

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Citation for published version:

losad, P 2012, 'In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh' Paper presented at Decennium: the first 10 years of CASTL, Tromso, Norway, 12/09/12 - 14/09/12, .

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Author final version (often known as postprint)

Publisher Rights Statement:

© losad, P. (2012). In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh. Paper presented at Decennium: the first 10 years of CASTL, Tromso, Norway.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Pavel Iosad Universitetet i Tromsø/CASTL pavel.iosad@uit.no

CASTL Decennium Universitetet i Tromsø/CASTL 14th September 2012





In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Who is afraid of Richness of the Base? Theory or fact?

Richness of the what?

- A fundamental principle of OT: the grammar should map all thinkable inputs to licit outputs
- We cannot rely on input generalizations (i. e. 'this pattern is unattested because there are no words of the relevant form in the lexicon of this language')
- Often put forward as a solution to the 'duplication problem' (for which see e.g. Kenstowicz and Kisseberth 1977)

The argument

- ► Is overgeneration a big issue for substance-free phonology? It depends It depends
- How do we measure overgeneration?
 - By feeding unexpected inputs to the computation
- But we know that the lexicon is shaped by the phonology over time So how relevant is Richness of the Base after all?
- We should look at corner cases
- ► Argument: sometimes only RotB can tell learners that their system is crazy



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL)

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Who is afraid of Richness of the Base? Theory or fact?

Opinions on Richness of the Base differ

- ▶ 'ROTB is fundamental to the theory and inextricably linked with the results that OT can achieve' (McCarthy 2005)
- ▶ '[T]he notion of Richness of the Base is a computational curiosity of OT grammars which is irrelevant to human language' (Hale and Reiss 2008)
- 'The proposed solution involves explicitly implementing Richness of the Base in the initialization of the lexicon [...]. By relying on Richness of the Base [...], the algorithm is able to use negative evidence implicitly to find restrictive grammars' (Jarosz 2006)





What am I talking about?

- ► Today I focus on mutually predictable distributions
- Stressed syllables in most of North Germanic (forget extrametricality)
 - ► CV:, CVC
 - ▶ *CV, CV:C
- ▶ In classic phonemic theory, only one of consonant and vowel length is 'contrastive'
- ▶ But a computation can enforce the predictable distribution of anything (all the way to Halle 1959)



Who is afraid of Richness of the Base? Wheat vs. chaff

An example I

- ▶ In OT, we cannot say that 'X is contrastive and Y is derived', if Y is phonological
- But we can say that 'X is reproduced faithfully and the distribution of Y is only driven by markedness' (cf. Flemming 2005)
- ▶ Rice (2006): ranking predicts that CVCCV should surface as CV:CCV
- Seems like a poor prediction: potential for [CV:CCV] words
- Potential diachronic explanation: yes, but since the lexicon has been shaped by the outcomes of the same system, it does not contain disharmonic inputs
- 'Missed generalization', bad
- ▶ Here, we're lucky: (very few) relevant disharmonic inputs do exist and they do give the right results, as in *påske*
- ► Similar example in Friulian (Hualde 1990; Baroni and Vanelli 2000; ISaat 2012):



The resolution

- ▶ The Contrastivist Hypothesis (Dresher, Piggott, and Rice 1994; Hall 2007; Dresher 2009) says that phonological computation operates on entities that are used to distinguish lexical items
- ▶ But there can well be redundancy in the lexicon!
- Assume both vowel and consonant length are entities that the North-Germanic-minus-Danish lexicon actually allows
- ► The mutually predictable distribution must be enforced by the phonology
- We do need computation to weed out [CV] and [CV:C] syllables
- ► And as OT theorists we do face the Richness of the Base issue



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL)

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Who is afraid of Richness of the Base? Wheat vs. chaff

An example II

- Stressed vowels lengthen before all underlyingly voiced obstruents, always remain short before voiceless ones
- ► Solution in Iosad (2012) requires /CV:T/ to surface faithfully
- Which it does in a very few words thanks to etymological happenstance

Moral

Richness of the Base is important, but don't be too trigger-happy with 'it overgenerates!'



Dealing with crazy patterns

- ▶ I am going to discuss a particularly complicated example from Welsh
- ► Two alternatives for the analysis
 - $\blacktriangleright\,$ Relatively simple computation \Rightarrow huge holes in the lexicon
 - ▶ Satisfying Richness of the Base \Rightarrow factorial typology pain
- ► Tentative proposal: at some point learners give up on Richness of the Base and accept lexical holes, which in turn allows seepage of disharmonic inputs



Context-free weight in Welsh Weight in South Welsh

South Welsh cont'd

- Otherwise, length is fully predictable
- Short before consonant clusters
- Otherwise length is determined by the quality of the following consonant
- Shading means preceding vowel is short

(2) ptks∫ł fθχbdgvŏ mŋ nlr wj

- Lack of contrast: coerced weight (Morén 2001)
- We know that coerced weight generally follows the sonority hierarchy (Zec 1988, 1995; Morén 2001; de Lacy 2006)



South Welsh

- Data from Awbery (1984, 1986)
- Vowel length only allowed in stressed syllables
- Complicated distribution of vowel length depending on the following consonant
- Three interacting factors: vowel length, consonant length, consonant quality (also vowel quality, but that does seem to be phonetics)
- ► Contrastive length before single [n l r]
- Consonants following short stressed vowels are lengthened

(1)

a.

b.

['ka·nol] canol 'middle' ['an·er] anner 'heifer'



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL) In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Context-free weight in Welsh Weight in South Welsh

How do we know all of these are phonological?

- Vowel length: minimal pairs in monosyllables (no consonant length contrast described)
- Consonant length: minimal pairs such as those in (1)
 - Cannot fully derive from vowel length, because that does not always map faithfully
 - (3) a. ['pe:1] pell 'far' b. ['pet·ax] pellach 'further'
- Consonant quality: obviously phonological, not derivable from length (contrast Carlyle 1988)





The paradox and a possible solution

- The paradox: the distribution of morae is due to a ranking of *μ constraints that always prefers more sonorous segments to be moraic
- ▶ But South Welsh seems to require *µ[b d g] ≫ *µ[p t k], which makes no sense
- Similar facts not unknown: Metropolitan New York English (Morén 2001), Limburg dialects (Hermans and van Oostendorp 2005)
- ► Solution by Morén (2001): DepLink- μ [b d g] $\gg *\mu$ [p t k] $\gg *\mu$ [b d g]
- DEPLINK is a faithfulness constraint and does not care about sonority



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL)

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Context-free weight in Welsh The rich base to the rescue

Solution

- ► Not enough time for the gory details...
- ▶ Workable solutions tend to have catastrophic factorial consequences
- One that works: augmentation constraints requiring certain featural configurations to be licensed by morae irrespective of syllable position (call them Have-μ)
- Generalize WEIGHT BY POSITION and add a featurally defined argument: should be unobjectionable from the perspective of constraint-schema architecture
- ▶ Bad overgeneration: e.g. a language where all segments are moraic
- Then again the more conventional solution overgenerates too, so how can you weigh that?



The problem

- DEPLINK-μ cannot enforce an unfaithful mapping
- ► Theoretical input /eb_µol/ should map to *[ebol] (contrast ['e:bol] 'foal')
- Rich base problem!

Am I being too trigger-happy?

- No: under otherwise reasonable representational assumptions for Welsh, DEPLINK-μ[b d g] also assigns a violation to [p t k] acquiring a mora
- So it doesn't work anyway



Context-free weight in Welsh The rich base to the rescue

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

The corner cases

Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL)

- ▶ Native vocabulary too firmly shaped by history to give any clues
- Loanwords from English: many examples of disharmonic monosyllables: ['stro:k] 'stroke', ['led] 'lead'
- But these borrowings can be quite egregious (['ga:rd] 'fire guard'), so pending a good account of lexical strata caution is warranted
- Problems with monosyllables:
 - Final consonants: rôle for extrametricality (clearly active in the language)
 - Underived forms: morphology?
- Not at all clear what happens in penultimate syllables where the pattern is most apparent
- Orthography suggests reversion to unmarked pattern in at least one case: gêm 'game', plural gemau
- Further research needed (not helped by the different pattern in North Welsh)

The importance of the rich base I

- I suggest that the seepage of disharmonic borrowings is due to speakers giving up on the system with the bad factorial typology
- ▶ Welsh', without the borrowings, has existed
- Older borrowings are normally harmonic
- ► Learners of Welsh' were faced with a choice of unappealing alternatives
 - Rule out the disharmonic form in the phonology \Rightarrow ugly Have- μ grammar
 - ► Assume that all voiceless stops are underlyingly moraic etc. ⇒ hugely redundant lexicon
 - ► Assume the DEPLINK-µ solution ⇒ gaps in the lexicon (also it might not work anyway)
- The bad things I say about the lexicon are not necessarily bad: it's the debris of phonological change (Kiparsky 1995; Bermúdez-Otero 2007; Bermúdez-Otero and Trousdale, forthcoming)



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL)

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Context-free weight in Welsh The rich base to the rescue

The rôle of grammar in language change

- Grammatical change that does not appear to be influenced by external considerations such as imperfect learning
- ► The trade-off: unconstrained grammar vs. unconstrained lexicon (isn't it always?)
- But these questions can only be asked if Richness of the Base issues are something learners attend to
- ► So: it might not be completely useless after all
- Contribution to a theory of diachrony, important for substance-free phonology because diachrony is another filter for deriving attestable (as opposed to computable) languages
- Bonus: the existence of grammatically driven sound change presupposes a phonological grammar



The importance of the rich base II

- Good consequence for the Contrastivist Hypothesis: you can have phonological objects that are strictly speaking unnecessary to implement contrast ('redundant') but still present in the lexicon, and therefore available to the computation
- In fact you expect this sort of redundancy to be there



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL) I

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Context-free weight in Welsh The rich base to the rescue

References I

- Awbery, Gwenllian M. 1984. Phonotactic constraints in Welsh. In *Welsh phonology:* Selected readings, ed. Martin J. Ball and Glyn E. Jones, 65–104. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
- ——. 1986. Pembrokeshire Welsh: a phonological study. Llandysul: Welsh Folk Museum.
- Baroni, Marco, and Laura Vanelli. 2000. The relationship between vowel length and consonantal voicing in Friulian. In *Phonological theory and the dialects of Italy*, ed. Lori Repetti, 13–44. Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 212. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo. 2007. Diachronic phonology. In *The Cambridge handbook of phonology*, ed. Paul de Lacy, 497–518. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press



References II

- Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo, and Graeme Trousdale. forthcoming. Cycles and continua: on unidirectionality and gradualness in language change. In *Handbook on the history of English: rethinking approaches to the history of English,* ed. Terttu Nevalainen and Elizabeth Closs Traugott. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carlyle, Karen Ann. 1988. A syllabic phonology of Breton. PhD diss., University of Toronto.
- De Lacy, Paul. 2006. *Markedness: reduction and preservation in phonology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dresher, B. Elan. 2009. *The contrastive hierarchy in phonology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dresher, B. Elan, Glyne Piggott, and Keren Rice. 1994. Contrast in phonology: overview. *Toronto Working Papers in Linguistics* 14:iii–xvii.
- Flemming, Edward. 2005. Deriving natural classes in phonology. *Lingua* 115 (3): 287–309.



Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL) In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

Context-free weight in Welsh The rich base to the rescue

References IV

- Jarosz, Gaja. 2006. Richness of the base and probabilistic unsupervised learning in Optimality Theory. In *Proceedings of the 8th Meeting of the ACL Special Interest Group on Computational Phonology and Morphology*, 50–59. SIGPHON, '06. Stroudsburg, PA: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Joseph, Brian R., and Richard D. Janda, eds. 2003. *The handbook of historical linguistics*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Kenstowicz, Michael, and Charles Kisseberth. 1977. *Topics in phonological theory*. New York: Academic Press.
- Kiparsky, Paul. 1995. The phonological basis of sound change. In *The handbook of phonological theory*, ed. John Goldsmith, 640–670. Also published in Joseph and Janda (2003), 313–342. Oxford: Blackwell.
- McCarthy, John J. 2005. The length of stem-final vowels in Colloquial Arabic. In *Perspectives on Arabic linguistics XVII–XVIII*, ed. Mohammad T. Alhawary and ST Elabbas Benmamoun, 1–26. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.



References III

- Hale, Mark, and Charles Reiss. 2008. *The phonological enterprise*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hall, Daniel Currie. 2007. The role and representation of contrast in phonological theory. PhD diss., University of Toronto.
- Halle, Morris. 1959. The sound pattern of Russian: a linguistic and acoustical investigation. 's Gravenhage: Mouton.
- Hermans, Ben, and Marc van Oostendorp. 2005. Against the sonority scale: evidence from Frankish tones. In *Organizing grammar: studies in bonor of Henk van Riemsdijk*, ed. Hans Broekhuis, Norbert Corver, Riny Huijbregts, Ursula Kleinhenz, and Jan Koster, 206–221. Studies in Generative Grammar 86. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Hualde, Jose Ignacio. 1990. Compensatory lengthening in Friulian. *Probus* 2:31–46.
- Iosad, Pavel. 2012. Final devoicing and vowel lengthening in Friulian: a representation approach. *Lingua* 122 (8): 922–951.

```
Pavel Iosad (UiT/CASTL)
```

In defence of Richness of the Base: context-free weight in Welsh

CLC/ 24

Context-free weight in Welsh The rich base to the rescue

References V

- Morén, Bruce. 2001. *Distinctiveness, coercion, and sonority: a unified theory of weight.* London, New York: Routledge.
- Rice, Curt. 2006. Norwegian stress and quantity: the implications of loanwords. *Lingua* 116 (7): 1171–1194.
- Zec, Draga. 1988. Sonority constraints on prosodic structure. PhD diss., Stanford University.
- -----. 1995. The role of moraic structure in the distribution of segments within syllables. In *Frontiers of phonology: atoms, structures, derivations,* ed. Jacques Durand and Francis Katamba, 149–179. Harlow, Essex: Longman.

