



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Genetic amplification and the individuation of the parent-child relationship across adolescence

Citation for published version:

Ludeke, S, Johnson, W, McGue, M & Iacono, W 2012, 'Genetic amplification and the individuation of the parent-child relationship across adolescence' *Psychological Medicine*, vol 8., 10.1017s0033291712001201

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1017s0033291712001201](https://doi.org/10.1017s0033291712001201)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher final version (usually the publisher pdf)

Published In:

Psychological Medicine

Publisher Rights Statement:

© S. Ludeke, W. Johnson, M. McGue and W. G. Iacono
Psychological Medicine / Volume 43 / Issue 02 / February 2013, pp 413 - 422
DOI: 10.1017/S0033291712001201, Published online: 08 August 2012
Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0033291712001201

©S. Ludeke, W. Johnson, M. McGue and W. G. Iacono (2013). Genetic amplification and the individualization of the parent-child relationship across adolescence. *Psychological Medicine*, 43, pp 413-422 doi:10.1017/S0033291712001201

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Psychological Medicine

<http://journals.cambridge.org/PSM>


Additional services for *Psychological Medicine*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



Genetic amplification and the individualization of the parent–child relationship across adolescence

S. Ludeke, W. Johnson, M. McGue and W. G. Iacono

Psychological Medicine / Volume 43 / Issue 02 / February 2013, pp 413 - 422
DOI: 10.1017/S0033291712001201, Published online: 08 August 2012

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0033291712001201

How to cite this article:

S. Ludeke, W. Johnson, M. McGue and W. G. Iacono (2013). Genetic amplification and the individualization of the parent–child relationship across adolescence. *Psychological Medicine*, 43, pp 413–422 doi:10.1017/S0033291712001201

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

Genetic amplification and the individualization of the parent–child relationship across adolescence

S. Ludeke^{1*}, W. Johnson², M. McGue¹ and W. G. Iacono¹

¹ University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

² The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Background. Many psychological traits become increasingly influenced by genetic factors throughout development, including several that might intuitively be seen as purely environmental characteristics. One such trait is the parent–child relationship, which is associated with a variety of socially significant outcomes, including mental health and criminal behavior. Genetic factors have been shown to partially underlie some of these associations, but the changing role of genetic influence over time remains poorly understood.

Method. Over 1000 participants in a longitudinal twin study were assessed at three points across adolescence with a self-report measure regarding the levels of warmth and conflict in their relationships with their parents. These reports were analyzed with a biometric growth curve model to identify changes in genetic and environmental influences over time.

Results. Genetic influence on the child-reported relationship with parent increased throughout adolescence, while the relationship's quality deteriorated. The increase in genetic influence resulted primarily from a positive association between genetic factors responsible for the initial relationship and those involved in change in the relationship over time. By contrast, environmental factors relating to change were negatively related to those involved in the initial relationship.

Conclusions. The increasing genetic influence seems to be due to early genetic influences having greater freedom of expression over time whereas environmental circumstances were decreasingly important to variance in the parent–child relationship. We infer that the parent–child relationship may become increasingly influenced by the particular characteristics of the child (many of which are genetically influenced), gradually displacing the effects of parental or societal ideas of child rearing.

Received 11 September 2011; Revised 20 April 2012; Accepted 30 April 2012; First published online 8 August 2012

Key words: Behavioural genetics, genetic amplification, parent–child relationship, longitudinal research.

Introduction

A developing body of behavioral genetic research has demonstrated significant genetic influence on a range of purportedly environmental variables. Kendler & Baker (2007) reported a range of studies on the topic showing modest to moderate genetic impact on phenomena such as stressful life events, marital quality, peer interactions and parent–child relationships. As each of these traits is clearly affected by more conventional genetically influenced traits such as personality, mental health and intelligence, the discovery of non-zero heritability estimates for such traits should not be surprising in itself. However, studies demonstrating these effects may be of particular interest for researchers in psychopathology because of their

power to illustrate the potential for ‘outside-the-skin’ pathways for genetic influence on psychopathology, in which the impact of genes on disease risk is mediated by genetically influenced pathogenic environments. Longitudinal studies on the impact of genetic factors on purportedly environmental variables are crucial for identifying such mediation effects but, as Kendler & Baker (2007) noted, few such studies exist.

One ongoing effort that addresses this deficit is the Minnesota Twin Family Study (MTFS), a longitudinal twin study that has explored the role of genetic factors in the parent–child relationship (Elkins *et al.* 1997; McGue *et al.* 2005) and how this relationship contributes to externalizing psychopathology (Burt *et al.* 2005). The latter study demonstrated one ‘outside-the-skin’ pathway when it showed that genetic factors affecting the early expression of a purportedly environmental variable (the parent–child relationship) contributed to levels of externalizing behaviors exhibited at a later age. The connection of the parent–child relationship with psychopathology and

* Address for correspondence: S. Ludeke, M.A., Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, 75 E River Parkway, Minneapolis, MN, USA.
(Email: lude0011@umn.edu)

criminal behavior has long been recognized (cf. Rothbaum & Weisz, 1994), but the ability of Burt *et al.* (2005) to control for confounding genetic factors enabled them to demonstrate that the individual plays some role in the emergence of the environmental risk itself.

Although the role of genetic influence in the parent-child relationship has been explored extensively by several investigators (Rowe, 1981, 1983; Kendler, 1996; Reiss *et al.* 2000), newer contributions have focused on the change in heritability for this phenotype over time. Using a cross-sectional design, Elkins *et al.* (1997) found far greater heritability estimates for the parent-child relationship in the late-adolescent cohort of the MTFS than for the pre-adolescent cohort. This work was supported by later longitudinal data from the MTFS presented by McGue *et al.* (2005), who found increased heritability on the same measure between ages 11 and 14 years. Both of these studies contributed to a growing literature in behavioral genetics concerning change in heritability over time. A summary and meta-analysis by Bergen *et al.* (2007) showed that increased heritability was observed from childhood to adulthood in all domains examined, including mental disorders, intellectual functioning, social and political attitudes, and family relationships. These increases in heritability tend to come at the expense of shared environmental contributions (i.e. the environmental effects associated with growing up together in the same family), whose role in influencing individual differences often begins to diminish well before the age when children typically leave the home.

Nevertheless, the growing recognition of biometric trends in development has remained significantly agnostic as to the processes responsible for them. Although this topic may ultimately be addressed at the molecular level, quantitative behavioral genetic methods can provide insight into the processes involved by clarifying the manner in which genetic influences on the phenotype change over time. Plomin (1986) noted that although genetic factors account for much less variance in IQ at early ages than in adulthood, there were indications of a high degree of overlap in the genetic factors involved throughout this period. This suggested that genetic factors accounted for increasing amounts of variance through a process Plomin termed genetic amplification, in which initial genetic effects acquire greater influence as the individual ages. Alternatively, change in heritability estimates over the developmental course could indicate that some genetic factors influence the phenotype only at particular ages. In the context of increasing heritability this might be termed genetic addition, as these genetic factors would increase the net influence of genes on a phenotype without any necessary

relationship to earlier genetic influences on the trait. A final possibility is that raw variance due to non-genetic sources declines through development, leading to an increase in heritability estimates even in the absence of any increase in variance due to genetic factors. Identifying which alternative is responsible for the biometric course of a trait allows some inferences to be made regarding the nature and influence of certain sources of phenotypic variation, as outlined below.

Conventional biometric models are sufficient to identify heritability changes that result from decreasing environmental variance. However, differentiating between the two alternatives in which genetic variance increases throughout development is best accomplished by growth curve modeling, a statistical technique available only to longitudinal studies with three or more assessments of the trait. When applied to a genetically informative sample such as twins, such models can identify both whether and how much genetic and environmental factors contribute to change and stability in the phenotype over time, and also what forms those contributions take. Some contributions of genetic and environmental factors may be specific to a single time point, and growth curve models isolate these contributions as age-specific effects. Biometric contributions that are part of a continuous trend throughout development are identified by their effects on the initial level (intercept), changes in that level (slope), and the relationship between those two (intercept-slope covariance).

Under the amplification model, a strong genetic association between the intercept and slope is expected, as this would indicate a growing importance for genetic influences on change that were already contributing to the phenotype when first assessed. By contrast, if the genetic association between intercept and slope is weak, and either a strong genetic influence is found for slope or large age-specific genetic effects are found in later assessments, the increased heritability can be attributed to genetic addition. Although there exist empirical demonstrations for the latter process (Hjelmborg *et al.* 2008), amplification has a more plausible theoretical grounding for psychological phenotypes. This is because individuals are generally thought to have greater freedom to act in accordance with genetic dispositions as they age (Scarr & McCartney, 1983) and become less constrained by the influences of their parents. Thus, for any traits in which genetic factors increase in importance because of the increasing freedom of the individual to express their disposition, latent growth modeling may be expected to identify genetic amplification at the heart of increasing genetic variance for the trait.

For the parent-child relationship, this could be interpreted as the relationship becoming more

Table 1. Twin correlations (with 95% confidence intervals) for the Parent Environment Questionnaire (PEQ) at ages 11, 14 and 17 years

	Boys		Girls		Pooled	
	MZ	DZ	MZ	DZ	MZ	DZ
Age 11 years	0.55 (0.45–0.64)	0.40 (0.22–0.55)	0.41 (0.29–0.51)	0.44 (0.30–0.57)	0.48 (0.40–0.55)	0.42 (0.31–0.52)
Age 14 years	0.56 (0.46–0.64)	0.43 (0.26–0.58)	0.54 (0.44–0.63)	0.48 (0.38–0.61)	0.55 (0.48–0.62)	0.46 (0.35–0.56)
Age 17 years	0.60 (0.50–0.69)	0.29 (0.08–0.47)	0.54 (0.43–0.64)	0.30 (0.12–0.46)	0.57 (0.49–0.63)	0.29 (0.16–0.42)
<i>n</i> at 11 years	238	218	225	221	563	439
<i>n</i> at 14 years	220	216	211	210	436	416
<i>n</i> at 17 years	180	187	195	192	375	379

MZ, Monozygotic; DZ, dizygotic.

Correlations were estimated using the Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm assuming unobserved data were missing at random.

individualized and responsive to the particular genetically influenced characteristics of the child, which gradually displace the effects of parental or societal conceptions of child rearing. Genetic amplification also indicates that such child characteristics already influencing deviation from the mean at an early age have increasing effects over time, so that those who are relatively extreme tend to become more extreme. By contrast, in genetic addition any change in individual differences derived from genetic factors may be unrelated or even negatively related to initial individual differences resulting from genetic factors.

We examined MTFS data on the parent-child relationship in a large longitudinal sample assessed at ages 11, 14 and 17 years to identify phenotypic changes in this relationship and characterize any biometric patterns over this period. Although previous research has suggested that the parent-child relationship stabilizes in later adolescence (e.g. Loeber *et al.* 2000; Kim *et al.* 2001), these studies typically included only a few hundred participants and so may have been underpowered.

Method

Participants

The sample consisted of participants from the MTFS, an ongoing community-based longitudinal study of reared-together, same-sex twins and their parents. Table 1 presents the number and gender breakdown of participants. Comprehensive descriptions of this study's procedures and sample characteristics have been provided elsewhere (Iacono *et al.* 1999; Iacono & McGue, 2002).

The present sample was first assessed at age 11 (mean = 11.7, s.d. = 0.43) years, with follow-up assessments performed approximately 3 years later, and

then again 3 years after that. Although only 73% of the twins completed the relevant assessment at all three time points, another 20% were assessed twice. Analysis of information provided at age 11 by those not present in later assessments showed that although a composite score of externalizing symptoms did not predict non-participation at age 14, it did predict non-participation at age 17, with non-participants scoring 0.4 s.d. higher on age 11 externalizing symptoms. Scores from other assessments at that age, including internalizing symptoms and parent-child relationship quality, did not predict later participation in the study.

Measures

Data on the parent-child relationship were collected at each assessment when the twins completed the Parent Environment Questionnaire (PEQ) for each rearing parent. The PEQ is a 42-item survey developed by MTFS researchers to measure the relationship of the child with each parent; representative items include 'My parent often criticizes me' and 'My parent comforts me when I am discouraged or have had a disappointment'. Elkins *et al.* (1997) provided a description of the development, theoretical rationale and psychometric properties of the PEQ, noting that factor analyses suggest the PEQ primarily assesses one major dimension of the parent-child relationship, which we follow McGue *et al.* (2005) in interpreting as concerned with parental warmth *versus* conflict. Previous work with the PEQ (Elkins *et al.* 1997; McGue *et al.* 2005) has examined this dimension using four different scales (Conflict, Involvement, Parental Regard for Child, and Child Regard for Parent). These scales are all highly correlated (between 0.59 and 0.70) and a principal components analysis of the constitutive items showed a first component accounting for >33% of the variance and the second factor accounting for <6%.




Fig. 1. Path diagram of the linear ACE growth curve model (for one individual) centered on age at the initial assessment. Letters A, C and E denote additive genetic, common environmental and unique environmental effects respectively. I and S denote level at baseline (intercept) and rate of change (slope) respectively, and R denotes the residual effect. Intercept–slope covariance is represented by the path connecting the A, C and E intercept estimators and the slope.

Accordingly, for the present analysis we summed the raw scores on these four scales (after reverse scoring the Conflict scale) to form a unitary factor scale in which high scores reflect a more positive relationship.

Consistent with previous research based on self-report (Juang & Silbereisen, 1999) and direct observation (Baumrind, 1991; Kim *et al.* 2001), children's ratings of their relationships with their mothers and their relationships with their father were highly correlated. For both boys and girls, the correlations between mother and father ratings exceeded 0.60 at every assessment. For the analyses reported here we followed procedures used in other studies (e.g. McGue *et al.* 2005) by averaging participants' ratings of relationships with mother and father to form a parent composite. In cases where there was only one rearing parent, the participant's ratings for that parent were used.

Statistical methods

Analysis of the longitudinal twin data was based on standard biometric methods (Neale & Cardon, 1992); that is, we assumed that the total phenotypic variance (P) for a given scale could be decomposed into independent additive genetic (A), shared environmental (C) and unique environmental (E) components. Additive genetic factors influence phenotypes without regard to other genes (i.e. epistatic effects) and are not

expressed in dominant and recessive alleles. Shared environment refers to aspects of the environment that have similar effects on the phenotype of interest in each twin, regardless of zygosity. Non-shared environment refers to environmental variables that cause phenotypic differences between the members of a twin pair. Because monozygotic (MZ) twins share 100% of additive genetic effects whereas dizygotic (DZ) twins share only 50%, and because shared environmental effects are assumed to contribute equally to the similarity of the two types of twins, the three variance components (A, C and E) can be estimated from the observed variances and covariances for the two types of twins. The rationale and empirical support for the assumptions that underlie application of the standard biometric model to twin data have been discussed extensively and justified elsewhere (Pike *et al.* 1996; Plomin *et al.* 1997; Kendler *et al.* 2001; Johnson *et al.* 2002). Nonetheless, we recognize that because we cannot directly establish the validity of these assumptions in the present application, the estimates of the variance components we report should be considered approximate.

Biometric latent growth curve modeling was used to examine the changing contributions of A, C and E over time (Neale & McArdle, 2000). The full biometric growth curve model is depicted in Fig. 1. In this model, the variance in parental–child relationships over time was decomposed into four portions: contributions

to intercept (I), slope (S), the covariance between the two, and contributions specific to each assessment (R). These were then decomposed into their respective additive genetic, shared environmental and non-shared environmental components. Contributions to the intercept (a_i , c_i and e_i) comprised variance in the parent-offspring relationships that were stable across assessments, that is they contributed to the phenotype equally at each evaluation. Slope estimates (a_s , c_s and e_s) represent the roles of the factors in linear change across assessments. Covariance estimates (a_{cov} , c_{cov} and e_{cov}) represent the relationship between factors contributing to initial level and change. One of the merits of growth curve models is that, by modeling intercept and slope as latent factors, non-shared environmental influences are not confounded with measurement error. Instead, effects of measurement error show themselves in the contributions specific to single assessments. (As these can be thought of as the contributions not captured by the general regression terms, they are referred to as residuals.) These were estimated for each factor and for each assessment age.

Even though attrition from the MTFs sample at follow-up was not related to PEQ, we accommodated missing data using full-information maximum-likelihood (FIML) raw data techniques, which produce efficient and consistent estimates in the presence of missing data (Little & Rubin, 1987).

Using the Mx software system (Neale *et al.* 2003) we obtained fit statistics for growth curve models (Neale & McArdle, 2000) of PEQ data for three models. The first of these was a no-sex-differences model in which parameter estimates for the male and female samples are constrained to be equal. Our second was a scalar-sex-differences model that allows the variance-covariance estimates in the male and female samples to differ only by a freely estimated scalar. Third, we estimated an unconstrained model in which parameters were freely estimated in the two samples. Following the guidelines in Markon & Krueger (2004) based on sample size, biometric composition and skewness, the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1973) was the preferred fit statistic.

Results

Change and stability across assessments

McGue *et al.* (2005) found that the parent-child relationship deteriorated between ages 11 and 14 years in the MTFs sample, with increased levels of conflict and declining levels of involvement and mutual regard. We saw a modest continued deterioration in this relationship between ages 14 and 17. SAS Proc Mixed (SAS Institute Inc., USA) indicated that the decline

in mean PEQ score between age 14 (mean = 63.41, s.d. = 15.19) and age 17 (mean = 62.71, s.d. = 15.48) was significant at $p < 0.05$, with a Cohen's d of 0.05. There was no significant sex difference ($p = 0.91$) or age-by-sex interaction ($p = 0.36$).

The stability coefficients for the PEQ suggested moderate stability for the phenotype over time: with boys and girls analyzed together, we found a correlation of 0.44 between ages 11 and 14, 0.52 between 14 and 17, and 0.29 between 11 and 17.

Twin correlations

Although growth curve models are more informative for data such as ours, a brief look at the twin correlations helps to highlight important patterns. Maximum-likelihood estimates of the twin correlations at each assessment are provided in Table 1, with boys and girls evaluated both separately and pooled. There are two trends worthy of comment. First, MZ-DZ differences in correlation strength were more pronounced in boys than girls across all time points, suggesting a possible stable sex difference in the heritability of the parent-child relationship. Second, the MZ correlations were generally greater than the corresponding DZ correlations, a trend that increased markedly as the sample aged. The biometric models were needed, however, to determine how differences in correlations corresponded to changes in the components of phenotypic variance over time.

Biometric analysis

A superior fit was indicated by AIC values for the unconstrained model (22674.90) compared to both the no-sex-differences model (22683.99) and the scalar-sex-differences model (22685.98), suggesting that the biometric presentation of the parent-child relationship differed between boys and girls. Whereas boys increased in phenotypic variance between each assessment, the increase in variance for girls was complete by age 14. The lack of fit of a scalar-sex-differences model indicates that these different patterns, rather than a general sex difference in variance, are responsible for the improved fit observed when treating sexes separately. Common to both boys and girls was a substantial increase in raw genetic variance between each assessment, in addition to a decrease in raw shared environmental variance between ages 11 and 17 and a modest increase in unique environmental variance. The resulting standardized biometric estimates are presented in Table 2, showing substantial increases in heritability estimates, with corresponding declines in shared environmental factors.

Table 2. Standardized ACE estimates (with 95% confidence intervals) from the growth curve

	Age 11 years	Age 14 years	Age 17 years	Intercept (I)	Slope (S)
A					
Boys	0.37 (0.14–0.60)	0.44 (0.20–0.65)	0.56 (0.31–0.68)	0.50 (0.19–0.89)	0.08 (0.00–0.65)
Girls	0.14 (0.00–0.43)	0.34 (0.06–0.62)	0.49 (0.15–0.63)	0.21 (0.01–0.63)	0.17 (0.00–0.63)
C					
Boys	0.20 (0.00–0.42)	0.16 (0.00–0.39)	0.05 (0.00–0.28)	0.28 (0.00–0.59)	0.44 (0.00–0.67)
Girls	0.32 (0.06–0.46)	0.26 (0.01–0.49)	0.05 (0.00–0.36)	0.43 (0.03–0.67)	0.30 (0.00–0.63)
E					
Boys	0.43 (0.35–0.52)	0.40 (0.33–0.49)	0.39 (0.31–0.49)	0.22 (0.05–0.39)	0.48 (0.26–0.72)
Girls	0.55 (0.45–0.64)	0.41 (0.33–0.51)	0.46 (0.37–0.57)	0.36 (0.18–0.58)	0.52 (0.25–0.85)

A, Additive genetic component of variance; C, shared environmental component; E, non-shared environmental component. Biometric estimates for each age represent the standardization of the results depicted in Fig. 2. Estimates for biometric contributions to intercept (I) and slope (S) are standardized values from Table 3. A, C and E parameters sum to 100 for any group, and represent the percentage of the variance accounted for by that parameter.

Table 3. Growth curve parameter estimates for the Parent Environment Questionnaire (PEQ)

		Intercept (I)	Slope (S)	Covariance (I, S)	Age-specific contributions		
					Age 11	Age 14	Age 17
Boys	A	63.86	4.34	16.65	0.00	0.00	0.54
	C	35.93	23.96	–29.34	2.97	5.81	0.00
	E	27.79	25.63	–12.18	7.46	7.84	4.59
	P	127.58	53.93	–24.87	10.43	13.65	5.13
Girls	A	20.73	6.99	12.04	0.00	4.81	4.80
	C	40.88	12.45	–22.11	0.00	7.74	2.81
	E	34.38	20.84	–15.35	6.24	8.45	7.19
	P	95.99	40.28	–25.42	6.24	21.00	14.80

A, Additive genetic component of variance; C, shared environmental component; E, non-shared environmental component; P, phenotypic component.

The results are from the growth curve model for each biometric parameter (A, C, E), which sum to provide the complete phenotypic growth curve results (P).

Supplementary analyses were completed to characterize the growth curve results further. We used a Cholesky model (Neale & Cardon, 1992) to test whether genetic variance increased between ages 11 and 17 formally, in absolute and relative terms. Neither the raw nor the standardized genetic variance could be constrained across time without loss of fit as measured by the AIC, indicating the significance of these changes (unconstrained model AIC: 15088.185; raw genetic variance constrained AIC: 15092.363; standardized genetic variance constrained AIC: 15089.292). Despite the increase in genetic variance, the estimated genetic correlation between ages 11 and 17 remained very high [0.95, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.04–1.00 in females and 0.90, 95% 0.46–1.00 in males] and not significantly different from 1.0. Increasing genetic variance accompanied by high

genetic correlations is consistent with a model of genetic amplification. McGue *et al.* (2005) previously reported this pattern for this sample when comparing ages 11 and 14, but the addition of age 17 data allowed us to explore these patterns further using growth curve modeling.

Parameter estimates from the growth curve model are presented in Table 3 and accounted for the distinct patterns of change in genetic and environmental variance components depicted in Fig. 2. Several aspects of this table are worth highlighting. First, the genetic covariance parameter (boys = 16.65, girls = 12.04) was positive and large compared to the genetic slope parameter (boys = 4.34, girls = 6.99) and the age-specific genetic residuals (all < 5.0). The increase in genetic variance over time seen in Fig. 2 was thus primarily a result of the positive correlation between the genetic




Fig. 2. Unstandardized variance components derived from the growth curve model. Original unstandardized values of the biometric variance components derive from the parameter estimates from the growth curve model represented in Table 3. A, additive genetic component of variance; C, shared environmental; E, non-shared environmental; P, total phenotypic variance.

factors contributing to initial differences and those contributing to change. That is, genetic amplification was present. Second, the shared environmental covariance parameter was negative (boys = -29.34 , girls = -22.11) and larger in absolute value than the corresponding shared environmental slope parameter (boys = 23.96 , girls = 12.45), whereas the age-specific shared environmental variances were uniformly small (all < 8.0). The decrease in shared environmental variance over time seen in Fig. 2 was the result of the negative correlation between the initial values and change. Finally, the non-shared environmental covariance parameter was negative (boys = -12.18 , girls = -15.35) and smaller in absolute value than the corresponding non-shared environmental slope parameter (boys = 25.63 , girls = 20.84), whereas the age-specific non-shared environmental variances were relatively constant across the three ages. The slight increase in non-shared environmental variance observed in Fig. 2 was a result of large non-shared environmental contributions to change (i.e. the slope), which more than compensated for the negative correlation between the initial values and change.

Discussion

We identified a change in relationship quality between parent and child between ages 14 and 17 years. Although consistent with the results from other samples (e.g. Loeber *et al.* 2000; Kim *et al.* 2001), the observed deterioration (d of 0.05) was considerably smaller than that reported by McGue *et al.* (2005) in the same sample between ages 11 and 14. Throughout adolescence we observed increasing genetic influences on this relationship, accompanied by a decline in the

importance of shared environment. The biometric changes occurred primarily because genetic factors that contributed to the initial phenotype exerted increasing influence on the phenotype over time, with the result that early individual differences on the phenotype due to genetic effects extended their influence over time. This pattern contrasted with the trend found for the broader phenotype and also the shared and unique environmental factors, each of which indicated that those with extreme initial parent-child relationships experienced less change than did those who had more average initial relationships. In the context of the deteriorating parent-child relationship over this period, this may indicate that those with particularly poor relationships at age 11 did not experience as sharp a deterioration in that relationship as did those whose relationship at age 11 had more warmth and involvement.

There are several important limitations to consider when interpreting the results of this study. First, the study involved only adolescent self-reports on their relationships with their parents. Thus, it is possible that part of the increase in heritability represents increasing roles of genetic factors in how individuals process, interpret and report their relationships with their parents, rather than changes in those relationships themselves. Self-report measures of parenting are only modestly correlated with measures based on direct observation (Holden & Edwards, 1989). Furthermore, because parent reports may be influenced by ideals of equal treatment for children and method-based reporting problems, previous work (Kendler, 1996) has found higher rates of reported concordance in parental behavior towards members of both identical and fraternal twin pairs, resulting

in decreased estimates for genetic and unique environmental effects and increased estimates for shared environment when compared to estimates based on twin report. Nonetheless, the substantial support for the reliability and predictive utility of adolescent reports on the parent–child relationship (Elkins *et al.* 1997; Metzler *et al.* 1998; Burt *et al.* 2005) demonstrate the utility of such measures.

Second, the present study relied on child reports. If children become increasingly accurate reporters as they age, we would expect estimates of the E parameter, which includes both non-shared environmental effects and measurement error, to decrease with age. However, we did not observe decreases in E, and the two primary features of interest in the growth curve results (i.e. the negative covariance of slope and intercept for environmental components and the positive covariance of slope and intercept for genetic factors), suggest that the increase in estimated heritability was not due to a simple improvement in measurement.

Third, when interpreting the observed heritability increase it is also important to consider the finding in the context of theorized developmental changes in the nature of gene–environment correlation (rGE) processes during this time period. Early correlations between genotype and environment are generally due to the actions of parents, who actively shape their children’s environments throughout the early years. As children age, their environments are shaped increasingly by responses to their behavior from people outside the home and eventually by the kinds of environments the children create or select for themselves (Scarr & McCartney, 1983), thus shifting from correlation between A and C to correlation between A and E. Purcell (2002) noted that the presence of any correlation between genes and shared environment will produce inflated estimates of C, whereas correlation between genes and non-shared environment will produce inflated estimates of A. Thus, an age-related decline in estimates for the importance of shared environmental factors and increasing importance of genetic influences is expected for any phenotypes that exhibit declining ‘passive’ and increasing ‘active’ or ‘evocative’ rGE processes with age. In the context of the marked increases in total variance for the parent–child relationship across adolescence, however, we suggest that the observed biometric trends are likely to represent more than an artifactual shift of this nature.

Fourth, with only three data points, growth curve models have limited power to distinguish linear from non-linear growth trajectories. Future work should seek to ascertain the forms of these parental relationship trajectories more precisely by including a larger number of time points.

Fifth, although the sample is representative of the Minnesotan population during the period in which the sample was born, it is more ethnically homogeneous than the US population (see Iacono *et al.* 1999; Iacono & McGue, 2002). Several studies (e.g. Turkheimer *et al.* 2003; Legrand *et al.* 2008) have illustrated the need for caution in generalizing results from behavioral genetic studies into populations meaningfully different than that represented in the study.

With those limitations in mind, we believe the results presented here provide an intriguing window into the nature of the relationship between parents and their children. Our growth curve model shows that the increasing importance of additive genetic influences between ages 11 and 14, identified previously by McGue *et al.* (2005), is part of a continuing trend that shows further increases in genetic influences between ages 14 and 17. This took place in the context of generally deteriorating parental relationships and increasing overall variance in those relationships. This suggests that, in the earlier years, some of the quality of parental relationships may be maintained by the control parents are able to exert (and that the children essentially must accept) over their children’s experiences and behavior. As children grow, however, they have more choice over their experiences and can more freely express their own reactions to the choices their parents have made for them, some accepting them readily and others less so. These two patterns may be related: if the parent–child relationship proceeds more smoothly when children are more accepting of the terms of that relationship offered by the parent, then we should expect that periods of high parental influence over the characteristic (indicated by high values for shared environmental influence) would be characterized by relatively positive relationships between parent and child. To the extent that the child’s efforts to bring the relationship in line with their individual dispositions are resisted by the parent, an increased role for genetic influence on this relationship should be accompanied by greater levels of discord. As parents may differ in how easily they accommodate such efforts, future research should explore whether parents whose opinions on child rearing indicate greater resistance to such an accommodation witness a particularly steep decline in their relationship with their child throughout adolescence as a result of the increasing individualization identified in the present study.

With the growth curve model we also identified important trends behind the observed increase in heritability. Although variance due to additive genetic sources increased almost universally for both sexes at both intervals, variance due to shared environmental factors decreased markedly between ages 14 and 17.

Furthermore, although variance due to non-shared environmental factors increased, it did so at a modest pace compared to additive genetic factors, leading to a decline in its importance when standardized. Of particular interest is that these trends were not the result of small environmental contributions to slope. Indeed, the contributions to slope were higher for environmental than for genetic factors. However, both shared and non-shared environmental factors had negative correlations between slope and intercept. This stands in contrast to additive genetic factors, for which the slope and intercept were positively correlated. We interpret these results as an indication that genetic effects are amplified in importance throughout development (Plomin, 1986).

The findings of increased heritability throughout development in this particular phenotype are consistent with two important and growing bodies of literature within behavioral genetics. The first of these was summarized by Kendler & Baker (2007), who reviewed findings of genetic influence on environmental variables such as exposure to stressful life events (Kendler *et al.* 1993), peer interactions (Walden *et al.* 2004) and the family environment (Elkins *et al.* 1997). Genetic effects of small to moderate size are consistently demonstrated for a wide range of purportedly environmental variables in this literature.

The present work also contributes to another more thoroughly explored vein of research, summarized by Bergen *et al.* (2007), that notes the increases in heritability during development found across all domains examined to date. These include previous cross-sectional (Elkins *et al.* 1997) and longitudinal (McGue *et al.* 2005) work on this particular phenotype, in addition to a host of other psychological features such as IQ (McGue *et al.* 1993*b*; Plomin *et al.* 1997), social and political attitudes (Eaves *et al.* 1997), personality (McGue *et al.* 1993*a*) and religiousness (Koenig *et al.* 2005).

Both of these research areas derive from long-standing conceptions of how genes and environments come to correlate over time periods during development; in particular, the above-noted concept of rGE (Scarr & McCartney, 1983), in which the correlation between genotype and environment across development is increasingly a function of the expression of each person's own genotype. As many of the psychological features that are conventionally pictured as affecting or creating an individual's environment (e.g. an individual's level of agreeableness, extraversion or antisociality) are known to be significantly subject to genetic influence, genetic influence on environmental variables such as those examined here is not unexpected. Similarly, the increasing contribution of genetic factors to environmental variables as individuals

age is expected under this framework, as genetic contributions to individuals' personalities and preferences become increasingly relevant as they become more able to influence their environments. The mechanism demonstrated by the growth curve model to be responsible for this process, the amplification of any initial differences due to genetic influences as children age, has a comparably sound theoretical footing (cf. Plomin, 1986) and, to our knowledge, the present study is the most direct demonstration of this process.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by US Public Health Service Grants AA09367 and DA05147. We greatly appreciate the assistance of Minnesota Center for Twin Family Research (MCTFR) staff in this work and especially that of Dr G. Perlman.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Akaike H** (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Information Theory* (ed. B. N. Petrov and F. Csaki), pp. 267–281. Akademiai Kiado: Budapest.
- Baumrind D** (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *Journal of Early Adolescence* **11**, 56–95.
- Bergen SE, Gardner CO, Kendler KS** (2007). Age-related changes in heritability of behavioral phenotypes over adolescence and young adulthood: a meta-analysis. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* **10**, 423–433.
- Burt SA, McGue M, Krueger RF, Iacono WG** (2005). How are parent-child conflict and childhood externalizing symptoms related over time? Results from a genetically informative cross-lagged study. *Development and Psychopathology* **17**, 145–165.
- Eaves L, Martin N, Heath A, Schieken R, Meyer J, Silberg J, Neale M, Corey L** (1997). Age changes in the causes of individual differences in conservatism. *Behavioral Genetics* **27**, 121–124.
- Elkins IJ, McGue M, Iacono WG** (1997). Genetic and environmental influences on parent-son relationships: evidence for increasing genetic influence during adolescence. *Developmental Psychology* **33**, 351–363.
- Hjelmberg JVB, Fagnani C, Silventoinen K, McGue M, Korkeila M, Christensen K, Rissanen A, Kaprio J** (2008). Genetic influences on growth traits of BMI: a longitudinal study of adult twins. *Obesity* **16**, 847–852.
- Holden GW, Edwards LA** (1989). Parental attitudes toward child rearing: instruments, issues, and implications. *Psychological Bulletin* **106**, 29–58.

- Iacono WG, Carlson SR, Taylor J, Elkins IJ, McGue M** (1999). Behavioral disinhibition and the development of substance-use disorders: findings from the Minnesota Twin Family Study. *Development and Psychopathology* **11**, 869–900.
- Iacono WG, McGue M** (2002). Minnesota Twin Family Study. *Twin Research* **5**, 482–487.
- Johnson W, Krueger RF, Bouchard TJ, McGue M** (2002). The personalities of twins: just ordinary folks. *Twin Research* **5**, 125–131.
- Juang LP, Silbereisen RK** (1999). Supportive parenting and adolescent adjustment across time in former East and West Germany. *Journal of Adolescence* **22**, 719–736.
- Kendler K** (1996). Parenting: a genetic-epidemiologic perspective. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **153**, 11–20.
- Kendler KS** (2001). Twin studies of psychiatric illness: an update. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **58**, 1005–1014.
- Kendler KS, Baker JH** (2007). Genetic influences on measures of the environment: a systematic review. *Psychological Medicine* **37**, 615–626.
- Kendler KS, Myers J, Prescott CA, Neale MC** (2001). The genetic epidemiology of irrational fears and phobias in men. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **58**, 257–265.
- Kendler KS, Neale M, Kessler R, Heath A** (1993). A twin study of recent life events and difficulties. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **50**, 789–796.
- Kim KJ, Conger RD, Lorenz FO, Elder Jr. GH** (2001). Parent-adolescent reciprocity in negative affect and its relation to early adult social development. *Developmental Psychology* **37**, 775–790.
- Koenig LB, McGue M, Krueger RF, Bouchard TJ** (2005). Genetic and environmental influences on religiousness: findings for retrospective and current religiousness ratings. *Journal of Personality* **73**, 471–488.
- Legrand LN, Keyes M, McGue M, Iacono WG, Krueger RF** (2008). Rural environments reduce the genetic influence on adolescent substance use and rule-breaking behavior. *Psychological Medicine* **38**, 1341–1350.
- Little RJA, Rubin DB** (1987). *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. Wiley: New York.
- Loeber R, Drinkwater M, Yin Y, Anderson SJ, Schmidt LC, Crawford A** (2000). Stability of family interaction from ages 6 to 18. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* **28**, 353–369.
- Markon KE, Krueger RF** (2004). An empirical comparison of information-theoretic selection criteria for multivariate behavior genetic models. *Behavior Genetics* **34**, 593–610.
- McGue M, Bacon S, Lykken DT** (1993a). Personality stability and change in early adulthood: a behavioral genetic analysis. *Developmental Psychology* **29**, 96–109.
- McGue M, Bouchard Jr. TJ, Iacono WG, Lykken DT** (1993b). Behavioral genetics of cognitive ability: a life-span perspective. In *Nature, Nurture, and Psychology* (ed. R. Plomin and G. E. McClearn), pp. 59–76. American Psychological Association: Washington, DC.
- McGue M, Elkins I, Walden B, Iacono WG** (2005). Perceptions of the parent-adolescent relationship: a longitudinal investigation. *Developmental Psychology* **41**, 971–984.
- Metzler CW, Biglan A, Ary DV, Li F** (1998). The stability and validity of early adolescents' reports of parenting constructs. *Journal of Family Psychology* **12**, 600–619.
- Neale MC, Boker SM, Xie G, Maes HH** (2003). *Mx: Statistical Modeling*, 6th edn. Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University: Richmond, VA.
- Neale MC, Cardon LR** (1992). *Methodology for Genetic Studies of Twins and Families*. Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Neale MC, McArdle JJ** (2000). Structured latent growth curves for twin data. *Twin Research* **3**, 165–177.
- Pike A, McGuire S, Hetherington EM, Reiss D, Plomin R** (1996). Family environment and adolescent depressive symptoms and antisocial behavior: a multivariate genetic analysis. *Developmental Psychology* **32**, 590–604.
- Plomin R** (1986). *Development, Genetics, and Psychology*. Erlbaum: Hillsdale, NJ.
- Plomin R, DeFries JC, McClearn GE, Rutter M** (1997). *Behavioral Genetics: A Primer*, 3rd edn. Freeman: San Francisco.
- Purcell S** (2002). Variance components models for gene-environment interaction in twin analysis. *Twin Research* **5**, 554–571.
- Reiss D, Neiderhiser JM, Hetherington EM, Plomin R** (2000). *The Relationship Code: Deciphering Genetic and Social Influences on Adolescent Development*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Rothbaum F, Weisz JR** (1994). Parental caregiving and child externalizing behavior in nonclinical samples: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin* **116**, 55–74.
- Rowe DC** (1981). Environmental and genetic influences on dimensions of perceived parenting: a twin study. *Developmental Psychology* **17**, 203–208.
- Rowe DC** (1983). A biometrical analysis of perceptions of family environment: a study of twin and singleton sibling kinships. *Child Development* **54**, 416–423.
- Scarr S, McCartney K** (1983). How people make their own environments: a theory of genotype environment effects. *Child Development* **54**, 424–435.
- Turkheimer E, Haley A, Waldron M, D'Onofrio B, Gottesman II** (2003). Socioeconomic status modifies heritability of IQ in young children. *Psychological Science* **14**, 623–628.
- Walden B, McGue M, Iacono WG, Burt SA, Elkins I** (2004). Identifying shared environmental contributions to early substance use: the respective roles of peers and parents. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **113**, 440–450.