

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

A hybrid molecular-continuum simulation method for incompressible flows in micro/nanofluidic networks

Citation for published version:

Borg, MK, Lockerby, DA & Reese, JM 2013, 'A hybrid molecular-continuum simulation method for incompressible flows in micro/nanofluidic networks' Microfluidics and nanofluidics, vol 15, no. 4, pp. 541-557., 10.1007/s10404-013-1168-y

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1007/s10404-013-1168-y

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Author final version (often known as postprint)

Published In: Microfluidics and nanofluidics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



A hybrid molecular-continuum simulation method for incompressible flows in micro/nanofluidic networks

Matthew K. Borg · Duncan A. Lockerby · Jason M. Reese

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We present a hybrid molecular-continuum simulation method for modelling nano and micro flows in network-type systems. In these types of problem, a full molecular dynamics (MD) description of the macroscopic flow behaviour would be computationally intractable junctions), successively moving closer to macroscopic or at least too expensive to be practical for engineering design purposes. Systems that exhibit multiscale traits, such as this, can instead be solved using a hybrid approach that separates the problem into macroscopic and microscopic dynamics, modelled by their respective solvers. The technique presented in this paper is an extension and addition to a hybrid method developed by the authors for high-aspect-ratio channel geometries, known as the internal-flow multiscale method (IMM) (Borg et al. 2013, J. Comput. Phys. **233**, pp 400–413). Computational savings are obtained by replacing long channels in the network, which are highly scale-separated, by much smaller, but representative, MD simulations, without a substantial loss of accuracy. On the other hand, junction components do not exhibit this length-scale separation, and so must be simulated in their entirety using MD. The current technique combines all network elements (junctions and channels) together in a coupled simulation using continuum conservation laws. For the case of steady, isothermal, incompressible, low-speed flows we use the conservation of mass and momentum flux equations to derive

M. K. Borg, J. M. Reese Department of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XJ, UK E-mail: matthew.borg@strath.ac.uk E-mail: jason.reese@strath.ac.uk

D. A. Lockerby School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK E-mail: duncan.lockerby@warwick.ac.uk

a set of molecular-continuum constraints. An algorithm is presented here that computes at each iteration the new constraints on the pressure differences to be applied over individual MD micro elements (channels and mass and momentum conservation. We show that hybrid simulations of some example network cases converge quickly, in only a few iterations, and compare very well to the corresponding full MD results, which are taken as the most accurate solutions. Major computational savings can be afforded by the IMM-type approximation in the channel components, but for steadystate solutions, even greater savings are possible. This is because the micro elements are coupled to a steadystate continuum conservation expression, which greatly speeds up the relaxation of individual micro components to steady conditions as compared to that of a full MD simulation. Unsteady problems with high temporal scale separation can also be simulated, but general transient problems are beyond the capabilities of the current technique.

Keywords multiscale simulations \cdot hybrid methods \cdot molecular dynamics \cdot coupled solvers \cdot scale-separation \cdot microfluidics · nanofluidics

1 Introduction

Molecular Dynamics (MD) is a useful numerical tool for probing microscopic phenomena and non-equilibrium dynamics in merging nano- and microfluidic technologies. MD can capture macroscopic hydrodynamics, or even operate as an *ab initio* tool for continuum-based solutions in which it provides the microscopic constitutive or boundary information [17,28,35]. However its demand for massive computational power as system size and simulation time scale upwards, prohibits simulations (even run on modern processing clusters) much above the nano-scale. So there has been a recent methodological drive to optimise the use of MD by coupling smaller domain sizes to computationally cheaper continuum solutions, creating a hybrid model for a given flow geometry (see [24] for a comprehensive review).

In this paper we propose such a hybrid method for simulating flows in networks of micro/nano channels, for which Navier-Stokes solutions are inaccurate (because the smallest important scale of the network geometry means the use of conventional boundary conditions and constitutive models are invalid) and Molecular Dynamics is too computationally expensive (because of the largest scale of the network geometry). Many applications fall into this class of multiscale network flow. For example, lab-on-a-chip devices for health diagnostics [15]; flows through nanopipe membranes for sea-water desalination [23], or air-purification [22]; and miniaturised heat exchangers for cooling electronic circuits [42].

Hybrid molecular-continuum techniques developed so far for dense fluids can be grouped as follows: (a) domain-decomposition methods [27, 11, 39, 7, 26] and (b) heterogenous multiscaling [31,43,9,2]. Domain-decompositi6nstrained by a minimum dimension in MD (e.g., ~3 (DD) is a methodology suitable for flows next to bounding surfaces or interfaces. The DD method applies an MD solver to the boundary/interface, coupled to a continuum solver by matching hydrodynamic flux or state properties solely in an overlapping region (see Fig. 1a,b). DD methods have some serious disadvantages in certain classes of flow [13]. For example, a major disadvantage for solving the kind of micro/nano network problems in this paper is that the majority of the flow can be considered 'near-wall', as we show in Figure 1(b) for a generic flow geometry: the MD component needs to be applied along the entire length of the channel walls in order to capture the slip and near-wall atomistic effects. As a consequence this restricts the channel lengths and heights that can be modelled practically by DD. The coupling method may also not work properly if the viscosity selected in the continuum grid does not match exactly that which is derived from the fluid in the MD sub-domains [7].

The heterogeneous multiscale method, known as HMM [8], is more appropriate for simulating multiscale fluidic network systems (see Fig. 1c). In HMM, a continuum macro model is regarded as applicable in the whole domain and defined everywhere (although no assumptions regarding constitutive models or boundary conditions are made); unlike domain-decomposition there is no distinct macro/micro partitioning involved. Small micro elements are introduced into the multiscale tech-

nique by dispersing them only on nodes of the continuum computational grid, with the sole objective being to provide the microscopic information and physics that the macro model does not incorporate. For a flow in a channel or pipe, for example, MD micro elements are placed on the surface and in the fluid region, as illustrated in Figure 1(c). Non-equilibrium MD (NEMD) simulations are carried out using the local continuum strain-rate as a constraint, and from which only the necessary information is measured and supplied to the macro model to close the continuum governing equations. The shear-stress measured in micro boxes located in the bulk of the fluid replaces any form of constitutive relation or requirement for transport coefficients, while wall micro elements measure accurate slip velocity and shear-stress, replacing any need for phenomenological slip boundary conditions [31].

The main disadvantage of HMM is that it is not suitable for modelling very narrow parts of a fluidic channel system, since micro elements can then be forced to overlap (as seen in Fig. 1(c)), which is less efficient and less accurate than full MD. In the cases presented in this paper, the channel heights are of the same order as the sizes of individual micro elements, which are typically nm for simple Lennard–Jones fluids). A reasonably fine macro grid is also required for a good approximation of the hydrodynamic variables in the transverse directions (e.g., the velocity profile). While the number of grid points and sizes of micro elements in Fig. 1(c) are drawn for clarity of illustration, they are in fact greater in number, proportionally much larger in size and therefore considerably overlapping.

In this paper we build on our recent method called the internal-flow multiscale method (IMM) [4] (see Fig. 1(d)). The IMM is a hybrid method tailored to studying flows in high-aspect-ratio channels. The exploitable multiscale feature of this type of flow configuration is the existence of length-scale separation between hydrodynamic processes occurring along the direction of flow (characterised, say, by the length of the channel), and molecular processes occurring on scales transverse to the flow direction (characterised, for example, by the channel height). As such, in this method every micro element covers the entire cross-section of the channel/tube, enabling the use of simple parallel-wall MD simulations. As a result, the method does not suffer from non-periodicity requirements as in DD; the common periodic boundary condition in this case can be applied in every direction.

In the IMM coupling method, micro elements do not communicate directly with each other. Instead they interact indirectly via constraints applied by the local



(c) The heterogeneous multiscale method (HMM)

macro

macro

(d) The internal-flow multiscale method (IMM)

Fig. 1 Schematic of different types of hybrid methods applied to (a) a generic internal flow problem: (b) the domain decomposition method (DD), e.g. [27], (c) the heterogenous multiscale method (HMM), e.g. [31], and (d) the internal-flow multiscale method (IMM) [4].

macroscopic component of the simulation. From the macroscopic perspective, the conservation of mass and momentum is a perfectly adequate description for channel flows. No constitutive behaviour or slip is required in the continuum formulation because this is provided (indirectly) by the micro elements. The IMM algorithm consists of a series of iterations, which involve a twoway exchange of information between macro and micro constituents until convergence is obtained. It first imposes individual pressure gradients to every micro element using common uniform 'gravity'-type forcing techniques. Mass fluxes in these micro simulations are then measured and, following a continuity constraint, a corrected set of pressure gradients are computed for the next iteration.

While the IMM method presented in [4] is capable of capturing variations in pressure and velocity within a channel of high aspect ratio, it is not accurate in 'junction' components, where abrupt changes in channel geometries can occur and no obvious scale separation exists. For example a reservoir inlet/exit junction cannot make use of micro resolution using the parallel– wall micro elements of [4]. Even if the micro-resolution is increased in junction regions, micro elements will be forced to overlap and thus will be far more computationally costly and less accurate than a full MD approach.

In this paper we propose a method for simulating multiscale fluidic networks by coupling an IMM-type [4] approach for high-aspect-ratio channels with full MD simulations of the connecting junction components. Fig. 2 provides an illustration of this concept. As such, the scope of the current method is more general than IMM [4] alone, and will enable the study of realistic fluidic systems for NEMS/MEMS applications.

We maintain the same flow assumptions as in [4], i.e. low speed, isothermal, incompressible, steady flows. In this adaptation of the hybrid methodology, and for



Fig. 2 Schematic of (a) a simple nanofluidics serial network connected between two reservoirs, (b) the segmented network into channels and junctions, and (c) the multiscale decomposition simplified into separate MD sub-domains.

increased generality, the macro-to-micro constraint procedure is modified so that individual pressure drops across junction and channel components can be applied (rather than pressure gradients as in IMM). The microto-macro constraint remains similar to IMM, and consists of measuring mass fluxes through disconnected micro elements, and subsequently readjusting the applied pressure drops to conserve overall mass flux. In this hybrid approach, the computational saving is obtained only from long scale-separated channel sections that are replaced by shorter, but hydrodynamically equivalent, periodic channels. There is no computational advantage from simulating junction components, except that smaller MD simulations in the hybrid approach can converge far quicker to steady-state than they would in the larger full MD system, since coupling is performed with steady-state continuum conservation equations.

The paper is set out as follows. We first describe the general multiscale method in Section 2, followed by testing and validation on flows through simple nanochannel networks in Section 3.

2 The hybrid method

A nanofluidics network typically consists of a number of different components, in two categories: *junctions* and *channels*. Some examples of junctions include kinks, bends, localised channel defects, mixers, pumping chambers, bifurcation channel connectors (T- and Y- shapes) and so on. Our hybrid algorithm models each junction and channel using MD sub-domains (hereon referred to as *micro elements*) that are disconnected from each other in the streamwise direction. Each micro element covers the entire height of the channel, and includes a molecular description of both the bounding wall and liquid. In this way, micro elements provide an accurate prediction of the local mass flux and pressure loss caused by wall–liquid and liquid–liquid molecular interactions.

Junctions typically do not exhibit exploitable lengthscale separation, i.e. there is no obvious way in which a multiscale approach can be used to reduce the computational burden of simulating the junction region using MD. However, in NEMS/MEMS devices the channel/tube components will tend to be much longer in their length (or some other scale that characterises their geometry in the streamwise direction) than the characteristic scale of their cross-section. Therefore, computational savings can be made by applying a multiscale approach to these long channel components that connect the junctions. The fluid properties in the streamwise direction of nanoscale channels are either uniform or only gradually varying. It is therefore very reasonable, in terms of accuracy, if these long channels are modelled by shorter periodic MD elements, as demonstrated in [4]. Note, despite the fact that a form of scale separation exists in these nano/micro channel elements, there is not likely to be Navier-Stokes behaviour [37], nor is this assumed in the method.

Figure 2(a) illustrates a simple nanofluidics network configuration in which inlet and outlet reservoirs are set at different pressures. The pressure drop $\Delta p = p_{in} - p_{out}$ generates a flow through the long channels and the junction of its midpoint, where p_{in} is the inlet pressure and p_{out} is the pressure at the outlet. The junction in the middle could represent any sort of nanoflow device or a local defect in the material, for example.

The network is decomposed into channel and junction components as shown in Fig. 2(b). Note that each junction incorporates also an extra channel section of reasonable length so that local entrance/exit effects are contained within the junction simulation. First we will describe the method for coupling the disconnected MD micro elements shown in Fig. 2(b), then we will describe how scale separation can be exploited in the channel elements to make this a multiscale method (Fig. 2(c)).

2.1 Coupling methodology

Mass conservation is the foundation of the coupling approach. In steady state, and for the serial-type network shown in Fig. 2, we require that the mass flow through each micro element to be equal (and thus equal to the mean):

$$\dot{m}_i = \frac{1}{\Pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\Pi} \dot{m}_j = \overline{\dot{m}}, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \Pi, \tag{1}$$

where \dot{m}_i denotes the mass flow rate in the *i*th of Π micro elements, and $\overline{\dot{m}}$ is the mean mass flux across all the elements. Note that in non-periodic junctions of a network, molecules must therefore be created/deleted at the inlet/outlet at the same rate. At the beginning of the coupling procedure the mass flow rates in each micro element are unequal, and only approach a solution to Eqn. (1) after the iterative scheme described below is performed; the mass flux common to all micro elements (\overline{m} in Eqn. 1) is thus an output of the converged coupled simulation.

In this paper, for simplicity, we restrict our attention to incompressible isothermal flow through the network. Given this, a constant density and temperature is specified. The total pressure difference Δp between the first and last element of the network, which is specified by the problem (i.e. an input to the coupled simulation), is related to pressure drops across all micro elements by:

$$\Delta p = \sum_{i=1}^{\Pi} \Delta p_i, \tag{2}$$

where Δp_i is the pressure drop over the *i*th micro element.

2.2 Numerical scheme

The coupling we propose is an iterative scheme to enforce the mass flow rate and pressure difference constraints, Eqns. (1) and (2), respectively. The simulation is stopped once all micro elements converge to a single value of mass flux (given a predefined convergence criterion), from Eqn. (1). Given the reasonable assumption that, in the steady state, \dot{m}_i varies monotonically with Δp_i , a straightforward iteration scheme towards a common mass flow rate in each of the micro elements is provided by:

$$\Delta p_i^{l+1} = \Delta p_i^{l} + \gamma_i \left(\overline{\dot{m}}^{l+1} - \dot{m}_i^l \right), \qquad (3)$$

where l is the iteration index, and γ_i is a relaxation coefficient for each micro element. Inspection of Eqn. (3) reveals that as the mass flow rate in each micro element converges to the mean (and Eqn. (1) is satisfied), the pressure drop in each micro element will cease to be updated. The mean mass flux \overline{m} is implicitly defined, i.e. it is evaluated at the updated iteration index l + 1. This means that Eqn. (3) represents Π equations with $\Pi + 1$ unknowns. The missing equation is provided by the pressure-difference constraint, Eqn. (2). The system of linear equations given by Eqns. (2) and (3) can thus be solved using LU decomposition or similar.

What must be determined at each iteration, before the system of equations can be solved, are the individual relaxation coefficients γ_i for each micro element. We base these on approximating a linear relationship between Δp_i and \dot{m}_i :

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\Delta p_i^{\ l}}{\dot{m}_i^l}.\tag{4}$$

Note, the accuracy of this approximation does not affect the converged solution, just the speed of convergence. Eqn. (3) can therefore be reduced to a simpler form:

$$\Delta p_i^{l+1} = \Delta p_i^{l} \left(\frac{\overline{\dot{m}}^{l+1}}{\dot{m}_i^{l}} \right).$$
(5)

The channel components of the network are typically long narrow geometries (e.g. a carbon nanotube). Given that entrance and exit effects are contained within the junction components (as described above), the flow in these channels can be approximated accurately by short periodic channel micro elements with the same pressure gradient applied. For example, if a full-scale channel is L_i in length, with a pressure drop of Δp_i , then this can be approximated by a periodic channel of the same dimensions except that its new length L'_i is equal to L_i/g_i with a pressure drop $\Delta p'_i$ equal to $\Delta p_i/g_i$ (see Fig. 2(c)). The dimensionless factor g_i represents the speed-up for treating this component as a shorter micro element — and is referred to here as the numerical gearing of the multiscale component.

This multiscale approach for the efficient simulation of channel elements is a form of the IMM presented in [4]. More general high-aspect-ratio geometries, as opposed to ones with constant cross-section can be considered in this full network model, as illustrated in the example of Fig. 2.

2.3 Algorithm

We now describe our iterative hybrid algorithm applied to multiscale serial network configurations:

1. The initial condition at iteration l = 0 starts with an estimated value of pressure difference on each element: $\Delta p_i = L_i \Delta p/L$, where L_i is the streamwise length of the *i*th micro element, and L is the total length of the configuration, inlet to outlet.

- 2. Run all micro element MD simulations at their applied pressure differences, Δp_i , until steady state. At steady state, measure the mass fluxes, \dot{m}_i^l , in all micro elements.
- 3. New iteration, l + 1.
- 4. Solve the system of Eqns. (2) and (5), to obtain a corrected pressure difference, Δp_i^{l+1} , and a closer estimate of mass flux, $\overline{\dot{m}}^{l+1}$.
- 5. Repeat from 2 until a convergence criterion is met:

$$\zeta_i^{l+1} < \zeta^{\text{tol}}, \qquad \text{with } \zeta_i^{l+1} = \frac{|\overrightarrow{m}^{l+1} - \overrightarrow{m}_i^l|}{\overrightarrow{m}^{l+1}}, \quad (6)$$

where ζ^{tol} is a predetermined tolerance used for all micro elements.

2.4 Molecular dynamics

Junction and channel micro elements are solved by nonequilibrium molecular dynamics simulations (NEMD) [30,1], with pressure-difference constraints to allow implementation of the numerical scheme described above. We run MD simulations using the mdFoam solver [21, 5], which has been developed in OpenFOAM, an opensource set of C++ libraries for fluid dynamics simulations [29]. In order to demonstrate the validity of our multiscale method, we simulate only simple spherically symmetric monatomic particles (hereon referred to as 'molecules') that interact through pair-wise potentials. The method is applicable to more general and realistic molecular models, however. The external geometry of the channel or junction is described by rigid wall molecules that are kept fixed in space and time. The non-equilibrium motion of liquid molecules is implemented using Newton's equations of motion with added external forcing, \mathbf{f}^{ext} :

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{v}_k,\tag{7}$$

$$m_k \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{f}'_k + \mathbf{f}^{\text{ext}},\tag{8}$$

where k is a molecule in the system and $\mathbf{r}_k = (x_k, y_k, z_k)$ is its time-instantaneous position in a fixed Cartesian co-ordinate reference system. Each molecule has a mass, m_k and a velocity, $\mathbf{v}_k = (u_k, v_k, w_k)$. The total intermolecular force on each molecule, $\mathbf{f}'_k = -\sum_j \nabla U(r_{kj})$, is determined from neighbouring molecules j, where $U(r_{kj})$ is the pair potential and $r_{kj} = |\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_j|$ is the pair-molecule separation. The Lennard-Jones (LJ) 6-12 potential, widely used to model simple liquids, is used here in our MD simulations:

$$U_{12-6}(r_{kj}) = \begin{cases} 4\epsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{kj}} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r_{kj}} \right)^6 \right] & \text{if } r_{kj} < r_c \\ 0 & \text{if } r_{kj} \ge r_c , \end{cases}$$
(9)

where σ and ϵ are the length and energy characteristics of the potential, and r_c is the cut-off separation. The σ and ϵ properties for the liquid–liquid and wall–liquid interactions are taken from [34], with the intention to generate slip at the solid–liquid interface. The values for these are, $\sigma_{l-l} = 3.4 \times 10^{-10}$ m, $\epsilon_{l-l} = 1.65678 \times 10^{-21}$ J and $\sigma_{w-l} = 2.55 \times 10^{-10}$ m, $\epsilon_{w-l} = 0.33 \times 10^{-21}$ J. The solid mass density is $\rho_w = 6.809 \times 10^3$ kg/m³, and the liquid mass density is $\rho_l = 1.437 \times 10^3$ kg/m³, where the mass of one wall or liquid molecule is 6.6904×10^{-26} kg.

All MD simulations are three-dimensional, with periodic boundary conditions applied in the x (streamwise) and z directions. In some cases, periodicity is also applied in the y-direction, for example the reservoir component in Figure 3. The cases are all set up with no gradients of properties in the z-direction, so the thickness (6.9 nm) in the z-direction has been chosen mainly to generate sufficient data for averaging.

The external forcing \mathbf{f}^{ext} in Eqn. (8) is used to impose a pressure difference, as described later for network components and junctions. The heat generated indirectly by this forcing is removed in order to enforce a thermally homogeneous system. To do this, we activate the unbiased velocity-rescaling Berendsen thermostat [3] which operates on the thermal velocities, and as a result minimises the impact on the streaming velocity. The thermostat is implemented using localised bins distributed everywhere in the domain, where each bin is set at a target temperature of T = 292.8 K using a time-constant $\tau_T = 21.61$ fs.

A flux measurement plane is placed at the midpoint of each micro element to measure the net mass flow rate \dot{m}_i (kg/s). Over a long averaging time Δt_{av} the mass of the total number of molecules that cross the fluxplane in the *x*-direction are counted as positive, and those which cross in the opposite direction are counted as negative:

$$\langle \dot{m}_i \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta t_{av}} \sum_k^{\delta N} m_k \ sgn(\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_x), \tag{10}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_x$ is the *x*-direction unit vector perpendicular to the flux-plane, and δN is the total number of molecules that cross the plane during the time period $t \to t + \Delta t_{av}$. The direction of crossing is obtained by the signum function, sgn(x).

In the next sections we describe the method for applying pressure drops across different types of network components. The most convenient and common way of emulating a pressure gradient in simple MD channels is to apply a uniform 'gravity'-type body force to all liquid molecules in the channel [18, 38, 36, 41], accompanied by periodicity in the flow direction. However, when the geometry is non-uniform in its cross-section, the pressure gradient will vary; as such, a uniform body forcing is no longer hydrodynamically equivalent to the flow generated by an imposed pressure difference over the same geometry. For this reason, in the work of [44, 45] modelling flow through carbon nanotubes, a step forcing is applied in cross-sectionally uniform regions only, thus creating a known pressure drop over non-uniform regions of the geometry. A similar approach is adopted here, except we use a Gaussian distributed forcing to maintain a spatially smooth imposition of momentum to the MD fluid. This local forcing is applied only in the inlet and outlet regions of the micro element and so it allows the pressure difference across the element to be imposed no matter whether the internal middle section is a straight channel or of some other complexshape. The method also maintains the simplicity of applying periodic boundary conditions in all our simulations. The limitation of this method is that it requires symmetry of the component between the inlet and outlet sections of the micro element. For more complex components (e.g. Y-connectors), there is the option of enforcing pressure drops through *non-periodic* boundary conditions (NPBCs), such as setting inlet and outlet regions of the channel at different pressures or using fluxes to control incoming/outgoing particles. See, for example, references [6, 33, 7, 40, 5, 25].

2.5 Reservoir component

The decomposition of the network seen earlier in Fig. 2(c) presents the inlet and outlet reservoirs as disconnected components. For convenience, we combine these reservoirs into a single MD micro element (as shown in Fig. 3), as this enables periodic boundary conditions to be used in the streamwise direction.

Connecting the reservoirs in this way requires a method for controlling the entrance and exit pressure drops separately or collectively; the collective approach works better with the current setup. The overall pressure drop Δp (which is set by the problem) is imposed through local forcing, applied solely in the external reservoir region \mathcal{R} . The effect of the central channels and junctions in the full network is then emulated by applying a neg-



Fig. 3 Schematic of the network inlet and outlet reservoirs combined into one MD micro element (see also Fig. 2). This illustration highlights the forcing due to the pressure drop Δp imposed by the application of a Gaussian forcing F_x in an external region (\mathcal{R}), and a counter force F_x^* applied in the channel section marked by \mathcal{R}^* that emulates the pressure drop Δp_m from the central network components shown in Fig. 2. Black solid lines are walls, and black dashed lines are cyclic boundary conditions.

ative pressure forcing Δp_m given by

$$\Delta p_m = \sum_{i=2}^{\Pi-1} \Delta p_i,\tag{11}$$

at \mathcal{R}^* , in the mid-point of the channel. The inlet/outlet pressure drops are therefore implied by Eqn. (2):

$$\Delta p_1 + \Delta p_\Pi = \Delta p - \Delta p_m. \tag{12}$$

2.6 Channel and junction components

As above, the pressure drop in channels and junctions is applied using local forcing at entrance/exit regions only, in conjunction with periodic boundary conditions applied in the x-direction; see the illustration in Fig. 4(a) and (b) for channels and junctions, respectively.

The multiscale approach proposed here simulates a channel element of length L_i by a shorter micro channel element of length L'_i . Therefore, the pressure drop applied to the micro element, $\Delta p'_i$, is a factor L_i/L'_i less then the pressure drop over the full channel it represents, i.e.

$$\Delta p_i' = \frac{\Delta p_i}{g_i},\tag{13}$$

where the factor is the numerical gearing, $g_i = L_i/L'_i$.



Fig. 4 Schematic of (a) the forcing applied to a periodic MD element channel due to a modified pressure drop $\Delta p'_i$ along a shortened length $L'_i = L_i/g_i$, and (b) the forcing through an arbitrary MD junction element. Black solid lines are walls, and black dashed lines are cyclic boundary conditions.

2.7 Pressure-drop forcing

Central to the coupling method is the ability to prescribe pressure drops over the various MD components of the network. This is achieved by streamwise body forcing in localised regions of the domain, as illustrated in Figures 3 and 4. The magnitude of this forcing is chosen such that it produces an equal and opposite momentum flux (within these localised areas) to that produced by the pressure difference we wish to induce, i.e.

$$\Delta p = \rho_n \int_{x_1}^{x_2} F_x dx, \tag{14}$$

where x_1 and x_2 are the extents of the localised region¹, ρ_n is the number density and F_x is the applied force field which is transferred to molecules through the term \mathbf{f}^{ext} in Eqn. (8). Here we have assumed a constant crosssectional area and number density between x_1 and x_2 . While a 'step' force distribution could have been used (for example see [44,45,19]), we adopt a Gaussian form because it enables a smooth application of forcing on molecules over a short distance:

$$F_x(x) = \begin{cases} \overline{F}e^{(-x^2/2\sigma_s^2)} & \text{if } x_1 \le x \le x_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(15)

where \overline{F} is the peak of the distribution and σ_s is the standard deviation. Substitution of Eqn. (15) into Eqn. (14) gives:

$$\Delta p = \rho_n \int_{x_1}^{x_2} F_x dx,$$

$$\approx \rho_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma_s^2)} dx,$$

$$= \rho_n \overline{F} \sigma_s \sqrt{2\pi},$$
(16)

which can be rearranged in terms of the desired Gaussian forcing magnitude:

$$\overline{F} = \frac{\Delta p}{\rho_n \sigma_s \sqrt{2\pi}}.$$
(17)

Note, the integral approximation in Eqn. (16) is a very good one because the distance between x_1 and x_2 is set significantly larger than σ_s making the integral from $-\infty$ to x_1 and from x_2 to ∞ , negligible.

3 Results and discussion

Our hybrid algorithm is now tested on pressure-driven flows through simple network configurations, with scaleseparation exploited in the long connecting channels. A pressure difference of $\Delta p \approx 350$ MPa is applied to all network cases. High pressure gradients are required in MD simulations of Poiseuille flows [18,38] in order to obtain statistically measurable flow rates over thermal fluctuations. We validate the hybrid results by also solving each network as a whole using a full molecular dynamics description. The approach for applying the overall pressure difference Δp in the full MD case is the same as that applied in the reservoir component (Section 2.5). We keep the test networks of moderate sizes, in order to be able to compare the hybrid results with the computationally expensive full MD simulations.

3.1 Simple channel network

The first test setup consists of two reservoirs with a relatively long inter-connecting nano channel, as shown in Fig. 5(a) for the full molecular dynamics simulation. The hybrid setup for this network case is shown in Figures 5(b) and 5(c), and consists of two disconnected micro elements, one representing the combined entrance/exit regions, and the other a short channel section that replaces the long nanochannel. The extent of the exit/entrance channel sections of the reservoirs micro element has been chosen conservatively to be roughly twice the channel flow entrance length of laminar macroscopic flow theory. As will be shown later

¹ As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$ crosses the periodic boundary. This is accounted for in the derivation by modifying the local co-ordinate system.



Fig. 5 (a) Full MD setup of a simple network case that can be reduced to a hybrid network using two separate micro elements: (b) MD simulation of the inlet and outlet junctions combined in one micro element; (c) MD simulation of the interconnecting channel reduced to a shorter micro element. Dimensions are in nanometers.

Table 1 Multiscale gearing g for the channel component of the two simple network cases. N_F are the number of molecules in the full MD simulation, while N_L and N_R are the number of molecules in the channel and reservoir micro elements respectively.

	L	L'	g	N_F	N_L	N_R	g
	(nm)	(nm)	(=L/L')	(-)	(-)	(-)	$(=(N_F - N_R)/N_L)$
Short channel Long channel	$95.2 \\ 217.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.6\\ 13.6\end{array}$	7 16	$222,570 \\ 433,559$	24,488 24,488	55,730 55,730	6.8 15.4

by inspection of the full MD results, this is a sufficient length to allow the channel flow to develop fully in the test cases we are considering; however, a longer and even more conservative entrance length could easily be used.

Two different channel lengths are chosen for this demonstration: L = 95.2 nm and L = 217.6 nm, which are then both replaced in the hybrid simulation by a much shorter channel of length L' = 13.6 nm. While there is no gearing possible in the reservoir components (i.e. no computational saving due to multiscaling), gearing in the middle channel component, calculated using g = L/L', gives g = 7 and g = 16, respectively. The gearing of the channel component can also be computed from the ratio of molecules used in the full MD (the channel part only) and its corresponding channel micro element, as shown in Table 1. The height of the middle channel for both cases is 4.08 nm, which is chosen to be small enough to generate non-continuum behaviour in the LJ fluid (e.g. layering and velocity slip) that could not be captured by a standard Navier-Stokes solution. This also makes the method computationally tractable, since a reasonable number of molecules are used for every micro element, as well as for the full MD simulation. We set the hybrid algorithm with a tight convergence criterion, Eqn. (6), of $\zeta^{\text{tol}} = 0.01$.

In the full MD simulations, the overall pressure drop Δp is first converted into an estimate of the forcing parameter $\overline{F} = 9.21$ pN using Eqn. (17). The Gaussian distributed force, Eqn. (15) is then applied to molecules located in the constrained regions only, and the MD simulations are run until steady-state. The steady-state results for density $\langle \rho \rangle$ and pressure drop $\langle \Delta p \rangle$ are measured in both simulations and recorded in Table 2. The measured pressure drop agrees within 0.6% with the target pressure drop applied using the Gaussian forcing.

Table 2 Percentage difference (error) ζ calculated between measured and applied pressure drop using the Gaussianforcing method described in Section 2.7. $\langle \rho \rangle$ is the mass density measured in the constrained regions, required in Eqn. (17).

	$\langle ho angle$ (kgm^{-3})	Δp MPa	$\langle \Delta p \rangle$ MPa	ζ (%)
Short channel Long channel	$1499.7 \\ 1506.4$	$351.9 \\ 353.5$	$349.8 \\ 355.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.61 \\ 0.50 \end{array}$

This simple test verifies the Gaussian forcing method for applying pressure drops as described in Section 2.7.



Fig. 6 Mass-flux measurements in the hybrid simulations progressing with number of iterations (l) for the short channel case L = 95.2 nm. Comparisons are also made with a full MD simulation. The error bars for the micro element mass flux measurements are smaller than the size of the symbols used.

Mass flux results from the hybrid algorithm are presented in Figures 6 and 7, with the convergence characteristics of the algorithm over consecutive iterations shown in Figure 8. The results show that convergence in both networks is very quick, taking approximately 2–3 iterations. By running the hybrid simulation over more iterations we verified that the coupling algorithm is numerically stable. This shows that the approximation of the relationship between mass flux and pressure drop, as defined in Eqn. (4), works well. The stability of the algorithm depends however on allocating a reasonable averaging time per iteration to ensure the fluid relaxes to the imposed pressure drop, and also to decrease the uncertainty in the measured mass flux. We found for this case that 2 ns per iteration was sufficient.

In Figures 6 and 7 we additionally plot the mass flux that is measured from the full MD simulation; it shows very good quantitative agreement with the hybrid results. The converged values for mass flux are displayed



Fig. 7 Mass-flux measurements in the hybrid simulations progressing with number of iterations (l) for the long channel case L = 217.6 nm. Comparisons are also made with a full MD simulation. The error bars for the micro element mass flux measurements are smaller than the size of the symbols used.



Fig. 8 Convergence of consecutive average mass fluxes at progressive iterations l for all three cases considered. See Eqn. (6) for the definition of ζ . The solid horizontal line is the chosen tolerance $\zeta_{\text{tol}} = 0.01$.

in Table 3. The discrepancies in mass fluxes between hybrid and full MD predictions are small (~4%). The approximation of subsonic and low-speed flow characteristics should be valid in these simulations, as can be seen from the Reynolds number and Mach number measured from the average streaming velocity in the middle of the channel network: Re=3.7, Ma=0.058 for the short channel case, and Re=1.8, Ma=0.0286 for the long channel case. What is not safe, however, is the incompressibility assumption on which this hybrid model has been formulated. Our choice of test fluid (a Lennard-Jones potential for argon) has an isothermal compressibility of $\kappa_T \sim 6 \times 10^{-10}$ Pa⁻¹ [32] at the state being sim-

	Hybrid	Full MD	Relative error
	$\frac{\overline{\dot{m}}}{(\times 10^{-12} \text{ kg/s})}$	\dot{m}_F (×10 ⁻¹² kg/s)	$\begin{array}{l} (\dot{m}_F - \overline{\dot{m}}) / \dot{m}_F \times 100 \\ (\%) \end{array}$
Short channel Long channel	2.121 1.021	2.206 1.073	3.82 4.86

Table 3 Converged mass fluxes compared with measurements from full MD simulations for both the short and long channelcases.

ulated, which is 1.5 times greater than water at room temperature [16]. Even if the chosen test fluid has a low isothermal compressibility value and low Mach number, significant flow compressibility can still occur in micro and nano channel geometries, as argued in [10].

To investigate the effect of compressibility in these simulations, we measured the centre-line density profiles and plot these in Figure 9. The variation of density in the full MD profiles (maximum to minimum values) is quite large, $\sim 19\%$ for both network cases². The relative percentage error in the density difference between full MD and hybrid elements, however, is less than 2% for both networks³. Although small, this is likely to have a direct impact on our multiscale results, since the applied pressure drop over each micro element is directly related to the applied body forces by fluid density (see Eqn. 14).

For the simulation of compressible flows, the state at the boundary (absolute pressure or density) needs to be set in addition to the overall pressure difference constraint, otherwise the system is under-constrained. However, upon close examination of Figure 9 it can be seen that the density at the inlet and outlet (the peak values) of the multiscale simulation are not the same as in the full-scale MD. This is a result of a slight asymmetry (in a rotational sense) in the density distribution through the full geometry. Therefore, to make a fairer comparison of the simulation methods, we must match the inlet/outlet density in the reservoir micro elements to coincide with the corresponding density in the full MD simulation. This is equivalent to prescribing density boundary conditions to both simulations from the outset, but in a way that is computationally more convenient.

The channel micro elements internal to the network require an additional constraint procedure to accommodate the variation of the density across the network system. For consistency and simplicity, here we choose a linear interpolation of density between the values measured at the entrance and exit channel parts of the reservoir micro element, as per the definition of the geared pressure drop in Eqn. (13). Thus every micro element (i) except the reservoir micro element can be set an approximate target density *a priori* to the hybrid simulation, which is given by:

$$\rho_i^t = \left(\frac{\langle \rho_{\rm e} \rangle - \langle \rho_o \rangle}{x_e - x_o}\right) (x_i - x_e) + \langle \rho_e \rangle, \tag{18}$$

where angle brackets denote MD measurements, subscripts 'e' and 'o' refer to the entrance and outlet regions respectively, and x_i is the streamwise position of the *i*th micro element. For example, $x_e=12$ nm and $x_o=109$ nm are the density-measurement locations for the small channel case (see Figure 9(a)).

Following these density corrections, the hybrid simulations are then repeated until convergence. The matching of inlet/outlet boundary conditions with the fullscale simulation, and the inclusion of a simple density constraint step (18), creates major improvements to the results, reducing relative percentage errors for mass flux from 4% to $\sim 0.1\%$. These results are plotted in Figures 6 and 7. Despite observing significant compressibility (as described earlier) and non-linearities in streamwise pressure ($\sim 3-4\%$), we found that a linear density approximation was still good enough to obtain very high accuracy; Eqn. (18) brought the density in the channel micro element of the hybrid solutions within 0.04%to 0.09% of the full scale simulations for the small and long channel cases, respectively. These density values are marked by a cross in Figure 9. For the rest of the analysis in this section only, we present results just from hybrid simulations that adopt this additional density constraint technique.

This method of accommodating compressibility within the method will lose accuracy in highly compressible flows and in cases where channels have non-uniform cross-sections, i.e. when the pressure profile deviates substantially from a linear variation through the geometry. In these cases a general compressible form of the IMM [4] will need to be developed. This will be the subject of future work.

Further validation of the multiscale method was made by plotting the streamwise pressure profiles in Figure 10, and the cross-channel profiles for density and velocity in Figures 11 and 12, respectively. Very good

² computed using $[\rho_{max} - \rho_{min}]/\overline{\rho} \times 100\%$

³ computed using $[\rho_F - \rho_H]/\rho_F \times 100\%$, where F denotes the full-scale result, and H the hybrid result.



Fig. 9 Comparison of the density profiles measured at the channel centre-line between the multiscale simulation (with the addition of a compressible approximation) and the full MD simulation for (a) the short channel case and (b) the long channel case. (\times) is the target density in the mid-channel micro element, computed using Eqn. (18). Measurements are taken from an averaging time-interval of ~4 ns for both hybrid and full-scale simulations, in uniform bins of 0.25 nm thickness.



Fig. 10 Comparison of the pressure profiles measured using the Irving-Kirkwood equation [14] in bins (e.g. see [28]) at the channel centre-line between the multiscale simulation (with the addition of a compressible approximation) and the full MD simulation for (a) the short channel case and (b) the long channel case. Measurements are taken from an averaging time-interval of \sim 4 ns for both hybrid and full-scale simulations, in uniform bins of 0.25 nm thickness.

quantitative agreement with the full MD results is obtained, which includes the capture of pronounced noncontinuum and non-equilibrium effects, i.e. molecular layering in the density profile, and slip in the velocity profile.

As noted above, the choice of channel section length at the inlet and outlet of every component was taken to be two times bigger than the flow development length calculated from laminar macroscopic theory. To verify that this length is adequate (in our hybrid simulations, 6.8 nm) we compare in Figure 13 velocity profiles⁴ at various streamwise sections, starting from the inlet of the full MD network. Each streamwise profile is compared with a reference profile in the midpoint of the channel where the flow can be assumed fully-developed; the root-mean-square (RMS) of the deviation from the reference is plotted in Figure 14(a), and the slip velocity (normalised by the peak velocity at the centre-line)

 $^{^4\,}$ Velocity profile measurements have been least-squares fitted with a second degree polynomial and then normalised by the peak velocity at the centre-line.



Fig. 11 Comparison of the density profiles measured in the channel height direction at the mid-point of the network between the multiscale simulation (with the addition of a compressible approximation) and the full MD simulation for (a) the short channel case and (b) the long channel case. Measurements are taken from an averaging time-interval of ~ 8 ns for the hybrid simulation (channel micro element only) and ~ 4 ns from the full-scale simulation, in uniform bins of 0.1 nm thickness.



Fig. 12 Comparison of the velocity profiles measured in the channel height direction at the mid-point of the network between the multiscale simulation (with the addition of a compressible approximation) and the full MD simulation for (a) the short channel case and (b) the long channel case. Measurements are taken from an averaging time-interval of ~ 8 ns for the hybrid simulation (channel micro element only) and ~ 4 ns from the full-scale simulation, in uniform bins of 0.1 nm thickness.

is plotted in Figure 14(b). Both figures show a development region which is $\sim 2-4$ nm for both network examples, indicating that a channel section length of 6.8 nm is sufficient in these multiscale simulations.

The primary motivation for employing multiscaling is to obtain major computational savings over full molecular simulation. There is not an easy way to predict computational savings *a priori* in these network simulations (although the gearing parameter g, shown in Table 1, can give an indication). This is because computational expense is dependent on both total molecules simulated and total time steps performed (i.e. total molecule-timesteps). While the former is easy to compute beforehand, the latter depends on the convergence rate of the multiscale algorithm. A direct measurement of *overall* computational speed-up can be obtained after the simulations are run, from the ratio of executed clock times for full MD (τ_F) and hybrid (τ_H) simulations. To make a fair comparison, the computational time of each simulation is normalised by the assigned processing power. The hybrid simulation, for example, consisted of 1 processor per micro element (i.e. 2 processors in total), while 24 processors decomposed the full MD cases. The speed-up computed by τ_F/τ_H is then 7.6 for the short channel network and 12 for the long channel network.

This is a very promising result for two reasons. First, the multiscale method is an order of magnitude quicker than full MD in these cases. Second, there is greater saving (as we might expect) for the longer channel because of higher scale separation, indicating that vast savings are likely if extended to the micrometer scale. In this calculation the total problem run-time for the full MD cases was ~10 ns, which allowed the solution to reach steady state, as well as enough time to average measurements within an acceptable standard error of the mean. The hybrid simulation is run for 2 ns per iteration until the solution converges (~3 iterations).



Fig. 13 Velocity profiles measured at different streamwise positions for (a) the short channel network case, and (b) the long channel network case. Streamwise x-directions are in (nm) and start relative from the entrance point of the channel.



Fig. 14 (a) Deviations of streamwise velocity profiles from fully-developed, and (b) normalised slip velocities at different streamwise locations of the channel for both long and short cases. The horizontal arrow indicates the extent of the flow development region.

3.2 Channel networks with local defects

The full capability of this hybrid methodology for incompressible flows can be demonstrated when it is applied to more complex systems, in particular, by introducing additional components that collectively influence the macro flow. In this section we show the effect of including an extra junction in the channel network.

The setup consists of the same long channel network (L = 217.6 nm) as before, but now it includes a "local defect" placed asymmetrically along the length of the channel, as shown in Figure 15(a). The hybrid setup of the individual micro elements is shown in Figure 15(b)–(d). In a similar way to the previous two cases, inlet/outlet reservoirs are modelled together in one MD simulation, while two periodic channel micro elements are now used to represent the two different channel lengths between the defect and reservoirs. The region of the wall defect is modelled as a junction-type micro element as shown in Figure 15(d).



Fig. 15 Multiscale setup of a simple channel network with a localised defect located asymmetric of the channel midpoint; (a) setup for the full MD simulation of the network (N_F =438,191 molecules); multiscale elements: (b) inlet/outlet reservoirs micro element, (c) left channel micro element, (d) right channel micro element, and (e) micro element with defect (N_D =24,816 molecules).

Table 4 Multiscale gearing, g for the defect channel case.

	L (nm)	L' (nm)	g(=L/L')
channel C channel D	$\begin{array}{c} 115.6\\ 88.4 \end{array}$	$13.6 \\ 13.6$	8.5 6.5

Even though the two channel micro elements C and D are of the same length, they each represent channel sections of different length owing to the asymmetry of the defect's position. Two gearing parameters can thus be defined, as quantified in Table 4. However, we found that the pressure drops prescribed to both channel micro elements are consistently identical at every iteration of the hybrid simulation, and since the density and channel height are also the same (under the incompressible assumption), we only need to simulate one of the two micro elements. This further improves the computational speed up of the hybrid simulation.

In Figure 16 we show the mass flux results. Convergence, as shown in Fig. 8, is achieved in around 4 iterations. This is more than in the previous cases, but is not unexpected given the increased complexity of the system. Very close agreement is obtained between the hybrid and the full MD simulations, within $\sim 3\%$. This could be further improved by modifying the algorithm to account for compressibility (as discussed above). In Figure 16 the mass flux results from the previous network simulation with no defect are included.



Fig. 16 Mass flux convergence for the defect channel network case. Comparisons are also made with full MD simulations with and without the defect.

The computational saving measured for this hybrid simulation ($\tau_F/\tau_H=6.9$) is less than the previous case ($\tau_F/\tau_H=12$) without the defect, but is still very substantial. The reduction in speed-up arises from: (a) the extra micro element required to model the defect in the multiscale model; and (b) the extra iteration required to converge the solution.

The introduction of micro elements to model increasing network complexity is relatively straightforward. This makes our hybrid method highly attractive for modelling very large systems using smaller MD portions, in particular when high end computational resources are not available to run full MD simulations. The micro elements can easily be distributed to run in tandem if a small cluster of CPUs or GPUs are available, or sequentially if fewer computing resources are available. This is an important advantage of this hybrid method over a full MD simulation, because no matter how large the network is, supercomputing resources are not a requisite.

Note that the hybrid simulation will only be significantly quicker (compared to a full MD simulation) if either a) length-scale separation exists in the channel components, since smaller micro elements can then be utilised to describe the channel geometry, or b) if a steady-state solution is required to a problem that has a very long 'start-up' time, for example due to low flow rates through small constrictions.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a hybrid algorithm to solve multiscale fluid problems in simple channel networks by simulating important local microscopic phenomena that are integrated within a macroscopic description of the flow. Molecular dynamics is used for the micro model, while the conservation of mass and momentum equations are used for the macro model. An advantage of this multiscale approach is that there is no need to specify any constitutive or boundary information in the continuum solver. Properties such as shearstress/strain-rate and boundary slip need not be computed *per se*, because they are accurately encapsulated within the microscopic model, i.e. from the choice of interaction potentials and the description of the bounding surface. We assumed incompressible, isothermal, lowspeed, steady flows, as a basis for deriving the coupling algorithm. Macro-to-micro coupling involved applying pressure drops across micro elements, while micro-tomacro coupling consisted of measuring the mass flux from the micro elements and enforcing continuity in the network.

Gearing was introduced as a means to identify the level of scale separation and, similarly, to provide an indication of the level of computational savings. In these types of fluid problems, worthwhile computational saving is achieved only in channels with relatively long lengths. Our hybrid simulations of simple network configurations have been shown to converge very quickly (in 2–4 iterations, typically). Despite comparing very well with full MD results in terms of mass flux, we did observe small errors of the order of $\sim 4\%$. We found that this was caused by a slight violation of the incompressibility assumption ($\sim 2\%$ mismatch with the full MD result), which can be rectified by incorporating an extra constraint for density or pressure. This will form part of future development and application of the method.

Two promising advantages of our hybrid approach are: (a) simulation of much longer, more complex channel networks can be carried out with significant computational savings, provided there is a reasonable scale separation in long channel components, and (b) these simulations are not constrained by the availability of high performance computational resources. In fact, unlike a full MD simulation, each MD micro element need not be run at the same time because there is no need to exchange micro/macro information at every time step of the simulation.

The method presented in this paper is tailored for steady-state systems, but since the method converges quickly to an accurate solution in only a few iterations, it could also be applied to quasi-steady problems, as was suggested by Hadjiconstantinou and Patera [11] and implemented by Hadjiconstantinou [12], i.e. problems that exhibit *high* time-scale separation [20]. In these types of multiscale problems, the macroscopic variables vary gradually in time and so the continuum time-step that is required to capture the temporal variation of the mass flux in the network would be much larger than the MD time-scale required to relax the solution to a quasi steady state. Notable alterations to our method would need to be made if *low* time-scale separated problems are to be treated [9, 20], because in our method the micro simulations are coupled to a steady-state continuum conservation rule.

Work for the future includes: studying systems with non-isothermal and compressible constraints; analysing more complex network configurations (not only serial networks); treating non-symmetric MD micro elements using non-periodic boundary conditions; studying unsteady/transient processes, such as mixing of chemical species; simulating flows through large filtration/desalination 17. Koplik, J., Banavar, J.R.: Continuum deductions from membranes; and applying the hybrid method to model more complex channel-wall and fluid materials.

Acknowledgements This research is financially supported by the EPSRC Programme Grant EP/I011927/1 and the EPSRC e-Infrastructure Grant EP/K00586/1. The authors would also like to thank the reviewers of this paper for their very helpful comments.

References

- 1. Allen, M.P., Tildeslev, D.J.: Computer Simulation of Liguids. Oxford University Press (1987)
- 2. Asproulis, N., Kalweit, M., Drikakis, D.: A hybrid molecular continuum method using point wise coupling. Advances in Engineering Software 46(1), 85–92 (2012)
- 3. Berendsen, H.J.C., Postma, J.P.M., van Gunsteren, W.F., Dinola, A., Haak, J.R.: Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. Journal of Chemical Physics 81, 3684–3690 (1984)
- 4. Borg, M.K., Lockerby, D.A., Reese, J.M.: A multiscale method for micro/nano flows of high aspect ratio. Journal of Computational Physics 233, 400-413 (2013)
- 5. Borg, M.K., Macpherson, G.B., Reese, J.M.: Controllers for imposing continuum-to-molecular boundary conditions in arbitrary fluid flow geometries. Molecular Simulation **36**(10), 745–757 (2010)
- 6. Brooks III, C.L., Karplus, M.: Deformable stochastic boundary conditions in molecular dynamics. Journal of Chemical Physics **79**(12), 6312–6325 (1983)
- 7. Delgado-Buscalioni, R., Coveney, P.V.: Continuumparticle hybrid coupling for mass, momentum, and energy transfers in unsteady fluid flow. Physical Review E **67**(4), 1–13 (2003)
- 8. E, W., Bjorn, E., Zhongyi, H.: Heterogeneous multiscale method: A general methodology for multiscale modeling. Physical Review B 67, 092,101 (2003)
- 9. E, W., Ren, W., Vanden-Eijnden, E.: A general strategy for designing seamless multiscale methods. Journal of Computational Physics 228, 5437–5453 (2009)
- 10. Gad-El-Hak, M.: MEMS [electronic resource]: Introduction and Fundamentals, 2nd edn. CRC Taylor and Francis (2006)
- 11. Hadjiconstantinou, N., Patera, A.: Heterogeneous atomistic-continuum methods for dense fluid systems. International Journal of Modern Physics C 8(4), 967–976 (1997)
- 12. Hadjiconstantinou, N.G.: Hybrid atomistic-continuum formulations and the moving contact-line problem. Journal of Computational Physics 154(2), 245-265 (1999)
- 13. Hadjiconstantinou, N.G.: Discussion of recent developments in hybrid atomistic-continuum methods for multiscale hydrodynamics. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences **53**(4), 335–342 (2005)
- 14. Irving, J.H., Kirkwood, J.G.: The statistical mechanical theory of transport processes. iv. the equations of hydrodynamics. Journal of Chemical Physics 18(6), 817-829 (1950)
- 15. Jiang, H., Weng, X., Li, D.: Microfluidic whole-blood immunoassays. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics 10, 941-964 (2011)
- 16. Kazi A. Motakabbir, M.B.: Isothermal compressibility of spc/e water. The Journal of Physical Chemistry 94(21),
- molecular hydrodynamics. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 27, 257-292 (1995)
- 18. Koplik, J., Banavar, J.R., Willemsen, J.F.: Molecular dynamics of Poiseuille flow and moving contact lines. Physical Review Letters 60, 1282-1285 (1988)
- 19. Liang, Z., Tsai, H.L.: A method to generate pressure gradients for molecular simulation of pressure-driven flows in nanochannels. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics 13(2), 289-298 (2012)

- Lockerby, D., Duque-Daza, C.A., Borg, M.K., Reese, J.M.: Time-step coupling for hybrid simulations of multiscale flows. Journal of Computational Physics 237(344– 365) (2013)
- Macpherson, G.B., Reese, J.M.: Molecular dynamics in arbitrary geometries: Parallel evaluation of pair forces. Molecular Simulation 34(1), 97–115 (2008)
- Mantzalis, D., Asproulis, N., Drikakis, D.: Filtering carbon dioxide through carbon nanotubes. Chemical Physics Letters 506(1-3), 81–85 (2011)
- Mattia, D., Gogotsi, Y.: Review: static and dynamic behavior of liquids inside carbon nanotubes. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics 5(3), 289–305 (2008)
- Mohamed, K., Mohamad, A.: A review of the development of hybrid atomistic-continuum methods for dense fluids. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics 8, 283–302 (2010)
- Nicholls, W.D., Borg, M.K., Lockerby, D.A., Reese, J.M.: Water transport through (7,7) carbon nanotubes of different lengths using molecular dynamics. Microfluidics and Nanofluidics 12(1-4), 257–264 (2012)
- Nie, X.B., Chen, S.Y., E, W., Robbins, M.O.: A continuum and molecular dynamics hybrid method for microand nano-fluid flow. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 500, 55–64 (2004)
- O'Connell, S.T., Thompson, P.A.: Molecular dynamicscontinuum hybrid computations: A tool for studying complex fluid flows. Physical Review E 52, R5792–R5795 (1995)
- Okumura, H., Heyes, D.M.: Comparisons between molecular dynamics and hydrodynamics treatment of nonstationary thermal processes in a liquid. Physical Review E 70(6), 061,206 (2004)
- 29. OpenFOAM: www.openfoam.org
- Rapaport, D.C.: The Art of Molecular Dynamics Simulation, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press (2004)
- Ren, W., E., W.: Heterogeneous multiscale method for the modeling of complex fluids and micro-fluidics. Journal of Computational Physics 204(1), 1–26 (2005)
- Song, Y., Caswell, B., Mason, E.A.: Compressibility of liquids: Theoretical basis for a century of empiricism. International Journal of Thermophysics 12, 855–868 (1991)
- Sun, M., Ebner, C.: Molecular-dynamics simulation of compressible fluid flow in two-dimensional channels. Physical Review A 46(8), 4813–4818 (1992)
- Thompson, P.A., Troian, S.M.: A general boundary condition for liquid flow at solid surfaces. Nature 389(6649), 360–362 (1997)
- Todd, B.D.: Computer simulation of simple and complex atomistic fluids by nonequilibrium molecular dynamics techniques. Computer Physics Communications 142(1-3), 14-21 (2001)
- Travis, K.P., Gubbins, K.E.: Poiseuille flow of Lennard-Jones fluids in narrow slit pores. Journal of Chemical Physics 112(4), 1984–1994 (2000)
- Travis, K.P., Todd, B.D., Evans, D.J.: Departure from navier-stokes hydrodynamics in confined liquids. Physical Review E 55(4), 4288–4295 (1997)
- Travis, K.P., Todd, B.D., Evans, D.J.: Poiseuille flow of molecular fluids. Physica A: Statistical and Theoretical Physics 240(1-2), 315 – 327 (1997)
- Wagner, G., Flekkøy, E., Feder, J., Jøssang, T.: Coupling molecular dynamics and continuum dynamics. Journal of Computer Physics Communications 147, 670–673 (2002)
- Werder, T., Walther, J.H., Koumoutsakos, P.: Hybrid atomistic-continuum method for the simulation of dense fluid flows. Journal of Computational Physics 205(1), 373–390 (2005)

- Xi-Jun Fan Nhan Phan-Thien, N.T.Y., Diao, X.: Molecular dynamics simulation of a liquid in a complex nano channel flow. Physics of Fluids 14(3), 1146–1153 (2002)
- Yarin, L.P., Mosyak, A., Hetsroni, G.: Fluid Flow, Heat Transfer and Boiling in Micro-Channels. Springer-Verlag (2009)
- Yasuda, S., Yamamoto, R.: A model for hybrid simulations of molecular dynamics and computational fluid dynamics. Physics of Fluids 20(11), 113,101 (2008)
- Zhu, F., Tajkhorshid, E., Schulten, K.: Pressure-induced water transport in membrane channels studied by molecular dynamics. Biophysical Journal 83(1), 154–160 (2002)
- Zhu, F., Tajkhorshid, E., Schulten, K.: Theory and simulation of water permeation in aquaporin-1. Biophysical Journal 86(1), 50–57 (2004)