





Jesús P. Val-Aguasca¹, María Videgain-Marco¹, Pablo Martín-Ramos¹, Mariano Vidal-Cortés², Antonio Boné-Garasa³ and F. Javier García-Ramos^{1,*}

- ¹ Departamento de Ciencias Agrarias y del Medio Natural, EPS, Universidad de Zaragoza, Carretera de Cuarte, s/n, 22071 Huesca, Spain; 648857@unizar.es (J.P.V.-A.); mvidegain@unizar.es (M.V.-M.); pmr@unizar.es (P.M.-R.)
- ² Departamento de Ingeniería Mecánica, EPS, Universidad de Zaragoza, Carretera de Cuarte, s/n, 22071 Huesca, Spain; vidalcor@unizar.es
- ³ Departamento de Ingeniería de Diseño y Fabricación, EPS, Universidad de Zaragoza, Carretera de Cuarte, s/n, 22071 Huesca, Spain; anbone@unizar.es
- * Correspondence: fjavier@unizar.es; Tel.: +34-974-239-305

Received: 9 November 2019; Accepted: 9 December 2019; Published: 11 December 2019



Abstract: Combine harvesters are an important cause of fires worldwide. The purpose of this work has been to investigate the critical points associated with the risk of fire, identified through a survey distributed to combine owners in Aragon (Spain). Information was collected on the technical characteristics of the machines and, when appropriate, on the characteristics of the generated fires (crop, use of straw chopper, point in which the fire was originated, etc.). Based on the survey data, relationships between the characteristics of the machine and the ignition of a fire were analyzed, and the points of the harvester in which the fire originated were investigated. A statistically significant relationship of fire risk was only found with the number of hectares harvested, in such a way that the risk would be especially high for machines with more than 6000 accumulated ha. 32% of the fires were originated in the engine zone, compared with 31% in the cutting bar and 18% in the bearings and belts. The study was completed with on-site temperature measurements carried out on nine machines in 2018 and 2019, in which temperatures above 250 °C were recorded in the exhaust manifold and in the cutting bar. These temperatures exceeded the ignition thresholds obtained in the flammability studies conducted for wheat residues collected from the harvesters.

Keywords: agricultural machinery; cutting bar; EN ISO/IEC 80079-20-2; flammability; ignition temperature; straw chopper; temperature sensor

1. Introduction

Fires constitute a serious threat, both due to the destruction of environmental heritage and of forest crops, material goods, and human lives [1]. Taking into account the very high percentage of unwanted fires related to human activities and behaviors [2], long-term policies must focus on eliminating these causes. The analysis of social, technical, ecological, and economic factors that contribute to fire risk should be the starting point of those policies [3]. Agriculture is one of the sectors to be studied.

Agricultural losses due to fires are not limited, in the short term, to the destruction of crops, but, in the medium term, result in production capacity losses that have been estimated in 35%–45% in subsequent years [4].

In Spain, the causes behind fires are of a different nature. Based on monthly newsletters published by the Spanish Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAPA), the causality of fires (classified into five groups: negligence and accident, intentional, natural (lightning), unknown, and fire reproduction) can be analyzed for the different regions. In the case of Aragon, there were 226 and 357 forest fires in 2018 and 2019, which affected a total surface of 215 and 1291 ha, respectively. The second most important cause of those fires, after negligence, was associated with agricultural machinery (including harvesters), which accounted for 8.8% of the fires that occurred in 2018 and 9.2% of those that took place in 2019.

The possible causes of fire ignition in agricultural machines and specifically in harvesters are very varied. Quick [5] lists a number of potential points in which fire can start: engine and flue gas outlets, transmissions, bearings, brakes, electrical short-circuits, impact of mowing heads with stones or other elements, and introduction of foreign bodies into the machine. Of all of them, the most common cause is the accumulation of combustible material in points with high temperatures located near the engine. The surface temperatures of these elements can reach 500 °C [5], exceeding the ignition temperatures of the crop residues, which vary depending on the thickness of the sample, but which are generally above 200 °C in the case of wheat straw [6]. As for the spread of the fire, field conditions can favor or hinder the process, which would be influenced by four main factors: relative humidity, ambient temperature, wind speed, type and condition of the crop [5,7].

Despite the importance of the consequences of agricultural and forest fires, there are hardly any studies focused on the causes of fires in combine harvesters. So far, the most important studies have been those carried out in the USA by Shutske et al. [8,9], in which the authors investigated over 4000 fires in harvesters and tractors, and were able to gain access to the machine to perform a detailed study in 265 cases. Based on the data obtained, the authors concluded that 74% of the fires originated in the engine area due to different causes (e.g., surface heating, combustion gas outlet, and electrical components). It was also concluded that the material that started the fire was generally the crop residues, followed by fuel and oil remains.

In Australia, another study by White et al. [10] (Kondinin group), conducted with data from 1170 farmers, reported that approximately 25% of respondents had experienced a fire in their harvesters. According to the farmers, the main cause of fire was the accumulation of dust and crop residues on the hot surfaces of the machine (33% of the cases) followed by the heating of bearings (22%). It was also concluded that regular maintenance and cleaning of the machine was key to reducing the risk of fire. In another study, also carried out in Australia, Quick [5] analyzed 77 cases of fires in harvesters, estimating that 45% of the fires originated in the area of the engine, followed by bearings and transmissions with 22%. It was also concluded that the accumulation of electrostatic charges was an important cause for the ignition of the fire, highlighting the importance of an appropriate maintenance and monitoring of the equipment to prevent fires. In Turkey, Keskin et al. [11] examined 116 combine harvesters field accidents, concluding that 41.4% of those accidents were caused by fire originated in engine, fuel loading, cutting head, and electric contacts.

In the absence of data in Spain, this work aims to provide information on the risks of fire in harvesters in Aragon, in order to characterize the profile of the machines that would be more prone to start a fire. To this end, a survey was distributed among harvester owners and several machines were continuously monitored throughout the 2018 and 2019 summer harvest campaigns in order to obtain information about the temperatures reached in different parts of the machines, comparing them with flammability studies for wheat residues collected from the harvesters.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Survey

A survey was carried out among harvester owners in Aragon with a view to identifying critical points of the machinery, as well as to characterize the profile of the machines with the highest risk of fire. The survey was structured in two blocks: the first one referred to the technical characteristics of the machine (manufacturer, power, age of the machine, harvested hectares, etc.) and was applicable to all harvesters, while the second block focused on the characteristics of the fire in the harvester (crop,

point of the machine in which the fire originated, etc.) and only applied to harvesters associated with fires. The complete survey is available at http://bit.ly/encuestacosechadoras.

Subsequently, a statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v.18 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). The analysis had three parts: first, the relationship between the power, age, and annual harvested hectares variables was analyzed, creating a new variable named "accumulated hectares", which represented the number of hectares that had been harvested when the fire occurred (that is, annual harvested hectares × age) and which would refer to the wear or use of the machine at the time of the fire. In a second stage, the relationship of the variables mentioned above with the risk of fire was studied, using contingency tables. For this, categorical variables were created for the accumulated hectares (0–2000, 2001–4000, 4001–6000, and > 6000 ha) and for the age of the machine (0–10, 11–20, and > 20 years). In the third part, the areas of origin of the fire were investigated.

2.2. Flammability and Susceptibility to Spontaneous Combustion Studies

In the 2018 harvest season, samples of wheat residue accumulated in different areas of five combine harvesters (cutting head, front axle, straw chopper, engine area, lateral area of the machine with transmissions to cleaning systems) were collected in order to study their ignition point properties, correlating them with the temperatures measured in the harvesters. The proximate and elemental analysis results are summarized in Table S1, and the granulometric analysis results are reported in Table S2.

Wheat residues were dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h. Subsequently, samples were sieved using a sieve tower with five sieves (with 4, 2, 1 mm, 500 and 250 μ m mesh apertures) and a BA200N electromagnetic sieve shaker (CISA, Barcelona, Spain), for 3 min and with an oscillation amplitude of 2 mm.

The combustion mechanism was investigated by thermogravimetric/derivative thermogravimetric (TG/DTG) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses, conducted using a TG-DSC2 (Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA) simultaneous analyzer. The analyses were carried out both in inert (N₂) and oxidizing (air) atmosphere, in the 25–600 °C range, at a heating rate of 20 °C/min.

Ignition tests were carried out according to UNE-EN ISO/IEC 80079-20-2:2016. "Explosive atmospheres. Part 20-2: Material characteristics-Combustible dusts test methods". Three thicknesses of wheat residue (5, 10, and 15 mm) were assayed, and for each thickness three particle sizes (< 250, 250, and 500 μ m) were tested. A fully compliant custom-made hot plate layer ignition apparatus, supplied by the Electronic Instrumentation Laboratory at Universidad de Zaragoza, was used in the tests.

2.3. In Situ Harvester Monitoring

Throughout the 2018 and 2019 harvest campaigns, nine machines were monitored, installing temperature probes (type K thermocouples) in different points of each machine, in addition to ambient temperature/humidity probes (HOBO Pro v2; Onset Computer Corp., Bourne, MA, USA) outside (in the exterior antennas of the cabins). The points selected to place the temperature probes were chosen based on the risk zones detected in the initial survey and on the feasibility of placing temperature probes during the harvest process (Table 1): exhaust manifold, selective catalytic reduction system (SCR, next to the urea injection point), diesel particulate filter (DPF), engine block, gearbox, engine transmission output, hydrostatic system output, and engine cylinder heads. Table 2 shows the main characteristics of the monitored harvesters, which were selected with the aim of having a variety of machines that could be sufficiently representative of the fleet of harvesters in Aragón.

Probe Location	Harvester						
TIOUC Location	1	2	3	4	5	6-7-8-9	
Exhaust manifold	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	
SCR *	Х						
DPF *		Х					
Engine block		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Gearbox	Х	Х					
Engine transmission output	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	
Hydrostatic system output				Х	Х		
Engine cylinder heads					Х		

Table 1. Probe locations in the monitored harvesters.

* SCR and DPF stand for selective catalytic reduction and diesel particulate filter, respectively.

Power (HP/kW)	Age (years)	Cutting Width (m)	ha/year	Technical Characteristics *	Monitored Period (dd/mm/yy)
435/320	0	7.7	>500	SCR + EGR (w/o filter)	15/06/18-16/07/18
435/320	2	7.7	>500	EGR + DPF	15/06/18-16/17/18
160/119	16	5.1	200	Untreated exhaust	15/06/18-16/07/18
250/184	8	6.1	>500	Untreated exhaust	18/06/19-01/07/19
180/132	16	5.2	>500	Untreated exhaust	18/06/19-01/07/19
275/202	3	6.7	>500	EGR + DPF	13/06/19-06/07/19
220/164	10	6.0	350	EGR (w/o filter)	22/06/19-04/07/19
400/294	0	7.0	>500	SCR + EGR + DPF	03/07/19-19/07/19
420/309	13	7.0	>500	Untreated exhaust	04/07/19-19/07/19
	Power (HP/kW) 435/320 435/320 160/119 250/184 180/132 275/202 220/164 400/294 420/309	Power (HP/kW)Age (years)435/3200435/3202160/11916250/1848180/13216275/2023220/16410400/2940420/30913	Power (HP/kW)Age (years)Cutting Width (m)435/32007.7435/32027.7160/119165.1250/18486.1180/132165.2275/20236.7220/164106.0400/29407.0420/309137.0	Power (HP/kW)Age (years)Cutting Width (m)ha/year435/32007.7>500435/32027.7>500435/32027.7>500160/119165.1200250/18486.1>500180/132165.2>500275/20236.7>500220/164106.0350400/29407.0>500420/309137.0>500	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

 Table 2. Characteristics of the combine harvesters monitored in 2018 and 2019.

* SCR, EGR, and DPF stand for selective catalytic reduction, exhaust gas recirculation, and diesel particulate filter, respectively.

Additionally, a test was carried out with a harvester's cutting bar in poor conditions and with very little maintenance, with the cutting bar running and the machine parked. For this, three thermocouples were installed in the cutting bar (on a blade with friction, on a normal blade, and on a cutting plate) to monitor temperature evolution. One of the probes installed on the cutting bar is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Temperature probe installed in the cutting bar.

Aforementioned direct temperature measurements were complemented with those obtained with a Ti200 infrared thermal camera (Fluke Corp., Everett, WA, USA). This real-time non-contact condition monitoring tool is useful for early detection of equipment flaws [12] and, in the particular case of grain harvesters, has been successfully used to monitor the temperature in belt transmissions [13].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Relationships between Variables

In the survey distributed among combine harvester owners, data from 221 harvesters were obtained, reporting 74 cases of fire. Based on the data of the 221 harvesters, Figure 2 shows the relationships between the harvested hectares per year, power, age, and accumulated hectares variables, starting from the hypothesis that the machines that harvest more hectares per year will be more exposed and may be more likely to cause a fire. It can be observed that the machines that harvest more hectares per year have higher power levels, are newer, and have a higher number of accumulated harvested hectares (i.e., greater wear).



Figure 2. Relationship between the annual hectares, power, age, and accumulated hectares variables considering the 221 harvesters included in the survey. Mean values ± standard deviations are shown.

3.2. Relationship between Variables and Fire

From the contingency tables of each variable with respect to fire, it was observed that the age ($\chi^2 = 0.673$), power ($\chi^2 = 0.723$), and annual hectares ($\chi^2 = 0.766$) variables did not show a statistically significant relationship with fire. However, for the accumulated hectares variable a $\chi^2 = 0.055$ was obtained, very close to the level of significance (0.05). The contingency table showed very similar fire percentages for machines that had worked up to 6000 ha, but, once this threshold had been exceeded, the fire percentage would be high (60%).

Since the total accumulated hectares was the only variable that was related to fire risk, a more detailed analysis of possible relationships of this variable with the rest of the variables was carried out. No significant relationship was detected with the use of the straw chopper ($\chi^2 = 0.282$), but a significant

relationship was observed with the power variable ($\chi^2 = 0.012$), apart from the age ($\chi^2 = 0.000$), and annual hectares ($\chi^2 = 0.000$) variables of which it directly depended.

The relationships between the three variables, considering only the 74 harvesters for which fire cases were reported, are shown in Figure 3. The profile of machines with more than 6000 accumulated ha and that would present a greater probability of fire would correspond to machines with an average power of 286 HP, an average age of 15 years and which harvest an average of 548 ha/year. Currently this machine profile represents 11% of the total harvesters in Spain.



Figure 3. Relationship between the accumulated hectares and annual hectares, power, and age considering only the 74 harvesters associated with fire cases. Average values ± standard deviations are shown.

Information on the relationship between combine characteristics and fire is very limited and controversial. Shutske et al. [8], considering 50 combine fires, described a mean harvester age of 8.1 years and an average accumulated machine use at the time of the fire of 1640 h. This research was carried out in 1988, when a typical working width was 6 m. In this sense, for an average forward speed of 4 km/h, the number of accumulated hectares in 1640 h would be 3936 ha. These values (age and accumulated hectares) are significantly lower than those obtained in the current research for the machine profile with higher fire risk. On the other hand, White et al. [10] did not find a relationship between the average hours accumulated by the machine and fire occurrence in the case of 396 combines of several models of five different brands, with an accumulated hours range that varied from 393 to 2189 h.

3.3. Determination of Fire Source Areas

Based on the contingency table in which the relationship between the area of origin of the fire and the use of the straw chopper was analyzed, a value of $\chi^2 = 0.159$ was obtained, so the use of the straw chopper did not favor the origin of the fire in any specific area of the machine.

In Table 3, the fire source areas are shown according to the data collected in the survey and from data provided by an insurance company (updated in 2017). In both cases, it could be observed that the area in which more fires originated was the engine (~32%). However, according to the insurer's data, the second most important cause of fire would be electric failures (26%), while in the survey this cause was estimated to account for 10% of the fires. According to the data collected in the survey, the second area in which more fires would originate would be the cutting bar (31%), which only accounted for 11% of the fires according to the insurer. Bearings, belts, and other areas of the machine (brakes, alternator, straw chopper, etc.) presented similar percentages in the survey and in the insurer's database. If these data are compared with the literature [5,8–10], the results are coincident in that the engine area would be the main cause of fire, and in that the bearings and belts would also be responsible for a significant percentage of the fires.

 Table 3. Comparison of main areas of origin of fire in harvesters.

Zone	Survey	Insurance Company	Quick [5]	Shutske et al. [8]	Shutske et al. [9]
Cutting bar	30.77%	11.11%	6.49%	-	3%
Electrical causes	8.79%	25.93%	12.99%	34%	14%
Engine	31.87%	33.33%	45.45%	40%	33%
Other areas	10.99%	7.41%	12.97%	8%	28%
Bearings and belts	17.58%	22.22%	22.10%	18%	22%

3.4. Thermal Analysis, Hot Plate Auto-Ignition Point of the Residues

Figure S1 shows the TG, DTG, and DSC curves related to the wheat residue samples, in an inert atmosphere and in an oxidative atmosphere. In the TG curve, several stages of weight loss could be observed. The first stage, between room temperature and 150 °C, would be related to moisture removal. In the second stage (150–350 °C), depending on the atmosphere, up to 50% of the mass would be volatilized, and would correspond to oxidative pyrolysis and release of volatiles coming from the thermal decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose, and part of lignin. True combustion would take place above 350 °C, in which lignin residues and a mixture of carbonaceous solids (with a small mineral component) would undergo moderate combustion (smoldering) up to approximately 500 °C. At temperatures of 600 °C, weight losses of over 60% in N₂ atmosphere and of ca. 80% in O₂ atmosphere were registered. The weight loss maxima were sensitized on DTG curves with peaks at 72–72.8, 300–307, and 456 °C, depending on operative conditions.

The DSC curve in O_2 atmosphere was characterized by the presence of two endotherms between 300 and 500 °C, corresponding to the hemicellulose and cellulose decomposition reactions, with maxima at 324 and 458 °C. The former corresponds to oxidative pyrolysis and the latter to combustion.

From the thermal analysis data, it could be inferred that the lowest temperature at which ignition could occur was 150 °C, and that a perfectly declared process would occur at 307 °C, with an associated heat of reaction close to 900 kJ/kg.

The results of the hot-plate auto-ignition tests are shown in Table 4. A decrease in ignition temperature was observed when the particle size of the residue was smaller, in agreement with the findings of Polin et al. [14] for sunflower dust field samples from combine harvesters. Similarly, for the same particle size, the ignition temperature decreased as the sample thickness increased. The minimum ignition temperature was 250 °C, associated with the 250 μ m residue and a thickness of 15 mm. These results were in good agreement with those obtained by Fernandez-Anez et al. [6], who reported a value of 250 °C for a thickness of residue of 50 mm.

It must be taken into consideration that in combine harvesters the residue particles show a size distribution in which small sizes constitute a high percentage in weight. Polin et al. [15], working with sunflower residue, obtained a 78% of residue particles below 750 μ m. In the study presented herein, 66% of the residue particles collected from the five combine harvesters were below 1 mm.

An univariate test of between-subjects effects was conducted for temperatures as a function of the exhaust gases treatment system considering the five technologies present in the machines tested (Table 2: EGR+DPF, EGR, SCR+EGR+DPF, SCR+EGR, and untreated exhaust; where EGR, DPF and SCR stand for exhaust gas recirculation, diesel particulate filter and selective catalytic reduction, respectively). According to Table S3, there were significant temperature differences (F = 1550.14; p = 0.000) depending on the exhaust gases treatment system. Results of Tukey's HSD test showed differences between all systems, with the highest mean temperatures in SCR+EGR and SCR+EGR+DPF systems (Table 5 and Figure S2). These results are in good agreement with the findings reported by Quick [5], who referred that higher temperatures in combine harvesters adapted to Tier 3 (particulate matter restrictions) and Tier 4 (particulate matter and NO_x restrictions) emission control standards for engines.

Thickness	Size	Surface Temperature (°C)	Test Result	Ignition Time (min)
		290	Ignition	5
	< 250 µm	280	No Ignition	30
		280	No Ignition	30
F	250	320	Ignition	3
5 mm	250 µm	310	No Ignition	30
	500 um	340	Ignition	3
	500 µm	330	No Ignition	30
	1 mm	360	Ignition	2
	1 11111	350	No Ignition	30
		280	Ignition	7
	< 250 µm	270	Ignition	10
		260	No Ignition	45
	250 μm	300	Ignition	5
10 mm		290	Ignition	6
		280	No Ignition	45
		320	Ignition	3
	500 um	310	Ignition	5
	500 μπ	300	Ignition	6
		290	No Ignition	45
		260	Ignition	13
	< 250 µm	250	Ignition	28
		240	No Ignition	120
		280	Ignition	11
	250 um	270	Ignition	12
15 mm	250 µm	260	Ignition	17
		250	No Ignition	60
		290	Ignition	10
		280	Ignition	12
	500 µm	270	Ignition	16
		260	Ignition	20
		250	No Ignition	90

Table 4. Results of hot-plate auto-ignition tests of wheat residue layers according to UNE-EN ISO/IEC80079-20-2:2016.

Esthernet Cases Transferrent Careton		Subset					
Exhaust Gases Treatment System	N	1	2	3	4	5	
EGR + DPF	1665	82.5238					
EGR (w/o filter)	1036		110.6356				
Untreated exhaust	3626			147.5165			
SCR + EGR + DPF	773				163.3424		
SCR + EGR (w/o filter)	2448					190.2254	
Sig.		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

Table 5. Results from Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) test for temperatures as a function of the exhaust gases treatment system.

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed. Based on observed means. The error term is mean square error = 2098.044. Tukey's HSD test uses harmonic mean sample size = 1410.917. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed. Alpha = 0.05.

3.5. On-Site Monitoring of Harvesters

Temperature data collected in the nine machines monitored in field conditions is summarized in Table 6. It is worth noting that the highest temperatures were recorded in the exhaust manifold for all machines, with maximum temperatures above 200 °C in five of the machines and, in two cases (machines #1 and #4), with temperatures above 250 °C, which reached up to 305 °C in machine #1, showing an important risk of residue ignition. This would corroborate that the engine zone (exhaust manifold) would be a key zone, in which temperatures above the ignition temperature of the crop residue [5,6] can be reached.

It is important to keep in mind that the risk of fires originated in harvesting machines increases when the weather conditions are adverse [8]. Venem et al. [16] concluded that 48.5% of the fires coincided with the hours of highest temperatures (2:00–4:00 pm). In Table 6 it may be observed that ambient temperatures reached extreme values coinciding with temperatures higher than 250 °C in the exhaust manifold of some machines, thus constituting high fire risk situations. Given that the annual number of high-temperature days (HTDs, viz. days with mean temperatures higher than 20, 22.5, and 25 °C) is increasing across southern Europe, as shown by Cardil et al. [17] for temperature data in the June–September period from 1978 to 2012 in the south of France, interior Spain, and the northwestern Iberian Peninsula, the probability of such high fire risk situations is expected to continue increasing.

Considering an ignition temperature of the wheat residue of ca. 250 °C, machines #1 and #4 were analyzed in more detail, in order to determine the operating time in which this threshold was exceeded (in this case in the exhaust manifold). Figure 4 shows the temperatures registered during the test period in the exhaust manifold of machines #1 and #4.



Figure 4. Temperature evolution in the exhaust manifold (**blue**) versus straw ignition temperature (**red**). **Left**: Machine #1; **Right**: Machine #4. Periods in which the machines were not working (e.g., nights) are not shown.

In the case of machine #1, one can observe that this threshold ($250 \,^{\circ}$ C) was occasionally exceeded (specifically, 3.51% of the working time), while in machine #4 the threshold was exceeded during a 16.63% of the working time, thus generating a high risk of ignition of the residue and, consequently, of fire.

		N	Combine l	Harvester	Ambient Conditions	
Machine	Probe Location		T _{op} (°C)	T _{max} (°C)	T _{max} (°C)	RH (%)
	Exhaust manifold	2448	190.2 ± 42.3	305.4		
X Z X Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	SCR	2448	97.3 ± 19.2	142.1	10.0	29.9
Machine #1	Gearbox	2448	52.2 ± 11.3	105.7	42.2	
	Engine transmission output	2448	69.1 ± 11.4	89.1		
	Particulate filter	1418	109.7 ± 16.2	154.2		20.5
Mashina #2	Gearbox	1418	52.5 ± 9.9	97.1	12.0	
Machine #2	Engine block	1418	56.2 ± 5.2	69.2	43.8	
	Engine transmission output	1418	81.1 ± 8.6	95.1		
	Exhaust manifold	608	118.2 ± 27.3	159.9		22.6
Machine #3	Engine block	1121	77.6 ± 9.7	87.9	42.6	
	Engine transmission output	1121	69.4 ± 11.7	87.7		
	Exhaust manifold	968	216.7 ± 42.5	289.9		15.8
36 1	Engine block	968	72.5 ± 6.2	82.3	44.0	
Machine #4	Engine transmission output	968	55.8 ± 7.6	70.4	44.8	
	Hydrostatic system output	968	54.9 ± 7.4	69.5		
	Exhaust manifold	963	155.8 ± 21.2	222.7		16.4
Machina #F	Engine block	963	78.3 ± 8.5	93.1	44.0	
Machine #5	Hydrostatic system output	963	51.4 ± 5.9	67.8	44.9	
	Engine cylinder heads	963	70.2 ± 4.5	78.8		
	Exhaust manifold	1665	82.5 ± 13.1	105.8		11.2
Machine #6	Engine block	866	70.1 ± 8.1	85.9	47.9	
	Engine transmission output	1665	60.3 ± 8.7	80.4		
	Exhaust manifold	1036	110.6 ± 21.8	156.4		
Machine #7	Engine block	1036	71.4 ± 7.7	87.7	-	-
	Engine transmission output	1036	65.2 ± 9.3	80.1		
Machine #8	Exhaust manifold	773	163.3 ± 35.0	230.3		
	Engine block	773	73.7 ± 6.2	84.9	-	-
	Engine transmission output	773	39.6 ± 12.3	70.6		
	Exhaust manifold	574	132.8 ± 46.9	243.2		
Machine #9	Engine block	574	69.3 ± 4.6	78.8	42.8	21.2
	Engine transmission output	574	70.8 ± 10.6	94.2		

Table 6. Temperatures recorded during the 2018 and 2019 campaigns in the nine harvesters monitored in field conditions. Maximum ambient temperatures and the associated relative humidity (RH) are also shown for comparison purposes.

 T_{op} stands for operating temperature (average \pm standard deviation) and T_{max} stands for maximum registered temperature. SCR stands for selective catalytic reduction.

Figure 5 shows the histogram of the time periods in which the temperature exceeded 250 °C in machines #1 and #4. Although in most of the periods the duration was close to 10 min, a period of 100 consecutive minutes with temperatures above 250 °C was registered in machine #4, and a period of 70 min was recorded for machine #1. This fact, taking into consideration the ignition times of the crop residue (Table 4), reinforces the conclusion regarding the high fire risk at the exhaust manifold of machines #1 and #4.



Figure 5. Histogram of time periods in which temperatures above 250 °C were reached in the exhaust manifold. **Left**: Machine #1; **Right**: Machine #4.

The tests carried out with the cutting bar, the second most important cause of fire according to data from the survey, made it possible to compare temperature evolution in a blade operating in normal conditions compared to a blade subjected to friction (Figure 6). It was observed that 10–15 min after the movement of the cutting blade had started, the friction blade had reached 428 °C (compared to a maximum temperature of 52 °C for a blade that operated in normal conditions). In the thermal image (Figure 6, **right**), the difference in temperature between the blade subjected to friction and the other blades working in normal conditions becomes apparent. A short video is provided in supporting information (video S1). Poor maintenance, in this case of the cutting bar, can result in abnormal temperatures and frictional spark generation, which in the presence of agricultural waste can easily cause a fire.



Figure 6. Left: Temperature evolution in the cutting bar for a blade in good conditions (**blue**) and for a blade subjected to friction (**red**). The ambient temperature is shown in black. **Right**: Thermal image of blade subjected to friction.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first report on the temperature that a cutting bar subjected to friction can reach. In this sense, fire risk related to the cutting bar has generally been associated with the sparks caused by some stones when struck by metallic harvester front components such as the skid plates or even the sickle bar [5].

4. Conclusions

From the statistical analysis of the survey data, with regard to the technical characteristics of the harvesters, only the total hectares harvested in the lifetime of the machine had a significant relationship with the fire risk, in such a way that the risk of fire would considerably increase over 6000 accumulated ha. In relation to the areas of highest risk within the harvester, 32% of the fires had their origin in the area of the engine, compared with 31% in the cutting bar and 18% in the bearings and belts.

From the ignition point experiments conducted using wheat residue samples to describe conditions that could start fires in the harvesters, it was found that ignition temperatures would range from 250 to 340 °C, depending on the size and thickness of the wheat waste, in good agreement with thermal analysis (TG, DTG, DSC) results. In tests carried out in situ on nine harvesters, temperatures of up to 300 °C were detected in the engine area (exhaust manifold). Significant temperature differences on the exhaust manifold were found depending on the exhaust gases treatment system, with the highest mean temperatures for SCR + EGR and SCR + EGR + DPF systems. In the cutting bar, a blade working in friction conditions reached temperatures above 400 °C. These temperatures, together with the accumulation of crop residue, would be responsible for the origin of the fires. The identification of the points of the machine with the greatest risk of fire opens the way to implement measures to minimize this risk, such as continuous monitoring by means of temperature sensors that provide warnings in the machine cabin, in the same way that remote sensors are currently used to assess the susceptibility of forest masses and crops.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/9/12/877/s1. Table S1: Proximate analysis and elemental analysis results for the wheat residues; Table S2: Granulometric analysis results for the wheat residues from five combine harvesters used in the hot plate auto-ignition studies; Figure S1: DSC, TG, and DTG curves for wheat residues in inert and oxidative conditions; Table S3: Tests of between-subjects effects (results from univariate analysis) for temperatures as a function of the exhaust gases treatment system.; Figure S2: Results from univariate analysis for temperatures as a function of the exhaust gases treatment system; Video S1: Temperature evolution in a cutting bar.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.J.G.-R.; Methodology, F.J.G.-R., P.M.-R., M.V.-C., and A.B.-G.; Validation, F.J.G.-R. and M.V.-M.; Formal analysis, J.P.V.-A., F.J.G.-R., and P.M.-R.; Investigation, J.P.V.-A., M.-V.-M., F.J.G.-R., P.M.-R., M.V.-C., and A.B.-G.; Resources, F.J.G.-R.; Writing—original draft preparation, J.P.V.-A., F.J.G.-R., and P.M.-R.; Writing—review and editing, F.J.G.-R. and P.M.-R.; Visualization, J.P.V.-A., F.J.G.-R., Supervision, F.J.G.-R. and P.M.-R.; Project administration, F.J.G.-R.; Funding acquisition, F.J.G.-R.

Funding: This research was funded by Gobierno de Aragón, under project "ICO: Análisis de riesgos de incendios en cosechadoras de cereales" (Order DRS/190/2017).

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the use of Servicio General de Apoyo a la Investigación-SAI, Universidad de Zaragoza. The collaboration of ASAJA Huesca, AGPME-Itaga, AGRACON, ANSEMAT, Sociedad Cooperativa del Campo Santa Leticia, JACA-LARRAZ agricultural services, Berdún Canal agricultural services, and COGASAL garage is also gratefully acknowledged.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Shah, V.; Twidwell, D.; Wonkka, C.L.; Sindelar, M.T.; Weir, J.R. First Approximations of Prescribed Fire Risks Relative to Other Management Techniques Used on Private Lands. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0140410.
- Vélez, R. El reto de la prevención de los incendios forestales en un ambiente global. In Proceedings of the II Congreso Forestal Español, Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza, Pamplona, Spain, 23–27 June 1997; pp. 479–484.
- Birot, Y.; Rigolot, E. La necesidad de estrategias que se anticipen al cambio climático entre otros. In *Convivir con los Incendios Forestales: Lo que nos Revela la Ciencia*; Birot, Y., Ed.; European Forest Institute: Joensuu, Finland, 2009; pp. 82–85.
- Bragachini, M.A.; Mendez, A.A.; Peiretti, J.; Santa Juliana, D.M.; Velez, J.P.; Sanchez, F.R.; Villarroel, D.D.; Scaramuzza, F.M.; Pognante, J.; Gallarino, A. *Incendios de Cosechadoras y Rastrojos en Argentina y su Impacto Económico, Ambiental y Social*; INTA: Buenos Aires, Argentina, 2013; p. 15.
- 5. Quick, G.R. *An Investigation into Combine Harvester Fires;* Grains Research and Development Corporation: Canberra, Australia, 2010; p. 20.
- 6. Fernandez-Anez, N.; Garcia-Torrent, J. Influence of particle size and density on the hot surface ignition of solid fuel layers. *Fire Technol.* **2018**, *55*, 175–191. [CrossRef]
- 7. Cardil Forradellas, A.; Salis, M.; Spano, D.; Delogu, G.; Molina Terrén, D. Large wildland fires and extreme temperatures in Sardinia. *IForest-Biogeosci. For.* **2014**, *7*, 162–169. [CrossRef]

- Shutske, J.; Field, W.E. An Integrated Loss Control Strategy for Grain Combine Fires. In 1988 International Winter Meeting of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers; American Society of Agricultural Engineers: Chicago, IL, USA, 2014; p. 17.
- 9. Shutske, J.M.; Field, W.E.; Gaultney, L.D.; Parsons, S.D. Agricultural machinery fire losses: A preventative approach. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* **1990**, *6*, 575–581. [CrossRef]
- 10. White, B.; Giumelli, J. RRR-Owner Survey: Harvester Fires; Kondinin Group: Perth, Australia, 2006.
- 11. Keskin, M.; Şekerli, Y.E. An evaluation of combine harvester accidents in turkey. J. Agric. Fac. Mustafa Kemal Univ. 2018, 23, 137–147.
- Bagavathiappan, S.; Saravanan, T.; George, N.P.; Philip, J.; Jayakumar, T.; Raj, B. Condition monitoring of exhaust system blowers using infrared thermography. *Insight-Non-Destr. Test. Cond. Monit.* 2008, 50, 512–515. [CrossRef]
- 13. Stawicki, T.; Sędłak, P. Thermal imaging studies on grain harvester belt transmissions. *Diagnostyka* **2015**, *16*, 36–42.
- 14. Polin, J.P.; Gu, Z.; Humburg, D.; Dalsted, K. Sunflower dust properties that contribute to increased fire risk during harvest and biorefinery operations. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* **2013**, *50*, 227–231. [CrossRef]
- 15. Polin, J.P.; Gu, Z.; Humburg, D.S.; Dalsted, K.J. Source of airborne sunflower dust generated during combine harvester operation. *Biosyst. Eng.* 2014, *126*, 23–29. [CrossRef]
- Venem, M.; Shutske, J. Combine fire prevention and control summit. In Proceedings of the 2002 ASAE Annual Meeting/CIGR XVth World Congress, American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Chicago, IL, USA, 28–31 July 2002; p. 028017.
- 17. Cardil, A.; Molina, D.M.; Kobziar, L.N. Extreme temperature days and their potential impacts on southern Europe. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* **2014**, *14*, 3005–3014. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).