

1 **Gypsum scarps and asymmetric fluvial valleys in evaporitic terrains. The role of**
2 **river migration, landslides, karstification and lithology (Ebro River, NE Spain)**

3

4 J. Guerrero [\(1\)*](#) and F. Gutiérrez [\(1\)](#)

5

6 [\(1\)](#) University of Zaragoza, Earth Science Department, Spain

7 * Corresponding author. Tel.:0034 976762781; E-mail: jgiturbe@unizar.es

8

9 **Abstract**

10 Most of the Spanish fluvial systems excavated in Tertiary evaporitic gypsum formations
11 show asymmetric valleys characterized by a stepped sequence of fluvial terraces on one
12 valley flank and kilometric-long and more than 100-m high prominent river scarp on the
13 opposite side of the valley. Scarp undermining by the continuous preferential lateral
14 migration of the river channel toward the valley margin leads to vertical to overhanging
15 unstable slopes affected by a large number of slope failures that become the main
16 geological hazard for villages located at the toe of the scarps. Detailed mapping of the
17 gypsum scarps along the Ebro and Huerva Rivers gypsum scarps demonstrates that
18 landslides and lateral spreading processes are predominant when claystones crop out at
19 the base of the scarp, while rockfalls and topples become the dominant movement in
20 those reaches where the rock mass is mainly constituted by evaporites. The dissolution
21 of gypsum nodules, seasonal swelling and shrinking, and dispersion processes
22 contribute to a decrease in the mechanical strength of claystones. The existence of
23 dissolution-enlarged joints, sinkholes, and severely damage buildings at the toe of the
24 scarp from karstic subsidence demonstrates that the interstratal karstification of
25 evaporites becomes a triggering factor in the instability of the rock mass. The genesis of

26 asymmetric valleys and river gypsum scarps in the study area seem to be caused by the
27 random migration of the river channel in the absence of lateral tilting related to tectonics
28 or dissolution-induced subsidence. Once the scarp is developed, its preservation
29 depends on the physicochemical properties of the substratum, the ratio between bedrock
30 erosion and river incision rates, and climatic conditions that favour runoff erosion
31 versus dissolution.

32

33 *Keywords:* fluvial scarp; interstratal dissolution; evaporites; slope movements; tilting;
34 differential erosion

35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Fluvial gypsum escarpments are prominent landforms in evaporite terrains (Gutiérrez et
38 al., 1994). Their formation is often associated with the development of an asymmetric
39 fluvial valley. Stepped fluvial terraces form narrow, laterally continuous benches on one
40 valley flank, whereas the opposite margin of the valley is defined by tens of kilometres
41 long prominent gypsum escarpment. Gypsum scarps may also develop in both sides of
42 the valley leading to narrow valleys with paired terraces (Lucha et al., 2012). The scarps
43 tend to show a rectilinear form controlled by the regional joint pattern and may reach
44 more than 100 m high (Silva et al., 1988; Gutiérrez et al., 1994). They undergo a rapid
45 retreat because of the persistent lateral migration of the fluvial channel leading to
46 triangular facets and hanging valleys. The continuous undermining of the base of the
47 escarpment due to river lateral erosion and downcutting causes vertical to overhanging
48 unstable slopes affected by rockfalls, topples, and landslides that involve a risk for the
49 villages located at the toe of the scarps. According to Ayala et al. (2003), slope
50 movements are responsible for over €40 million damage per year in Spain. Rockfalls

51 from gypsum escarpments cause important economic loss and occasionally result in
52 casualties. For instance, several rockfalls that occurred in the locality of Azagra, located
53 at the base of a Paleogene gypsum scarp, were responsible for 11, 100, 1, and 2
54 casualties in 1856, 1874, 1903, and 1946, respectively. In 1988 a gypsum block fell
55 from the Jalón River gypsum scarp and collided with a house killing one of its
56 inhabitants in the locality of Calatayud (Gutiérrez and Cooper, 2002).

57

58 The asymmetric configuration of the valley and the existence of a scarp incised into
59 evaporitic gypsum rock are common features of fluvial systems that cross Tertiary
60 evaporitic formations in Spain (Gutiérrez et al., 1994). This geomorphological
61 phenomenon is well developed in the Ebro depression in the valleys of the Ebro River
62 (Pellicer et al., 1984; Faci et al., 1986, 1988; Benito, 1989; Gutiérrez et al., 1994), Ginel
63 River (Burillo et al., 1985), Jalón River, Aragón River (Carcedo et al., 1988; Lenároz,
64 1993), Huerva River (Guerrero et al., 2005, 2008), Ega River (Carcedo et al., 1988;
65 Lenároz, 1993), Arga River (Lenároz, 1993), and Gállego Rivers (Benito, 1989). In the
66 Barbastro anticline in the Spanish Pyrenees, Lucha et al. (2008) reported them in the
67 Cinca, Noguera Ribagorzana, Farfaña, and Segre rivers (Lucha et al., 2008). In the
68 Iberian range, an intraplate Alpine orogen in the northeast of Spain, Gutiérrez (1998)
69 and Gutiérrez and Cooper (2002) described gypsum scarps in the Calatayud graben and
70 Gutiérrez (1998) in the Teruel graben. In the Madrid Tertiary basin in central Spain,
71 Silva (2003) and Silva et al. (1988) studied the Tajo, Tajuña, Jarama, and Manzanares
72 gypsum escarpments and the fluvial sedimentology of thickened terrace deposits. They
73 have been also described in fluvial systems that cross evaporitic formations around the
74 world, such as the Ure River in Ripon, England (James et al., 1981), Jordan River in
75 Jordania (Hassan and Klein, 2002), Sylva River in the central Urals in Russia

76 (Andrejchuk and Klimchouk, 2002), Fischells, Shamattawa, Shubenacadie, Cheticamp,
77 Salt, and Lesser rivers in Canada (Tsui and Cruden, 1984), and the Eagle River in
78 Colorado, USA.

79

80 The genesis of fluvial escarpments and valley asymmetry is often attributed to the
81 influence of base level changes that cause a preferential migration of the river channel
82 due to tectonic tilting, diapirism, or differential karstic subsidence (Bridge and Leeder,
83 1979; Leeder and Alexander, 1987; Osborn and du Toit, 1991; Mizutani, 1998).

84 Conceptual models of river response to lateral tilting indicate that channel migration
85 happens by avulsion (Heller and Paola, 1996) or by a steady process of preferential
86 downslope cutoff and minor avulsion (Leeder and Alexander, 1987; Leeder and
87 Gawthorpe, 1987). Examples of progressive down-tilt movement due to erosion on the
88 down-dip river bank have been documented in the Madison and South Fork rivers in
89 Montana where the majority of the meander loops were convex to the opposite tilting
90 direction (Leeder and Alexander, 1987). The avulsive response to lateral ground tilting
91 are well documented from the Holocene stratigraphy of grabens and half-grabens, such
92 as the Carson River graben in Nevada (Peakall, 1998) and the stratigraphic record such
93 as the Bighorn basin in Wyoming (Kraus, 1992), the Mugello half graben in central
94 Italy (Benvenuti, 2003), and the Palomas and Mesillas half-grabens in New Mexico
95 (Mack and Seager, 1990). Osborn and du Toit (1991) pointed out surprising examples
96 about the capacity of river migration. For instance, in North America the Bow,
97 Potomac, Missouri and Yellowstone rivers have undergone lateral migrations of 100 km
98 in 6 Ma, 90 km during the Quaternary, 80 km in 10 Ma, and 56 km in 7 Ma,
99 respectively. In Asia, the Brahmaputra and Kosi rivers have migrated laterally 10 km in
100 just 150 years and 113 km in the last 228 years.

101

102 Independently of the shifting process (avulsion versus meander cutoff), the continuous
103 existence of a subsidence-induced transverse slope on the floodplain causes the river
104 channel to persistently reoccupy the axis of maximum subsidence leading to the
105 stacking of channel or lacustrine facies in the depocenter of the basin (Leeder and
106 Alexander, 1987; Leeder and Gawthorpe, 1987). Simulations in half grabens show that
107 there is a marked clustering tendency of channel-belt or lacustrine deposits adjacent to
108 the active margin that increases interconnectedness ratios and thickness of the alluvial
109 fill (Heller and Paola, 1996). The spatial distribution of channel sheet sandbodies of the
110 lower Eocene Willwood Formation in Wyoming was attributed to tilting associated with
111 movement along basement-controlled faults that influenced the position of major
112 channel systems (Kraus, 1992). The Walker River in Nevada crosses an active
113 asymmetric graben and discharges into Walker Lake located in the highest subsidence
114 area close to the active margin (Blair and McPherson, 1994). The asymmetric
115 depositional wedge of the lower Cretaceous fluvial sandstone beds in Berry field in
116 southeastern Alberta were caused by the continuous diversion of the fluvial channel into
117 an area of syndimentary salt dissolution subsidence (Hopkins, 1987). Other examples
118 that explain the stacking of channel or lacustrine facies associated to tilting include: (i)
119 in relation with tectonic tilting, the Rio Grande rift in New Mexico (Smith et al., 2001),
120 the Megara basin in Greece (Bentham et al., 1991), the Nen river in Songnen plain in
121 China (Bian et al., 2008), and the Namurian Kincardine graben in Scotland (Read and
122 Dean, 1982); (ii) in response to halokinesis, the Permian and Triassic fluvial Cutler,
123 Moenkopi, and Chinle Formations in salt-wall anticlines in Utah (Banham and
124 Mountney, 2013) and the Cinca River valley in the Barbastro anticline in northeast

125 Spain (Lucha et al., 2008, 2012); and (iii) the Cambrian salt basin in south Oman
126 (Hewards, 1990) associated with salt dissolution.
127
128 Regardless of facies distribution, one of the most cited example of surface lateral
129 deformation is the existence of an asymmetric valley with stepped terraces at one side of
130 the valley and a prominent scarp at the opposite side (Leeder and Alexander, 1987;
131 Osborn and du Toit, 1991; Mizutani, 1998). Nevertheless, the existence of a stepped
132 sequence of unpaired terraces does not need to be necessarily caused by surface tilting
133 (Leeder and Alexander, 1987; Mizutani, 1998; Burbank and Anderson, 2001; Hancock
134 and Anderson, 2002). Mizutani (1998) and Hancock and Anderson (2002) suggested
135 that the number of terraces preserved in a valley depended on the time, the rate of lateral
136 planation, and the shifting direction. The experimental studies conducted by Mizutani
137 (1998) under conditions of constant base level, discharge, and sediment supply
138 demonstrated that valley shape, terrace distribution, and preservation were significantly
139 different in every running experiment. Asymmetric valleys with a stepped sequence of
140 unpaired terraces in one side were formed when the channel shifted toward a preferred
141 direction. In contrast, symmetric valleys with terraces in both sides of the valley were
142 formed when the river shifted from one side to the other randomly eroding some
143 previously created terraces. This experiment demonstrated that terrace preservation is
144 fortuitous and asymmetric valleys may form in the absence of an imposed unidirectional
145 channel migration. Therefore, the asymmetry of a fluvial valley should only be
146 attributed to tilting when there is evidence of a preferred distribution of channel facies
147 and an increase in their thickness toward the most subsiding margin (Bridge and Leeder,
148 1979; Leeder and Alexander, 1987). From the detailed geomorphological map of the
149 Ebro and Huerva rivers, this manuscript demonstrates that gypsum scarps and

150 asymmetric valleys in evaporitic terrains in the study area are not associated with tilting
151 but to a combination of processes under semiarid climatic conditions. To our
152 knowledge, despite the fact that fluvial gypsum escarpments are frequent landforms in
153 evaporitic terrains, this is the first detailed study focused on their genesis and evolution.

154

155 **2. Geological setting**

156 The study area is located in the central sector of the Ebro Tertiary basin, which
157 constitutes the southern foreland basin of the Pyrenees in the NE of the Iberian
158 Peninsula (Fig. 1). In late Eocene times the Ebro basin became a land-locked depression
159 surrounded by mountain ranges. Deposition during this endorheic stage was dominated
160 by alluvial facies in the marginal areas of the basin grading into lacustrine evaporitic
161 and limestone sediments in the most subsiding sectors, which migrated progressively
162 toward the south (Riba et al., 1983; Ortí, 1997). In middle-upper Miocene times, once
163 the basin was captured and opened toward the Mediterranean Sea (García-Castellanos et
164 al., 2003; Pérez-Rivarés et al., 2004;), a new drainage network started to develop and
165 dissect the endorheic basin fill by headward expansion, generating stepped sequences of
166 mantled pediments and terraces.

167

168 The Ebro River drains longitudinally across the Ebro depression central sector
169 following the axis of a very open NW-SE trending synclinal structure (Quirantes, 1978).
170 The strata are also affected by subvertical joints and small normal faults with NW-SE,
171 E-W, and NE-SW azimuths (Arlegui and Simón, 2001). A number of studies document
172 the strong influence of the NW-SE fracture set on the development of landforms and
173 karst features in the central sector of the Ebro basin (Quirantes, 1978; Gutiérrez et al.,
174 1994, 2008; Galve et al., 2009). The Huerva River is a right bank tributary of the Ebro

175 River that drains transversally the central Ebro depression (Fig. 1). The study area
176 covers a 40-km-long reach of the Ebro River around Zaragoza city and the last 30-km-
177 long reach of the Huerva River valley up to its confluence with the Ebro River in
178 Zaragoza city. In this sector the Ebro and Huerva valleys are excavated in Miocene
179 sediments of the Longares, Zaragoza, and Alcuabierre Formations (Esnaola and Gil,
180 1995). Distal alluvial fan claystones and sandstones of the Longares Formation grade
181 laterally into evaporitic facies of the Zaragoza Formation, extending 17 km upstream of
182 the Huerva River confluence and 30 km upstream and 20 km downstream of Zaragoza
183 city (Fig. 1). The Longares Formation is constituted by 150 thick, fining-upward metre-
184 thick cycles of red claystones and horizontal laminated and cross-bedded orange
185 sandstones with an increasing proportion of claystones to the top (Esnaola and Gil,
186 1995). The Zaragoza Formation reaches more than 850 m thick (Torrescusa and
187 Klimowitz, 1990) and mainly consists of anhydrite, halite, and glauberite in the
188 subsurface and of secondary gypsum in outcrop (Salvany et al., 2007). New data from
189 the study of 19 mining exploration boreholes allowed Salvany (2009) to describe a
190 detailed stratigraphic column of the uppermost part of the Zaragoza Formation. From
191 base to top, he distinguished: (i) a lower 75-m-thick halite unit situated at 150-175 masl,
192 \approx 40-15 m below the Ebro valley floor. (ii) An intermediate, 150-175 m thick unit made
193 up of glauberite and anhydrite and minor beds of halite and clay at 430 to 175 masl. (iii)
194 An upper anhydrite unit, around 110 m thick, situated above the highest preserved
195 terrace of the Ebro River. The thick gypsum sequences exposed in the area correspond
196 to a secondary lithofacies derived from the replacement (gypsification) of anhydrite and
197 glauberite related to weathering. The Longares and Zaragoza Formations are capped by
198 the 70-m-thick limestone sequence of the Alcuabierre Formation. This resistant

199 limestone unit forms structural platforms 400 to 600 m above the valley bottom (Fig.
200 1A).

201

202

203 **3. Geomorphological setting**

204 The present-day Ebro River, with an average discharge of 250 m³/s in Zaragoza city, is
205 a gravelly meandering channel that flows along a broad floodplain more than 4 km wide
206 dominated by the deposition of fine-grained sediments. A belt of abandoned channel
207 reaches and meander lobes related to avulsion and cut-off processes is in the areas
208 adjacent to the currently active channel (Fig. 1C). The main tributaries of the Ebro River
209 in the studied reach include the Gállego River on the northern margin, and the Huerva
210 and Ginel Rivers on the southern margin (Figs. 1A and C). The Huerva River, with a
211 mean annual discharge of 3.5 m³/s in Mezalocha (20 km upstream of the study area),
212 shows a meandering pattern with a channel sinuosity of 1.6. Guerrero (2008, 2013)
213 identified a stepped sequence of 11 (T1: 200-210, T2: 180-190, T3: 150-160, T4: 120-
214 130, T5: 100-105, T6: 85-90, T7: 65-70, T8: 45-55, T9: 25-35, T10: 10-15, T11: 2-7)
215 and 12 terrace levels (T1: 115-110, T2:105-90, T3: 93-75, T4: 75-62, T5: 61-55, T6: 52-
216 50, T7: 42-39, T8: 56-34, T9: 35-30, T10: 25-18, T11: 17-7, T12: 8-2 m above local
217 base level) in the Ebro and Huerva rivers, respectively, and seven mantled pediment
218 levels that may be correlated with some of the terraces. A simplified version of the map
219 is presented in Fig. 1. In the areas where the bedrock mainly consists of insoluble
220 detrital facies of the Longares Formation, the terrace and pediment deposits of the Ebro
221 and Huerva Rivers show a uniform thickness of \approx 3-4 m and remain undeformed
222 (Guerrero et al., 2008, 2013). In contrast, the deposits of the terraces underlain by
223 glauberite- and halite-bearing evaporite bedrock show abrupt thickenings, locally more

224 than 50 m, superposition of alluvial units bounded by angular unconformities, abundant
225 gravitational synsedimentary and postsedimentary gravitational deformation structures,
226 and an abrupt increase in the proportion of fine-grained sediments locally constituting
227 more than 70% of the total thickness (Guerrero et al., 2008, 2013). Structurally
228 controlled kilometer-scale flat-bottom karstic depressions up to 50 m deep are located at
229 both margins of the Ebro and Huerva valleys (Guerrero et al., 2013). They are attributed
230 to subsidence caused by interstratal karstification of glauberite and halite beds. The
231 floor of these basins is typically underlain by a thin marly deposit resting on intensively
232 karstified and deformed evaporite bedrock. Gravitational deformation includes collapse
233 structures 10-50 m across and bending gravitational structures more than 100 m wide
234 and 30 m deep with superimposed collapse structures in the hinge zone (Guerrero et al.,
235 2013). Most of them are captured by a dense network of flat-bottom infilled valleys that
236 dissect the secondary gypsum outcrops in the area and feed alluvial fans at the margins
237 of the Ebro and Huerva valleys.

238

239 The entrenchment and preferent northeastward migration of the Ebro River throughout
240 its evolutions has generated a markedly asymmetric valley (Fig. 1C). The southern
241 margin displays a staircase sequence of terraces, whereas the northern side is bounded
242 by a prominent linear gypsum escarpment 60 km long and up to 120 m high, whose
243 development is controlled by the highly pervasive NW-SE joint set (Gutiérrez et al.,
244 1994). It extends from the village of Remolinos (35 km upstream of Zaragoza city) to
245 Osera Creek, abruptly merging upstream and downstream where the evaporitic
246 Zaragoza Formation grades into the detrital sediments of the Longares Formation. The
247 continuous, linear trace is interrupted in the Gállego River confluence where terrace
248 deposits reach more than 50 m thick (Fig. 1C). From the height and spatial location of

249 the oldest mapped terrace we estimate an entrenchment of 210 m and a lateral migration
250 of 11 km. The presence of triangular facets and hanging valleys (Fig. 2A) together with
251 the occurrence of old Muslim defensive castles of the eleventh century (partly in ruins at
252 the scarp edge) evidence of the rapid retreat of the gypsum scarp (Fig. 3A). Regarding
253 the scarp age, terrace remnants belonging to T6 in the confluence of the Gállego River
254 on top of the scarp postdate the beginning of its development. The scarp is still forming
255 and retreating today, as the river channel is located at the toe of the scarp along several
256 kilometers upstream Zaragoza city, undermining it and rendering it unstable.

257

258 Despite the preferred northeastern lateral migration of the Ebro River, the existence of
259 two shorter NW-SE trending gypsum escarpments on the opposite margin suggest that
260 the river migrated laterally toward the southwest during particular time periods. The
261 shorter one is located to the south of Fuentes de Ebro village (Fig. 1C). It is
262 characterized by a length of 8 km and an average height of 20 m. Regarding its
263 chronology, it developed in the time interval between the sedimentation of terraces T3
264 and T4, as the top of the scarp is capped by T3 terrace deposits while its base is covered
265 by thickened T4 terrace deposits on the right bank of the Ginel River. The other scarp,
266 which is better preserved and displays a maximum height of 60 m, extends for 11 km
267 between El Burgo de Ebro and Fuentes de Ebro villages (Figs. 1C and 2B). It is capped
268 by terraces T5 to T8, depending on the studied reach, suggesting it was formed after T8
269 sedimentation. The deposits belonging to T11 are the youngest ones mantling the base
270 of the scarp predating it.

271

272 Two clearly distinguishable sectors can be differentiated in the studied lower reach of
273 the Huerva valley (Fig. 1B). Between Botorrita and Cuarte villages, the entrenchment

274 and lateral migration of the fluvial system throughout its evolution has generated a
275 markedly asymmetric valley. In this sector, stepped fluvial terraces form narrow and
276 continuous benches on the western valley flank. In contrast, the eastern margin of the
277 valley is defined by a prominent gypsum escarpment more than 10 km long and up to
278 120 m high affected by numerous slope movements and oriented NE-SW (Guerrero et
279 al., 2005). The presence of hanging valleys and triangular facets in the gypsum
280 escarpment and the absence of well-developed alluvial fans and remnants of old terrace
281 levels in the eastern margin of the asymmetric valley reach are indicative of persistent
282 lateral migration and incision of the fluvial system and rapid scarp retreat (Fig. 2C). An
283 entrenchment of 115 m and an eastward lateral migration in excess of 2 km have been
284 estimated for the fluvial system since the formation of the oldest mapped terrace.
285 Downstream of Cuarte village, the valley becomes flanked on both sides by paired
286 terraces and acquires a roughly symmetric configuration (Fig. 1B). In this sector, the
287 Huerva River is excavated in thickened and slightly cemented Quaternary terrace
288 deposits of the Ebro and Huerva rivers that may reach more than 60 m thick in Zaragoza
289 city (Guerrero et al., 2008).

290

291 The area has a continental, semiarid climate with an average annual precipitation and
292 temperature of 315 mm and 14.6°C, respectively.

293

294 **4. Type and distribution of slope movements.**

295 We have distinguished five types of movements using Varnes (1978) and Cruden and
296 Varnes (1996) classifications: (i) rockfalls, (ii) topples, (iii) rotational and translational
297 landslides, (iv) lateral spreading, and (v) complex movements that involve the
298 intervention of two or more of the previously described movements. The

299 lithostratigraphy plays an important role in the distribution of the different types of
300 slope movements (Gutiérrez et al., 1994). Those areas where claystones outcrop at the
301 base of the scarp are more affected by landslides, lateral spreading processes and
302 complex movements. In contrast, rockfalls and topples are predominant when the rock
303 mass is exclusively constituted by evaporites.

304

305 *4.1. Slope movement distribution in the Ebro valley*

306 Rockfalls and topples often happen upstream of the confluence of the Ebro and Gállego
307 rivers and downstream of Zaragoza up to Alfajarín village. Here, the rock mass is
308 composed of secondary gypsum interbedded with centimetre- to decimetre-thick grey
309 marl beds (Pellicer et al., 1984). It shows vertical to overhanging walls, mainly in those
310 stretches where the Ebro River was recently undermining the scarp (Fig. 2A). Blocks
311 that may reach more than 100 m³ in volume (Fig. 3A), often break up during the
312 collision leading to talus slope deposits several meters thick at the toe of the scarp. Use
313 of the gravel track that runs at the base of the escarpment to the northwest of Zaragoza
314 city by private cars is forbidden and the road is periodically closed. A rockfall of 45 m³
315 collided with a house in 8 July 2010 in Alfocea village (2 km northwest of the study
316 area). Fortunately there were no casualties (Fig. 3B).

317

318 Downstream of Alfajarín village, claystones belonging to the Longares Formation
319 underlies the evaporitic strata at the base of the scarp favouring the development of
320 landslides. Gutiérrez et al. (1994) indicated that: (i) landslides runout of more than 1 km
321 in length and 3 hm³ in volume, were predominantly rotational, multiple, and
322 retrogressive (Fig. 4A); (ii) large, closed depressions developed at the head of the
323 rotated slid mass are filled by gypsiferous silt and rockfalls coming from the main

324 scar (Fig. 4C); (3) the lower mechanical strength of the claystones are responsible for
325 the formation of multiple failure planes that exhibit abundant grooves and slickensides.
326 The existence of claystone bulges at the toe of the landslides suggests that claystones
327 are extruded outside in relation to intense plastic deformation; (iv) evaporitic strata
328 overlying shear planes are highly brecciated and karstified; and (v) only two landslides
329 located to the west of Alfajarín are active. They display a large number of aligned
330 depressions, several metres wide sinkholes, and open grooves and cracks over 40 m in
331 length and 2 m in width. The rest of the landslides are incised by the drainage network
332 and covered by low-growing bushes indicating that they are old and inactive.
333
334 The two fluvial gypsum escarpments on the southern margin are very degraded by the
335 drainage network displaying rounded slopes $< 60^\circ$ (Fig. 2B). Talus debris associated
336 with rockfalls were removed by the river or covered by terrace deposits. Landslides are
337 typically between 5 to 100 m long and show a drainage network with a very well
338 ordered hierarchical arrangement. In the right margin of the Ginel River valley, the cuts
339 of the Madrid-Barcelona high-speed railway has exposed terrace deposits more than 30
340 m thick belonging to the thickened T4 terrace covering a retrogressive rotational
341 landslide (Fig. 4D) and rockfalls (Fig. 3C) developed from the southernmost scarp.
342 Figure 3C shows up to 5-m-long gypsum blocks engulfed in a chaotic mass of 10 to 50
343 cm gypsum cobbles and boulders. The substratum rockhead is very irregular due to an
344 intense karstification. The internal arrangement of the rockfalls allows four events to be
345 differentiated. Every event is characterized by a mass of blocks that quickly wedges
346 away from the scarp and is covered by fluvial deposits. Every rockfall event was
347 probably related to a period of increasing dissolution and subsidence that caused the
348 migration of the Ebro River toward the base of the scarp. Figure 4D shows a multiple

349 landslide composed of two failure planes that define two, 10- and 15-m long slided
350 blocks mantled by fluvial gravels. The strata of the lower block display a dip toward the
351 scarp corroborating the rotational component of the movement. The beds of the upper
352 block show a reverse dip due to a double rotation of the slided mass indicating the
353 progressive behaviour of the landslide.

354

355 *4.2. Slope movement distribution in the Huerva River*

356 The existence of claystones of the Longares Formation seems to be a crucial factor in
357 the type of slope movement as in the Ebro River valley. Rockfalls are predominant
358 between María de Huerva and Cadrete villages where the rock mass is made up of
359 secondary gypsum interbedded with metre thick beds of limestone, marls, glauberite,
360 and halite belonging to the Zaragoza Formation overlying sandstones and siltstones of
361 the Longares Formation. In this river reach, the scarp is undergoing a rapid retreat
362 today, especially south of María village where the river flows along the base of the
363 scarp (Fig. 1B). In Cadrete, the underlying sandstones and siltstones grade into
364 claystones favouring the development of landslides and lateral spreading processes (Fig.
365 5C). The detrital Longares Formation merges toward the north downstream Cadrete and
366 the scarp becomes mainly composed of evaporites. In this sector, rockfalls and topples
367 are the main forms of slope instability.

368

369 Slope movements cause significant damage to numerous buildings of Cadrete and
370 Cuarte village. The traffic along the road that connects both villages was interrupted
371 several times in the last few years because of rockfalls and reactivated landslides. A
372 few buildings constructed on landslides had to be demolished and those located at the
373 toe of the scarp are severely damaged (Fig. 9F). From a detailed geomorphological map

374 of the escarpment in Cadrete village at 1:1000 scale (Fig. 5A), we determined that
375 rockfall and topples happen when the slope angle is over 70°. In addition they are more
376 abundant in lateral valley creeks in the contact between the detrital Longares and
377 evaporitic Zaragoza Formations where focused erosion on claystone beds by runoff led
378 to the formation of overhung ledges in the contact of both units. As a result, the bottom
379 of lateral creeks are often mantled by a mass of fallen blocks that may reach more than
380 10 m³ in volume (Fig. 3D). Locally, rockfalls and topples result from complex slope
381 movements evolving from landslides or lateral spreading processes.

382

383 Figure 5A shows that most of the landslides are rotational and that their failure planes
384 are based in claystones of the lower unit. Translational landslides are small and show a
385 single failure plane, whereas rotational landslides may reach more than 200 m long and
386 60 m wide and display multiple failure planes (Fig. 5A). Their major axis tends to
387 orientate perpendicular to the slope gradient in lateral creek valleys and parallel in the
388 scarp front (Fig. 5A). Failure planes often show a thin and parallel laminated claystone
389 coating that reduces friction strength and favours sliding (Fig. 6A). As a result of the
390 plastic behaviour of gypsum, the slided mass may occasionally bend to accommodate to
391 the curvature of the failure plane leading to a synform with the axis parallel to the sense
392 of movement (Fig. 6B). Those landslides located at the base of the scarp may be
393 covered by or overlying T2 terrace deposits suggesting that they were formed
394 previously or subsequently to T2 sedimentation, respectively (Fig. 5A). All of them
395 were inactive displaying an important low-growing brush cover and an incised drainage
396 network indicating they were developed under different climatic and geomorphological
397 conditions to the present ones. However, five landslides have been recently reactivated
398 due to slope changes caused by human activities (rotational landslides D1 to D5) (Fig.

399 5A). The excavation of the slope to lay the foundation for a building reactivated
400 landslide D1 (Fig. 6B). The excavation of a 15-m-wide bench at the toe of landslides D2
401 and D3 caused their reactivation (Figs. 6C and 6D). Three collapse sinkholes between
402 0.5 and 2 m in diameter were formed on the bench surface demonstrating that
403 karstification processes play an important role in the instability of the rock mass (Fig.
404 9C). Landslide D4 started moving after the construction of two water storage tanks at
405 the bottom and middle parts of the slope. The one located in the middle sector was soon
406 damaged and had to be demolished in 2008. The continuous water leakage of this tank
407 triggered the sudden reactivation of a secondary landslide in April 2007 that collided
408 with the tank located downslope (Fig. 9F). The reactivation of landslide D5 seems to be
409 caused by the construction of several private houses at the head of the landslide (Fig.
410 5A). Those buildings display a large number of centimetre-wide cracks and the
411 pavement is broken in pieces and tilted toward the scarp.

412

413 Lateral spreading movements are limited to areas close to Cadrete Castle and northeast
414 of Cadrete (Fig. 5A) in the contact between the upper evaporitic unit and the underlying
415 claystone unit. The plastic deformation of claystones causes the brecciation of the
416 overlying gypsum unit into blocks of up to 45 m³ that tend to flow and rotate toward the
417 front of the scarp evolving into topples, rockfalls, and landslides (Fig. 7A) in a process
418 called cambering (Cruden and Varnes, 1996). When claystones reach their maximum
419 plasticity after rainfall events, gypsum blocks may subside into them becoming
420 completely engulfed by a contorted claystone mass. The progressive flow of blocks on
421 top of the claystone led to the formation of extensional cracks parallel to the scarp of
422 more than 70 m long, 3 m wide, and 4 m deep partially filled by gypsiferous silts (Fig.
423 7B).

424

425

426 **5. Factors involved in the development of slope movements**

427 According to Crozier (1986) we may distinguish between conditioning and triggering
428 factors. Conditioning factors refer to stratigraphic, structural, or topographical features
429 of the rock mass that make the slope susceptible to instability processes. In contrast,
430 triggering factors determine the temporal occurrence of slope movements.

431 Lithostratigraphic distribution, joint pattern, and topography were classified into
432 conditioning factors whereas rainfall, human activities, karstification, and river erosion
433 into triggering factors.

434

435 *5.1. Conditioning factors*

436 The lithostratigraphic distribution seems to be critical in order to determine the spatial
437 occurrence of slope movements. Most of the landslides and all of the lateral spreading
438 processes happen where claystones crop out at the base of the scarp highlighting their
439 critical role. Claystones are lithologies prone to slope movements because of their low
440 mechanical shear strength that favours the development of failure planes (Taylor and
441 Cripps, 1987; Bell and Pettinga, 1988; Sohby and Elleboudy, 1988; Bogaard et al.,
442 2000). In addition, their constituent particles become reoriented along continuous bands
443 parallel to the slip surface in the rock-shear plane interface reaching residual strength
444 values and friction angles under small strain and little displacement (Kenney, 1984;
445 Barton, 1988; Stark and Eid, 1994; Rouaiguia, 2010). Under undrained conditions, the
446 low permeability of claystones causes high water pore pressures that decrease the
447 effective normal stress in potential slip surfaces enhancing sliding (Kenney, 1984).

448 Weathering of claystones is known to contribute to slope instability. According to
449 Taylor and Cripps (1987), weathering changes in mudrocks are accompanied by
450 gradational decreases in shear strength and increases in water content and Atterberg
451 limit value. Claystones of the Longares Formation have great potential to break down
452 under minimum shear stress due to their considerable amount of gypsum nodules,
453 swelling clay minerals, and high proportion of sodium. Quirantes (1978) and Esnaola
454 and Gil (1995) quoted an increasing proportion of secondary gypsum nodules and veins
455 to the top of the claystone unit. The dissolution of gypsiferous components causes the
456 disintegration of the rock structure leading to a drop in cohesion and friction angle. Rick
457 (1988) pointed out that landslides in a sector of the Swiss Alps were enhanced by the
458 karst solution of gypsum crystals present in Keuper claystones. In addition, X-ray
459 diffraction indicates that claystones in the Ebro and Huerva valley contain a high
460 proportion of illite, kaolinite, and chlorite and a lower percentage of montmorillonite
461 (Esnaola and Gil, 1995). Swelling phenomenon associated with clay minerals may be
462 crucial in the triggering of landslides (Kenney, 1984; Sohby and Elleboudy, 1988; Bell
463 and Pettinga, 1988; Selby, 1993; Gutiérrez et al., 1994). Changes in volume negatively
464 alter the mechanical properties of the claystones especially along discontinuity planes
465 (Selby, 1993). Crozier (1986), Selby (1993), and Gutiérrez et al. (1994) emphasized the
466 importance of clay dispersion in the development of landslides. The high proportion of
467 exchangeable sodium with respect to calcium and magnesium (SAR index) of the
468 claystones is responsible for the development of piping and dispersion processes in the
469 study area (Figs. 8A and 8B). The breakdown of clay aggregates by the leaching of
470 sodium initiates the dispersion and structure instability of claystones with the
471 subsequent decrease in shear strength (Gutiérrez et al., 1994; Sumner et al., 1998;
472 Amezketa et al., 2003).

473

474 Rock masses usually contain numerous discontinuities such as bedding planes, faults,
475 fissures, fractures, joints, and veins. They tend to possess low shear strength, negligible
476 tensile strength and high hydraulic conductivity compared to the surrounding material,
477 providing planes for shear failure and sliding (Priest, 1993). Rock mass permeability,
478 strength, and proneness to failure are mainly governed by discontinuity orientation,
479 spacing, size, frequency, and separation (Whalley, 1984; Selby, 1993; Winesa and
480 Lillyb, 2002). In the study area, the stratigraphic sequence is affected by three
481 structural subvertical regional joint sets oriented NW-SE, E-W, and NE-SW (Arlegui
482 and Simón, 2001). If we consider that the limestone mesas of the Alcubierre Formation
483 situated 400 to 600 m above the Ebro and Huerva valleys are the top of the Neogene
484 sedimentation and assuming an average density of 2 g/cm^3 for the tertiary sequence, the
485 strata cropping out at the evaporitic scarps have undergone an erosional unloading
486 between 80 and 120 kg/cm^2 . This important reduction in the compressive load resulted
487 in widening of preexistent joints and the development of new release joints controlled
488 by the topography (Figs. 8C and 8D). Most of the rockfall and landslide scars show a
489 prevalent NE-SW or E-W orientation highlighting the structural control in the
490 generation of slope movements.

491

492 Shear strength of discontinuities and consequently their susceptibility to sliding mainly
493 depends on friction between their walls (Priest, 1993; Selby, 1993). In the study area,
494 joint surface roughness is mainly reduced by karstification and infilling processes.
495 Karstification is responsible for the widening of joints in evaporitic terrains (Durán and
496 Val, 1984; Tsui and Cruden, 1984; Whalley, 1984; Williams and Davies, 1984; Faci et
497 al., 1986, 1988; Carcedo et al., 1988; Gutiérrez et al., 1994). The absence of runoff after

498 rainfall and the existence of a large number of springs at the contact between the upper
499 evaporitic strata and underlying claystone unit (Fig. 5A) are evidence that the gypsum
500 massif is highly karstified and that water quickly infiltrates along widened and enlarged
501 joints by dissolution. Spring water samples in the Huerva gypsum scarp showed
502 conductivity values over 30 mS/cm, were oversaturated in gypsum (10 g/l), and
503 contained a high concentration in halite (8 g/l) demonstrating the important contribution
504 of evaporite dissolution in slope instability. On the other hand, the marly insoluble
505 residue that is left during karstification coats the discontinuity wall surfaces abruptly
506 decreasing roughness. The geotechnical studies for the construction of Las Fuentes
507 Bridge in Zaragoza city demonstrated that this karstic residue was mainly made up of
508 high plasticity marls that display very low shear strength values (Serrano et al., 1990).
509
510 Finally, the high slope gradient of the scarp, usually over 70°, favours the development
511 of slope movements. Rockfalls often happen in overhanging ledges undermined by
512 lateral creeks or the Huerva and Ebro Rivers. Lateral spreading processes tend to occur
513 in convex-shaped slopes with gradients between 30° and 70°. Although, slope gradient
514 must have a positive effect in the generation of landslides, they seem to develop at any
515 angle wherever claystones outcrop at the base of scarp, suggesting that the spatial
516 distribution of claystones is the most relevant conditioning factor in their development.

517

518 *5.2. Triggering factors*

519 The downcutting and lateral migration of the Ebro and Huerva rivers control the
520 topography of the scarp. The undermining of the base of the rock mass leads to
521 oversteepened and overhung slopes that favour the formation of slope movements.
522 Rockfalls and landslides that are mainly made up of evaporitic rocks are subsequently

523 removed by the Ebro and Huerva rivers by mechanical erosion and dissolution
524 contributing to keeping the slope profile vertical. The chemical erosion will be inversely
525 dependent on the volume of the slided mass and proportionally on their solubility and
526 brecciation level. The existence of halite and Na-sulphates in the bedrock enhances the
527 dissolution phenomena due to their high solubility. Whereas the solubility of gypsum at
528 25°C is 2.4 g/l, halite and glauberite solubilities reach 360 and 118, respectively (Ford
529 and Williams, 1989). A rockfall of around 10 m³ that fell into the Huerva River channel
530 in 2003 between Cuarte and Santa Fe was completely removed within a year. This
531 evolutionary sequence of fluvial scarp base undercutting and slope movement formation
532 and removal is the main cause for the rapid retreat of evaporitic scarps.

533

534 Evaporite karstification is probably one of the most significant factors contributing to
535 the reduced mechanical strength of the evaporitic rock mass. The interstratal
536 karstification of the bedrock at the base of the scarp causes the formation of voids that
537 decrease the basal support of the cliff. Once a void is formed, the overlying evaporitic
538 beds deform plastically thanks to crystal reorganization and varying amounts of
539 interstitial muddy sediment (Bell, 1994; Karacan and Yilmaz, 2000; Gutiérrez et al.,
540 2008) leading to synformal structures. During the flexure, the evaporitic roof often
541 reaches the failure point giving way to subvertical failure planes that become potential
542 shearing planes. Geotechnical tests of gypsum exhibit that it undergoes plastic-elastic-
543 plastic deformation.

544 Building damage of Cadrete village was assessed in order to quantify the influence of
545 karstic subsidence in the instability of evaporitic scarps. The effects of subsidence
546 include severe building damage including tilting and cracking, sloping floors, sheared
547 doors and window openings, bulging walls, collapsed and sagging roofs, broken pipes,

548 and pavement collapse. The zonation of subsidence damage was evaluated by
549 examination of the building façades. A damage category was assigned to each building
550 on a scale of 1-4 based on the Subsidence Engineers' Handbook ranking system
551 established by the British National Coal Board (N.C.B., 1975). Level 1 represents no
552 damage and level 2 includes those buildings with appreciable damage such as
553 millimetric cracks and/or doors and windows sticking. Open fractures (up to 1 cm),
554 windows or doors distorted, and noticeable floor sloping were classified into level 3
555 (severe damage). Level 4 refers to very severe damage and includes centimetric wide
556 cracks, roof and beam bearing lose, windows and doors broken, and severe slopes on
557 floors. This ranking scheme has been usefully applied to evaporite dissolution
558 subsidence studies in Ripon, England (Griffin, 1986; Cooper, 1998) and Calatayud
559 (Gutiérrez and Cooper, 2002). This detailed survey is represented in a coloured map that
560 shows the damage level of every construction in the village, borehole locations, and
561 sinkhole distribution (Fig. 5A). Despite the damage level of a building depends not only
562 on subsidence but on other factors such as the age, foundation type, and depth and
563 characteristics of the supporting materials, this methodology may be a useful tool to
564 determine the spatial distribution of subsidence. Borehole data indicate that Cadrete is
565 located on a 15-m-thick terrace deposit that is overlying a substratum made up of 5-m-
566 thick beds of gypsum, halite, and glauberite (Guerrero et al., 2005) (Fig. 5B). This
567 anomalous terrace thickness is related to the synsedimentary dissolution of glauberite
568 and halite layers (Guerrero et al., 2008). The buildings with the highest level of damage
569 are located at the toe of the scarp suggesting that karstic subsidence is an important
570 active process at this site (Figs. 9A and 9B). The often development of sinkholes in
571 landslides (Fig. 9C) and at the base of the scarp (Fig. 9D) demonstrates the existence of
572 interstratal cavities within the evaporitic bedrock that decrease the basal support and

573 destabilize the gypsum massif. Recent excavations in Cuarte village expose a 75-m-
574 long, 3-m-wide and 1- to 3-m-high, partially inundated, subcircular phreatic conduit at
575 10 m above the Huerva River channel and connected with T2 terrace level at the base of
576 the gypsum scarp (Fig. 9E). This altitudinal correlation suggests that it was formed
577 during T2 sedimentation. The bottom was covered by a variable thickness of insoluble
578 residue and fallen gypsum blocks coming from the roof and walls. A breakdown pile of
579 around 10 m long and 3.5 m high that was probably generated once the conduit became
580 vadose were partially blocking it about 10 m away from the entrance. The existence of
581 sinkholes and phreatic conduits and buildings severely damaged at the base of the scarp
582 are evidence that karstification of bedrock is probably caused by regional flows
583 discharging in the contact between terraces and the evaporitic scarp under phreatic
584 conditions. Karstification was demonstrated to be a triggering factor in the genesis of
585 landslides in the Iberian Range (Durán and Val, 1984) and Calatayud graben in Spain
586 (Gutiérrez, 1998), French Alps (Rovera, 1993), Italian Alps (Alberto et al., 2008),
587 eastern Germany (Reuter et al., 1977), and Alberta in Canada (Tsui and Cruden, 1984).
588

589 The close relationship between rainfall and landslide has been profusely studied.
590 Rainfall is known to start the movement and reactivate or speed up preexisting
591 landslides (Sowers and Royster, 1978; Bell and Pettinga, 1988; Battista and Surian,
592 1996; González et al., 1996; Julian and Anthony, 1996; Wiczorek, 1996; Jiménez et
593 al., 1999; Van Asch et al., 1999; Pair and Kappel, 2002; Schmidt and Beyer, 2002). In
594 the study area, many landslides and rockfalls happen or accelerate after intense storms.
595 Water is responsible for a drop in the mechanical resistance of the rock mass due to a
596 decrease in the effective stress in shear planes, a rise in cleft water pressure, an increase
597 in the weight of the slope materials, karstification of the evaporitic mass, and swelling

598 and piping phenomena in claystones (Williams and Davies, 1984; Selby, 1993). Rainfall
599 and karstification are closely related and often act together. Once sliding begins, the
600 evaporitic mass starts breaking. Water infiltrates along the new fractures up to the
601 failure plane increasing water pressure. As fractures widen by dissolution, water flows
602 down faster getting to the shear plane before reaching their saturation point.
603 Karstification concentrates on the sliding plane, roughness decreases, and aperture
604 increases resulting in a drastic reduction of friction that favours sliding in a positive
605 feedback mechanism.

606

607 Finally, the kinematics of the landslides, largely controlled by hydrological and
608 karstification processes, was accelerated in some cases due to anthropogenic slope
609 alterations, mainly excavation at the toe, overloading, and enhanced water infiltration.
610 In Cadrete, construction works are responsible for the reactivation of landslides D1 to
611 D5.

612

613 **6. Genesis of gypsum scarps**

614 The formation of gypsum scarps developed in fluvial valleys excavated across Spanish
615 Tertiary evaporitic basins was often attributed to extensional tectonic tilting (Van
616 Zuidam, 1976; Mensua and Ibáñez, 1977; Silva et al., 1988; Silva, 2003). Several lines
617 of evidence counteract their tectonic origin: (i) the lack of relevant neotectonic
618 structures and the low seismicity recorded in the central sector of the Ebro basin. The
619 Spanish National Earthquake Hazard Map includes the central sector of the Ebro
620 depression in the very low seismicity zone. This area is occasionally affected by
621 earthquakes up to 3.0 in magnitude with epicentres located in the northern and southern
622 ranges situated more than 70 km away from the study area (Martínez and Mezcuá,

623 2002); (ii) the existence of gypsum scarps on both margins of the valleys suggests that
624 there is no imposed shifting direction due to tectonic ground tilting; (iii) if the scarps
625 were fault-related, their height should be proportional to the fault vertical throw.
626 However, the strata located at both sides of the scarp (supposed footwall and hanging
627 wall) are not displaced and remain undeformed; and (iv) the main river and its
628 tributaries reveal gypsum escarpments on opposite margins with different alignments.
629 For instance, the main scarp in the Ebro valley is on the left margin oriented NW-SE,
630 while the Huerva and Gállego rivers tributaries that confluence in Zaragoza city display
631 their escarpments in the right margins and oriented NE-SW. If every scarp had to be
632 attributed to a fault plane, the city of Zaragoza would be cross-cut by three active
633 normal faults which does not match borehole data, field observations, and the
634 earthquake record.
635
636 Gutiérrez (1998) and Benito et al. (2000) postulated that synsedimentary subsidence due
637 to the karstification of the soluble substratum may play an important role in river
638 migration. The sedimentological studies of the Huerva and Ebro river terraces by
639 Guerrero et al. (2008, 2013) pointed out that the channel of both rivers have locally
640 migrated to lower topographic areas in the floodplain. Nevertheless, the impact of
641 synsedimentary subsidence in the development of valley asymmetry has not yet been
642 demonstrated. According to Gutiérrez (1998) and Benito et al. (2000), focused
643 karstification along one margin would cause a lateral slope gradient in the floodplain
644 confining river channel on the subsiding side of the valley and preventing river
645 migration to the opposite site. When a reach of a river valley is affected by differential
646 subsidence, the fluvial system tends to adjust its profile by aggrading in the subsidence
647 area (Ouchi, 1985). This synsedimentary subsidence is commonly recorded by

648 thickened fluvial sequences made up of stacked, fining-upward cycles (Read and Dean,
649 1982; Johnson, 1984) that show a high proportion of floodplain facies (Bridge and
650 Leeder, 1979; Heller and Paola, 1996). Therefore, if focused karstification at the base of
651 the evaporitic scarp was responsible for the asymmetry of valley, terrace deposits at the
652 toe of the scarp should display an anomalous thickness and numerous gravitational
653 deformation structures. Figures 1B and 1C show the spatial distribution of thickened
654 terraces in the Ebro and Huerva rivers. In the Huerva River valley, upstream of Cuarte
655 village the valley is asymmetric and river terraces display a relatively constant thickness
656 lower than 4 m at the base of the scarp with the exception of T2 terrace in Cadrete and
657 Cuarte villages where it may locally reach up to 15 m thick. In contrast, downstream of
658 Cuarte where river terraces thicken to over 60 m, the valley becomes symmetric and the
659 gypsum scarp disappears (Guerrero et al., 2008). In the Ebro River valley, asymmetry is
660 related to the existence of a prominent gypsum scarp more than 60 km long on the left
661 valley margin indicating a dominant NE migration. However, there are two shorter more
662 degraded and older gypsum scarps in the opposite margin suggesting that the channel
663 preferentially moved to the SW during some periods of the valley evolution. If the
664 genesis of the three scarps was attributed to a synsedimentary subsidence phenomenon,
665 then we have to assume that karstic subsidence underwent a complex spatial and
666 temporal distribution changing from side to side to explain the Ebro River migration
667 pattern. A seesaw karstic subsidence hypothesis would imply important changes in
668 thickness and sedimentology of fluvial facies across and along the valley because of
669 depocenter migration. The spatial distribution of the Ebro terraces (Fig. 1) shows that
670 their deposits are often undeformed and display a constant thickness of around 5 m
671 across the floodplain and along the base of the three scarps, except for local anomalous
672 thickenings in the eastern side of the Ginel river and in the left valley margin

673 downstream of Zaragoza city. Regarding facies changes, Guerrero et al. (2013)
674 indicated that the Ebro River terraces that were mainly comprised of channel gravel
675 deposits did not undergo a significant increase in floodplain facies in the subsiding
676 stretches. The lack of sedimentological evidence of changes together with parallel river
677 terrace profiles allow Guerrero et al. (2013) to suggest that the Ebro River was able to
678 keep pace with subsidence thanks to an aggradation/subsidence rate > 1 .

679 The disappearance of gypsum scarps in those sectors more affected by karstic
680 subsidence counteracts evaporite dissolution as the main mechanism in the development
681 of gypsum scarps. Figure 1 shows that the gypsum scarps of the Ebro, Huerva, and
682 Gállego rivers are not developed in their confluence in Zaragoza city coinciding with an
683 abrupt increase in terrace thickness. In this stretch, the Ebro, Gállego, and Huerva rivers
684 terrace deposits fill synsedimentary subsidence troughs of more than 50 m deep
685 (Guerrero et al., 2013), 100 m deep (Benito et al., 2000), and 60 m deep (Guerrero et al.,
686 2008), respectively, related with interstratal dissolution of salt and glauberite beds. The
687 prominent scarp located in the left margin of the Ebro River valley is interrupted
688 upstream of Zaragoza city when the channel starts incising into their own thickened
689 fluvial sediments and reappears again downstream of Zaragoza city once terrace
690 thickness decreases and becomes constant (Fig. 1B). The shorter gypsum scarps on the
691 right margin of the Ebro valley were formed downstream of the main subsiding area
692 located in Zaragoza city. In the Huerva valley, the gypsum scarp disappears downstream
693 of Cuarte village where the Huerva River terraces reach more than 60 m in thickness.

694 This geomorphological and sedimentological information shows that: (i) the genesis of
695 gypsum scarps are not caused by a synsedimentary subsidence that only affects local
696 river migration and (ii) when magnitude, aerial extension, and rate of karstic subsidence
697 are high enough to cause a steady and significant aggradation of the axial river,

698 tributaries, and lateral creeks, the evaporitic bedrock becomes overlain by tens of meters
699 of alluvial deposits through which the river flows, migrates, and downcuts. In the
700 absence of evaporite outcrops, gypsum scarp formation is inhibited and the valley may
701 become symmetric with paired terraces.

702

703 In the absence of an imposed preferent direction of migration related to tectonic or
704 karstic subsidence, the development of gypsum fluvial scarps and valley
705 asymmetry in the Ebro depression seems to be related to a fortuitous river migration
706 pattern. A random channel shifting mechanism would explain the possible existence of
707 scarps at both margins in the same valley, scarps oriented in any direction and margin in
708 every river valley, the chaotic distribution of channel and floodplain facies within the
709 floodplain, and the lack of thickened deposits along the base of the scarp. Nevertheless,
710 the genesis of fluvial gypsum scarps requires a combination of factors: (i) a particular
711 distribution of facies characterized by evaporites grading into fine-grained detrital
712 sediments, (ii) contrasting physicochemical properties of bedrock, (iii) semiarid climatic
713 conditions that favour runoff erosion versus dissolution, and (iv) a river incision rate
714 higher than basin erosion rates.

715 The existence of evaporites grading laterally into fine-grained sediments seems to be
716 critical in the development and preservation potential of gypsum scarps. Facies
717 distribution in semiarid continental land-locked basins is often characterized by the
718 proximal sedimentation of alluvial fan detrital deposits in the margins and the
719 precipitation of evaporites in saline playa-lakes in the distal depocenter. When the basin
720 becomes exorheic, the drainage network incises into the endorheic infill leading to
721 evaporitic outcrops surrounded by mudstones. Detailed mapping demonstrate that
722 fluvial scarps form independently of the substratum lithology whenever the river

723 randomly migrates preferentially to a particular valley margin. Thus, river scarps forms
724 in evaporites and claystones in the study area but they are not preserved in claystones
725 due to their lower mechanical strength while they become prominent in river reaches
726 excavated in gypsum bedrock. According to mean rock strength values (Selby, 1993;
727 Waltham, 1994; Salinas, 2004), compressive strength of gypsum ranges from 5 to 35
728 MPa depending on impurity content while claystones vary from 1 to 20 MPa depending
729 on the proportion of silt-sized particles and grade of stiffness. Tensile strength of
730 gypsum and claystone oscillates between 1 and 2 MPa and between 0.1 and 2 MPa,
731 respectively. The geotechnical surveys for the Zaragoza-Barcelona highway
732 construction indicate that compressive strength of gypsum and claystone in the study
733 area oscillates between 0.8 and 8 MPa and between 0.4 and 1.5 MPa, respectively. This
734 means that compressive strength of gypsum may be more than 20 times higher than
735 claystone. In addition, Young's modulus of gypsum was up to 12 times greater than
736 claystone. Despite the much lower mechanical strength of claystones than gypsum,
737 there are other factors that favour the erodibility of claystones in the study area.
738 According to Desir and Marin (2006), the erosion of claystones in the Ebro depression
739 is enhanced by their high sodium absorption ratios and amount of swelling clay
740 minerals that lead to soil dispersion and piping processes. From the data collected in
741 four erosion plots monitored in a 10-year period between 1991 and 2001 in the Ginel
742 River valley and the left margin of the Ebro River, Desir (2001) obtained average
743 annual erosion rates for gypsum between 2.70 and 0.5 Mg/ha·y with a maximum of
744 19.54 Mg/ha·y and a minimum of 0.005 Mg/ ha·y depending on slope gradient,
745 vegetation cover, sun radiation, and intensity and volume of rainfall. Considering a
746 density of 2.5 g/dm³ for gypsum, we can estimate a surface lowering between 0.11 and
747 0.02 mm/y. These values are in agreement with other denudation rates obtained in

748 gypsum in the Spanish territory. Marques et al.'s (2008) runoff and sediment loss
749 studies in gypsiferous soils in the Tertiary Madrid basin in central Spain determined an
750 average erosion rate of 0.34 Mg/ha·y and a soil thickness lowering of 0.02 mm/y from
751 36 erosion plots monitored during 5 years. In the Sorbas Karst in southeast Spain,
752 gypsum denudation rates range between 0.28 and 0.42 mm/y in surface outcrops and
753 between 0.004 and 0.22 mm/y at vadose and phreatic caves, respectively (Calaforra,
754 1996). Worldwide erosion rates range from 0.003 to a maximum of 1.15 mm/y under
755 optimum conditions along karstic conduits (Klimchouk et al., 1996). Surface denudation
756 rates of the gypsiferous Castile Formation in New Mexico reached 0.5 mm/y in some
757 areas with an average of 0.3 mm/y (Shaw et al., 2011). Cucchi et al. (1996) estimated an
758 average denudation rate of 0.9 mm/y during an observation time of eight years in the
759 karst of Trieste in Italy.

760

761 Low erosion rates in gypsum contrast with those obtained in mudrocks. Sirvent et al.
762 (1997) and Desir and Marin (2006) determined the erosion rates of the Ebro depression
763 Tertiary claystones by means of erosion pins and erosion plots during a 12-year period
764 from 1993 to 2004 in the Lanaja and Bardenas Reales sectors located 20 km to the
765 northeast and 50 km to the northwest of the study area, respectively. The data collected
766 yielded an annual average value between 32 and 156 Mg/ha·y with a maximum of 752
767 Mg/ha·y and a minimum of 13 Mg/ha·y depending on rainfall volume and intensity.
768 Recently, from 14 experimental erosional plots in claystones in the Huerva River valley,
769 González-Hidalgo et al. (2005) obtained an annual average erosion rate between 75 and
770 1650 Mg/ha·y depending on slope gradient and vegetation cover. Considering an
771 average bedrock density of 2.5 g/dm³, surface lowering of claystone ranges between
772 1.28 and 66 mm/y. This value is up to 3200 times higher than in gypsum in the study

773 area. In Spain, average erosion rates in mudrocks are similar to the ones calculated in
774 the Ebro depression and range between 0.7 and 8 mm/y in Almeria (Cantón et al.,
775 2001), 0.6 and 4.2 mm/y in Murcia (Bergkamp et al., 1996; López Bermúdez et al.,
776 2000, Romero Díaz and Belmonte Serrato, 2002), 1.4 and 12 mm/y in Cataluña (Clotet
777 et al., 1989), and 4 and 22 mm/y in the Pyrenees (Regüés et al., 2000; García-Ruiz et
778 al., 2008). These data reveal that any type of landform would be preserved longer in
779 evaporites than in claystones due to their lower erodibility in the study area. For
780 instance, a fluvial scarp of 10 m would be completely degraded in 7800 years in
781 claystones and 500,000 years in gypsum using the lower denudation rates calculated for
782 both lithologies.

783

784 Climate seems to be critical in the development of gypsum scarps since the climatic
785 conditions of a region controls erosion rates. The latter erosion data reveals that under
786 semiarid conditions vegetation cover is scarce and runoff and piping processes in
787 mudstones overcome evaporite dissolution. As a result, evaporites become the lithology
788 with the higher preservation potential. On the contrary, in wetter regions dissolution
789 processes may become dominant due to an increase in the vegetation cover that reduces
790 drop impact, runoff, and clay dispersion resulting in lower mudrock erosion rates. This
791 is the reason why in high latitude areas with high rainfall rates, fluvial gypsum scarps
792 are absent or poorly developed. Finally, it is essential that the relation between river
793 incision rate and basin erosion must be positive. The higher the rate of river incision the
794 higher would be the scarp, and so more time would be needed to erode it increasing
795 their preservation potential.

796

797 **7. Conclusions**

798 Spanish rivers crossing Tertiary basins often lead to asymmetric fluvial valleys in those
799 river reaches excavated in evaporitic substratum. They are characterized by a sequence
800 of stepped terraces on one side and a prominent, several kilometre-long and up to 120-
801 m-high fluvial scarp mainly made up of gypsum in the opposite side of the valley. The
802 scarp quickly merges upstream and downstream once the river becomes incised into
803 claystones.

804

805 The entrenchment and migration of the Ebro River throughout its evolution has
806 generated a prominent, NW-SE trending, linear gypsum escarpment 60 km long and
807 120 m high in the left margin and two shorter escarpments of 8 and 11 km long and 20
808 and 60 m high in the opposite margin suggesting a changeable shifting direction during
809 its evolution. The preferent migration of the Huerva River toward the east has
810 developed a markedly asymmetric valley between Botorrita and Cuarte villages with a
811 NE-SW trending fluvial gypsum escarpment more than 10 km long and up to 120 m
812 high affected by numerous slope movements (Guerrero et al., 2005).

813

814 Rockfalls and topples are the main movements in those stretches where the scarp is
815 mainly composed of evaporites, while multiple retrogressive rotational landslides and
816 lateral spreading processes become dominant where claystones crop out at the base of
817 the scarp. Claystone shows a great potential to break down under minimum shear stress
818 due to their considerable amount of swelling clay minerals, high proportion of
819 exchangeable sodium that favours piping processes, and the dissolution of gypsum
820 nodules that causes a significant drop in cohesion and friction angle.

821

822 A detailed karstic subsidence damage map of Cadrete village based on the examination
823 of facades shows that severe damaged buildings were located at the base of the scarp
824 demonstrating that karstification causes a significant decrease in the basal support that
825 favours the development of slope movements. The formation of sinkholes also
826 contribute to destabilize the rock mass acting as preferent flow paths for infiltrated
827 waters down to sliding planes and increasing water pressure. In addition, the
828 enlargement of discontinuity planes by dissolution causes an important friction drop
829 between discontinuity walls enhancing sliding.

830

831 The evolution and rapid retreat of fluvial gypsum scarps is related to the continuous and
832 preferential lateral migration of the river channel toward a valley margin causing the
833 undermining of the base of the scarp, destabilizing the rock mass and favouring the
834 development of slope failures. Lateral migration was often attributed to normal faulting
835 or dissolution-induced subsidence. The lack of relevant neotectonic structures, vertical
836 displacements, and terrace thickness increase in the supposed hanging wall together
837 with the low seismicity recorded in the central sector of the Ebro basin counteract the
838 tectonic tilting origin hypothesis. The disappearance of river asymmetry and gypsum
839 scarps in those sectors more affected by synsedimentary karstic subsidence does not
840 support evaporite dissolution as the main mechanism in the development of gypsum
841 scarps. In addition, the constant thickness of the Ebro and Huerva river terraces and lack
842 of expected sedimentological changes related to a subsidence phenomenon along and
843 across gypsiferous scarps suggest that the synsedimentary subsidence related to the
844 interstratal dissolution of halite and glauberite layers was exclusively responsible for
845 local channel shifting. In the absence of an imposed preferent direction of migration
846 related to tectonic or karstic subsidence, valley asymmetry seems to be related with a

847 fortuitous river migration pattern. A random channel shifting mechanism would explain
848 the possible existence of scarps at both margins in the same valley, scarps oriented in
849 any direction and margin in every river valley, the chaotic distribution of channel and
850 floodplain facies within the floodplain, and the lack of thickened deposits along the base
851 of the scarp.

852

853 The occurrence of prominent river scarps only in reaches excavated in evaporites seems
854 to be exclusively related to the greater mechanical strength of evaporites than the
855 surrounding lithologies. In the study area, despite the fact that river scarps develop in
856 evaporitic and argillitic substratum, their potential of preservation is greater in gypsum
857 than in claystones under semiarid climatic conditions as rainfall is scarce and evaporite
858 dissolution processes are irrelevant in comparison to runoff erosion. Erosion rates of
859 claystones may be over 3000 times higher than in gypsum in the study area.

860

861 **Acknowledgements**

862 This research work has been funded by the national project CGL2010-16775
863 (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and FEDER). I want to thank Francisco Gutiérrez
864 for his help, knowledge and support.

865

866 **References**

867 Alberto, W., Giardino, M., Martinotti, G., Tiranti, D., 2008. Geomorphological hazards
868 related to deep dissolution phenomena in the western Italian Alps: distribution,
869 assessment and interaction with human activities. *Engineering Geology* 99, 147-159.

870

871 Amezketa, E., Aragüés, R., Carranza, R., Urgel, B., 2003. Chemical, spontaneous and
872 mechanical dispersión of clays in arid-zone soils. Spanish Journal of Agricultural
873 Research 1, 95-107.
874

875 Andrejchuck, V., Klimchouk, A., 2002. Mechanisms of karst breakdown formation in
876 the gypsum karst of the fore-Ural region, Russia (from observations in the Kungurskaja
877 Cave). Implication of Speleological Studies for Karst Subsidence Hazard Assessment.
878 International Journal of Speleology N31, 89-114.
879

880 Arlegui, L., Simón, J.L., 2001. Geometry and distribution of regional joint sets in a non-
881 homogeneous stress field: case study in the Ebro basin (Spain). Journal of Structural
882 Geology 23, 297-313.
883

884 Ayala, F.J., Olcina, J., Vilaplana, J.M., 2003. Impacto económico y estrategias de
885 mitigación de los riesgos naturales en España en el periodo 1990-2000. Gerencia de
886 Riesgos y Seguros, MAPFRE, 84, 19-27.
887

888 Banham, S.G., Mountney, N.P., 2013. Evolution of fluvial systems in salt-walled
889 minibasins: a review and new insights. Sedimentary Geology 296, 142-166.
890

891 Barton, M.E., 1988. Controle sedimentologique des surfaces de cisamement des plans
892 de stratification. In: Bonnard, C. (Ed.), Landslides-Glislements de Terrains.
893 Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Landslides. Rotterdam, Netherlands,
894 AA Balkema, 73-76.
895

896 Battista, G., Surian, N., 1996. Geomorphological study of the Faldato landslide,
897 Venetian Prealps, Italy. *Geomorphology* 15, 337-350.
898

899 Bell, D.H., Pettinga, J.R., 1988. Bedding-controlled landslides in New Zealand soft rock
900 terrain. In: Bonnard, C. (Ed.), *Landslides-Glissements de Terrains*. Proceedings of the
901 5th International Symposium on Landslides. Rotterdam, Netherlands, AA Balkema, pp.
902 77-83.
903

904 Bell, F.G., 1994. A survey of the engineering properties of some anhydrite and gypsum
905 from the north and midlands of England. *Engineering Geology* 38, 1-23.
906

907 Benito, G., 1989. *Geomorfología de la Cuenca Baja del Río Gállego*. Universidad de
908 Zaragoza, Ph.D. Thesis, Zaragoza, Spain.
909

910 Benito, G., Gutiérrez, F., Pérez-González, A., Machado, M.J., 2000. Geomorphological
911 and sedimentological features in Quaternary fluvial systems affected by solution-
912 induced subsidence (Ebro basin, Spain). *Geomorphology* 33, 209-224.
913

914 Bentham, P., Collier, R.E.L., Gawthorpe, R.L., Leeder, M.R., Prossor, S., Stark, C.,
915 1991. Tectono-sedimentary development of an extensional basin, the Neogene Megara
916 basin, Greece. *Journal of Geological Society of London* 148, 923-934.
917

918 Benvenuti, M., 2003. Facies analysis and tectonic significance of lacustrine fan-deltaic
919 successions in the Pliocene-Pleistocene Mugello basin, central Italy. *Sedimentary*
920 *Geology* 157, 197-234.

921

922 Bergkamp, G., Cammeraat, E., Martínez Fernández, J., 1996. Water movement and
923 vegetation pattern on shrub lands and abandoned fields in desertification threatened
924 areas. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 21, 1073-1090.

925

926 Bian, J., Tang, J., Lin, N., 2008. Relationship between saline–alkali soil formation and
927 neotectonic movement in Songnen Plain, China. *Environmental Geology* 55, 1421-
928 1429.

929

930 Blair, T.C., McPherson, J.C., 1994. Historical adjustments by Walker River to lake level
931 fall over a tectonically tilted half-graben floor, Walker Lake basin, Nevada.
932 *Sedimentary Geology* 92, 7-16.

933

934 Bogaard, T.A., Antoine, P., Desvareux, P., Giraud, A., van Asch, Th.W., 2000. The
935 slope movements within the Mondorès graben (Drôme, France), the interaction between
936 geology, hydrology and typology. *Engineering Geology* 55, 297-312.

937

938 Bridge, J.S., Leeder, M.R., 1979. A simulation model of alluvial stratigraphy.
939 *Sedimentology* 26, 617-644.

940

941 Burbank, D.W., Anderson, R.S., 2001. *Tectonic Geomorphology*. Blackwell Science
942 Ltd., Oxford, UK.

943

944 Burillo, F., Gutiérrez, M., Peña, J.L., 1985. Las acumulaciones holocenas y su datación
945 arqueológica en Mediana de Aragón (Zaragoza). Cuadernos de Investigación
946 Geográfica 11, 193-207.
947

948 Calaforra, J.M., 1996. Karstología de yesos. Instituto de Estudios Almerienses. Servicio
949 de Publicaciones de la Universidad de Almería. Almería (España). Monografías.
950 Ciencia y Tecnología 3.
951

952 Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Queralt, I., Pini, R., 2001. Weathering of a gypsum-
953 calcareous mudstone under semi-arid environment at Tabernas, SE Spain: laboratory
954 and field-based experimental approaches. Catena 44, 111-132.
955

956 Carcedo, A., Valls, A., Borel, C., 1988. Estudio de inestabilidades en los acantilados
957 yesíferos de la Ribera de Navarra. In: Alonso, E., Corominas, J. (Eds.), II Simposio
958 sobre taludes y laderas inestables. IGME, Andorra, pp. 657-668.
959

960 Clotet, N., García-Ruiz, J.M., Gallart, F., 1989. High magnitude geomorphic work in
961 Pyrenees Range: November's 1982 unusual rainfall event. Studia Geomorphologica
962 Carpatho-Balcanica 23, 69-91.
963

964 Cooper, A.H., 1998. Subsidence hazards caused by the dissolution of Permian gypsum
965 in England: geology, investigation and remediation. In: Maund, J.G., Eddleston, M.
966 (Eds.), Geohazards in Engineering Geology. Engineering Geology Special Publications,
967 Geological Society, London, 15, pp. 265-275.
968

969 Crozier, M.J., 1986. Field assessment of slope instability. In: Brunsdon, D., Prior, D.B.
970 (Eds.), Slope Instability. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK, pp. 103-142.
971

972 Cruden, D.M., Varnes, D.J., 1996. Landslide types and processes. In: Turner, A.K.,
973 Schuster, R.L. (Eds.), Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation. National Academy
974 Press, Washington DC.,USA, pp. 36-75.
975

976 Cucchi, F., Forti, P., Marinetti, E., 1996. Surface degradation of carbonate rocks in the
977 karst of Trieste. In: Fornos, J.J., Gines, A. (Eds.), Karren Landforms. Universitat de les
978 Illes Balears, Palma, Spain, pp. 41-52.
979

980 Desir, G., 2001. Erosión hídrica en terrenos yesíferos en el sector central de la
981 Depresión del Ebro. Publicaciones del Consejo de Protección de la Naturaleza de
982 Aragón, Zaragoza, Spain.
983

984 Desir, G., Marín, C., 2006. Factors controlling the erosion rates in a semiarid zone
985 (Bardenas Reales, NE Spain). Catena 71, 31-40.
986

987 Durán, J.J., Val, J., 1984. Incidencia de la disolución kárstica en taludes con materiales
988 hipersolubles. El deslizamiento de Santa Cruz de Moya (Cuenca). In: Romana, M.
989 (Ed.), Reconocimiento de macizos rocosos. VIII Simposio Nacional, Sociedad
990 Española de Mecánica de las Rocas, Madrid, Spain, pp. 159-172.
991

992 Esnaola, J.M., Gil, C., 1995. Memoria y mapa geológico de España, 1: 50.000 Zaragoza
993 (382). IGME, Madrid, Spain.

994

995 Faci, E., Rodríguez-Avial, J.I., Jugo, J., 1986. Estabilización y establecimiento de
996 medidas preventivas en un talud rocoso en Azagra (Navarra). In: Alonso, E.,
997 Corominas, J. (Eds.), II Simposio sobre taludes y laderas inestables. IGME, Andorra,
998 pp. 485-496.

999

1000 Faci, E., Rodríguez-Avial, J.I., Jugo, J., 1988. Estabilización y medidas correctoras en
1001 el talud rocoso de Las Tres Marías en Falces (Navarra). In: Alonso, E., Corominas, J.
1002 (Eds.), II Simposio sobre taludes y laderas inestables. IGME, Andorra, pp. 497-511.

1003

1004 Ford D., Williams, P., 1989. Karst Geomorphology and Hydrology. Unwin Hyman,
1005 Winchester, MA.

1006

1007 Galve, J.P., Gutiérrez, F., Lucha, P., Bonachea, J., Cendrero, A., Gimeno, M.J.,
1008 Gutiérrez, M., Pardo, G., Remondo, J., Sánchez, J.A., 2009. Sinkholes in the salt-
1009 bearing evaporite karst of the Ebro River valley upstream of Zaragoza city (NE Spain).
1010 Geomorphological mapping and analysis as a basis for risk management.
1011 Geomorphology 108, 145-158.

1012

1013 García-Castellanos, D., Vergés, J., Gaspar-Escribano, J., Cloetingh, S., 2003. Interplay
1014 between tectonics, climate and fluvial transport during the Cenozoic evolution of the
1015 Ebro basin (NE Iberia). Journal of Geophysical Research 108, B7, 2347, ETG 8-1/8-18.

1016

1017 García-Ruiz, J.M., Regués, D., Alvera, B., Lana-Renault, N., Serrano Muela, P. Nadal-
1018 Romero, E., Navas, A., Latron, J., Martí-Bono, C., Arnaez, J., 2008. Flood generation

1019 and sediment transport in experimental catchments affected by land use changes in the
1020 central Pyrenees. *Journal of Hydrology* 356, 245-260.

1021

1022

1023 González, A., Salas, L., Díaz, J.R., Cendrero, A., 1996. Late Quaternary climate
1024 changes and mass movement frequency and magnitude in the Cantabrian region, Spain.
1025 *Geomorphology* 15, 291-309.

1026

1027 González-Hidalgo, J.C., Luís Arrillaga, M., Peña Monné, J.L., 2005. Los eventos
1028 extremos de precipitación, la variabilidad del clima y la erosión del suelo. Reflexiones
1029 ante el cambio del clima en los sistemas mediterráneos. *Cuaternario & Geomorfología*
1030 19, 49-62.

1031

1032 Griffin, D.A., 1986. Geotechnical assesment of subsidence in and around Ripon, North
1033 Yorkshire, due to natural solution. MsC Thesis, University of Newcastle upon Tyne,
1034 UK.

1035

1036 Guerrero, J., Gutiérrez, F., Lucha, P., 2005. Peligrosidad, daños y mitigación de
1037 inundaciones, subsidencia por disolución y movimientos de ladera en la localidad de
1038 Cadrete (Depresión del Ebro, Zaragoza). *Cuaternario & Geomorfología* 19, 63-82.

1039

1040 Guerrero, J., Gutiérrez, F., Lucha, P., 2008. The impact of halite dissolution subsidence
1041 on fluvial terrace development. The case study of the Huerva River in the Ebro basin
1042 (NE Spain). *Geomorphology* 100 (1-2), 164-179.

1043

1044 Guerrero, J., Gutiérrez, F., Galve, J.P., 2013. Large depressions, thickened terraces and
1045 gravitational deformation in the Ebro River valley (Zaragoza area, NE Spain). Evidence
1046 of glauberite and halite interstratal karstification. *Geomorphology* 196, 162-176.
1047

1048 Gutiérrez, F., 1998. Fenómenos de subsidencia por disolución de formaciones
1049 evaporíticas en las Fosas Neógenas de Teruel y Calatayud (Cordillera Ibérica). Ph.D.
1050 Thesis, Zaragoza University, Spain.
1051

1052 Gutiérrez, F., Cooper, A., 2002. Evaporite dissolution subsidence in the historical city
1053 of Calatayud, Spain. Damage appraisal and prevention. *Natural Hazards* 25, 259-288.
1054

1055 Gutiérrez, F., Arauzo, T., Desir, G., 1994. Deslizamientos en el escarpe de Alfajarín
1056 (Zaragoza). *Cuaternario & Geomorfología* 8, 57-68.
1057

1058 Gutiérrez, F., Guerrero, J., Lucha, P., 2008. A genetic classification of sinkholes based
1059 on the analysis of evaporite paleokarst exposures in Spain. *Environmental Geology* 53,
1060 993-1006.
1061

1062 Hancock, G.S., Anderson, R.S., 2002. Numerical modeling of fluvial strath-terrace
1063 formation in response to oscillating climate. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*
1064 114, 1131-1142.
1065

1066 Hassan, M.A., Klein, M., 2002. Fluvial adjustment of the lower Jordan River to a drop
1067 in the Dead Sea level. *Geomorphology* 45, 21-33.
1068

1069 Heller, P.L., Paola, C., 1996. Downstream changes in alluvial architecture: an
1070 exploration of controls on channel-stacking patterns. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*
1071 66, 2, 297-306.
1072

1073 Hewards, A.P., 1990. Salt removal and sedimentation in southern Oman. *Geological*
1074 *Society of London Special Publications* 49, 637-651.
1075

1076 Hopkins, J., 1987. Contemporaneous subsidence and fluvial channel sedimentation:
1077 upper Mannville C Pool, Berry Field, Lower Cretaceous of Alberta. *AAPG Bulletin* 71,
1078 334-345.
1079

1080 James, A.N., Cooper, A.H., Holliday, D.W., 1981. Solution of the gypsum cliff
1081 (Permian, middle Marl) by the River Ure at Ripon Parks, North Yorkshire. *Proceedings*
1082 *of the Yorkshire Geological Society* 43, 433-450.
1083

1084 Jiménez, M., Farias, P., Rodríguez, A., Menéndez, R.A., 1999. Landslide development
1085 in a coastal valley in northern Spain: conditioning factors and temporal occurrence.
1086 *Geomorphology* 30, 115-123.
1087

1088 Johnson, S.Y., 1984. Cyclic fluvial sedimentation in a rapidly subsiding basin,
1089 northwest Washington. *Sedimentary Geology* 38, 361-391.
1090

1091 Julian, M., Anthony, E., 1996. Aspects of landslide activity in the Mercantour Massif
1092 and the French Riviera, southeastern France. *Geomorphology* 15, 275-289.
1093

1094 Karacan, E., Yilmaz, I., 2000. Geotechnical evaluation of Miocene gypsum from Sivas
1095 (Turkey). *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering* 18, 79-90.
1096
1097 Kenney, C., 1984. Properties and behaviours of soils relevant to slope instability. In:
1098 Brunsten, D., Prior, D.B. (Eds.), *Slope instability*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester,
1099 UK, pp. 27-65.
1100
1101 Klimchouk, A., Cucchi, F., Calaforra, J.M., Aksem, S.D., Finocchiaro, F., Forti, P.,
1102 1996. Dissolution of gypsum from field observations. *International Journal of*
1103 *Speleology (Italian edition)* 25, 37-48.
1104
1105 Kraus, M.J., 1992. Alluvial response to differential subsidence: sedimentological
1106 analysis aided by remote sensing, Willwood Formation (Eocene). Bighorn basin,
1107 Wyoming, USA. *Sedimentology* 39, 455-470.
1108
1109 Leeder, M.R., Alexander, J., 1987. The origin and tectonic significance of asymmetrical
1110 meander belts. *Sedimentology* 34, 217-226.
1111
1112 Leeder, M.R., Gawthorpe, R.L., 1987. Sedimentary models for extensional tilt-
1113 block/half-graben basins. In: Coward, M.P., Dewey, J.F., Hancock, P.L. (Eds.),
1114 *Continental Extensional Tectonics*. Geological Society Special Publications 28,
1115 Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK, pp. 139-152.
1116
1117 Lenaroz, B., 1993. *Geomorfología y geología ambiental de la ribera de Navarra*. Ph.D.
1118 Thesis, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain.

1119

1120 López-Bermúdez, F., Conesa-García, C., Alonso-Sarría, F., Belmonte-Serrato, F., 2000.

1121 La cuenca experimental de la Rambla Salada (Murcia). Investigaciones

1122 hidrogeomorfológicas. Cuadernos de Investigación Geográfica 26, 95-112.

1123

1124 Lucha, P., Gutiérrez, F., Guerrero, J., 2008. Environmental problems derived from

1125 evaporites in the Barbastro-Balaguer anticlinal core, NE Spain. Environmental Geology

1126 53, 1045-1055.

1127

1128 Lucha, P., Gutiérrez, F., Galve, J.P., Guerrero, J., 2012. Geomorphic and stratigraphic

1129 evidence of incision-induced halokinetic uplift and dissolution subsidence in transverse

1130 drainages crossing the evaporite-cored Barbastro-Balaguer anticline (Ebro basin, NE

1131 Spain). Geomorphology 171-172, 154-172.

1132

1133 Mack, G.H., Seager, W.R., 1990. Tectonic control on facies distribution of the Camp

1134 Rice and Palomas Formations (Pliocene-Pleistocene) in the southern Rio Grande rift.

1135 Geological Society of America 102, 45-53.

1136

1137 Marques, M.J., Bienes, R., Pérez-Rodríguez, R., Jiménez, L., 2008. Soil degradation in

1138 central Spain due to sheet water erosion by low-intensity rainfall events. Earth Surface

1139 Processes and Landforms 33, 414-423.

1140

1141 Martínez, J.M., Mezcuá, J., 2002. Catálogo sísmico de la Península Ibérica (880 a.C.-

1142 1990). Monografía Núm. 18, IGN, Madrid, Spain.

1143

1144 Mensua, S., Ibáñez, M.J., 1977. Sector central de la Depresión del Ebro. Mapa de
1145 Terrazas Fluviales y Glacis. 3ª Reunión Nacional del Grupo de Trabajo del Cuaternario,
1146 Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain.
1147
1148 Mizutani, T., 1998. Laboratory experiment and digital simulation of multiple fill-cut
1149 terrace formation. *Geomorphology* 24, 353-361.
1150
1151 National Coal Board (N.C.B.), 1975. Subsidence Engineers' Handbook. National Coal
1152 Board Mining Department, London, Spain.
1153
1154 Ortí, F., 1997. Evaporitic sedimentation in the south Pyrenean foredeeps and the Ebro
1155 basin during the Tertiary: a general view. In: Busson, G., Schreiber, B.Ch. (Eds.),
1156 Sedimentary Deposition in Rift and Foreland Basins in France and Spain. Columbia
1157 University Press, New York, USA, pp. 319-334.
1158
1159 Osborn, G., du Toit, C., 1991. Lateral planation of rivers as a geomorphic agent.
1160 *Geomorphology* 4, 249-260.
1161
1162 Ouchi, S., 1985. Response of alluvial rivers to slow active tectonic movement.
1163 *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 96, 504-515.
1164
1165 Pair, D., Kapel, W., 2002. Geomorphic studies of landslides in the Tully valley, New
1166 York: implications for public policy and planning. *Geomorphology* 47, 125-135.
1167

1168 Peakall, J., 1998. Axial river evolution in response to half-graben faulting: Carson
1169 River, Nevada, U.S.A. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 68, 788-799.
1170

1171 Pellicer, F.; Echevarría, M.T., Ibañez, M.J., 1984. Procesos actuales en el escarpe de
1172 yesos de Remolinos. *Cuadernos de Investigación Geográfica* 10, 159-168.
1173

1174 Pérez-Rivarés, F., Garcés, M., Arenas, C., Pardo, G., 2004. Magnetostratigraphy of the
1175 Miocene continental deposits of the Montes de Castejón (central Ebro basin, Spain):
1176 geochronological and paleoenvironmental implications. *Geologica Acta* 2, 221-234.
1177

1178 Priest, S., 1993. *Discontinuity Analysis for Rock Engineering*. Chapman and Hall,
1179 London, UK.
1180

1181 Quirantes, J., 1978. Estudio sedimentológico y estratigráfico del Terciario continental
1182 de los Monegros. Institución Fernando el Católico, CSIC, Zaragoza, Spain.
1183

1184 Read, W.A., Dean, J.M., 1982. Quantitative relationships between numbers of fluvial
1185 cycles, bulk lithological composition and net subsidence in a Scottish Namurian basin.
1186 *Sedimentology* 29, 181-200.
1187

1188 Regüès, D., Guàrdia, R., Gallart, F., 2000. Geomorphic agents versus vegetation
1189 spreading as causes of badland occurrence in a Mediterranean subhumid mountainous
1190 area. *Catena* 40, 173-187.
1191

1192 Reuter, F., Molek, H., Bochmann, G. (1977). Slope sliding as a secondary process in
1193 subsidence areas of chloride-karst. Bulletin of the International Association of
1194 Engineering Geology, 16, 62-64.
1195

1196 Riba, O., Reguant, S., Villena, J., 1983. Ensayo de síntesis estratigráfica y evolutiva de
1197 la Cuenca Terciaria del Ebro. Libro jubilar J. M. Ríos, Mapa Geológico de España,
1198 Zaragoza, Escala 1: 50.000, Segunda serie, IGME, Madrid, pp. 131-159.
1199

1200 Rick, B., 1988. Instability as a consequence of deep disintegration in gypseous marls.
1201 In: Bonnard, C. (Ed.), Landslides-Glissements de Terrains. Proceedings of the
1202 International Symposium on Landslides. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands, pp.
1203 73-76.
1204

1205 Romero Díaz, A., Belmonte Serrato, F., 2002. Erosión del suelo en ambiente semiárido
1206 extremo bajo diferentes tipos de litologías y suelos. In: Pérez González, A., Vagas, J.,
1207 Machado, M.J. (Eds.), Aportaciones a la Geomorfología de España en el inicio del
1208 tercer milenio. Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, Madrid, Spain, pp. 315-322.
1209

1210 Rouaiguia, A., 2010. Residual shear strength of clay-structure interfaces. International
1211 Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering 10, 5-14.
1212

1213 Rovera, G., 1993. Instabilité des versants et dissolution des évaporites dans les Alpes
1214 Internes; L'exemple de la montagne de Friolin. Revue de Géographie Alpine 81, 71-84.
1215

1216 Salinas, J.L., 2004. Diccionario guía de reconocimientos geológicos para ingeniería
1217 civil. Ministerio de Fomento CEDEX, Madrid, España.
1218

1219 Salvany, J.M., 2009. Geología del yacimiento glauberítico de Montes de Torrero.
1220 Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain.
1221

1222 Salvany J.M., García-Veigas, J., Ortí, F., 2007. Glauberite-halite association of the
1223 Zaragoza Gypsum Formation (lower Miocene, Ebro Basin, NE Spain). *Sedimentology*
1224 54, 443-467.
1225

1226 Schmidt, K., Beyer, I., 2002. High-magnitude landslide events on a limestone-scarp in
1227 central Germany: morphometric characteristics and climatic controls. *Geomorphology*
1228 49, 323-342.
1229

1230 Selby, M.J., 1993. *Hillslope Materials and Processes*. 2nd Edition. Oxford University
1231 Press, Oxford, UK.
1232

1233 Serrano, A., Dapena, E., Villar, J.M., 1990. Cimentación de un puente de 331 m de
1234 longitud sobre pilotes en margas yesíferas. Simposio sobre el Agua y el Terreno en las
1235 infraestructuras Viarias. Sociedad Española de Mecánica del Suelo e Ingeniería
1236 Geotécnica. ISSMGE, Madrid, Spain, pp. 125-132.
1237

1238 Shaw, M.G., Stafford, K.W., Tate, B.P., 2011. Surface denudation of the Gypsum plain.
1239 Abstracts with Programs - Geological Society of America 43, 42.
1240

1241 Silva, P.G., 2003. El Cuaternario del valle inferior del Manzanares (Cuenca de Madrid,
1242 España). *Estudios Geológicos* 59, 107-131.
1243
1244 Silva, P., Goy, J.L., Zazo, C., 1988. Neotectónica del sector centro-meridional de la
1245 cuenca de Madrid. *Estudios Geológicos* 44, 415-427.
1246
1247 Sirvent, J., Desir, G., Gutierrez, M., Sancho, C., Benito, G., 1997. Erosion rates in
1248 badland areas recorded by collectors, erosion pins and profilometer techniques (Ebro
1249 basin, NE-Spain). *Geomorphology* 18, 61-75.
1250
1251 Smith, G.A., McIntosh, W., Kuhle, A.J., 2001. Sedimentologic and geomorphic
1252 evidence for seesaw subsidence of the Santo Domingo accommodation-zone basin, Rio
1253 Grande rift, New Mexico. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 113, 561-574.
1254
1255 Sohby, M.A., Elleboudy, A.M., 1988. Instability of natural slope in interbedded
1256 limestone and shale. In: Bonnard, C. (Ed.), *Landslides- Glissements de Terrains*.
1257 *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Landslides*, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam.
1258 Netherlands, pp. 121-123.
1259
1260 Sowers, G., Royster, D., 1978. Field investigation. In: Schuster, R., Krizek, R. (Eds.),
1261 *Landslides: Analysis and Control*. National Academy of Science, Washington, DC., pp.
1262 81-110.
1263
1264 Stark, T.D., Eid, H.T., 1994. Drained residual strength of cohesive soils. *Journal of*
1265 *Geotechnical Engineering* 5, 856-871.

1266

1267 Sumner, M.E., Rengasamy, P., Naidu, R., 1998. Sodic soils: a reappraisal. In: Sumner,
1268 M.E., Naidu, R. (Eds.), Sodic Soils: Distributions, Properties, Management and
1269 Environmental Consequences. Oxford University Press, Oxford, NY, 3-17.

1270

1271 Taylor, R.K., Cripps, J.C., 1987. Weathering effects: slopes in mudrocks and over-
1272 consolidated clays. In: Anderson, M.G., Richards, K.S. (Eds.), Slope Instability. John
1273 Wiley and Sons Limited, Chichester, UK, pp. 405-445.

1274

1275 Torrescusa, S., Klimowitz, J., 1990. Contribución al conocimiento de las evaporitas
1276 Miocenas (Formación Zaragoza) de la Cuenca del Ebro. In: Ortí, F., Salvany, J.M.
1277 (Eds.), Formaciones evaporíticas de la Cuenca del Ebro y cadenas periféricas y de la
1278 zona de Levante. ENRESA-GPG, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 120-122.

1279

1280 Tsui, P.C., Cruden, D.M., 1984. Deformation associated with gypsum karst in the Salt
1281 River escarpment, northeastern Alberta. Canadian Journal of Earth Science 21, 949-959.

1282

1283 Van Asch, Th.W., Buma, J., Van Beek, L.P., 1999. A view on some hydrological
1284 triggering systems in landslides. Geomorphology 30, 25-32.

1285

1286 Van Zuidam, R., 1976. Geomorphological Development of the Zaragoza Region.
1287 Processes and Landforms Related to Climatic Changes in a Large Mediterranean River
1288 Basin. Enschede. Int. Inst. for Aerial Survey and Earth Science (I.T.C.), Utrecht,
1289 Germany.

1290

1291 Varnes, D.J., 1978. Slope movement types and processes. In: Schuster, R.L., Krized,
1292 R.J. (Eds.), Landslides: Analysis and Control. National Academy of Science,
1293 Washington, DC., pp. 11-33.
1294
1295 Waltham, T., 1994. Foundations of Engineering Geology. Chapman & Hall, Oxford,
1296 UK.
1297
1298 Whalley, W.B., 1984. Rockfalls. In: Brunsden, D., Prior, D.B. (Eds.), Slope Instability.
1299 John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, UK, pp. 217-256.
1300
1301 Wieczorek, G.F., 1996. Landslide triggering mechanisms. In: Turner, A.K., Schuster,
1302 R.L. (Eds.), Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation. National Academy Press,
1303 Washington, DC., pp-76-90.
1304
1305 Williams, A., Davies, P., 1984. Cliff failure along the Glamorgan Heritage Coast,
1306 Wales, U.K. In: Flageollet, J.C. (Ed.), Mouvements de terrains. Reserches en
1307 Geographic Physique de L'environnement. Documents du BRGM 83, Association
1308 Française de Geographie Physique, Caen, France, pp. 109-119.
1309
1310 Winesa, D.R., Lillyb, P.A., 2002. Measurement and analysis of rock mass discontinuity
1311 spacing and frequency in part of the Fimiston open pit operation in Kalgoorlie, western
1312 Australia: a case study. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences
1313 39, 589-602.
1314
1315

1316 **Figure Captions**

1317 Fig. 1. (A) Geomorphological map of the central sector of the Ebro depression showing
1318 the location of gypsum scarps. (B) Detailed geomorphological map of the lowest reach
1319 of the Huerva River valley. (C) Detailed geomorphological map of the Ebro River
1320 valley downstream of Zaragoza city to Osera Creek.

1321

1322 Fig. 2. (A) Northwest view of the left margin gypsum scarp in the Ebro Valley at
1323 Alfajarin village characterized by triangular facets and hanging valleys (reproduced
1324 with permission~~(image taken by F. Gutiérrez)~~). (B) Southeast view of the youngest scarp
1325 of the right margin in the Ebro valley from El Burgo village. (C) North view of the
1326 Huerva River prominent gypsum scarp and Cadrete village built on a T2 terrace at the
1327 toe of the gypsum scarp.

1328

1329 Fig. 3. (A) Ruins of the Castellar Castle at the Ebro River scarp edge located 18 km
1330 upstream of Zaragoza city. The photograph that was taken during the 2003 flood shows
1331 an unstable gypsum block detached from the scarp edge (reproduced with
1332 permission~~(image taken by F. Gutiérrez)~~). (B) Rockfall colliding with a house in Alcocea
1333 village located 2 km northwest of the study area (reproduced with permission~~image~~
1334 ~~taken by F. Gutiérrez~~). (C) Rockfalls covered by Ebro River T8 terrace deposits located
1335 at the base of the oldest right margin evaporitic scarp to the east of the Ginel River. (D)
1336 Private fence pushed over by rockfalls in Cadrete village in the Huerva River valley.

1337

1338 Fig. 4. (A) The 130-m-long, 230-m-wide, multiple, retrogressive, rotational active
1339 landslide downstream of Alfajarin village at the left margin gypsum scarp of the
1340 Ebro valley (reproduced with permission~~image taken by F. Gutiérrez~~). (B)

1341 Rotational landslide located northwest of the confluence of the Ebro and Gállego
1342 rivers. The Juslibol oxbow lake is located at the base of the scarp suggesting that
1343 river undermining of the scarp is the main triggering factor in this sector. (C) Detail
1344 of the depression formed at the head of the landslide shown in (A). (D) Rotational
1345 landslide covered by T4-thickened terrace deposits of the Ebro River to the
1346 southeast of Fuentes village.

1347

1348 Fig. 5. (A) Geomorphological map of Cadrete village showing the distribution of
1349 slope movements and karstic subsidence damage in buildings based on the
1350 examination of façades. (B) Geologic cross section of the Huerva River valley in
1351 Cadrete village based on borehole data. (C) Correlation panel of the Longares and
1352 Zaragoza Formations in the gypsum scarp in Cadrete village.

1353

1354 Fig. 6. (A) Failure plane of landslide D1 at Cadrete village displaying reoriented
1355 shales due to shearing. (B) View of rotational landslide D1 with the location of a
1356 building at its head. Its additional weight seems to be responsible for D1
1357 reactivation. (C) and (D) Reactivation of landslides D2 and D3 due to the
1358 excavation of a 15-m-wide bench at the base of the scarp.

1359

1360 Fig. 7. Spreading processes in the Huerva River gypsum scarp. (A) Displaced and
1361 rotated gypsum blocks due to cambering. (B) Gypsum blocks more than 45 m³
1362 individualised by extensional cracks up to 70 m long and 3 m wide because of the
1363 plastic deformation of the underlying clays.

1364

1365 Fig. 8. Conditioning factors in the development of slope movement in the study
1366 area. (A) and (B) Metric-size pipes related to dispersion of sodium-rich claystones
1367 of the Longares Formation at Cadrete and María villages in the Huerva River
1368 valley, respectively ~~(images taken by F. Gutiérrez)~~ (reproduced with permission).
1369 (C) and (D) More than 1 m wide, 2 m deep, and 100 m long opened by preexisting
1370 joints developed from erosional unloading and karstification parallel to the Ebro
1371 and Huerva river scarps, respectively.

1372

1373 Fig. 9. Triggering factors involved in slope movements in the study area. (A) and
1374 (B) Severely damaged buildings located at the toe of the Huerva River scarp with
1375 subsidence-induced decimetric cracks. (C) and (D) Collapsed sinkholes 1 m in
1376 diameter developed in a berm excavated at the toe of the D2 and D3 rotational
1377 landslides and at the base of the scarp in Cadrete village (see location in Fig. 2). (E)
1378 A 75-m-long, partly inundated old phreatic karstic conduit in the Huerva River
1379 valley located at 10 m above the Huerva river channel in Cuarte village ~~(image~~
1380 ~~taken by F. Gutiérrez)~~. (F) View of the rotational landslide D4 showing the location
1381 of the middle and lower water storage tanks. Water leakage from the middle tank
1382 triggered the sudden reactivation of a secondary landslide in April 2007 that
1383 collided with the lower tank (picture at the upper right corner) ~~(image taken by F.~~
1384 ~~Gutiérrez)~~.

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389