bilayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112* (18), 186802.
56. Henkelman, G.; Arnaldsson, A.; Jónsson, H., A fast and robust algorithm for Bader decomposition of charge density. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2006**, *36* (3), 354-360.
57. Sanville, E.; Kenny, S. D.; Smith, R.; Henkelman, G., Improved grid-based algorithm for Bader charge allocation. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2007**, *28* (5), 899-908.
58. Tang, W.; Sanville, E.; Henkelman, G., A grid-based Bader analysis algorithm without lattice bias. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2009**, *21* (8), 084204.
59. Le, D.; Rawal, T. B.; Rahman, T. S., Single-Layer MoS₂ with Sulfur Vacancies: Structure and Catalytic Application. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118* (10), 5346-5351.
60. Park, S.; Park, J.; Abroshan, H.; Zhang, L.; Kim, J. K.; Zhang, J.; Guo, J.; Siahrostami, S.; Zheng, X., Enhancing Catalytic Activity of MoS₂ Basal Plane S-Vacancy by Co Cluster Addition. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3* (11), 2685-2693.
61. Li, G.; Fu, C.; Wu, J.; Rao, J.; Liou, S.-C.; Xu, X.; Shao, B.; Liu, K.; Liu, E.; Kumar, N.; Liu, X.; Fahlman, M.; Gooth, J.; Auffermann, G.; Sun, Y.; Felser, C.; Zhang, B., Synergistically creating sulfur vacancies in semimetal-supported amorphous MoS₂ for efficient hydrogen evolution. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2019**, *254*, 1-6.

Table of Contents Figure

