

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Time-dependent depolarization of aligned HD molecules

# Citation for published version:

Bartlett, NCM, Miller, DJ, Zare, RN, Alexander, AJ, Sofikitis, D & Rakitzis, TP 2009, 'Time-dependent depolarization of aligned HD molecules' Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 142-147. DOI: 10.1039/b814133a

## Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1039/b814133a

## Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

**Publisher Rights Statement:** Copyright C 2009 by the Royal Society of Chemistry.

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Post-print of peer-reviewed article published by the Royal Society of Chemistry. Published article available at: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b814133a</u>

Cite as:

Bartlett, N. C. M., Miller, D. J., Zare, R. N., Alexander, A. J., Sofikitis, D., & Rakitzis, T. P. (2009). Time-dependent depolarization of aligned HD molecules. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 11(1), 142-147.

Manuscript received: 13/08/2008; Accepted: 13/10/2008; Article published: 25/11/2008

# Time-dependent depolarization of aligned HD molecules\*\*

Nate C. M. Bartlett,<sup>1</sup> Daniel J. Miller,<sup>1</sup> Richard N. Zare,<sup>1,\*</sup> Andrew J. Alexander,<sup>2,\*</sup> Dimitris Sofikitis<sup>3</sup> and T. Peter Rakitzis<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>[1]</sup> Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5080.

<sup>[2]</sup>School of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JJ, UK.

<sup>[3]</sup>Department of Physics, University of Crete, P.O. Box 2208, 71003 Voutes-Heraklion, Greece, and Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, 71110 Heraklion-Crete, Greece.

<sup>[\*]</sup>Corresponding authors; R.N.Z. e-mail: <u>zare@stanford.edu</u>; A.J.A. e-mail: <u>andrew.alexander@ed.ac.uk</u>; T.P.R. <u>e-mail: ptr@iesl.forth.gr</u>

<sup>[\*\*]</sup>T. P. R. and D. S. gratefully acknowledge support from the G.S.R.T. (Greek General Secretariat for Research and Technology) Bilateral Collaboration grant Greece-U.S.A. 05NON-EU-68. N.C.M. B., D. J. M., and R.N. Z. thank the U.S. National Science Foundation for their support of this work through Grant No. NSF CHE-0650414.

# **Graphical abstract:**



# **Keywords:**

differential-cross-sections; orientation; alignment; scattering; reagents; product; cl

#### Abstract

An aligned sample of HD(v=1, J=2,  $M_J=0$ ) molecules is prepared under collision-free conditions using the S(0) stimulated Raman pumping transition. Subsequent coupling to the spins of the deuteron I<sub>D</sub> and the proton I<sub>H</sub> causes the initial degree of alignment to oscillate and decrease as monitored over the time range from 0 to13 µs via the O(2) line of the [2+1] REMPI  $E, F^{-1}\Sigma_{g}^{-} - X^{-1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}(0,1)$  band. The time dependence of the rotational alignment is also calculated using both a hierarchical coupling scheme in which the rotational angular momentum J is regarded first to couple to  $I_D$ , and then the resultant  $F_i$  to couple to  $I_H$ , to form the total angular momentum F and a non-hierarchical coupling scheme in which the HD energy level structure is not assumed to be diagonal in the  $|I_H(JI_D)F_iFM_F>$  basis set. The experimental data is in good agreement with the non-hierarchical calculation but not with the hierarchical calculation, as expected for this system. Additionally, we calculate the time dependence of the H and D nuclear spin polarizations.

#### Introduction

Many experimental techniques have been developed to prepare oriented and aligned molecules, either for their use as reagents in scattering experiments, or for studying the behavior of such species in isolation. Two of the most common and successful methods are alignment by strong electric fields<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> and optical pumping with polarized light.<sup>5,6-14</sup> While the former is limited to molecules with a dipole moment, optical pumping can be applied to a much wider range of species and can be used to align or orient those with small or no dipole moments. Optical transitions induced with linearly polarized light can serve to align molecules while oriented samples can be produced with circularly polarized light.

The broad applicability of the pumping technique has allowed for the preparation of several aligned reagents in this manner by various groups. Production of aligned HF for the study of stereodynamical effects in the Sr + HF reaction has been reported by Karny et al.<sup>6</sup> using one-photon absorption. The time dependence of HCl(v=1, J) alignment, prepared with IR excitation, was studied by Orr-Ewing et al.<sup>7</sup> The photolysis of aligned and oriented HCl (v=2, J) was recently used by Rakitzis and coworkers<sup>8,9</sup> to prepare spin-polarized H and Cl atoms by means of hyperfine coupling. Polarization spectroscopy was applied by McKendrick and co-workers<sup>10,11</sup> to measure the time evolution of OH orientation and alignment following inelastic collisions with Ar and He. The two-photon stimulated Raman pumping (SRP) process has also become a popular method for polarizing samples of molecules having no dipole moments. Sitz and Farrow<sup>12</sup> studied the time dependence of an aligned sample of room-temperature N<sub>2</sub>(v=1, J=0-14), as prepared by SRP. Kandel et al.<sup>13</sup> prepared in this manner a sample of aligned HD (v=1, J=2) for subsequent use as a target in the Cl + HD hydrogen abstraction reaction. Recently, our group has reported the first preparation of an oriented sample of H<sub>2</sub>(v=1, J=2,  $M_{r}=2$ ) using this technique as well.<sup>14</sup>

Molecules aligned (or oriented) by optical pumping methods are subject to subsequent degradation of the initial alignment by the coupling of the rotational angular momentum J to other angular momenta, called "hidden" angular momenta, which are not affected by the electric dipole allowed transition. In the case of HD the hidden angular momenta are the nuclear spin of the H atom,  $I_H = 1/2$  and the nuclear spin of the D atom  $I_D = 1$ . As the molecule rotates, there is a current loop from all the negatively charged electrons in the molecule and all the positively charged nuclei, and these two current loops are of different magnitudes, causing the rotation of the molecule to generate a magnetic field. The hyperfine coupling arises from the interaction of the nuclear spins with the magnetic field induced by the rotational motion of the molecule. This coupling serves to split the rotational levels into hyperfine levels. In addition nuclei with a nuclear spin greater than unity possess a quadrupole moment, which further splits and complicates the hyperfine energy level pattern. If the laser excitation of a molecule to a particular rotational sublevel  $JM_{J}$  occurs without hyperfine resolution (this is true if  $\Delta t \ll 1/\Delta v$ , where  $\Delta t$  is the duration of the laser pulse, and  $\Delta v$  is the magnitude of the hyperfine splitting), a coherent superposition of hyperfine sublevels associated with  $|JM_{I}\rangle$  results. The result of the subsequent time evolution is oscillatory population transfer between the different  $M_J$ -sublevels, and this beating is referred to as hyperfine depolarization, as it serves to reduce the initial degree of polarization of the J vector distribution, although at sufficiently long times the degree of polarization will be restored (recurrence phenomenon). This effect has been previously studied in detail both theoretically and experimentally for many systems.<sup>2,15,16,17,18</sup> We also note that an analogous depolarization effect can be observed in molecules with net non-zero electronic spin S, but we neglect this effect here because HD is in a singlet state (S=0).

The hyperfine depolarization can also be understood classically using a vector model in which J couples to one or more hidden angular momenta that are unaffected by the electric dipole allowed transition to form the total angular momentum F, about which J precesses. To simplify this discussion, let us just consider the case where only one nucleus has the nuclear spin I. Then the coupling results in the time-dependent polarization transfer between J and I causing the prepared alignment of the rotation to vary in time. As expected, the degradation of the initial polarization is significant when J and I are comparable in magnitude, whereas the depolarization effect becomes less important when the magnitude of J is much larger than that of I. When J and I are equal in magnitude, complete depolarization of J and complete polarization of I can be observed.

Thus, this mechanism can be used to transfer polarization from molecular rotation to produce large nuclear polarizations in molecules. This transfer was demonstrated directly by Sofikitis et al.<sup>8</sup> by the production of highly polarized Cl atoms from the photolysis of state prepared HCl( $v=1, J=1, M_J=1$ ) molecules, and followed over a timescale of about 200 ns.

Here, we report on the preparation of aligned HD( $\nu=1$ , J=2,  $M_{J}=0$ ) under collision-free conditions by SRP and the subsequent time dependence of the alignment over the range from 0 to 13 µs, as probed by [2+1] REMPI. The theory necessary to understand and analyze the measurements is presented in the following section. The time dependences of both the rotational polarization and the polarization of the nuclei are calculated and the former is compared to the experimental results.

We demonstrate the ability to observe the polarization of the molecular rotation out to about 13 µs, which is more than a factor of 10 longer than previous measurements using this pump-probe laser technique. Our work may be regarded as a descendent of Ramsey's original technique for precisely measuring magnetic moments in atomic or molecular beams by applying two separated oscillating electromagnetic fields that excite transitions between ground-state sublevels in a magnetic field.<sup>19</sup> It might be asked how well can hyperfine structure be measured. High resolution is usually achieved by increasing the accuracy and precision to which the frequency of a molecular transition is determined. But another approach to high resolution exists, namely, increasing the time of a measurement. Recently, a beam of Starkdecelerated OH molecules was slowed to a speed of 200 m s<sup>-1</sup> allowing interaction with a microwave field for up to a millisecond, resulting in the measurement of the ground-state  $\Lambda$ -doublet splitting with an uncertainty of tens of Hertz or less.<sup>20</sup> It has been suggested that even longer interaction times approaching a second will be possible with "molecular fountains" in which molecules that are decelerated to a few meters per second prior to launching, fly upwards before falling back under gravity.<sup>21</sup> In the present experiment, the observation time is limited by the construction of our experiment; simple modifications would allow significantly longer observation times, so that polarization transfer can be observed in other molecular systems for which the hyperfine coupling is still weaker. Polarization transfer in small molecules can be used to enhance gas-phase NMR spectra by orders of magnitude,<sup>22</sup> allowing the observation of spectra at low enough pressures that intramolecular effects are negligible. The present study should be regarded primarily as a proof of principle of what could be achieved.

#### Theory

The spatial distribution of angular momenta J of an atomic or molecular ensemble may be described by the  $(2J+1)^2$  density matrix elements  $\rho_{M_J'M_J}$ , or by the  $(2J+1)^2$  multipole moments  $A_q^{(k)}(J)$ , where  $k \le 2J$ . The two descriptions are equivalent and related by the following expressions:<sup>23</sup>

$$\rho_{M_{J}'M_{J}} = \sum_{k,q} \frac{(2k+1)[J(J+1)]^{\frac{k}{2}}}{c(k)\langle J | | J^{(k)} | | J \rangle} (-1)^{J+q-M_{J}'} \begin{pmatrix} J & k & J \\ -M_{J} & q & M_{J}' \end{pmatrix} A_{q}^{(k)}(J)$$
(1)

$$A_{q}^{(k)}(J) = \frac{c(k)}{(JM_{J}|J^{2}|JM_{J}\rangle^{k/2}} \sum_{M_{J},M_{J}'} \rho_{M_{J}'M_{J}} \langle JM_{J}|J_{q}^{(k)}|JM_{J}'\rangle$$
(2)

where the c(k) are normalization constants: c(0) = 1, c(1) = 1,  $c(2) = 6^{1/2}$ ,  $c(3) = (5/2)^{1/2}$ ,  $c(4) = (35/8)^{1/2}$ , etc. When the  $M_J$ -sublevel populations, defined with respect to a laboratory axis, are not all equal, the

ensemble is said to be polarized. Consider such a sample, which for example, has been prepared by pulsed-laser excitation with linearly or circularly polarized light. We assume that initial spatial distribution of nuclear spins is random, and that it is unaltered by the optical excitation process. The subsequent coupling of two nuclear spins to the rotational angular momentum J, leads to a time dependence of a molecule's rotational polarization, and thus each moment  $A_q^{(k)}(J, t = 0)$ , becomes multiplied by a depolarization factor  $G^{(k)}(J,t)$ 

$$A_q^{(k)}(J,t) = A_q^{(k)}(J,t=0) \times G^{(k)}(J,t)$$
(3)

The depolarization factors have been derived previously by Altkorn, Greene, and Zare<sup>15</sup> for both the cases where a hierarchical coupling scheme does and does not apply. These are expressed, respectively, as

$$G^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{F,F,F_i,F_i'} \frac{(2F+1)(2F'+1)(2F_i+1)(2F_i'+1)}{(2I_H+1)(2I_D+1)} \times \begin{cases} F_i' & J & I_D \\ J & F_i & k \end{cases}^2 \begin{cases} F_i' & F' & I_H \\ F & F_i & k \end{cases}^2 \cos[(E_{F_i},F^{-E_{F_i'}},F')t/\hbar] \\ F_i' & F_i' & K \end{cases}^2$$

(4)

$$G^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{F,F',\alpha,\alpha'} \frac{(2F+1)(2F'+1)}{(2I_{H}+1)(2I_{D}+1)} \cos[(E_{\alpha,F} - E_{\alpha',F'})t/\hbar] \times \sum_{F_{i},F_{i}'} (-1)^{F_{i}+F_{i}'} (2F_{i}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2F_{i}'+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{cases} F_{i}' & J & I_{D} \\ J & F_{i} & k \end{cases} \begin{cases} F_{i}' & F' & I_{H} \\ F & F_{i} & k \end{cases} C_{F,\alpha'_{i}}^{(F)*} C_{F,\alpha'_{i}'}^{(F)*} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Here *F* is the quantum number associated with the total angular momentum of the system, and  $F_i$  is an intermediate quantum number resulting from the coupling  $F_i = I_D + J$  (see Fig. 1 for an illustration of the

coupling scheme).  $F_i$  is a good quantum number in the limit of hierarchical coupling so that the  $|I_H(I_DJ)F_i$   $FM_F>$  basis is used in this case to calculate the hyperfine energy splittings. Typically this coupling scheme is valid when the coupling of one nuclear spin to the rotation is much stronger than that of the other, so that polarization transfer from the rotation to that nucleus is much faster than to the other. When hierarchical coupling cannot be used, the hyperfine Hamiltonian written in the  $|I_H(I_DJ)F_iFM_F>$  basis must be diagonalized, which yields hyperfine energies that depend not on  $F_i$  but on  $\alpha$ , a quantum number that results from general, non-hierarchical coupling. Finally, note that the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian  $C_{F_i,\alpha}^{(F)}$  only appear in the non-hierarchical expression. This is because  $C_{F_i,\alpha}^{(F)} \rightarrow \delta_{\alpha,F_i}$  when hierarchical coupling applies and this substitution yields equation (4). The beating frequencies are thus determined by the energy differences between the hyperfine levels while their amplitudes depend on the magnitudes of  $I_D$ ,  $I_H$ , and J.

In addition, analogous expressions for the nucleus have been derived by Rubio-Lago et al.<sup>24</sup> The timedependent polarization factors (non-hierarchical) are given by

$$H^{(k)}(I_{1},t) = \frac{U_{k}(I_{1})}{U_{k}(J)} \sum_{F,F'} \frac{(2F+1)(2F'+1)}{(2I_{2}+1)\sqrt{(2I_{1}+1)(2J+1)}} \sum_{\alpha,\alpha'} cos \left[\frac{\left(E_{\alpha,F}-E_{\alpha',F'}\right)}{\hbar}t\right]$$

$$\times \sum_{F_{i},F_{i'}}(-1)^{2F_{i'}'} \sqrt{(2F_{i}+1)(2F_{i'}'+1)} C_{F_{i'}\alpha}^{(F)} C_{F_{i'}\alpha'}^{(F')*} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_{i} & F_{i'} & k \\ F' & F & I_{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_{i} & F_{i'} & k \\ I_{1} & I_{1} & J \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$\times \sum_{F_{i''}''',F_{i'''}}(-1)^{F_{i'''}'+F_{i''}''} \sqrt{(2F_{i'''''}+1)(2F_{i'''}+1)} C_{F_{i''}\alpha}^{(F)} C_{F_{i'}\alpha'}^{(F')*} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_{i} & F_{i'} & k \\ F' & F & I_{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_{i''} & F_{i'''} & k \\ F' & F & I_{2} \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} F_{i''} & F_{i'''} & k \\ J & J & I_{1} \end{matrix} \right\} (6)$$

$$H^{(k)}(I_{2},t) = \frac{U_{k}(I_{2})}{U_{k}(J)} \sum_{F,F'} \frac{(2F+1)(2F'+1)}{(2I_{1}+1)\sqrt{(2I_{2}+1)(2J+1)}} \sum_{\alpha,\alpha''} (-1)^{I_{1}+J+k+F'} cos\left[\frac{\left(E_{\alpha,F}-E_{\alpha',F'}\right)}{\hbar}t\right]$$

$$\times \sum_{F_{i},F_{i'}} (-1)^{F_{i}+F'_{i}} \sqrt{(2F_{i}+1)(2F_{i}'+1)} C^{(F)}_{F_{i,\alpha}} C^{(F')*}_{F'_{i},\alpha'} \begin{cases} F_{i} & F_{i}' & k \\ F' & F & I_{2} \end{cases} \begin{cases} F_{i} & F_{i}' & k \\ J & J & I_{1} \end{cases}$$

$$\times \sum_{F'_{i}'''} (-1)^{3F''_{i''}-F} \sqrt{(2F_{i}+1)(2F_{i}'+1)} C^{(F')}_{F_{i'',\alpha}} C^{(F)*}_{F'_{i',\alpha'}} \begin{cases} F' & F & k \\ I_{2} & I_{2} & F''_{i''} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

and we relate the spatial distribution of each nuclear spin  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  at time *t* to the initial spatial distribution of the rotation J through the expression

$$A_q^{(k)}(I,t) = H^{(k)}(I,t) \times A_q^{(k)}(J,t=0)$$
(8)

In the above,  $U_k(I)$  and  $U_k(J)$  are normalization constants that allow moments with differing values of Iand J to be related, and are reciprocals of the  $V_k(J)$  defined elsewhere.<sup>25</sup> Again, the corresponding expressions when hierarchical coupling is valid can be obtained by letting the  $C_{F_{ij}\alpha}^{(F)} \rightarrow \delta_{\alpha,F_i}$ .

Finally, we combine conservation of the projection of the total angular momentum

$$\langle M_J(t)\rangle + \langle M_{I_1}(t)\rangle + \langle M_{I_2}(t)\rangle = \langle M_J(t=0)\rangle$$
(9)

with expressions for each angular momentum projection term on the left-hand side

$$\langle M_i(t) \rangle = \sqrt{i(i+1)} A_0^{(1)}(t)$$
 (10)

to obtain

$$G^{(1)}(t) + \sqrt{\frac{I_1(I_1+1)}{J(J+1)}} H^{(1)}(I_1, t) + \sqrt{\frac{I_2(I_2+1)}{J(J+1)}} H^{(1)}(I_2, t) = const.$$
(11)

This condition allows us to check our calculated expressions for the rotational depolarization and nuclear polarization factors, which is illustrated in the Discussion section.

## Experimental

Here we present only a concise summary of the experimental techniques used in this study and refer the reader to a preceding publication<sup>14</sup> for a detailed description. A mixture of 10% HD (Cambridge Isotopes 97% purity) in Ar was supersonically expanded into the extraction region of a Wiley-McLaren time-of-flight (TOF) spectrometer, described in detail elsewhere,<sup>26,27</sup> through a pulsed nozzle (General Valve) with a backing pressure of 500-1000 Torr. This produces almost exclusively HD( $\nu$ =0) in low rotational levels. The HD( $\nu$ =0, *J*=0) molecules in the expansion are subsequently excited to the HD( $\nu$ =1, *J*=2, *M*=0) state via the *S*(0) SRP transition using linearly polarized light, producing an aligned sample. The pump and Stokes wavelengths necessary for SRP, 532 nm and 670.640 nm respectively, are produced using the second harmonic of an injection-seeded Nd<sup>3+</sup>:YAG laser (Continuum PL9020) and the output of a dye laser (Continuum ND6000, LDS698 dye), respectively. Upon entering the chamber both SRP beams are perpendicular to the TOF axis, and because these beams create the alignment of the molecules, we choose their polarization direction to define the Z axis of our laboratory frame. The excited-state HD molecules are state-specifically ionized after a variable pump-probe time delay by 2+1 REMPI via the *O*(2) line of the *E*, *F*<sup>-1</sup> $\Sigma_g^+ - X^{-1}\Sigma_g^+$  (0,1) band using linearly polarized light at 209.572 nm. The UV light for the REMPI is generated by frequency tripling the output of a second Nd<sup>3+</sup>:YAG-pumped dye laser (Lambda Physik

LPD3000, DCM dye) using two BBO crystals in series. The linear polarization of the probe light was alternated on a shot-to-shot basis so that its electric field vector is either parallel or perpendicular to the laboratory Z axis. The HD<sup>+</sup> ions were detected with the TOF spectrometer for pump-probe delays of 0-13  $\mu$ s producing probe polarization-dependent signals denoted by I<sub>||</sub> and I<sub>⊥</sub>. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2 and the SRP and REMPI scheme is shown in Fig. 3.

### Discussion

In this section we evaluate the rotational depolarization factors  $G^{(k)}$  and nuclear polarization factors  $H^{(k)}$  that describe the time-dependent behavior of the HD molecule following excitation to the HD(v=1, J=2,  $M_J=0$ ) state. To do so, it is necessary to calculate the energies of the hyperfine levels, which is done by diagonalizing the hyperfine Hamiltonian for the HD molecule in the absence of external fields<sup>28</sup>

$$H = -C_{H}I_{H} \cdot J - C_{D}I_{D} \cdot J + \frac{5d_{1}}{(2J-1)(2J+3)} \left[\frac{3}{2}(I_{H} \cdot J)(I_{D} \cdot J) + \frac{3}{2}(I_{D} \cdot J)(I_{H} \cdot J) - J(J+1)I_{H} \cdot I_{D}\right] + \frac{5d_{2}}{(2J-1)(2J+3)} \left[3(I_{D} \cdot J)^{2} + \frac{3}{2}(I_{D} \cdot J) - I_{D}^{2}J^{2}\right]$$
(12)

in the  $|I_H(I_DJ)F_iFM_F>$  basis. When a hierarchical coupling scheme is employed, it is assumed that the Hamiltonian is already diagonal in this basis. Here,  $C_H$  and  $C_D$  are the spin-rotational interaction constants whose values signify the strength of the magnetic field at the hydrogen and deuterium nuclei, respectively, arising from rotation of the molecule. The constants  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are the nuclear spin-nuclear spin magnetic interaction constant and the quadrupole interaction constant. Note that a nuclear quadrupole term is only present for molecules which possess nuclei of spin  $I \ge 1$ , and that  $I_H = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $I_D = 1$ . The hyperfine constants have been measured by Ramsey and co-workers<sup>28</sup> for HD( $\nu$ =0, J=1) and they found  $C_H = 85.600$  kHz,  $C_D = 13.122$  kHz,  $d_I = 17.761$  kHz, and  $d_2 = -22.454$  kHz. We use the ground-state hyperfine constants in our calculation of the time dependence of the rotational and nuclear polarizations, i.e., we assume that the ground-state hyperfine constants are slowly varying functions of the vibrational level.

Figure 4 displays the signal ratio I(0)/I(90) for the detection of HD( $v=1, J=2, M_{J}=0$ ) as well as the theoretical predictions for both non-hierarchical and hierarchical coupling schemes. The intensities for the signals may be expressed in terms of a multipole expansion<sup>29</sup>:

$$I = I_0 [1 + s_2 A_0^{(2)} G^{(2)}(t) P_2(\cos\theta) + s_4 A_0^{(4)} G^{(4)}(t) P_4(\cos\theta)]$$
(13)

where the  $A_0^{(k)}$  describe the initial alignment of the system and the s<sub>k</sub> are the [2+1] REMPI sensitivity factors for the corresponding  $A_0^{(k)}$ . The P<sub>k</sub>(cos $\theta$ ) are  $k^{\text{th}}$  order Legendre polynomials, where  $\theta$  is the angle

between the laboratory frame Z axis and the polarization direction of the linearly polarized probe laser light. Note that detection using linearly polarized light is sensitive only to the even moments of the angular momentum distribution.

From equation 13 we obtain

$$I_{\parallel} = I_0 [1 + s_2 A_0^{(2)} G^{(2)}(t) P_2(1) + s_4 A_0^{(4)} G^{(4)}(t) P_4(1) = I_0 [1 + s_2 A_0^{(2)} G^{(2)}(t) + s_4 A_0^{(4)} G^{(4)}(t)]$$
(14a)

and

$$I_{\perp} = I_0 [1 + s_2 A_0^{(2)} G^{(2)}(t) P_2(0) + s_4 A_0^{(4)} G^{(4)}(t) P_4(0) = I_0 [1 - \frac{1}{2} s_2 A_0^{(2)} G^{(2)}(t) + \frac{3}{8} s_4 A_0^{(4)} G^{(4)}(t)]$$
(14b)

The  $A_0^{(k)}$  depend only on the values of *J* and *M<sub>J</sub>* of the prepared state, while the *s<sub>k</sub>* depend only on the initial and final values of *J* in the 2+1 REMPI step, and the polarization of the light. For the *O*(2) line of the *E*,  $F^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+} - X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$  (0,1) [2+1] REMPI band using linearly polarized light and assuming 100% population in the *M<sub>j</sub>*=0 sublevel, *s*<sub>2</sub> = -10/7, *s*<sub>4</sub> = 72/7,  $A_0^{(2)} = -1$  and  $A_0^{(4)} = 1/4$ . We find that the ground-state hyperfine constants produce rotational depolarization factors which, when non-hierarchical coupling is used, show good agreement with the experimental data for HD (*v*=1, *J*=2). This result indicates that the HD(*v*=1) hyperfine constants are close enough in magnitude to those of the ground state that our experimental sensitivity does not allow us to observe the vibrational dependence. The poor agreement between the experimental data and the hierarchical calculation is expected because the hydrogen and deuterium coupling constants are similar in magnitude; a hierarchical coupling scheme describes the system well when one nucleus couples much more strongly to the molecular rotation than does the other.

In Fig. 5 we display the  $A_0^{(2)}$  alignment moments for both the rotation and the deuterium nuclear spin over the time range from 0 to 20 µs following the initial alignment of the HD molecule. As the molecular rotation becomes depolarized, the deuterium nuclear spin becomes aligned. We find that the strongest deuterium alignment occurs near 3 µs with a value of  $A_0^{(2)} = -0.271$ .

The experiments we have described concern linearly polarized light, for which the rotational angular momenta can only be aligned. Briefly, we consider the excitation of HD with circularly polarized light for which the rotational angular momenta can also be oriented. In particular, the HD molecules can be prepared in the (v=1, J=2,  $M_{J}=2$ ) state using circularly polarized light in SRP excitation step, in the same

manner<sup>14</sup> as was previously reported for H<sub>2</sub>( $\nu$ =1, J=2, M<sub>J</sub>=2). We calculate the time dependence of the M<sub>J</sub> sublevel expectation values for the rotation and nuclei using equation 10 and display the results in Figure 6. The sum of the projections of the three angular momenta is constant and is equal to the initially prepared projection,  $\langle M_J \rangle + \langle M_H \rangle + \langle M_D \rangle = 2$ . We see that the polarization of the H and D nuclei reach 60% of their maximal values at times of about 2 and 4.5 µs, respectively, producing highly polarized H and D nuclei.

We demonstrate the ability to monitor the degree of molecular rotational and nuclear polarization on a timescale of at least 13  $\mu$ s (and longer times should be feasible). The treatment we have presented above is quite general. It applies to other *J*,*M*<sub>J</sub> levels as well as other molecules that have different hyperfine coupling constants.

# Figures



*Figure 1.* Illustration of (a) the three angular momenta of the hydrogen deuteride molecule and (b) vector model of the angular momentum coupling.



*Figure 2.* Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus. Abbreviations are as follows: BBO =  $\beta$ -barium borate crystal; E = extractor grid; IG = (nude) ion gauge; MCP = microchannel plates; PEM = photoelastic modulator; PN = pulsed nozzle; R = repeller plate; RP = Rochon prism (linear polarizer).



*Figure 3.* SRP and REMPI schemes used to prepare and detect HD(v=1, J=2,  $M_{J}=0$ ) from HD (v=0, J=0) using linearly polarized light. Purple and red arrows represent the electric field vector of the probe radiation parallel and perpendicular to the pump radiation (black arrows).



*Figure 4.* Experimentally measured and calculated time evolution of the HD rotational polarization. The data are plotted as the ratio of the parallel to the perpendicular integrated ion signal  $I_{\parallel}/I_{\perp}$  as a function of SRP-REMPI time delay from 0 to13 µs. The error bars are calculated as  $2\sigma$  from three measurements at each time delay.



*Figure 5.* The  $A_0^{(2)}$  alignment parameter for the HD rotation and deuterium nuclear spin. Maximal alignment for  $I_D$  occurs with a value of  $A_0^{(2)} = -0.271$  near approximately 3 µs following optical preparation of HD( $v=1, J=2, M_J=0$ ).



*Figure.* Time dependence of  $\langle M_i \rangle$  for the rotational and nuclear spin angular momenta along with their sum, following optical preparation of oriented HD in the ( $v=1, J=2, M_J=2$ ) level.

# References

- [1] R.J. Beuhler Jr., R.B. Bernstein and K.H. Kramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 5331.
- [2] P.R. Brooks and E.M. Jones, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 45, 3449.
- [3] D. Van Den Ende and S. Stole, Chem. Phys., 1984, 89, 121.
- [4] H. Jalink, D.H. Parker, K.H. Meiwes-Broer and S. Stolte, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 552.
- [5] R.N. Zare, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 1982, 86, 422.
- [6] Z. Karny, R.C Estler and R.N. Zare, J. Chem. Phys., 1978, 69, 5199.
- [7] A.J. Orr-Ewing, W.R. Simpson, T.P. Rakitzis and R.N. Zare, Isr. J. Chem., 1994, 34, 95.

[8] D. Sofikitis, L. Rubio-Lago, M. R. Martin, D. J. Ankeny Brown, N. C.-M. Bartlett, A. J. Alexander,
 R. N. Zare and T. P. Rakitzis, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2007, **127**, 144307.

[9] D. Sofikitis, L. Rubio-Lago, M.R. Martin, D.J.A. Brown, N.C.-M. Bartlett, R.N. Zare and T.P Rakitzis, *Phys. Rev. A*, 2007, **76**, 012503.

[10] S. Marinakis, G. Paterson, J. Kłos, M. L. Costen and K. G. McKendrick, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, 9, 4414.

[11] G. Paterson, S. Marinakis, M. Costen, K. G. McKendrick, J. Klos and R. Tobola, *J. Chem. Phys.* (in press).

[12] G.O. Sitz and R.L. Farrow, J. Chem. Phys., 1994, 101, 4682.

[13] S. A. Kandel, A. J. Alexander, Z. H. Kim, R. N. Zare, F. J. Aoiz, L. Bañares, J. F. Castillo and V. Sáez Rábanos, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 112, 670.

[14] N.C.-M. Bartlett, D.J. Miller, R.N. Zare, D. Sofikitis, T.P. Rakitzis and A.J. Alexander, *J. Chem. Phys.* (in press).

[15] R. Altkorn, R.N. Zare and C.H. Greene, Mol. Phys., 1985, 55, 1.

[16] M. Rutowski and H. Zacharias, Chem. Phys., 2004, 301, 189; erratum: Chem. Phys., 2005, 310, 321,

[17] A. D. Rudert, J. Martin, W.B. Gao, J. B. Halpern and H. Zacharias, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 111, 9549.

[18] D. Sofikitis, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Crete

[19] N.F. Ramsey, Molecular Beams, Clarendon, Oxford, 1956.

[20] E. R. Hudson, H. J. Lewandowski, B. C. Sawyer, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2006, 96, 143004.

[21] S. Y. T. Van de Meerakker, H. L. Bethlem and G. Meijer, Nature Physics, 2008, 4, 595.

[22] M. S. Albert, G. D. Cates, W. Happer, B. Saam, C. S. Springer and A. Wishnia, *Nature*, 1994, **370**, 199.

[23] A. J. Orr-Ewing and R. N. Zare, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 1994, 45, 315.

[24] L. Rubio-Lago, D. Sofikitis, A. Koubenakis and T.P. Rakitzis, Phys. Rev. A, 2006, 74, 042503.

[25] G. G. Balint-Kurti, A. J. Orr-Ewing, J. A. Beswick, A. Brown and O. S. Vasyutinskii, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **116**, 10760.

[26] W. R. Simpson, A. J. Orr-Ewing, T. P. Rakitzis, S. A. Kandel and R. N. Zare, J. Chem. Phys., 1995, 103, 7299.

[27] W. R. Simpson, T. P. Rakitzis, S. A. Kandel, A. J. Orr-Ewing and R. N. Zare, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1995, 103, 7313.

[28] W.E. Quinn, J.M. Baker, J.T. LaTourrette and N.F. Ramsey, *Phys. Rev.*, 1958, **112**, 1929. The sign of *d2* is incorrectly stated as positive in the abstract but negative in the text of this paper.

[29] R.N. Dixon, J. Chem. Phys., 1986, 85, 1866.