

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

"Eating addiction", rather than "food addiction", better captures addictive-like eating behavior

Citation for published version:

Hebebrand, J, Albayrak, Ö, Adan, R, Antel, J, Dieguez, C, De Jong, J, Leng, G, Menzies, J, Mercer, JG, Murphy, M, Van Der Plasse, G & Dickson, SL 2014, "Eating addiction", rather than "food addiction", better captures addictive-like eating behavior' Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.016

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.016

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Published In: Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews

Publisher Rights Statement: Available under Open Access

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



G Model NBR 2020 1-12

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev

Review

"Eating addiction", rather than "food addiction", better captures addictive-like eating behavior

Johannes Hebebrand^a, Özgür Albayrak^a, Roger Adan^b, Jochen Antel^a, Carlos Dieguez^{c,d},
 Johannes de Jong^b, Gareth Leng^e, John Menzies^{e,*}, Julian G. Mercer^f, Michelle Murphy^f,
 Geoffrey van der Plasse^b, Suzanne L. Dickson^g

^a Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Universitätsklinikum Essen (AöR), Wickenburgstr. 21, D-45147 Essen,
 Germany

^b Department of Translational Neuroscience, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, Universiteitsweg 100, 3584 CG Utrecht, The
 Netherlands

¹¹ ^c Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, University of Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

¹² ^d CIBER Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERobn), Spain

¹³ ^e Centre for Integrative Physiology, Hugh Robson Building, 15 George Square, Edinburgh EH8 9XD, UK

¹⁴ ^f Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen, Greenburn Road, Bucksburn, Aberdeen AB21 9SB, UK

15 § Department Physiology/Endocrine, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Medicinaregatan

16 11, SE-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

36 ARTICLE INFO

19 Article history:

17

21 Received 15 May 2014

22 Received in revised form 25 August 2014

Accepted 28 August 2014

24 Available online xxx

25

26 Keywords:

- 27 Food addiction
- 28 Eating addiction
- 29 Obesity
- 30 Reward system31 Motivation
- 32 Fat addiction
- 33 Sugar addiction
- 34 Salt addiction
- 35 Addictive disorders

ABSTRACT

"Food addiction" has become a focus of interest for researchers attempting to explain certain processes and/or behaviors that may contribute to the development of obesity. Although the scientific discussion on "food addiction" is in its nascent stage, it has potentially important implications for treatment and prevention strategies. As such, it is important to critically reflect on the appropriateness of the term "food addiction", which combines the concepts of "substance based" and behavioral addiction. The currently available evidence for a substance-based food addiction is poor, partly because systematic clinical and translational studies are still at an early stage. We do however view both animal and existing human data as consistent with the existence of addictive eating behavior. Accordingly, we stress that similar to other behaviors eating can become an addiction in thus predisposed individuals under specific environmental circumstances. Here, we introduce current diagnostic and neurobiological concepts of substance-related and non-substance-related addictive disorders, and highlight the similarities and dissimilarities between addiction and overeating. We conclude that "food addiction" is a misnomer because of the ambiguous connotation of a substance related phenomenon. We instead propose the term "eating addiction" to underscore the behavioral addiction to eating; future research should attempt to define the diagnostic criteria for an eating addiction, for which DSM-5 now offers an umbrella via the introduction on Non-Substance-Related Disorders within the category Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

37 Contents

38	1.	Introduction	00
39	2.	Definition, classification, and neurobiology of addiction	00
40		2.1. Definition of addiction and classification of substance-related and addictive disorders	
41		2.2. Overlap and distinction between exogenous and endogenous substances and between chemical and behavioral addiction	00
42		2.3. Neurobiology of the reward pathways and the overlap and interaction between homeostatic and hedonic circuits	00
43	3.	"Food addiction", substance use disorders and eating behavior	00
44		3.1. Food: substances of abuse?	

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 131651711. E-mail address: john.menzies@ed.ac.uk (J. Menzies).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.016 0149-7634/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

	3.2.	The diagnosis "food addiction"	00
	3.3.	Disentangling occasional overeating, binge eating and eating addiction	00
		Vulnerable and high risk groups	00
4.	A criti	ical review of rodent models of "food addiction"	00
		Sugar addiction	
	4.2.	Fat addiction	00
	4.3.	Salt addiction	00
5.	Concl	usions	00
	Acknowledgements		
		ences	

1. Introduction

Almost 60 years ago, Randolph first defined "food addiction" 46 as "[...] a specific adaptation to one or more regularly consumed foods to which a person is highly sensitive, produces a com-48 mon pattern of symptoms descriptively similar to those of other 49 addictive processes"; addictive-like consumption of corn, wheat, 50 coffee, milk, eggs, and potatoes was reported (Randolph, 1956). 51 With the increase in the worldwide prevalence of obesity over the 52 past decades (Finucane et al., 2011; Ogden et al., 2012) the con-53<mark>02</mark> cept of "food addiction" has recently become popular both among 54 researchers and the lay public as a possible way to understand the 55 impact of psychological factors on weight gain (Brownell and Gold, 56 2013). This concept forms an etiological framework that is centered 57 between chemical or "substance based" and behavioral addictions. 58 50 The rise in prevalence rates of obesity in many countries cannot be attributed to genetic factors alone; instead, environmental 60 changes, which interact with our biological make-up, appear to 61 underlie the obesity pandemic. A large proportion of different pop-62 ulations overeat to an extent that threatens physical and mental 63 well-being, and both somatic and psychiatric disorders are associ-64 ated with obesity. "Food addiction" offers a superficially attractive 65 explanation, and potentially an excuse, for this unhealthy behav-66 67 ior at an individual level. The modern "obesogenic" environment is characterized by the ubiquitous availability of palatable, energy-68 dense and inexpensive foods, reflecting ongoing efforts of the 69 globalized food industry to increase production and boost sales. As 70 such, the food and beverage industry is perceived as having a pow-71 erful role in promoting poor nutrition policies (Davis, 2013). "Food 72 73 addiction" places blame on the food industry for the production of "addictive foods" and by so doing indicates that obesity prevention 74 strategies should seek to curtail the influence of this industry on 75

eating behavior.
 The behavioral, clinical and neurobiological similarities and dissimilarities between addiction and overeating are highlighted in
 this review. We point out that current evidence in humans suggests
 that "eating addiction" rather than "food addiction" more precisely
 circumscribes addictive-like food intake in affected individuals.

2. Definition, classification, and neurobiology of addiction

2.1. Definition of addiction and classification of substance-related and addictive disorders

An overarching scientific delineation of the concept of addiction has proven elusive: "Ideally, we would like to discover the necessary and sufficient conditions for someone to have an addiction, and to do so in such a way as to provide real illumination about the sort of phenomena we have in mind when thinking about addiction" (Sussman and Sussman, 2011). Clinicians and researchers understand addiction in several different ways. Drug addiction has been defined as a chronically relapsing disorder characterized by (1) compulsion to seek and take the drug, (2) loss of control in limiting drug intake, and (3) emergence of a negative emotional state (e.g., dysphoria, anxiety and irritability) reflecting a motivational withdrawal syndrome when access to the drug is prevented; Koob (2013) refers to the term 'reward deficit disorder' for alcoholism and other drug addictions, which are based on multiple motivational mechanisms and progress from impulsivity (positive reinforcement) to compulsivity (negative reinforcement). Compulsive drug seeking can be derived from multiple neuroadaptations. Koob stresses that a key component of addiction is based on the construct of negative reinforcement defined as drug taking that alleviates a negative emotional state. This state is hypothesized to result from the dysregulation of specific neurochemical elements involved in reward and stress within the basal forebrain structures (Koob, 2013).

Sussman and Sussman (2011) identified five elements of addiction that recur in the scientific literature: (1) engagement in the behavior to achieve appetitive effects; (2) preoccupation with the behavior; (3) temporary satiation; (4) loss of control; and (5) suffering negative consequences. They point out the major limitations of conceptualizing addiction via these definitional elements. In particular, there are difficulties in measuring these elements, which might not be independent, but rather related and operative in complex feedback loops. It is also unclear to what quantitative extent 'engagement' must be present before it can be labeled as addictive behavior. Finally, what is perceived as an addiction might be context-dependent.

Until recently, the medically established forms of addiction (APA, 2000a,b) pertained to substance-related disorders only: "Addiction is defined as a chronic, relapsing brain disease that is characterized by compulsive drug seeking and use, despite harmful consequences" (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2013). Substance-related disorders, which represent a major global public health problem (Whiteford et al., 2013), are classified within the context of mental disorders in the widely used Tenth Edition of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10; WHO, 1992) and **Q3** the Fifth Edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5; APA, 2013).

The now outdated DSM-IV TR (APA, 2000a,b) avoided the diagnostic use of the term addiction and instead referred to the category "Substance-Related Disorders", subdivided into Substance Use Disorders and Substance Induced Disorders (Table 1). Within Substance Q4 Use Disorders, Substance Dependence referred to "a cluster of cognitive, behavioral, and physiological symptoms". If diagnostic criteria for Substance Dependence were not met, but a "maladaptive pattern of substance use manifested by recurrent and significant adverse consequences related to the repeated use of substances" applied, Substance Abuse was diagnosed.

After extensive discussions of the definition of the term "addiction", the DSM-5 Substance Use Disorders Workgroup re-titled the previous category as "Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders" (APA, 2013; Table 1), which was subdivided into "Substance-Related Disorders" and "Non-Substance-Related Disorders". Importantly,

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

93

94

95

96

97

98

00

100

101

102

103

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

within the context of Substance-Related Disorders, 'addiction' is 146 not applied as a diagnostic term. Instead, "the more neutral term 147 Substance Use Disorder is used to describe the wide range of the dis-148 order, from a mild form to a severe state of chronically relapsing, 149 compulsive drug taking" (APA, 2013). The DSM-IV subdivision of 150 substance use disorders into 'dependence' and 'abuse' was dropped, 151 because the evidence for this distinction was considered to be insuf-152 ficient 153

Because gambling behaviors activate similar reward systems 154 that are targeted by drugs of abuse, and because they produce 155 behavioral symptoms that overlap with those produced by Sub-156 stance Use Disorders, Gambling Disorder was included as the sole 157 Non-Substance-Related Disorder in DSM-5 (APA, 2013). The evidence 158 for including other behavioral addictive disorders such as Internet 159 gaming, sex addiction or shopping addiction appeared too weak. 160 For similar reasons, obesity was not included in DSM-5, despite 161 the importance of behavior and the involvement of the same cen-162 tral pathways that are involved in substance use disorders (Volkow 163 and O'Brien, 2007). 164

The inclusion of Non-Substance-Related Disorders within the 165 newly titled category 'Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders' 166 167 in DSM 5 represents a paradigm shift, which will also potentially influence ICD-11. The term addictive disorder has entered the psy-168 chiatric classification system, albeit not (vet) as an umbrella term 169 for both substance use and behavioral addiction. The door is thus 170 now open for the future inclusion of other behavioral addictions 171 into the diagnostic classification schemes. 172

173 2.2. Overlap and distinction between exogenous and endogenous 174 substances and between chemical and behavioral addiction

A classic finding in the context of addiction was that substance 175 misuse can alter central nervous system signaling involving pep-176 tides with rewarding properties, such as enkephalins, endorphins, 177 and cannabinoids (Feng et al., 2012; Mechoulam and Parker, 2013). 178 However, changes in these endogenous signals can also be asso-179 ciated with non-substance-based addictive behavior. Hence, the 180 general term "chemical addiction" does not necessarily require the 181 substance to be an exogenous chemical. Different receptor genes 182 are expressed in different parts of the brain, conferring the ability 183 to bind these endogenous substances with high affinities. The vari-184 ous systems are each involved in a host of diverse functions-many 185 of which are completely unrelated to reward processes. However, 186 if endogenous "chemicals" can be rewarding or by their actions 187 at specific brain sites in some circumstances and/or in predis-188 posed individuals, such neural mechanisms might represent a link 189 between drug and behavioral addiction. Accordingly, the link of 190 appetite, hunger, satiation and satiety with the reward system 191 (Bellisle et al., 2012) can be viewed as a basis for the development 192 of addictive-like eating behavior. Psychological cues like bore-193 dom, perceived stress or a negative mood potentially may trigger 194 overeating in the absence of hunger that would lead to neurobio-195 logical alterations in complex central regulatory systems related to 196 addictive behaviors. 197

Structural differences between endogenous and exogenous sub-198 stances of, for example, the opioid agonists result in different 199 conformational, topographical, and stereoelectronic presentations 200 (Hruby and Agnes, 1999) toward the opioid receptors. "Agonist-201 selective" regulation of opioid receptors is well known (Williams 202 et al., 2013) and entails different signaling efficacies (Kelly, 2013) 203 to multiple downstream pathways and differences in the ability to 204 induce opioid receptor internalization, tolerance and dependence 205 (Koch and Hollt, 2008). Subtle structural modifications, inde-206 pendent of the structural class (opioid peptide based, morphine 207 208 based, or 4-anilinopiperidin-4-carboxamid [e.g. fentanyl] based) 209 can have a strong impact on the formation of the functional receptor

complex and modify efficacy and tolerance development (Stafford et al., 2001); the mechanisms underlying this functional diversity are poorly understood (Williams et al., 2013). The strength of the impact depends strongly on the observable intrinsic efficacy of the ligand/drug and not as much on the structural class or their exogenous or endogenous origin (Williams et al., 2013).

Endogenous "chemicals" similar to neurotransmitters are released within normal physiological concentrations during various activities (e.g. physical exercise, sexual stimulation), but still may eventually lead to a behavioral adaptation accompanied by signs of tolerance and withdrawal in thus predisposed individuals under specific circumstances. In contrast, exogenous drugs can result in responses that are quantitatively and/or qualitatively beyond those experienced in normal physiology.

The route of administration (e.g. inhalation, oral intake, intravenous injection) of an exogenous substance can also determine its addictive properties, for example, the impact of heroin's route of administration on its withdrawal severity is marked, due to differences in bioavailability (Smolka and Schmidt, 1999). In the context of food, it is noteworthy that intravenous infusion of glucose can support conditioning and cause dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens (as do drugs of abuse). However, the effect seems more robust when glucose is administered either in the intestinal tract or in the hepatic-portal vein (Ackroff et al., 2010; Oliveira-Maia et al., 2011).

On a symptomatic level, individuals with behavioral or substance addictions have the urge to engage in their behavioral routine; they feel discomfort if they cease their use, which results in craving and withdrawal symptoms. Some withdrawal symptoms (e.g. anxiety) are common across certain behavioral and chemical addictions, while others (e.g. runny eyes and sneezing in opiate withdrawal) are substance-specific (Morrissey et al., 2008; Bradley, 1990).

Eating is intrinsically rewarding and reinforcing, and food consumption is well-known to activate the reward system in the brain; this applies particularly in the physiological state of hunger. It is easy to see that the rewarding properties of food and their activation of the reward pathway might lead intuitively to the idea that food substances may have addictive properties. However, just because eating behavior engages these reward systems, it does not necessarily follow that specific nutrients (substances) are able to evoke a substance addiction. Instead, the complex activation of the reward system as the initial step of the process ending in addiction can be viewed as being dependent on eating (subjectively) palatable foods irrespective of their nutritional/chemical composition.

2.3. Neurobiology of the reward pathways and the overlap and interaction between homeostatic and hedonic circuits

Feeding behavior is mediated by a network of interacting neural circuits that include the hypothalamus, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), amygdala, striatum and the midbrain (Berthoud, 2011). Together these systems regulate all aspects of feeding behavior, including both homeostatic food consumption (i.e. consumption governed by signals relating to energy stores and energy demands), and hedonic food consumption (i.e. consumption motivated by reward systems). These homeostatic and hedonic neural circuits do not operate independently of one another: they are closely interlinked, and both respond to metabolic signaling. Insight into these neural circuits is leading to novel treatment strategies. For example, a recent meta-analysis concluded that non-invasive neuro-stimulation of the DLPFC is effective in decreasing craving in substance dependence and craving for highly palatable food (Jansen et al., 2013).

Here we outline the putative pathways through which metabolic and reward signals can interact to produce feeding 210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

behavior. This serves as a framework for understanding the neurobiological underpinnings of motivation for food and the possible
 routes by which feeding history can influence behaviors linked to
 addiction.

Specific neurobiological systems drive attentional and motiva-278 tional behavior toward important environmental stimuli. Animals 279 show a motivation to find and consume food, which is contrasted 280 with the sensory pleasure of actually eating (in 1996 Berridge 281 coined the terms wanting and liking for these two phenomena). 282 Contextual cues or behaviors related to the initial reinforcer can 283 become more important in maintaining the increasingly addic-284 tive behavior than the primary stimulus itself. Primary reinforcers, 285 such as sweet foods, or the environmental cues associated with 286 them, can become increasingly salient as the pleasure associated 287 with their consumption is learned. With repeated exposure, this 288 salience increases, and their prominence as a stimulus to drive 289 behavior becomes greater (Volkow et al., 2013). This may lead to 290 the overconsumption of foods for which there is no metabolic need. 291 It has been argued that this increase in the salience of food paral-292 lels the development of addiction to drugs of abuse, particularly 293 because it shares a common neurobiological pathway mediating 294 295 altered reward salience and motivation - the mesolimbic dopamine system. A subgroup of individuals who, for various reasons, are 296 more "cue reactive" in (i.e. certain reward cues are more likely to 297 attract these individuals), will be more motivated to obtain rewards 298 (Saunders and Robinson, 2013). 299

Dopamine signaling plays a pivotal role in reward- and 300 addiction-related behavior. Transgenic mice that lack dopamine 301 signaling die of starvation; this is linked to a loss of food-motivated 302 behavior (Szczypka et al., 2001). The ventral tegmental area (VTA) 303 dopamine pathway that projects to the nucleus accumbens (NAc) 304 of the ventral striatum is a key pathway involved in incentive 305 motivation. As reviewed elsewhere (Volkow et al., 2013), these 306 dopamine neurons become activated after delivery of a novel food 307 reward (Norgren et al., 2006) but with repeated exposure to that 308 same reward this activation lessens (habituates) and is induced 309 instead by (predictive) cues associated with that reward (Epstein 310 et al., 2009; Schultz, 2010). Thus, dopamine signaling is crucially 311 important for the formation of associations between rewards and 312 the cues that predict their availability (Schultz et al., 1997; Stuber 313 et al., 2008; Salamone and Correa, 2012). Indeed, firing of these 314 VTA dopamine neurons has been suggested to signal the differ-315 ence between expected rewards and the actual outcome, i.e. a 316 reward-prediction error (Schultz et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 2012; 317 Steinberg et al., 2013; Boender et al., 2014). This signaling, which 318 involves dopamine release in the NAc, has also been suggested to 319 assign increasing reward value to food cues (Roitman et al., 2004). 320 After extensive training drug seeking becomes more cue-driven as 321 opposed to outcome-driven which is mediated by a transition from 322 dopaminergic activity in the ventral striatum (NAc core and shell) 323 to the dorsal striatum (caudate putamen) (Everitt and Robbins, 324 2005). It has been postulated that, via this mechanism, food cues 325 may drive palatable food intake in a similar (habitual) fashion over 326 time (Smith and Robbins, 2013). In support of the importance 327 of dopaminergic projections to the NAc, blocking dopaminergic 328 neurotransmission in this target area has been shown to reduce 329 motivated behavior for reward, while local infusion of dopamine 330 agonists improves performance on tests of motivation in a similar 331 fashion to food restriction (Aberman et al., 1998). 332

Metabolic signals like leptin and ghrelin, which are primary regulators of homeostatic food consumption, also affect the hedonic drive for food (e.g. Egecioglu et al., 2011) and a close relationship between these signals and dopamine activity has been shown experimentally. Domingos et al. (2011) recently showed that the adipocyte-derived anorexigenic hormone leptin modulates the reward value of sucrose. Whereas food-restriction increased the rewarding value of sucrose in rats, treatment with leptin decreased its value, presumably through the modulation of dopaminergic activity. These data indicate that metabolic signals can influence reward-related signaling and drive an animal to adapt motivated behavior in response to changes in energy balance. Also, human subjects with congenital leptin deficiency show increased activity of striatal areas in response to food-cues, but a reversal of this activity following leptin treatment (Farooqi et al., 2007).

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

365

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

These data support earlier work showing that the striatal dopaminergic reward system is sensitive to a range of hormones associated with homeostatic control of food intake. In addition to leptin (Fulton et al., 2006), the stomach-derived orexigenic hormone ghrelin has been shown to have an important role in motivation for food reward in rodents. Ghrelin increases lever-pressing for sucrose in a progressive ratio operant responding paradigm (Skibicka et al., 2012a), an effect that appears to involve the VTAaccumbens dopaminergic pathway (Skibicka et al., 2013) as well as mu-opioid-sensitive pathways (Skibicka et al., 2012b). Interestingly, ghrelin's effects on food reward behavior and simple intake of chow exerted at the level of the VTA appear to be controlled by divergent circuitry: although accumbens dopamine (Skibicka et al., 2013) and VTA mu-opioid pathways (Skibicka et al., 2012b) have an important role in food reward, they do not alter food intake. The midbrain reward circuitry is also targeted by circulating anorexigenic peptides, several of which have been shown to suppress food-motivated behavior, including GLP-1 (Dickson et al., 2012) and insulin (Figlewicz et al., 2008).

Thus, recent diet history and metabolic signaling impinge upon dopamine-driven motivational aspects of feeding behavior, and the exact mechanisms are now beginning to be elucidated. A recent review by van Zessen et al. (2012) described the evidence for leptin and ghrelin's actions on the VTA dopamine neurons either to directly modulate dopamine release in target sites, or, like other rewarding substances such as opiates and alcohol, act via GABAergic neurons in the VTA. These metabolic hormones can also affect other components of the brain's reward pathway including neurones projecting from the lateral hypothalamus, arcuate nucleus and amygdala to the VTA (Narayanan et al., 2010).

But homeostatic signals can also affect circuitry that falls outside these motivation and pleasure-sensing pathways. For instance, Berthoud (2011) pointed out that glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) signaling might directly alter taste perception. Mice lacking the GLP-1 receptor display reduced sensitivity to sweet tastes but increased sensitivity to umami (Martin et al., 2009). Similarly, leptin receptor-deficient mice display a heightened sense of smell, and leptin itself, through its actions on leptin receptors expressed on taste receptor cells themselves can alter the threshold for olfactory stimulation (Kawai et al., 2000). Such a direct interaction between metabolic signals and taste perception might strongly affect food choice and subsequent intake.

In contrast to the mesolimbic dopamine system, which has been linked to attention to and motivation for rewards, endogenous opioid systems have been linked to the pleasure associated with food reward (Kelley et al., 2002). While opioids stimulate all food intake, their effects are particularly powerful for palatable food, especially foods that are already preferred. This suggests that opioids reinforce an already established hedonic value (Gosnell et al., 1995; Olszewski et al., 2011). Previously, hedonic "hotspots" were defined in the brain where injections of opioid agonists produced powerful "liking" responses in rats. These areas, which receive dense dopaminergic projections, include the medial shell of the NAc and the ventral pallidum (Berridge, 1996; Peciña et al., 2006; Peciña, 2008). At these sites, modulation of hedonic impact and feeding appear segregated, such that opioids do not affect feeding per se (Olszewski et al., 2011). In general, dopaminergic and opioid

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

469 470 471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

3.2. The diagnosis "food addiction"

able foods is lacking.

The Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS; Gearhardt et al., 2009) can be viewed as the first questionnaire to assess addictive eating behavior based on the formal DSM-IV (APA, 1994) diagnostic criteria for substance dependence. The naming of this questionnaire has fueled the controversies related to "food addiction". However, the questionnaire clearly focuses on the assessment of eating behavior and not on substance based addiction. Gearhardt and coworkers described the YFAS as a "sound tool for identifying eating patterns that are similar to behaviors seen in classic areas of addiction". The questionnaire includes 25 items which address eating habits over the past 12 months. Examples include "I eat to the point where I feel physically ill.", and "I have had withdrawal symptoms such as agitation, anxiety, or other physical symptoms when I cut down or stopped eating certain foods." Diagnosis of "food addiction" can be made according to the DSM-IV criteria, if at least three items out of seven are fulfilled within the last 12 months and if the symptoms additionally cause significant distress to the patient or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning. Despite the fact that the YFAS largely probes for palatable food highly enriched with calories (e.g. chips, pizza, ice cream), it is readily apparent that any of these foods comprises a host of different "substances". Because the substance of abuse is not defined, the classification of YFAS-diagnosed food addiction as a Substance Use Disorder is not possible.

would be unlikely for such addictive-like behaviors to be expressed.

Furthermore, in overweight individuals, this would likely entail a

reduced energy intake, because the diversity of rewarding, palat-

The YFAS criteria have been used to explore the prevalence of 'food addiction' in obese subjects from the general population (Davis et al., 2011, 2013) and clinical populations with (Gearhardt et al., 2012, 2013a) and without Binge-Eating Disorder (BED) seeking weight loss treatment (Burmeister et al., 2013; Clark and Saules, 2013; Eichen et al., 2013; Lent et al., 2014; Meule et al., 2012), and from the general population of under-, normal and overweight/obesity (Flint et al., 2014; Gearhardt et al., 2009, 2013b; Mason et al., 2013; Meule, 2012; Meule and Kübler, 2012; Murphy et al., 2014; Pedram et al., 2013). In the latter study by Pedram and colleagues, the prevalence rate of the YFAS diagnosis 'food addiction' is approximately 5%; females are affected twice as often as males (6.7% vs. 3.0%; Pedram et al., 2013); in under/normal weight or overweight/obese the rates of 'food addiction' were 1.6% and 7.7%, respectively. In conclusion, 'food addiction' is more common in both obesity and BED, but can also occur independently of these two disorders.

The strict adherence to criteria used for Substance Related Disorders presumably does not suffice to fully capture the cognitions and behaviors of eating addiction. For example, for Gambling Disorder specific criteria have been laid down in DSM-5 (APA, 2013), which specifically address this addictive behavior and which only partially rest on those for Substance Related Disorders. Thus, disorder-specific behavioral symptoms (see A criterion) include: "after losing money gambling, often returns another day to get even" or "relies on others to provide money to relieve desperate financial situations caused by gambling". In addition, for this behavioral addiction a higher number of symptoms has to be met in order to fulfill mild (4-5 symptoms), moderate (6-7) or severe (8-9) current severity (for alcohol use disorder the respective specifications are met upon presence of 2-3, 4-5, and 6 or more symptoms). Further research is clearly warranted to phenotypically characterize subjects with an eating addiction in an effort to in clinical terms fully delineate this disorder and to eventually come up with stringent diagnostic criteria. We perceive the necessity, and at

mechanisms seem to work together to promote food intake for
which dopaminergic mechanisms promote the anticipation and the
motivation for food and opioids are involved in the consummation and possibly hedonic evaluation of food (Ackroff et al., 2010;
Barbano and Cador, 2007; Oliveira-Maia et al., 2011).

Based on our current knowledge of the pathways involved in 411 the reward system and the mechanisms underlying its activation 412 we can merely speculate that subtle differences account for the 413 inter-individual and intra-individual variation of eating behavior. 414 These differences can be due to genetic, epigenetic, psychological, 415 societal and environmental factors, some of which are interdepen-416 dent. An eating addiction could thus be viewed as a rather strong 417 perturbation of single or several factors, that result in activation and 418 function of the reward system. Both the industrialization and glob-419 alisation of the food industry may conceivably have contributed 420 to an increased risk of such a perturbation via the proliferation 421 of food related factors that render an individual prone to develop 422 such an eating addiction. It is readily evident that the complexity 423 of the factors that shape individual eating behavior preclude an 424 over-interpretation of specific findings including those of animal 425 models. 426

427 3. "Food addiction", substance use disorders and eating 428 behavior

429 3.1. Food: substances of abuse?

Labeling a food or nutrient as "addictive" implies that it con-430 tains ingredients and/or possesses an inherent property with the 431 capacity to make susceptible individuals addicted to it, as is the 432 case for chemical substances of abuse. Certain foods have reward-433 ing and reinforcing properties; for example, high sugar-high fat 434 combinations are rewarding for rodents and humans alike. From 435 an evolutionary perspective, these rewarding properties increase 436 motivation to seek out and obtain an adequate and nutritionally 437 diverse energy supply. In our modern obesogenic environment, 438 characterized by ready availability of highly palatable and energy-439 dense food, it seems that these rewarding properties of particular 440 foods might overwhelm both cognitive restraint and homeostatic 441 442 mechanisms, and lead to weight gain. Some have thus proposed that the recent increase in the prevalence of obesity reflects the 443 emergence of "food addiction" in a significant fraction of the 444 population (Davis et al., 2011; Gearhardt et al., 2011). Indeed, 445 formulations of processed foods have been designed to maxi-446 mize palatability and reward; globalization further promotes our 447 exposure to novel sensory combinations. Such properties are not 448 confined to simple taste (sweetness, saltiness) but encompass more 449 complex blends of taste, flavor, smell, texture and even the sounds 450 produced by preparation or consumption (Spence, 2012). However, 451 with the exception of caffeine (DSM-5 for the first time refers to 452 Caffeine-Related Disorders within the category Substance-Related 453 and Addictive Disorders; APA, 2013), there is currently insufficient 454 scientific evidence to label any common food, ingredient, micronu-455 trient, standard food additive or combination of ingredients as 456 addictive. 457

Per se, foods are nutritionally complex and there is hardly 458 any evidence to suggest that under normal physiological circum-459 stances humans crave specific foods in order to ingest a specific 460 'substance'. Instead, the diet of subjects who overeat typically 461 contains a broad range of different, subjectively palatable foods. 462 It can be argued that access to a diversity of foods, especially a 463 diverse range of palatable foods, may be a pre-requisite for the 464 development of addictive-like eating behavior. Therefore, one pos-465 466 sible approach to overcome this behavior would be to restrict access to only a small number of such foods. Without access, it 467

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

the same time the difficulty, to clearly separate known causes of 532 overeating, which without knowledge of the underlying process 533 (e.g. leptin deficiency, hypothalamic tumor) could be labeled as 534 an addictive behavior. In light of the polygenic basis of BMI vari-535 ance in the general population (Hinney and Hebebrand, 2008), we 536 need studies to address if addictive overeating (and obesity) can 537 occur independently of a genetic predisposition to an elevated body 538 weight. 539

540 3.3. Disentangling occasional overeating, binge eating and eating
 541 addiction

Davis (2013) proposed that overeating is a dimensional proto-542 type reflecting its severity, its degree of compulsiveness, and its 543 clinically significant level of personal impairment. Homeostatic 544 eating reflects a balance between energy intake and output; 545 "passive overeating" entailing a slow but steady increase of body 546 weight reflects the influence of the obesogenic environment 547 (e.g. portion size, overall availability of and easy access to highly 548 palatable energy dense foods) on susceptible individuals. Further 549 down the continuum, mild and intermittent "disinhibited eating" 550 can manifest as episodic binges. At this stage, clinical correlates 551 of "disinhibited eating" include eating in the absence of hunger, 552 553 emotional eating and loss-of control eating (Tanofsky-Kraff et al., 2008; Vannucci et al., 2013); if a threshold of severity 554 and compulsiveness is exceeded, the diagnosis of BED may be 555 warranted (Davis, 2013). Similarly, Alsiö et al. (2012) postulated 556 a "feed-forward system", according to which adaptations in both 557 homeostatic and non-homeostatic appetite regulation propel the 558 individual from a preference for palatable diets to food craving 559 and compulsive, addiction-like eating behavior. According to Davis 560 561 (2013) "food addiction" represents the extreme end of the continuum of overeating; she also suggests that "food addiction" reflects 562 a subtype of BED. Like "food addiction" BED also occurs in normal 563 weight individuals albeit less frequently than in overweight or 564 obese individuals. 565

In our opinion, the equation of "food addiction" with BED must 566 be viewed with caution. The impaired control over eating behavior 567 in "eating addiction" does not necessarily require that the affected 568 individual experiences a sense of lack of control over eating during 569 a single episode of overeating. In this context, the term "grazing" 570 can describe an unplanned and mindless eating behavior that can 571 persist throughout the day, but which does not necessarily include 572 eating binges. 573

According to the current psychiatric classification scheme, DSM-574 5, there is a phenomenological overlap between Substance-related 575 and Addictive Disorders and Feeding and Eating Disorders (APA, 576 2013), in that 'control' plays a prominent role in the criteria for 577 disorders within these two categories. However, a closer look 578 reveals differences: thus, one of the central behavioral character-579 istics of Substance Use Disorders (APA, 2013) is "impaired control"; 580 581 in addition, the DSM-5 introductory text for the overall category 582 Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders states that "... individuals with lower levels of self-control, which may reflect impairments of 583 brain inhibitory mechanisms, may be particularly predisposed to 584 develop substance use disorders, suggesting that the roots of sub-585 stance use disorders for some persons can be seen in behaviors 586 long before the onset of actual substance use itself". In contrast, 587 a "sense of lack of control over eating during the (binge eating) 588 episode" is a key feature of both Bulimia Nervosa (BN) and BED 589 (APA, 2013; Albayrak et al., 2012). For the former diagnostic cate-590 gory the focus is on the control of behavior before and after initial 591 contact with a substance, and subjective feelings of the loss of 592 control are important in the two eating disorders BN and BED. 593 Accordingly, an outside observer should be able to readily ver-594 ify the impaired control of subjects with substance use disorders. 595

However, such an observer would need to inquire if an individual affected with BN or BED experiences the sense of lack of control over eating.

3.4. Vulnerable and high risk groups

Genetic factors are important in the development of substance use disorders. For example, the heritability estimates of alcohol use disorders or nicotine dependence are within the range of 40–60%. Meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies have identified non-overlapping genome-wide significant signals for both alcohol and nicotine dependence, which currently explain a small fraction of the respective heritabilities (Wang et al., 2012). Twin or family studies of "food addiction" have not yet been conducted. We are similarly not aware of a study that has systematically assessed the relationship between socio-economic status and "food addiction". Based on its association with obesity, "food addiction" is presumably more prevalent among subjects with low socio-economic status. Alcohol dependence, smoking and illicit drug use have all been associated with markers of social and economic disadvantage (World Health Organization, 2003), as has problem gambling (Welte et al., 2008).

In patients with *Substance Use Disorders*, psychiatric comorbidity is the rule rather than the exception, with mood, anxiety, and conduct disorders being the most common co-morbid disorders. Such disorders often precede the development of the addiction, but can also develop after its onset. Models of bidirectional relationships or contemporaneous combination of risk factors have addressed this phenomenon (Mueser et al., 1998). The likelihood of co-morbidity of mood or anxiety disorders is 2–3 times higher in adults with drug/alcohol dependency than in the general population (Grant et al., 2004). Similarly, several psychiatric co-morbidities apply to behavioral addictions. For example, pathological Internet use or internet-dependent individuals have elevated rates of depression and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Peukert et al., 2010).

Bellisle and coworkers (2012) summarize findings on subjects who seemingly eat in the absence of hunger to induce reward. This behavior is related to stress, especially in overweight individuals with visceral adiposity, who may have reward deficiency. The YFAS has not yet been tested systematically in patients with mental disorders; we assume that similar to subjects with Substance Use Disorders rates of "food addiction" are higher in individuals who fulfill the diagnostic criteria for "food addiction". Our own studies of adolescent psychiatric in-patients revealed a high rate of approximately 25% for a co-morbid diagnosis of "food addiction", with females being affected twice as often than males. The high rate of "food addiction" persisted after excluding patients with any eating disorders (Albayrak et al., 2012), suggesting that addictivelike eating patterns might be associated with an adverse mental health. Apart from the association with obesity, an overlap of "food addiction" with the eating disorder BED has been addressed in adults. According to a recent study by Gearhardt et al. (2013a), only 41.5% of obese patients with BED met the "food addiction" threshold, so neither obesity nor BED is synonymous with "food addiction". Overweight BED patients with "food addiction" seem to represent a more severe subgroup than obese BED patients without food addiction in terms of emotional and eating behavior related psychopathology (Gearhardt et al., 2012, 2013a). In a clinical sample of obese BED patients, "food addiction" was associated with elevated depression, negative affect, emotion dysregulation, eating disorder psychopathology and lower self-esteem (Gearhardt et al., 2012). Thus, BED patients that additionally report symptoms of "food addiction" may be exhibiting a more disturbed variant of BED, than those without.

Please cite this article in press as: Hebebrand, J., et al., "Eating addiction", rather than "food addiction", better captures addictive-like eating behavior. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.016

6

596 597 598

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

659 4. A critical review of rodent models of "food addiction"

Addiction research in general has accumulated substantial evi-660 dence for the general translation of both pharmacological and 661 non-pharmacological results obtained in rodent models to humans 662 (e.g. Xue et al., 2012; Planeta, 2013). In rat models of drug abuse, tol-663 erance, dependence and withdrawal are essential paradigms of the 664 addictive properties of a given substance. Tolerance refers to the 665 behavioral consequences of sub-cellular changes, such as receptor 666 desensitization or down-regulation (Ueda and Ueda, 2009), such 667 that an increase in substance use is required to obtain the same 668 neurobiological effect. A necessary corollary of tolerance, therefore, 669 is an increase in intake. In rat models of food addiction, neither tol-670 erance, nor an accompanying escalation of food intake, has been 671 convincingly demonstrated. There are transient increases in intake 672 (often described as "binges"), but the energy consumed is offset by 673 a reduction in food intake at other times, the net result being no 674 change in body weight. 675

Physical dependence refers to adaptations to drug use that 676 become apparent after cessation of use. Abrupt abstinence results 677 in a withdrawal syndrome. This syndrome is best characterized in 678 679 opiate dependence, which may have a broader relevance, as some mechanisms underlying food addictions are suggested to involve 680 endogenous opioids (Colantuoni et al., 2002). Opiate dependence 681 is manifested by a withdrawal syndrome that can also be triggered 682 by administration of the opiate antagonist naloxone (Wesson and 683 Ling, 2003). Upon "withdrawal" of specific foods, few studies report 684 such an analogous syndrome in rodents (Avena et al., 2008). Such 685 observations have to our knowledge not been made in humans. 686

Despite the overall successful translation of several rodent 687 addiction models to humans, it has been argued that it is over-688 ambitious to attempt to model addiction in animals, and in rodents 689 in particular, where "validity" of such models is often restricted 690 to superficial similarities, referred to as "face validity" that differ 691 substantially in terms of the underlying phenomena and biological 692 processes from the clinical situation (Stephens et al., 2013). Accord-693 ingly, caution is also warranted for translating results obtained in 694 rodent models of "food addiction" to humans. Thus, rodents are 695 usually offered single food substances or very simple combinations. 696 Based on such simplistic experiments we need to critically reflect, 697 698 if and to what degree these models of addiction resemble patterns of eating behavior and food choice in humans. It again needs to be 699 stressed that humans tend not to eat specific nutrients in isolation. 700 While rat experiments on for instance sugar addiction are neces-701 sary to understand how a single nutrient may affect neurobiology 702 and behavior, it is arguable whether they are directly relevant for 703 human pathology. 704

The mere involvement of endogenous opioid systems in the reg-705 ulation of food intake does not in itself imply that over-activation 706 of these pathways through excessive eating will result in depen-707 dence on endogenous opioids. The opioid pathway that regulates 708 food intake via hypothalamic oxytocin neurons mediates satiety, 709 and is extremely sensitive to inhibition by exogenous opioids. In 710 rats treated chronically with morphine, oxytocin neurons exhibit 711 both tolerance (i.e. a markedly increased threshold to inhibi-712 tion by morphine) and dependence (as evidenced by a massive 713 and prolonged hypersecretion of oxytocin when morphine with-714 drawal is acutely provoked by naloxone (Brown et al., 2005)). 715 Accordingly, if the endogenous opioid pathways that regulate 716 oxytocin neurons are activated by the consumption of reward-717 ing food, then an expected consequence would be suppression of 718 satiety leading to overconsumption. However, we would expect 719 that this mechanism would be down-regulated in response to 720 chronic overconsumption (tolerance), and that, if it indeed resulted 721 722 in endogenous opioid dependence, then fasting should precip-723 itate a hypersecretion of oxytocin with a resulting prolonged potentiation of satiety (withdrawal). To date, this hypothesis has not been tested.

There is currently no evidence that single nutritional substances can elicit a *Substance Use Disorder* in humans according to DSM 5 criteria. In light of the lack of clinical studies that have aimed to detect addictions to specific nutrients, it cannot as yet be ruled out that a predisposed subgroup does indeed develop such a substance based addiction, which in theory may be substantially weaker than in the case of addictions based on well-known exogenous substances such as alcohol, cannabis, nicotine or opiates. The fact, that clinical case studies do not abound on an addiction like intake of specific nutrients or even specific foods, would suggest that such cases are rare, if they exist at all. Alternatively, the addiction is so weak that it is not adequately perceived and reported as such. This leads to the question as to the boundaries between excessive consumption and the beginning of a true addiction.

Animal studies have been performed using palatable food as a stimulus, and some of these foods do appear able to induce an addiction-like phenotype. In rodents, characteristics of food linked to taste and palatability seem to be especially important for the expression of some addiction-like behaviors, including binge-eating. Withdrawal-like behaviors have been reported after terminating access to sugar (Colantuoni et al., 2002). As a surrogate for craving, it is possible to show enhanced motivation for sucrose in rats during periods of abstinence - the rats work increasingly hard (by repeatedly pressing a lever) to obtain sucrose pellet rewards. In this respect it is also noteworthy to mention that a majority of rats will prefer a sweet reward over a cocaine reward and only a subset of rats will start to prefer cocaine after an extended history of cocaine self-administration (Lenoir et al., 2007). Findings such as these suggest that rats can become strongly motivated to consume certain foods. Thus, it is possible that addictive-like behavior can be manifest, usually, but not always, directed toward foods high in fat and/or sugar (Kaplan, 1996). The study of foods as substances that can be abused is in its early stages. However, it has been shown that rats exposed to the so-called "cafeteria diet" (composed of numerous nutrient combinations in the form of common palatable foods like bacon, cheesecake, and chocolate) develop an apparent compulsivity toward palatable food consumption, a process which may mirror impaired control - a hallmark of addictive behavior (Johnson and Kenny, 2010).

Impaired control is a common phenomenon seen in addictive behaviors. Besides an increased motivation to work for a food reward after extended access to the rewarding substance, rats will seek the reward, even when it is signaled to them that the reward is unavailable. Moreover, after an extended history of cocaine selfadministration, rats will continue to press a lever to obtain a cocaine infusion when a tone is presented that is previously associated with a foot shock (Deroche-Gamonet et al., 2004; Pelloux et al., 2007; Vanderschuren and Everitt, 2004). When compared to cocaine, rats are less motivated to work for chocolate Ensure and in contrast to cocaine will not seek for chocolate Ensure when a tone is presented that was previously associated with a foot shock, even after being exposed to palatable diets for 2 months (de Jong et al., 2013; but see: Johnson and Kenny, 2010). Although some rats may display a tendency to display addictive-like behaviors, exposure to palatable foods high in fat and sugar, did not result increase these behaviors as observed after extended access to cocaine (de long et al., 2013).

4.1. Sugar addiction

In all aerobic cells, sugars are subjected to glycolysis to produce pyruvate – the fundamental substrate used to generate chemical energy in the citric acid cycle. As such, mono-, di- and polysaccharides are essential components of our diet. Animals have specialized senses that allow them to readily detect the presence of mono- and 724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

782

783 784 785

786

8

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

disaccharides in potential food sources, and humans perceive these
 substances as sweet. Rats also prefer sweet-tasting sugar solutions,
 and solutions of artificial sweeteners perceived as having equiv alent sweetness, across a broad range of concentrations without
 prior training (Sclafani, 1987). Thus, there seems to be an innate
 (or a quickly learned) motivation to consume sweet foods.

Apart from a single case study (Thornley and McRobbie, 2009), 70/ addiction-related behaviors in sugar consumption (such as tol-705 erance and a withdrawal syndrome) have not been observed in 796 humans (Benton, 2010). Instead, most observational and mech-797 anistic evidence for addiction to sugar comes from rat models 798 pioneered in Bart Hoebel's laboratory (Avena et al., 2008). A variety 799 of subtly different approaches have been taken, but most studies 800 involve examining feeding behavior during intermittent access to 801 palatable sugar solutions. For example, repeated 12 h food depri-802 vation followed by 12 h intermittent access to normal food and a 803 sugar solution leads to sugar "binging" (defined operationally as 804 an increased intake compared to rats offered unrestricted access 805 over the same time period). Furthermore, there is evidence that 806 endogenous opioid signaling is active during sugar (but not fat; 807 Bocarsly et al., 2011) binging, as i.p. administration of the opioid 808 809 receptor antagonist, naloxone, results in somatic effects reminiscent of a withdrawal syndrome (Colantuoni et al., 2002). It was 810 suggested that sugar recruits endogenous opioid pathways, with 811 consequences analogous to those that follow consumption of drugs 812 of abuse. However, these data do not imply that these pathways are 813 being activated by a substance. Certain behaviors can also recruit 814 endogenous opioid systems. For example, rats subjected to food 815 restriction and given 1hr/day access to a running wheel show a 816 withdrawal syndrome on naloxone administration, whereas much 817 weaker withdrawal-like behaviors were seen in food-restricted 818 or pair-fed rats not given access to a running wheel (Kanarek 819 et al., 2009). The underlying endocrine mechanisms relevant in this 820 model which has been used to explain the hyperactivity of patients 821 with acute anorexia nervosa go well beyond the opioid system and 822 823 include an activation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis induced via hypoleptinemia (Hebebrand et al., 2003); adrenalec-824 tomy (Duclos et al., 2009) or exogenous application of leptin (Exner 825 et al., 2000) prevents the development of hyperactivity upon food 826 restriction. This complex model indicates that the combination of 827 an environmental effect (running wheel) with a specific temporal 828 pattern of food restriction entails both a specific behavior (hyper-829 activity) and profound neuroendocrine alterations that include the 830 engagement of the endogenous opioid system in a manner anal-831 832 ogous to opiate drugs of abuse. Thus, under specific conditions addictive-like responses may be attributed not just to substances 833 but also to behaviors. Alternatively, a behavior may become addic-834 tive because of the system(s) it activates. In the context of sugar 835 addiction, a behavioral addiction rather than an addiction to a 836 substance also warrants consideration as an explanation for the 837 observations made in the respective experiments. 838

Other models that evoke binging include a diet and stress model 839 where cycles of food restriction and refeeding with palatable food 840 are paired with acute stressors (Hagan et al., 2002). Given that this 841 model explicitly uses a stressor and others use regular food depriva-842 tion (also a physiological stressor), these paradigms may represent 843 a form of stress-evoked eating (Maniam and Morris, 2012). It is 844 worth noting that in most of these sugar addiction models rats do 845 not become obese. These rats down-regulate their energy intake 846 from other sources and maintain a stable body weight (Avena et al., 847 2012), leading to the hypothesis that sugar addiction in humans - if 848 it occurs at all - may not be relevant for the development of obesity. 849 Since normal food intake is reduced after binges and weight gain 850 does not occur, homeostatic mechanisms are preserved, it could 852 be argued that these paradigms may better represent a form of 853 binge-eating driven by intermittent access to hedonic stimuli.

In humans, addictive behavior is often accompanied by complex psychological/psychiatric constructs like memory, boredom, shame, guilt, habit, impulsivity, restraint, depression and anxiety. Undoubtedly, these contribute to behavioral addiction but this further layer of complexity is difficult to model in rats (Packard, 2009). Based on a rat model for cocaine-craving behavior (Grimm et al., 2001), Grimm and co-workers showed that rats responded to a tone+light cue previously associated with 10% sucrose self-administration; lever pressing during tests for resistance to extinction and cue-induced reinstatement of sucrose seeking in rats progressively increased over the first 2 months of withdrawal (Grimm et al., 2002). Environmental enrichment such as grouping four animals in a large environment with novel objects has been shown to strongly attenuate cue-induced reinstatement of sucrose seeking (Grimm et al., 2008). The beneficial effect of an enriched environment on substance addiction in rodents has led Solinas and coworkers (2008) to suggest that in addition to cognitive and behavioral interventions to target craving-inducing situations, a positive and stimulating environment per se could facilitate abstinence.

4.2. Fat addiction

Maintenance of normal body function requires an adequate daily intake of fat, and the general advice is that a healthy diet should contain about 20–35% fat (Institute of Medicine, 2005). Of note, fat content in the diet improves the palatability of food, through taste, texture and oro-sensory experience in most mammals, including humans, who generally prefer high-fat food to low-fat food. It is unclear to what extent dietary fat is a basic tastant; fatty acid chemoreception has been observed in humans (Newman et al., 2013). Although the evidence is incomplete, it is thought that dietary lipids are detected by a combination of oro-sensory perception, retronasal olfactory and post-ingestive cues (Mizushige et al., 2007). Deciphering the chemical and molecular network within the oral cavity, gastrointestinal tract, hormonal signals and the CNS, all of which are implicated in the palatability and perception of dietary lipids remains as a major challenge.

Because the central effects of many signals involved in energy homeostasis, including leptin, ghrelin, MCH and GLP-1, are markedly influenced by intake of high fat diets, the occurrence of addictive-like behaviors in animals exposed to these diets is not surprising. Mice exposed to ad libitum fat-rich food exhibit signs of anxiety and willingness to endure an aversive environment in order to gain access to the high-fat food, as well as neurochemical changes in signals related to reward (Teegarden and Bale, 2007; Teegarden et al., 2008). Mice exposed to preferred diets high in fat have decreased stress sensitivity, whereas acute withdrawal from such a diet elevates the stress state and reduces reward (Teegarden and Bale, 2007; Teegarden et al., 2008).

Experiments with binge-type overeating similar to that described above for sugar have been undertaken by different groups. Although some of the neurochemical changes elicited by fat binging appear to be similar to changes elicited by sugar intake (e.g. dopamine release in the NAc; Liang et al., 2006), no signs of opiate-like withdrawal in fat-binging rats were detected (Avena, 2010). In contrast, rats identified as prone to binge-eating will tolerate higher levels of foot shock when it is paired with a fatcontaining food, suggesting that binge eating can be associated with an abnormal motivation to consume palatable food (Avena, 2010). The effects appear to depend on the experimental model, being more evident when rats are exposed to calorie restriction or stress exposure (Pankevich et al., 2010). Again, the same limitations apply as described above in relation to sucrose. Finally, and in contrast to sugar addiction models, an important confounding factor is that high-fat diets lead to weight gain and increased adiposity.

855

856

857

858

850

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

874

875

876

877

878

870

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Therefore, in some instances it is difficult to ascertain which changes are just a consequence of increased adiposity and weight, and which are specifically associated with the intake of fat-rich diets.

922 4.3. Salt addiction

Salt improves flavor perception and so-called "hidden" salt is 923 often added to foods in relatively high amounts before they reach 924 the table; this even applies to foods perceived by consumers as 925 healthy (Magriplis et al., 2011). Often, despite containing no calo-926 ries, salt is consumed beyond physiological need. In a healthy 927 individual excess salt intake can be diluted by additional fluid 928 intake, while ultimately the kidneys eliminate excess sodium (and 929 excess water) in urine through natriuretic and diuretic mecha-930 nisms. Thus salt intake stimulates fluid intake - and if the most 931 932 readily available and palatable fluid is energy dense, this can contribute to increased calorie intake. Accordingly if salt itself 933 were addictive, this might promote excessive calorie intake, either 934 directly (by promoting intake of salty energy-dense foods) or 935 as an epiphenomenon (by promoting intake of energy dense 936 937 fluids).

Evidence for an innate Na⁺ (but not NaCl) appetite is very strong 938 in rats. It is driven by hypotonic fluid intake or electrolyte loss, 939 and is a distinct homeostatic process, involving well-defined brain 940 regions - notably the subfornical organ, and specific endogenous 941 neuropeptide messengers (Bertino and Tordoff, 1988). However, 942 the role of salt in increasing directly the rewarding value of food 943 is relatively unexplored. An aversion to high concentrations of 944 dietary salt in rats can be reversed by Na⁺-depletion, via mecha-945 nisms involving activation of the reward pathway (Robinson and 946 Berridge, 2013). A µ-opioid receptor agonist increases salt con-947 sumption after infusion into the NAc (Zhang and Kelley, 2002) and 948 salt consumption competes with electrical stimulation of the lateral 949 hypothalamus in a forced-choice preference test in Na⁺-depleted 950 rats (Conover et al., 1994). However, an increased taste preference 951 for salt is not observed in Na⁺-depleted rats (Clark and Bernstein, 952 2006) and lesions of VTA dopamine neurons does not alter Na⁺ con-953 sumption in Na⁺-replete rats (Shibata et al., 2009). Thus it is possible 954 that salt reward may occur only in the depleted state and reflects 955 956 changes in motivation rather than an increased preference for a salty taste. 957

In contrast to rats, Na⁺-deficient humans do not generally seek 958 to increase their salt intake (Leshem, 2009). Whereas humans have 959 a strong innate preference for sweet tastes, a strong salt preference 960 may not be innate to humans. Very young children do not discrim-961 inate between isotonic salt solutions and water suggesting either 962 that they do not detect salt or that they find the solutions equally 963 palatable. However, a preference toward salt solutions develops in 964 young children only to then disappear between the ages of 3 and 5. 965 From this age on, humans do not find simple salt solutions palat-966 able but rather aversive (Beauchamp et al., 1986). Thus, a strong salt 967 preference is not evident across most of the life-span. As with sweet 968 or fat tasting foods the distinct enjoyment of salty flavored foods 969 in many humans may result from conditioned learning in associ-970 ation with other flavor preferences, rather than having a hedonic 971 drive to consume salt or Na⁺. Some rodent studies describe a link 972 between salt intake and reward centers in the brain, but there is 973 little evidence that salt has reinforcing properties unless in condi-974 tions of salt depletion (Berridge et al., 1984; Tekol, 2006). Perhaps 975 the distinct enjoyment of salty flavored foods in humans is a result 976 of conditioned learning in association with other flavor prefer-977 ences, rather than having a hedonic drive to consume salt or Na⁺. 978 Nonetheless, it is unclear whether salt can increase the rewarding 979 980 properties of food and what physiological consequences this might 981 have.

5. Conclusions

The term 'food addiction' is now a part of everyday language. Vocabulary such as "chocoholic" (in use since the 1960s) and "craving" – used to refer to a person's desire and fondness for food – is in common use, and many people believe these conditions approach the severity of an addiction (Bird et al., 2013). Undoubtedly, some people believe that their relationship with problem foods constitutes an addiction, and engage with treatment regimens or approach help groups such as Food Addicts Anonymous – established in 1987. With addiction-related terminology in common use, and treatment, support and recipe books available for "food addicts" it is unsurprising that the media have accepted "food addiction" as fact, with one broadcaster (BBC), for example, having more than 40 news stories related to "food addiction" on its website.

We concur with Hone-Blanchet and Fecteau (2014) that it is premature to conclude validity of the food addiction phenotype in humans from the current behavioral and neurobiological evidence gained in rodent models. Humans who overeat usually do not restrict their diets to specific nutrients; instead the availability of a wider range of palatable foods appears to render prone subjects vulnerable to overeating. Undoubtedly, the food industry needs to act responsibly given that easy access to highly palatable and calorie dense foods promotes overeating and potentially the development of an "eating addiction" in predisposed individuals. It may ultimately be for governments to take action and regulate the food industry, by requesting informative labels and restricting advertising (Bagaric and Erbacher, 2005). At the same time, the medical field, and in particular psychiatry and clinical psychology, should continue to research "eating addiction". In retrospect, the medicalization of tobacco use has proven extremely important in promoting large scale, individual-based, and structural prevention programs, which have successfully led to a reduction in the proportion of adolescents and adults who smoke (Schaap et al., 2008; Levy et al., 2010; White et al. 2011).

To conclude, the society as a whole should be aware of the differences between addiction in the context of substance use versus an addictive behavior. As we pointed out in this review, there is very little evidence to indicate that humans can develop a "Glucose/Sucrose/Fructose Use Disorder" as a diagnosis within the DSM-5 category Substance Use Disorders. We do, however, view both rodent and human data as consistent with the existence of addictive eating behavior. The novel DSM-5 (APA, 2013) currently does not allow the classification of an "Overeating Disorder" or an "Addictive Eating Disorder" within the diagnostic category Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders; indeed, the current knowledge of addictive eating behaviors does not warrant such a diagnosis. However, efforts should be made to operationalize the diagnostic criteria for such a disorder and to test its reliability and validity. It needs to be determined if such a disorder can occur distinct from other mental disorders.

Currently, the assessment of "food addiction" mainly relies on the YFAS questionnaire, which is based on the diagnostic criteria of substance dependence according to DSM-IV (Gearhart et al., 2009). In our opinion, the term "Eating Addiction" or "Addictive Eating Disorder" would have been more appropriate to avoid the unsubstantiated connotation that food contains chemical substances that can lead to the development of a *Substance Use Disorder*. We have pointed out that the mere application of the criteria used to define substance dependence does not likely appear sufficient to fully capture the phenomenological aspects of an eating addiction. As illustrated above, we are aware of the vague distinctions between substance based and behavioral based addictions. Nevertheless, we view the current evidence as being more in favor of addictive-like

9

083

984

985

986

087

880

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

100

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

behaviors to describe the phenomenon of continuous overeating of(different) foods.

We perceive the need to disentangle the mechanisms under-1049 lying an "eating addiction" with and without obesity. The 1050 differentiation of subjects who overeat due to increased hunger 1051 and/or a reduced satiety from those with an "eating addiction" 1052 appears difficult; research is required to uncover biological, physi-1053 ological, and psychological differences. Obviously, twin and family 1054 studies are required to assess heritability of "eating addiction" (or 1055 different subgroups of "eating addiction"). Given the increasing 1056 number of gene variants known to contribute to the variance in 1057 body mass index (BMI; kg/m^2) in the general population, it will 1058 be of interest to genotype normal weight and obese subjects with 1059 and without "eating addiction" to assess whether the frequencies of 1060 relevant alleles differ. As progress is made in uncovering alleles pre-1061 disposing to diverse substance use disorders or addictive disorders, 1062 the overlap with "eating addiction" can be assessed. 1063

Although the scientific discussion about "eating addiction" is 1064 in its infancy, it has a potentially large public health impact on 1065 treatment and prevention strategies. Ultimately, politicians and 1066 industrial stakeholders need to be involved in finding solutions 1067 to overcome the potential risk of becoming "eating addicted" to 1068 industrially processed food highly enriched with carbohydrates, 1069 salt or fat. Given that the "food addiction genie" is out of the 1070 bottle, the best strategy may be to engage with the public in a 1071 debate centering on addictive behavior as a cause of overeating 1072 and obesity. We must make clear that there is no evidence that 1073 1074 "food addiction" can be considered as a Substance Use Disorder. We agree with Stice and coworkers (2013), who have recently sug-1075 gested that it might be more useful to focus on overeating as a 1076 form of food 'abuse'; however, as pointed out above, the diagno-1077 sis of a (substance) abuse has been dropped in DSM-5. Stressing 1078 "food addiction" as an addiction to eating must be viewed critically, 1079 because this focus does not convey an unambiguous and helpful 1080 message that is supported by available evidence. Accordingly, we 1081 believe that a diagnosis of "eating addiction" is superior to "food 1082 addiction" for educational purposes. "Eating addiction" stresses the 1083 behavioral component, whereas "food addiction" appears more like 1084 a passive process which simply befalls an individual. 1085

1085 Uncited reference

Moss (2013).

1087

1088 Acknowledgements

This review has been compiled by scientists of the NeuroFAST 1089 consortium (The Integrated Neurobiology of Food Intake, Addiction 1090 and Stress; www.neurofast.eu), a research program that aims to 1091 reveal neurobiological and psychological mechanisms underlying 1092 habit-forming addictive processes related to the overconsumption 1093 1094 of highly palatable food. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework 1006 programme for research, technological development and demon-1096 stration under grant agreement no. 245009. 1097

1098 References

- Aberman, J.E., Ward, S.J., Salamone, J.D., 1998. Effects of dopamine antagonists and accumbens dopamine depletions on time-constrained progressive-ratio performance. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 61, 341–348.
- Ackroff, K., Yiin, Y.M., Sclafani, A., 2010. Post-oral infusion sites that support glucoseconditioned flavor preferences in rats. Physiol. Behav. 99, 402–411.
- Albayrak, O., Wölfle, S.M., Hebebrand, J., 2012. Does food addiction exist?
 A phenomenological discussion based on the psychiatric classification of substance-related disorders and addiction. Obes. Facts 5, 165–179.

- Alsiö, J., Olszewski, P.K., Levine, A.S., Schiöth, H.B., 2012. Feed-forward mechanisms: addiction-like behavioral and molecular adaptations in overeating. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 33, 127–139.
- American Psychiatric Association, 2000a. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth ed. (text rev.). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- American Psychiatric Association, 2000b. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth ed. American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- Avena, N.M., 2010. The study of food addiction using animal models of binge eating. Appetite 55, 734–737.
- Avena, N.M., Rada, P., Hoebel, B.G., 2008. Evidence for sugar addiction: behavioral and neurochemical effects of intermittent, excessive sugar intake. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 32, 20–39.
- Avena, N.M., Bocarsly, M.E., Hoebel, B.G., 2012. Animal models of sugar and fat bingeing: relationship to food addiction and increased body weight. Methods Mol. Biol. 829, 351–365.
- Bagaric, M., Erbacher, S., 2005. Fat and the law: who should take the blame? J. Law Med. 12, 323–339.
- Barbano, M.F., Cador, M., 2007. Opioids for hedonic experience and dopamine to get ready for it. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 191, 497–506.
- Beauchamp, G.K., Cowart, B.J., Moran, M., 1986. Developmental changes in salt acceptability in human infants. Dev. Psychobiol. 19, 17–25.
- Bellisle, F., Drewnowski, A., Anderson, G.H., Westerterp-Plantenga, M., Martin, C.K., 2012. Sweetness, satiation, and satiety. J. Nutr. 142, S1149–S1154.
- Benton, D., 2010. The plausibility of sugar addiction and its role in obesity and eating disorders. Clin. Nutr. 29, 288–303.
- Berridge, K.C., 1996. Food reward: brain substrates of wanting and liking. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 20, 1–25.
- Berridge, K.C., Flynn, F.W., Schulkin, J., Grill, H.J., 1984. Sodium depletion enhances salt palatability in rats. Behav. Neurosci. 98, 652–660.
- Berthoud, H.R., 2011. Metabolic and hedonic drives in the neural control of appetite: who is the boss? Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 21, 888–896.
- Bertino, M., Tordoff, M.G., 1988. Sodium depletion increases rats' preferences for salted food. Behav. Neurosci. 102, 565–573.
- Bird, S.P., Murphy, M., Bake, T., Albayrak, O., Mercer, J.G., 2013. Getting science to the citizen 'food addiction' at the British Science Festival as a case study of interactive public engagement with high profile scientific controversy. Obes. Facts 6, 103–108.
- Bocarsly, M.E., Berner, L.A., Hoebel, B.G., Avena, N.M., 2011. Rats that binge eat fat-rich food do not show somatic signs or anxiety associated with opiate-like withdrawal: implications for nutrient-specific food addiction behaviors. Physiol. Behav. 104, 865–872.
- Boender, A.J., de Jong, J.W., Boekhoudt, L., Luijendijk, M.C., van der Plasse, G., Adan, R.A., 2014. Combined use of the canine adenovirus-2 and DREADD-technology to activate specific neural pathways in vivo. PLOS ONE 9, e95392.
- Bradley, B.P., 1990. Behavioral addictions: common features and treatment implications. Br. J. Addict. 85, 1417–1419.
- Brown, C.H., Stern, J.E., Jackson, K.L., Bull, P.M., Leng, G., Russell, J.A., 2005. Morphine withdrawal increases intrinsic excitability of oxytocin neurons in morphinedependent rats. Eur. J. Neurosci. 21, 501–512.
- Brownell, K.D., Gold, M.S., 2013. Food and addiction: scientific, social, legal, and legislative implications. In: Brownell, K.D., Gold, M.S. (Eds.), Food and Addiction. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 439–446.
- Burmeister, J.M., Hinman, N., Koball, A., Hoffmann, D.A., Carels, R.A., 2013. Food addiction in adults seeking weight loss treatment. Implications for psychosocial health and weight loss. Appetite 60, 103–110.
- Clark, J.J., Bernstein, I.L., 2006. Sensitization of salt appetite is associated with increased wanting "but not liking" of a salt reward in the sodium-deplete rat. Behav. Neurosci. 120, 206–210.
- Clark, S.M., Saules, K.K., 2013. Validation of the Yale Food Addiction Scale among a weight-loss surgery population. Eat Behav. 14, 216–219.
- Cohen, J.Y., Haesler, S., Vong, L., Lowell, B.B., Uchida, N., 2012. Neuron-type-specific signals for reward and punishment in the ventral tegmental area. Nature 482, 85–88.
- Colantuoni, C., Rada, P., McCarthy, J., Patten, C., Avena, N.M., Chadeayne, A., Hoebel, B.G., 2002. Evidence that intermittent, excessive sugar intake causes endogenous opioid dependence. Obes. Res. 10, 478–488.
- Conover, K.L., Woodside, B., Shizgal, P., 1994. Effects of sodium depletion on competition and summation between rewarding effects of salt and lateral hypothalamic stimulation in the rat. Behav. Neurosci. 108, 549–558.
- Davis, C., 2013. From passive overeating to "food addiction": a spectrum of compulsion and severity. ISRN Obes. 2013, 435027.
- Davis, C., Curtis, C., Levitan, R.D., Carter, J.C., Kaplan, A.S., Kennedy, J.L., 2011. Evidence that 'food addiction' is a valid phenotype of obesity. Appetite 57, 711–717.
- Davis, C., Loxton, N.J., Levitan, R.D., Kaplan, A.S., Carter, J.C., Kennedy, J.L., 2013. 'Food addiction' and its association with a dopaminergic multilocus genetic profile. Physiol. Behav. 118, 63–69.
- de Jong, J.W., Meijboom, K.E., Vanderschuren, L.J., Adan, R.A., 2013. Low control over palatable food intake in rats is associated with habitual behavior and relapse vulnerability: individual differences. PLOS ONE 8, e74645.
- Deroche-Gamonet, V., Belin, D., Piazza, P.V., 2004. Evidence for addiction-like behavior in the rat. Science 305, 1014–1017.
- Dickson, S.L., Shirazi, R.H., Hansson, C., Bergquist, F., Nissbrandt, H., Skibicka, K.P., 2012. The glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogue, exendin-4, decreases the rewarding value of food: a new role for mesolimbic GLP-1 receptors. J. Neurosci. 32, 4812–4820.

1189

1190

1191

1192

1107

10

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

- Domingos, A.I., Vaynshteyn, J., Voss, H.U., Ren, X., Gradinaru, V., Zang, F., Deisseroth,
 K., de Araujo, I.E., Friedman, J., 2011. Leptin regulates the reward value of nutri ent. Nat. Neurosci. 14, 1562–1568.
- Duclos, M., Gatti, C., Bessière, B., Mormède, P., 2009. Tonic and phasic effects of corticosterone on food restriction-induced hyperactivity in rats. Psychoneuroendocrinology 34, 436–445.
- Egecioglu, E., Skibička, K.P., Hansson, C., Alvarez-Crespo, M., Friberg, P.A., Jerlhag, E.,
 Engel, J.A., Dickson, S.L., 2011. Hedonic and incentive signals for body weight
 control. Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. 12, 141–151.
- Eichen, D.M., Lent, M.R., Goldbacher, E., Foster, G.D., 2013. Exploration of "food addiction" in overweight and obese treatment-seeking adults. Appetite 67, 22–24.
 Epstein, L.H., Temple, J.L., Roemmich, J.N., Bouton, M.E., 2009. Habituation as a deter-
- minant of human food intake. Psychol. Rev. 116, 384–407.
 Everitt, B.J., Robbins, T.W., 2005. Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction:
- Everitt, B.J., Robbins, T.W., 2005. Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction:
 from actions to habits to compulsion. Nat. Neurosci. 8, 1481–1489.
- Exner, C., Hebebrand, J., Remschmidt, H., Wewetzer, C., Ziegler, A., Herpertz, S., Schweiger, U., Blum, W.F., Preibisch, G., Heldmaier, G., Klingenspor, M., 2000.
 Leptin suppresses semi-starvation induced hyperactivity in rats: implications for anorexia nervosa. Mol. Psychiatry 5, 476–481.
- Farooqi, I.S., Bullmore, E., Keogh, J., Gillard, J., O'Rahilly, S., Fletcher, P.C., 2007. Leptin regulates striatal regions and human eating behaviour. Science 317, 1355.
- Feng, Y., He, X., Yang, Y., Chao, D., Lazarus, L.H., Xia, Y., 2012. Current research on opioid receptor function. Curr. Drug Targets 13, 230–246.
- Figlewicz, D.P., Bennett, J.L., Aliakbari, S., Zavosh, A., Sipols, A.J., 2008. Insulin acts at different CNS sites to decrease acute sucrose intake and sucrose self-administration in rats. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 295, R388–R394.
- Finucane, M.M., Stevens, G.A., Cowan, M.J., Danaei, G., Lin, J.K., Paciorek, C.J., Singh,
 G.M., Gutierrez, H.R., Lu, Y., Bahalim, A.N., Farzadfar, F., Riley, L.M., Ezzati, M.,
 Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating Group
 (Body Mass Index), 2011. National, regional, and global trends in body-mass
 index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epi demiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. Lancet
 377, 557–567.
- Flint, A.J., Gearhardt, A.N., Corbin, W.R., Brownell, K.D., Field, A.E., Rimm, E.B.,
 2014. Food-addiction scale measurement in 2 cohorts of middle-aged and older
 women. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 99, 578–586.
- Fulton, S., Pissios, P., Manchon, R.P., Stiles, L., Frank, L., Pothos, E.N., Maratos-Flier,
 E., Flier, J.S., 2006. Leptin regulation of the mesoaccumbens dopamine pathway.
 Neuron 51. 811–822.
- Gearhardt, A.N., Corbin, W.R., Brownell, K.D., 2009. Preliminary validation of the Yale
 Food Addiction Scale. Appetite 52, 430–436.
- Gearhardt, A.N., Grilo, C.M., DiLeone, R.J., Brownell, K.D., Potenza, M.N., 2011.
 Can food be addictive? Public health and policy implications. Addiction 106, 1208–1212.
- Gearhardt, A.N., White, M.A., Masheb, R.M., Morgan, P.T., Crosby, R.D., Grilo, C.M.,
 2012. An examination of the food addiction construct in obese patients with
 binge eating disorder. Int. J. Eat. Disord. 45, 657–663.
- Gearhardt, A.N., White, M.A., Masheb, R.M., Grilo, C.M., 2013a. An examination of food addiction in a racially diverse sample of obese patients with binge eating disorder in primary care settings. Compr. Psychiatry 54, 500– 505.
- Gearhardt, A.N., Roberto, C.A., Seamans, M.J., Corbin, W.R., Brownell, K.D., 2013b.
 Preliminary validation of the Yale Food Addiction Scale for children. Eat. Behav.
 14, 508–512.
- Gosnell, B.A., Lane, K.E., Bel I, S.M., Krahn, D.D., 1995. Intravenous morphine
 self-administration by rats with low versus high saccharin preferences. Psy chopharmacology (Berl.) 117, 248–252.
- Grant, B.F., Stinson, F.S., Dawson, D.A., Chou, S.P., Dufour, M.C., Compton, W., Pickering, R.P., Kaplan, K., 2004. Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 61, 807–816.
- Grimm, J.W., Hope, B.T., Wise, R.A., Shaham, Y., 2001. Incubation of cocaine craving after withdrawal. Nature 412, 141–142.
- Grimm, J.W., Shaham, Y., Hope, B.T., 2002. Effect of cocaine and sucrose withdrawal
 period on extinction behavior, cue-induced reinstatement, and protein levels of
 the dopamine transporter and tyrosine hydroxylase in limbic and cortical areas
 in rats. Behav. Pharmacol. 13, 379–388.
- Grimm, J.W., Osincup, D., Wells, B., Manaois, M., Fyal I, A., Buse, C., Harkness,
 J.H., 2008. Environmental enrichment attenuates cue-induced reinstatement of
 sucrose seeking in rats. Behav. Pharmacol. 19, 777–785.
- Hagan, M.M., Wauford, P.K., Chandler, P.C., Jarrett, L.A., Rybak, R.J., Blackburn, K.,
 2002. A new animal model of binge eating: key synergistic role of past caloric
 restriction and stress. Physiol. Behav. 77, 45–54.
- Hebebrand, J., Exner, C., Hebebrand, K., Holtkamp, C., Casper, R.C., Remschmidt,
 H., Herpertz-Dahlmann, B., Klingenspor, M., 2003. Hyperactivity in patients
 with anorexia nervosa and in semistarved rats: evidence for a pivotal role of
 hypoleptinemia. Physiol. Behav. 79, 25–37.
- 1272 Hinney, A., Hebebrand, J., 2008. Polygenic obesity in humans. Obes. Facts 1, 35–42.
- Hone-Blanchet, A., Fecteau, S., 2014. Overlap of food addiction and substance use dis orders definitions: analysis of animal and human studies. Neuropharmacology
 85C, 81–90.
- Institute of Medicine, 2005. Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate.
 Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids. http://www.nal.
 usda.gov/fnic/DRI/DRI_Energy/energy_full_report.pdf

- Jansen, J.M., Daams, J.G., Koeter, M.W., Veltman, D.J., van den Brink, W., Goudriaan, A.E., 2013. Effects of non-invasive neurostimulation on craving: a meta-analysis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 37, 2472–2480.
- Johnson, P.M., Kenny, P.J., 2010. Dopamine D2 receptors in addiction-like reward dysfunction and compulsive eating in obese rats. Nat. Neurosci. 13, 635–641.
- Kanarek, R.B., D'Anci, K.E., Jurdak, N., Mathes, W.F., 2009. Running and addiction: precipitated withdrawal in a rat model of activity-based anorexia. Behav. Neurosci. 123, 905–912.
- Kaplan, R., 1996. Carrot addiction. Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry 30, 698-700.
- Kawai, K., Sugimoto, K., Nakashima, K., Miura, H., Ninomiya, Y., 2000. Leptin as a modulator of sweet taste sensitivities in mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 11044–11049.
- Kelley, A.E., Bakshi, V.P., Haber, S.N., Steininger, T.L., Will, M.J., Zhang, M., 2002. Opioid modulation of taste hedonics within the ventral striatum. Physiol. Behav. 76, 365–377.
- Koob, G.F., 2013. Theoretical frameworks and mechanistic aspects of alcohol addiction: alcohol addiction as a reward deficit disorder. Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci. 13, 3–30.
- Lenoir, M., Serre, F., Cantin, L., Ahmed, S.H., 2007. Intense sweetness surpasses cocaine reward. PLoS ONE 2, e698.
- Lent, M.R., Eichen, D.M., Goldbacher, E., Wadden, T.A., Foster, G.D., 2014. Relationship of food addiction to weight loss and attrition during obesity treatment. Obesity (Silver Spring) 22, 52–55.
- Leshem, M., 2009. Biobehavior of the human love of salt. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 33, 1–17.
- Levy, D.T., Mabry, P.L., Graham, A.L., Orleans, C.T., Abrams, D.B., 2010. Reaching healthy people 2010 by 2013: a SimSmoke simulation. Am. J. Prev. Med. 38, S373–S381.
- Magriplis, E., Farajian, P., Pounis, G.D., Risvas, G., Panagiotakos, D.B., Zampelas, A., 2011. High sodium intake of children through 'hidden' food sources and its association with the Mediterranean diet: the GRECO study. J. Hypertens. 29, 1069–1076.
- Maniam, J., Morris, M.J., 2012. The link between stress and feeding behaviour. Neuropharmacology 63, 97–110.
- Martin, B., Dotson, C.D., Shin, Y.K., Ji, S., Drucker, D.J., Maudsley, S., Munger, S.D., 2009. Modulation of taste sensitivity by GLP-1 signalling in taste buds. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1170, 98–101.
- Mason, S.M., Flint, A.J., Field, A.E., Austin, S.B., Rich-Edwards, J.W., 2013. Abuse victimization in childhood or adolescence and risk of food addiction in adult women. Obesity (Silver Spring) 21, E775–E781.
- Mechoulam, R., Parker, L.A., 2013. The endocannabinoid system and the brain. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 64, 21–47.
- Meule, A., 2012. Food addiction and body-mass-index: a non-linear relationship. Med. Hypotheses 79, 508–511.
- Meule, A., Kübler, A., 2012. Food cravings in food addiction: the distinct role of positive reinforcement. Eat. Behav. 13, 252–255.
- Meule, A., Hecke I, D., Kübler, A., 2012. Factor structure and item analysis of the Yale Food Addiction Scale in obese candidates for bariatric surgery. Eur. Eat. Disord. Rev. 20, 419–422.
- Mizushige, T., Inoue, K., Fushiki, T., 2007. Why is fat so tasty? Chemical reception of fatty acid on the tongue. J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol. (Tokyo) 53, 1–4.
- Morrissey, J., Keogh, B., Doyle, L., 2008. Psychiatric Mental Health Nursing. Gill & Macmillan Ltd., Dublin.
- Moss, M., 2013. Salt Sugar Fat: How the Food Giants Hooked Us. Random House, London.
- Mueser, K.T., Drake, R.E., Wallach, M.A., 1998. Dual diagnosis: a review of etiological theories. Addict. Behav. 23, 717–734.
- Murphy, C.M., Stojek, M.K., MacKillop, J., 2014. Interrelationships among impulsive personality traits, food addiction, and Body Mass Index. Appetite 73, 45–50.
- Narayanan, N.S., Guarnieri, D.J., DiLeone, R.J., 2010. Metabolic hormones, dopamine circuits, and feeding. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 31, 104–112.
- Newman, L., Haryono, R., Keast, R., 2013. Functionality of fatty acid chemoreception: a potential factor in the development of obesity? Nutrients 5, 1287–1300, NIDA: www.nida.nih.gov/scienceofaddiction/sciofaddiction.pdf
- Norgren, R., Hajnal, A., Mungarndee, S.S., 2006. Gustatory reward and the nucleus accumbens. Physiol. Behav. 89, 531–535.
- Ogden, C.L., Carroll, M.D., Kit, B.K., Flegal, K.M., 2012. Prevalence of obesity in the United States, 2009–2010. NCHS Data Brief 82, 1–8.
- Oliveira-Maia, A.J., Roberts, C.D., Walker, Q.D., Luo, B., Kuhn, C., Simon, S.A., Nicolelis, M.A., 2011. Intravascular food reward. PLoS ONE 6, e24992.
- Olszewski, P.K., Alsiö, J., Schiöth, H.B., Levine, A.S., 2011. Opioids as facilitators of feeding: can any food be rewarding? Physiol. Behav. 104, 105–110.
- Packard, M.G., 2009. Anxiety, cognition, and habit: a multiple memory systems perspective. Brain Res. 1293, 121–128.
- Pankevich, D., Teegarden, S.L., Hedin, A.D., Jensen, C.L., Bale, T.L., 2010. Caloric restriction experience reprograms stress and orexegenic pathways and promotes binge-eating. J. Neurosci. 30, 16399–16407.
- Peciña, S., 2008. Opioid reward 'liking' and 'wanting' in the nucleus accumbens. Physiol. Behav. 94, 675–680.
- Peciña, S., Smith, K.S., Berridge, K.C., 2006. Hedonic hot spots in the brain. Neuroscientist 12, 500–511.
- Pedram, P., Wadden, D., Amini, P., Gulliver, W., Randell, E., Cahill, F., Vasdev, S., Goodridge, A., Carter, J.C., Zhai, G., Ji, Y., Sun, G., 2013. Food addiction: its prevalence and significant association with obesity in the general population. PLoS ONE 8, e74832.

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

11

12

1365

1366

ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Hebebrand et al. / Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

- Pelloux, Y., Everitt, B.J., Dickinson, A., 2007. Compulsive drug seeking by rats under punishment: effects of drug taking history. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 194, 127–137. Szczwak.
- 127–137.
 Peukert, P., Sieslack, S., Barth, G., Batra, A., 2010. Internet and computer game addiction. Psychiatr. Prax. 37, 219–224.
- Planeta, C.S., 2013. Animal models of alcohol and drug dependence. Rev. Bras.
 Psiquiatr. 35, S140–S146.
- Randolph, T.G., 1956. The descriptive features of food addiction; addictive eating and drinking. Q. J. Stud. Alcohol 17, 198–224.
- Robinson, M.J., Berridge, K.C., 2013. Instant transformation of learned repulsion into
 motivational "wanting". Curr. Biol. 23, 282–289.
- Roitman, M.F., Stuber, G.D., Phillips, P.E., Wightman, R.M., Carelli, R.M., 2004.
 Dopamine operates as a subsecond modulator of food seeking. J. Neurosci. 24, 1265–1271.
- Salamone, J.D., Correa, M., 2012. The mysterious motivational functions of mesolimbic dopamine. Neuron 76, 470–485.
 Sundara, P.T., Patheren, T.P., 2012. A Mathematical Science of the second s
- Saunders, B.T., Robinson, T.E., 2013. Individual variation in resisting temptation: implications for addiction. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 37, 1955–1975.
 Schaap, M.M., Kunst, A.E., Leinsalu, M., Regidor, E., Ekholm, O., Dzurova, D., Helmert,
- Schaap, M.M., Kunst, A.E., Leinsalu, M., Regidor, E., Ekholm, O., Dzurova, D., Helmert, U., Klumbiene, J., Santana, P., Mackenbach, J.P., 2008. Effect of nationwide tobacco control policies on smoking cessation in high and low educated groups in 18 European countries. Tob. Control 17, 248–255.
- in 18 European countries. Tob. Control 17, 248–255.
 Schultz, W., 2010. Dopamine signals for reward value and risk: basic and recent data.
 Behav. Brain Funct. 6, 24.
- Schultz, W., Dayan, P., Montague, P.R., 1997. A neural substrate of prediction and reward. Science 275, 1593–1599.
- Sclafani, A., 1987. Carbohydrate taste, appetite, and obesity: an overview. Neurosci.
 Biobehav. Rev. 11, 131–153.
 Shibata, B. Kumuluk M. Kumuluk M.
- Shibata, R., Kameishi, M., Kondoh, T., Torii, K., 2009. Bilateral dopaminergic lesions in the ventral tegmental area of rats influence sucrose intake, but not umami and amino acid intake. Physiol. Behav. 96, 667–674.
 Bibiera, P. Human, C. P. Human, P. Human, C. P. Human, C. P. Human, C. P. Human, P. Hu
- Skibicka, K.P., Hansson, C., Egecioglu, E., Dickson, S.L., 2012a. Role of ghrelin in food reward: impact of ghrelin on sucrose self-administration and mesolimbic dopamine and acetylcholine receptor gene expression. Addict. Biol. 17, 95–107.
- Skibicka, K.P., Shirazi, R.H., Hansson, C., Dickson, S.L., 2012b. Ghrelin interacts with neuropeptide Y Y1 and opioid receptors to increase food reward. Endocrinology 153, 1194–1205.
- Skibicka, K.P., Shirazi, R.H., Rabasa-Papio, C., Alvarez-Crespo, M., Neuber, C., Vogel, H., Dickson, S.L., 2013. Divergent circuitry underlying food reward and intake effects of ghrelin: dopaminergic VTA-accumbens projection mediates ghrelin's effect on food reward but not food intake. Neuropharmacology 73, 274–283.
- Smith, D.G., Robbins, T.W., 2013. The neurobiological underpinnings of obesity and binge eating: a rationale for adopting the food addiction model. Biol. Psychiatry 73, 804–810.
- Smolka, M., Schmidt, L.G., 1999. The influence of heroin dose and route of administration on the severity of the opiate withdrawal syndrome. Addiction 94, 1191–1198.
- Solinas, M., Chauvet, C., Thiriet, N., El Rawas, R., Jaber, M., 2008. Reversal of cocaine addiction by environmental enrichment. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 17145–17150.
- Spence, C., 2012. Auditory contributions to flavour perception and feeding behaviour. Physiol. Behav. 107, 505–515.
 Steinberg, F.F., Verlie, P., Physiol. Steinberg, F.F., Verlie, P., Physiol. Steinberg, F.F., Verlie, P., Physiol. 2014.
- Steinberg, E.E., Keiflin, R., Boivin, J.R., Witten, I.B., Deisseroth, K., Janak, P.H., 2013.
 A causal link between prediction errors, dopamine neurons and learning. Nat.
 Neurosci. 16, 966–973.
- Stephens, D.N., Crombag, H.S., Duka, T., 2013. The challenge of studying parallel behaviors in humans and animal models. Curr. Top Behav. Neurosci. 13, 611–645.
- Stice, E., Figlewicz, D.P., Gosnell, B.A., Levine, A.S., Pratt, W.E., 2013. The contribution of brain reward circuits to the obesity epidemic. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 37, 2047–2058.
- Stuber, G.D., Klanker, M., de Ridder, B., Bowers, M.S., Joosten, R.N., Feenstra, M.G.,
 Bonci, A., 2008. Reward-predictive cues enhance excitatory synaptic strength onto midbrain dopamine neurons. Science 321, 1690–1692.

- Sussman, S., Sussman, A.N., 2011. Considering the definition of addiction. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 8, 4025–4038.
- Tanofsky-Kraff, M., Ranzenhofer, L.M., Yanovski, S.Z., Schvey, N.A., Faith, M., Gustafson, J., Yanovski, J.A., 2008. Psychometric properties of a new questionnaire to assess eating in the absence of hunger in children and adolescents. Appetite 51, 148-155.
- Teegarden, S.L., Bale, T.L., 2007. Decreases in dietary preference produce increased emotionality and risk for dietary relapse. Biol. Psychiatry 61, 1021–1029.
- Teegarden, S.L., Nestler, E.J., Bale, T.L., 2008. Delta FosB-mediated alterations in dopamine signaling are normalized by a palatable high-fat diet. Biol. Psychiatry 64, 941–950.
- Tekol, Y., 2006. Salt addiction: a different kind of drug addiction. Med. Hypotheses 67, 1233–1234.
- Thornley, S., McRobbie, H., 2009. Carbohydrate withdrawal: is recognition the first step to recovery? N. Z. Med. J. 122, 133–134.
- Ueda, H., Ueda, M., 2009. Mechanisms underlying morphine analgesic tolerance and dependence. Front. Biosci. 14, 5260–5272.
- van Zessen, R., van der Plasse, G., Adan, R.A., 2012. Contribution of the mesolimbic dopamine system in mediating the effects of leptin and ghrelin on feeding. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 71, 435–445.
- Vannucci, A., Tanofsky-Kraff, M., Crosby, R.D., Ranzenhofer, L.M., Shomaker, L.B., Field, S.E., Mooreville, M., Reina, S.A., Kozlosky, M., Yanovski, S.Z., Yanovski, J.A., 2013. Latent profile analysis to determine the typology of disinhibited eating behaviors in children and adolescents. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 81, 494– 507.
- Volkow, N.D., O'Brien, C.P., 2007. Issues for DSM-V: should obesity be included as a brain disorder? Am. J. Psychiatry 164, 708–710.
- Volkow, N.D., Wang, G.J., Tomasi, D., Baler, R.D., 2013. Obesity and addiction: neurobiological overlaps. Obes. Rev. 14, 2–18.
- Wang, J.C., Kapoor, M., Goate, A.M., 2012. The genetics of substance dependence. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 13, 241–261.
- Welte, J.W., Barnes, G.M., Tidwell, M.C., Hoffman, J.H., 2008. The prevalence of problem gambling among U.S. adolescents and young adults: results from a national survey. J. Gambl. Stud. 24, 119–133.
- Wesson, D.R., Ling, W., 2003. The Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale (COWS). J. Psychoactive Drugs 35, 253–259.
- White, V.M., Warne, C.D., Spittal, M.J., Durkin, S., Purcell, K., Wakefield, M.A., 2011. What impact have tobacco control policies, cigarette price and tobacco control programme funding had on Australian adolescents' smoking? Findings over a 15-year period. Addiction 106, 1493–1502.
- Whiteford, H.A., Degenhardt, L., Rehm, J., Baxter, A.J., Ferrari, A.J., Erskine, H.E., Charlson, F.J., Norman, R.E., Flaxman, A.D., Johns, N., Burstein, R., Murray, C.J., Vos, T., 2013. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 382, 1575–1586.
- Williams, J.T., Ingram, S.L., Henderson, G., Chavkin, C., von Zastrow, M., Schulz, S., Koch, T., Evans, C.J., Christie, M.J., 2013. Regulation of μ-opioid receptors: desensitization, phosphorylation, internalization, and tolerance. Pharmacol. Rev. 65, 223–254.
- World Health Organization, 2003. Addiction. In: Wilkinson, R.G., Marmot, M.G. (Eds.), Social Determinants of Health: The Solid Facts. World Health Organization, Denmark, pp. 24–25.
- Xue, Y.X., Luo, Y.X., Wu, P., Shi, H.S., Xue, L.F., Chen, C., Zhu, W.L., Ding, Z.B., Bao, Y.P., Shi, J., Epstein, D.H., Shaham, Y., Lu, L., 2012. A memory retrieval-extinction procedure to prevent drug craving and relapse. Science 336, 241–245.
- Zhang, M., Kelley, A.E., 2002. Intake of saccharin, salt, and ethanol solutions is increased by infusion of a mu opioid agonist into the nucleus accumbens. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 159, 415–423.