

Edinburgh Research Explorer

On randomized stopping

Citation for published version:

Gyongy, I & Siska, D 2008, 'On randomized stopping' Bernoulli, vol 14, no. 2, pp. 352-361. DOI: 10.3150/07-BEJ108

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.3150/07-BEJ108

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Bernoulli

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer
The University of Edinburgh has been proposed to the Edinburgh has been propose content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Bernoulli **14**(2), 2008, 352–361 DOI: 10.3150/07-BEJ108

On randomized stopping

ISTVÁN GYÖNGY* and DAVID ŠIŠKA**

School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, EH9 3JZ, U.K. E-mail: *I.Gyongy@ed.ac.uk; **D.Siska@ed.ac.uk

A general result on the method of randomized stopping is proved. It is applied to optimal stopping of controlled diffusion processes with unbounded coefficients to reduce it to an optimal control problem without stopping. This is motivated by recent results of Krylov on numerical solutions to the Bellman equation.

Keywords: controlled diffusion processes; optimal stopping

1. Introduction

It is known that optimal stopping problems for controlled diffusion processes can be transformed into optimal control problems by using the method of randomized stopping (see [2] and [8]). Since only a few optimal stopping problems can be solved analytically (see [13]), one has to resort to numerical approximations of the solution. In such cases, one would like to know the rate of convergence of these approximations. Embedding optimal stopping problems into the class of stochastic control problems allows one to apply numerical methods developed for stochastic control [4]. The price one pays for this is the unboundedness of the reward function, as a function of the control parameter.

Recently, a major breakthrough has been made in estimating the rate of convergence of finite difference approximations for the pay-off functions of stochastic control problems (in [9], followed by [10] and [11]). Applying Krylov's methods, new rate of convergence estimates can be found in [1,5–7]. New estimates applicable to numerical approximations of normalized Bellman equations appear in [12].

Our main result, Theorem 2.1, formulates the method of randomized stopping in a general setting. Applying it to optimal stopping problems of controlled diffusion processes we easily get (see Theorem 3.2) that under general conditions, the pay-off function of optimal stopping problem of controlled diffusions equals the pay-off function of the control problem obtained by randomized stopping. This result is known from [8] in the case where the coefficients of the controlled diffusions are bounded in the control parameter (see Section 4 of Chapter 3 in [8]). In Theorem 3.2, the coefficients of the diffusions and the functions defining the pay-off may be unbounded functions of the control parameter. Also, they need not satisfy those conditions on continuity which are needed in [8]. Theorem 2.1 can also be applied to optimal stopping of stochastic processes from a larger classes than that of diffusion processes. For the theory of controlled diffusion processes, we refer to [8].

2. Main result

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space equipped with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$, such that \mathcal{F}_0 contains all \mathbb{P} -null sets. Let \mathfrak{T} denote the set of finite stopping times. Let \mathfrak{T} denote the set of all processes $F = (F_t)_{t\geq 0}$ which are $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ adapted, right-continuous and increasing, such that

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega$$
 $F_0(\omega) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} F_t(\omega) = 1$.

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$ be a class of non-negative adapted locally integrable stochastic processes $r=(r_t)_{t\geq 0}$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty r_t \, \mathrm{d}t = \infty.$$

Let \mathfrak{R}_n denote those stochastic processes from $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}$ which take values in [0, n]. Set $\mathfrak{R} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{R}_n$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(h_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a progressively measurable process with sample paths continuous at 0. Assume that for all $t\geq 0$, $|h_t|\leq \xi$ for some random variable ξ satisfying $\mathbb{E}\xi<\infty$. Then,

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} h_{\tau} = \sup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} \, \mathrm{d}F_{t} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Theorem 2.1 is will be proven at the end of this section.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(h_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be an adapted cadlag process such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{t>0}|h_t|<\infty.$$

Then,

$$\sup_{r \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t r_t \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t = \sup_{r \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t r_t \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} h_\tau < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let $r \in \bar{\mathfrak{R}}$. If $\varphi_t = e^{-\int_0^t r_u du}$, then $1 - \varphi \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t r_t e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} dt \leq \sup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t dF_t = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} h_\tau < \infty,$$

by Theorem 2.1. On the other hand, for $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}$, let $r_t^n = 0$ for $t < \tau$ and $r_t^n = n$ for $t \ge \tau$. Set

$$F_t^n := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } t < \tau, \\ 1 - e^{-n(t-\tau)}, & \text{for } t \ge \tau. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and any $\delta > 0$,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} r_{t}^{n} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r_{u}^{n} du} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} dF_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} dF_{t}^{n} = I_{n} + J_{n} + K_{n},$$

where

$$I_n := \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \delta} h_{\tau} \, \mathrm{d}F_t^n, \qquad J_n := \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \delta} (h_t - h_{\tau}) \, \mathrm{d}F_t^n, \qquad K_n := \int_{\tau + \delta}^{\infty} h_t \, \mathrm{d}F_t^n.$$

Notice that as $n \to \infty$,

$$I_n = h_{\tau}(1 - e^{-n\delta}) \to h_{\tau}$$
 and $|K_n| \le \sup_{t > 0} |h_t| e^{-(\tau + \delta)n} \to 0$.

Furthermore,

$$|J_n| \le \sup_{t \in [\tau, \tau + \delta]} |h_t - h_\tau| \to 0$$
 as $\delta \to 0$.

Hence, for any $\omega \in \Omega$, first taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ and then the limit as $\delta \to 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty h_t r_t^n \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u^n \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t \to h_\tau.$$

Clearly,

$$\left| \int_0^\infty h_t r_t^n e^{-\int_0^t r_u^n du} dt \right| \le \sup_{t>0} |h_t|.$$

Hence, by Lebesgue's theorem,

$$\sup_{r \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t r_t \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t r_t^n \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u^n \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^\infty h_t r_t^n \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u^n \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t = \mathbb{E} h_\tau.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Due to the assumptions on h_t in Theorem 2.1,

$$\sup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty h_t \, \mathrm{d}F_t \le \sup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \xi \, \mathrm{d}F_t = \mathbb{E}\xi < \infty.$$

Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{T}$. Define

$$G_t^n := \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > 0\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{t \ge \tau\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau = 0\}} (1 - e^{-nt}).$$

Clearly, $G_t^n \in \mathfrak{F}$. Using the argument of the proof of Theorem 2.2, it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E}h_{\tau} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} \, \mathrm{d}G_{t}^{n} \leq \sup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} \, \mathrm{d}F_{t}.$$

To complete the proof, one needs to show that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} h_{\tau} \geq \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} \, \mathrm{d}F_{t}$$

holds for any $F \in \mathfrak{F}$. To this end, let us define the time-change β by

$$\beta(r) := \inf\{t \ge 0 : F_t \ge r\}.$$

It is then easy to see that $\beta(r)$ is a stopping time for every $r \ge 0$ and that

$$M := \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{t} \, \mathrm{d}F_{t} = \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1} h_{\beta(r)} \, \mathrm{d}r = \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E}h_{\beta(r)} \, \mathrm{d}r$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1} \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E}h_{\tau} \, \mathrm{d}r = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E}h_{\tau}.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Remark 2.3. Notice that from (2.3), we have the existence of $\bar{r} \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$M = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} h_{\beta(r)} \, \mathrm{d}r \le \mathbb{E} h_{\beta(\bar{r})} = \mathbb{E} h_{\bar{\tau}}$$

for the stopping time $\bar{\tau} = \beta(\bar{r})$. If h is a piecewise constant process with finitely many jumps, then the following lemma provides a way of constructing a stopping time τ such that $M \leq \mathbb{E}h_{\tau}$ holds.

Lemma 2.4. Let $(h_i)_{i=1}^n$, $(p_i)_{i=1}^n$ be sequences of random variables adapted to a filtration $(\mathcal{G}_i)_{i=1}^n$, where \mathcal{G}_1 contains all \mathbb{P} -null sets, such that for all i, $p_i \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}|h_i| < \infty$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \qquad a.s.$$

There then exist disjoint sets $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$, $A_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$, $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n = \Omega$ such that almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}(p_1h_1 + p_2h_2 + \dots + p_nh_n|\mathcal{G}_1) \leq \mathbb{E}(h_1\mathbf{1}_{A_1} + h_2\mathbf{1}_{A_2} + \dots + h_n\mathbf{1}_{A_n}|\mathcal{G}_1).$$

Proof. For n = 1, the statement of the lemma is obvious. Assume n = 2 (this will illustrate the general case better). Then, since p_1h_1 is \mathcal{G}_1 measurable, $p_2 = 1 - p_1$ is also \mathcal{G}_1 measurable and

$$I := p_1 h_1 + \mathbb{E}(p_2 h_2 | \mathcal{G}_1) = p_1 h_1 + p_2 \mathbb{E}(h_2 | \mathcal{G}_1).$$

Let $A_1 = \{h_1 \ge \mathbb{E}(h_2|\mathcal{G}_1)\}$. This is a \mathcal{G}_1 set and

$$I \leq h_1 \mathbf{1}_{A_1} + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_1} \mathbb{E}(h_2 | \mathcal{G}_1) = \mathbb{E}(h_1 \mathbf{1}_{A_1} + h_2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_1} | \mathcal{G}_1).$$

Assume that the lemma holds for $n - 1 \ge 1$. Let us prove that it remains true for n. Let $B = \{p_1 < 1\}$.

$$I := \mathbb{E}(h_1 p_1 + h_2 p_2 + \dots + h_n p_n | \mathcal{G}_1)$$

= $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_B(h_1 p_1 + h_2 p_2 + \dots + h_n p_n) | \mathcal{G}_1) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{B^c} h_1 | \mathcal{G}_1) =: I_1 + I_2.$

Then,

$$I_1 = \mathbf{1}_B \left(h_1 p_1 + \mathbb{E}(h_2 p_s + \dots + h_n p_n | \mathcal{G}_1) \right)$$

= $\mathbf{1}_B \left(h_1 p_1 + (p_2 + \dots + p_n) \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{h_2 p_2 + \dots + h_n p_n}{p_2 + \dots + p_n} \middle| \mathcal{G}_1 \right) \right),$

since $p_2 + \cdots + p_n > 0$ is \mathcal{G}_1 measurable. Let

$$A_1 = \left\{ h_1 \ge \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{h_2 p_2 + \dots + h_n p_n}{p_2 + \dots + p_n} \mid \mathcal{G}_1 \right) \right\} \cap B.$$

Since A_1 is a \mathcal{G}_1 set,

$$I_{1} \leq h_{1} \mathbf{1}_{A_{1}} + \mathbf{1}_{B} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_{1}} \frac{h_{2} p_{2} + \dots + h_{n} p_{n}}{p_{2} + \dots + p_{n}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{1} \right)$$

$$= h_{1} \mathbf{1}_{A_{1}} + \mathbb{E} (h'_{2} p'_{2} + \dots + h'_{n} p'_{n} | \mathcal{G}_{1}),$$

where $h_i' = h_i \mathbf{1}_B \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_1}$, $p_i' = \frac{p_i}{p_2 + \dots + p_n}$ on B and $p_i' = (n-1)^{-1}$ on B^c , for $2 \le i \le n$. Then, $p_2' + \dots + p_n' = 1$. Apply the inductive hypothesis to $(h_i')_{i=2}^n$, $(p_i')_{i=2}^n$, $(\mathcal{G}_i)_{i=2}^n$. There are then disjoint $A_2' \cup A_3' \cup \dots \cup A_n' = \Omega$ such that $A_i' \in \mathcal{G}_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(h'_2p'_2+\cdots+h'_np'_n|\mathcal{G}_1) \leq \mathbb{E}(h'_2\mathbf{1}_{A'_2}+\cdots+h'_n\mathbf{1}_{A'_n}|\mathcal{G}_1).$$

Hence,

$$I_1 \leq h_1 \mathbf{1}_{A_1} + \mathbf{1}_B \mathbb{E} \big(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A_1} (h_2 \mathbf{1}_{A'_2} + \dots + h_n \mathbf{1}_{A'_n}) | \mathcal{G}_1 \big).$$

We see that $(\Omega \setminus A_1) \cap (A'_2 \cup \cdots \cup A'_n) = \Omega \setminus A_1$. For $1 < i \le n$, define $A_i = B \cap A'_i \cap (\Omega \setminus A_1)$. Such A_i are disjoint, \mathcal{G}_i measurable and

$$B^c \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n = \Omega$$
.

Thus,

$$I_1 \leq \mathbb{E}(h_1 \mathbf{1}_{A_1} + h_2 \mathbf{1}_{A_2} + \dots + h_n \mathbf{1}_{A_n} | \mathcal{G}_1).$$

Finally,

$$I = I_1 + I_2 \le \mathbb{E}(h_1(\mathbf{1}_{A_1} + \mathbf{1}_{B^c}) + h_2\mathbf{1}_{A_2} + \dots + h_n\mathbf{1}_{A_n}|\mathcal{G}_1).$$

Let $h(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{1}_{(\tau_{i-1},\tau_i]}(t)\xi_i$ for an increasing sequence of finite random variables $0 = \tau_0 \le \tau_1 \le \cdots \le \tau_N$ and random variables ξ_i such that $\mathbb{E}|\xi_i| < \infty$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., N. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}$ be the filtration generated by the process h and the processes $\mathbf{1}_{(0,\tau_i]}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., N. Then, by the above lemma applied to $h_i := \xi_i$, $p_i := F_{\tau_i} - F_{\tau_{i-1}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_i := \mathcal{F}_{\tau_i}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty h(t)\,\mathrm{d}F_t = \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^N h_i\,p_i \leq \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^N h_i\,\mathbf{1}_{A_i} = \mathbb{E}h(\tau),$$

for any $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, where $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tau_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$, with A_i given by the lemma, is a finite stopping time. Hence, we note that the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty h_t \, \mathrm{d}F_t \le \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E}h_\tau$$

follows for the general case of progressively measurable processes h satisfying the condition of Theorem 2.1, by a simple application of the following lemma from [3].

Lemma 2.5. Let $(h_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a $\mathcal{B}([0,\infty))\times\mathcal{F}$ -measurable process and let $F\in\mathfrak{F}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty |h_t| \,\mathrm{d}F_t < \infty.$$

Then, for each integer $n \ge 1$, there exists a finite sequence of stopping times $\tau_i^{(n)}$ such that

$$0 = \tau_0^{(n)} < \tau_1^{(n)} \le \dots \le \tau_{N(n)}^{(n)} < \infty$$

and for

$$h_I^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)} h_{\tau_i^{(n)}} \mathbf{1}_{(\tau_{i-1}^{(n)}, \tau_i^{(n)}]}(t), \tag{2.4}$$

one has

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \left| h_t - h_t^{(n)} \right| dF_t \to 0 \quad as \ n \to \infty.$$

3. Application to controlled diffusion processes

We first introduce some notions and notations from the theory of controlled diffusion processes from [8]. Fix $T \in [0, \infty)$. Let (w_t, \mathcal{F}_t) be a d'-dimensional Wiener martingale, that is, $w = (w_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Wiener process and $w_t - w_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s for all $0 \leq s < t$. Let A be a separable metric space. For every $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha \in A$, we are given a $d \times d'$ -dimensional matrix $\sigma^{\alpha}(t, x)$, a d-dimensional vector $\beta^{\alpha}(t, x)$ and real numbers $c^{\alpha}(t, x)$, $f^{\alpha}(t, x)$ and g(t, x).

Assumption 3.1. σ , β , c, f are Borel functions of (α, t, x) . The function g is continuous in (t, x). There exist an increasing sequence of subsets A_n of A and positive real constants K, K_n and m, m_n such that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n=A$ and for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\alpha\in A_n$,

$$|\sigma^{\alpha}(t,x) - \sigma^{\alpha}(t,y)| + |\beta^{\alpha}(t,x) - \beta^{\alpha}(t,y)| \le K_{n}|x - y|,$$

$$|\sigma^{\alpha}(t,x)| + |\beta^{\alpha}(t,x)| \le K_{n}(1 + |x|),$$

$$|c^{\alpha}(t,x)| + |f^{\alpha}(t,x)| \le K_{n}(1 + |x|)^{m_{n}}, \qquad |g(t,x)| \le K(1 + |x|)^{m}$$
(3.1)

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

We say that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ if $\alpha = (\alpha_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a progressively measurable process with values in A_n . Let $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_n$. Then, under Assumption 3.1, it is well known that for each $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, there is a unique solution $\{x_t : t \in [0, T - s]\}$ of

$$x_{t} = x + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{\alpha_{u}}(s + u, x_{u}) dw_{u} + \int_{0}^{t} \beta^{\alpha_{u}}(s + u, x_{u}) du,$$
 (3.2)

denoted by $x_t^{\alpha,s,x}$. For $s \in [0,T]$, we use the notation $\mathfrak{T}(T-s)$ for the set of stopping times $\tau < T-s$. Define

$$w(s,x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(T-s)} v^{\alpha,\tau}(s,x),$$

where

$$v^{\alpha,\tau}(s,x) = \mathbb{E}_{s,x}^{\alpha} \left[\int_0^{\tau} f^{\alpha_t}(s+t,x_t) e^{-\varphi_t} dt + g(s+\tau,x_\tau) e^{-\varphi_\tau} \right],$$
$$\varphi_t = \int_0^t c^{\alpha_r}(s+r,x_r) dr$$

and $\mathbb{E}_{s,x}^{\alpha}$ means expectation of the expression following it, with $x_t^{\alpha,s,x}$ in place of x_t everywhere. It is worth noticing that for

$$w_n(s,x) := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_n} \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(T-s)} v^{\alpha,\tau}(s,x),$$

we have

$$w_n(s,x) \uparrow w(s,x)$$
.

By Theorem 3.1.8 in [8], $w_n(s, x)$ is bounded from above and below. Hence, w(s, x) is bounded from below. However, it can be equal to $+\infty$.

Let \mathfrak{R}_n contain all progressively measurable, locally integrable processes $r=(r_t)_{(t\geq 0)}$ taking values in [0,n] such that $\int_0^\infty r_t dt = \infty$. Let $\mathfrak{R} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{R}_n$.

Next, we prove a theorem which is known from [8] in the special case when $A = A_n$, $K = K_n$, $m = m_n$ for $n \ge 1$ (see Exercise 3.4.12, via Lemma 3.4.3(b) and Lemma 3.4.5(c)). Our proof is a straightforward application of Theorem 2.2. Since Theorem 2.2 is removed from the theory of controlled diffusion processes developed in [8], we do not require that σ , b, c, f and g be continuous in (α, x) and continuous in x, uniformly in α for each t (conditions which are needed in [8]).

Theorem 3.2. Let Assumption 3.1 hold. Then, for all $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, either both w(s, x) and

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} \sup_{r \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathbb{E}^{\alpha}_{s,x} \left\{ \int_{0}^{T-s} [f^{\alpha_{t}}(s+t,x_{t})e^{-\varphi_{t}} + r_{t}g(s+t,x_{t})e^{-\varphi_{t}}]e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r_{u} du} dt + g(T,x_{T-s})e^{-\varphi_{T-s} - \int_{0}^{T-s} r_{u} du} \right\}$$

are finite and equal, or they are both infinite.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that s = 0. Let $r \in \mathfrak{R}$. For t > T, let $f^{\alpha}(t, x) = 0$, $c^{\alpha}(t, x) = 0$. For fixed $(\alpha_t) \in \mathfrak{A}$, set

$$f_t = f^{\alpha_t}(t, x_t) e^{-\varphi_t}, \quad \text{for } t \ge 0,$$

$$g_t = \begin{cases} g(t, x_t) e^{-\varphi_t}, & \text{for } t \le T, \\ g(T, x_T) e^{-\varphi_T}, & \text{for } t > T. \end{cases}$$

Recall that if $r \in \Re$, then $\int_0^\infty r_t dt = \infty$. Clearly, $f_t = 0$ for t > T, and

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} g_t r_t \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t = g_T \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^T r_u \mathrm{d}u}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{0}^{T} (f_{t} + g_{t}r_{t})e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r_{u} du} dt + g_{T}e^{-\int_{0}^{T} r_{u} du}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (f_{t} + g_{t}r_{t})e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r_{u} du} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} f_{s} ds + g_{t}\right) r_{t}e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r_{u} du} dt,$$

where the last equality comes from integrating by parts. We check that for

$$h_t := \int_0^t f_s \, \mathrm{d} s + g_t,$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t>0} |h_t| < \infty$ holds. Indeed, if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_n$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \ge 0} |h_t| \le \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(\int_0^t |f^{\alpha_s}(s, x_s)| e^{-\varphi_s} ds + |g(t, x_t)| e^{-\varphi_t} \right)$$

$$\le T K_n \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (1 + |x_t|)^{m_n} + T K \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (1 + |x_t|)^m < \infty,$$

due to estimates of moments of solutions to SDEs (Theorem 2.5.9 in [8]). Since g = g(t, x) is continuous, h_t is continuous. Hence, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\sup_{r \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t f_s \, \mathrm{d}s + g_t \right) r_t \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u \, \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t = \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\tau f_s \, \mathrm{d}s + g_\tau$$
$$= \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(T)} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\tau f_s \, \mathrm{d}s + g_\tau$$

because $f_t = 0$ and $g_t = g_T$ for t > T, so nothing can be gained or lost by stopping later. Hence, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{T}(T)} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^\tau f^{\alpha_t}(t, x_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi_t} \, \mathrm{d}t + g(\tau, x_\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi_\tau} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{r \in \mathfrak{R}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T [f^{\alpha_t}(t, x_t) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi_t} + g(t, x_t) r_t] \mathrm{e}^{-\int_0^t r_u \, \mathrm{d}u} \, \mathrm{d}t \right. \\ &+ g(T, x_T) \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi_T - \int_0^T r_u \, \mathrm{d}u} \right\}, \end{split}$$

which proves the theorem.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Nicolai Krylov in Minnesota and Peter Bank in Berlin for useful information on the subject of this paper and to the referee for his insightful suggestions.

References

- Barles, G. and Jakobsen, E.R. (2005). Error bounds for monotone approximation schemes for Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 43 540–558. MR2177879
- [2] El Karoui, N. (1981). Les aspects probabilistes du contrôle stochastique. Ninth Saint Flour Probability Summer School—1979 (Saint Flour, 1979). Lecture Notes in Math. 876 73–238. Berlin: Springer. MR0637471
- [3] Gyöngy, I. and Krylov, N.V. (1981/82). On stochastics equations with respect to semimartingales. II. Itô formula in Banach spaces. *Stochastics* 6 153–173. MR0665398
- [4] Gyöngy, I. and Šiška, D. (2006). On the rate of convergence of finite-difference approximations for normalized Bellman equations with Lipschitz coefficients. arXiv:math 1 1–39. Available at http:// arxiv.org/abs/math/0610855v3.
- [5] Jakobsen, E.R. (2003). On the rate of convergence of approximation schemes for Bellman equations associated with optimal stopping time problems. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 13 613–644. MR1978929
- [6] Jakobsen, E.R. and Karlsen, K.H. (2005). Continuous dependence estimates for viscosity solutions of integro-PDEs. J. Differential Equations 212 278–318. MR2129093
- [7] Jakobsen, E.R. and Karlsen, K.H. (2005). Convergence rates for semi-discrete splitting approximations for degenerate parabolic equations with source terms. *BIT* **45** 37–67. MR2164225
- [8] Krylov, N.V. (1980). Controlled Diffusion Processes. New York: Springer. MR0601776
- [9] Krylov, N.V. (1997). On the rate of convergence of finite-difference approximations for Bellman's equations. *Algebra i Analiz* **9** 245–256. MR1466804
- [10] Krylov, N.V. (2000). On the rate of convergence of finite-difference approximations for Bellman's equations with variable coefficients. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 117 1–16. MR1759507
- [11] Krylov, N.V. (2005). The rate of convergence of finite-difference approximations for Bellman equations with Lipschitz coefficients. Appl. Math. Optim. 52 365–399. MR2174020

- [12] Krylov, N.V. (2007). A priori estimates of smoothness of solutions to difference Bellman equations with linear and quasi-linear operators. *Math. Comp.* **76** 669–698 (electronic).
- [13] Shiryaev, A.N. (1976). Statisticheskii Posledovatelnyi Analiz. Optimalnye Pravila Ostanovki, 2nd ed, rev. Moscow: Nauka. MR0445744

Received March 2007 and revised March 2007