

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Intratubular germ cell neoplasia of the human testis

Citation for published version:

Mitchell, RT, E Camacho-Moll, M, Macdonald, J, Anderson, R, Kelnar, CJH, O'Donnell, M, Sharpe, RM, Smith, LB, Grigor, KM, Wallace, WHB, Stoop, H, Wolffenbuttel, KP, Donat, R, Saunders, PT & Looijenga, LH 2014, 'Intratubular germ cell neoplasia of the human testis: heterogeneous protein expression and relation to invasive potential' Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc. DOI: 10.1038/modpathol.2013.246

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/modpathol.2013.246

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. The publisher version is available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2013.246

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	
1	

Intratubular germ cell neoplasia of the human testis: heterogeneous protein

2

expression and relation to invasive potential

- 3
- 4 Mitchell RT^{1,3}, Camacho-Moll M¹, Macdonald J¹, Anderson RA¹, Kelnar CJH³, O'Donnell M², Sharpe RM¹,
- 5 Smith LB¹, Grigor KM², Wallace WHB³, Stoop H⁴, Wolffenbuttel KP⁴, Donat R⁵, *Saunders PTK¹ and

6 *Looijenga LHJ^4 .

- 7
- 8 ¹ MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, The University of Edinburgh, Queen's Medical Research Institute, 47 Little
- 9 France Crescent, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, Scotland, UK
- ¹⁰ ² Department of Pathology, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, Scotland, UK
- ¹¹ ³ Edinburgh Royal Hospital for Sick Children, 9 Sciennes Road, Edinburgh, EH9 1LF, Scotland, UK
- ⁴ Department of Pathology (LHJL, HS) and Pediatric Urology (KPW), Erasmus MC-University Medical Center
- 13 Rotterdam, Josephine Nefkens Institute, Room 430b, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁵Department of Urology, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, Scotland, UK
- 15 * These authors contributed equally to this study.
- 16

- 18 **Running Title:** Proliferation in intratubular germ cell neoplasia
- 19

20 Correspondence and reprint requests

- 21 Rod Mitchell, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, The University of Edinburgh, The Queen's Medical
- 22 Research Institute, 47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4TJ, Scotland, UK. FAX: 44 131 242 6197.
- 23 e-mail: rod.mitchell@ed.ac.uk
- 24

Please cite this article as: Mitchell, RT, E Camacho-Moll, M, Macdonald, J, Anderson, RA, Kelnar, CJH, E Camacho-Moll, M, Sharpe, RM, Smith, LB, Grigor, KM, Wallace, WHB, Stoop, H, Wolffenbuttel, KP, Donat, R, Saunders, PT & Looijenga, LH, 'Intratubular germ cell neoplasia of the human testis: heterogeneous protein expression and relation to invasive potential', *Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2013.246

25 Abstract: Testicular germ cell cancer develops from pre-malignant intratubular germ cell neoplasia, 26 unclassified cells that are believed to arise from failure of normal maturation of fetal germ cells from 27 gonocytes (OCT4⁺/ MAGEA4⁻) into pre-spermatogonia (OCT4⁻/MAGEA4⁺). Intratubular germ cell neoplasia 28 cell subpopulations based on stage of germ cell differentiation have been described, however the importance 29 of these subpopulations in terms of invasive potential has not been reported. We hypothesised that cells 30 expressing an immature (OCT4⁺/ MAGEA4⁻) germ cell profile would exhibit an increased proliferation rate 31 compared to those with a mature profile ($OCT4^+/MAGEA4^+$). Therefore, we performed triple 32 immunofluorescence and stereology to quantify the different intratubular germ cell neoplasia cell 33 subpopulations, based on expression of germ cell (OCT4, PLAP, AP27, MAGEA4, VASA) and proliferation 34 (Ki67) markers, in testis sections from patients with pre-invasive disease, seminoma and non-seminoma. We 35 compared these subpopulations with normal human fetal testis and with seminoma cells. Heterogeneity of 36 protein expression was demonstrated in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells with respect to gonocyte and 37 spermatogonial markers. It included an embryonic/fetal germ cell subpopulation lacking expression of the 38 definitive intratubular germ cell neoplasia marker OCT4, that did not correspond to a physiological (fetal) 39 germ cell subpopulation. OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ cells showed a significantly increased rate of proliferation 40 compared with the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ population (12.8 v 3.4%, p<0.0001) irrespective of histological tumour 41 type, reflected in the predominance of OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ cells in the invasive tumour component. 42 Surprisingly, OCT4⁺/MAGEA4- cells in patients with pre-invasive disease showed significantly higher 43 proliferation compared to those with seminoma or non-seminoma (18.1 v 10.2 v 7.2%, p<0.05 respectively). 44 In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ cells are the most frequent and most 45 proliferative cell population in tubules containing intratubular germ cell neoplasia, which appears to be an 46 important factor in determining invasive potential of intratubular germ cell neoplasia to seminomas.

47

48 Keywords and Topic Category:

49 Testicular germ cell tumours, Cell differentiation, Cell proliferation, Germ cells, Carcinoma in situ

- 50
- 51

52 Introduction

53 Testicular germ cell cancer is the most common malignancy in young men and the incidence of these tumours

54 is increasing worldwide [1,2]. The tumours are classified as seminoma or non-seminoma with a distinct cell of

55 origin and pathogenesis compared with spermatocytic seminoma of late adulthood [1]. These tumours result

from transformation, usually in young adulthood, of pre-invasive intratubular germ cell neoplasia (also known as carcinoma *in situ*) cells that arise during fetal life [3,4]. Intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells are believed to be germ cells that have failed to undergo normal maturation during fetal or early postnatal life.

59

60 In humans, during fetal life, primordial germ cells migrate into the developing gonad at around 5 weeks of 61 gestation and become gonocytes [5]. These cells express proteins associated with pluripotency (e.g. OCT4 and 62 NANOG) [6,7] and a number of other embryonic markers (e.g. AP2y and PLAP) [8,9]. During the remainder 63 of fetal life and into the early postnatal period these cells begin to express germ cell specific proteins (e.g. 64 VASA and MAGEA4) during their transition from gonocytes into spermatogonia and this is associated with a 65 loss of the gonocyte protein markers [7]. This transition occurs in an asynchronous manner such that cells at 66 different stages of development may be present in an individual seminiferous cord during this period and some 67 of these cells may co-express both gonocyte and a spermatogonial markers [10].

68

69 Intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells express many of the same proteins as gonocytes (e.g. OCT4, PLAP, 70 AP2 γ) and these are often used in conjunction with histological evaluation to diagnose the condition in 71 testicular biopsies [11]. It is also recognised that intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells may express proteins 72 indicative of spermatogonia (e.g. MAGEA4, VASA, TSPY) [3,12,13]. The clinical significance of the 73 differing protein expression profiles amongst intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells is not known.

74

Proliferation of pre-invasive cells is important for the development of an invasive tumour and proliferation has been shown to occur in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells prior to the development of an invasive tumour [12]. However, proliferation in the different sub-populations of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells, based on germ cell differentiation profile, has not previously been investigated.

79

80 The aim of this study was to characterise the heterogeneous protein expression profiles of intratubular germ

81 cell neoplasia cells using co-localisation of multiple proteins simultaneously and to compare this to the

expression profiles of normal germ cells in the human fetal testis. In addition we aimed to quantify the different intratubular germ cell neoplasia sub-populations associated with different testicular germ cell cancer histological types and to investigate whether the protein expression profile of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells is related to proliferation of these cells and hence to their invasive potential.

86

87 Materials and Methods

88 Tissue collection

89 Human intratubular germ cell neoplasia/testicular germ cell cancer tissue: Ethical approval was obtained for 90 the use of archived human testicular tissue from the Pathology Departments at the Western General Hospital in 91 Edinburgh (REC Reference number - 10/S1402/33) and Erasmus MC-University Medical Center, Rotterdam 92 (Institutional review board - MEC 02.981 and CCR2041). Samples were randomly selected from the testicular 93 germ cell tumour database and analysed by light microscopy for the presence of intratubular germ cell 94 neoplasia cells. The diagnosis included pre-invasive disease (childhood, n=4; adulthood, n=7), seminoma 95 (n=9) and non-seminoma (n=8). Patient details are described in Table 1. The specimens had been fixed in 96 formalin for 24 hours.

97

98 Human Fetal Testes: Human fetal testes were obtained following termination of pregnancy during 2nd 99 trimester (14-19 weeks, n=5). Women gave consent in accordance with national guidelines, and ethical 100 approval was obtained from the Local Research Ethics Committee (Reference number – LREC08/S1101/1). 101 No terminations were due to fetal abnormalities. Gestational age was determined initially by ultrasound, 102 followed by measurement of foot length. Testes were fixed for 2h in Bouins, transferred into 70% ethanol and 103 then embedded in paraffin. Sections of 5 m thickness were prepared.

104

105 Immunohistochemistry

106 Details of antibodies, dilutions and requirement for antigen retrieval are shown in Table 2. Sections were 107 dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated in graded alcohols and washed in tap water. Antigen retrieval involved pressure 108 cooking in 0.01M citrate (pH 6.0) buffer as described previously [14]. Sections were treated with 3% (v/v) 109 H₂O₂ in methanol for 30 min and washed in water, followed by Tris-buffered saline (TBS, 0.05M Tris and 110 0.85% NaCl, pH 7.6) for a further 5 min. Endogenous biotin was blocked using an avidin/biotin blocking kit 111 (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK), according to the manufacturers instructions. Sections were incubated in appropriate normal serum (diluted 1:5 with TBS containing 5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK) for 30 min. Sections were incubated overnight with primary antibody diluted in serum at 4°C in a humidified chamber. Sections were washed in TBS (2x5min) and incubated for 30 min with the appropriate biotinylated secondary antibody (swine anti-rabbit, rabbit anti-mouse; both Dako, Ely, UK or rabbit anti-goat; Vector Laboratories), diluted in normal serum. This was followed by two further 5 min washes in TBS and incubation for 30 min with Streptavidin-HRP at 1:1000 (Dako), diluted in TBS.

118

119 Visualisation was performed using 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) (Dako) and sections were 120 counterstained with haematoxylin, dehydrated in graded alcohols, immersed in xylene and mounted in Pertex 121 medium (CellPath, Hemel Hempstead, UK). For each experiment a negative control (primary antibody 122 replaced with the appropriate normal serum) was included. Images were captured using an Olympus Provis 123 microscope (Olympus, London, UK) and Canon DS126131 camera with Canon EOS image capture software 124 (Canon, Woodhatch, Surrey, UK).

125

126 Immunofluorescence

Sections were initially treated as described for single staining as far as the primary antibody stage, with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS; Sigma) washes between each step. Antigen retrieval was required for all experiments. Details of antibodies, serum and visualisation method are listed in Table 2.

130

131 Following overnight incubation with primary antibody in serum, sections were incubated with secondary 132 antibody for 30 min, followed by fluorescently labelled Tyramide (1:50; Perkin Elmer, Cambridge, UK) in 133 dilution buffer. For this and subsequent steps, the sections were kept in darkness. Sections were then 134 microwaved in 0.01M citrate (pH 6.0) for 2.5 min and left to cool for 30 min, before being washed in water 135 and PBS for 5 min. Sections were incubated for 30 min in serum. They were incubated with secondary 136 antibody for 30 min, followed by the labelled Tyramide (1:50) using a different fluorescent label. After the 137 second visualisation sections were microwaved again as described above and incubated with the third 138 secondary antibody for 30 min, followed by the third labelled Tyramide (1:50). DAPI (Sigma) was applied to 139 the sections at 1:1000 in PBS for 10 min and the slides were mounted using Permafluor (Immunotech, 140 Marseille, France). Images were captured using an LSM 510 Confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Hertfordshire,

141 UK).

PDF compression, OCR, web optimization using a watermarked evaluation copy of CVISION PDFCompresso

Rod Mitchell 7

142

143 Quantification of germ cell differentiation and proliferation

Quantification of germ cell subpopulations and proliferation indices were performed for the triple-stained sections as previously described [10]. For each sample, a minimum of 10 randomly selected fields with tubules containing intratubular germ cell neoplasia were counted and included an average of 1000 cells per section. Images were obtained using an Axiovert 200M microscope with attached Axiocam HRc camera and Axiovision 4.6 software (all Carl Zeiss). All germ cells within each section were manually counted and quantified according to their protein expression profile and proliferation status by marking cells in layered images using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA).

151

152 Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism 5 software (La Jolla, CA, USA). Groups were
compared using Students t-test. Multiple groups were analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).
Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

156

157 <u>Results</u>

158 In order to characterise the heterogeneity of expression of germ cell proteins in putative intratubular germ cell 159 neoplasia cells we first compared the expression of a range of germ cell-specific proteins in testicular tissue 160 from patients with testicular germ cell cancer (including tubules containing intratubular germ cell neoplasia 161 cells and those with apparently normal spermatogenesis) with that of the normal human fetal testis.

162

163 Expression of gonocyte markers in human fetal testis, intratubular germ cell neoplasia and
 164 spermatogonia

OCT4, AP2γ and PLAP were expressed in germ cells (gonocytes) in the human fetal testis. In sections from
 patients with testicular germ cell cancer these proteins were also expressed in intratubular germ cell neoplasia
 cells; however none of the proteins were expressed in spermatogonia in tubules that contained active
 spermatogenesis (Fig. 1A-I).

169

170 Expression of spermatogonial markers in human fetal testis, intratubular germ cell neoplasia and

171 spermatogonia

MAGEA4 and VASA were expressed in germ cells (pre-spermatogonia) in the human fetal testis. These proteins were also expressed in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. There was also expression of these proteins in germ cells (MAGEA4 in spermatogonia and early spermatocytes, VASA in all germ cells) of tubules that contained active spermatogenesis in patients with testicular germ cell cancer (Fig 1J-O).

176

177 Co-expression of gonocyte and spermatogonial markers in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells and

178 normal testis

179 For the identification of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells and to attempt to distinguish these cells from 180 normal germ cells, AP2y and VASA co-expression was investigated (Fig 2). In tubules with normal-appearing 181 spermatogenesis there was expression of VASA in the cytoplasm of germ cells, but no expression of AP2 γ 182 (Fig 2A). In tubules containing a mixture of germ cells characteristic of either intratubular germ cell neoplasia 183 or normal spermatogonia the putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (located on the basement 184 membrane) were identified as AP2 γ^+ /VASA⁻, whilst a small proportion of these cells were AP2 γ^+ /VASA⁺ (Fig. 185 2B). AP 2γ /VASA⁺cells, located nearer the lumen were also identified in intratubular germ cell neoplasia 186 tubules. These putative spermatocytes were also identified in tubules in which the majority of the cells were 187 intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (AP2 $\gamma^+/VASA^-$; Fig 2C). Similar populations of germ cells were also 188 identified within the human fetal testis, based on co-staining for OCT4 and VASA (Supp. Fig. 1; [7]).

189

Heterogeneity of expression of 'classical' intratubular germ cell neoplasia markers in patients with testicular germ cell cancer

192 In order to demonstrate the heterogeneity of expression of gonocyte proteins in intratubular germ cell 193 neoplasia, co-localisation of OCT4, AP2y and PLAP was undertaken. OCT4 and AP2y were always co-194 expressed and were localised to the nuclei of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (Supp. Fig. 2). A similar 195 pattern of co-expression was demonstrated for OCT4 and PLAP, with co-expression of OCT4 (nuclear) and 196 PLAP (cytoplasm +/- nuclear) in the majority of cells (OCT4⁺/PLAP⁺) within these tubules, however there 197 were also germ cells that were OCT4⁺/PLAP⁻ (Fig. 3A). These two populations were also identified in the 198 human fetal testis (Fig. 3B). However within the intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules we also 199 identified a rare population of cells that were OCT4⁻/PLAP⁺ (Fig. 3C), whilst this population of germ cells was 200 not identified in any of the human fetal testis sections (Fig. 3B).

Rod Mitchell 9



202 The expression of spermatogonial markers in putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells 203 To further characterise the germ cells within intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules that either did 204 or did not express OCT4, triple immunofluorescence staining was undertaken for MAGEA4/VASA, OCT4 205 and PLAP (Fig. 4). In tubules containing intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells, the majority of germ cells 206 expressed nuclear OCT4 and most of these were co-stained with PLAP (cytoplasmic +/- nuclear). A small 207 proportion of presumptive spermatocytes expressed VASA only (Fig. 4A). However there were infrequent 208 germ cells which expressed VASA and PLAP, but which did not express OCT4 (Fig. 4A), suggesting that a 209 proportion of the VASA expressing cells (VASA⁺/OCT4⁻) are not 'normal' spermatogonia/spermatocytes and 210 may represent gonocytes that have downregulated OCT4 and begun to express VASA but retain PLAP 211 expression (VASA⁺/OCT4⁻/PLAP⁺). Similar sub-populations were found when the co-expression of MAGEA4 212 with OCT4 and PLAP was undertaken (Figure 4B). 213 214 Quantification of intratubular germ cell neoplasia phenotypes depending on histological testicular germ 215 cell cancer type 216 The proportion of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (identified by expression of OCT4 and/or PLAP) with 217 different expression profiles based on OCT4/PLAP/MAGEA4 co-staining was determined (Fig. 5). By far the 218 most common phenotype was OCT4⁺/PLAP⁺/MAGEA4⁻, which was found in a significantly higher proportion 219 (68%) of cells compared with the other phenotypes (Fig. 5; b versus a,c). A smaller proportion (7.7%) of 220 intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells were OCT4⁺/PLAP⁻/MAGEA4⁻. Overall 82% of intratubular germ cell 221 neoplasia cells expressed OCT4 with the remaining 18% of putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells 222 expressing PLAP (but no detectable OCT4). In terms of spermatogonial markers, MAGEA4 expression was 223 found in 6% of putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (defined by expression of OCT4 and/or PLAP; 224 Fig. 5) and this represented a significantly lower proportion of cells compared to those not expressing 225 MAGEA4 (Fig 5; c versus a,b). There was a shift towards an increasing proportion of these MAGEA4⁺ cells 226 from pre-invasive (child) to pre-invasive (adult) and seminoma, whilst very few putative intratubular germ cell 227 neoplasia cells in non-seminoma expressed this protein profile, however the differences in expression were not 228 significant (Supp. Fig. 3).

230 Proliferation of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells according to germ cell expression profile and

231 histological testicular germ cell cancer type

232 In order to investigate whether the germ cell expression profile of the putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia 233 cells might determine their proliferation rate, which might in turn affect their invasive potential, triple 234 immunofluorescence for OCT4/MAGEA4/Ki67 was performed (Supp. Fig. 4). Overall, the proportion of 235 proliferating (Ki67⁺/OCT4⁺) intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells was 8.1% (Fig. 6A). There was a shift 236 towards increased proliferation in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells from patients with pre-invasive disease 237 compared with those with a seminoma or non-seminoma, but this was not statistically significant (Supp. Fig. 238 5). However, when intratubular germ cell neoplasia (identified by expression of OCT4⁺) cell proliferation was 239 analysed according to whether or not the cells also expressed MAGEA4, a significantly higher proliferation 240 rate was found for the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ population compared to OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ intratubular germ cell 241 neoplasia cells (12.8 v 3.4%, p<0.0001; Fig. 6B). Moreover, the significant difference in proliferation rate 242 between the two intratubular germ cell neoplasia phenotypes was consistent when the same analysis was 243 performed according to whether the intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells were from patients with pre-invasive 244 disease (child or adult), seminoma or non-seminoma (Fig. 6C-F). Furthermore, when the proliferation rates in 245 the two sub-populations of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ or OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺) 246 were compared according to the histology of the adjacent testicular germ cell cancer, there was a significantly 247 higher proliferation rate in the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ cells in pre-invasive disease compared with these cells in 248 seminoma and non-seminoma (Fig. 7A); in contrast, there was no difference in the proportion of 249 OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ that were proliferating for the different tumour types (Fig. 7B).

250

251 Proliferation of seminoma cells according to germ cell expression profile

Given that the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ population of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells were more proliferative than the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ population, we investigated MAGEA4, OCT4 and PLAP expression in seminoma cells in order to determine whether this results in a predominance of OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ cells in the resulting tumours. Indeed we found that in the majority of intra-tubular seminoma cells MAGEA4 was not expressed and that the majority of cells were OCT4⁺/PLAP⁺/MAGEA4⁻ (Fig. 8A). MAGEA4 positive cells were seen in tubules with normal appearance adjacent to areas of invasive seminoma (Fig. 8B), however MAGEA4 expression was not seen in the invasive seminoma cells (Fig. 8C). The OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ seminoma cells 259 were highly proliferative, whilst a smaller proportion of OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ intratubular germ cell neoplasia

260 cells were proliferative. In contrast MAGEA4 expressing cells were rarely proliferative (Supp. Fig. 6).

261

262 **Discussion**

263 The present study has characterised the heterogeneity of germ cell protein expression in the human testis based 264 on co-expression of germ cell proteins involved in differentiation from gonocyte to pre-spermatogonia. We 265 have demonstrated an infrequent population of cells within intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules 266 with an expression profile distinct from germ cells in the normal human fetal testis. We have also 267 demonstrated that the most common sub-population in intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules, 268 which displays a 'gonocyte' expression profile (OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻), is associated with an increased 269 proliferation rate compared to the subpopulation expressing a 'pre-spermatogonial' profile 270 (OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺), and that this (OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻) population represents the true intratubular germ cell 271 neoplasia cell and precursor for invasive seminoma and non-seminoma. The findings of the present study are 272 summarised in Fig 9.

273

274 Intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells are thought to originate in fetal life from abnormally differentiated 275 gonocytes [3,15]. This is supported by similarities in morphological, immunohistochemical and genetic 276 profiles [3,16,17]. Intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells share expression of a variety of proteins that are 277 involved in pluripotency and early germ cell fate, such as OCT4 [18-20], PLAP [9,21] and AP2 γ [8]. As 278 gonocytes differentiate into spermatogonia during fetal life these markers have been shown to be 279 downregulated [3,6,7,20,22,23]. OCT4, AP2 γ and PLAP are described as 'classical' markers of intratubular 280 germ cell neoplasia cells in adulthood and persistence of expression of these proteins is routinely used for 281 diagnostic purposes for patients at risk of, or with suspected, testicular germ cell cancer [11]. These markers 282 are considered highly sensitive and specific for intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. Whilst we found co-283 expression of AP2 γ and OCT4 in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells with no cells expressing a single 284 marker alone, there was heterogeneity in the co-expression of PLAP and OCT4 in intratubular germ cell 285 neoplasia cells. Expression of OCT4 has been reported to be expressed by all intratubular germ cell neoplasia 286 cells [19], whilst PLAP has been reported to be expressed in 83-99% of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells 287 [9]. The present co-localisation studies demonstrate that the majority of the cells expressing OCT4 also

288 express PLAP. Overall co-localisation was seen in 68% of cells in tubules with intratubular germ cell 289 neoplasia. Both of these sub-populations are also present in the normal human fetal testis during the transition 290 from gonocyte to spermatogonia. However, our co-localisation studies have demonstrated the presence of a 291 sub-population of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells with a protein expression profile distinct from the 292 germ cells in the normal human fetal testis. This OCT4/PLAP⁺ sub-population represented 18% of the total 293 cells in tubules with intratubular germ cell neoplasia. PLAP is expressed in most of the germ cells in a first 294 trimester fetal testis, but is rare by the start of the second trimester [23]. OCT4 is also present in most of the 295 germ cells of the first trimester, but is downregulated later in gestation in comparison to PLAP [24]. This study 296 has shown that an OCT4⁻/PLAP⁺ population can be identified in tubules with intratubular germ cell neoplasia, 297 whilst our results confirm that PLAP is not expressed without co-expression of OCT4 in the normal human 298 fetal testis [23]. As these cells do not occur as part of normal germ cell development they may represent 299 impaired maturation of gonocytes with loss of OCT4 and retention of PLAP expression as a result of an 300 altered germ cell niche.

301

302 In addition to the proteins that are found in undifferentiated germ cells, intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells 303 have also been reported to express proteins characteristic of differentiated germ cells such as VASA [25] and 304 MAGEA4 [26]. These markers begin to be expressed in germ cells during fetal life in increasing proportions 305 as the cells differentiate [24,26-28]. We have shown that the majority of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells 306 (based on the expression of OCT4 and/or PLAP) do not express the spermatogonial proteins MAGEA4 and 307 VASA. We have quantified the expression of these differentiated germ cell markers in putative intratubular 308 germ cell neoplasia for the first time and shown that MAGEA4 is only expressed in 6% of OCT4 and/or 309 PLAP-expressing cells and therefore is not a common phenotype for putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia 310 cells. Heterogeneous expression of MAGEA4 in intratubular germ cell neoplasia has been described 311 previously, however VASA expression was reported to be expressed in all intratubular germ cell neoplasia 312 cells [3]. We found that VASA was expressed heterogeneously in a similar proportion of putative intratubular 313 germ cell neoplasia cells as those expressing MAGEA4.

314

315 Previous studies have indicated that differentiated germ cells (e.g. spermatogonia) may be present within 316 intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules [12,22]. Co-staining for OCT4 and VASA/MAGEA4 317 identified cells that had an OCT4⁻/VASA⁺ phenotype [22]. These cells would be considered differentiated 318 germ cells rather than intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. We have described similar populations in our 319 samples, however triple co-localisation has demonstrated that some VASA or MAGEA4 expressing cells that 320 do not express OCT4, express PLAP and therefore may not represent 'normal' spermatogonia. As a result it is 321 likely that only cells expressing neither OCT4 nor PLAP may represent normally matured germ cells that have 322 not undergone pre-invasive change. The OCT4⁻/VASA⁺/PLAP⁺ or OCT4⁻/MAGEA4⁺/PLAP⁺ populations may 323 represent pre-invasive germ cells that have undergone a degree of maturation towards pre-spermatogonia (due 324 to downregulation of OCT4 and expression of VASA/MAGEA4), alternatively they may represent pre-325 sprematogonia that have aberrantly retained PLAP expression following the downregulation of OCT4. In order 326 to determine whether these populations could represent intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells we investigated 327 expression during the development of invasive disease. OCT4 (without MAGEA4) was expressed in all intra-328 tubular and invasive seminomas, indicating that intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells with invasive potential 329 express OCT4 and do not express MAGEA4. Therefore we conclude that the OCT4⁻/MAGEA4⁺/PLAP⁺ cells 330 do not represent intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells with malignant potential and are more likely to be a 331 separate population of abnormally differentiated germ cells that are present in intratubular germ cell neoplasia 332 containing tubules.

333

334 Uncontrolled proliferation of cells is a hallmark of invasive tumours [29]. Previous studies have demonstrated 335 proliferation in intratubular germ cell neoplasia and overt testicular germ cell cancer [12,30-32], and a 336 previous study has shown that Ki67 expression is found in intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells in 14/16 non-337 seminomas and 14/17 seminomas, although the proportion of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells that were 338 proliferating was not quantified [31]. A detailed analysis of proliferation in the various intratubular germ cell 339 neoplasia sub-populations in relation to the underlying tumour type has not previously been performed. 340 Intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells have previously been reported to proliferate at a relatively high rate. In a 341 study of sections taken from patients with testicular germ cell cancer, using Ki67 as a marker of proliferation, 342 17.42% of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells were found to be Ki67 positive [30]. Overall we found that 343 8.1% of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells were positive for Ki67, however we have shown that the 344 proliferation rate is dependent on which intratubular germ cell neoplasia sub-population is investigated. We 345 have shown that PLAP is not expressed in $\sim 20\%$ of intratubular germ cell neoplasia (OCT4⁺) cells and this 346 may partially explain the differences seen between proliferation of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells in the 347 present study compared to previous studies which relied on PLAP expression to identify intratubular germ cell

348 neoplasia cells [12,30]. The OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ (and also OCT4⁻/MAGEA4⁺, not shown) populations are less 349 proliferative than those expressing OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ which provides further evidence supporting the view 350 that the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ cells have more invasive potential than those expressing a more mature phenotype. 351 This hypothesis is supported by our finding of little or no expression of MAGEA4 in the OCT4⁺ seminoma 352 cells of an invasive tumour. We therefore propose that the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ population of intratubular germ 353 cell neoplasia cells give rise to the invasive tumour, whilst the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ population has a lower 354 capacity to progress to invasiveness and may represent germ cells that are arrested in the transition from 355 gonocyte to spermatogonia and do not contribute to the invasive tumour. OCT4⁻/MAGEA4⁺ cells are 356 occasionally seen within the seminomatous component but are likely to represent spermatogonia that have 357 become enclosed in the invasive tumour.

358

359 Differences in the proliferation of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells have recently been investigated with 360 respect to one of the key regulators of the mitosis-meiosis switch, DMRT1 [12]. This study demonstrated that 361 intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells expressing DMRT1 were significantly less proliferative than those 362 intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells that did not express DMRT1 and that progression from 'early-stage' 363 (Ki67) intratubular germ cell neoplasia cell to invasive disease (Ki67) is associated with a down-regulation 364 of DMRT1. In order to test the hypothesis that certain subpopulations of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells 365 display differences in invasive potential, future studies involving isolation of the different intratubular germ 366 cell neoplasia sub-populations followed by germ cell transplantation or xenografting may be performed.

367

368 The present study has demonstrated the presence of proliferating intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells in testis 369 tissue from patients with pre-invasive disease, seminoma and non-seminoma with a higher rate of proliferation 370 in the OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ population in pre-invasive samples compared to those with an invasive tumour 371 (either seminoma or non-seminoma). The finding of higher rates of proliferation of intratubular germ cell 372 neoplasia cells in pre-invasive disease compared to tumours samples might be considered surprising given 373 previous reports of low rates of proliferation in pre-invasive intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells [12]. In 374 adults with pre-invasive disease this may be explained by an increase in proliferation around the time of 375 progression to invasive disease, however this would not explain the proliferation rate for intratubular germ cell 376 neoplasia cells in the pre-invasive childhood patients in which it might be expected that these cells are 377 relatively quiescent. However, we have demonstrated previously that a higher proportion of OCT4⁺ germ cells

in the second trimester human fetal testis are proliferating compared with the MAGEA4⁺ population and that the rates of proliferation in the present study are similar to those in the normal human fetal testis for each subpopulation [10], indicating that the proliferation in the germ cell sub-populations in children with pre-invasive disease may simply reflect the proliferation rates in the normal human fetal testis.

In conclusion, we have described in detail the heterogeneity of germ cell protein expression in cells within intratubular germ cell neoplasia tubules. We have demonstrated sub-populations of OCT4⁻ cells that do not correspond to an equivalent stage of normal human fetal germ cell differentiation, suggesting that these cells may have lost expression of proteins that may determine their malignant potential. We have also demonstrated that a more undifferentiated/pluripotent expression profile is associated with an increased proliferation rate compared with a differentiated phenotype. These results indicate that germ cells expressing an OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁻ phenotype are those that will ultimately lead to tumour formation.

389

390 Disclosure/Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

391

392 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sheila Macpherson for her assistance during the present study and to Ronnie Grant for his assistance with illustrations. The MAGEA4 antibody was a kind gift from Dr. Giulio Spagnoli. This study was supported by the Wellcome Trust (Grant Code - 098522) and the Medical Research Council (Career Development Fellowship).

397

398 Supplementary information is available at *Modern Pathology*'s website

399

400 <u>References</u>

- 401 1. McGlynn KA, Devesa SS, Sigurdson AJ, *et al.* Trends in the incidence of testicular germ cell tumors in
 402 the United States. *Cancer* 2003; **97**: 63-70.
- 403 2. Richiardi L, Bellocco R, Adami HO, et al. Testicular cancer incidence in eight northern European
- 404 countries: secular and recent trends. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004; **13**: 2157-2166.
- 405 3. Rajpert-De Meyts E. Developmental model for the pathogenesis of testicular carcinoma in situ: genetic
- 406 and environmental aspects. *Hum Reprod Update* 2006; **12**: 303-323.
- 407 4. Skakkebaek NE. Possible carcinoma-in-situ of the testis. *Lancet* 1972; **2**: 516-517.

- 408 5. Waters BL, Trainer TD. Development of the human fetal testis. *Pediatr Pathol Lab Med* 1996; **16**: 9-23.
- 409 6. Hoei-Hansen CE, Almstrup K, *et al.* Stem cell pluripotency factor NANOG is expressed in human fetal
 410 gonocytes, testicular carcinoma in situ and germ cell tumours. *Histopathology* 2005; 47: 48-56.
- 411 7. Mitchell RT, Cowan G *et al.* Germ cell differentiation in the marmoset (Callithrix jacchus) during fetal
 412 and neonatal life closely parallels that in the human. *Hum Reprod* 2008; 23: 2755-2765.
- 413 8. Hoei-Hansen CE, Nielsen JE et al. Transcription factor AP-2gamma is a developmentally regulated
- 414 marker of testicular carcinoma in situ and germ cell tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004; **10**: 8521-8530
- Jorgensen N, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Graem N, *et al.* Expression of immunohistochemical markers for
 testicular carcinoma in situ by normal human fetal germ cells. *Lab Invest* 1995; **72**: 223-231
- 417 10. Mitchell RT, Saunders PT, Childs AJ, et al. Xenografting of human fetal testis tissue: a new approach to
- 418 study fetal testis development and germ cell differentiation. *Hum Reprod* 2010; **25**: 2405-2414.
- 419 11. Oosterhuis JW, Looijenga LH. Testicular germ-cell tumours in a broader perspective. *Nat Rev Cancer*420 2005; 5: 210-222.
- 421 12. Jorgensen A, Nielsen JE, Almstrup K, *et al.* Dysregulation of the mitosis-meiosis switch in testicular
 422 carcinoma in situ. *J Pathol* 2013; **229**: 588-598.
- 423 13. Kersemaekers AM, Honecker F, Stoop H, *et al.* Identification of germ cells at risk for neoplastic
 424 transformation in gonadoblastoma: an immunohistochemical study for OCT3/4 and TSPY. *Hum Pathol*425 2005; **36**: 512-521.
- 426 14. Norton AJ, Jordan S, Yeomans P. Brief, high-temperature heat denaturation (pressure cooking): a simple
 427 and effective method of antigen retrieval for routinely processed tissues. *J Pathol* 1994; **173**: 371-379.
- Skakkebaek NE, Berthelsen JG, Giwercman A, *et al.* Carcinoma-in-situ of the testis: possible origin from
 gonocytes and precursor of all types of germ cell tumours except spermatocytoma. *Int J Androl* 1987; 10:
 19-28.
- 431 16. Almstrup K, Hoei-Hansen CE, Wirkner U, *et al.* Embryonic stem cell-like features of testicular
 432 carcinoma in situ revealed by genome-wide gene expression profiling. *Cancer Res* 2004; **64**: 4736-4743.
- 433 17. Looijenga LH, Gillis AJ, Stoop HJ, et al. Chromosomes and expression in human testicular germ-cell
- 434 tumors: insight into their cell of origin and pathogenesis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2007; **1120**: 187-214.
- 435 18. Jones TD, Ulbright TM, Eble JN, et al. OCT4: A sensitive and specific biomarker for intratubular germ
- 436 cell neoplasia of the testis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004; **10**: 8544-8547.

- 437 19. Looijenga LH, Stoop H, de Leeuw HP, et al. POU5F1 (OCT3/4) identifies cells with pluripotent potential
- 438 in human germ cell tumors. *Cancer Res* 2003; 63: 2244-50.
- 20. Rajpert-De Meyts E, Hanstein R, Jorgensen N, *et al.* Developmental expression of POU5F1 (OCT-3/4) in
 normal and dysgenetic human gonads. *Hum Reprod* 2004; 19: 1338-1344.
- 441 21. Hustin J, Collette J, Franchimont P. Immunohistochemical demonstration of placental alkaline
 442 phosphatase in various states of testicular development and in germ cell tumours. *Int J Androl* 1987; 10:
- 443 29**-**35.
- 444 22. Cools M, van Aerde K, Kersemaekers AM, et al. Morphological and immunohistochemical differences
- between gonadal maturation delay and early germ cell neoplasia in patients with undervirilization
 syndromes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005; **90**: 5295-5303.
- 447 23. Honecker F, Stoop H, de Krijger RR, *et al.* Pathobiological implications of the expression of markers of
- testicular carcinoma in situ by fetal germ cells. *J Pathol* 2004; **203**: 849-857.
- 449 24. Gaskell TL, Esnal A, Robinson LL, *et al.* Immunohistochemical profiling of germ cells within the human
 450 fetal testis: identification of three subpopulations. *Biol Reprod* 2004; **71**: 2012-2021.
- 451 25. Rajpert-De Meyts E, Bartkova J, Samson M, *et al.* The emerging phenotype of the testicular carcinoma in
 452 situ germ cell. *APMIS* 2003; 111: 267-278; discussion 278-269.
- 453 26. Aubry F, Satie AP, Rioux-Leclercq N, *et al.* MAGEA4, a germ cell specific marker, is expressed
 454 differentially in testicular tumors. *Cancer* 2001; **92**: 2778-2785.
- 455 27. Castrillon DH, Quade BJ, Wang TY, et al. The human VASA gene is specifically expressed in the germ
- 456 cell lineage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000; **97**: 9585-9590.
- 28. Zeeman AM, Stoop H, Boter M, *et al.* VASA is a specific marker for both normal and malignant human
 germ cells. *Lab Invest* 2002; 82: 159-166.
- 459 29. Albers P, Ulbright TM, Albers J, *et al.* Tumor proliferative activity is predictive of pathological stage in
- 460 clinical stage A nonseminomatous testicular germ cell tumors. *J Urol* 1996; **155**: 579-586.
- 30. Almstrup K, Nielsen JE, Mlynarska O, *et al.* Carcinoma in situ testis displays permissive chromatin
 modifications similar to immature foetal germ cells. *Br J Cancer* 2010; **103**: 1269-1276.
- 463 31. Datta MW, Renshaw AA, Dutta A, et al. Evaluation of cyclin expression in testicular germ cell tumors:
- 464 cyclin E correlates with tumor type, advanced clinical stage, and pulmonary metastasis. *Mod Pathol* 2000;
- **13**: 667-672.

- 466 32. Chen YT, Cao D, Chiu R, et al. Chromosome X-encoded Cancer/Testis antigens are less frequently
- 467 expressed in non-seminomatous germ cell tumors than in seminomas. *Cancer Immun* 2013; **13**: 10.

469 Figure Legends

Figure 1 - Expression of gonocyte markers (OCT4, AP2γ and PLAP; A-I) and spermatogonial markers (MAGEA4 and VASA; J-O) in human fetal testis, intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules (Adult – intratubular germ cell neoplasia) and tubules from adult testis with active spermatogenesis (Adult – 'Normal'). Gonocyte proteins are detected in human fetal germ cells and intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells, but are absent from the germ cells in tubules with apparently normal spermatogenesis; whilst spermatogonial proteins are expressed in germ cells in all tissue types. Human fetal samples are 14 (A,D), 16 (J) and 18 weeks (G,M) gestation. Scale bar = 50μm.

477

478 Figure 2 - Representative image for expression of VASA (green) and AP2 γ (red) in tubules from adult 479 patients with testicular germ cell cancer. A) Tubule with apparently normal spermatogenesis: VASA is 480 expressed in the germ cells with no expression of the intratubular germ cell neoplasia/gonocyte protein AP2y. 481 B) Tubule with abnormal spermatogenesis: VASA expression is seen in the presumptive spermatocytes 482 towards the lumen (white arrowhead), however germ cells along the basement membrane express VASA 483 (yellow arrowhead) or AP2 γ (yellow arrow). A small proportion of cells co-express VASA and AP2 γ (white 484 arrow). C) Intratubular germ cell neoplasia tubule: The majority of cells express the intratubular germ cell 485 neoplasia protein AP2 γ (yellow arrow) with a small number of cells adjacent to the basement membrane 486 expressing VASA (presumptive spermatogonia; pink arrow). A small number of germ cells expressing VASA 487 are located towards the lumen (presumptive spermatocytes; white arrowhead).

488

489 Figure 3 - Expression of OCT4 (red) and PLAP (green) in intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules 490 from men with testicular germ cell cancer (A,C) and in normal human fetal testis tissue (B). The majority of 491 intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells co-express OCT4 and PLAP (A,C; yellow arrowheads). Occasional 492 OCT4 positive intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells are negative for PLAP expression (A; white arrow). 493 Similar sub-populations of OCT4⁺/PLAP⁻ (B, white arrow) and OCT4⁺/PLAP⁺ (B, yellow arrowheads) cells 494 are also identified in the human fetal testis. In tubules with intratubular germ cell neoplasia, occasional OCT4⁻ 495 /PLAP⁺ cells are identified (C; yellow arrows), however no similar population is seen in the human fetal testis. 496 Counterstain (DAPI; blue).

498 Figure 4 - Representative image for expression of OCT4 (red), VASA (A; blue), MAGEA4 (B; blue) and 499 PLAP (green) in intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules from patients with testicular germ cell 500 cancer. A) VASA expression is demonstrated in putative 'spermatogenic' germ cells that are negative for 501 intratubular germ cell neoplasia cell proteins PLAP and OCT4 (white arrow). A small proportion of the 502 VASA⁺ cells that are negative for OCT4 express PLAP (white arrowhead). B) The majority of cells co-express 503 OCT4 and PLAP without MAGEA4, other sub-populations are identified including PLAP⁺/OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ 504 (pink arrow) and PLAP⁺/OCT4⁻/MAGEA4⁻ (pink arrowhead). Counterstain (DAPI; pale blue) in merged 505 panels.

506

Figure 5 - Quantification of putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia phenotypes. Expression (+) of OCT4,
PLAP and MAGEA4 for intratubular germ cell neoplasia containing tubules (n=9; pre-invasive, seminoma and
non-seminoma; n=3 each). Bars with different letters are significantly different from each other (p<0.05).
Mean +/- SEM.

511

Figure 6 - Proliferation in putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. A) Overall proliferation in all intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. B) Proliferation (Ki67⁺) of intratubular germ cell neoplasia (OCT4⁺) cells based on the co-expression with MAGEA4 in tubules from all patients (B), children with pre-invasive disease (C; Pre-Inv. Child; n=4), adults with pre-invasive disease (D; Pre-Inv. Adult; n=6), seminoma (n=7) and non-seminoma (n=8). Mean +/- SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, **** p<0.0001.

517

Figure 7 - Proliferation of intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells based on diagnosis of pre-invasive disease (PRE INV; n=7), seminoma (SEM; n=7) or non-seminoma (NON-SEM; n=8). A) Proliferation of OCT4⁺ intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. B) Proliferation of OCT4⁺/MAGEA4⁺ intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. Mean +/- SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, in comparison with pre-invasive intratubular germ cell neoplasia.

522

Figure 8 - Representative images for expression of OCT4 (red), PLAP (green) and MAGEA4 (blue) in testis
sections from patients with A) Intra-tubular seminoma, B) Seminoma with surrounding 'normal' (*) tubules
and C) Seminoma. Note the lack of expression of MAGEA4 in both intra-tubular seminoma and invasive
seminoma. Counterstain (DAPI; pale blue) in merged panels (bottom right).

528 Figure 9 - Schematic for germ cell maturation and proliferation in germ cells during transition from 529 gonocyte to intratubular germ cell neoplasia and testicular germ cell cancer (bottom). Germ cell maturation 530 from gonocyte to initiation of spermatogenesis is represented in the testis during the different stages of life 531 (middle). For comparison, germ cell differentiation in the normal testis is also shown (top). Germ cells in the 532 fetal testis may exhibit delayed maturation with persistence of gonocyte markers through childhood. A 533 variety of germ cell protein profiles are present in the intratubular germ cell neoplasia tubule, however it is 534 the cells expressing exclusively gonocyte proteins (with no spermatogonial proteins) that are more 535 proliferative and contribute to the majority of the cells in intratubular seminoma and subsequently invasive 536 seminoma. Cells expressing spermatogonial proteins are occasionally seen in the tubule or resultant tumour 537 but exhibit low proliferation rates. Expression of OCT4 (red), PLAP (green) and MAGEA4 (blue) is shown 538 for individual cells and cells with high rates of proliferation are indicated (yellow asterisk).

Rod Mitchell 22

539	Supplementary Figures
540	Figure S1
541	Expression of OCT4 (red) and VASA (green) in a seminiferous cord from a 14 week gestation human fetal
542	testis. Sub-populations of gonocytes (OCT4 ⁺ /VASA ⁻ ; white arrowhead), pre-spermatogonia (OCT4 ⁺ /VASA ⁺ ;
543	white arrow) and spermatogonia (OCT4 ⁻ /VASA ⁺ ; yellow arrowhead) are present within the tubule.
544	
545	Figure S2
546	Representative image for expression of AP2y (B; green) and OCT4 (C; red) in an intratubular germ cell
547	neoplasia containing tubule from patients with testicular germ cell cancer. Intratubular germ cell neoplasia
548	cells co-express both proteins in all cells (D; yellow). Nuclear counterstain with DAPI (A; blue).
549	
550	Figure S3
551	Proportion of putative intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells expressing MAGEA4 in pre-invasive disease in
552	childhood (PRE-INV. Child; n=4) and adulthood (PRE-INV. Adult; n=6), seminoma (SEM; n=9) and non-
553	seminoma (NON-SEM; n=8). Mean +/- SEM.
554	
555	Figure S4
556	Example of triple immunofluorescence used for quantification of proliferation in sub-populations of
557	intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells. Expression of Ki67 (green), OCT4 (red) and MAGEA4 (blue) in
558	tubules containing intratubular germ cell neoplasia from a patient with testicular germ cell cancer.
559	Arrowheads indicate proliferating OCT4 ⁺ /MAGEA4 ⁻ intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells, whilst the arrow
560	indicates a proliferating OCT4 ⁺ /MAGEA4 ⁺ intratubular germ cell neoplasia cell.
561	
562	Figure S5
563	Proliferation (Ki67 ⁺) in MAGEA4 expressing intratubular germ cell neoplasia (OCT4 ⁺) cells in children with
564	pre-invasive disease (C; Pre-Inv. Child; n=4), adults with pre-invasive disease (D; Pre-Inv. Adult; n=6),
565	seminoma (n=7) and non-seminoma (n=8). Mean +/- SEM.
566	
567	Figure S6

568	Representative image of Ki67 (green), OCT4 (red) and MAGEA4 (blue) expression in seminoma (n=9).
569	Seminoma cells express OCT4 (but not MAGEA4) and a large proportion also express Ki67, whilst the
570	OCT4 ⁺ intratubular germ cell neoplasia cells (*) are less proliferative. MAGEA4 ⁺ cells are present in
571	adjacent tubules (#) and are Ki67 ⁻ . MAGEA4 ⁺ /OCT4 ⁻ cells are occasionally seen interspersed between the
572	seminoma cells (arrow; inset). Counterstain (DAPI; pale blue) in merged panel (bottom right).
573	



PDF compression, OCR, web optimization using a watermarked evaluation copy of CVISION PDFCompressor







Finatonal Speametergenesis on "Publicamet SpentalCochaets on con No Spannerogenasis on









В

MAGEA4



















6-









Sample	Age (y)	Diagnosis
1	0.8	Maturation delay, intra-abdominal testis
2	1	Maturation delay, intra-abdominal testis
3	7	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia, intra-abdominal testis
4	12	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia
5	21	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia only
6	31	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia, atrophy
7	34	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia only
8	36	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia only
9	36	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia only
10	32	Intratubular germ cell neoplasia, intra-tubular seminoma
11	Adult	Seminoma
12	Adult	Seminoma
13	Adult	Seminoma
14	Adult	Seminoma
15	Adult	Seminoma
16	Adult	Seminoma
17	Adult	Seminoma
18	Adult	Seminoma
19	Adult	Seminoma
20	Adult	Embryonal carcinoma with teratoma
21	Adult	Mixed- embryonal carcinoma, teratoma and seminoma
22	Adult	Embryonal carcinoma with teratoma
23	Adult	Embryonal carcinoma with teratoma
24	Adult	Mixed- embryonal carcinoma, yolk sac tumour, teratoma and seminoma
25	Adult	Embryonal carcinoma with teratoma
26	Adult	Teratoma
27	Adult	Teratoma

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients from whom tissue was obtained

Antigen	Retrieval	Source	Species	Dilution	
				DAB	Fluorescence
ΑΡ-2γ	Y	Santa Cruz ^a	Mouse	1:20	1:60
OCT 4	Y	Santa Cruz ^a	Goat	1:50	1:150
PLAP	Y	Abcam ^b	Rabbit	1:100	1:200
VASA	Y	Abcam ^b	Rabbit	1:200	1:500
MAGEA4	Ν	Gift ^c	Mouse	1:20	1:100
Ki67	Y	Dako ^d	Rabbit	1:40	1:100

Table 2. Antibodies and conditions for immunohistochemistry. All antibodies were raised against human peptide sequences

^a Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA ^b Abcam, Cambridge, UK. ^c Dr. Guilio Spagoli, University Hospital, Basel, Switzerland ^d Dako, Ely, UK