CPQ Neurology and Psychology (2019) 1:3 Research Article



Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease

Maria Cardoso, J.^{1*}, Alba Pérez², María Casas, A.² & Marta Armengod²

¹Department of Psychology and Sociology, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

²AFEDAZ: Association of families with Alzheimer Disease

Correspondence to: Dr. Maria Cardoso, J., Department of Psychology and Sociology, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain.

Copyright

© 2019 Dr. Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 22 December 2018 Published: 16 January 2019

Keywords: Alzheimer Disease; Executive Function Assessment; Dementia

Abstract

Introduction

The ability to understand and attribute mental states, including intentions, knowledge and desires, to both ourselves and other people, is referred to as possession of a 'Theory of Mind' (ToM). Some studies have revealed an association between ToM abilities and Executive Functioning (EF) capacities Although many types of research had documented executive dysfunction in Alzheimer Disease (AD), the link between executive functions (EFs) and ToM is not yet fully studied in AD. Therefore the main aim of the present study is to know if patients with early AD are able to resolve a First Order False belief task.

Method

A total of 22 patients with early Alzheimer's disease were recruited into the study from a dementia speciality clinic in Zaragoza, Spain. In this study, we tested a first-order belief task. Executive function was assessed using "Executive functions and frontal lobes test" (BANFE-2).

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

Results

Patients with AD showed mild and moderate scores in the BANFE-2. When we analysed the data we found that 72,7% of the subject can resolve the task ($\chi^2 = 4.12$; p = 0.021). When we analysed the data according to gender we found that women resolve the TOM task better than men ($\chi^2 = 6.26$; p = 0.012). There were no age differences in TOM task.

Discussion

The data of this research showed that patients with AD were able to attribute first-order False Beliefs. We hypothesized that compensatory mechanisms could allow functional social interaction in AD patients.

Introduction

The ability to understand and attribute mental states, including intentions, knowledge and desires, to both ourselves and other people, is referred to as possession of a 'Theory of Mind' [1,2]. These 'mentalizing' abilities form an essential and fundamental role in many social and communicative interactions, allowing successful and mutual exchanges of information between individuals [3,4]. The mechanisms underlying Theory of Mind (ToM) abilities are not as yet clear. Evidence has supported the notion of a modular structure underlying ToM abilities, with separate component parts involved in specific, differing mentalizing processes [5,6].

False-belief tasks are one of the tests most often used to assess ToM abilities in both typically and atypically developed individuals [7]. False-belief tasks involve scenarios in which individuals are shown a situation where reality states differ from belief states, and where a clear distinction between one's own current belief states and the current belief states of another individual is created. One of the first false-belief tasks designed to assess self and other belief-attribution abilities was Perner, Leekham, and Wimmer's 'Smarties' task [8]. In this task, children were shown a box of sweets ('Smarties') and asked what they thought would be inside.

On responding to sweets/chocolate, children were shown that the box actually contained pencils. The pencils were then re-hidden, and children were asked three critical questions, akin to the following: 'What did you think was in the box before you saw inside?' (self-oriented belief attribution); 'What would your teacher, who hasn't seen inside, think was in the box?' (other-oriented belief attribution); and 'What was really in the box?' (reality test).

Some studies have revealed an association between ToM abilities and Executive Functioning (EF) capacities [9,10]. In typically developed adults, it has been demonstrated that a heavier cognitive load can influence success on ToM tasks, often resulting in more egocentric errors, supporting the notion of a relationship between ToM and EF [11,12]. Keysar, Barr, Balin, and Brauner [13] reported a study in which two adults (a participant and a confederate, the 'director') sat on opposite sides of a table, with a vertical grid between them. Some of the slots on the grid were occluded so that only the participant, but not the director, could see them, creating distinct self and other-person perspectives. The task required participants to follow

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

the director's instructions in reassigning positions to various objects. Some objects were unique (e.g. a truck) and others were ambiguous, such as three candles (small, medium, large). In the case of the candles, the smallest candle, from the participant's view, may have been occluded so that, if the director said 'move the small candle', he would be referring to the medium sized candle, which is the smallest from his perspective. Using eye-tracking equipment, these authors found that participants were sometimes biased by an egocentric interpretation of instructions - even when they clearly knew that information was shared or privileged, they still focused on the occluded objects as potential solutions. These findings have been replicated across different studies, suggesting a resilient effect of egocentric biases in adults in implicit tests of ToM [14]. These results indicate that even in typically developed adults, who arguably should possess the cognitive mechanisms necessary for successful ToM expression, EF abilities (e.g. inhibition, attention direction) may not automatically be recruited when ToM is assessed implicitly, and may only be utilized when explicitly required. Some contradictory evidence about the relationship between ToM and EF has also been reported. Fine, Lumsden and Blair [15] report a case study of patient B.M., who suffered from amygdala damage and had been diagnosed with schizophrenia and Asperger's syndrome. Patient B.M. was shown to be severely impaired in his ability to represent mental states (ToM) but did not show any impairment in his EF abilities. However, in the majority of cases, studies continue to find a link between EF and ToM abilities, both within clinical populations [16] and in non-clinical populations [17]. People with Alzheimer Disease (AD) are unable to resolve complex ToM tasks with a high demand for reasoning skills and executive functions [18].

Although many researches had documented executive dysfunction in Alzheimer Disease (AD) [19], the link between executive functions (EFs) and ToM is not yet fully studied in AD. Therefore the main goal of the present study is test if patients with early AD are able to resolve a First Order False belief task.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

A total of 22 patients with early Alzheimer's disease were recruit into the study from a dementia specialty clinic in Zaragoza, Spain. Inclusion criteria included having been diagnosed with dementia and having a poor performance in executive function. Patient diagnosis was derived by multidisciplinary team who performed extensive behavioral, neuropsychological and neuroimaging assessments. Demographic data are presenting in table 1. Subjects received no compensation for participating in the study.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic characteristics

Gender	F=18	
	M=4	
Age	X=74.5; S.D.=7.8	

First Order False Belief Task

In this study, we tested a first-order belief task. A piggy bank with coins inside it is presented and we asked

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

to the the experimental subject "What do you think is in the piggy bank?" Subsequently, with the collaboration of the subject with dementia, we replace the coins with marbles. Finally, we ask subject what would someone else believe that is inside the piggy bank.

Executive Function Assessment

Executive function was assessed using "Executive functions and frontal lobes test" (BANFE-The BANFE-2 consists of fifteen tasks used and validated in neuropsychological settings for assessing executive functions mediated primarily by the frontal lobes. This test helps clinicians conduct an extensive and accurate assessment of damage and functional impairment of the frontal lobes. Tests include Sorting Test, Verbal Fluency, Color Word Interference Test, Tower Test, Mazes, Gambling Test, Metamemory Test, Category Generation, Viso-Spatial Working memory (two different tasks), Verbal Working Memory (three tasks). This test has been developed and standardized for Spanish-speaking populations. We used BANFE-2 to evaluate executive functioning.

Procedure

Compliance with the standards contained in the Declaration of Helsinki on human experimentation was guaranteed at all the times. Inclusion criteria included having been diagnosed with dementia. Exclusion criteria included presenting visual disease or another disease that could affect the carry out of the task. Participants were tested in a single session, lasting between 5 and 10min in order to avoid fatigue. Patients completed the task individually in a room with no noise or other stimuli that could affect the performance in the task. The task was conducted always by the same experimentalist to avoid individual differences in the experimental team.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analysed with SPSS 20.0. The statistical techniques were descriptive (arithmetic mean and standard deviation) and group comparative (student's t test). We used Chi Square test for non-parametric tests. Differences were deemed significant when $\alpha < .05$.

Results

Patients with AD showed mild and moderate scores in the BANFE-2 (X = 80.1; S.D. = 6.53).

When we analysed the data we found that 72,7% of the subject can resolve the task (Table 2).

Table 2: TOM in dementia

TOM Task	N = 22	Percentage	Chi-Square	Р
Correct	16	72.7	4.12	0.021
Incorrect	6	27.3		

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

When we analysed the data according to gender we found that women resolve the TOM task better than men ($\chi^2 = 6.26$; p = 0.012) (Figure 1).



Figure 1: ToM and gender

There were no age differences in TOM task (t = 1.53; p = .13) (Table 3).

Table 3: TOM in age

TOM Task	Χ	S.D.	T-Test	Р
Correct	75.85	6.90	1.53	0.13
Incorrect	70.71	9.48		

Discussion

Social cognition encompasses various explicit and implicit psychological processes that permit individuals to gain benefits from being part of a social group [20]. These processes, which occur through social interactions, may be elicited by verbal or non-verbal stimuli. Social stimuli, such as facial expressions, body postures, prosody, hand gestures, or eye gaze directions, give important signals about the surrounding environment. They may, for example, alert for the presence of danger or for the location of a particular area of interest to investigate. These external cues help people to learn about the world through others' experiences without undergoing the trials and errors themselves. Showing emotions, noticing and understanding others'emotions, and responding to them with empathy and sympathy are all prosocial behavioral processes. These may be automatic and unconscious, but all help individuals of a group feel closer, enhance collaboration, and inhibit aggressive interactions. ToM, an important concept of social cognition that is also known as "mentalizing," refers to the ability to attribute mental states such as beliefs, desires, and knowledge to oneself and others,

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

as well as to appreciate that these may differ from our own1. ToM is often divided into "affective ToM" and "cognitive ToM," referring to the ability to mentalize others' emotional and cognitive states, respectively. Closely related to ToM, meta-cognition is the ability to reflect on our own actions and thoughts and to notice alterations in our cognitive abilities [21].

Decety and Jackson [22] examine converging lines of evidence from lesion and functional neuroimaging studies suggesting empathy derives from three main cognitive processes. In the first step, the other's emotion is 'shared', activating brain areas involved in subjective emotional experiences such as the inferior frontal cortex, superior temporal cortex, amygdala, right somatosensory cortex, right temporal pole and right insula [23]. Second, one recognizes that the initiating agent of this subjective emotional experience is the other, not oneself. This ability to determine that the source of an internally represented emotion or intended goal is located outside of oneself requires perspective-taking (PT), which appears to be mediated by a brain circuit including the medial prefrontal cortex [24]. This process also requires the capacity to assign agency, which is likely mediated by the heteromodal association area at the junction of the temporal, parietal and occipital lobes [25]. Finally, the ability to accurately infer the other's perspective requires the intentional suppression of one's own viewpoint [26]. Both functional and developmental lesion studies in humans suggest that the frontal pole, approximately corresponding to Brodmann's area (BA) 10, may perform this regulatory function, actively inhibiting the selfperspective in order to allow the other's perspective to be considered [27].

Many neuropsychiatric disorders are associated with deficits in empathy, including schizophrenia, Asperger's syndrome, sociopathy, post-traumatic brain injury and stroke. A group of diseases that is of particular interest to the question of how brain damage leads to loss of empathy are neurodegenerative conditions that occur after normal social development has been established. Loss of empathy is an early and central symptom of frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD), a focal neurodegenerative disorder involving primarily the frontal and temporal lobes. FTLD patients with predominantly temporal damage show dramatically increased interpersonal coldness [28] and have pathologically low levels of cognitive and emotional empathy, while FTLD patients with primarily frontal atrophy seem to lose the capacity for empathic PT, but do not become significantly colder as a group. Alzheimer's patients, on the other hand, do not typically show significant changes in empathy [29].

Although significantly less frequent than in frontotemporal dementia patients, AD patients often present with behavioral changes at onset, such as disinhibition and social awkwardness [30,31]. Disinhibition, social awkwardness, and apathy have been reported as the first symptoms in 6.9%, 5.0%, and 2.0%, respectively, of patients with AD [30]. Social inappropriateness seen in AD is probably multifactorial, in part secondary to altered social cognitive abilities such as perception of social cues, impaired processing, and loss of control over reactions. Time of onset of these deficits concurrent with other cognitive symptoms during the course of the disease is still unclear.

The main goal of this study was to discuss the implication of ToM in early AD. Our results are congruent with the studies cited above. The data of this research showed that patients with AD were able to attribute first-order False Beliefs. In a work carried out by Gregory [32] the only task on which Alzheimer's disease group displayed deficits was the second false belief task. This task places very heavy demand for working and episodic memory.

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

Our finding confirms that cognitive ToM abilities decrease progressively over the course of AD. ToM reasoning relies on both cognitive resources (executive process) and mentalizing ability. The executive functions in our patient group are moderate affected, so these subjects could develop compensatory mechanisms to resolve the task. Cognitive ToM reasoning in early stages of AD continues to be efficient because of the alteration use nonspecific executive resources.

Also, we found differences relative to gender. We found that women resolve the TOM task better than men. This fact is in agreement with other studies suggesting that females are portrayed as more nurturing and empathetic, while males are portrayed as less emotional. Some authors suggest that observed gender differences might be largely due to cultural expectations about gender roles [33].

Conclusions

The decreased in ToM ability and executive functioning in AD patients at different stages of the disease allow us to consider EF as a scaffold to a successful ToM reasoning. As reported by Stern [34], we hypothesized that compensatory mechanisms could allow functional social interaction in AD patients.

In conclusion, the current study provides empirical evidence of a progressive decay of cognitive ToM abilities in AD. This finding needs further empirical confirmations in order to understand behavioral changes in real interpersonal interactions.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding Statement

The present study was supported by a research grant from Departamento de Innovación, Investigación y Universidad. [S57_17R EDUCAVIVA - Educación y Procesos Psicológicos].

Bibliography

1. Premack, D. & Woodruff, G. (1978). Does the chimpanzee have a theory of mind? *Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, *1*(4), 515-526.

2. Baron-Cohen, S., Leslie, A. M. & Frith, U. (1985). Does the autistic child have a 'Theory of Mind'? *Cognition*, 21(1), 37-46.

3. Hamilton, A. F. (2009). Goals, intentions and mental states: Challenges for theories of autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 50(8), 881-892.

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

4. Ahmed, F. S. & Miller, L. S. (2011). Executive function mechanisms of theory of mind. *Journal of Autism* and Developmental Disorders, 41(5), 667-678.

5. Bodden, M. E., Mollenhauer, B., Trenkwalder, C., Cabanel, N., Eggert, K. M., Unger, M. M., *et al.* (2010). Affective and cognitive theory of mind in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, *16*(7), 466-470.

6. Harari, H., Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., Ravid, M. & Levkovitz, Y. (2010). Double dissociation between cognitive and affective empathy in borderline personality disorder. *Psychiatry Research*, 175(3), 277-279.

7. Brewer, M. B. (1991). The social self: On being the same and different at the same time. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 17(5), 475-482.

8. Perner, J., Leekham, S. & Wimmer, H. (1987). Three-year-olds' difficulty with false belief: The case for conceptual deficit. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 5(2), 125-137.

9. Ozonoff, S., & McEvoy, R. E. (1994). A longitudinal study of executive function and theory of mind development in autism. *Development and Psychopathology*, 6(3), 415-431.

10. Sabbagh, M. A., Xu, F., Carlson, S. M., Moses, L. H. & Lee, K. (2006). The development of executive functioning and theory of mind: A comparison of Chinese and U.S preschoolers. *Psychological Science*, *17*(1), 74-81.

11. Bull, R., Philips, L. H. & Conway, C. A. (2008). The role of control functions in mentalizing: Dual-task studies of theory of mind and executive function. *Cognition*, *107*(2), 663-672.

12. Epley, N., Keysar, B., Van Boven, L. & Gilovich, T. (2004). Perspective taking as egocentric anchoring and adjustment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87(3), 327-339.

13. Keysar, B., Barr, D. J., Balin, J. A. & Brauner, J. S. (2000). Taking perspective in conversation: The role of mutual knowledge in comprehension. *Psychological Science*, *11*(1), 32-38.

14. Surtees, A. D., Butterfill, S. A. & Apperly, I. A. (2012). Direct and indirect measures of level-2 perspective-taking in children and adults. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, *30*(Pt 1), 75-86.

15. Fine, C., Lumsden, J. & Blair, R. J. R. (2001). Dissociation between 'Theory of Mind' and executive functions in a patient with early left amygdala damage. *Brain*, *124*(Pt 2), 287-298.

16. Joseph, R. M. & Tager-Flusberg, H. (2004). The relationship of theory of mind and executive functions to symptom type and severity in children with autism. *Development and Psychopathology*, *16*(1), 137-155.

17. Carlson, S. M., Moses, L. J. & Breton, C. (2002). How specific is the relation between executive function and theory of mind? Contributions of inhibitory control and working memory. *Infant and Child Development*, *11*, 73-92.

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

18. Fernandez-Duque, D., Baird, J. A. & Black, S. E. (2009). Falsebelief understanding in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, *31*(4), 489-497.

19. Allain P., Etcharry-Bouyx F. & Verni C. (2013). Executive functions in clinical and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Evue Neurologique.*, *169*(10). 695-708.

20. Frith, C. D. (2008). Social cognition. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 363(1499), 2033-2039.

21. Frith, C. D. & Frith, U. (2012). Mechanisms of social cognition. *Annual Reviews of Psychology*, 63, 287-313.

22. Decety, J. & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev.*, 3(2), 71-100.

23. Carr, L., Iacoboni, M., Dubeau, M., Mazziotta, J. C. & Lenzi, G. L. (2003). Neural mechanisms of empathy in humans: a relay from neural systems for imitation to limbic areas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*., *100*(9), 5497-5502.

24. Gallagher, H. L. & Frith, C. D. (2003). Functional imaging of 'theory of mind'. *Trends Cogn Sci.*, 7(2), 77-83.

25. Saxe, R. & Wexler, A. (2005). Making sense of another mind: the role of the right temporo-parietal junction. *Neuropsychologia*, 43(10), 1391-1399.

26. Van Boven, L. & Loewenstein, G. (2003). Social projection of transient drive states. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull.*, 29(9), 1159-1168.

27. Shamay-Tsoory, S. G., Tomer, R., Berger, B. D., Goldsher, D. & Aharon-Peretz, J. (2005). Impaired 'affective theory of mind' is associated with right ventromedial prefrontal damage. *Cogn Behav Neurol.*, *18*(1), 55-67.

28. Rankin, K. P., Kramer, J. H., Mychack, P. & Miller, B. L. (2003). Double dissociation of social functioning in frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*, *60*(2), 266-271.

29. Rankin, K. P., Kramer, J. H. & Miller, B. (2005). Patterns of cognitive and emotional empathy in frontotemporal dementia. *Cogn Behav Neurol.*, 18(1), 28-36.

30. Lindau, M., *et al.* (2000). First symptoms - frontotemporal dementia versus Alzheimer's disease. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 11(5), 286-293.

31. Shinagawa, S., Ikeda, M., Fukuhara, R. & Tanabe, H. (2006). Initial symptoms in frontotemporal dementia and semantic dementia compared with Alzheimer's disease. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 21(2), 74-80.

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.

32. Gregory, C., Lough, S., Stone, V., Erzinclioglu, S., Martin, L., Baron-Cohen, S., *et al.* (2002). Theory of mind in patients with frontal variant frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease: Theoretical and practical implications. *Brain*, *125*(Pt 4), 752-764.

33. Mesch, D. J., Brown, M. S., Moore, Z. I. & Hayat, A. D. (2011). Gender differences in chari-table giving. *Int. J. Nonprofit Volunt. Sect. Mark.*, *16*, 342-355.

34. Stern Y. (2002). What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 8(3), 448-460.

Maria Cardoso, J., *et al.* (2019). Theory of Mind in Alzheimer Disease. *CPQ Neurology and Psychology*, 1(3), 01-10.