

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Securing access to climate change technologies

Citation for published version: Brown, A 2009, 'Securing access to climate change technologies: Insights from the intellectual property/human rights interface'.

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher final version (usually the publisher pdf)

Publisher Rights Statement:

© Brown, A. (2009). Securing access to climate change technologies: Insights from the intellectual property/human rights interface.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



script

"Securing access to climate change technologies: insights from the intellectual property/human rights interface"

"Climate Change in the Courts: Emerging Patterns"

> University of Edinburgh 13 November 2009 Dr Abbe E. L. Brown abbe.brown@ed.ac.uk





Overview

- Climate Change and Intellectual Property
- Lessons from IP, human rights and essential medicines
- Possible routes forward





IP and Climate Change

- IP is NOT the same as technology
 - trade secrets, expertise
- BUT technology can be the subject of IP
 - gives the IP owner the right to control the use of the technology
 - reward for innovation
- EVEN IF WIDE ACCESS TO THE TECHNOLOGY IS IMPORTANT FOR UNFCC GOALS





Limits of IP

- NOT an unfettered right
- Patents
 - novel and inventive
 - 20 years
 - national
 - controls the invention, nothing else
- Patent litigation often very boring...
- BUT if there are no other options, problem for climate change
 - Agenda 21
 - Chatham House
 - Barton, RIS, ICTSD





Power of IP

- TRIPS must have patents
- **MAY** have more limits
 - compulsory licensing, research exemption, import
 - TRIPS
 - IP should contribute to the promotion of technological innovation and to the transfer and dissemination of technology, to the mutual advantage of producers and users of technological knowledge (article 7)
 - members may adopt measures necessary to promote the public interest in sectors of vital importance to their socio-economic and technological development, provided that such measures ar consistent with the provisions of this Agreement. (article 8)
- Limited exploration
 - US opposition eg Jordan Free Trade Agreement
 - US Special 301
 - what does it mean...fuels WTO challenge to legislation
 - Canada







Conflicts

Private power and public obligations

• NOT THE FIRST TIME





IP and essential medicines

- Never the twain shall meet....
- Post TRIPS, the battle for access
 - South African legislation (1997)
 - settled case
 - Oxfam et al
 - WTO declaration (2001) and decision (2003)
 - WHO Commission (2003-6)





Working around IP

- Confirms there can be restrictions on it
 but not mandatory
- Seeks other forms of encouraging innovation
 - eg Malaria Project
- Conflict not addressed
 - a new tool?





The human rights contribution

- International, regional and national instruments
 - life, health
 - property, reward
 - most have own limits
- UN Sub-Commission resolutions 2000/7 and 2001/21
 - limited legal teeth
 - call for engagement with WTO
 - General Comment article 15(1)(c) ICESCR
- A new angle in WTO challenges
 - Shrimp
 - cf Biotech





More courts – more direct contribution?

- International human rights monitoring..
 - limited teeth
 - limited interest so far
- ECtHR: Budweiser and Smithkline (ECHR)
- National IP actions
 - Levi (IP win) and Ashdown (more open) (England, HRA)
 - balance in IP, and two sets of human rights (with limits)
 - Harper & Row (IP win) cf Wind Done Gone (expression win) (US, constitution)
 - Laugh it Off (expression win)(South Africa, constitution)





Lessons from Health

- IP owners have power and supporters want to keep it that way
 - Bangkok, Barcelona
 - Senate letter
 - ACTA
- If there is no other technology, consider competition
- A Declaration on Climate Change technologies?
 - teeth only in WTO cf regime shift
 - need compulsory licensing mandatory
 - health experience suggests this is unlikely





Lessons from Climate Change

- Health has no equivalent to EGTT
 - eg proposed Multilateral Fund, new forms of innovation, arrangements for transfer
- A more public focus Aarhus
- Much greater interaction
 - EPO/ICTSD/UNEP
- Persist....





• THANK YOU



