

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# The genome of the kinetoplastid parasite, Leishmania major

#### Citation for published version:

Ivens, AC, Peacock, CS, Worthey, EA, Murphy, L, Aggarwal, G, Berriman, M, Sisk, E, Rajandream, M-A, Adlem, E, Aert, R, Anupama, A, Apostolou, Z, Attipoe, P, Bason, N, Bauser, C, Beck, A, Beverley, SM, Bianchettin, G, Borzym, K, Bothe, G, Bruschi, CV, Collins, M, Cadag, E, Ciarloni, L, Clayton, C, Coulson, RMR, Cronin, A, Cruz, AK, Davies, RM, De Gaudenzi, J, Dobson, DE, Duesterhoeft, A, Fazelina, G, Fosker, N, Frasch, AC, Fraser, A, Fuchs, M, Gabel, C, Goble, A, Goffeau, A, Harris, D, Hertz-Fowler, C, Hilbert, H, Horn, D, Huang, Y, Klages, S, Knights, A, Kube, M, Larke, N, Litvin, L, Lord, A, Louie, T, Marra, M, Masuy, D, Matthews, K, Michaeli, S, Mottram, JC, Müller-Auer, S, Munden, H, Nelson, S, Norbertczak, H, Oliver, K, O'neil, S, Pentony, M, Pohl, TM, Price, C, Purnelle, B, Quail, MA, Rabbinowitsch, E, Reinhardt, R, Rieger, M, Rinta, J, Robben, J, Robertson, L, Ruiz, JC, Rutter, S, Saunders, D, Schäfer, M, Schein, J, Schwartz, DC, Seeger, K, Seyler, A, Sharp, S, Shin, H, Sivam, D, Squares, R, Squares, S, Tosato, V, Vogt, C, Volckaert, G, Wambutt, R, Warren, T, Wedler, H, Woodward, J, Zhou, S, Zimmermann, W, Smith, DF, Blackwell, JM, Stuart, KD, Barrell, B & Myler, PJ 2005, 'The genome of the kinetoplastid parasite, Leishmania major' Science, vol 309, no. 5733, pp. 436-42., 10.1126/science.1112680

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1126/science.1112680

#### Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

#### **Document Version:**

Publisher final version (usually the publisher pdf)

Published In: Science

Publisher Rights Statement: RoMEO green

#### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 May 26.

Published in final edited form as: *Science*. 2005 July 15; 309(5733): 436–442.

# The Genome of the Kinetoplastid Parasite, Leishmania major

Alasdair C. Ivens<sup>1,\*</sup>, Christopher S. Peacock<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth A. Worthey<sup>2</sup>, Lee Murphy<sup>1</sup>, Gautam Aggarwal<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Berriman<sup>1</sup>, Ellen Sisk<sup>2</sup>, Marie-Adele Rajandream<sup>1</sup>, Ellen Adlem<sup>1</sup>, Rita Aert<sup>3</sup>, Atashi Anupama<sup>2</sup>, Zina Apostolou<sup>4</sup>, Philip Attipoe<sup>2</sup>, Nathalie Bason<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Bauser<sup>5</sup>, Alfred Beck<sup>6</sup>, Stephen M. Beverley<sup>7</sup>, Gabriella Bianchettin<sup>8</sup>, Katja Borzym<sup>6</sup>, Gordana Bothe<sup>5</sup>, Carlo V. Bruschi<sup>8,9</sup>, Matt Collins<sup>1</sup>, Eithon Cadag<sup>2</sup>, Laura Ciarloni<sup>8</sup>, Christine Clayton<sup>10</sup>, Richard M. R. Coulson<sup>11</sup>, Ann Cronin<sup>1</sup>, Anglea K. Cruz<sup>12</sup>, Robert M. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Javier De Gaudenzi<sup>13</sup>, Deborah E. Dobson<sup>7</sup>, Andreas Duesterhoeft<sup>14</sup>, Gholam Fazelina<sup>2</sup>, Nigel Fosker<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Carlos Frasch<sup>13</sup>, Audrey Fraser<sup>1</sup>, Monika Fuchs<sup>4</sup>, Claudia Gabel<sup>4</sup>, Arlette Goble<sup>1</sup>, André Goffeau<sup>15</sup>, David Harris<sup>1</sup>, Christiane Hertz-Fowler<sup>1</sup>, Helmut Hilbert<sup>14</sup>, David Horn<sup>16</sup>, Yiting Huang<sup>2</sup>, Sven Klages<sup>6</sup>, Andrew Knights<sup>1</sup>, Michael Kube<sup>6</sup>, Natasha Larke<sup>1</sup>, Lyudmila Litvin<sup>2</sup>, Angela Lord<sup>1</sup>, Tin Louie<sup>2</sup>, Marco Marra<sup>17</sup>, David Masuy<sup>15</sup>, Keith Matthews<sup>18</sup>, Shulamit Michaeli<sup>19</sup>, Jeremy C. Mottram<sup>20</sup>, Silke Müller-Auer<sup>4</sup>, Heather Munden<sup>2</sup>, Siri Nelson<sup>2</sup>, Halina Norbertczak<sup>1</sup>, Karen Oliver<sup>1</sup>, Susan O'Neil<sup>1</sup>, Ester Rabbinowitsch<sup>1</sup>, Richard Reinhardt<sup>6</sup>, Michael Rieger<sup>4</sup>, Joel Rinta<sup>2</sup>, Johan Robben<sup>3</sup>, Laura Robertson<sup>2</sup>, Jeronimo C. Ruiz<sup>12</sup>, Simon Rutter<sup>1</sup>, David Saunders<sup>1</sup>, Melanie Schäfer<sup>4</sup>, Jacquie Schein<sup>17</sup>, David C. Schwartz<sup>21</sup>, Kathy Seeger<sup>1</sup>, Amber Seyler<sup>2</sup>, Sarah Sharp<sup>1</sup>, Heesun Shin<sup>17</sup>, Dhileep Sivam<sup>2</sup>, Rob Squares<sup>1</sup>, Steve Squares<sup>1</sup>, Valentina Tosato<sup>8</sup>, Christy Vogt<sup>2</sup>, Guido Volckaert<sup>3</sup>, Rolf Wambut<sup>22</sup>, Tim Warren<sup>1</sup>, Holger Wedler<sup>14</sup>, John Woodward<sup>1</sup>, Shiguo Zhou<sup>21</sup>, Wolfgang Zimmermann<sup>22</sup>, Deborah F. Smith<sup>23</sup>, Jenefer M. Blackwell<sup>24</sup>, Kenneth D. Stuart<sup>2,25</sup>, Bart Barrell<sup>1</sup>, and Peter J. Myler<sup>2,25,26,\*</sup>

**2**Seattle Biomedical Research Institute (SBRI), 307 Westlake Avenue North, Seattle, WA 98109–2591, USA.

**3**Laboratory of Gene Technology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 21, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium.

4GENOTYPE GmbH, Angelhofweg 39, D-69259 Wilhelmsfeld, Germany.

**5**GATC Biotech AG, Jakob-Stadler-Platz 7, 78467 Konstanz, Germany.

6Max-Planck-Institut für Molekulare Genetik, Ihnestrasse 73, D-14195, Berlin (Dahlem), Germany.

**7**Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110–1093, USA.

**8**Genomics Group–Genetics Laboratory, Department of Biology, University of Trieste, P. le Valmaura, 9, I-34148 Trieste, Italy.

**9**International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, AREA Science Park–W, Padriciano 99, I-34012 Trieste, Italy.

10Zentrum für Molekulare Biologie, Im Neueheimer Feld 282, D69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

**11**European Molecular Biology Laboratory–European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Cambridge CB10 1SD, UK.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: alicat@sanger.ac.uk (A.C.I.), peter.myler@sbri.org (P.J.M.) **Supporting Online Material** www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/309/5733/436/DC1

**12**Departamento de Biologia Celular e Molecular e Bioagentes Patogenicos, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirao Preto, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes, 3900, CEP 14049–900 Ribeirao Preto, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

**13**Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnologicas (IIB-INTECH), University of San Martin and National Research Council (CONICET), Av. Gral Paz 5445, 1650 Buenos Aires, Argentina.

14QIAGEN GmbH, QIAGEN Strasse 1, 40724 Hilden, Germany.

**15**Unité de Biochimie Physiologique, Institut des Sciences de la Vie, Université Catholique de Louvain, place Croix du Sud, 2/20, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.

16 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK.

**17**Genome Sequence Centre, British Columbia Cancer Agency Genome Sciences Centre, 600 West 10th Avenue, Vancouver, BC V5Z-4E6, Canada.

**18**Institute for Immunology and Infection Research, University of Edinburgh, The King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK.

19Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 52900 Israel.

**20**Wellcome Centre for Molecular Parasitology, University of Glasgow, 56 Dumbarton Road, Glasgow G11 6NU, UK.

**21**UW Biotechnology Center, Laboratory for Molecular and Computational Genomics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 425 Henry Mall, Madison, WI 53706, USA.

22 Agowa GmbH, Glienicker Weg 185, D-12489 Berlin, Germany.

23 Immunology and Infection Unit, Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5YW, UK.

**24**Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, Wellcome Trust/MRC Building, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2XY, UK.

25 Department of Pathobiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

**26**Division of Biomedical and Health Informatics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

*Leishmania* species cause a spectrum of human diseases in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. We have sequenced the 36 chromosomes of the 32.8-megabase haploid genome of *Leishmania major* (Friedlin strain) and predict 911 RNA genes, 39 pseudogenes, and 8272 protein-coding genes, of which 36% can be ascribed a putative function. These include genes involved in host-pathogen interactions, such as proteolytic enzymes, and extensive machinery for synthesis of complex surface glycoconjugates. The organization of protein-coding genes into long, strand-specific, polycistronic clusters and lack of general transcription factors in the *L. major*, *Trypanosoma brucei*, and *Trypanosoma cruzi* (Tritryp) genomes suggest that the mechanisms regulating RNA polymerase II–directed transcription are distinct from those operating in other eukaryotes, although the trypanosomatids appear capable of chromatin remodeling. Abundant RNA-binding proteins are encoded in the Tritryp genomes, consistent with active posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression.

Infection with pathogenic *Leishmania* results in a spectrum of human diseases, termed the leishmaniases, with an annual incidence of 2 million cases in 88 countries (1). *Leishmania* parasites are transmitted by sand flies as proliferative promastigotes, which differentiate into nondividing metacyclic forms before inoculation into the vertebrate host and phagocytosis by macrophages. The metacyclics subsequently differentiate into amastigotes, which proliferate in the phagolysosome, leading to macrophage lysis and serial infection of other macrophages

(2). The outcome of infection is determined by the infecting species, host genetic factors, and the immune response.

Old World *Leishmania* (*L. donovani* and *L. major* groups) have 36 chromosome pairs (0.28 to 2.8 Mb) (3), whereas New World species have 34 or 35, with chromosomes 8+29 and 20+36 fused in the *L. mexicana* group and 20+34 in the *L. braziliensis* group (4). Gene order and sequence are highly conserved among the ~30 *Leishmania* species (5). The genome sequence of *L. major* MHOM/IL/81/Friedlin was determined on a chromosome-by-chromosome basis. Here we present the structure and content of the *L. major* genome, with an emphasis on fundamental molecular processes such as chromatin remodeling, transcription, RNA processing, translation, posttranslational modification, and protein turnover. We also discuss the synthesis of complex surface glycoconjugates that are characteristic of *Leishmania* species and essential at the host-parasite interface. Discussion of cytoskeleton, metabolism, and transport can be found in the accompanying description of the *Trypanosoma brucei* genome (6), while signaling pathways, DNA repair, recombination, and replication are discussed in the *Trypanosoma cruzi* article (7).

### Genome structure and content

The 32,816,678–base pairs (bp) in the current assembly (version 5.2) were obtained by shotgun sequencing large-insert clones and purified chromosomal DNA. A single contiguous sequence was generated for each of the 36 chromosomes (Table 1, Plate 4, and table S1), although the "right" end of chromosome 8 lacks a small amount of subtelomeric sequence and telomeric hexamer repeats. The accuracy of sequence assemblies was assessed by comparison to an optical map (8), with only a few discrepancies occurring in regions of repetitive sequence. Although the genome is partially aneuploid (9) and there are three large-scale allelic differences (table S1), there are very few (<0.1%) sequence polymorphisms, contrasting notably with the genomes of *T. brucei* (6) and *T. cruzi* (7).

Analysis of the *L. major* sequence using several algorithms (10) predicts 911 RNA genes, 39 pseudogenes, and 8272 protein-coding genes (Table 1), of which 3083 cluster into 662 putative families of related genes (table S2). Most of the smaller (<10 members) gene families appear to have arisen from tandem gene duplication, whereas most members of larger (>10 members) families have multiple loci containing single genes and/or tandem arrays (Table 2); many of the latter contain *Leishmania*-specific genes.

*L. major* telomeres are heterogeneous in structure and quite distinct from those in *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* (11). The extremity of each *L. major* chromosome contains the tripartite "repeated-repeat" structure previously reported (12). Six telomeres contain 0.7 to 25 kb of the *Leishmania* subtelomeric repeat (LST-R) sequences (9) between the TAS region and the first gene. Five additional groups of telomeres share varying amounts of sequence, including two cases (chromosome 17 and chromosome 10) in which the ends of a single chromosome contain the same subtelomeric sequence.

Most *L. major* genes have orthologs in the *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* genomes (11); however, 910 *L. major* genes have no orthologs in the other two Tritryp genomes, 74 orthologous groups contain only *L. major* and *T. brucei* genes, and 482 orthologous groups contain genes from only *L. major* and *T. cruzi*. These "*Leishmania*-restricted" genes are randomly distributed in the genome with respect to relative chromosomal position or distance from the telomere, although some are adjacent to strand-switch regions (see below) and synteny break points (11). Although some *Leishmania*-restricted genes are responsible for key metabolic differences between *Leishmania* and *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* (e.g., certain peptidases, transporters, and glycoconjugate biosynthesis components), most (68%) have unknown functions. Of particular

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 May 26.

interest, however, are two closely related genes (*LmjF33.1740* and *LmjF33.1750*), whose predicted proteins both contain a macrophage migration inhibition factor (MIF) domain and show 30 to 40% identity to MIF homologs from several other organisms (including other *Leishmania* species). The *L. major* MIFs are predicted to retain the tautomerase activity found in other species, but lack the thiol oxidoreductase activity found in higher eukaryotes. Phylogenetic analysis places the *Leishmania* MIF genes into a bacterial clade (fig. S1). Human MIF has been shown to activate macrophages to kill *Leishmania* parasites via a T helper cell 1 (T<sub>H</sub>1)–type pathway (13); the MIF homolog in the filarial worm, *Brugia malayi*, directs macrophages to a T<sub>H</sub>2 pathway (14). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that *Leishmania* MIF may similarly modulate the host macrophage response and promote parasite survival.

# **RNA** genes

RNAs participate directly in several cellular processes, including DNA replication (laggingstrand primers and telomerase RNA), splicing [spliced leader RNA (slRNA) and small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs)], RNA processing and modification [small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) and ribonuclease P (RNaseP)], translation [ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and transfer RNAs (tRNAs)], translation regulation (microRNA and antisense RNA), and protein translocation across membranes [signal recognition particle (SRP) or 7slRNA]. Although all three genomes encode very similar repertoires of RNA genes, their organization differs between *L. major* and the two *Trypanosoma* species. For example, the 28*S*, 18*S*, and 5.8*S* rRNA genes occur as a single large tandem array in *L. major*, but in dispersed loci in several different chromosomes in *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi*. The 5*S* rRNA genes are found at 11 different loci on several chromosomes in *L. major*, but in a single tandem array in *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi*.

All three Tritryp genomes encode tRNAs with 45 of the 61 possible anticodons, but the number of genes and their locations (in numerous loci of up to five genes) differ between species (table S3). Eight of the 16 unrepresented anticodons can be covered by third-position wobble, whereas the others require modification of cognate anticodons. Six snRNAs (U1 to U6) for RNA splicing were found in the three genomes, generally associated with tRNA clusters. SnoRNAs function in 2'-O-methylation (C/D snoRNAs) and pseudouridylation (H/ACA snoRNAs) of rRNA, slRNA, and snRNA by formation of guide RNA-target duplexes. In the Tritryp genomes, the snoRNAs are encoded by several hundred genes organized in clusters of tandem repeats of one to five different genes in several loci on a number of different chromosomes (table S3); most occur on the same strand as the adjacent protein-coding genes. All three trypanosomatid genomes have a single gene encoding 7slRNA, located in a 5S rRNA/tRNA cluster in *L. major*, and a tRNA/snRNA cluster in *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi*. It has been suggested that a tRNA-like molecule found in the trypanosomatid SRP complex (15) provides (in trans) the translation elongation arrest function normally associated with the 7SL RNA Alu domain, which is absent in the Tritryp molecule.

### Chromatin remodeling

Trypanosomatids contain multiple copies of the four core (H2A, H2B, H3, and H4) and linker (H1) histone genes, which package chromosomal DNA into nucleosomes in eukaryotes and regulate access by the RNA polymerase transcription complexes. Most of these genes are clustered in discrete single tandem arrays in *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi*, but each of the gene types occurs in two or more separate loci in *L. major*; dispersed single-copy variants are found in all three genomes (table S4). The H2A variant is a homolog of the highly conserved H2A.Z, which protects "active" chromatin from silencing in yeast (16). The H2B, H3, and H4 variants appear to be novel, but may have roles in gene silencing, gene expression, DNA repair, and centromere function. Centromeres have been reported in *T. cruzi* (17), but no homologs were found in the Tritryps for CenH3, which is required for kinetochore assembly during mitosis. The Tritryp

genomes encode a number of enzymes involved in histone modification (table S4) that may influence transcription, replication, repair, and recombination. These include two families of acetyltransferases, at least three families of methyltransferases, and all three known classes of histone deacetylases, at least two of which are essential in *T. brucei* (18). Two of the acetyltransferases have putative methyl-lysine–binding chromodomains, implying an association with chromatin methylation. The genomes also encode at least four putative acetyl-lysine–binding bromodomain proteins, one (in *L. major* and *T. cruzi*) with a chromatin-associated CW-type zinc finger. The Tritryp parasites thus possess a range of chromatin-remodeling activities typical of eukaryotes, although there are some notable differences.

# Transcription

Little is known about the mechanism of transcription initiation in trypanosomatids, and only a few promoters have been functionally analyzed (19). The chromosomes are characterized by their unique arrangement of directional gene clusters (DGCs), previously described in *L. major* (20,21) and *T. brucei* (22,23). The full extent of this organization is now evident. The *L. major* genome is organized into 133 clusters of tens to hundreds of protein-coding genes, with unrelated predicted functions, on the same DNA strand (Plate 4). The clusters can span up to 1259 kb and are separated by 0.9- to 14-kb divergent or convergent strand-switch regions, which show an unusual base composition (24). Experimental evidence suggests that polycistronic transcription by RNA polymerase II (RNAP II) initiates bidirectionally within the divergent strand-switch regions (21,25,26) and terminates within the convergent strand-switch regions, which often contain tRNA, rRNA, and/or snRNA genes (26). Several long DGCs contain intervening tRNA or snRNA genes (which are transcribed by RNAP III), suggesting that they may represent more than one polycistron. At most chromosome ends (55 of 72 for *L. major*), transcription proceeds toward the telomere, and in 12 cases, the DGC closest to the telomere is very short (one to three genes).

Eukaryotic RNAP I, II, and III contain 14, 12, and 17 protein subunits, respectively; in yeast, five shared and six conserved polypeptides are present in all three polymerases, with all other components specific to each complex (27,28). Tritryps have all the shared and conserved subunits except for ABC10 $\alpha$  and A43, but many homologs for RNAP-specific subunits are absent (table S5 and Fig. 1). The Tritryp genomes contain two or three different genes encoding both ABC27 and ABC23, suggesting that these subunits may not be shared by the different RNAP complexes as they are in yeast. Tritryp RPB1 lacks the heptad repeats found in the higher eukaryotic C-terminal domain (29). Thus, the Tritryp RNAP I, II, and III components differ appreciably from those in other eukaryotes.

Few potential homologs of RNAP II basal transcription factors found in other eukaryotes could be identified (table S6 and Fig. 1); the three subunits of TFIIH present also function in DNA repair and cell cycle control (30). TRF4 (which is related to TATA-box binding protein component of TFIID and TFIIB) is essential for transcription by all three RNAPs (31). Potential homologs of BTF3b, BRF, La antigen, SNAP50, and a trypanosomatid-specific SL RNA promoter-binding factor were identified, along with four genes encoding proteins similar to TFIIS (Fig. 1B), and a SNF2-like DNA heli-case (table S6). A systematic search for Pfam domains relevant to gene expression revealed substantially fewer potential transcriptional regulators in the Tritryps than in most other eukaryotes (Fig. 2). By contrast, the Tritryp genomes contain a disproportionately higher number of proteins with CCCH-type zinc finger domains, which are found in RNA-binding proteins (see below). These findings, along with the polycistronic gene organization and paucity of RNAP II initiation sites, are consistent with posttranscriptional control mechanisms being the primary determinants of Tritryp gene expression (19).

### **RNA** processing

Trypanosomatid mRNA processing is distinctive: In addition to the trans-splicing of a splicedleader RNA to the 5' end of almost all mRNAs, the site of polyadenylation is determined by trans-splicing of the downstream mRNA, rather than by an AAUAAA and downstream G/Urich tract (32). Only four cases of cis-splicing could be identified (table S7). Two have been previously described (33,34); the two novel examples are both hypothetical proteins that are predicted to be capable of RNA binding. Both cis- and trans-splicing appear to be catalyzed by the Tritryp spliceosome (35). All snRNAs (table S4) and most spliceosomal proteins (table S8) were identified, but not all snRNP particle protein components were found. It was possible to identify many putative Tritryp splicing regulatory proteins, including those containing domains for 3' splice site and branch point recognition factors, as well as several heterogeneous nuclear RNP (hnRNP) and sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) proteins implicated in splicing and alternative splicing. Thus, it appears that regulation of splicing may have arisen early in eukaryotic evolution.

There are two dissimilar Tritryp poly(A) polymerases, which may have distinct functional roles. Homologs of the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPF/CPSF) complexes, conserved between yeast and mammals, are also found in the Tritryps (table S9). However, no homologs of the CstF complex are evident, except for a possible CstF50 homolog. In mammalian cells, CstF50 interacts with the C-terminal domain of RNAP II and provides a link between polyadenylation and transcription initiation/termination. The absence of an RNAP II C-terminal domain may reflect the polycistronic transcription and resultant uncoupling of transcription termination and polyadenylation in the Tritryps.

Degradation of mRNAs is important in regulating trypanosomatid gene expression, and appears to resemble the situation in mammals, in which the exosome plays a dominant role. Tritryps have homologs of the deadenylation complexes, in addition to two poly(A) binding proteins. Although homologs of decapping proteins themselves were not found, a helicase involved in the process, and the exonucleases required to degrade decapped mRNAs, were (table S10). The six pleckstrin homology (PH)-domain exonucleases and three S1-domain proteins of the exosome "core" are conserved in these organisms and are essential in *T. brucei* (36). However, exosome-associated proteins that confer RNA processing and degradation specificity have not been found. The existence of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in trypanosomatids is uncertain, because most of the genes required in yeast have not been identified in the Tritryp genome.

The paucity of Tritryp genes for transcriptional regulation (see above) implies a reliance on posttranscriptional control of gene expression (19) and is consistent with the presence of numerous genes for proteins with RNA-binding motifs. The total number of RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) is similar in yeast and Tritryps proteins (e.g., 103 in *T. brucei* versus 70 in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*), but Tritryps have more small proteins with single RRMs (table S11), which may reflect unique Tritryp functions or cooperation between proteins. The Tritryp genomes encode ~40 proteins with canonical CCCH-type zinc finger RNA-binding domains (Fig. 2 and table S11C), compared to only seven in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and 12 in *S. pombe*. Nearly all ~40 Tritryp proteins have only a single CCCH domain, whereas two domains are typically required for RNA binding in other systems. We have identified a novel CCCH domain variant ( $Cx_{10}Cx_5CxH$ ) that is occasionally found in association with a  $Cx_8Cx_5Cx_3H$  finger. The roles of many of these proteins, beyond RNA binding, remain to be determined.

## Translation and co-/posttranslational modification

Most major components of the translation machinery are found in the Tritryps (table S12), with similar copy numbers (one to seven) as observed in other lower eukaryotes. However, there appears to be paralogous expansion of the *eIF-4A* gene, with 15 copies showing 30 to 57% amino acid identity to that from *S. cerevisiae*, and ~100 with <30% identity. Most contain adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP)–dependent DEAD-box RNA helicase domains, implying nucleic acid binding, perhaps for transcriptional or translational processes. There are also numerous copies of eEF-1 $\alpha$ , which complexes with guanosine 5'-triphosphate (GTP) and aminoacyl-tRNAs for ribosomal A site binding during translation, but also functions in processes such as actin binding/bundling in cytokinesis in *Tetrahymena* (37). Functionally, *L. major* eIF-2B is predicted to also have mannose-1-phosphate guanyltransferase activity (*LmjF23.0110*) (38), whereas the eEF-1B complex has trypanothione *S*-transferase and peroxidase activity (39). Thus, the expanded number of potential translation factors in the Tritryps suggests a high degree of specialization.

Protein modification within the Tritryps involves typical eukaryotic processes, including phosphorylation, glycosylation, and lipidation for stabilization and/or activation. Several major modifications have been well characterized and shown to be essential, namely, glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)–anchor addition, acylation (including *N*-myristoylation and palmitoylation), and prenylation, all of which facilitate membrane attachment and/or protein-protein interactions. The Tritryp genomes include a substantial number of proteins containing motifs for putative *N*-myristoylation (table S13) or prenylation (table S14), suggesting that the enzymes that catalyze these modifications may be promising drug targets, given their large number of possible substrates.

## Surface molecules

The surface of the *Leishmania* parasite is distinguished by the presence of a variety of novel glycoconjugates implicated in various aspects of the infectious cycle in the sand fly and mammalian host. These include lipophosphoglycan (LPG), glycoinositolphospholipids (GIPLs), and membrane proteophosphoglycan (PPG), as well as glycosylated GPI-anchored proteins (e.g., GP63, PSA-2/GP46). The secreted acid phosphatase and other PPGs also contain similar posttranslational modifications and vary in structure, expression, and function among *Leishmania* species, as well as between the Tritryps. Although genes of the ether phospholipid synthetic pathway have been identified (6), mapping the entire LPG synthetic pathway remains incomplete (table S15), because many of the currently identified genes appear to be novel (40).

LPG is assembled in the lumen of the Golgi and requires the provision of activated sugars by nucleotide sugar transporters. The *LPG2* gene product transports GDP-mannose, as well as GDP-arabinose and GDP-fucose, for LPG and phosphoglycan (PG) synthesis; and several potential UDP-Gal transporters can be found in *L. major*. Other nucleotide sugar transporters are present, but their specificity is unknown. Genes for several glycosyltransferases with likely roles in LPG and PG synthesis have been identified, as have genes with roles in synthesis of the LPG glycan core, PG repeating units, and species-and stage-specific PG repeat unit modification. Many, but not all, of these genes appear to be *L. major*-specific, although *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* both contain a number of genes encoding glycosyltransferases with different specificities (table S15). Seven genes (*SCG1* to *SCG7*) encoding PG-galactofuranosyltransferases have telomeric locations. Six *SCG*-related (*SCGR*) genes are found in a cluster on chromosome 2, where they are interspersed with the *SCA1* and *SCA2* genes, which mediate the arabinosyl

capping of the Gal-[PG] repeat necessary for parasite midgut release during metacyclogenesis (41). The functional significance of these nonrandom genomic locations is not yet apparent.

Sphingolipids are essential membrane components in all eukaryotic cells, and their metabolites also function in intracellular signaling. The primary sphingolipid species in the Tritryps is inositol phosphorylceramide (IPC), a target for drug development in pathogenic fungi, because it is not made in mammals. Genes for most of the sphingolipid biosynthetic pathway are present in the Tritryps, with the important exception of IPC synthase (table S16). However, this pathway is not essential for intracellular survival, because *L. major* scavenges lipid precursors from the host and remodels them to generate parasite-specific IPCs (42,43).

The zinc metallopeptidase GP63 (leishmanolysin, MSP, or PSP) is the major insect-stage surface protein of Leishmania. It facilitates resistance to complement-mediated lysis on host cell entry and is also implicated in receptor-mediated uptake of Leishmania (44). In L. major, there is a tandem array of GP63 genes on chromosome 10 (some of which encode proteins with predicted GPI-anchors), a single GP63 gene on chromosome 28, and a related gene on chromosome 31 (table S17). T. brucei and T. cruzi both contain a tandem cluster of GP63 genes orthologous to the L. major chromosome 10 locus, as well as five genes in two other loci in T. brucei, and >350 (including pseudogenes) GP63-like genes in T. cruzi. Amastin was first described as an abundant amastigote surface protein in T. cruzi, where it occurs in tandem clusters, alternating with another putative surface protein, tuzin (45). L. major has 57 amastin genes, most of which are also located in tandem clusters on several chromosomes (table 2), but *tuzin* genes are found in only three of the loci (chromosomes 8, 34, and 36). T. brucei has only single, separate, orthologs of amastin and tuzin. All L. major amastins have transmembrane domains; 38 have predicted signal peptides, and some also have predicted GPIanchors. Expression analyses in L. major and L. infantum demonstrate that some amastins are lifecycle stage-specific, and that the expression profiles of the various orthologs are dissimilar between these two species (46). Another large gene family that encodes GPI-anchored glycoproteins, alternatively known as PSA-2 or GP46 (depending on the *Leishmania* species), is found on chromosome 12, with five divergent copies at other loci (Table 2). The PSA-2 proteins function in macrophage binding and show structural similarity (but not sequence identity) with the T. cruzi mucins (7); there are no closely related orthologs in T. brucei.

# Proteolysis

As found in most eukaryotes, peptidases represent  $\sim 2\%$  of the protein-coding genes in the Tritryps, and some have already been identified as virulence factors, vaccine candidates, and drug targets. Peptidases are structurally and functionally diverse and are grouped according to intrinsic evolutionary relationships (47). Only two aspartic peptidase homologs were identified in the Tritryps: presenilin 1 and signal peptide peptidase that cleave type I and II membrane proteins, respectively (table \$18). Tritryps lack the pepsin-like aspartic peptidases (e.g., plasmepsins) that abound in apicomplexan parasites, but have many papain family (including the abundant and well-studied CPB/cruzipain lysosomal enzymes and CPA, which is unique to L. major) and calpain cysteine peptidases, as well as ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolases. Trypanosomatids, which are the only known eukaryotes with both a proteasome (48) and a eubacterial HslVU complex (49), also have numerous ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes, indicative of an active nonlysosomal cytosolic protein degradation system. In addition, the presence of two ATG4 cysteine peptidases, their potential substrate ATG8, and other ATG genes suggests that autophagy operates in organelle and protein turnover. The Tritryps lack caspases but contain several metacaspases, consistent with a caspase-independent cell death mechanism (50).

No trypsin/chymotrypsin family serine peptidases were found in Tritryps. Other serine peptidase families are present, however, including a subtilisin-like serine peptidase with a signal peptide and thus likely involved in the processing of secreted proteins. Other serine peptidases identified include six putative prolyl oligopeptidase family proteins [which have been shown to be important for cell invasion in *T. cruzi* (51) and are potential drug targets], a type I signal peptidase, a 26S regulatory proteasome subunit, a nucleoporin homolog, and several orthologs of rhomboidlike intramembrane serine peptidases, which might have signaling functions. Although GP63 is the most abundant metallopeptidase family, especially in *T. cruzi* (see above), there are 14 other families of metallopeptidases. Seven metallopeptidases, belonging to three paralogous families, show evidence of lateral gene transfer from prokaryotes (6), as do a putative peptidase T and a serine peptidase.

No representatives of the almost 200 mammalian peptidase inhibitors (e.g. serpins and cystatins) were found. However, Tritryps encode inhibitors of cysteine peptidases (ICP) that mammals seem to lack; one of these is chagasin, a potent inhibitor of cruzipain and mammalian cathepsin-L. Recent data suggest that *Leishmania* ICP play an important role in host-parasite interaction (52), whereas *T. cruzi* chagasin has a role in modulating parasite differentiation and invasion of mammalian cells (53). Curiously, the Tritryps also encode inhibitors of serine peptidases (ISPs) that are similar to ecotins, which are normally found in only a few bacterial species, including *Escherichia coli*. Ecotins are inhibitors of trypsin/chymotrypsin-like serine peptidases, which are notably absent in the Tritryps. However, because these peptidases are abundant in both mammals and insects, ISPs very likely play an important role in host-parasite interactions.

#### Implications and concluding remarks

The Tritryp genome sequences provide insights not only into the unique aspects of the biology of these parasites, but also eukaryote evolution, given their early divergence. Key differences from other eukaryotes include the manner in which the genome is organized into polycistronic gene clusters, a simplified transcriptional machinery, and mRNA trans-splicing coupled with polyadenylation. Although trypanosomatids can dynamically modify histones, implying an early origin of chromatin regulatory pathways, they primarily rely on posttranscriptional mechanisms for regulating gene expression. The lack of transcriptional control mechanisms is further manifested in the use of gene duplication/amplification as a means of increasing expression levels. Gene duplication and divergence are also exploited for the generation of antigenic diversity, particularly in *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi*. Trypanosomatids exhibit extensive posttranslational protein modification, especially for surface and secreted proteins, and have substantial species-specific arrays of glycoconjugate biosynthetic enzymes. The Tritryp genomes have much in common but display important differences (11), reflecting different survival requirements and pathogenesis in the specific niches they exploit.

The availability of the entire genetic content of one *Leishmania* species provides the foundation for the identification and in-depth functional analysis of virulence factors, critical enzymes in key metabolic pathways, and potential vaccine candidates. All provide crucial information for the development of new therapies for the leishmaniases. Genome sequence comparisons to additional *Leishmania* strains and species, projects that are ongoing, may elucidate the contribution of parasite factors to tropism and disease pathology.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### **References and Notes**

- 1. WHO/TDR (World Health Organization–The Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases) Web site on leishmaniasis, www.who.int/tdr/diseases/leish
- 2. Alexander J, Russell DG. Adv. Parasitol 1992;31:175. [PubMed: 1496927]
- 3. Wincker P, et al. Nucleic Acids Res 1996;24:1688. [PubMed: 8649987]
- 4. Britto C, et al. Gene 1998;222:107. [PubMed: 9813266]
- 5. Ravel C, et al. Nucleic Acids Res 1999;27:2473. [PubMed: 10352176]
- 6. Berriman M, et al. Science 2005;309:416. [PubMed: 16020726]
- 7. El-Sayed NM, et al. Science 2005;309:409. [PubMed: 16020725]
- 8. Zhou S, et al. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol 2004;138:97. [PubMed: 15500921]
- 9. Sunkin SM, Kiser P, Myler PJ, Stuart KD. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol 2000;109:1. [PubMed: 10924752]
- 10. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- 11. El-Sayed NM, et al. Science 2005;309:404. [PubMed: 16020724]
- 12. Chiurillo MA, et al. Exp. Parasitol 2000;94:248. [PubMed: 10831393]
- 13. Juttner S, et al. J. Immunol 1998;161:2383. [PubMed: 9725234]
- 14. Falcone FH, et al. J. Immunol 2001;167:5348. [PubMed: 11673551]
- 15. Liu L, et al. J. Biol. Chem 2003;278:18271. [PubMed: 12606550]
- 16. Van Leeuwen F, Gottschling DE. Cell 2003;112:591. [PubMed: 12628179]
- Obado SO, Taylor MC, Wilkinson SR, Bromley EV, Kelly JM. Genome Res 2005;15:36. [PubMed: 15632088]
- 18. Ingram AK, Horn D. Mol. Microbiol 2002;45:89. [PubMed: 12100550]
- 19. Clayton CE. EMBO J 2002;21:1881. [PubMed: 11953307]
- 20. Myler PJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 1999;96:2902. [PubMed: 10077609]
- 21. Worthey E, et al. Nucleic Acids Res 2003;31:4201. [PubMed: 12853638]
- 22. Hall N, et al. Nucleic Acids Res 2003;31:4864. [PubMed: 12907729]
- 23. El-Sayed NM, et al. Nucleic Acids Res 2003;31:4856. [PubMed: 12907728]
- 24. Tosato V, et al. Curr. Genet 2001;40:186. [PubMed: 11727994]
- 25. Martinez-Calvillo S, et al. Mol. Cell 2003;11:1291. [PubMed: 12769852]
- Martinez-Calvillo S, Nguyen DT, Stuart KD, Myler PJ. Eukaryot. Cell 2004;3:506. [PubMed: 15075279]
- 27. Geiduschek EP, Bartlett MS. Nat. Struct. Biol 2000;7:437. [PubMed: 10881183]
- 28. Huang Y, Maraia RJ. Nucleic Acids Res 2001;29:2675. [PubMed: 11433012]
- 29. Evers R, et al. Cell 1989;56:585. [PubMed: 2917367]
- 30. Zurita M, Merino C. Trends Genet 2003;19:578. [PubMed: 14550632]
- 31. Ruan JP, Arhin GK, Ullu E, Tschudi C. Mol. Cell. Biol 2004;24:9610. [PubMed: 15485927]
- 32. Matthews KR, Tschudi C, Ullu E. Genes Dev 1994;8:491. [PubMed: 7907303]
- 33. Mair G, et al. RNA 2000;6:163. [PubMed: 10688355]
- 34. Ullu E. personal communication
- 35. Liang XH, Haritan A, Uliel S, Michaeli S. Eukaryot. Cell 2003;2:830. [PubMed: 14555465]
- 36. Estevez AM, Kempf T, Clayton C. EMBO J 2001;20:3831. [PubMed: 11447124]
- 37. Numata O, Kurasawa Y, Gonda K, Watanabe Y. J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 2000;127:51. [PubMed: 10731666]
- 38. Garami A, Ilg T. EMBO J 2001;20:3657. [PubMed: 11447107]
- 39. Vickers TJ, Wyllie SH, Fairlamb AH. J. Biol. Chem 2004;279:49003. [PubMed: 15322082]
- 40. Dobson DE, et al. J. Biol. Chem 2003;278:15523. [PubMed: 12604613]
- 41. Dobson DE, Scholtes LD, Myler PJ, Turco SJ, Beverley SM. in preparation
- 42. Zhang K, et al. Mol. Microbiol 2005;55:1566. [PubMed: 15720561]
- Denny PW, Goulding D, Ferguson MA, Smith DF. Mol. Microbiol 2004;52:313. [PubMed: 15066023]

Ivens et al.

- 44. Yao C, Donelson JE, Wilson ME. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol 2003;132:1. [PubMed: 14563532]
- Teixeira SM, Russell DG, Kirchhoff LV, Donelson JE. J. Biol. Chem 1994;269:20509. [PubMed: 8051148]
- 46. Rochette A, et al. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol 2005;140:205. [PubMed: 15760660]
- 47. Rawlings ND, Tolle DP, Barrett AJ. Nucleic Acids Res 2004;32(Database issue):D160. [PubMed: 14681384]
- 48. Wang CC, et al. J. Biol. Chem 2003;278:15800. [PubMed: 12600991]
- 49. Couvreur B, et al. Mol. Biol. Evol 2002;19:2110. [PubMed: 12446803]
- 50. Zangger H, Mottram JC, Fasel N. Cell Death Differ 2002;9:1126. [PubMed: 12232801]
- 51. Burleigh BA, Woolsey AM. Cell. Microbiol 2002;4:701. [PubMed: 12427093]
- 52. Besteiro S, Coombs GH, Mottram JC. Mol. Microbiol 2004;54:1224. [PubMed: 15554964]
- 53. Santos CC, et al. J. Cell Sci 2005;118:901. [PubMed: 15713748]
- 54. We thank our colleagues in the *Leishmania* Genome Network (LGN) for their support and encouragement. We thank the other members of the Tritryp Sequencing Consortium for their help with comparative genome annotation; special thanks to J. Donelson and S. Melville, who together have played a key driving role in the coordination, discussion, and collation of these manuscripts. Funding for this project was provided by grants from WHO TDR (T23/181/1 ID:940509), Burroughs Wellcome Fund (BWF) (APP#0500), and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (RO1 AI040599) to SBRI; Wellcome Trust (WT) (054394/Z/98/Z, 060491/Z/00/Z, and 063272/Z/00/Z) to the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute; the European Union (BIO4-CT98-0079) to the EULEISH consortium; NIAID (RO1 AI060645) to A.C.F.; and a Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo fellowship (01/13461-9) to J.C.R. WHO TDR, WT, and NIAID also provided funds for several LGN meetings. Accession numbers: EMBL: CT005244 to CT005272, AL389894 and AL139794; GenBank: CP000078 to CP000081, AE001274, and NC\_004916. All data are available in GeneDB (http://www.genedb.org).



#### Fig 1.

Trypanosomatid RNA polymerase subunits and transcription factors. (A) The TRIBE-MCL (table S2) protein families containing subunits of human RNA polymerase II (Rpb-1 to -12) and basal transcription factors (TBP and TFII-B, -E, -F, -H) are shown as ovals and circles respectively. Families containing Tritryp sequences are colored sepia and indicated by "+" or "(+)" (when the Tritryp gene is not a direct ortholog), whereas families lacking Tritryp sequences are indicated by "–" or "(–)" (when a Tritryp ortholog was detected only by BlastP analysis). Orthologs present in other taxa are indicated by "+". The genomes queried for each taxon are detailed in (10). (B) Subunits of the yeast TFIIS, Elongator, Cdc73/Paf1, and Elongin complexes are displayed in blue boxes, with the first column of the row indicating whether Tritryp sequences with high "X", weak "(X)", or no "~" similarity were detected. The remaining columns of the row are marked as in (A); "?" indicates that the *S. cerevisiae* sequence is not present in the TAP reference set.

Science. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2006 May 26.

Ivens et al.

		Lm Tb Tc Pf G	p Sp Sc Ng Nc	A <sub>t</sub> C <sub>e</sub> D <sub>m</sub> M <sub>m</sub> R <sub>n</sub> H <sub>s</sub>			Lm		Tb		Тс			
PE00642	140				42.3	17.7+47	Zinc	finger	C-x8-0	C-x5-0	C-x3-H	I type (and	similar)	
FT 00042	145				10.8	11.1 14.1	42.3	[35]	39.2	[37]	39.2	[77]		
PF00097	149				27.9	62.6±32.0	50.7	[42]	47.7	[45]	31.6	[62]		
					307.6		Zinc	finger.	C2H2	type	51.0	10-1		
PF00096	78				15.2	$136.6 \pm 100.8$	24.2	[20]	23.3	[22]	18.3	[36]		
DEOOOOR	50				96.3	26 5 1 26 7	Zinc	knuckl	e	-andion		Maran Law		
PF00096	90				- 3.8	20.5±20.7	16.9	[14]	15.9	[15]	14.8	[29]		
PF01753	19				10.3	6.0±2.9	6 O	D fing	er 4 D	[4]	E 1	[10]		
			_		- 22.5		SET	domair	4.2	[*]	5.1	[10]		
PF00856	15				2.4	$13.6 \pm 3.7$	2.4	[2]	5.3	[5]	4.1	[8]		
DEOOFOO				_	16.4		BRC	41 C T	ermin	us (B	RCT)	domain		
PF00533	11				- 1.9	0.9±4.0	2.4	[2]	3.2	[3]	3.1	[6]		
PE00439	11				26.5	12.2±5.3	Brom	lodoma	an	[2]	0.5	[E]		
					- 7.0		5.0 Sir2 f	family	3.2	[5]	2.5	[9]		
PF02146	10				0.7	$4.2 \pm 2.6$	3.6	[3]	3.2	[3]	2.0	[4]		
DEsserts					6.1		'Cold	-shock	DNA	-bind	ing do	omain		
PF00313	8				- 1.1	3.0±1.8	2.4	[2]	3.2	[3]	1.5	[3]		
PE00249	8				104.4	24.3+28.7	Myb-	like Dr	NA-bin	nding	domai	in fai		
1100210			_		40.5		2.4 B.bo		2.1	[2]	2.0	[4]		
PF00643	7				1.0	$18.9 \pm 14.0$	4.8	[4]	11	[1]	10	[2]		
					32.6		LIM	domain			110			
PF00412	6				- 1.0	10.1±12.2	2.4	[2]	2.1	[2]	1.0	[2]		
PE00560	5		_		16.9	61+45	Zinc	finger,	ZZ ty	pe		1.1		
1100505	<u> </u>				11.7		3.6 AT h		1.1	[1]	0.5	[1]		
PF02178	4				0.5	8.0±2.5	36	[3]			05	[1]		
1.250200000000000			-		2.9		Helix	-turn-h	elix		0.5	1-1		
PF01381	4				0.4	$0.9 \pm 0.6$	1.2	[1]	1.1	[1]	1.0	[2]		
DE00628	4				35.7	23 1+00	PHD	-finger	8728					
1100020					- 1.0	23.113.0	1.2	[1]	1.1 mahil	[1]	1.0	[2]		
PF00505	4				1.0	17.1±12.7	1.2	(nign [1]	1 1	Ity gr	10	[2]		
					5.9		Trans	criptio	n fact	or TF	ID (c	or TATA-bi	nding protein	TBP)
PF00352	4				0.7	$1.5 \pm 1.1$	1.2	[1]	1.1	[1]	1.0	[2]	5.	
DE00202	2				4.1	20+12	Trans	scriptio	n fact	or TF	IIB re	peat		
PF00382	3				- 0.4	2.0±1.5	1.2	[1]	1.1	[1]	0.5	[1]		

#### Fig 2.

Protein domains associated with regulation of gene expression in trypanosomatids. The Pfam accession numbers for HMMs that match Tritryp predicted proteins are shown at the left, with the next column indicating the total number of sequences matched in the Tritryp genomes. The matches in individual genomes (normalized by genome size) are shown by the bar graphs, expressed per 10,000 genes. Abbreviations: *L. major* ( $L_m$ ); *T. brucei* ( $T_b$ ); *T. cruzi* ( $T_c$ ); *P. falciparum* ( $P_f$ ); *Cryptosporidium parvum* ( $C_p$ ); *S. pombe* ( $S_p$ ); *S. cerevisiae* ( $S_c$ ); *Magnaporthe grisea* ( $M_g$ ); *N. crassa* ( $N_c$ ); *A. thaliana* ( $A_t$ ); *C. elegans* ( $C_e$ ); *D. melanogaster* ( $D_m$ ); *Mus musculus* ( $M_m$ ); *Rattus norvegicus* ( $R_n$ ); H. sapiens ( $H_s$ ). The two numbers immediately to the right of the bar graphs show the maximum and minimum matches observed for all genomes, and the next column shows the mean  $\pm$  SD for the 10 free-living eukaryotes. The Pfam description of the HMM is shown at the right, with the normalized and actual (in square brackets) number of matches in the *L. major*, *T. brucei*, and *T. cruzi* genomes shown beneath.

#### Table 1

# Summary of the L. major genome.

Parameter	Number
	The genome
Size (bp)	32,816,678
G+C content (%)	59.7
Chromosomes	36
Sequence contigs	36
Percent coding	47.9
F	Protein-coding genes
Genes	8272
Pseudogenes	39
Mean CDS length (bp)	1901
Median CDS length (bp)	1407
G+C content (%)	62.5
Gene density (genes per Mb)	252
Intergenic regions <sup>®</sup>	
Mean length (bp)	2045
G+C content (%)	57.3
	RNA genes
tRNA ,	83
rRNA <sup>7</sup>	63
$sIRNA^{\dagger}$	63
snRNA	6
snoRNA	695
srpRNA	1

\*Region between protein-coding CDS.

 $^{\dot{T}}$  The exact number cannot be determined because of misassembly.

#### Table 2

#### *L. major* Friedlin protein-coding gene families.

Family size <sup>*</sup>	Gene product(s)	<i>L. major—</i> specific	Organization $^{\dagger}$	Chromosome(s)
491	Hypothetical proteins (several	Some	D	Multiple
100	annotations)	c	T. D.	
189	Kinesins/hypothetical proteins	Some	I+D T+D	Multiple
60	Protein kinases (several groups)	Some		Multiple
46	Amastins	Most	I+II+D	8, 31, 34, 36
32	Protein kinases (CMGC group)	One	D	Multiple
32	PSA-2 (GP46)	All	I+D	12, 21, 31, 35
29	RNA helicases/eIF-4a	None	T+D	Multiple
27	ATPase/serine peptidases	None	D	Multiple
29	Hypothetical proteins (kinesin-like)	One	D	Multiple
25	Protein phosphatases	None	T+D	Multiple
25	Tuzins	Some	TI+D	8, 34, 36
24	Protein kinases (STE group)	Some	D	Multiple
23	Amino acid permeases	Some	T+D	Multiple
19	HSP83	None	T+D	29, 33
18	DNA helicases	Some	D	Multiple
18	β-tubulins	None	T+D	8, 21, 33
17	Hypothetical proteins (LACK)	One	D	Multiple
17	Hypothetical proteins	Some	T+D	11, 13, 21, 29, 31, 36
15	Calpain-like cysteine peptidases	Some	T+D	4, 20, 25, 31, 36
14	HSP70 and related proteins	None	T+D	1, 18, 26, 28, 30, 35
14	Phosphoglycan $\beta$ 1.3	Some	T+D	2, 7, 14, 21, 25, 31, 35, 36
	galactosyltransferases			_, . , . ,
14	Dynein heavy chain	One	D	Multiple
14	RNA helicases	None	D	Multiple
14	$\alpha \neq z$ -tubulins	Bone	T+D	13 21 25
13	Hypothetical proteins (PIPK-like protein)	One	D	Multiple
13	Pteridine transporters	Some	T+D	4 6 10 19 35
13	Microtubule-associated proteins	A11		4, 0, 10, 19, 55
13	APC transporters/P algeoproteins	Somo		22 25 26 21 22 22 24
13	Protein lineage (NEK group)	One		23, 23, 20, 31, 32, 33, 34 Multiple
12	DNAL chaparanas	Some	D	Multiple
12	DINAJ chaperones	None		Nuturple 12
12	Hypothetical proteins	None		12
11	Dratein Linease (DVDK and CLK	Some	I+D	1, 13, 19, 28 Multiple
11	families)	One	D	Multiple
11	Translation elongation factors	None	T+D	11, 17, 18, 34, 35
10	Cyclophilins	None	D	Multiple
10	ABC transporters	Some	T+D	2, 11, 15, 27, 29
10	ATPases	None	T+D	4, 7, 17, 18, 33, 35
10	Clan CA, family C1 cysteine peptidases	Some	T+D	8, 19, 29
10	Hypothetical proteins (possible peptidases)	None	T+D	15, 16, 27

\* Families correspond to Tribe-MCL clusters (table S2) obtained using inflation value 4, and BlastP cut-off of  $e^{-15}$ . Under these conditions, more divergent, but nonetheless functionally related proteins, may not get classified into a given gene family.

 $^{\dagger}$ Tandem (T), tandem interspersed (TI), distributed (D).