

## THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

### Edinburgh Research Explorer

## A long-snouted, multihorned tyrannosaurid from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia

#### Citation for published version:

Brusatte, SL, Carr, TD, Erickson, GM, Bever, GS & Norell, MA 2009, 'A long-snouted, multihorned tyrannosaurid from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia' Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol 106, no. 41, pp. 17261-17266. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0906911106

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1073/pnas.0906911106

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

Publisher Rights Statement: Gold Open Access. Published by the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS (2009)

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# A long-snouted, multihorned tyrannosaurid from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia

#### Stephen L. Brusatte<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Thomas D. Carr<sup>c</sup>, Gregory M. Erickson<sup>a,d</sup>, Gabe S. Bever<sup>a</sup>, and Mark A. Norell<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York, NY 10024; <sup>b</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY; <sup>c</sup>Department of Biology, Carthage College, 2001 Alford Park Drive, Kenosha, WI 53140; and <sup>d</sup>Department of Biological Science, 319 King Building, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4295

Edited by Paul E. Olsen, Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY, and approved September 8, 2009 (received for review June 19, 2009)

Tyrannosaurid theropods are characterized by a generalized body plan, and all well-known taxa possess deep and robust skulls that are optimized for exerting powerful bite forces. The fragmentary Late Cretaceous Alioramus appears to deviate from this trend, but its holotype and only known specimen is incomplete and poorly described. A remarkable new tyrannosaurid specimen from the Maastrichtian (Late Cretaceous) of Mongolia, including a nearly complete and well-preserved skull and an extensive postcranium, represents a new species of Alioramus, Alioramus altai. This specimen conclusively demonstrates that Alioramus is a small, gracile, long-snouted carnivore that deviates from other tyrannosaurids in its body plan and presumably its ecological habits. As such, it increases the range of morphological diversity in one of the most familiar extinct clades. Phylogenetic analysis places Alioramus deep within the megapredatory Tyrannosauridae, and within the tyrannosaurine subclade that also includes Tarbosaurus and Tyrannosaurus. Both pneumatization and ornamentation are extreme compared with other tyrannosaurids, and the skull contains eight discrete horns. The new specimen is histologically aged at nine years old but is smaller than other tyrannosaurids of similar age. Despite its divergent cranial form, Alioramus is characterized by a similar sequence of ontogenetic changes as the megapredatory Tyrannosaurus and Albertosaurus, indicating that ontogenetic change is conservative in tyrannosaurids.

Dinosauria | heterochrony | Tyrannosauridae | Theropoda | pneumaticity

The colossal predator *Tyrannosaurus* is undoubtedly the most recognizable dinosaur. *Tyrannosaurus* and several close relatives are grouped together within Tyrannosauridae, a derived clade of large-bodied theropods that were the apex predators in most terminal Cretaceous ecosystems in North America and Asia (1–3). Tyrannosaurids are characterized by a general body plan: All well-known species possess deep skulls, peg-like teeth, and robust lower jaws as adults, features thought to relate to powerful bite forces and an unusual "puncture-pull" feeding style in which the teeth penetrate through bone (4–6). As such, these animals can be referred to as "megapredators."

One enigmatic tyrannosaurid, *Alioramus remotus* from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia, appears to deviate from this trend (7). The fragmentary holotype and only known specimen seems to represent a long-snouted and gracile predator with an extreme degree of cranial ornamentation, but further details of its anatomy, biology, and phylogenetic relationships have proven controversial. Some phylogenetic analyses place *A. remotus* deep within the radiation of Asian tyrannosaurids (8), whereas other studies find it as a basal taxon outside of Tyrannosauridae (1, 2; phylogenetic definitions following ref. 9). Furthermore, some authors have suggested that the holotype of *A. remotus* is a juvenile and possibly an immature *Tarbosaurus* (3). These debates are difficult to resolve with the fragmentary holotype material.

We report the discovery of a peculiar new tyrannosaurid from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia that is known from a remarkably complete and well-preserved skeleton, including a disarticulated skull that allows for meticulous observation of tyrannosaurid cranial anatomy. This new tyrannosaur is small-bodied and possesses a bizarre long-snouted and gracile skull with eight discrete horns, an unusual cranial form for a Late Cretaceous tyrannosaurid. It is extremely similar to, and shares many unique characters with, the holotype of *A. remotus*, but it is also distinguishable by numerous characters and thus is referred to as a new species of *Alioramus*. The new specimen helps clarify the body form and systematic affinities of this long-enigmatic genus, which is now strongly placed as a derived tyrannosaurid closely related to *Tyrannosaurus*. Thus, *Alioramus* is a small, sleek, longirostrine tyrannosaurid, which lived alongside the megapredatory *Tarbosaurus*.

Systematic Paleontology. Theropoda Marsh, 1881; Tetanurae Gauthier, 1986; Coelurosauria Huene, 1914; Tyrannosauroidea Osborn, 1905; Tyrannosauridae Osborn, 1905; Tyrannosaurinae Osborn, 1905; *Alioramus* Kurzanov, 1976; *Alioramus altai*, sp. nov.

**Holotype.** Institute of Geology, Mongolia (IGM), Ulaan Baatar 100/1844 is a substantially complete skeleton found associated and belonging to a single individual that includes a nearly complete and disarticulated skull and is missing the forelimbs, regions of the hindlimbs, the pubes, and much of the dorsal and caudal vertebral series (Figs. 1–3 and Tables S1–S3).

**Etymology.** *Altai* is in reference to the Altai mountain range, a prominent topographic feature of southern Mongolia.

**Horizon and Locality.** The specimen was collected in 2001 at Tsagaan Khushuu (originally called Tsagaan Uul) (10). These beds are part of the Maastrichtian Nemegt Formation, which crops out extensively at a number of localities in the area. The type locality for *A. remotus*, Nogon-Tsav, is often referred to as a Nemegt equivalent, but detailed correlations have yet to be undertaken, and faunal differences suggest that Tsaagan Khushuu and Nogon-Tsav may be different in age (10).

**Diagnosis.** *A. altai* is a tyrannosaurid theropod possessing the following autapomorphies: an accessory pneumatic fenestra posterodorsal to promaxillary fenestra of maxilla; enlarged and elongated maxillary fenestra (length:depth ratio of 1.9); a laterally projecting horn on the jugal; a thick ridge on the dorsal surface of the ectopterygoid; a palatine pneumatic recess ex-

EVOLUTION

Author contributions: S.L.B., T.D.C., G.M.E., G.S.B., and M.A.N. designed research; S.L.B., T.D.C., G.M.E., and G.S.B. performed research; T.D.C. and G.M.E. contributed new reagents/ analytic tools; S.L.B., T.D.C., G.M.E., G.S.B., and M.A.N. analyzed data; and S.L.B., T.D.C., G.M.E., G.S.B., and M.A.N. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: sbrusatte@amnh.org.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/ 0906911106/DCSupplemental.



Fig. 1. Skull reconstruction of A. altai, based on the holotype (IGM 100/1844) and photos of individual bones of the holotype. (A) Left maxilla in lateral view. (B) Left nasal in lateral view. (C) Left lacrimal in lateral view. (D) Left jugal in lateral and dorsal views. (E) Left dentary in lateral view. apf, accessory promaxillary fenestra; cp, cornual process of jugal; jh, jugal horn; lh, lacrimal hornlet; nr, nasal rugosities. (Scale bars, 5 cm.)

tending posteriorly beyond the posterior margin of the vomeropterygoid process; 20 dentary alveoli; an anteroposteriorly elongate anterior mylohyoid foramen of the splenial; a thin epipophysis on the atlantal neurapophysis that terminates at a sharp point; a pneumatic pocket on the anterior surface of the cervical transverse processes; an external pneumatic foramina on the dorsal ribs; and an anterodorsally inclined midline ridge on the lateral surface of the ilium. Many of these features are present on elements not preserved in the holotype of *A. remotus* (see *SI Text*).

A. altai is distinguished from the holotype of A. remotus, which is at approximately the same ontogenetic stage judging by the slight 3% difference in reconstructed skull length between the two specimens, by: s.c. flange on maxilla (the lateral surface of the maxilla extends dorsally to form a narrow slot between itself and the antorbital fossa below the ventral margin of the antorbital fenestra, which is absent in A. remotus); three lessdeveloped rugosities on the nasal (as opposed to six moreprominent rugosities in A. remotus); an anterior process of quadratojugal terminates posterior to the anterior margin of the lateral temporal fenestra; a squamosal anterior process that extends anterior to the anterior margin of the lateral temporal fenestra; and an epipterygoid not bifurcated ventrally. A. altai also possesses three differences with A. remotus that are sizerelated in other tyrannosaurids but may be significant given the similar size of the holotypes: 17 maxillary and 20 dentary alveoli (16 and 18, respectively, in A. remotus); a single dorsoventral groove between the basal tubera (groove bifurcated by ridge in A. remotus); and a tapering anterior process of the parietals overlapping frontals on the midline (larger, rectangular process in A. remotus).

Although representing a juvenile animal (see *Histological and Ontogenetic Analysis*), the holotype of *A. altai* can be distinguished from juveniles of the contemporary *Tarbosaurus* by numerous characters. Namely, *Tarbosaurus* subadults have a deeper maxilla, a deeper tooth-bearing region of the maxilla, fewer teeth anterior to the antorbital fossa, a rounder maxillary fenestra, more closely spaced maxillary and promaxillary

fenestrae, low and indistinct lacrimal horns, low nasal rugosities, a larger postorbital horn, and a considerably lower tooth count in the maxilla and dentary (11, 12).

**Description and Comparisons.** Until the discovery of *A. altai*, the anatomy of *Alioramus* was poorly understood because of a number of factors, including the fragmentary nature of the holotype, the fact that it has never been completely described, and difficulties in gaining access to the specimen.

The skull of *A. altai* is remarkably long and low compared with those of other tyrannosaurids, and the snout comprises 2/3 of the skull length (Fig. 1). Individual bones of the snout, most notably the maxilla, nasal, jugal, and dentary, are elongate, whereas those of the posterior skull roof, braincase, and circumtemporal series are similar in proportion to other tyrannosaurids. Other than its overall shape, the most conspicuous features of the skull are several ornamental projections. These include, on each side of the skull, a lacrimal horn, a postorbital cornual process, and a ventrally projecting jugal cornual process, as is usual for tyrannosaurids. In addition, *A. altai* possesses an autapomorphic horn that projects laterally from the jugal and a series of three discrete bumps along the midline of the fused nasals, similar to the six rugose mounds of *A. remotus* (7). This degree of cranial ornamentation is greater than in all other tyrannosaurids.

The maxilla is extensively pneumatic, with an enormous antorbital fossa that houses an autapomorphically large maxillary fenestra, a smaller promaxillary fenestra with a unique accessory pneumatic foramen posterodorsally, and an elongate fossa on the lateral surface of the ascending ramus. The ventral margin of the maxilla is nearly straight—not deeply convex as in most tyrannosaurids (3, 13)—and contains 17 alveoli. The fused and vaulted nasals lack the ventrolateral process that projects onto the lacrimal in *Tyrannosaurus* (13, 14). The heavily pneumatic lacrimal is marked by a single, discrete, conical horn positioned above the ventral ramus, as in many juvenile tyrannosaurids (15). The jugal contributes widely to the antorbital fenestra and is extensively pneumatic in this region. The cornual process of the postorbital is a rugose ridge that overhangs the



**Fig. 2.** Braincase of *A. altai* (IGM 100/1844). (*A* and *B*) Photographs in dorsal (*A*) and left lateral (*B*) views. (*C*) A digital endocast reconstructed from computed tomography. atr, anterior tympanic recess; btp, basipterygoid process; btr, basipterygoid recess; c, cerebrum; cr, columellar recess; dcv, caudal middle cerebral vein; f, frontal; fl, flocculus; fp, fenestra pseudorotunda; fv, fenestra vestibuli; ic, internal carotid recess; jc, jugular canal (nerves X and XI); nc, nuchal crest of parietal; np, nasal process of frontal; oc, occipital condyle; ol, osseous labyrinth; or, orbital rim of frontal; ot, olfactory tract; pa, parietal; pb, pituitary body; pc, postorbital contact; pf, prootic fenestra (nerves V and VII, prootic recess); cr, parasphenoid rostrum; prf, prefrontal; pt, postarior tympanic recess; sc, sagittal crest; scr, subcondylar recess; fs, supratemporal fossa. Roman numerals denote cranial nerves. (Scale bars: *A* and *B*, 5 cm; *C*, 2 cm.)

posterodorsal corner of the orbit, not a swollen horn as is commonly seen in other tyrannosaurids (3, 16, 17). The ventral process of the postorbital does not project into the orbit as it does in large tyrannosaurids (18), and its lateral surface is scoured by several deep, arcuate sulci like those common in juvenile tyrannosaurids (15). The squamosal, quadrate, palatine, and ectopterygoid house large pneumatic recesses. The triradiate prefrontal makes a narrow contribution to the skull roof, and the parietal is expanded into pronounced nuchal and sagittal crests.

The braincase is exceptionally well preserved, and sutures between most bones are visible (Fig. 2). The supraoccipital makes a narrow contribution to the foramen magnum and is not bifurcated dorsally as in large tyrannosaurids (15, 17). The heavily pneumatized paroccipital processes have a ventral flange at their distal end and are penetrated by anterior and posterior pneumatic foramina. Pneumatic foramina enter into the subcondylar recess to penetrate each basal tuber. The basisphenoid is extensively pneumatized and houses five separate recesses, including a large basipterygoid recess that opens laterally as a rectangular fenestra. This prootic also houses an internal recess, which opens laterally into a large fossa, bounded entirely by the prootic, which also houses external foramina for both the trigeminal and facial nerves. The antotic crest of the laterosphenoid, which separates the lateral wall of the braincase from the orbital space, is bifurcated. A foramen for the ophthalmic branch of the trigeminal nerve opens through the laterosphenoid as in Tyrannosaurus (13).

A digital cast of the endocranial cavity, cranial nerve roots, and inner ears reveals a series of plesiomorphic and derived features. For example, based on a horizontal, lateral, semicircular canal, A. altai held its head in a slightly downturned position while "alert" (19). The angle of this alert position is slightly greater than the nearly horizontal orientation of noncoelurosaurian theropods (e.g., Majungasaurus; see ref. 20) but less than the strongly downturned orientation of maniraptorans (including birds; see ref. 19). The telencephalon is not greatly expanded beyond that of basal theropods, but the geniculate and gasserian ganglions of the facial and trigeminal nerves, respectively, both lie in the derived intracranial position. The volume of the endocranial cavity is  $\approx 81 \text{ cm}^3$  (compared with the 343-cm<sup>3</sup>) endocranial volume of Tyrannosaurus; see ref. 21). The encephalization quotient, a unitless estimate of relative brain size based on body mass (methods following ref. 20), of A. altai is 2.4, which is slightly larger than that of *Tyrannosaurus* (2.0).

The shallow dentary is unexpanded anteriorly, meets its counterpart at a flat and weak symphysis, and contains 20 alveoli, two more than in any other tyrannosaurid (11). The surangular foramen is enormous and is bordered posteriorly by a deep pocket that leads into an internal recess. The retroarticular process is short, the external mandibular fenestra is small, and the anterior mylohyoid foramen of the splenial is autapomorphically ovoid and oriented horizontally. Modifications for jaw-strengthening in large tyrannosaurids, such as fusion of postdentary bones and interlocking ridges and grooves between the angular and prearticular, are absent (22).

A complete series of 11 highly pneumatic cervical vertebrae is preserved (Fig. 3). Cervical pneumaticity is extreme compared with other tyrannosaurids and includes two unusual features: an



**Fig. 3.** Skeletal reconstruction of *A. altai*, based on the holotype (IGM 100/1844) and photos of individual bones of the holotype. (*A*) Midcervical vertebra in anterior view. (*B*) Midcervical vertebra in right lateral view. ap, anterior pneumatic pocket on transverse process; pp, pneumatic pocket on the web of bone above the transverse process. (Scale bar for the reconstruction, 2 m; scale bar for the vertebrae photos, 5 cm.)



**Fig. 4.** The strict consensus of four most-parsimonious trees recovered by the phylogenetic analysis (626 steps; consistency index = 0.60; retention index = 0.65) scaled against the Jurassic and Cretaceous time scale. The analysis places *Alioramus* as a derived tyrannosaurid and the most basal member of Tyrannosaurinae, the subclade that also includes *Daspletosaurus*, *Tarbosaurus*, and *Tyrannosaurus*. Numbers next to nodes denote Bremer support/bootstrap values (1,000 interations). Thick black bars represent the finest stratigraphic age resolution for each taxon, not actual observed ranges.

autapomorphic pocket on the anterior surface of the transverse processes and a deep pneumatic fossa on the dorsal surface of the posterior centrodiapophyseal lamina, otherwise only seen in juvenile *Tarbosaurus* (11). Additionally, the dorsal ribs are pneumatic, a unique feature among tyrannosaurids. The ilium displays an anterodorsally oriented midline ridge, which differs from the more dorsal inclination in most other tyrannosauroids (23). The ischial peduncle of the ilium terminates in a conical peg, which fits into a socket on the ischium. The lateral malleolus of the tibia extends only a short distance distally relative to the medial malleolus, differing from the deeper lateral malleoli of other tyrannosaurids.

**Histological and Ontogenetic Analysis.** A histological analysis of several hindlimb elements (see *SI Text*) indicates that the holotype of *A. altai* is a young, actively growing juvenile-to-subadult animal that died as a nine year old (see Figs. S1 and S2). However, its body size, based on femoral length, conforms more closely to a seven- to eight-year-old *Albertosaurus* or *Gorgosaurus* and a five- to six-year-old *Daspletosaurus* or *Tyrannosaurus* (much larger taxa and the closest relatives of *Alioramus*; see *Systematics*) among tyrannosaurids for which growth curves have been established (24). Thus, the holotype of *A. altai* is relatively smaller than other tyrannosaurids at a similar age, suggesting that it may have attained a smaller adult size.

With longevity and developmental stage estimates derived from histology, it is interesting to determine whether the holotype of *A. altai* corresponds to the same general growth stage (sensu 15) as similarly aged individuals of closely related taxa. We added the holotype of *A. altai* to an ontogenetic analysis presented by Carr and Williamson (14) for *Tyrannosaurus*. Because the two taxa are close relatives (see *Systematics*), and because many observed features on the holotype of *A. altai* correspond with discrete character states observed in *Tyranno*-

17264 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0906911106

saurus ontogeny, this procedure gives a reasonable estimate of the *Tyrannosaurus* growth stage with which the holotype of A. altai roughly corresponds. This analysis returned a single mostparsimonious tree (99 steps; consistency index = 0.91; retention index = 0.91) (see Fig. S3). The holotype of A. altai is placed between LACM 23845 (which has been histologically aged at 14 years old) and LACM 238471 (histologically aged at two years old; see ref. 24) and CMNH 7541 (an extremely small Tyrannosaurus individual that is certainly less than nine years old). Thus, the holotype of A. altai has a set of features predicted for a ca. nine-year-old juvenile Tyrannosaurus. A similar sequence of ontogenetic changes is present in Albertosaurus (15). This suggests that, despite its divergent cranial form and smaller size, Alioramus followed a growth trajectory similar to other tyrannosaurids and that the sequence of character change throughout ontogeny is highly conserved in tyrannosaurids.

Systematics. We added A. altai to the phylogenetic analysis of Carr and Williamson (25) (see SI Appendix), which produced four most-parsimonious trees (strict consensus in Fig. 4). A. altai is recovered as the sister taxon to A. remotus, as is necessary to maintain both species in the same genus. This sister relationship is supported by several characters, including the unambiguous presence of a low skull, a shallow horizontal ramus of the maxilla, a subtle and undifferentiated postorbital cornual process, a long posterior process of the squamosal, and 16 or more maxillary alveoli. Alioramus is placed not only as a member of Tyrannosauridae but also within the derived clade Tyrannosaurinae, which also includes Daspletosaurus, Tarbosaurus, and Tyrannosaurus. Within Tyrannosaurinae, Alioramus falls out as the most basal taxon. Thus, our analysis disagrees with studies that place Alioramus as a basal tyrannosauroid outside of Tyrannosauridae (1-2) and is generally concordant with a recent study that recovered Alioramus nested within Tyrannosaurinae (8). However, unlike this study, we do not place *Alioramus* and *Tarbo-saurus* within an endemic Asian tyrannosaurine clade, but recover *Alioramus* as the most basal member of a speciose clade of Asian and North American taxa.

#### **Discussion and Conclusions**

The discovery of A. altai helps clarify the anatomy and systematics of one of the most intriguing, yet poorly understood, large theropod dinosaur genera. The nearly complete and remarkably preserved skull and substantially complete postcranium of A. altai clearly demonstrate that Alioramus was a small, gracile, heavily ornamented, longirostrine carnivore. The skull is lightly built, and the upper jaw is more than five times longer than it is deep above the antorbital fenestra, an extraordinary ratio otherwise only seen in spinosaurids (26), the small basal tyrannosauroid Xiongguanlong (27), and a few coelurosaurs (28) among theropods. Four sets of cranial horns-one on each lacrimal and postorbital and two on each jugal-are present, as well as a series of discrete rugosities on the nasal. This degree of ornamentation exceeds that seen in large-bodied tyrannosaurids such as Tyrannosaurus (13) and Tarbosaurus (17). The holotype of A. altai has a reconstructed mass of 369 kilograms based on equations given by ref. 29. A Tyrannosaurus individual of comparable age would have a mass of  $\approx$ 750 kg (24), approximately twice that of A. altai. Thus, in total, the new material unmistakably shows that Alioramus possessed a distinct body plan relative to other tyrannosaurids, increasing the range of morphological disparity in one of the most familiar extinct clades.

The new material also suggests that tyrannosaurids were more ecologically variable than previously thought. The feeding habits of large-bodied tyrannosaurids have been studied in intense detail, and bite marks, coprolites, gross morphology, and finite element analysis all indicate that Tyrannosaurus and kin had skulls well suited to take down large prey and bite through bone (4, 5, 30). A. altai lacks many of the cranial adaptations that are integral to using "puncture-pull" feeding in this manner, such as a deep skull, robust bones, fused or interlocking sutures (5), peg-like teeth (4), a rigid lower jaw (22), a strongly interlocking dentary symphysis (31), and a rugose lacrimal-postorbital bar above the orbit (32). This suggests that Alioramus exploited a different feeding style, likely focusing on smaller prey, than other Late Cretaceous tyrannosaurids, including the contemporary Tarbosaurus, whose remains have also been collected at the Tsaagan Khuushu locality. In fact, it is possible that ecological niche differentiation allowed Alioramus and Tarbosaurus to coexist, as was originally suggested by Kurzanov (7).

The elongate and gracile skull of *Alioramus* is similar to that of Xiongguanlong, a recently described basal tyrannosauroid from the Aptian–Albian (Early Cretaceous) of China (27). *Xiongguanlong* is more primitive, smaller, and more than 35 million years older than Alioramus, and is a non-tyrannosaurid that occupies a basal position on the tyrannosauroid stem. As Xiongguanlong and Alioramus are phylogenetically distant, their longirostrine skulls optimize as convergence (see SI Text). However, as little is known about the cranial anatomy of basal tyrannosauroids, and Early Cretaceous forms in particular, it may be that the elongate skull of Xiongguanlong is primitive for Tyrannosauridae or close outgroup nodes. If so, the presence of a long and gracile skull in Alioramus could potentially be a reversal to the primitive condition, but this hypothesis requires testing with additional basal tyrannosauroid discoveries, most likely from the Early Cretaceous.

The holotype of *A. altai* is remarkably pneumatic, more so than in any other tyrannosaurid specimen. Tyrannosaurids generally exhibit a greater degree of pneumaticity than other theropods, and all of the normal tyrannosaurid pneumatic recesses are present in *Alioramus* (e.g., jugal, lacrimal, squamosal, palatine, ectopterygoid, supraoccipital, prootic, paroccipi

tal). In addition, the lateral wall of the braincase is extensively pneumatized, with a fenestra-like basipterygoid recess and separate pneumatic openings for the anterior tympanic recess and internal carotid. The maxilla also exhibits a heightened degree of pneumaticity associated with the antorbital fossa, and the cervical vertebrae and ribs display autapomorphic recesses and pneumatic pockets. It is interesting that the greatest degree of pneumaticity in a tyrannosaurid is present in one of the smallest and most gracile tyrannosaurid specimens. This argues against the hypothesis that pneumaticity is correlated with body size and is primarily an adaptation to weight reduction (33). Instead, pneumaticity may be exceptionally variable among individuals (34) or may decrease throughout ontogeny. Indeed, an ontogenetic decrease is seen in Allosaurus (35), and juvenile Tarbosaurus specimens exhibit vertebral pneumatic features not seen in adults (11).

Because the holotype of *A. altai* represents a young individual, it is possible that its aberrant skull form and cranial ornamentation are ephemeral juvenile features that would not be present in the *Alioramus* adult. However, it is worth noting that the holotype is much smaller and more slender than comparably aged *Tyrannosaurus* (24) and has a longer snout than any known juvenile of *Albertosaurus*, *Tyrannosaurus*, or *Tarbosaurus* (11, 14, 15). Additionally, well-studied growth series of other dinosaur taxa show that ornamentation increases throughout ontogeny (36), suggesting that the adult *Alioramus* would possess even more elaborate horns and rugosities. Thus, the aberrant cranial and skeletal form of *Alioramus* was likely maintained in the adult individual.

With this in mind, it is interesting that *Alioramus* appears to follow a sequence of ontogenetic cranial changes similar to other tyrannosaurids despite its atypical morphology. There is no evidence that the elongate skull of *Alioramus* is the result of paedomorphosis, as this would predict that *Alioramus* maintains juvenile characters of close relatives until later in adulthood. Instead, the nine-year-old holotype of *A. altai* possesses a set of characters predicted for a nine-year-old *Albertosaurus* or *Tyrannosaurus*. Thus, the extreme differences in skull shape between *Alioramus* and close relatives must have been the result of another process, and the sequence of discrete character changes in tyrannosaurids appears to be highly conserved despite vast differences in morphology and growth rate (24) among taxa.

#### **Materials and Methods**

To compare the ontogenetic stage of the holotype of *A. altai* with its close relative *Tyrannosaurus*, we included the holotype in an analysis of *Tyrannosaurus* ontogeny presented by Carr and Williamson (15). The data matrix includes 84 characters scored for six ingroup taxa, following the analytical procedures outlined in ref. 15. The matrix was run in PAUP 4.0b under an exhaustive search. To specify the phylogenetic relationships of *A. altai*, we added it to the data matrix of Carr and Williamson (25). The data matrix includes 279 characters scored for 15 ingroup taxa and four outgroups (*SI Appendix*). The matrix was run in PAUP 4.0b under search with the characters equally weighted and unordered, as described by Carr and Williamson (25).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank three skilled artists for their work on the specimen: Amy Davidson for preparation, Frank Ippolito for the skeletal reconstructions, and Mick Ellison for photography. We are grateful to Carl Mehling for curatorial support and numerous curators for access to specimens in their care, most importantly Magdalena Borsuk–Bialynicka, Philip Currie, John Horner, Steve Hutt, Paul Sereno, and Xu Xing. We thank Richard Butler for reading an earlier version of this paper. This project is supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (to S.L.B.), Assembling the Tree of Life Grant 0228693 (to M.A.N.), and National Science Foundation of Biological Infrastructure Grant 0446224 and Division of Earth Sciences Grant 04418649 (to G.M.E.). This work was also supported by the American Museum of Natural History Division of Paleontology and Columbia University Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences. The Mongolian Academy of Sciences is thanked for its longstanding and ongoing support of this project.

- Holtz TR, Jr (2001) The phylogeny and taxonomy of the Tyrannosauridae. In Mesozoic Vertebrate Life, eds Tanke DH, Carpenter K (Indiana Univ Press, Bloomington, IN), pp. 279–297.
- Holtz TR, Jr (2004) Tyrannosauroidea. In *The Dinosauria*, eds Weishampel DB, Dodson P, Osmolska H (Univ California Press, Berkeley, CA), 2nd Ed, pp 111–136.
- Currie PJ (2003) Cranial anatomy of tyrannosaurid dinosaurs from the Late Cretaceous of Alberta, Canada. Acta Palaeontol Polonica 48:191–226.
- Erickson GM, et al. (1996) Bite-force estimation for Tyrannosaurus rex from toothmarked bones. Nature 382:706–708.
- Rayfield EJ (2004) Cranial mechanics and feeding in Tyrannosaurus rex. Proc R Soc London Ser B 271:1451–1459.
- Molnar RE (2000) Mechanical factors in the design of the skull of *Tyrannosaurus rex* (Osborn, 1905). *Gaia* 15:193–218.
- Kurzanov SM (1976) A new Late Cretaceous carnosaur from Nogon-Tsav Mongolia. Sovmestnaâ Sovetsko-Mongolskaâ Paleontologiceskaâ Ekspeditciâ, Trudy 3:93–104 (in Russian).
- Currie PJ, Hurum JH, Sabath K (2003) Skull structure and evolution in tyrannosaurid dinosaurs. Acta Palaeontol Polonica 48:227–234.
- Sereno PC, McAllister S, Brusatte SL (2005) TaxonSearch: A relational database for suprageneric taxa and phylogenetic definitions. *PhyloInformatics* 8:1–21.
- Kurochkin EN, Barsbold R (2000) The Russian–Mongolian expedition and research in vertebrate paleontology. In *The Age of Dinosaurs in Russia and Mongolia*, eds Benton MJ, Shishkin MA, Unwin DM, Kurochkin EN (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK), pp. 235–255.
- Currie PJ, Dong Z (2001) New information on Shanshanosaurus huoyanshanesis, a juvenile tyrannosaurid (Theropoda, Dinosauria) from the Late Cretaceous of China. Can J Earth Sci 38:1729–1737.
- Maleev EA (1974) Gigantic carnosaurs of the family Tyrannosauridae. Paleontologiâ i biostratigrafiâ Mongolii, Sovmestnaâ Sovetsko-Mongolskaâ Paleontologiceskaâ Ekspediciâ, Trudy 1, ed Kramarenko NN, pp. 132–191.
- Brochu CA (2003) Osteology of *Tyrannosaurus rex*: Insights from a nearly complete skeleton and high-resolution computed tomographic analysis of the skull. Soc Vertebr Paleontol Mem 7:1–138.
- Carr TD, Williamson TE (2004) Diversity of late Maastrichtian Tyrannosauridae (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from western North America. Zool J Linn Soc 142:479–523.
- Carr TD (1999) Craniofacial ontogeny in Tyrannosauridae (Dinosauria, Coelurosauria). J Vertebr Paleontol 19:497–520.
- Russell DA (1970) Tyrannosaurs from the Late Cretaceous of western Canada. Nat Mus Nat Sci Pub Palaeontol 1:1–34.
- Hurum JH, Sabath K (2003) Giant theropod dinosaurs from Asia and North America: Skulls of Tarbosaurus bataar and Tyrannosaurus rex compared. Acta Palaeontol Polonica 48:161–190.
- 18. Chure DJ (2000) On the orbit of theropod dinosaurs. Gaia 15:233-240.
- Witmer LM, Chatterjee S, Franzosa J, Rowe T (2003) Neuroanatomy of flying reptiles and implications for flight, posture, and behavior. *Nature* 425:950–953.

- Sampson SD, Witmer LM (2007) Craniofacial anatomy of Majungasaurus crenatissimus (Theropoda: Abelisauridae) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. Soc Vertebr Paleontol Mem 8:32–102.
- Larsson HCE, Sereno PC, Wilson JA (2000) Forebrain enlargement among nonavian theropod dinosaurs. J Vertebr Paleontol 20:615–618.
- 22. Hurum JH, Currie PJ (2000) The crushing bite of tyrannosaurids. J Vertebr Paleontol 20:619-621.
- Benson RBJ (2008) New information on *Stokesosaurus*, a tyrannosauroid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from North America and the United Kingdom. *J Vertebr Paleontol* 28:732– 750.
- 24. Erickson GM, et al. (2004) Gigantism and comparative life-history parameters of tyrannosaurid dinosaurs. *Nature* 430:772–775.
- Carr TD, Williamson TE, A new tyrannosauroid from New Mexico and the origin of deep snouts in Tyrannosauroidea. J Vertebr Paleontol, in press.
- Sues HD, Frey E, Martill DM, Scott DM (2002) Irritator challengeri, a spinosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Lower Cretaceous of Brazil. J Vertebr Paleontol 22:535–547.
- Li D, Norell, MA, Gao K, Smith ND, Makovicky PJ (2009) A longirostrine tyrannosauroid from the Early Cretaceous of China. Proc R Soc London Ser B, 10.1098/ rspb.2009.0249.
- Novas FE, Pol D, Canale JI, Porfiri JD, Calvo JO (2009) A bizarre Cretaceous theropod dinosaur from Patagonia and the evolution of Gondwanan dromaeosaurids. Proc R Soc London Ser B 276:1101–1107.
- 29. Christiansen P, Farina RA (2004) Mass prediction in theropod dinosaurs. *Hist Biol* 16:85–92.
- Barrett PM, Rayfield EJ (2006) Ecological and evolutionary implications of dinosaur feeding behaviour. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21:217–224.
- Therrien F, Henderson DM, Ruff CB (2005) Bite me: Biomechanical models of theropod mandibles and implicatiosn for feeding behavior. In *The Carnivorous Dinosaurs*, ed Carpenter K (Indiana Univ Press, Bloomington, IN), pp 179–237.
- 32. Young MT, Brusatte SL, Ruta M, Andrade MB (2009). The evolution of Metriorhynchoidea (Mesoeucrocodylia, Thalattosuchia): An integrated approach using geometric morphometrics, analysis of disparity, and biomechanics. *Zool J Linn Soc*, in press.
- Bühler P (1992) Light bones in birds. In Papers in Avian Paleontology Honoring Pierce Brodkorb, ed Campbell KE (Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles), pp 385–393.
- 34. Witmer LM (1997) The evolution of the antorbital cavity of archosaurs: A study in soft-tissue reconstruction in the fossil record with an analysis of the function of pneumaticity. Soc Vertebr Paleontol Mem 3:1–73.
- 35. Rauhut OWM, Fechner R (2005) Early development of the facial region in a non-avian theropod dinosaur. *Proc R Soc London Ser B* 272:1179–1183.
- Horner JR, Goodwin MB (2006) Major cranial changes during *Triceratops* ontogeny. Proc R Soc London Ser B 273:2757–2761.