

[Click here to view linked References](#)1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Genetic uniqueness of *Cryptosporidium parvum* from dairy calves in Colombia**

2

3 Catalina Avendaño¹, Ana Ramo², Claudia Vergara-Castiblanco², Caridad Sánchez-Acedo²,

4 Joaquín Quílez^{2*}

6 ¹ Faculty of Animal Sciences, University of Applied and Environmental Sciences,

7 U.D.C.A, Bogotá, Colombia.

8 ² Department of Animal Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, University of Zaragoza,

9 50013 Zaragoza, Spain.

10

11 Short title: *Cryptosporidium* from cattle in Colombia

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 *Corresponding author: Department of Animal Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences,

21 University of Zaragoza, Miguel Servet 177, 50013 Zaragoza, Spain.

22 Tel.: +34 976 762150; fax: +34 976 761612. E-mail address: jquilez@unizar.es (J. Quílez).

23

24

1
2
3
4 **25 Abstract**

5
6 **26**

7
8
9 **27** Fecal specimens from 432 pre-weaned calves younger than 35 days were collected over a
10
11 **28** two-year period (2010 – 2012) from 74 dairy cattle farms in the central area of Colombia.
12
13
14 **29** These samples were microscopically examined for the presence of *Cryptosporidium*
15
16 **30** oocysts and positive specimens were selected for molecular examination. Microscopy
17
18
19 **31** revealed that 115 calves (26.6%) from 44 farms (59.5%) tested positive. Oocyst shedding
20
21 **32** was recorded in calves aged 3 day-old onwards, although the infection rate peaked at 8 – 14
22
23
24 **33** days (40.7%). Infection rates were higher in diarrheic (52.2%) than in non-diarrheic calves
25
26 **34** (19.9%) ($p < 0.0001$, χ^2) and infected calves had up to 7 times more probability of having
27
28
29 **35** diarrhea than non-infected calves. *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes were successfully
30
31 **36** identified in 73 samples from 32 farms. Restriction and sequence analyses of the *SSU rRNA*
32
33
34 **37** gene revealed *C. parvum* in all but two isolates identified as *Cryptosporidium bovis*.
35
36 **38** Sequence analyses of the 60-KDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) gene revealed eight subtypes within
37
38
39 **39** the IIa family. An unusual subtype (IIaA8G5R1) was the most prevalent and widely
40
41 **40** distributed (more than 66% specimens and 68% farms) while the subtype most frequently
42
43 **41** reported in cattle worldwide (IIaA15G2R1) was found in less than 13% of specimens and
44
45 **42** 16% farms. The remaining subtypes (IIaA16G2R1, IIaA17G4R1, IIaA20G5R1,
46
47
48 **43** IIaA19G6R1, IIaA20G6R1 and IIaA20G7R1) were restricted to 1-3 farms. This is the first
49
50
51 **44** large-sample size study of *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes in Colombia and
52
53 **45** demonstrates the genetic uniqueness of this protozoan in cattle farms in this geographical
54
55 **46** area.

56
57
58 **47**

59
60 **48** Key words: *Cryptosporidium* species, *gp60* subtypes, dairy calves, Colombia
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

Cryptosporidium is a major cause of diarrhea in humans and livestock worldwide. The genus consists of multiple genetically distinct species and genotypes whose identification relies on molecular methods since oocysts are morphologically indistinguishable. Thirty-one *Cryptosporidium* species have been reported to date, although only two are responsible for most human infections, including the anthroponotic species *C. hominis* and the zoonotic species *C. parvum* (Ryan et al., 2016). The latter is widely endemic and one of the most common causes of profuse watery diarrhea in pre-weaned calves which are considered to be the major zoonotic reservoir for humans (Chalmers and Katzer, 2013). Infections in post-weaned calves, heifers or adult cattle are mostly due to other ruminant-adapted *Cryptosporidium* spp., including *C. ryanae*, *C. bovis* and *C. andersoni* (Ryan et al., 2014). The latter two species have occasionally been reported in humans, although they do not significantly contribute to zoonotic cryptosporidiosis (Zahedi et al., 2016).

Molecular analysis using the highly polymorphic 60 kDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) gene has identified human-specific, animal-specific and zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes. At least 14 subtype families have been identified to date among *C. parvum* isolates from humans and animals (IIa to IIo) as well as several subtypes within each family (Ryan et al., 2014). Some families (especially IIc and IIe) have so far only been found in humans, thereby indicating anthroponotic transmission, but other families such as IIa and IId are found in both humans and ruminants and cause zoonotic cryptosporidiosis. The IIa family (particularly the major

1
2
3
4 72 zoonotic subtype IIaA15G2R1) is the most frequently reported in cattle worldwide (Ryan et
5
6 73 al., 2014).

7
8
9 74
10
11 75 Cryptosporidiosis is a significant public health problem in South American countries where
12
13 76 high infection rates are usually reported in children and immunocompromised patients, and
14
15 77 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts have been detected in water and food (Putignani and Menichella,
16
17 78 2010). The protozoan has also been recognized as a cause of neonatal diarrhea in calves in
18
19 79 Brazil, Venezuela, Argentina and Chile; molecular studies have been carried out in some of
20
21 80 these countries (Surumay-Vílchez and Alfaro, 2000; Del Coco et al., 2008, 2014; Meireles
22
23 81 et al., 2011; Mercado et al., 2015). *Cryptosporidium* oocysts have been detected in human
24
25 82 drinking water and water from dairy farms in Colombia (Alarcón et al., 2005; Rodríguez et
26
27 83 al., 2012; Lora-Suárez et al., 2016; Triviño-Valencia et al., 2016). Infection rates ranging
28
29 84 from 10.4 % to 29% have been reported in HIV+ patients and several *Cryptosporidium*
30
31 85 species and subtypes have been identified in Colombian humans, including *C. hominis*
32
33 86 (IdA19 and IaA12R8), *C. parvum* (IIcA5G3c), *C. felis* and *C. viatorum* (Flórez et al., 2003;
34
35 87 Navarro-i-Martínez et al., 2006; Velasco et al., 2011; Sánchez et al., 2017).

36
37 88
38
39 89 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts have also been identified in fecal specimens from both diarrheic
40
41 90 and asymptomatic neonatal calves in Colombia, and anti-*Cryptosporidium* antibodies have
42
43 91 been detected in serum samples from adult cattle in the Andean region (Vergara-
44
45 92 Castiblanco et al., 2001; Pardo and Oliver, 2012; Hernández-Gallo and Cortés-Vecino,
46
47 93 2012; Cadavid-Betancur et al., 2014; Pulido-Medellín et al., 2014). However, data on
48
49 94 *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes infecting cattle are much more limited, just a single
50
51 95 reference reporting the presence of *C. parvum* in calves (Ocampo et al., 2012). It is worth
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

96 noting that cattle-breeding is the most widespread agricultural activity in Colombia which
97 has the fourth largest stock in South America and is among the top 11 countries having the
98 highest dairy cow population in the world
99 (<https://www.ciwf.org.uk/media/5235182/Statistics-Dairy-cows.pdf>). The current study was
100 designed to provide data on the occurrence, age distribution and contribution of
101 *Cryptosporidium* to neonatal diarrhea in cattle farms in Colombia. The potential public
102 health significance of zoonotic *C. parvum* subtypes from pre-weaned dairy calves was also
103 investigated.

104

105 **Materials and methods**

106

107 **Sample collection**

108

109 Fresh fecal specimens were collected over a two-year period (2010 to 2012) from the
110 rectum of 432 diarrheic and non-diarrheic calves (*Bos taurus*) younger than 35 days from
111 74 dairy cattle farms. Calves in most farms (71/74) were reared under a semi-extensive
112 system. The farms were located in 22 municipalities in four departments in Colombia's
113 central area: Antioquia (2 farms / 1 municipality), Boyacá (21/3), Cundinamarca (50/15)
114 and Meta (1/1). One to 31 samples were collected from each farm (mean: 5.8 ± 6.4). The
115 population was stratified into five age groups: ≤ 7 days (n: 53), 8 – 14 days (n: 118), 15 –
116 21 days (n: 102), 22 – 28 days (n: 104) and > 28 days (n: 55) (Table 1). Carbol fuchsin
117 negative staining of direct fecal smears was used for detecting *Cryptosporidium* oocysts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

118 (Heine, 1982); microscopy-positive fecal samples were selected for molecular
119 characterization.

120

121 **DNA extraction**

122

123 Oocysts were concentrated from two grams of positive feces using a previously described
124 saturated sodium chloride flotation method (Elwin et al., 2001). Floating material
125 containing oocysts was washed with distilled water to remove salt residue; the oocysts were
126 then suspended in 1 ml of distilled water. Oocyst suspensions were stored at 4°C until
127 required. A QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for total DNA
128 extraction from 200 µl oocyst suspensions, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. An
129 initial step involving three freeze-thaw cycles (freezing in liquid nitrogen for 1 min and
130 heating at 100°C for 5 min) followed by incubation at 56°C for 30 min in lysis buffer
131 containing proteinase K was incorporated in the protocol. DNA was stored at –20°C.

132

133 **Molecular characterization**

134

135 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were identified at species level by a previously described nested
136 PCR of a small-subunit (*SSU*) *rRNA* gene fragment and restriction fragment length
137 polymorphism (RFLP) analysis with *SspI*, *VspI*, and *MboII* endonucleases (Fermentas Life
138 Sciences, EU) (Xiao et al., 2001; Feng et al., 2007). Primary PCR step involved a reaction
139 containing 5 µl DNA template, 1×PCR buffer, 6mM MgCl₂, 200 µM of each
140 deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP), 0.2 µM of each primer and 2.5 U Taq polymerase in

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

141 50 µl total reaction volume. Thirty-five cycles were performed, each consisting of 94°C for
142 45 s, 55°C for 45 s and 72°C for 1 min; initial denaturation was done at 94°C for 3 min and
143 a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The secondary PCR mixture and cycling
144 conditions were identical to those used in the primary PCR, except for 3 mM MgCl₂
145 concentration and 5 µl primary PCR product. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose
146 gels and restriction products on 2% gels and stained with GelRed nucleic acid gel stain
147 (Biotium, Hayward, CA). A subset of 10 representative isolates (including samples which
148 had produced a banding pattern different from that for *C. parvum* with the conventional
149 restriction enzymes) were selected to confirm RFLP results by DNA sequence analysis.

150

151 Samples containing *C. parvum* were subtyped by nested PCR and direct sequencing of a
152 60-kDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) gene fragment (~850 bp) as described by Alves et al. (2003).
153 The PCR mixture consisted of 1 µl DNA template (for primary PCR) or 1 µl primary PCR
154 product (for secondary PCR), 1×PCR buffer, 3 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM of each dNTP, 0.2 µM
155 of the forward and reverse primers and 5 U Taq polymerase in a 50 µl reaction mixture.
156 Each PCR involved 40 cycles consisting of 95°C for 45 s, 52°C for 45 s and 72°C for 1
157 min, with an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min and a final extension at 72°C for 10
158 min. Selected *SSU rRNA* products, as well as all *gp60* products, were purified with
159 ExoSAP-IT (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania) and subjected to bi-directional
160 sequencing on a 3500xL Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Halle,
161 Belgium) according to the manufacturer's instructions. ClustalW was used for editing
162 nucleotide sequences and Bioedit (version 7.0.9)
163 (<http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html>) for aligning reference sequences. Sense

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

164 and anti-sense strands' consensus sequences were analyzed using a BLAST search in NCBI
165 databases (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>). Subtypes were named based on the
166 number of TCA (A), TCG (G) and ACATCA (R) repeats as described by Sulaiman et al.
167 (2005). Nucleotide sequences generated in this study were deposited in the GenBank
168 database under accession numbers MF142032 to MF142044.

169

170 **Statistical analysis**

171

172 Chi-squared or two-tailed Fisher's exact tests were used for evaluating association between
173 *Cryptosporidium* infection and animals' age group or those having diarrhea. R software
174 (version 3.1.3) (R Development Core Team, 2013) was used for analysis; a <0.05 *p*-value
175 was required for establishing significance. Potential risk factors were computed using Win
176 Episcopy 2.0 (Thrusfield et al., 2001). Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals
177 (95% CI) for *Cryptosporidium* infection in the different age groups were calculated using
178 each age-group as reference. The risk of infection was considered significant if 95% CI for
179 OR did not include 1.0 (Fletcher et al., 1996).

180

181 **Results**

182

183 **Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium***

184

185 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were identified by microscopy in the feces of 115 calves (26.6%)
186 from 44 farms (59.5%). Infected farms were distributed throughout the four departments
187 sampled. Oocysts were found in calves as young as 3 day-old; age was associated with the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

188 odds of shedding *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Infection rates were significantly higher in
189 calves aged 8 – 14 days (40.7%) than in the other age groups ($p<0.05$, χ^2) (Table 1). Calves
190 younger than 21 days were 1.6 to 4.3 times more likely to be infected (90/273 = 32.9%)
191 than those older than 21 days (25/159 = 15.7%) (OR: 2.64; 95% CI: 1.62 – 4.28).

192
193 Diarrhea was reported in 90 calves (20.8%) from 36 farms. *Cryptosporidium* infection rates
194 were higher in diarrheic (52.2%) than in non-diarrheic (19.9%) calves. Statistically
195 significant differences for *Cryptosporidium* occurrence between calves with and without
196 diarrhea were found for calves younger than 14 days and those older than 29 days ($p<0.05$)
197 (Table 1). The probability of diarrhea was significantly higher for calves shedding
198 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts (47/115 = 40.8%) than for those that did not excrete the parasite
199 (43/317 = 13.6%) ($p<0.001$, χ^2). Calves positive for *Cryptosporidium* had 2.7 to 7 times
200 more odds of suffering diarrhea than non-infected calves (OR: 4.40; 95% CI: 2.75 – 7.05).

201
202 ***Cryptosporidium* species and subtype identification**

203
204 Seventy-three *Cryptosporidium*-positive samples were successfully amplified at the *SSU*
205 *rRNA* locus. Restriction analysis yielded banding patterns indicative of *C. parvum* for 71
206 specimens. These isolates originated from 32 farms in 16 municipalities. Eight of them
207 were sequenced and had 100% similarity with the *C. parvum* reference sequence AF093490
208 (Xiao et al., 1999). The remaining two *Cryptosporidium* isolates came from two different
209 farms and had 100% sequence identity with *C. bovis* AY741305 (Fayer et al., 2006). *C.*

1
2
3
4 210 *bovis* was identified in 14 and 19 day-old calves, respectively. Concurrent infection with
5
6 211 mixed species was not found.
7
8
9 212

10
11 213 All 71 *C. parvum* isolates were successfully amplified and sequenced at the *gp60* locus.
12
13 214 Aligning the sequences obtained with reference sequences downloaded from GenBank
14
15 215 showed that isolates belonged to eight subtypes within *C. parvum* family IIa (Table 2).
16
17 216 Three subtypes (IIaA19G6R1, IIaA20G6R1 and IIaA20G7R1) differed from reference
18
19 217 sequences regarding the amount of TCA and/or TCG repeats and were considered novel *C.*
20
21 218 *parvum* subtypes. Subtype IIaA18G5R1 was identified in most specimens (> 66%) and
22
23 219 farms (> 68%) in 12 municipalities and was by far the most prevalent subtype in calves.
24
25 220 The remaining subtypes were geographically restricted to 1–5 farms in 1–2 municipalities,
26
27 221 including subtype IIaA15G2R1 which was the second most common in this study. A single
28
29 222 subtype was identified on most farms where two or more calves were sampled (11/15),
30
31 223 each of the remaining farms harboring two different subtypes. Subtype distribution
32
33 224 comparing diarrheic to non-diarrheic calves showed that four subtypes occurred more
34
35 225 commonly in the first group (IIaA15G2R1, IIaA16G2R1, IIaA17G4R1 and IIaA18G5R1)
36
37 226 whereas the remaining four subtypes (IIaA19G6R1, IIaA20G5R1, IIaA20G6R1 and
38
39 227 IIaA20G7R1) were only seen in non-diarrheic calves; nonetheless, such differences were
40
41 228 not statistically significant.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 230 **Discussion**

54
55 231
56
57 232 This study has highlighted *Cryptosporidium* as a common and widespread pathogen for
58
59 233 pre-weaned dairy cattle in Colombia's central area. The parasite was detected in more than
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

234 26% of calves and 59% of farms throughout the four departments, thereby agreeing with
235 other studies on South American cattle farms. The occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* infection
236 in calves in Venezuela, Argentina or Brazil has ranged from 10% to 29.3% as detected by
237 microscopic methods (Surumay-Vilchez and Alfaro, 2000; Del Coco et al., 2008; Meireles
238 et al., 2011; Do Couto et al., 2014). Great variability regarding *Cryptosporidium* infection
239 rate has been reported on Colombian dairy farms, ranging from 4.9% in pre-weaned calves
240 in the Bogota savanna's north-western region to 48% reported in cattle farms in the Boyacá
241 Department, although occurrence in calves younger than 12 months increased to 90% in
242 this Department (Hernández-Gallo and Cortés-Vecino, 2012; Pulido-Medellín et al., 2014).
243
244 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were detected in calves as young as 3 days of age and more than
245 22% of calves excreted oocysts during the first week of age, indicating that many became
246 infected immediately after birth. This observation is consistent with the duration of the
247 parasite's life-cycle which has been estimated as being around 4 days, also suggesting
248 heavy environmental contamination in the calving area (Santín and Trout, 2008). The
249 percentage of calves shedding oocysts peaked at 8 – 14 days of age (40.7%) and the
250 probability of becoming infected was significantly reduced in calves older than 21 days.
251 These results were similar to other point prevalence and longitudinal studies concluding
252 that cryptosporidiosis in calves normally becomes established during the initial two weeks
253 of life (Castro-Hermida et al., 2002; Trotz-Williams et al., 2007; Santín et al., 2008).
254
255 It is worth mentioning that the infection rates detected in this study may have been
256 underestimated since direct fecal smears have been recognized as being less sensitive than
257 other microscopic techniques with stool concentration or molecular methods (Smith, 2008).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

258 The sensitivity of Heine staining on non-concentrated feces regarding a direct
259 immunofluorescence antibody test on diethyl ether concentrated feces has been estimated to
260 be 76.6%, although this figure increases to 90% for samples containing more than 10,000
261 oocysts per gram (Chartier et al., 2013). Similarly, a PCR analysis targeted at the *SSU*
262 *rRNA* gene was more sensitive than microscopic or immunological methods for the
263 detection of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in cattle samples (Ezzaty Mirhashemi et al., 2015).
264 Negative staining in the current study could thus have favored the detection of calves
265 having heavy infection (i.e. calves having diarrhea compared to non-diarrheic calves) and
266 those infected by some *Cryptosporidium* species associated with higher oocyst shedding
267 intensity (Santín and Trout, 2008). Feng et al. (2007) reported that *C. bovis* in calves were
268 concealed by the overwhelming *C. parvum* infection.

269
270 The role of *Cryptosporidium* in the etiology of diarrhea in pre-weaned calves has been well
271 documented. Most studies worldwide have found that calf diarrhea has a multifactorial
272 etiology, rotavirus and *Cryptosporidium* being the two most common enteropathogens
273 (Meganck et al., 2015). A previous study on diarrheic calves in Colombia's central area
274 using an antigen ELISA test also identified *Cryptosporidium* (38% of samples) and
275 rotavirus (19%) as being the most prevalent pathogens (Pardo and Oliver, 2012). A similar
276 conclusion was reported using an analogous test in Colombia's northern highlands where
277 the occurrence of both microorganisms (89% and 47% for *Cryptosporidium* and rotavirus,
278 respectively) was even higher than that mentioned above (Cadavid-Betancur et al., 2014).
279 Neither bacterial nor viral infections were excluded in calves in this study, but the
280 protozoan was associated with a significantly higher probability of calves having diarrhea.
281 The *Cryptosporidium* infection rate in diarrheic calves younger than 7 days and those aged

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

282 8-14 days exceeded 54% and 82%, respectively; infected calves had up to 7 times more
283 likelihood of suffering diarrhea than non-infected calves. All the above findings suggest
284 that this protozoan should be considered one of the major enteropathogens associated with
285 neonatal diarrhea in calves in Colombia's central region.

286

287 Molecular analysis revealed *C. parvum* as the major *Cryptosporidium* spp. infecting pre-
288 weaned calves in this area of Colombia since it was reported in all but two specimens that
289 were identified as *C. bovis*. These findings are consistent with *Cryptosporidium* spp.
290 distribution reported in dairy and beef cattle in Europe, North America, Australia, and New
291 Zealand, where *C. parvum* is responsible for most infections in pre-weaned calves, whereas
292 *C. bovis* and *C. ryanae* are found predominantly in 3-month-old to 2-year-old cattle and *C.*
293 *andersoni* is much more prevalent in cows older than 2 years (Trotz-Williams et al., 2006;
294 Fayer et al., 2007; Broglia et al., 2008; Quílez et al., 2008; Brook et al., 2009; Ng et al.,
295 2012; Rieux et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2014; Al Mawly et al., 2015). *C. parvum* has also
296 been the single species identified in pre-weaned calves in Argentina and Brazil (Tomazic et
297 al., 2013; Del Coco et al., 2014; Do Couto et al., 2014) and among 11 *Cryptosporidium*-
298 positive specimens from cattle farms in a municipality of Colombia (Ocampo et al., 2012).
299 However, other studies with specimens from pre-weaned calves have reported *C. bovis* as
300 the most common *Cryptosporidium* species in Sweden (54/73), China (65/172), Canada
301 (7/12) or Ethiopia (7/10) (Silverlås et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Budu-Amoako et al.,
302 2012; Wegayehu et al., 2016).

303

304 Sequence analysis of the *gp60* gene revealed significant genetic diversity with the presence
305 of eight subtypes all belonging to the IIa subtype family, which is the major *C. parvum*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

306 zoonotic family found in cattle worldwide (Santín and Trout, 2008). Three subtypes
307 (IIaA19G6R1, IIaA20G6R1, IIaA20G7R1) have not been identified previously anywhere
308 and should thus be considered novel subtypes. Four subtypes (IIaA15G2R, IIaA16G2R1,
309 IIaA17G4R1, IIaA20G5R1) have been identified in humans and cattle in other studies and
310 should thus be considered potential zoonotic subtypes (Trotz-Williams et al., 2006;
311 Waldron et al., 2009; Zintl et al., 2009; Chalmers et al., 2011; Waldron et al., 2011a,
312 2011b; Mercado et al., 2015). No relationship between *C. parvum* subtypes and diarrhea
313 was found, although the above-mentioned novel subtypes and IIaA20G5R1 were only seen
314 in non-diarrheic calves.

315
316 Subtype distribution revealed the uniqueness of *C. parvum* isolates infecting cattle in
317 Colombia. An unusual subtype, IIaA18G5R1, was responsible for more than 66% of *C.*
318 *parvum* infection in calves in 12/16 municipalities. This subtype had 100% sequence
319 identity with the *C. parvum* NINC1 isolate from a calf used by Strong et al. (2000) for *gp60*
320 gene cloning and sequence analysis (GenBank accession number AF022929). Surprisingly,
321 no other reports of natural infections by this subtype have yet been documented in cattle or
322 humans (Xiao et al., 2007). A secondary role has been assigned to subtype IIaA15G2R1
323 which is overwhelmingly the dominant subtype in calves and one of the major subtypes
324 responsible for zoonotic cryptosporidiosis in many parts of the world (Ryan et al., 2014).
325 Subtype IIaA15G2R1 was the second most common *C. parvum* in this study, but it was
326 seen in only 9/71 calves from 5/32 farms. Two subtypes (IIaA20G5R1 and IIaA16G2R1)
327 have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers MF142043 and MF142044,
328 respectively), and had 100% sequence similarity to *C. parvum* sequences from humans or
329 cattle in Canada, Australia, Ireland and/or the United Kingdom (Trotz-Williams et al.,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

330 2006; Waldron et al., 2009; Zintl et al., 2009; Chalmers et al., 2011). The sequence of
331 isolates subtyped as IIAA17G4R1 (Genbank accession number MF142039) differed by four
332 and six nucleotide polymorphisms regarding *C. parvum* isolates from humans and cattle in
333 Australia, respectively (Waldron et al., 2011a).

334

335 Reports on *C. parvum* molecular subtyping in cattle in South America are limited and few
336 studies have involved large-scale sampling. Subtype IIAA15G2R1 was the only variant
337 found among a few *C. parvum* isolates from young calves in Brazil (Meireles et al., 2011;
338 Silva et al., 2013), although a subsequent report involving a more significant amount of
339 samples has revealed the presence of up to eight different subtypes: IIAA14G2R2,
340 IIAA16G3R2, IIAA18G1R1, IIAA18G2R2, IIAA19G2R1, IIAA19G2R2, IIAA20G2R1 and
341 IIAA20G2R2 (Do Couto et al., 2014). The concurrent presence of three different subtypes
342 (IIAA17G4R1, IIAA16G4R1, and IIAA15G4R1) has been reported in Chile after cloning the
343 *gp60* amplicon of a single calf isolate originally subtyped as IIAA17G4R1 (Mercado et al.,
344 2015). Relatively high genetic variability has also been found in pre-weaned calves in
345 Argentina. The first study reported six subtypes (IIAA17G1R1, IIAA18G1R1, IIAA20G1R1,
346 IIAA21G1R1, IIAA22G1R1 and IIAA23G1R1) from 45 calves in dairy and beef farms
347 located in the provinces of Buenos Aires, Santa Fe and Cordoba (Tomazic et al., 2013).
348 Most of these subtypes were also reported in a second study which identified up to seven
349 subtypes (IIAA16G1R1, IIAA18G1R1, IIAA19G1R1, IIAA20G1R1, IIAA21G1R1,
350 IIAA22G1R1, IIAA23G1R1) from 73 calves in dairy farms from Buenos Aires province
351 (Del Coco et al., 2014). It is worth mentioning that a single subtype (IIAA18G1R1) found in
352 the above-mentioned investigations was shared by livestock from different South American
353 countries and only two of them (IIAA15G2R1, IIAA17G4R1) were seen in the current study,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

354 highlighting the geographic isolation of *C. parvum* strains infecting cattle in South
355 America. This is the first large-scale surveillance of *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes
356 in Colombia. Further research is needed to confirm whether the genetic distinctiveness of
357 *C. parvum* isolates infecting calves in Colombia's central region can be extrapolated to
358 cattle farms in other areas of the country.

359

360 **Acknowledgments**

361

362 This study was financed by funds from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and
363 Competitiveness (AGL2012-32138), the Government of Aragón and the European
364 Regional Development Fund (DGA-B82) and the University of Applied and Environmental
365 Sciences (U.D.C.A) in Bogotá, Colombia. We would like to thank Jason Garry for revising
366 the text.

367

368 **References**

369

370 Alarcón M, Beltrán M, Cárdenas M, Campos M (2005) Recuento y determinación de
371 viabilidad de *Giardia* spp. y *Cryptosporidium* spp. en aguas potables y residuales en
372 la cuenca alta del río Bogotá. *Biomédica* 25:353–365
373 Al Mawly J, Grinberg A, Prattley D, Moffat J, Marshall J, French N (2015) Risk factors for
374 neonatal calf diarrhoea and enteropathogen shedding in New Zealand dairy farms.
375 *Vet J* 203:155–180

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

376 Alves M, Xiao L, Sulaiman I, Lal AA, Matos O, Antunes F (2003) Subgenotype analysis of
377 *Cryptosporidium* isolates from humans, cattle, and zoo ruminants in Portugal. J Clin
378 Microbiol 41:2744–2747

379 Broglia A, Reckinger S, Cacciò SM, Nöckler K (2008) Distribution of *Cryptosporidium*
380 *parvum* subtypes in calves in Germany. Vet Parasitol 154:8–13

381 Brook EJ, Anthony Hart C, French NP, Christley RM (2009) Molecular epidemiology of
382 *Cryptosporidium* subtypes in cattle in England. Vet J 179: 378–382

383 Budu-Amoako E, Greenwood SJ, Dixon BR, Barkema HW, McClure JT (2012) *Giardia*
384 and *Cryptosporidium* on dairy farms and the role these farms may play in
385 contaminating water sources in Prince Edward Island, Canada. J Vet Intern Med
386 26:668–673

387 Cadavid-Betancur DA, Giraldo-Echeverri C, Sierra-Bedoya S, Montoya-Pino M, Chaparro-
388 Gutiérrez J, Restrepo-Botero JE, Olivera-Ángel M (2014) Diarrea neonatal bovina en
389 un hato del altiplano norte de Antioquia. Vet y Zootec 8:120–129

390 Castro-Hermida JA, González-Losada YA, Mezo-Menéndez M, Ares-Mazás E (2002) A
391 study of cryptosporidiosis in a cohort of neonatal calves. Vet Parasitol 106:11–17

392 Chalmers RM, Katzer F (2013) Looking for *Cryptosporidium*: the application of advances
393 in detection and diagnosis. Trends Parasitol 29:237–251

394 Chalmers RM, Smith RP, Hadfield SJ, Elwin K, Giles M (2011) Zoonotic linkage and
395 variation in *Cryptosporidium parvum* from patients in the United Kingdom. Parasitol
396 Res 108:1321–1325

397 Chartier C, Rieux A, Delafosse A, Lehebel A, Paraud C (2013) Detection of
398 *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in fresh calf faeces: Characteristics of two simple tests and
399 evaluation of a semi-quantitative approach. Vet J 198: 148–152

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

400 Do Couto MC, Lima M de F, do Bomfim TC (2014) New *Cryptosporidium parvum*
401 subtypes of Ila subfamily in dairy calves from Brazil. Acta Trop 130:117–122

402 Del Coco VF, Córdoba MA, Basualdo JA (2008) *Cryptosporidium* infection in calves from
403 a rural area of Buenos Aires, Argentina. Vet Parasitol 158:31–5

404 Del Coco VF, Córdoba MA, Bilbao G, De Almeida Castro AP, Basualdo JA, Fayer R,
405 Santín M (2014) *Cryptosporidium parvum* GP60 subtypes in dairy cattle from
406 Buenos Aires, Argentina. Res Vet Sci 96:311–314

407 Elwin K, Chalmers RM, Roberts R, Guy EC, Casemore DP (2001) Modification of a rapid
408 method for the identification of gene-specific polymorphisms in *Cryptosporidium*
409 *parvum* and its application to clinical and epidemiological investigations. Appl
410 Environ Microbiol 67:5581–5584

411 Ezzaty Mirhashemi M, Zintl A, Grant T, Lucy FE, Mulcahy G, De Waal T (2015)
412 Comparison of diagnostic techniques for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in
413 animal samples. Exp Parasitol 151–152:14–20

414 Fayer R, Santín M, Trout JM, Greiner E (2006) Prevalence of species and genotypes of
415 *Cryptosporidium* found in 1-2-year-old dairy cattle in the eastern United States. Vet
416 Parasitol 135:105–112

417 Fayer R, Santín M, Trout JM (2007) Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* species and genotypes
418 in mature dairy cattle on farms in eastern United States compared with younger cattle
419 from the same locations. Vet Parasitol 145:260–266

420 Feng Y, Ortega Y, He G, Das P, Xu M, Zhang X, Fayer R, Gatei W, Cama V, Xiao L
421 (2007) Wide geographic distribution of *Cryptosporidium bovis* and the deer-like
422 genotype in bovines. Vet Parasitol 144:1–9

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

423 Fletcher R, Fletcher S, Wagner E (1996) Clinical epidemiology, 3rd Ed. Lippincott
424 Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, MD

425 Flórez A, Garcia D, Moncada L, Beltrán M (2000) Prevalencia de microsporidios y otros
426 parásitos intestinales en pacientes con infección por VIH, Bogotá, 2001. Biomédica
427 23:274–282

428 Heine J (1982) An easy technique for the demonstration of cryptosporidia in faeces.
429 Zentralblatt für Veterinärmedizin Reihe B 29:324–327

430 Hernández-Gallo N, Cortés-Vecino J (2012) Prevalencia y factores de riesgo de
431 *Cryptosporidium* spp. y *Giardia* spp. en terneros de ganado lechero de la zona
432 noroccidental de la Sabana de Bogotá *Cryptosporidium*. Rev. Salud Pública 14:169–
433 181

434 Lora-Suarez F, Rivera R, Triviño-Valencia J, Gómez-Marín J (2016) Detection of protozoa
435 in water samples by formalin/ether concentration method. Water Res 100:377–381

436 Meganck V, Hoflack G, Piepers S, Opsomer G (2015) Evaluation of a protocol to reduce
437 the incidence of neonatal calf diarrhoea on dairy herds. Prev Vet Med 118:64–70

438 Meireles MV, De Oliveira FP, Teixeira WF, Coelho WM, Mendes LC (2011) Molecular
439 characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp in dairy calves from the state of São Paulo,
440 Brazil. Parasitol Res 109:949–951

441 Mercado R, Peña S, Ozaki LS, Fredes F, Godoy J (2015) Multiple *Cryptosporidium*
442 *parvum* subtypes detected in a unique isolate of a Chilean neonatal calf with diarrhea.
443 Parasitol Res 114:1985–1988

444 Navarro-i-Martinez L, de Silva A, Garces J, Montoya M, del Aguila C, Bornay-Llinares F
445 (2006) Cryptosporidiosis in HIV-Positive patients from Medellín, Colombia J.
446 Eukaryot. Microbiol 53:S37–S39

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

447 Ng JS, Eastwood K, Walker B, Durrheim DN, Massey PD, Porignaux P, Kemp R,
448 McKinnon B, Laurie K, Miller D, Bramley E, Ryan U (2012) Evidence of
449 *Cryptosporidium* transmission between cattle and humans in northern New South
450 Wales. *Exp Parasitol* 130:437–441

451 Ocampo RJ, Rivera FA, López GA, Álvarez ME, Cardozo LA, Pérez JE (2012) Primer
452 reporte de *Cryptosporidium parvum* en terneros Holstein (*Bos Taurus*) de Manizales,
453 Caldas, Colombia. *Rev Med Vet Zoot* 59:159–164

454 Pardo D, Oliver O (2012) Identification of infectious agents associated with bovine
455 neonatal diarrhea in the Sabana de Bogotá. *MVZ Córdoba* 17:3162–3168

456 Pulido-Medellin MO, Andrade-Becerra RJ, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, García-Corredor DJ
457 (2014) Prevalence and posible risk factors for *Cryptosporidium* spp oocyst excretion
458 in dairy cattle in Boyacá, Colombia. *Rev Mex Cienc Pecu* 5:357–364

459 Putignani L, Menichella D (2010) Global Distribution, Public Health and Clinical Impact
460 of the Protozoan Pathogen *Cryptosporidium*. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis* 753512

461 Quílez J, Torres E, Chalmers RM, Robinson G, Del Cacho E, Sánchez-Acedo C (2008)
462 *Cryptosporidium* species and subtype analysis from dairy calves in Spain.
463 *Parasitology* 135:1613–1620

464 R Development Core Team (2013) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
465 Computing R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

466 Rieux A, Chartier C, Pors I, Delafosse A, Paraud C. (2013) Molecular characterization of
467 *Cryptosporidium* isolates from high-excreting young dairy calves in dairy cattle herds
468 in Western France. *Parasitol Res* 112:3423–3431

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

469 Rodríguez DC, Pino N, Peñuela G (2012) Microbiological quality indicators in waters of
470 dairy farms: Detection of pathogens by PCR in real time. *Sci Total Environ* 427–
471 428:314–318

472 Ryan U, Zahedi A, Paparini A (2016) *Cryptosporidium* in humans and animals - a one
473 health approach to prophylaxis. *Parasite Immunol* 38:535–547

474 Ryan U, Fayer R, Xiao L (2014) *Cryptosporidium* species in humans and animals: current
475 understanding and research needs. *Parasitology* 141:1667–1685

476 Sánchez A, Muñoz M, Gómez N, Tabares J, Segura L, Salazar A, Restrepo C, Ruiz M,
477 Reyes P, Qian Y, Xiao L, López M, Ramírez J (2017) Molecular Epidemiology of
478 *Giardia*, *Blastocystis* and *Cryptosporidium* among Indigenous Children from the
479 Colombian Amazon Basin. *Front Microbiol* 8:248

480 Santín M, Trout JM (2008) Livestock. In: Fayer R, Xiao L (ed) *Cryptosporidium* and
481 *Cryptosporidiosis*. CRC Press, Boca Raton Florida, pp 451–483

482 Santín M, Trout JM, Fayer R (2008) A longitudinal study of cryptosporidiosis in dairy
483 cattle from birth to 2 years of age. *Vet Parasitol* 155: 15–23

484 Silva FM, Lopes RS, Araújo-Junior JP (2013) Identification of *Cryptosporidium* species
485 and genotypes in dairy cattle in Brazil. *Rev Bras Parasitol* 22:22–28

486 Silverlås C, Näslund K, Björkman C, Mattsson J (2010) Molecular characterisation of
487 *Cryptosporidium* isolates from Swedish dairy cattle in relation to age, diarrhoea and
488 region. *Vet Parasitol* 169:289–295

489 Smith H, (2008) Diagnostic. In: Fayer R, Xiao L (ed) *Cryptosporidium* and
490 *Cryptosporidiosis*. CRC Press, Boca Raton Florida, pp 173–207

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

491 Smith RP, Clifton-Hadley FA, Cheney T, Giles M (2014) Prevalence and molecular typing
492 of *Cryptosporidium* in dairy cattle in England and Wales and examination of potential
493 on-farm transmission routes. *Vet Parasitol* 204:111–119

494 Strong WB, Gut J, Nelson RG (2000) Cloning and sequence analysis of a highly
495 polymorphic *Cryptosporidium parvum* gene encoding a 60-kilodalton glycoprotein
496 and characterization of its 15- and 45-kilodalton zoite surface antigen products. *Infect*
497 *Immun* 68:4117–4134

498 Sulaiman I, Hira P, Zhou L, Al-ali FM, Al-shelahi FA, Shweiki HM, Iqbal J, Khalid N,
499 Xiao L (2005) Unique endemicity of cryptosporidiosis in children in Kuwait. *J Clin*
500 *Microbiol* 43:2805–2809

501 Surumay-Vilchez Q, Alfaro C (2000) *Cryptosporidium* spp en fincas de la región Oriental
502 de Venezuela. *Invest Clin* 41:245–250

503 Thrusfield M, Ortega C, de Blas I, Noordhuizen JP, Frankena K (2001) Win Episcopo 20:
504 improved epidemiological software for veterinary medicine. *Vet Rec* 148:567–572

505 Tomazic ML, Maidana J, Dominguez M, Uriarte EL, Galarza R, Garro C, Florin-
506 Christensen M, Schnittger L (2013) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium*
507 isolates from calves in Argentina. *Vet Parasitol* 198:382–386

508 Triviño-Valencia J, Lora F, Zuluaga JD, Gomez-Marin JE (2016) Detection by PCR of
509 pathogenic protozoa in raw and drinkable water samples in Colombia. *Parasitol Res*
510 115:1789–1797

511 Trotz-Williams L, Martin D, Gatei W, Cama V, Peregrine A, Martin S, Nydam D,
512 Jamieson F, Xiao L (2006) Genotype and subtype analyses of *Cryptosporidium*
513 isolates from dairy calves and humans in Ontario. *Parasitol Res* 99:346–352

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

514 Trotz-Williams LA, Wayne Martin S, Leslie KE, Duffield T, Nydam DV, Peregrine AS
515 (2007) Calf-level risk factors for neonatal diarrhea and shedding of *Cryptosporidium*
516 *parvum* in Ontario dairy calves. *Prev Vet Med* 82:12–28

517 Velasco CA, Méndez F, López P (2011) Cryptosporidiosis in Colombian children with
518 HIV/AIDS Infection. *Colomb Med* 42: 418–429

519 Vergara-Castiblanco CA, Quílez-Cinca J, Freire-Santos F, Castro-Hermida J, Ares-Mazás
520 M (2001) Serological response to *Cryptosporidium parvum* in adult cattle from the
521 Andean region of Colombia. *Parasitol Res* 87:500–504

522 Waldron LS, Ferrari BC, Power ML (2009) Glycoprotein 60 diversity in *C hominis* and *C*
523 *parvum* causing human cryptosporidiosis in NSW, Australia. *Exp Parasitol* 122:124–
524 127

525 Waldron LS, Dimeski B, Beggs PJ, Ferrari BC, Power ML (2011a) Molecular
526 epidemiology, spatiotemporal analysis, and ecology of sporadic human
527 cryptosporidiosis in Australia. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77:7757–7765

528 Waldron LS, Ferrari BC, Cheung-Kwok-Sang C, Beggs PJ, Stephens N, Power ML
529 (2011b) Molecular epidemiology and spatial distribution of a waterborne
530 cryptosporidiosis outbreak in Australia. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77:7766–7771

531 Wang R, Wang H, Sun Y, Zhang L, Jian F, Qi M, Ning C, Xiao L (2011) Characteristics of
532 *Cryptosporidium* transmission in preweaned dairy cattle in Henan, China. *J Clin*
533 *Microbiol* 49:1077–1082

534 Wegayehu T, Karim MR, Anberber M, Adamu H, Erko B, Zhang L, Tilahun G (2016)
535 Prevalence and genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* species in dairy calves in
536 central Ethiopia. *PLoS One* 11, e0154647

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

537 Xiao L, Escalante L, Yang C, Sulaiman I, Escalante A, Montali R, Fayer R, Lal A (1999)
538 Phylogenetic analysis of *Cryptosporidium* parasites based on the small-subunit rRNA
539 gene locus. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:1578–1583

540 Xiao L, Singh A, Limor J, Graczyk TK, Gradus S, Lal A (2001) Molecular characterization
541 of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in samples of raw surface water and wastewater. Appl
542 Environ Microbiol 67:1097–1101

543 Xiao L, Zhou L, Santin M, Yang W, Fayer R (2007) Distribution of *Cryptosporidium*
544 *parvum* subtypes in calves in eastern United States. Parasitol Res 100:701–706

545 Zahedi A, Paparini A, Jian F, Robertson I, Ryan U (2016) Public health significance of
546 zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* species in wildlife: Critical insights into better drinking
547 water management. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 5:88–109

548 Zintl A, Protocor C, Dewaall T, Shanaghy S, Mulcahy G (2009) The prevalence of
549 *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes in human faecal samples in Ireland. Epidemiol
550 Infect 122, 270–277

551

Table 1 Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in pre-weaned calves according to the age range and presence of diarrhea

Age group (days)	Infected / studied (%)			
	Diarrheic	Non-diarrheic	<i>p</i> *	Total calves
≤ 7	6/11 (54.5%)	6/42 (14.3%)	0.0045	12/53 (22.6%)
8 – 14	23/28 (82.1%)	25/90 (27.8%)	<0.0001	48/118 (40.7%)
15 – 21	8/18 (44.4%)	22/84 (26.2%)	NS	30/102 (29.4%)
22 – 28	4/21 (19%)	9/83 (10.8%)	NS	13/104 (12.5%)
≥ 29	6/12 (50%)	6/43 (13.9%)	<0.0001	12/55 (21.8%)
Total	47/90 (52.2%)	68/342 (19.9%)	<0.0001	115/432 (26.6%)

* *p* value obtained after comparison of infection rates between diarrheic and non-diarrheic calves at each age group. NS: not significant.

Table 2 Distribution of *Cryptosporidium parvum* gp60 subtypes in diarrheic and non-diarrheic calves younger than 35 days from dairy farms in the central area of Colombia

Subtype	No. of samples (%) (n: 71)	Diarrheic (n: 28)	Non-diarrheic (n: 43)	No. of farms (n: 32)	No. of municipalities (n: 16)
IlaA15G2R1	9 (12.7%)	5 (17.8%)	4 (9.3%)	5 (15.6%)	2 (12.5%)
IlaA16G2R1	3 (4.2%)	2 (7.1%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (3.1%)	1 (6.3%)
IlaA17G4R1	2 (2.8%)	1 (3.6%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (3.1%)	1 (6.3%)
IlaA18G5R1	47 (66.2%)	20 (71.4%)	27 (62.8%)	22 (68.7%)	12 (75%)
IlaA19G6R1	2 (2.8%)	0	2/43 (4.6)	2 (6.2%)	2 (12.5%)
IlaA20G5R1	3 (4.2%)	0	3/43 (6.9)	3 (9.3%)	2 (12.5%)
IlaA20G6R1	4 (5.6%)	0	4/43 (9.3)	1 (3.1%)	1 (6.3%)
IlaA20G7R1	1 (1.3%)	0	1/43 (2.3)	1 (3.1%)	1 (6.3%)