

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Polygenic Risk for Alzheimer's Disease is not Associated with Cognitive Ability or Cognitive Aging in Non-Demented Older People

Citation for published version:

Harris, SE, Davies, G, Luciano, M, Payton, A, Fox, HC, Haggarty, P, Ollier, W, Horan, M, Porteous, DJ, Starr, JM, Whalley, LJ, Pendleton, N & Deary, IJ 2014, 'Polygenic Risk for Alzheimer's Disease is not Associated with Cognitive Ability or Cognitive Aging in Non-Demented Older People' Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 565-574. DOI: 10.3233/JAD-131058

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.3233/JAD-131058

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Journal of Alzheimer's Disease

Publisher Rights Statement:

© Harris, S. E., Davies, G., Luciano, M., Payton, A., Fox, H. C., Haggarty, P., ... Deary, I. J. (2014). Polygenic Risk for Alzheimer's Disease is not Associated with Cognitive Ability or Cognitive Aging in Non-Demented Older People. Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD, 39(3), 565-574. 10.3233/JAD-131058

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Polygenic Risk for Alzheimer's Disease is not Associated with Cognitive Ability or Cognitive Aging in Non-Demented Older People

Sarah E. Harris^{a,b,*}, Gail Davies^{a,b,c}, Michelle Luciano^{b,c}, Antony Payton^d, Helen C. Fox^e, Paul Haggarty^f, William Ollier^d, Michael Horan^g, David J. Porteous^{a,b}, the Genetic and Environmental Risk for Alzheimer's disease (GERAD1) Consortium¹, John M. Starr^{b,h}, Lawrence J. Whalley^e, Neil Pendleton^g and Ian J. Deary^{b,c}

^aMedical Genetics Section, University of Edinburgh Centre for Genomics and Experimental Medicine and MRC Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, UK

^bCentre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, UK

^cDepartment of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

^dCentre for Integrated Genomic Medical Research, University of Manchester, Stopford Building, Manchester, UK ^eInstitute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, UK

^fDivision of Lifelong Health, Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen, Greenburn Road, Bucksburn, Aberdeen, UK

^gCentre for Clinical and Cognitive Neuroscience. Institute Brain Behaviour and Mental Health, University of Manchester, Clinical Sciences Building, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK ^hAlzheimer Scotland Dementia Research Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Handling Associate Editor: Emilio Di Maria

Accepted 29 September 2013

Abstract. Alzheimer's disease (AD) and non-pathological cognitive aging have phenotypic similarities which may be influenced by an overlapping set of genetic variants. Genome-wide complex trait analysis estimates that common genetic variants account for about 24% of the variation contributing to liability for AD. It is also estimated that 24% of the variance of non-pathological cognitive aging is accounted for by common single nucleotide polymorphisms. However, although the *APOE* locus is associated with both AD and cognitive aging, it is not known to what extent other common genetic variants, with smaller effect sizes that influence both, overlap. We test the hypothesis that polygenic risk for AD is associated with cognitive ability and cognitive change in about 3,000 non-demented older people (Cognitive Ageing Genetics England and Scotland-CAGES-consortium). We found no significant association of polygenic risk for AD with cognitive ability or cognitive change in CAGES, indicating that the genetic etiologies of AD and non-pathological cognitive decline differ.

Keywords: Aging, Alzheimer's disease, cognition, cohort studies, genetics, polygenic traits

*Correspondence to: Sarah E. Harris, Medical Genetics Section, University of Edinburgh Centre for Genomics and Experimental Medicine and MRC Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK. Tel.: +44 0131 651 1070; Fax: +44 0131 651 1771; E-mail: Sarah.Harris@igmm.ed.ac.uk. ¹Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Genetic and Environmental Risk for Alzheimer's disease (GERAD1) Consortium. As such, the investigators within the GERAD1 consortia contributed to the design and implementation of GERAD1 and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A full list of GERAD1 investigators can be found in the acknowledgments.

ISSN 1387-2877/14/\$27.50 © 2014 – IOS Press and the authors. All rights reserved

This article is published online with Open Access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License.

INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia and is predicted to affect over a million people in the UK by 2025 [1]. It is characterized by progressive loss of memory, mood changes, and problems with communication and reasoning [2]. Brain autopsies reveal extracellular plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles, and these are considered hallmarks of the disease [3].

AD is a genetically heterogeneous disease. Candidate gene and genome-wide association studies have identified a number of genes which increase an individual's risk of developing the more common form of AD, late-onset AD (LOAD). These include *APOE*, *CR1*, *PICALM*, and *CLU*, with the *APOE* ε 4 allele being by far the strongest genetic risk factor for LOAD [4, 5]. Mutations in three genes have been identified as causative in cases of the rarer familial early-onset AD (EOAD). These are *APP*, *PSEN1*, and *PSEN2* and all are involved in the amyloid- β (A β) pathway [6–8]. The mutations lead to an increase in A β ₄₂ production, deposits of which accumulate in the extracellular plaques identified in the brains of AD patients. See references [9–11] for reviews of the genetics of AD.

Non-pathological cognitive aging shares some phenotypic similarities with AD including memory loss, and the greatest risk factor for developing AD is age. To date, the only gene with a replicated effect on normal cognitive aging is APOE [12], the gene which is the greatest genetic risk factor for LOAD. Based on genome-wide testing of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), it is estimated that common genetic variants account for about 40% to 50% of the variance in general cognitive functioning in later life, 24% of the variance in lifetime cognitive change (though this estimate had large standard errors), and 24% of the variation contributing to liability for AD [13–15]. The APOE locus alone accounts for about 5% of the variance in lifetime cognitive change and 4% of the variance in AD [16, 17]. We hypothesize that AD and non-pathological cognitive aging share common genetic risk factors.

A polygenic risk score for a particular disease can be calculated for each individual in a sample, from published genetic association data, by summing the known effect size of each individual SNP multiplied by the number of reference alleles present for that SNP in a particular individual. This technique has successfully been used to show, for example, that greater polygenic risk for schizophrenia is associated with more loss of cognitive function between childhood and old age in people who have neither dementia nor schizophrenia [18]. A recent study investigated a polygenic risk score, based on just 11 genes significantly associated with AD, and found only a marginal effect of these genes on memory scores in individuals aged 45–99 years, independent from *APOE* [19]. Here we test the hypothesis that a polygenic risk score created using data from a published AD genome-wide association study (GWAS) [4] is associated with cognitive ability in later life and non-pathological cognitive change in samples of older, non-demented people from England and Scotland.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cognitive Ageing Genetics in England and Scotland (CAGES) Consortium's cohorts

Five polygenic risk scores (created using different AD association criteria) were created in each of the five UK-based cohorts that make up the Cognitive Ageing Genetics in England and Scotland (CAGES) consortium.

Lothian Birth Cohort 1921 (LBC1921)

LBC1921 consists of 550 (234 men and 316 women) relatively healthy surviving members of the Scottish Mental Survey 1932 [20]. The majority of these individuals had their general cognitive ability assessed at \sim 11 years of age using the Moray House Test (MHT) version 12. This test consists of 75 items of a variety of types: following directions (14 items), same-opposites (11 items), word classification (10 items), analogies (8 items), practical items (6 items), reasoning (5 items), proverbs (4 items), arithmetic (4 items), spatial items (4 items), mixed sentences (3 items), cypher decoding (2 items), and other items (4 items). At a mean age of 79.1 years (SD 0.6), they were recruited to a study to determine influences on normal cognitive aging and underwent a series of cognitive tests. This included retaking the MHT [21, 22]. A later-life general fluid cognitive functioning score (gf) was derived from principal components analysis of MHT, Raven's Matrices, Logical Memory, and Verbal Fluency [13]. Verbal declarative memory was assessed using the total Logical Memory score from the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised [23]. Crystallized cognitive ability (vocabulary-based) was assessed using the National Adult Reading Test (NART) [24]. Cognitive measures were corrected for age at time of testing and gender prior to analysis. gf was adjusted for prior cognitive

566

ability using the MHT scores from age 11, thus providing a measure of relative cognitive change from age 11 to age 79. Both gf and age 11 MHT scores were adjusted for age in days at time of testing prior to the creation of the cognitive change measure. Cognitive change measures were extracted and standardized independently for males and females [16].

Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 (LBC1936)

LBC1936 consists of 1091 (548 men and 543 women) relatively healthy surviving members of the Scottish Mental Survey 1947 [25]. The majority of these individuals had their general cognitive ability assessed at ~ 11 years of age using the MHT version 12. At a mean age of 69.5 years (SD 0.8), they were recruited to a study to determine influences on normal cognitive aging and underwent a series of cognitive tests, including re-taking the MHT [22, 26]. A general fluid (gf) cognitive ability score was derived from principal components analysis of six Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III^{UK} (WAIS-III) [27] non-verbal subtests (matrix reasoning, letter number sequencing, block design, symbol search, digit symbol, and digit span backward), as described previously [28]. A general processing speed factor was similarly derived for the set of mental speed measures (symbol search, digit symbol, simple reaction time mean, choice reaction time mean, and inspection Time) [26] as described previously [28]. A general memory factor was derived from principal components analysis of the following subtests from Wechsler Memory Scale-III^{UK} (WMS-III) [29] and WAIS-III: logical memory I total recall score (A + B + B2), logical memory II delayed recall total score (A+B), spatial span forward and backward, verbal paired associates I (List A + B + C + D) and II (recall total score), letter-number sequencing, and digit span backward as described previously [30]. Crystallized cognitive ability (vocabulary-based) was assessed using the NART [24]. Cognitive measures were corrected for age at time of testing and gender prior to analysis. The gf was adjusted for prior cognitive ability using the MHT scores from age 11, thus providing a measure of relative cognitive change from age 11 to age 70. Both gf and age 11 MHT scores were adjusted for age in days at time of testing prior to the creation of the cognitive change measure. Cognitive change measures were extracted and standardized independently for males and females [16].

Aberdeen Birth Cohort 1936 (ABC1936)

ABC1936 consists of 498 (243 men and 255 women) relatively healthy surviving members of the Scottish

Mental Survey 1947 [25]. The majority of these individuals had their general cognitive ability assessed at \sim 11 years of age using the MHT version 12. At a mean age of 64.6 years (SD 0.9), they were recruited to a study to determine influences on normal cognitive aging and underwent a series of cognitive tests [21]. A general fluid (gf) cognitive ability score was derived from principal components analysis of Raven's Progressive Matrices, Digit Symbol, Uses of Common Objects, and Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT) [13]. Declarative memory was assessed by AVLT total score [31]. Crystallized cognitive ability (vocabulary-based) was assessed using the NART [24]. Cognitive measures were corrected for age at time of testing and gender prior to analysis. gf was adjusted for prior cognitive ability using the MHT scores from age 11, thus providing a measure of relative cognitive change from age 11 to age 64. Both gf and age 11 MHT scores were adjusted for age in days at time of testing prior to the creation of the cognitive change measure. Cognitive change measures were extracted and standardized independently for males and females [16].

Manchester and Newcastle Longitudinal Studies of Cognitive Ageing

The Manchester and Newcastle Longitudinal Studies of Cognitive Ageing began in 1983 with 6,063 (1,825 men and 4,238 women) individuals and documented longitudinal trajectories in older adults (44-93 years) for up to 20 years [32]. To create a general fluid cognitive ability (gf), empirical Bayes's estimates (EB) for each individual were obtained from a random effects model fitted by maximum likelihood (ML) to the standardized age-regressed residuals obtained for each gender from the Alice Heim 4 (AH4) parts 1 and 2 tests of general intelligence and the non-verbal Cattell Culture Fair test scores [13]. The AH4 parts 1 and 2 each consist of 65 problems. The AH4 part 1 consists of logic, arithmetic, and completion of number series and verbal comparisons. The AH4 part 2 consists of non-verbal problems in which participants must select among alternative solutions the correct completions of logical series defined by progressive mental rotation, or addition and subtraction, or other comparisons of linedrawn shapes. A general processing speed factor was created by a similar method using the Visual Search for letters and Savage (1984) Alphabet Coding Task tests. A general memory factor was derived from Verbal Free Recall for 30 words, Verbal Free Recall for 10 words, Cumulative Verbal Learning, Pictorial Recognition Memory test, Memory for Shapes and Location, Propositions about people, Memory Circle. Individual EB estimates were obtained from the standardized age regressed residuals from each test using a onefactor model fitted by ML [33]. Crystallized cognitive ability (vocabulary) was assessed using the Mill Hill Vocabulary Test [34] corrected for age at time of testing and gender. Cognitive change measures were created independently for males and females by growth curve modeling as described in [16]. Briefly, growth curve models were estimated that took the 0 point on the age scale as 70 years and measured variation about it in units of 10 years. Data were available for up to four occasions of measurement.

DNA was extracted from whole blood for all cohorts. Ethical approval was obtained: for LBC1921 and LBC1936 from the Lothian Research Ethics Committee and for LBC1936 from Scotland's Multicentre Research Ethics Committee, for ABC1936 from the Grampian Research Ethics Committee and for the Manchester and Newcastle subjects from the University of Manchester Research Ethics Committee.

Creating Alzheimer's disease polygenic risk scores

The CAGES cohorts' members' DNA samples (n = 3,511 with cognitive data and DNA) were genotyped at the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility using the Illumina 610-Quadv1 array (San Diego) [13]. Individuals were excluded based on unresolved gender discrepancy, relatedness, call rate (≤ 0.95), and evidence of non-Caucasian descent. SNPs were included in the analyses if they met the following conditions: call rate ≥ 0.98 , minor allele frequency ≥ 0.01 , and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test with $p \geq 0.001$. The first four components from a multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis of the SNP data were extracted and used as covariates in the analyses to control for population stratification.

To obtain the data from which AD polygenic scores could be calculated, summary results were acquired from the Genetic and Environmental Risk for Alzheimer's disease (GERAD1) Consortium. This included 3,941 AD cases and 7,848 controls geno-typed using the Illumina 610-quad chip, the Illumina HumanHap300 BeadChip, or the Illumina HumanHap550 Beadchip [4]. AD polygenic risk scores were created for each participant of the five CAGES cohorts using the method described elsewhere [35]. Briefly, all strand-ambiguous SNPs, SNPs with a minor allele frequency <0.02, and SNPs absent from the GERAD1 data were removed from each cohort. SNPs were

then pruned to remove those in linkage disequilibrium (based on $r^2 > 0.25$ within a 200-SNP sliding window). SNPs that were identified as being called on the opposite strand to the GERAD1 data were flipped. Risk scores were then calculated for each individual in each cohort, using PLINK [36], by summing the log of the odds ratio from GERAD1, multiplied by the number of reference alleles carried by the individual. Missing SNPs were imputed based on the observed allele frequency in the cohort. A series of risk scores was created based on the inclusion of SNPs with varying AD association *p*-values: all SNPs, and SNPs with p < 0.5, p < 0.1, p < 0.05, or p < 0.01.

Statistical analyses

Partial correlations were calculated between the AD polygenic risk scores and the cognitive phenotypes described above. This was done within each of the five CAGES cohorts, correcting for the number of non-missing SNPs used to form the risk score, and population stratification (first four components from a MDS). Analyses were performed for risk scores calculated using each of the five SNP inclusion thresholds. Where cognitive phenotypes were derived separately for males and females, correlation analyses were performed separately for males and females. Correlation analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Random effects meta-analyses of analyses of similar cognitive traits measured in the different cohorts were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis, Version 2 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA).

RESULTS

All cognitive traits were approximately normally distributed. At the varying SNP set criteria, the following range of SNPs across cohorts made up the scores: 119,702–121,500 (all SNPs), 60,924–61,718 (p < 0.5), 12,477–12,863 (p < 0.1), 6,372–6,583 (p < 0.05), and 1,359–1,422 (p < 0.01). SNP rs2075650 in the APOE locus, which was the top hit in both the GERAD1 AD GWAS ($p = 1.8 \times 10-157$) [4] and the CAGES cognitive aging GWAS ($p = 2.5 \times 10^{-8}$) [16], contributes to all scores.

MHT measured at age 11 in the Scottish cohorts was significantly positively correlated (r=0.050, p=0.032) with AD polygenic risk score generated only with SNPs with a *p*-value <0.1 (Table 1). No other significant correlations were found (Table 1).

Meta-analysis	Table 1 Meta-analysis correlations (with 95% CI) and significance between Alzheimer's disease polygenic risk scores (ADGRS) (calculated using different association <i>p</i> -values) and cognitive phenotypes	and significance between	. Alzheimer's disease poly	Table 1 genic risk scores (ADGRS	 (calculated using differ 	ent association <i>p</i> -values) a	and cognitive phenotypes
ADGRS: SNP	ADGRS: SNPs Moray house test age 11 Moray house test $(n=1,835)^a$ $(n=1,505)^b$	Moray house test $(n = 1,505)^{b}$	General fluid ability $(n = 3,402)$	Memory ^c $(n=3,392)$	General speed ability $(n=2,515)^{d}$	Crystallized ability ^e $(n = 3, 482)$	Cognitive change $(n = 3,282)$
All SNPs	$\begin{array}{c} 0.038 \ (-0.08 - 0.083) \\ p = 0.11 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.018 \ (-0.016 - 0.052) \\ p = 0.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.025 \ (-0.014 - 0.064) \\ p = 0.21 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023 \ (-0.025 - 0.072) \\ p = 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \ (-0.031 - 0.038) \\ p = 0.85 \end{array}$
p < 0.5	0.035(-0.013-0.084) p = 0.16	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 & (-0.017 - 0.055) \\ p = 0.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.004 \ (-0.046 - 0.055) \\ p = 0.86 \end{array}$	0.024 (-0.016 - 0.063) p = 0.24	$\begin{array}{c} 0.022 \ (-0.028 - 0.071) \\ p = 0.39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \ (-0.034 - 0.035) \\ p = 0.98 \end{array}$
p < 0.1	0.050(0.004-0.096) p = 0.032	$\begin{array}{c} 0.018 & (-0.075 - 0.11) \\ p = 0.71 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \ (-0.035 - 0.046) \\ p = 0.79 \end{array}$	-0.007 (-0.044 - 0.031) 0.007 (-0.034 - 0.048) p = 0.73 $p = 0.74$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.007 \ (-0.034 - 0.048) \\ p = 0.74 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.010 \ (-0.047 - 0.067) \\ p = 0.74 \end{array}$	-0.004 (-0.039 - 0.030) p = 0.80
p < 0.05	0.045(-0.019-0.11) p = 0.17	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.045 & (-0.019 - 0.11) & 0.018 & (-0.073 - 0.11) \\ p = 0.17 & p = 0.70 \end{array}$	$-0.004 \left(-0.045 - 0.037\right) - 0.025 \left(-0.075 - 0.025\right) 0.019 \left(-0.024 - 0.062\right) - 0.000 \left(-0.060 - 0.060\right) - 0.015 \left(-0.049 - 0.019\right) \\ p = 0.38 \qquad p = 0.32 \qquad p = 0.32 \qquad p = 0.38 \qquad p = 0.10 \qquad p = 0.39 \qquad p = 0.49 \qquad p = 0.39 \qquad p = 0.40 \qquad p = 0.39 \qquad p = 0.40 \qquad p = 0.39 \qquad p = 0.40 \qquad p = 0.4$	$-0.025 \left(-0.075 - 0.025\right)$ $p = 0.32$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 \ (-0.024 - 0.062) \\ p = 0.38 \end{array}$	-0.000 (-0.060-0.060) $p = 0.10$	-0.015(-0.049-0.019) p = 0.39
<i>p</i> < 0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017 \ (-0.029 - 0.063) \\ p = 0.47 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.015 \ (-0.042 - 0.072) \\ p = 0.60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	-0.017 ($-0.065-0.031$) p = 0.49	-0.017(-0.08-0.046) p=0.59	-0.005 (-0.044-0.034) $p = 0.80$	-0.026(-0.026-0.008) p = 0.13
One <i>p</i> -value < Lothian Birth (used for: LBC	One <i>p</i> -value <0.05 is in bold. All analyses were corrected for four multidimensional scaling components and number of non-missing genotypes used to calculate each risk score. ^a includes only Lothian Birth Cohort 1921 (LBC1921), Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 (LBC1936), and Aberdeen Birth Cohort 1936 (ABC1936). ^b includes only LBC1921 and LBC1936. ^c general memory ability used for: LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohort and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohort an	ss were corrected for four othian Birth Cohort 1936 nd Newcastle cohorts, Lo	ted for four multidimensional scaling components and number of non-missing genotypes used to calculate each risk score. ^a includes only Cohort 1936 (LBC1936), and Aberdeen Birth Cohort 1936 (ABC1936). ^b includes only LBC1921 and LBC1936. ^c general memory ability cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle	components and number en Birth Cohort 1936 (AF BC1921, and AVLT used	of non-missing genotype 3C1936). ^b includes only for ABC1936. ^d includes	ss used to calculate each r LBC1921 and LBC1936. only LBC1936 and the M	isk score. ^a includes only ^c general memory ability anchester and Newcastle

p = 0.47	p = 0.60	p = 0.76	p = 0.49	p = 0.59	p = 0.80	p = 0.13
One <i>p</i> -value <0.05 is in bold. All analyses were corrected for four multidimensional scaling components and number of non-missing genotypes used to calculate each risk score. ^a includes	ses were corrected for four	r multidimensional scaling	components and numbe	r of non-missing genotype	es used to calculate each ri	sk score. ^a includes
Lothian Birth Cohort 1921 (LBC1921), Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 (LBC1936), and Aberdeen Birth Cohort 1936 (ABC1936). ^b includes only LBC1921 and LBC1936. ^c general memory al	, Lothian Birth Cohort 193	6 (LBC1936), and Aberde	en Birth Cohort 1936 (A	BC1936). ^b includes only	LBC1921 and LBC1936.	general memory al
used for: LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts, Logical Memory used for LBC1921, and AVLT used for ABC1936. ^d includes only LBC1936 and the Manchester and Newc	and Newcastle cohorts, Lo	ogical Memory used for LI	3C1921, and AVLT used	for ABC1936. dincludes	only LBC1936 and the Ma	unchester and Newc
cohorts. ^e National Adult Reading Test used for J	used for LBC1921, LBC19	LBC1921, LBC1936 and ABC1936; Mill Hill Vocabulary test used for the Manchester and Newcastle cohorts.	Il Vocabulary test used fo	or the Manchester and Nev	vcastle cohorts.	

DISCUSSION

Polygenic risk scores for AD, created using a number of significance criteria, were not significantly associated with cognitive ability in later life or relative age-related cognitive change in the CAGES cohort. This indicates that, despite high frequency genetic variants accounting for approximately 24% of the variance of both AD and non-pathological cognitive aging, and 4-5% of the variance of both being accounted for by the APOE locus [14–16], the majority of the genetic variants might not overlap. This supports work from previous studies that found few significant effects of either single or multiple AD-associated genes on cognitive ability and or age-related cognitive decline in non-demented individuals [19, 38], although one study did find an association between CR1 and cognitive decline [38]. The single significant result, of a positive association between one of the AD polygenic risk scores and childhood cognitive ability, in the Scottish cohorts, may be a type 1 error, given its relatively high p value. It requires replication in other childhood cohorts. It is possible that a more accurate AD polygenic risk score created using effect sizes generated from a GWAS of a larger number of individuals could be significantly associated with cognitive ability and cognitive aging in the CAGES cohort. A limitation of this study is that the cognitive tests were not exactly the same in each cohort. However, it is well documented that general factors derived from different test batteries rank people almost identically and that individual tests assessing the same cognitive domain are highly correlated [39]. For the cognitive aging traits, the English cohorts measured aging over a shorter period of time than the Scottish cohorts. However, the laterlife change measured in the English cohorts will also have occurred in the Scottish cohorts. Therefore, all cohorts were appropriate for identifying associations with later-life cognitive aging. A second limitation is that a second AD cohort was not available to test whether or not a polygenic risk score for AD predicts AD in an independent AD cohort. In the future we plan to perform bivariate genome-wide complex trait analysis [40] to estimate the genetic correlation between AD in GERAD1 and cognitive aging in CAGES using genome-wide SNPs.

The results of this study indicate that the genetic etiologies of AD and non-pathological cognitive decline differ and that AD is not just the extreme end of a continuous spectrum of cognitive decline. We have >80%power to detect a correlation of r = 0.05 for the majority of the analyses and >90% power to detect a correlation of r=0.1 for all analyses. However, it is possible that individuals in this study will go on to develop a variety of non-AD dementias, each with its own genetic etiology, thus reducing the power to identify an association between an AD genetic risk score and non-pathological cognitive decline. Although the APOE $\varepsilon 4$ allele is a genetic risk factor for non-pathological cognitive aging in the CAGES cohorts [16], other genetic variants, not necessarily associated with AD, each with a very small effect, might ultimately contribute to the degree of cognitive decline that a non-demented individual experiences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the cohort participants who contributed to these studies. Genotyping of the CAGES cohorts were supported by the UK's Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC). Phenotype collection in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1921 was supported by the BBSRC, The Royal Society, and The Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government. Phenotype collection in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 was supported by Research Into Ageing (continues as part of Age UK's The Disconnected Mind project). Phenotype collection in the Aberdeen Birth Cohort 1936 was supported by BBSRC, the Wellcome Trust, and the Alzheimer's Research Trust. Phenotype collection in the Manchester and Newcastle Longitudinal Studies of Cognitive Ageing cohorts was supported by Social Science Research Council, Medical Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council. Research Into Ageing, Wellcome Trust and Unilever plc.

This study incorporated summary results from the GERAD1 genome-wide association study. GERAD1 acknowledgements: Cardiff University was supported by the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council (MRC), Alzheimer's Research Trust (ART) and the Welsh Assembly Government. ART supported sample collections at the Kings College London, the South West Dementia Bank, Universities of Cambridge, Nottingham, Manchester and Belfast. The Belfast group acknowledges support from the Alzheimer's Society, Ulster Garden Villages, N.Ireland R&D Office and the Royal College of Physicians/Dunhill Medical Trust. The MRC and Mercer's Institute for Research on Ageing supported the Trinity College group. The South West Dementia Brain Bank acknowledges support from Bristol Research into Alzheimer's and Care of the Elderly. The Charles Wolfson Charitable Trust supported the OPTIMA group. Washington University was funded by NIH grants, Barnes Jewish Foundation and the Charles and Joanne Knight Alzheimer's Research Initiative. Patient recruitment for the MRC Prion Unit/UCL Department of Neurodegenerative Disease collection was supported by the UCLH/UCL Biomedical Centre. LASER-AD was funded by Lundbeck SA. The Bonn group was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Competence Network Dementia and Competence Network Degenerative Dementia, and by the Alfried Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach-Stiftung. The GERAD1 Consortium also used samples ascertained by the NIMH AD Genetics Initiative. The KORA F4 studies were financed by Helmholtz Zentrum München; German Research Center for Environmental Health; BMBF; German National Genome Research Network and the Munich Center of Health Sciences. The Heinz Nixdorf Recall cohort was funded by the Heinz Nixdorf Foundation (Dr. jur. G.Schmidt, Chairman) and BMBF. Coriell Cell Repositories is supported by NINDS and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging. We acknowledge use of genotype data from the 1958 Birth Cohort collection, funded by the MRC and the Wellcome Trust which was genotyped by the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium and the Type-1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium, sponsored by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation International. The work for this study was undertaken in The University of Edinburgh Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, part of the cross council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing Initiative (G0700704/84698) and supported by researchers at the NIHR Queen Square Dementia BRU. Funding from the BBSRC, EPSRC, ESRC, and MRC is gratefully acknowledged.

Authors' disclosures available online (http://www.jalz.com/disclosures/view.php?id=1971).

GERAD1 authors: Denise Harold¹, Richard Abraham¹, Paul Hollingworth¹, Rebecca Sims¹, Amy Gerrish¹, Jade Chapman¹, Giancarlo Russo¹, Marian Hamshere¹, Jaspreet Singh Pahwa¹, Valentina Moskvina¹, Kimberley Dowzell¹, Amy Williams¹, Nicola Jones¹, Charlene Thomas¹, Alexandra Stretton¹, Angharad Morgan¹, Simon Lovestone², John Powell², Petroula Proitsi², Michelle K Lupton², Carol Brayne³, David C. Rubinsztein⁴, Michael Gill⁵, Brian Lawlor⁵, Aoibhinn Lynch⁵, Kevin Morgan⁶, Kristelle Brown⁶, Peter Passmore⁷, David

Craig⁷, Bernadette McGuinness⁷, Stephen Todd⁷, Janet Johnston⁷, Clive Holmes⁸, David Mann⁹, A. David Smith¹⁰, Seth Love¹¹, Patrick G. Kehoe¹¹, John Hardy¹², Simon Mead¹³, Nick Fox¹⁴, Martin Rossor¹⁴, John Collinge¹³, Wolfgang Maier¹⁵, Frank Jessen¹⁵, Reiner Heun¹⁵, Britta Schürmann¹⁵, Alfredo Ramirez¹⁵, Tim Becker⁴¹, Christine Herold⁴¹, André Lacour⁴¹, Dmitriy Drichel⁴¹, Hendrik van den Bussche¹⁶, Isabella Heuser¹⁷, Johannes Kornhuber¹⁸, Jens Wiltfang¹⁹, Martin Dichgans^{20,21}, Lutz Frölich²², Harald Hampel^{23,24}, Michael Hüll²⁵, Dan Rujescu²⁴, Alison Goate²⁶, John S.K. Kauwe²⁷, Carlos Cruchaga²⁶, Petra Nowotny²⁶, John C. Morris²⁶, Kevin Mayo²⁶, Gill Livingston²⁸, Nicholas J. Bass²⁸, Hugh Gurling²⁸, Andrew McQuillin²⁸, Rhian Gwilliam²⁹, Panagiotis Deloukas²⁹, Ammar Al-Chalabi³⁰, Christopher E. Shaw³⁰, Andrew B. Singleton³¹, Rita Guerreiro^{31,40}, Thomas W. Mühleisen^{32,33}, Markus M. Nöthen^{32,33}, Susanne Moebus³⁴, Karl-Heinz Jöckel³⁴, Norman Klopp³⁵, H-Erich Wichmann^{35,36,37}, Minerva M. Carrasquillo³⁸, V. Shane Pankratz³⁹, Steven G. Younkin³⁸, Peter Holmans¹, Michael O'Donovan¹, Michael J. Owen¹[†], Julie Williams¹

¹Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Neurosciences and Mental Health Research Institute, Department of Psychological Medicine and Neurology, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

²King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Denmark Hill, London, UK

³Institute of Public Health, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁴Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁵Mercer's Institute for Research on Aging, St. James Hospital and Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

⁶Institute of Genetics, Queen's Medical Centre, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁷Ageing Group, Centre for Public Health, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, UK

⁸Division of Clinical Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

⁹Clinical Neuroscience Research Group, Greater Manchester Neurosciences Centre, University of Manchester, Salford, UK

¹⁰Oxford Project to Investigate Memory and Ageing (OPTIMA), University of Oxford, Level 4, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK ¹¹University of Bristol Institute of Clinical Neurosciences, School of Clinical Sciences, Frenchay Hospital, Bristol, UK

¹²Department of Molecular Neuroscience and Reta Lilla Weston Laboratories, Institute of Neurology, London, UK

¹³MRC Prion Unit, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK

¹⁴Dementia Research Centre, Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, University College London, Institute of Neurology, London, UK

¹⁵Department of Psychiatry, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

¹⁶Institute of Primary Medical Care, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany

¹⁷Department of Psychiatry, Charité Berlin, Germany

¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Erlangen, Nürnberg, Germany

¹⁹LVR-Hospital Essen, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany

²⁰Institute for Stroke and Dementia Reserach, Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany

²¹Department of Neurology, Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany

²²Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Germany

²³Discipline of Psychiatry, School of Medicine and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, Laboratory of Neuroimaging & Biomarker Research, Trinity College, University of Dublin, Ireland

²⁴Alzheimer Memorial Center and Geriatric Psychiatry Branch, Department of Psychiatry, Ludwig-Maximilian University, Munich, Germany

²⁵Centre for Geriatric Medicine and Section of Gerontopsychiatry and Neuropsychology, University of Freiburg, Germany

²⁶Departments of Psychiatry, Neurology and Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA

²⁷Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA

²⁸Department of Mental Health Sciences, University College London, UK

²⁹The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

³⁰MRC Centre for Neurodegeneration Research, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, London, UK ³¹Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

³²Department of Genomics, Life & Brain Center, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

³³Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

³⁴Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, University Hospital of Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

³⁵Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

³⁶Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Chair of Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany

³⁷Klinikum Grosshadern, Munich, Germany

³⁸Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Jacksonville, Florida, USA

³⁹Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Mayo Clinic and Mayo Foundation, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

⁴⁰Department of Molecular Neuroscience, Institute of Neurology, University College London, Queen Square, London, UK

⁴¹Deutsches Zentrum für Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen (DZNE), Bonn, Germany

REFERENCES

- [1] (2007) Dementia UK Report, http://www.alzheimers.org .uk/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID=2.
- [2] Welsh KA, Butters N, Hughes JP, Mohs RC, Heyman A (1992) Detection and staging of dementia in Alzheimer's Disease. Use of the neuropsychological measures developed for the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease. *Arch Neurol* 49, 448-452.
- [3] Hardy J, Selkoe DJ (2002) The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: Progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. *Science* 297, 353-356.
- [4] Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Williams A, Jones N, Thomas C, Stretton A, Morgan AR, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Morgan K, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, Mann D, Smith AD, Love S, Kehoe PG, Hardy J, Mead S, Fox N. Rossor M. Collinge J. Maier W. Jessen F. Schurmann B, van den Bussche H, Heuser I, Kornhuber J, Wiltfang J, Dichgans M, Frolich L, Hampel H, Hull M, Rujescu D, Goate AM, Kauwe JS, Cruchaga C, Nowotny P, Morris JC, Mayo K, Sleegers K, Bettens K, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP, Van BC, Livingston G, Bass NJ, Gurling H, McQuillin A, Gwilliam R, Deloukas P, Al-Chalabi A, Shaw CE, Tsolaki M, Singleton AB, Guerreiro R, Muhleisen TW, Nothen MM, Moebus S, Jockel KH, Klopp N, Wichmann HE, Carrasquillo MM, Pankratz VS, Younkin SG, Holmans PA, O'Donovan

M, Owen MJ, Williams J (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1088-1093.

- [5] Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, Hiltunen M, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Bullido MJ, Tavernier B, Letenneur L, Bettens K, Berr C, Pasquier F, Fievet N, Barberger-Gateau P, Engelborghs S, De DP, Mateo I, Franck A, Helisalmi S, Porcellini E, Hanon O, de Pancorbo MM, Lendon C, Dufouil C, Jaillard C, Leveillard T, Alvarez V, Bosco P, Mancuso M, Panza F, Nacmias B, Bossu P, Piccardi P, Annoni G, Seripa D, Galimberti D, Hannequin D, Licastro F, Soininen H, Ritchie K, Blanche H, Dartigues JF, Tzourio C, Gut I, Van BC, Alperovitch A, Lathrop M, Amouyel P (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1094-1099.
- [6] Goate A, Chartier-Harlin MC, Mullan M, Brown J, Crawford F, Fidani L, Giuffra L, Haynes A, Irving N, James L (1991) Segregation of a missense mutation in the amyloid precursor protein gene with familial Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 349, 704-706.
- [7] Cruts M, Hendriks L, Van BC (1996) The presenilin genes: A new gene family involved in Alzheimer disease pathology. *Hum Mol Genet* 5 Spec No 1449-1455.
- [8] Rogaev EI, Sherrington R, Rogaeva EA, Levesque G, Ikeda M, Liang Y, Chi H, Lin C, Holman K, Tsuda T, Mar L, Sorbi S, Nacmias B, Piacentini S, Amaducci L, Chumakov I, Cohen D, Lannfelt L, Fraser PE, Rommens JM, George-Hyslop St, PH (1995) Familial Alzheimer's disease in kindreds with missense mutations in a gene on chromosome 1 related to the Alzheimer's disease type 3 gene. *Nature* 376, 775-778.
- [9] Alonso Vilatela ME, Lopez-Lopez M, Yescas-Gomez P (2012) Genetics of Alzheimer's disease. Arch Med Res 43, 622-631.
- [10] Schellenberg GD, Montine TJ (2012) The genetics and neuropathology of Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol 124, 305-323.
- [11] Bertram L, Lill CM, Tanzi RE (2010) The genetics of Alzheimer disease: Back to the future. *Neuron* 68, 270-281.
- [12] Harris SE, Deary IJ (2011) The genetics of cognitive ability and cognitive ageing in healthy older people. *Trends Cogn Sci* 15, 388-394.
- [13] Davies G, Tenesa A, Payton A, Yang J, Harris SE, Liewald D, Ke X, Le HS, Christoforou A, Luciano M, McGhee K, Lopez L, Gow AJ, Corley J, Redmond P, Fox HC, Haggarty P, Whalley LJ, McNeill G, Goddard ME, Espeseth T, Lundervold AJ, Reinvang I, Pickles A, Steen VM, Ollier W, Porteous DJ, Horan M, Starr JM, Pendleton N, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2011) Genome-wide association studies establish that human intelligence is highly heritable and polygenic. *Mol Psychiatry* 16, 996-1005.
- [14] Deary IJ, Yang J, Davies G, Harris SE, Tenesa A, Liewald D, Luciano M, Lopez LM, Gow AJ, Corley J, Redmond P, Fox HC, Rowe SJ, Haggarty P, McNeill G, Goddard ME, Porteous DJ, Whalley LJ, Starr JM, Visscher PM (2012) Genetic contributions to stability and change in intelligence from childhood to old age. *Nature* 482, 212-215.
- [15] Lee SH, Harold D, Nyholt DR, Goddard ME, Zondervan KT, Williams J, Montgomery GW, Wray NR, Visscher PM (2013) Estimation and partitioning of polygenic variation captured by common SNPs for Alzheimer's disease, multiple sclerosis and endometriosis. *Hum Mol Genet* 22, 832-841.
- [16] Davies G, Harris SE, Reynolds CA, Payton A, Knight HM, Liewald DC, Lopez LM, Luciano M, Gow AJ, Corley J,

Henderson R, Murray C, Pattie A, Fox HC, Redmond P, Lutz MW, Chiba-Falek O, Linnertz C, Saith S, Haggarty P, McNeill G, Ke X, Ollier W, Horan M, Roses AD, Ponting CP, Porteous DJ, Tenesa A, Pickles A, Starr JM, Whalley LJ, Pedersen NL, Pendleton N, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2012) A genome-wide association study implicates the APOE locus in nonpathological cognitive ageing. *Mol Psychiatry*, doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.159

- [17] So HC, Gui AH, Cherny SS, Sham PC (2011) Evaluating the heritability explained by known susceptibility variants: A survey of ten complex diseases. *Genet Epidemiol* 35, 310-317.
- [18] McIntosh AM, Gow A, Luciano M, Davies G, Liewald DC, Harris SE, Corley J, Hall J, Starr JM, Porteous DJ, Tenesa A, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2013) Polygenic risk for schizophrenia is associated with cognitive change between childhood and old age. *Biol Psychiatry* **73**, 938-943.
- [19] Verhaaren BF, Vernooij MW, Koudstaal PJ, Uitterlinden AG, van Duijn CM, Hofman A, Breteler MM, Ikram MA (2013) Alzheimer's disease genes and cognition in the nondemented general population. *Biol Psychiatry* **73**, 429-434.
- [20] Scottish Council for Research in Education (1933) The Intelligence of Scottish Children: A National Survey of an Age-Group, University of London Press, London.
- [21] Deary IJ, Whiteman MC, Starr JM, Whalley LJ, Fox HC (2004) The impact of childhood intelligence on later life: Following up the Scottish mental surveys of 1932 and 1947. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 86, 130-147.
- [22] Deary IJ, Gow AJ, Pattie A, Starr JM (2012) Cohort profile: The Lothian Birth Cohorts of 1921 and 1936. *Int J Epidemiol* 41, 1576-1584.
- [23] Wechsler D (1987) Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised, Psychological Corporation, New York.
- [24] Nelson HE, Willison JR (1991) National Adult Reading Reading Test (NART) Test Manual (Part 2), Windsor, England.
- [25] Scottish Council for Research in Education (1949) The Trend of Scottish Intelligence: A Comparison of the 1947 and 1932 Surveys of the Intelligence of Eleven-Year-Old Pupils, University of London Press, London.
- [26] Deary IJ, Gow AJ, Taylor MD, Corley J, Brett C, Wilson V, Campbell H, Whalley LJ, Visscher PM, Porteous DJ, Starr JM (2007) The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936: A study to examine influences on cognitive ageing from age 11 to age 70 and beyond. *BMC Geriatr* 7, 28.
- [27] Wechsler D (1998) WAIS-IIIUK Administration and Scoring Manual, Psychological Corporation, London, UK.
- [28] Luciano M, Gow AJ, Harris SE, Hayward C, Allerhand M, Starr JM, Visscher PM, Deary IJ (2009) Cognitive ability at age 11 and 70 years, information processing speed, and APOE variation: The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 study. *Psychol Aging* 24, 129-138.
- [29] Wechsler D (1998) WMS-IIIUK Administration and Scoring Manual, Psychological Corporation, London, UK.
- [30] Houlihan LM, Wyatt ND, Harris SE, Hayward C, Gow AJ, Marioni RE, Strachan MW, Price JF, Starr JM, Wright AF, Deary IJ (2010) Variation in the uric acid transporter gene (SLC2A9) and memory performance. *Hum Mol Genet* 19, 2321-2330.
- [31] Lezak M (1995) *Neuropsychological Testing*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.
- [32] Rabbitt PMA, McInnes L, Diggle P, Holland F, Bent N, Abson V, Pendleton N, Horan M (2004) The University of Manchester Longitudinal Study of Cognition in Normal Healthy Old Age, 1983 through 2003. *Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* 11, 245-279.

- [33] Lopez LM, Harris SE, Luciano M, Liewald D, Davies G, Gow AJ, Tenesa A, Payton A, Ke X, Whalley LJ, Fox H, Haggerty P, Ollier W, Pickles A, Porteous DJ, Horan MA, Pendleton N, Starr JM, Deary IJ (2012) Evolutionary conserved longevity genes and human cognitive abilities in elderly cohorts. *Eur J Hum Genet* 20, 341-347.
- [34] Raven JC (1965) *The Mill Hill Vocabulary Scale*, H.K, Lewis, London.
- [35] Purcell SM, Wray NR, Stone JL, Visscher PM, O'Donovan MC, Sullivan PF, Sklar P (2009) Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* 460, 748-752.
- [36] Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC (2007) PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 81, 559-575.
- [37] Hamilton G, Harris SE, Davies G, Liewald DC, Tenesa A, Starr JM, Porteous D, Deary IJ (2011) Alzheimer's disease genes are associated with measures of cognitive ageing in the Lothian Birth Cohorts of 1921 and 1936. *Int J Alzheimers Dis* 2011, 505984.
- [38] Chibnik LB, Shulman JM, Leurgans SE, Schneider JA, Wilson RS, Tran D, Aubin C, Buchman AS, Heward CB, Myers AJ, Hardy JA, Huentelman MJ, Corneveaux JJ, Reiman EM, Evans DA, Bennett DA, de Jager PL (2011) CR1 is associated with amyloid plaque burden and age-related cognitive decline. *Ann Neurol* 69, 560-569.
- [39] Johnson W, Nijenhuis J, Bouchard TJ (2008) Still just one g: Consistent results from five test batteries. *Intelligence* 32, 81-95.
- [40] Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Lee SH, Ripke S, Neale BM, Faraone SV, Purcell SM, Perlis RH, Mowry BJ, Thapar A, Goddard ME, Witte JS, Absher D, Agartz I, Akil H, Amin F, Andreassen OA, Anjorin A, Anney R, Anttila V, Arking DE, Asherson P, Azevedo MH, Backlund L, Badner JA, Bailey AJ, Banaschewski T, Barchas JD, Barnes MR, Barrett TB, Bass N, Battaglia A, Bauer M, Bayés M, Bellivier F, Bergen SE, Berrettini W, Betancur C, Bettecken T, Biederman J, Binder EB, Black DW, Blackwood DH, Bloss CS, Boehnke M, Boomsma DI, Breen G, Breuer R, Bruggeman R, Cormican P, Buccola NG, Buitelaar JK, Bunney WE, Buxbaum JD, Byerley WF, Byrne EM, Caesar S, Cahn W, Cantor RM, Casas M, Chakravarti A, Chambert K, Choudhury K, Cichon S, Cloninger CR, Collier DA, Cook EH, Coon H, Cormand B, Corvin A, Coryell WH, Craig DW, Craig IW, Crosbie J, Cuccaro ML, Curtis D, Czamara D, Datta S, Dawson G, Day R, De Geus EJ, Degenhardt F, Djurovic S, Donohoe GJ, Doyle AE, Duan J, Dudbridge F, Duketis E, Ebstein RP, Edenberg HJ, Elia J, Ennis S, Etain B, Fanous A, Farmer AE, Ferrier IN, Flickinger M, Fombonne E, Foroud T, Frank J, Franke B, Fraser C, Freedman R, Freimer NB, Freitag CM, Friedl M, Frisén L, Gallagher L, Gejman PV, Georgieva L, Gershon ES, Geschwind DH, Giegling I, Gill M, Gordon SD, Gordon-Smith K, Green EK, Greenwood TA, Grice DE, Gross M, Grozeva D, Guan W, Gurling H,

De Haan L, Haines JL, Hakonarson H, Hallmayer J, Hamilton SP, Hamshere ML, Hansen TF, Hartmann AM, Hautzinger M, Heath AC, Henders AK, Herms S, Hickie IB, Hipolito M, Hoefels S, Holmans PA, Holsboer F, Hoogendijk WJ, Hottenga JJ, Hultman CM, Hus V, Ingason A, Ising M, Jamain S, Jones EG, Jones I, Jones L, Tzeng JY, Kähler AK, Kahn RS, Kandaswamy R, Keller MC, Kennedy JL, Kenny E, Kent L, Kim Y, Kirov GK, Klauck SM, Klei L, Knowles JA, Kohli MA, Koller DL, Konte B, Korszun A, Krabbendam L, Krasucki R, Kuntsi J, Kwan P, Landén M, Långström N, Lathrop M, Lawrence J, Lawson WB, Leboyer M, Ledbetter DH, Lee PH, Lencz T, Lesch KP, Levinson DF, Lewis CM, Li J, Lichtenstein P, Lieberman JA, Lin DY, Linszen DH, Liu C, Lohoff FW, Loo SK, Lord C, Lowe JK, Lucae S, Macintyre DJ, Madden PA, Maestrini E, Magnusson PK, Mahon PB, Maier W, Malhotra AK, Mane SM, Martin CL, Martin NG, Mattheisen M, Matthews K, Mattingsdal M, McCarroll SA, McGhee KA, McGough JJ, McGrath PJ, McGuffin P, McInnis MG, McIntosh A, McKinney R, McLean AW, McMahon FJ, McMahon WM, McQuillin A, Medeiros H, Medland SE, Meier S, Melle I, Meng F, Meyer J, Middeldorp CM, Middleton L, Milanova V, Miranda A, Monaco AP, Montgomery GW, Moran JL, Moreno-De-Luca D, Morken G, Morris DW, Morrow EM, Moskvina V, Muglia P, Mühleisen TW, Muir WJ, Müller-Myhsok B, Murtha M, Myers RM, Myin-Germeys I, Neale MC, Nelson SF, Nievergelt CM, Nikolov I, Nimgaonkar V, Nolen WA, Nöthen MM, Nurnberger JI, Nwulia EA, Nyholt DR, O'Dushlaine C, Oades RD, Olincy A, Oliveira G, Olsen L, Ophoff RA, Osby U, Owen MJ, Palotie A, Parr JR, Paterson AD, Pato CN, Pato MT, Penninx BW, Pergadia ML, Pericak-Vance MA, Pickard BS, Pimm J, Piven J, Posthuma D, Potash JB, Poustka F, Propping P, Puri V, Quested DJ, Quinn EM, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Rasmussen HB, Raychaudhuri S, Rehnström K, Reif A, Ribasés M, Rice JP, Rietschel M, Roeder K, Roeyers H, Rossin L, Rothenberger A, Rouleau G, Ruderfer D, Rujescu D, Sanders AR, Sanders SJ, Santangelo SL, Sergeant JA, Schachar R, Schalling M, Schatzberg AF, Scheftner WA, Schellenberg GD, Scherer SW, Schork NJ, Schulze TG, Schumacher J, Schwarz M, Scolnick E, Scott LJ, Shi J, Shilling PD, Shyn SI, Silverman JM, Slager SL, Smalley SL. Smit JH. Smith EN. Sonuga-Barke EJ. St Clair D. State M, Steffens M, Steinhausen HC, Strauss JS, Strohmaier J, Stroup TS, Sutcliffe JS, Szatmari P, Szelinger S, Thirumalai S. Thompson RC, Todorov AA, Tozzi F. Treutlein J. Uhr M. van den Oord EJ, Van Grootheest G, Van Os J, Vicente AM, Vieland VJ, Vincent JB, Visscher PM, Walsh CA, Wassink TH, Watson SJ, Weissman MM, Werge T, Wienker TF, Wijsman EM, Willemsen G, Williams N, Willsey AJ, Witt SH, Xu W, Young AH, Yu TW, Zammit S, Zandi PP, Zhang P, Zitman FG, Zöllner S, International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium (IIBDGC), Devlin B, Kelsoe JR, Sklar P, Daly MJ, O'Donovan MC, Craddock N, Sullivan PF, Smoller JW, Kendler KS, Wray NR (2013) Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genome-wide SNPs. Nat Genet 45, 984-994.

574