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#### Abstract

This work reports the experimental results for the dimethyl ether (DME) + propane system obtained using the Burnett method. The apparatus was calibrated using helium. PVTx measurements were taken for four isotherms ( $344,354,364$ and 375 K ), performing 16 Burnett expansions in a range of pressures from about 3000 to 70 kPa .

The second and third virial coefficients were derived from experimental results. The experimental uncertainty in the second and third virial coefficients was estimated to be within $\pm 5$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $\pm 1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{6} / \mathrm{mol}^{2}$, respectively.
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## Introduction

Dimethyl ether (DME) can be made from coal, natural gas, residual oil, oil coke, and biomass and its production cost is rather low.

Besides its use as an assistant solvent and an aerosol propellant, recently DME was shown to be a good alternative fuel [1]. In addition, because of its favorable thermodynamic properties, it has been suggested as an alternative refrigerant (RE170). Recently, a preliminary fundamental equation of state was derived [2].

Its saturation vapour pressure [3], the virial coefficients [4], and the superheated vapour region data [5] were reported in recent papers. Since its physical properties are similar to those of liquefied petroleum gases (i.e., propane and butane), its blends with propane appear to be very interesting [6].

This work reports the experimental results for the dimethyl ether (DME) + propane system obtained using the Burnett method [7]. PVTx measurements were taken for four isotherms (344,

354, 364 and 375 K ). The second and third virial coefficients were derived from experimental results. In addition, data were compared with REFPROP 8.0 prediction [8].

## Experimental section

Reagents. DME was supplied by Aldrich Inc., USA., and its purity was checked by gas chromatographic analysis, using a thermal conductivity detector. It was found to be $99.8 \%$ on an area-response basis. Propane was supplied by Ausimont, Italy, and its purity was found to be 99.95 \% on an area-response basis.

Experimental devices. In this paper, the adopted device is the same described elsewhere [9] with no modifications. The experimental set-up is reported in Figure 1. It consisted of two pressure vessels, a measurement chamber, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}$, and an expansion chamber, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$, with volumes of approximately ( 70 and 35) $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$, respectively, and several auxiliary systems for filling and mixing the compounds in the Burnett vessels and for controlling and measuring the pressure and temperature. The measurement vessel was connected to a diaphragm-type differential pressure transducer (Ruska Model 2413) coupled to an electronic null indicator (Ruska Model 2416). The pressure was regulated by a precision pressure controller (Ruska Model 3981), while a digital pressure indicator (Ruska Model 7000) was used to measure the pressure. Nitrogen is used as the pressure-transmitting fluid, and the nitrogen system consists of a reservoir, expansion vessels, and pressure regulating systems. The vessels were immersed in a thermostatic bath filled with about 45 liters of silicon oil. The temperature of the bath was kept constant by means of a system with a PID device, controlled by a computer to which the temperature measurement system is also connected. The control and acquisition system relies on two platinum resistance thermometers calibrated according to ITS 90 at the Istituto Metrologico G. Colonnetti (IMGC) of Turin. In particular, for data acquisition and control measurements, a Hart Scientific Pt 25 resistance thermometer (Hart 5680) and a Tersid Pt 100 resistance thermometer were used, both connected to a digital temperature indicator (Corradi, RP 7000).

The Burnett constant, $N$, defined as the ratio of the volumes of cell A and the sum of the volumes of cells A and B at zero pressure, was found to be $N=1.5184 \pm 0.0001$ for present measurements. Measurements were performed using the classical Burnett experimental procedure. Initially, the first vessel was filled with the sample and its temperature and pressure were measured. Then, after evacuating the second vessel, the expansion valve was opened. Once the pressures between the vessels had equalized, the second vessel was isolated and evacuated again. This procedure was repeated until low pressures were achieved.

Experimental uncertainties. The uncertainty in the temperature measurements is due to the thermometer and any instability of the bath. The stability of the bath was found to be better than $\pm 0.015 \mathrm{~K}$, and the uncertainty of the thermometer was found to be better than 0.010 K in our temperature range. The total uncertainty in the temperature measurements was thus less than 0.025 K . The uncertainty in the pressure measurements is due to the transducer and null indicator system, and to the pressure gages. The digital pressure indicator (Ruska Model 7000) has an uncertainty of $\pm 0.003 \%$ of full scale. The total uncertainty in the pressure measurement is also influenced by temperature fluctuations due to bath instability and was found to be less than $\pm 1 \mathrm{kPa}$. The uncertainty of the mixture's composition was found to be constantly lower than $0.5 \%$ in mole fraction.

## Experimental results

A total of 144 experimental points along 4 isotherms in a range of temperatures from 343.86 to 374.64 K and pressures between 70 and 3000 kPa were measured. The data are given in Table 1 along with the regressed compressibility factors of the mixtures.

The PVTx measurements obtained by experiment were used to derive the second, $B$, and third, $C$, virial coefficients of the truncated virial equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{R T}{V}\left(1+\frac{B}{V}+\frac{C}{V^{2}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The virial equation of state has a rigorous theoretical foundation in statistical thermodynamics which provides exact analytic relations between the virial coefficients and the interactions between molecules in isolated clusters. In fact, $B$ depends upon interactions between pairs of molecules and $C$ upon interactions in a cluster of three molecules. Thus, the virial equation of state forms the connection between experimental results and knowledge of molecular interactions, giving a link between the macroscopic and microscopic point of view.

With the present set of data, each run was regressed individually using $(d P)^{2}$ as an objective function with the Burnett constant obtained by means of the calibration with helium. The pressure distortion of the Burnett cells was taken into account.

The values of the virial coefficients for the pure compounds (smoothed as a function of reduced temperature) were used to derive the cross virial coefficients, $B_{12}$, shown in Table 2.

The cross second virial coefficients were calculated from the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each experimental datum point.

The overall $A A D$ in pressure was evaluated at 0.2 kPa .
The second virial coefficients for the system were plotted against the mole fraction in Figure 2 showing the four different isotherms with four different symbols. The second virial coefficients show a slightly positive deviation from the ideal second virial coefficients, which are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{12}=\left(B_{11}+B_{22}\right) / 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, since propane and DME are two homomorphic molecules that differ by the presence of oxygen in DME, the obtained second virial coefficients for the two pure fluids were also found to be of very similar value.

Due to the lack of other experimental data on the $P V T x$ properties of the system, our experimental results were compared with predictions from REFPROP 8.0. The predicted values are reported in figure 3 . The results are in good agreement (within $\pm 1 \%$ ) with the software prediction. In addition, the results obtained for the DME as pure fluid reported as averaged values in Table 2 ( $B_{11}$ ) were already compared with the literature and discussed elsewhere [4]. The few points obtained for the propane as pure fluid were not published, but the obtained second virial coefficients $\left(B_{22}\right)$ showed a good agreement with the ones found in the literature [10].

## Conclusions

This work presents an experimental survey for the dimethyl ether (DME) + propane system obtained by the Burnett method. The apparatus was calibrated using helium. PVTx measurements were taken for four isotherms ( $344,354,364$ and 375 K ), performing 16 Burnett expansions in a range of pressures from about 3000 to 70 kPa .

The second and third virial coefficients were derived from experimental results. Due to the lack of other experimental data on the PVTx properties of the system, our experimental results were compared with predictions from REFPROP 8.0. The results were in good agreement with the software prediction.
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## Figure caption

Figure 1. Schematic view of the experimental apparatus.
Legenda:

1 Nitrogen reservoir
3 Precision pressure controller (Ruska, mod. 3981)
5 Vibr. cylinder pressure gage (Ruska, mod. 6220)
7 Electronic null indicator (Ruska, mod. 2416)
9 Heater
11 Differential press. transducer (Ruska, mod. 2413)
13 Expansion chamber $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$
15 Pt resistance thermometer (Tersid, Pt 100)
17 Charging fluid reservoir
19 Digital pressure indicator (Ruska, mod. 7000)

2 Vacuum pump (Vacuubrand, mod. RZ2)
4 Gas lubricated dead weight gage (Ruska, mod. 2465)
6 Digital temperature indicator (Corradi, RP 7000)
8 Stirrer
10 Cooling coil connected with an auxiliary bath
12 Measurement chamber $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$
14 Magnetic recirculating pump
16 Vacuum pump for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Vacuubrand, mod. RZ2)
18 Pt resistance thermometer (Hart Scientific, Pt 25)
$\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \mathrm{~V}_{3}, \mathrm{~V}_{4} \quad$ Constant volume valves

Figure 2. Second virial coefficients against mole fraction.

```
T=343.86 K
\square. T=364.36 K
\triangle T=354.09 K O T=374.64 K
```

Figure 3. Deviation within experimental data and values predicted by REFPROP 8.0 software.

Table 1. Pressures measured experimentally during Burnett expansions and regressed compressibility factors ( $z$ ) for the DME (1) $+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(2)$ system.

| Series 1 |  | Series 2 |  | Series 3 |  | Series 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T=343.86 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=343.86 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=343.86 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=343.86 \mathrm{~K}$ |  |
| $x_{1}=0.1956$ |  | $x_{1}=0.3737$ |  | $x_{1}=0.5710$ |  | $x_{1}=0.7645$ |  |
| P/kPa | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z |
| 2486.1 | 0.65422 | 2239.5 | 0.70584 | 1913.5 | 0.76046 | 1684.9 | 0.78531 |
| 1917.1 | 0.76598 | 1671.6 | 0.79997 | 1388.6 | 0.83793 | 1209.2 | 0.85574 |
| 1389.1 | 0.84273 | 1189.9 | 0.86465 | 973.5 | 0.89198 | 840.7 | 0.90336 |
| 971.6 | 0.89505 | 825.1 | 0.91037 | 667.2 | 0.92818 | 573.0 | 0.93495 |
| 665.3 | 0.93050 | 561.2 | 0.94019 | 450.5 | 0.95158 | 386.7 | 0.95803 |
| 449.4 | 0.95436 | 377.6 | 0.96042 | 301.6 | 0.96730 | 258.5 | 0.97245 |
| 301.0 | 0.97076 | 252.3 | 0.97430 | 200.8 | 0.97806 | 172.1 | 0.98272 |
| 200.6 | 0.98224 | 167.9 | 0.98451 | 133.4 | 0.98621 | 114.2 | 0.99070 |
| 133.4 | 0.99151 | 111.5 | 0.99291 | 88.4 | 0.99307 | 75.8 | 0.99807 |
| Series 5 |  | Series 6 |  | Series 7 |  | Series 8 |  |
| $T=354.09 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=354.09 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=354.09 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=354.09 \mathrm{~K}$ |  |
| $x_{1}=0.2279$ |  | $x_{1}=0.3747$ |  | $x_{1}=0.6125$ |  | $x_{1}=0.7586$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | P/kPa | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z |
| 2715.6 | 0.67346 | 2586.9 | 0.69208 | 2413.9 | 0.71407 | 2073.6 | 0.76229 |
| 2063.1 | 0.77688 | 1943.4 | 0.78942 | 1795.9 | 0.80663 | 1506.0 | 0.84063 |
| 1486.0 | 0.84961 | 1390.5 | 0.85764 | 1277.1 | 0.87096 | 1054.4 | 0.89366 |
| 1036.1 | 0.89944 | 966.8 | 0.90546 | 882.6 | 0.91393 | 721.8 | 0.92894 |
| 707.8 | 0.93299 | 658.7 | 0.93671 | 599.5 | 0.94258 | 488.2 | 0.95396 |
| 477.4 | 0.95559 | 443.5 | 0.95763 | 402.8 | 0.96167 | 326.7 | 0.96938 |
| 319.3 | 0.97045 | 296.4 | 0.97164 | 268.8 | 0.97447 | 217.5 | 0.97977 |
| 212.6 | 0.98088 | 197.2 | 0.98151 | 178.7 | 0.98336 | 144.3 | 0.98702 |
| 141.0 | 0.98817 | 130.8 | 0.98866 | 118.4 | 0.98982 | 95.6 | 0.99286 |
| Series 9 |  | Series 10 |  | Series 11 |  | Series 12 |  |
| $T=364.36 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=364.35 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=364.36 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=364.35 \mathrm{~K}$ |  |
| $x_{1}=0.2098$ |  | $x_{1}=0.3874$ |  | $x_{1}=0.5817$ |  | $\chi_{1}=0.8256$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | Z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z |
| 2844.5 | 0.70546 | 2794.7 | 0.70476 | 1752.1 | 0.83390 | 2254.4 | 0.76706 |
| 2123.5 | 0.79964 | 2083.9 | 0.79790 | 1230.0 | 0.88886 | 1633.5 | 0.84392 |
| 1514.1 | 0.86571 | 1485.2 | 0.86347 | 843.9 | 0.92596 | 1143.1 | 0.89665 |
| 1048.8 | 0.91054 | 1029.0 | 0.90836 | 570.7 | 0.95082 | 782.1 | 0.93152 |
| 713.3 | 0.94034 | 700.9 | 0.93950 | 382.4 | 0.96747 | 527.7 | 0.95436 |
| 479.6 | 0.95990 | 471.6 | 0.95985 | 254.7 | 0.97846 | 353.0 | 0.96925 |
| 320.0 | 0.97262 | 315.1 | 0.97359 | 169.1 | 0.98631 | 234.7 | 0.97878 |
| 212.6 | 0.98115 | 209.5 | 0.98298 | 112.0 | 0.99164 | 155.6 | 0.98519 |
| 140.9 | 0.98695 | 138.9 | 0.98941 | 74.1 | 0.99632 | 103.0 | 0.99035 |
| Series 13 |  | Series 14 |  | Series 15 |  | Series 16 |  |
| $T=374.64 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=374.64 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=374.64 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | $T=374.62 \mathrm{~K}$ |  |
| $x_{1}=0.1847$ |  | $x_{1}=0.3788$ |  | $x_{1}=0.5817$ |  | $x_{1}=0.7994$ |  |
| P/kPa | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $P / \mathrm{kPa}$ | z | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{kPa}$ | Z |
| 2976.3 | 0.72194 | 2956.3 | 0.72285 | 1934.1 | 0.83367 | 2409.1 | 0.77934 |
| 2197.7 | 0.80941 | 2182.2 | 0.81018 | 1357.2 | 0.88826 | 1735.0 | 0.85220 |


| 1558.1 | 0.87132 | 1546.8 | 0.87195 | 931.4 | 0.92554 | 1209.4 | 0.90197 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1076.2 | 0.91385 | 1068.2 | 0.91433 | 629.9 | 0.95052 | 825.8 | 0.93516 |
| 731.1 | 0.94257 | 725.5 | 0.94289 | 422.1 | 0.96714 | 556.6 | 0.95703 |
| 491.3 | 0.96187 | 487.5 | 0.96194 | 281.2 | 0.97829 | 371.9 | 0.97103 |
| 327.9 | 0.97471 | 325.3 | 0.97478 | 186.6 | 0.98573 | 247.2 | 0.97994 |
| 217.9 | 0.98334 | 216.1 | 0.98309 | 123.5 | 0.99055 | 163.8 | 0.98568 |
| 144.4 | 0.98939 | 143.2 | 0.98891 | 81.6 | 0.99401 | 108.2 | 0.98894 |

Table 2. Second and third virial coefficients for DME (1) $+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(2)$ system.

| Series | $B_{\text {mix }} /$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $C_{\text {mix }} /$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{6} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-2}$ | $\rho(1) /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{dP/}$ <br> kPa | $\mathrm{dP/}$ <br> $\%$ | $B_{11} /$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $B_{22} /$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $B_{12} /$ <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -282 | 16254 | 1.3292 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -304 | -285 | -272 |
| 2 | -291 | 23631 | 1.1098 | 0.3 | 0.0 | -304 | -285 | -293 |
| 3 | -293 | 23631 | 0.8801 | 0.3 | 0.0 | -304 | -285 | -289 |
| 4 | -304 | 24285 | 0.7504 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -304 | -285 | -308 |
| 5 | -265 | 19090 | 1.3696 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -294 | -268 | -254 |
| 6 | -270 | 21599 | 1.2696 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -294 | -268 | -264 |
| 7 | -268 | 16534 | 1.1482 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -294 | -268 | -247 |
| 8 | -271 | 15112 | 0.9240 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -294 | -268 | -236 |
| 9 | -242 | 15593 | 1.3310 | 0.4 | -0.1 | -269 | -252 | -219 |
| 10 | -252 | 20023 | 1.3090 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -269 | -252 | -246 |
| 11 | -251 | 16547 | 0.6936 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -269 | -252 | -238 |
| 12 | -251 | 11582 | 0.9702 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -269 | -252 | -210 |
| 13 | -235 | 18865 | 1.3235 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -256 | -238 | -227 |
| 14 | -236 | 18687 | 1.3130 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -256 | -238 | -228 |
| 15 | -236 | 17413 | 0.7448 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -256 | -238 | -222 |
| 16 | -233 | 10464 | 0.9924 | 0.3 | -0.1 | -256 | -238 | -186 |
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