

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Hepatitis E virus and fulminant hepatitis - a virus or host-specific pathology?

Citation for published version:

Smith, DB & Simmonds, P 2015, 'Hepatitis E virus and fulminant hepatitis - a virus or host-specific pathology?' Liver International, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1334-1340. DOI: 10.1111/liv.12629

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/liv.12629](https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.12629)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](https://www.research.ed.ac.uk/portal/en/publications/hepatitis-e-virus-and-fulminant-hepatitis--a-virus-or-hostspecific-pathology(b5fe0686-cbac-428e-9188-f1664e7d23cb).html)

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Liver International

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2014 The Authors. Liver International Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

VIRAL HEPATITIS

Hepatitis E virus and fulminant hepatitis–a virus or host-specific pathology?

Donald B. Smith and Peter Simmonds

Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution, Ashworth Laboratories, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK

Keywords

fulminant – hepatitis E virus – liver failure – virus variation

Correspondence

Dr. Donald B. Smith, University of Edinburgh, Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution, Ashworth Laboratories, King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK Tel: (+44) 131 650 8661 Fax: (+44) 131 650 6564 e-mail: D.B.Smith@ed.ac.uk

Received 22 October 2013 Accepted 18 June 2014

DOI:10.1111/liv.12629 Liver Int. 2015; 35: 1334–1340

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background $\&$ Aims: Fulminant hepatitis is a rare outcome of infection with hepatitis E virus. Several recent reports suggest that virus variation is an important determinant of disease progression. To critically examine the evidence that virus-specific factors underlie the development of fulminant hepatitis following hepatitis E virus infection. Methods: Published sequence information of hepatitis E virus isolates from patients with and without fulminant hepatitis was collected and analysed using statistical tests to identify associations between virus polymorphisms and disease outcome. Results: Fulminant hepatitis has been reported following infection with all four hepatitis E virus genotypes that infect humans comprising multiple phylogenetic lineages within genotypes 1, 3 and 4. Analysis of virus sequences from individuals infected by a common source did not detect any common substitutions associated with progression to fulminant hepatitis. Re-analysis of previously reported associations between virus substitutions and fulminant hepatitis suggests that these were probably the result of sampling biases. Conclusions: Host-specific factors rather than virus genotype, variants or specific substitutions appear to be responsible for the development of fulminant hepatitis.

The aetiology of hepatitis E virus infection (HEV) is complex. Many individuals exposed to HEV infection remain asymptomatic while others go on to develop an acute hepatitis of varying severity that usually resolves within 2–3 months [reviewed in (1)]. Chronic infection lasting a year or more has been observed rarely, and then only in immunocompromised individuals. Another potential outcome of HEV infection is fulminant hepatitis (FH), an uncommon rapidly deteriorating state with a poor prognosis involving pathologies such as hepatic encephalopathy, necrosis of hepatic parenchyma, coagulopathy, renal failure or coma.

Several previous studies have suggested that the pathogenicity of HEV infection might be genotype or strain dependent. For example, a bias towards genotype 4 has been noted in Japanese patients with FH or severe disease (2–4), while a recent study reports an association between infection with genotype 4 virus in France and higher levels of ALT and the presence of jaundice (5). There are also reports that disease severity (including FH) is associated with a particular strain of HEV genotype 3 (6), and that FH is associated with particular strains of genotype 1 (7) or genotype 4 virus (8). Further, several recent publications have suggested that there may be a link between particular substitutions in the HEV genome and the development of FH. For example, progression to FH has been associated with 142 synonymous and 8 nonsynonymous substitutions of genotype 1 virus (9), with the presence of two or three synonymous substitutions of genotype 4 virus (10, 11), or with 12 unique amino acid substitutions in a genotype 4 virus from a FH patient (12).

However, an unacknowledged problem with some of these studies is the common geographical origin of the variants studied so the reported associations between particular virus substitutions and FH might simply occur because of epidemiological relationships amongst the viruses rather than because such substitutions were involved in the development of FH. This article re-examines published evidence for an association between FH and particular HEV genotypes, lineages or particular nucleotide substitutions.

Materials and methods

Complete HEV genome sequences downloaded from Genbank were as follows: Genotype 1: JF443726, JF443725, JF443724, JF443723, JF443722, FJ457024, X98292, M73218, JF443721, JF443720, JF443719, JF443718, JF443717, AF459438, AF076239, X99441, AF051830, DQ459342, M80581, D10330, AF185822, L08816, D11092, D11093, L25595, AY204877, AY230202, AB720034, AB720035, JQ655734, AF444002, AF444003, L25547, M94177, Genotype 3: AB291955, Genotype 4 human isolates: AB291967, AB291959, AB193176, AB220971, AB220972, AB220973, AB091395, JQ740781, AB291966, AB291965, AB291968, AB220974, AB291964, AB220975, AB220976, AB220977, AB220978, AB220979, AJ272108, AB108537, AB097812, FJ763142, KC492825, AB698654, JQ655735, JQ655733, HQ634346,
HM439284, AB369690, AB369688, AB197674, AB369690, AB197673, AB193178, AB193177, AB099347, AB074917, AB074915, AB080575.

Sequences were aligned and annotated using SSE v1.1 (13) and phylogenetic analysis was performed using Mega 6 (14). Nucleotide positions were numbered relative to AB220978. The significance of associations between substitutions at each genome position and FH status was measured using Fisher's exact test using a significance level of $P \le 0.01$ in a two-tailed test as implemented in an R script available upon request from the authors. The same data sets were analysed using meta-CATS (www.viprbrc.org) that uses both the chi-squared test of independence and Pearson's chi-squared test (15). The genotype 1 data set differed from that of (9) in that three identical or near-identical sequences (L25547, M94177 and AF444002) were removed, and three recently reported genotype 1 non-FH sequences (AB720034, AB720035 and JQ655734) were added.

Results

Is FH genotype-specific?

There are reports of patients developing FH after infection with genotype 1 $(7, 9, 16, 17)$, genotype 3 (6) and genotype 4 (8, 10, 12, 18–22). A large outbreak of HEV genotype 2 in Namibia was associated with FH in 3/600 (0.5%) of individuals (23); although nucleotide sequences were not reported from the fulminant cases it seems likely that genotype 2 virus was involved. Hence, all four of the currently identified HEV genotypes known to infect humans can result in FH.

Is FH strain-specific?

We next investigated the possibility that FH results from the infection with particular strains of HEV. Phylogenetic analysis of the complete genome sequences of HEV derived from FH patients (Fig. 1) reveals the presence of three lineages (groups of sequences supported by >70% of bootstrap replication) for both genotypes 1 and 4. Analysis of partial genome sequences of HEV from an additional 10 genotype 1 FH patients (EF015410, EF175962-4, EF206325&6 (7) and FJ230847-50), identified another genotype 1 lineage (Figure S1A, B), while analysis including the genotype 4 FH sequences (AB108659&60 (20), AB505793 (8), AB079762 (24) and AB114178) revealed a fourth genotype 4 lineage (Figure S1C–E). The ORF2 sequences of HEV genotype 3 FH isolates AB079763 (11), EF061404 and AB291955 (6) fell into three lineages (Figure S1F). Hence, these 31 FH-derived HEV variants comprise at least 11 lineages within genotypes 1, 3 and 4.

Is FH transmissible?

Three published studies describe exposure from a common source (all HEV genotype 4) following which at least one individual developed FH. Of two men who consumed uncooked boar liver, one developed FH while the other individual had acute hepatitis (19). Similarly, of 13 individuals who had eaten grilled pig liver and intestines together, one developed FH and five seroconverted but were asymptomatic (21). One of these individuals transmitted HEV by blood transfusion to an individual who cleared the infection following interferon treatment. Finally, of 40 individuals who had eaten barbecued pig meat and entrails together, one developed FH, one had acute severe hepatitis, one had self-limited hepatitis, one seroconverted but had a subclinical infection, 10 were seronegative and the remainder were unavailable for follow up (22). These observations imply either that exposure to a particular strain of HEV is not sufficient to produce FH or that the penetrance of the trait is low. From the cases described above the penetrance would be 50%, 17% and 25%.

Is FH associated with specific virus substitutions?

We tested possibility that particular substitutions are responsible for the development of FH by tabulating sequence differences in two of the common source outbreaks for which complete genome sequences were available (Table 1). None of the 17 sites at which viruses derived from the same source differed, were also variable in the other transmission set, and none were overrepresented amongst other FH isolates. Similarly, although a genotype 4 virus isolated from a FH patient had 12 unique amino acid substitutions (12), none of these substitutions were present in other genotype 4 FH sequences.

Previous studies have used statistical methods to identify substitutions that are associated with isolates derived from FH patients infected with HEV genotype 4 (10, 11) or genotype 1 (9). However, interpretation of these results is complicated by the restricted geographical and temporal origin of the FH isolates; five of the seven genotype 1 FH isolates were from the single

Fig. 1. Phylogenetic analysis of complete HEV sequences from FH and non-FH patients. Distances between HEV genotype 1 (A) and genotype 4 (B) complete genome sequences are presented on a neighbor-joining tree (FH patients are indicated by symbols, ■ for FH isolates from Pune or Hokkaido, and ● for other FH isolates, □ for the genotype 3 outgroup). Branches supported by >70% of bootstrap replications $(n = 500)$ are indicated.

Indian city of Pune and were sampled in consecutive years (9) while five of eight FH-derived genotype 4 complete genome sequences were obtained on the Japanese island of Hokkaido from 2002 to 2006 (10, 11). Our reanalysis of the genotype 1 data set using Fisher's exact test identified 110 sites significantly $(P < 0.01)$ associated with FH, but 136 sites if only the five FH isolates from Pune were included, or four sites if only one of the Pune FH isolates was used. These four sites (positions 248, 946, 967 and 6312) were all synonymous, although position 6312 lies within a proposed secondary structure that is essential for virus replication (25). When we randomly assigned FH status to five of six non-FH isolates D11093, D11092, L08816, M80581, JQ655734 and L25595 that formed a well-defined lineage, >230 sites were detected. A three nucleotide deletion in ORF2/3 at position 5344 found in three FH isolates (9) was also present in two non-FH isolates, giving a non-significant association using Fisher's exact test (3/7 compared to $2/23$, $P = 0.06$). Together, these observations suggest that the previous reports of sites significantly associated with FH amongst genotype 1 viruses may be actually reflect the common geographical and temporal origin of 5/7 FH isolates.

synonymous; 2/3 – overlap between ORF2 and ORF3 where substitutions are synonymous in one frame and non-synonymous in the other. Sequences derived from a common source of infection are

enclosed between bold lines. The number of isolates with each nucleotide present amongst other non-FH genotype 4 sequences (n = 27) is indicated.

Liver International (2015) © 2014 The Authors. Liver International Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 1337

Similar re-analysis of genotype 4 complete genome sequences from FH $(n = 8)$ and non-FH $(n = 30)$ patients revealed only two sites significantly associated with FH (positions 1963 and 4795, both synonymous). These FH-associated substitutions were also present in >41% of the non-FH sequences and differed from the two sites reported from analysis of 22 isolates (10), or the eight sites reported from analysis of 28 isolates (11). Position 1963 differed between one FH and two non-FH isolates derived from a common source of infection (Table 1). Removing two or three of the most closely related Hokkaido sequences left no sites significantly associated with FH. The frequency of the double (synonymous) substitution U3148 and C5907 in FH isolates reached significance at the 5% level in Fisher's exact test (5/8 vs. 6/24, $P = 0.031$); a previous study reported a much lower P value of 0.0042 (11).

Repeating all these analyses using a different test for association, the Metadata-comparison analysis tool (meta-CATS, www.viprbrc.org) (15) that uses the chisquared test of independence and Pearson's chi-squared test revealed fewer sites significantly associated with FH for both the full genotype 1 data set (45 significant sites compared to 110), and the full genotype 4 data set (0 significant sites compared to 2).

Discussion

In contrast to several previous studies $(6, 7, 9-12)$, we have been unable to identify specific HEV strains or genomic substitutions that are associated with FH, although the presence of synonymous substitutions at positions 3148 and 5907 (11) was significantly associated with FH at a reduced level of significance (5%). Progression to FH is not a genotype-specific property of HEV as all four of the genotypes currently known to infect humans have been associated with FH. In addition, FH is not a strain-specific property of particular lineages within each HEV genotype (Fig. 1). Not all individuals infected from a common source develop FH and neither do particular substitutions appear to be associated with FH as no common mutations were observed in individuals with and without FH infected from a common source. Our re-analysis of the association between FH and substitutions at particular positions in the genome of genotype 1 and genotype 4 viruses suggests that previously reported significant associations may have been influenced by the restricted geographical and temporal sampling of FH isolates.

The identification of virus lineages or substitutions associated with the development of FH would be more difficult if this trait had incomplete penetrance. However, in this case, many more isolates from FH patients would be required. For example, a recent survey of virus determinants of FH following infection with hepatitis B virus that included 50 cases of FH with age- and sex-matched controls was able to demonstrate an association with the G1896A pre-core mutation (26), a substitution found in

several other common source outbreaks in which there was a high frequency of FH (27). A different study identified two different substitutions (T1961 not T and C1962 not C) as significantly associated with FH (28). On the other hand, a study of 10 FH cases following acute infection with hepatitis A virus did not detect any difference in virus genotype between patient groups (29).

Although FH can develop following infection with any of the HEV genotypes known to infect humans, evidence that FH might be associated with particular genotypes comes from a survey of HEV in Japan over the last decade (30). Of 199 HEV patients, seven developed FH, these comprising 8.1% of those infected with genotype 4 but only 0.8% of those infected with genotype 3. However, the northerly island of Hokkaido which has <5% of Japan's population contributed 70% of the genotype 4 infections, and almost 70% of HEV infections there could be attributed to the consumption of uncooked pig liver, while in other regions most infections had no known source. Infection with HEV genotype 4 has also been associated with more severe disease (compared to genotype 3) amongst patients from France (5). In both cases, it is possible that the reported association between FH and HEV genotype 4 could reflect underlying epidemiological factors rather than a difference in virus pathogenicity. Experiments in animal models are currently limited to genotypes 1, 2 and 3 (31).

Alternatively, the development of FH consequent to HEV infection could be because of patient-specific factors. A precedent for this comes from previous studies showing a correlation between the severity of HEV infection (including FH) and pregnancy (32, 33), preexisting liver disease (2, 34–37) and either a low (38) or high viral load (39). More detailed study of HEV infected FH patients from around the world should provide a definitive answer to the role of virus variation in this aspect of pathogenesis.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Professor Jihong Meng for providing additional information in relation to AY230202, to Dr Philippe Colson for confirming that EF061404 was sampled from a patient with fulminant HEV, and to Dr Al Ivens for induction into the mysteries of R. This work was supported by a grant from The Wellcome Trust to the Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution at Edinburgh University.

Conflict of interest: The authors do not have any disclosures to report.

References

- 1. Kamar N, Bendall R, Legrand-Abravanel F, et al. Hepatitis E. Lancet 2012; 379: 2477–88.
- 2. Mizuo H, Yazaki Y, Sugawara K, et al. Possible risk factors for the transmission of hepatitis E virus and for the severe

form of hepatitis E acquired locally in Hokkaido, Japan. J Med Virol 2005; 76: 341-9.

- Med Virol 2005; 76: 341–9. 3. Abe T, Aikawa T, Akahane Y, et al. Demographic, epidemiological, and virological characteristics of hepatitis E virus infections in Japan based on 254 human cases collected nationwide. Kanzo 2006; 47: 384–91.
- 4. Ohnishi S, Kang J-H, Maekubo H, et al. Comparison of clinical features of acute hepatitis caused by hepatitis E virus (HEV) genotypes 3 and 4 in Sapporo, Japan. Hepatol Res 2006; 36: 301–7.
- 5. Jeblaoui A, Haim-Boukobza S, Marchadier E, Mokhtari C, Roque-Afonso A-M. Genotype 4 hepatitis e virus in france: an autochthonous infection with a more severe presentation. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 57: e122–6.
- 6. Takahashi K, Okamoto H, Abe N, et al. Virulent strain of hepatitis E virus genotype 3, Japan. Emerg Infect Dis 2009; 15: 704–9.
- 7. Pujhari SK, Kumar S, Ratho RK, Chawla YK, Chakraborti A. Phylogenetic analysis and subtyping of acute and fulminant strains of hepatitis E virus isolates of North India with reference to disease severity. Arch Virol 2010; 155: 1483–6.
- 8. Sugawara N, Yawata A, Takahashi K, Abe N, Arai M. The third case of fulminant hepatitis associated with 'Kitami/ Abashiri strain' of hepatitis E virus genotype 4. Kanzo 2009; 50: 473–4.
- 9. Mishra N, Walimbe AM, Arankalle VA, Hepatitis E. Virus from India exhibits significant amino acid mutations in fulminant hepatic failure patients. Virus Genes 2013; 46: 47–53.
- 10. Inoue J, Nishizawa T, Takahashi M, et al. Analysis of the full-length genome of genotype 4 hepatitis E virus isolates from patients with fulminant or acute self-limited hepatitis E. J Med Virol 2006; 78: 476–84.
- 11. Inoue J, Takahashi M, Mizuo H, et al. Nucleotide substitutions of hepatitis E virus genomes associated with fulminant hepatitis and disease severity. Tohoku J Exp Med 2009; ²¹⁸: 279–84.
- 12. Bu Q, Wang X, Wang L, et al. Hepatitis E virus genotype 4 isolated from a patient with liver failure: full-length sequence analysis showing potential determinants of virus pathogenesis. Arch Virol 2013; 158: 165-72.
- 13. Simmonds P. SSE: a nucleotide and amino acid sequence analysis platform. BMC Res Notes 2012; 5: 50.
- 14. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013; **30**: 2725–9.
- 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 2013; 30: 2725–9. 15. Pickett BE, Liu M, Sadat EL, et al. Metadata-driven comparative analysis tool for sequences (meta-CATS): an automated process for identifying significant sequence variations that correlate with virus attributes. Virology 2013; 447: 45–51.
- 16. Donati MC, Fagan EA, Harrison TJ. Sequence analysis of full length HEV clones derived directly from human liver in fulminant hepatitis E. In: Rizzetto M, Purcell RH, Gerin JL, Verme G eds. IX Triennial International Symposium on Viral Hepatitis and Liver Disease. Turin: Edizioni Minerva Medica.
- 17. Varma SPK, Kumar A, Kapur N, et al. Hepatitis E virus replication involves alternating negative- and positivesense RNA synthesis. J Gen Virol 2011; 92: 572-81.
- 18. Takahashi K, Kang J-H, Ohnishi S, et al. Full-length sequences of six hepatitis E virus isolates of genotypes III

and IV from patients with sporadic acute or fulminant hepatitis in Japan. Intervirology 2003; 46: 308-18.

- 19. Matsuda H, Okada K, Takahashi K, Mishiro S. Severe hepatitis E virus infection after ingestion of uncooked liver from a wild boar. J Infect Dis 2003; 188: 944.
- 20. Yazaki Y, Mizuo H, Takahashi M, et al. Sporadic acute or fulminant hepatitis E in Hokkaido, Japan, may be food-borne, as suggested by the presence of hepatitis E virus in pig liver as food. J Gen Virol 2003; 84: 2351– 7.
- 21. Matsubayashi K, Kang J-H, Sakata H, et al. A case of transfusion-transmitted hepatitis E caused by blood from a donor infected with hepatitis E virus via zoonotic foodborne route. Transfusion 2008; 48: 1368–75.
- 22. Miyashita K, Kang J-H, Saga A, et al. Three cases of acute or fulminant hepatitis E caused by ingestion of pork meat and entrails in Hokkaido, Japan: zoonotic food-borne transmission of hepatitis E virus and public health concerns. Hepatol Res 2012; 42: 870–8.
- 23. Maila HT, Bowyer SM, Swanepoel R. Identification of a new strain of hepatitis E virus from an outbreak in Namibia in 1995. J Gen Virol 2004; 85: 89–95.
- 24. Suzuki K, Aiawa R, Okamoto H. Fulminant hepatitis E in Japan — NEJM. N Engl J Med 2002; 347: 1456.
- 25. Emerson SU, Nguyen HT, Torian U, Mather K, Firth AE. An essential RNA element resides in a central region of hepatitis E virus ORF2. J Gen Virol 2013; 94: 1468– 76.
- 26. Kusakabe A, Tanaka Y, Mochida S, et al. Case-control study for the identification of virological factors associated with fulminant hepatitis B. Hepatol Res 2009; 39: 648–56.
- 27. Tong S, Li J, Wands JR, Wen Y. Hepatitis B virus genetic variants: biological properties and clinical implications. Emerg Microbes Infect 2013; 2: e10.
- 28. Inoue J, Ueno Y, Kawamura K, et al. Association between S21 substitution in the core protein of hepatitis B virus and fulminant hepatitis. J Clin Virol 2012; 55: 147–52.
- 29. Hussain Z, Husain SA, Almajhdi FN, Kar P. Immunological and molecular epidemiological characteristics of acute and fulminant viral hepatitis A. Virol J 2011; 8: 254.
- 30. Takahashi M, Okamoto H. Features of hepatitis E virus infection in humans and animals in Japan. Hepatol Res 2014; 44: 43–58.
- 31. Purcell RH, Engle RE, Govindarajan S, et al. Pathobiology of hepatitis E: lessons learned from primate models. Emerg Microbes Infect 2013; 2: e9.
- 32. Jilani N, Das BC, Husain SA, et al. Hepatitis E virus infection and fulminant hepatic failure during pregnancy. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007; 22: 676–82.
- 33. Patra S. Maternal and fetal outcomes in pregnant women with acute hepatitis E virus infection. Ann Intern Med 2007; 147: 28.
- 34. Hamid SS, Atiq M, Shehzad F, et al. Hepatitis E virus superinfection in patients with chronic liver disease. Hepatology 2002; 36: 474–8.
- 35. Ramachandran J, Eapen CE, Kang G, et al. Hepatitis E superinfection produces severe decompensation in patients with chronic liver disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004; 19: 134–8.
- 36. Acharya SK, Sharma PK, Singh R, et al. Hepatitis E virus (HEV) infection in patients with cirrhosis is associated with rapid decompensation and death. *J Hepatol* 2007; 46: 387–94.
- 37. Péron JM, Bureau C, Poirson H, et al. Fulminant liver failure from acute autochthonous hepatitis E in France: description of seven patients with acute hepatitis E and encephalopathy. J Viral Hepat 2007; 14: 298-303.
- 38. Saravanabalaji S, Tripathy AS, Dhoot RR, et al. Viral load, antibody titers and recombinant open reading frame 2 protein-induced TH1/TH2 cytokines and cellular immune responses in self-limiting and fulminant hepatitis E. Intervirology 2009; 52: 78–85.
- 39. Bose PD, Das BC, Kumar A, et al. High viral load and deregulation of the progesterone receptor signaling pathway: association with Hepatitis E-related poor pregnancy outcome. J Hepatol 2011; 54: 1107–13.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Phylogenetic analysis of subgenomic HEV regions from FH and non-FH patients. Maximum composite likelihood distances between sequences are presented as neighbour joining trees for (A) genotype 1 (positions 4295–4601), (B) genotype 1 (4460–4786), (C) genotype 4 (123–448), (D) genotype 4 (4001–4821), (E) genotype 4 (5979–6390) and (F) genotype 3 (6034– 6335). Sequences isolated from FH patients are indicated by \bullet and the genotype 2 outgroup (M74506) by \Box . The percentage of bootstrap replicates supporting individual branches is indicated.