

The dynamics of signal amplification by macromolecular assemblies for the control of chromosome segregation

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Lee, Semin, and Victor M. Bolanos-Garcia. 2014. "The dynamics of signal amplification by macromolecular assemblies for the control of chromosome segregation." Frontiers in Physiology 5 (1): 368. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00368. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00368.
Published Version	doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00368
Accessed	February 17, 2015 4:28:17 AM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:13347656
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <u>http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-</u> <u>of-use#LAA</u>

(Article begins on next page)

The dynamics of signal amplification by macromolecular assemblies for the control of chromosome segregation

Semin Lee¹ and Victor M. Bolanos-Garcia²*

¹ Center for Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA

² Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

Edited by:

Viji M. Draviam, University of Cambridge, UK

Reviewed by:

Hassan Bousbaa, Cooperativa de Ensino Superior Politécnico e Universitário, Portugal Arockia Jeyaprakash Arulanandam, University of Edinburgh, UK

*Correspondence:

Victor M. Bolanos-Garcia, Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Gipsy Lane, Headington, Oxford OX3 0BP, UK e-mail: vbolanos-garcia@ brookes.ac.uk The control of chromosome segregation relies on the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), a complex regulatory system that ensures the high fidelity of chromosome segregation in higher organisms by delaying the onset of anaphase until each chromosome is properly bi-oriented on the mitotic spindle. Central to this process is the establishment of multiple yet specific protein-protein interactions in a narrow time-space window. Here we discuss the highly dynamic nature of multi-protein complexes that control chromosome segregation in which an intricate network of weak but cooperative interactions modulate signal amplification to ensure a proper SAC response. We also discuss the current structural understanding of the communication between the SAC and the kinetochore; how transient interactions can regulate the assembly and disassembly of the SAC as well as the challenges and opportunities for the definition and the manipulation of the flow of information in SAC signaling.

Keywords: spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), genome instability, chromosome segregation, signal amplification, cell cycle regulation, kinetochore-microtubules network, cancer, protein-protein interactions

THE SPINDLE ASSEMBLY CHECKPOINT (SAC)

The regulation of chromosome division in time and space requires amplification of specific signals across an intricate network of protein-protein interactions. Central to this process is the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), the essential and evolutionarily conserved self-regulatory system of the eukaryotic cell cycle that ensures accurate chromosome segregation by controlling cell cycle progression in response to microtubule-kinetochore attachment defects (Hardwick et al., 2000; Warren et al., 2002; Morrow et al., 2005; Yao and Dai, 2012; Foley and Kapoor, 2013; Jia et al., 2013). SAC function requires its communication with the kinetochore, the multiprotein network that assembles on mitotic or meiotic centromeres to link centromeric DNA with microtubules.

Three serine/threonine protein kinases, Bub1, BubR1, and Mps1 play essential roles in the mitotic checkpoint. Bub1 is required for the recruitment to the kinetochore, the site for attachment of chromosomes to microtubule polymers that pull sister chromatids apart during cell division, of several checkpoint components in cells that have the checkpoint unsatisfied. Bub1 is also important for the assembly of the inner centromere. BubR1 is required for the establishment of proper kinetochore-microtubule attachment and chromosome alignment and together with the proteins Bub3, Mad2, and Cdc20 forms part of the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC) that inhibits the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of the anaphasepromoting complex (also known as the cyclosome, APC/C) toward its substrates Securin and Cyclin B1 (Tang et al., 2004; Vanoosthuyse and Hardwick, 2005; Boyarchuk et al., 2007; Bolanos-Garcia and Blundell, 2011; Elowe, 2011; Chao et al., 2012). Mps1 is a dual-specificity kinase that localizes to kinetochores during mitosis and that through phosphorylation of kinetochore targets prevents aneuploidy by promoting both productive chromosome attachment and SAC function. Loss of Mps1 function in organisms from yeasts to humans overrides mitotic checkpoint signaling (Weiss and Winey, 1996; Abrieu et al., 2001; Maciejowski et al., 2010; Tipton et al., 2013). Mps1 has been identified in the signature of the top 25 genes overexpressed in tumors of different origins including bladder, anaplastic thyroid, breast, lung, esophagus, and prostate (Carter et al., 2006; Janssen et al., 2009). Recruitment of Bub1, BubR1, Mps1, Bub3, Ccd20, Mad1, and Mad2 to the kinetochore is essential for the full activity and optimal function of the mitotic checkpoint (revised in Musacchio, 2011; Hauf, 2013). APC/C inhibition is released after proper bipolar attachment and alignment of all chromosomes at the center of the cell, thus allowing chromosome separation and mitotic progression (revised in Jia et al., 2013).

Here we discuss the nature of protein-protein interactions underpinning mitotic checkpoint function, in which weak but cooperative association of individual protein components of the SAC to form larger, dynamic macromolecular assemblies has arisen as successful strategy to ensure the amplification of specific signals that control chromosome segregation in the crowded environment of the cell. We also discuss how emerging technologies and multidisciplinary strategies enable us to gain insights into SAC signaling with an unprecedented level of detail.

STRUCTURAL FEATURES OF SAC PROTEIN COMPONENTS

Important clues into the inner working of the SAC have been derived from the structural understanding of central SAC components. For instance, the three protein kinases Bub1, BubR1 and Mps1, which share a common multidomain organization and play roles that are essential for the SAC, contain an N-terminal

region that is organized as a tandem arrangement of the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) motif and a C-terminal kinase domain. In addition to Bub1, BubR1, and Mps1 kinases, the proteins Bub3, Mad1, Mad2, and Cdc20 also mediate key functions in SAC signaling. The crystal structure of Bub3 has shown that this protein is globular and contains a single domain (Larsen and Harrison, 2004; Wilson et al., 2005) that adopts the WD40-repeat fold. Despite its small size and presence of a single domain, Bub3 is known to physically interact with Bub1, BubR1, and Knl1. Another key molecule is Cdc20, a co-activator of the APC/C, the macromolecular assembly that is responsible for targeting proteins for ubiquitin-mediated degradation during mitosis (Nilsson et al., 2008; Izawa and Pines, 2012; Sedgwick et al., 2013), thus leading to the arrest of cells in mitosis (Musacchio and Salmon, 2007; Chao et al., 2012). Similar to Bub3, Cdc20 adopts the WD40-repeat fold (Figures 1A,B, respectively). However, in mammals Cdc20 also contains two independent degradation



FIGURE 1 | (A) Bub3 and **(B)** Cdc20 both adopt a seven-blades, WD 40 fold (pdb 1UAC and 4GGA, respectively). **(C)** The architecture of Mad2 defines a characteristic HORMA domain (pdb 1DUJ). **(D)** The structure of the Mad1-Mad2 complex shows that the two chains of Mad1 interact with Mad2 through the N-terminal coiled-coil region (pdb 1GO4). **(E)** Structure of a Cdc20 fragment bound to Mad2 (pdb 1KLQ). **(F)** Crystal structure of the Mad2/p31^{comet} complex (pdb 2QYF). A comparison of the latter two structures shows that p31^{comet} inhibits Mad2 activation through structural mimicry. Figures generated with PyMOL (DeLano, 2002).

signals: the KEN box (Pfleger and Kirschner, 2000) and the CRY box (Reis et al., 2006). The former box is required for the APC/C dependent degradation of Cdc20 (Huang et al., 2001) whereas the CRY box (consensus amino acid sequence CRYxPS) functions as a second degradation signal in Cdc20 (Reis et al., 2006).

Mad1 is a predominantly coiled-coil protein that in humans encompasses 718 amino acid residues (Hardwick and Murray, 1995; Schuyler et al., 2012). Depletion of Mad1 in human cells results in genome instability and chromosome segregation defects (Luo et al., 2000; Maciejowski et al., 2010; Meyer et al., 2013) thus evidencing its essential role in the SAC (Luo et al., 2002). Mad2 adopts the HORMA (for Hop1, Rev7, and Mad2) domain (Luo et al., 2000) (Figure 1C). Mad2 binds to Mad1 to form a stable heterocomplex in vitro (Luo et al., 2002) that regulates the progression of mitosis by controlling the flow of Cdc20 into the SAC. In one hand, the Mad2-Mad1 heterocomplex binds to improperly attached kinetochores, inducing the hyper-phosphorylation and activation of Mad1 by Mps1 (Winey and Huneycutt, 2002; Hewitt et al., 2010). On the other hand, kinetochore bound Mad1-Mad2 catalyzes the assembly of a Mad2-Cdc20 complex (Figure 1D) (Sironi et al., 2001, 2002; Chung and Chen, 2002; De Antoni et al., 2005; Nezi et al., 2006; Mapelli et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2008; Kulukian et al., 2009; Lad et al., 2009; Fava et al., 2011) in a process that involves the conversion of Mad2 from an "open" into a "closed" Cdc20-bound conformation (Luo et al., 2000, 2004). How the above interactions lead to conformational transitions that contribute to regulate the segregation of chromosomes in space and time? This fascinating aspect of the SAC is addressed in the section below.

DYNAMICS OF MACROMOLECULAR ASSEMBLY/DISASSEMBLY

Earlier clues of the dynamic nature of the network of interactions underpinning SAC signaling were provided by the crystal and NMR structures of members of the Mad protein family. For instance, Sironi and collaborators reported the structure of a Mad1-Mad2 complex that revealed a loop around the Cdc20 binding site of Mad2 (Figure 1D) and suggested a "safety-belt" mechanism underlying the regulation of the interactions between Mad2-Mad1 and Mad2-Cdc20 (Figure 1E) (Sironi et al., 2002). Further structural details of Mad2 transitions between an "open" and a "closed" conformational state have been established by Xray protein crystallography and NMR (Luo and Yu, 2008; Kim et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014). The 3D structures show that the transition of Mad2 from the "open" to the "closed" conformation involves a large conformational rearrangement of the polypeptide chain. This dramatic conformational switch is regarded as the rate-limiting step in cells mounting a SAC response (De Antoni et al., 2005; Vink et al., 2006; Hewitt et al., 2010; Maldonado and Kapoor, 2011; Lau and Murray, 2012).

SAC signaling is antagonized by the protein p31^{comet} (Habu et al., 2002; Xia et al., 2004). The crystal structure of the closed conformation of Mad2 in complex with p31^{comet} showed that the latter protein interacts extensively with the Mad2 dimerization interface in such a way it inhibits the conformational transition to the Mad2 open state (Yang et al., 2007). The binding of Mad3 (the yeast BubR1 homolog that lacks the catalytic kinase

domain) and p31^{comet} to the same Mad2 interface implies a competition between p31^{comet} and Mad3 to bind Mad2 (Figure 1E). The structure of the p31^{comet}-Mad2 complex (Figure 1F) thus provides structural insights into the regulation of MCC assemblv and disassembly. Furthermore, the crystal structure of the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC, Figure 2A) from fission veasts revealed the mode in which Mad2 and Mad3 cooperate to inhibit Cdc20 (Chao et al., 2012). The MCC structure shows that Mad2 and Mad3 complex formation facilitates the presentation of the KEN box motif of Mad3 to the KEN-box motif of Cdc20 (Figure 2A). Interestingly, an unexpected D-box mimic located at the C-terminal end of Mad3 revealed the D-box-binding site on Cdc20, which provided the first structural insight into the mechanism of degron recognition by co-activators (an aspect of SAC signaling that has been nicely revised by Zhang et al., 2014). The structure of the MCC shows that APC/C ubiquitin ligase activity is modulated by steric hindrance that impedes substrate recognition and also through conformational changes that disrupt the architecture of the substrate-binding site. Such mode of regulation closely resembles the molecular mechanisms underlying the control of protein kinases (Chao et al., 2012). This mode of regulation is in sharp contrast with the mechanism of regulation of other signaling systems such as the SCF (SKP1-Cullin1-F-box-Rbx1) complex. In the latter case, the E3



from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (pdb 4AEZ). **(B)** The N-terminal regions of Bub1 and BubR1 are organized as a triple tandem of the TPR motif. Superposition of the structures of TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1 in complex with the KI motifs of KnI1 (pdb 4AIG and 3SI5, respectively) revealed a similar mode of binding underlying disorder-to-order transitions.

ubiquitin ligase activity of SCF is regulated at the level of substrate recognition in a process that involves phosphorylation of a degradation signal (degron) consensus motif, IL-I/L/PpT-P, that is present on substrates targeted for proteasomal degradation (Welcker and Clurman, 2008; Zhou et al., 2013).

SAC COMMUNICATION WITH THE KMN NETWORK

SAC function requires its communication with the kinetochore, the multiprotein complex that is assembled on mitotic or meiotic centromeres to connect centromeric DNA with microtubules (Funabiki and Wynne, 2013; Westhorpe and Straight, 2013). Although the assembly of the kinetochore is a crucial event in cell division, the precise sequence of events underlying the process remains obscure. As discussed below, recent structural insights show that the establishment of kinetochore complexes often involves dramatic conformational changes, including disorderto-order transitions. Although the amino acid sequence in most kinetochore proteins is clearly divergent, the overall architecture of the kinetochore remains highly conserved across species (Przewloka and Glover, 2009; Tanaka, 2013; Westhorpe and Straight, 2013). The structural core of the kinetochore is the KMN network, which constitutes a docking platform for the kinetochore recruitment of SAC components. The KMN network is composed by the single protein Knl1 (a protein also known as CASC5, Blinkin, and AF15Q14 in humans; Spc105 in budding yeast and flies and Spc7 in fission yeast) (Kiyomitsu et al., 2007, 2011; Bolanos-Garcia et al., 2009) and the protein complexes Mis12/Mtw1/MIND and Ndc80/HEC1. The latter two assemblies are commonly referred to as the Mis12 and Ndc80 complexes.

Knl1 is a large, predominantly disordered protein of the KMN network that acts as molecular platform for the recruitment of several proteins to the kinetochore (Kiyomitsu et al., 2007; Przewloka and Glover, 2009; Santaguida and Musacchio, 2009; Ghongane et al., 2014). In mammals, depletion and/or suppression of the expression of Knl1 lead to extensive chromosome missegregation with phenotypes that closely resemble those caused by depletion of Bub1 and BubR1 kinases (Cheeseman et al., 2006, 2008; Kiyomitsu et al., 2007). Knl1 plays a central role in the dynamics of the assembly/disassembly of the KMN network and directly interacts with a range of proteins that are essential for proper chromosome segregation, including Protein phosphatase 1 (Pp1), Bub1, BubR1, Bub3, Zwint, and Nsl1, a component of the Mis12 complex. Such complex choreography of interactions confers exquisite regulation to the SAC. For example, the very N-terminal end region of Knl1 recruits Pp1 to the kinetochore; another N-terminal segment binds to the TPR motifs of Bub1 and BubR1 (Figure 2B) (Bolanos-Garcia et al., 2011; Rosenberg et al., 2011; Krenn et al., 2012; London et al., 2012; Shepperd et al., 2012; Funabiki and Wynne, 2013) whereas C-terminal Knl1 binds directly to Nsl1 (Primorac et al., 2013; Petrovic et al., 2014) and possibly also to Dsn1, another protein component of the Mis12 complex (Cheeseman et al., 2006; Kiyomitsu et al., 2007). The majority of Knl1 homologs contain an arrangement of motif repeat units, the MELT motif, that thus far seem to be a unique feature of this kinetochore docking platform. The specific amino acid sequence and the number of MELT repeat units is widely variable across species thus suggesting that the differences

contribute to the specie-specific recognition of different Knl1 partners. A comparison of the buried area upon complex formation between SAC proteins and between SAC-KMN components show a relatively small buried area, ranging from approx. 500 to 1700 Å^2 (**Table 1**), values that are similar to those calculated for non-obligate complexes (Jones and Thornton, 1996). It has been shown that the binding of Spc105, the fly homolog of Knl1, to Nnf1a, Nnf1b, Nsl1, and Mis12 is interdependent as the proteins need of each other for their recruitment to the kinetochore (Venkei et al., 2012). Although such feature suggests a cooperative mode of interaction between these proteins, it would be important to define more precisely the dynamics and stoichiometry of the interactions and to establish if a similar interdependence for their recruitment to the kinetochore occurs in other species.

THE IMPORTANCE OF LOW STRUCTURAL COMPLEXITY IN THE SAC

The organization of a polypeptide chain in regions that exhibit low structural complexity is a recurrent feature of protein molecules (Dunker et al., 1998; Dyson and Wright, 2002, 2005; Gsponer and Babu, 2009; Babu et al., 2012). A bioinformatics study helps to illustrate this as it shows that 35-51% of eukaryotic proteins have at least one disordered region that span fifty or more amino acid residues (Dunker et al., 2002). The Protein Disorder Database DisProt (http://www.disprot.org; Sickmeier et al., 2007) provides additional support this view. Up to date (last release date 05/24/2013) the database has annotated 1539 disorder regions in a total of 694 proteins. Importantly, diverse bioinformatics studies have demonstrated that large polypeptide segments of low structural complexity are abundant in proteins that act as docking platforms for the binding of multiple partners (Dunker et al., 2005; Dosztanyi et al., 2006; Haynes et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006a). The highly flexible surfaces of regions of low structural complexity can be critical for the formation of productive macromolecular complexes (Dyson and Wright, 2005; Kim et al., 2006a; Schlessinger et al., 2007; Dunker et al., 2008). Indeed,

Table 1 | Analysis of interface area in $Å^2$, calculated as difference in total accessible surface areas of isolated and interfacing structures divided by two, according to ePISA (Protein Interfaces, Surfaces and Assemblies) tool (EMBL-EBI, UK).

Protein complex	Protein- protein interface area (Å ²)	PDB code	Reference
Bub1-GLEBS motif of Bub3	1664	2I3S	Larsen and
Mad3-GLEBS motif of Bub3	1681	2I3T	Larsen and Harrison, 2007
Bub1-Bub3 in ternary complex	1655	4BL0	Primorac et al., 2013
Bub3-MELT motif of Knl1 in ternary complex	654	4BL0	Primorac et al., 2013
Bub1-KI-1motif of Knl1	527	4A1G	Krenn et al., 2012
BubR1-KI-2 motif of Knl1	464	3SI5	Bolanos-Garcia et al., 2011
NsI1-RWD domain of Knl1	565	4NF9	Petrovic et al., 2014

it has been established that disordered binding regions play a critical role in diverse biological processes (Dyson and Wright, 2002) and that the association of individual proteins to form macromolecular assemblies can have a profound effect on the stability; transport properties; subcellular localization of the complexes and affect further interactions with additional molecules and/or assemblies (Sasahara et al., 2003; Banks and Fradin, 2005; McGuffee and Elcock, 2010; Wang et al., 2010, 2012; Cino et al., 2012; Miermont et al., 2013). In principle, large polypeptide segments of low structural complexity in hub proteins including Knl1 and other components of the KMN network can allow different conformers of the same polypeptide chain to bind with different affinity to interacting partners. An interesting suggestion is that interactions mediated by certain protein families involve the binding to specific linear motifs that capture key residues responsible for the interactions. Such linear motifs have been categorized and used to complement the prediction of binding sites in regions of low structural complexity with specific motif searches (Puntervoll et al., 2003). One interesting property of regions of low structural complexity is the transition from a disorder to a more ordered state upon ligand binding (a feature also known as coupled folding and binding). Examples of this class of transitions in SAC signaling occur upon binding of N-terminal Bub1 and BubR1 to KI motifs of Knl1; the interaction of Mad2 with Mad1 and Cdc20 and Bub3 binding to the MELT motifs of Knl1, to name a few. A more detailed discussion of the importance of this mode of binding in the SAC is show below, in the section entitled disorder-to-order transitions. Intrinsic disorder proteins seem prone to initiate promiscuous molecular interactions when over expressed and that for this reason they can contribute to toxicity/pathology (Vavouri et al., 2009). Interestingly, the structural properties of intrinsic disorder proteins seem to correlate strongly with the observed dosage sensitive (i.e., give place to a pathological condition when the expression is increased) of oncogenes, suggesting that mass action driven molecular interactions may be an important cause of cancer (Vavouri et al., 2009). Because dosage-sensitive genes seem to be slightly enriched in those mediating cell cycle regulation (Sopko et al., 2006), it would be important to define the dosage sensitive of genes associated with SAC signaling and its contribution (if any) to the onset of chromosome segregation defects and/or aneuploidy.

DNA COMPACTION AND CROWDING EFFECTS

As discussed by Burgess and collaborators in their excellent minireview, the repair of DNA damage during mitosis is generally difficult due to the suppression of gene transcription and translation caused by the level of DNA compaction (Burgess et al., 2014). For example, little is known about the effect of centromeric DNA compaction on the assembly of the kinetochore. What is known is that DNA binding to the kinetochore does not depend on a specific DNA sequence (with a few exceptions) and that the deposition of Cenp-A-containing nucleosomes at the centromeric chromatin is likely to rely on epigenetic mechanisms. However, definition in greater detail of the extent in which centromere identity is specified by epigenetic mechanisms remains a central question in the study of chromosome inheritance and genome stability.

It has been established that a constitutive complex, the centromere-associated network (CCAN), is assembled onto centromeric Cenp-A chromatin. The CCAN consists of 16 proteins: Cenp-C, Cenp-H/Cenp-I/Cenp-K, Cenp-L/Cenp-M/Cenp-N, Cenp-O/Cenp-P/Cenp-O/Cenp-R/Cenp-U, Cenp-T/Cenp-W, and Cenp-S/Cenp-X (revised by Perpelescu and Fukagawa, 2011). CCAN recruits the outer kinetochore components of the KMN network Knl1, the Mis12 complex, and the Ndc80 complex thus linking structural and regulatory kinetochore proteins which spindle microtubules. Cenp-A, a conserved centromere-specific variant of the protein histone H3 (Palmer et al., 1991; Stoler et al., 1995; Perpelescu and Fukagawa, 2011), plays a role in the propagation of centromere identity and the formation of the kinetochore (Barnhart et al., 2011; Mendiburo et al., 2011; Fachinetti et al., 2013). This manner, the centromere-kinetochore assembly guides the movement of chromosomes and the progression of the cell cycle throughout mitosis (Wan et al., 2009). Cenp-C and Cenp-T, two components of the CCAN, are required for spindle attachment. Structural insights of the human centromeric nucleosome containing Cenp-A in complex with its cognate α -satellite DNA derivative revealed that in the human Cenp-A nucleosome, the DNA wraps around a histone octamer comprising two molecules of histones H2A, H2B, H4, and Cenp-A (Tachiwana et al., 2011). The crystal structure of the Cenp-A nucleosome (pdb ID 3AN2) supports the octasome model (Figure 3A). However, the existence of a Cenp-A nucleosome complex comprising one of each core histone (a complex referred to as the hemisome) has been suggested (Tachiwana et al., 2011). The two different complexes may not be mutually exclusive as there is a possibility both the octasome and the hemisome can be assembled in vivo. This is an aspect that should be clarified if we are to understand the precise role of Cenp-A in the control of chromatin assembly and its influence in the formation of the kinetochore.

Interestingly, among all the protein that are known to associate constitutively with human Cenp-A chromatin, only Cenp-C has been identified in all model organisms (Stoler et al., 1995). Human Cenp-C consists of four functional regions (Figure 3B). The N-terminal region binds to the Mis12 complex (Barnhart et al., 2011). The central region of Cenp-C is required for the targeting of the protein to the centromere (Fachinetti et al., 2013) in a process that involves the recognition of the carboxyl tail of Cenp-A in the centromeric nucleosome (Mendiburo et al., 2011). The C-terminal region of Cenp-C is responsible for homo dimerization of the protein (Hori et al., 2013). The specific recognition of the histone variant Cenp-A in the centromeric nucleosome by Cenp-C is critical for the assembly of the kinetochore. The crystal structure of Cenp-C in complex with the nucleosome core particle (pdb 4INM) has revealed the determinants of the recognition mechanism. The structure shows that Cenp-C binds a hydrophobic region in the Cenp-A tail and docks onto the acidic patch of histone H2A/H2B. The Cenp-C-nucleosome core particle complex thus revealed a conserved mechanism for recruitment of proteins to centromeres. It also provides insights into the molecular mechanism of histone recognition in which a disordered peptide binds the histone tail. Such mode of nucleosome docking is facilitated by extensive hydrophobic interactions, a structural feature also observed in diverse SAC and kinetochore



assemblies that involve disorder-to-order transitions, an aspect that is discussed in more detail in the next section.

Cenp-E is a member of the Cenp protein family (Perpelescu and Fukagawa, 2011; Przewloka et al., 2011) that, similar to Cenp-C and Knl1, contains large segments of low structural complexity throughout the polypeptide chain. Cenp-E functions as a plus-end directed molecular kinesin-like motor protein that is localized specifically to kinetochores during mitosis and that is required for efficient capture and attachment of kinetochores to the spindle microtubules (McEwen et al., 2001; Putkey et al., 2002; Kapoor et al., 2006). In human cells, Cenp-E depletion by RNA interference (Tanudji et al., 2004) or antisense oligonucleotides (Yao et al., 2000) and inhibition of its recruitment to kinetochores by antibody microinjection (Schaar et al., 1997; McEwen et al., 2001) result in chromosome congression aberrations. The intrinsic structural flexibility of Knl1, Cenp-C, Cenp-E and other kinetochore proteins should facilitate the establishment of productive and specific interactions with diverse interacting partners (Mao et al., 2003). In a broader sense, the recurrence of regions

of low structural complexity in SAC and KMN protein components is likely to play a critical roles in the control of chromosome segregation none less because greater selectivity can be achieved through interactions that involve multiple components.

Macromolecular crowding refers to the confinement in the cellular space of macromolecules at high concentration (Elcock, 2010; Hancock, 2012). Studies carried out in mice showed that abnormal higher Mad2 levels lead to aberrant SAC function and induced tumorigenesis (Sotillo et al., 2007, 2010; Schvartzman et al., 2011). It would be important to establish to what extent Mad2 crowding contributes to the above-mentioned abnormal processes.

Some proteins can process distinct molecular signals under the crowding conditions of the cell. An example of this phenomenon is transport kinesins (such as kinesin-1), which seems to have evolved molecular properties that prevent it from forming traffic jams in the crowded conditions of the cells (Leduc et al., 2012) and the kinesin motor protein kinase ERK, which can be phosphorylated in a processive manner in HeLa cells (Aoki et al., 2011). Interestingly, under conditions that recreate physiological molecular crowding, the mode of ERK phosphorylation shifts from distributive to processive (Aoki et al., 2011), in which case ERK does not form a stable substrate-enzyme complex, a behavior that is the opposite to that observed in the processive phosphorylation model. It would be important to establish if phosphorylation shifts from distributive to processive or vice versa occurs in components of the KMN network such as Knl1, Cenp-C, and Cenp-E.

DISORDER-TO-ORDER TRANSITIONS

Comparison of the structures of diverse SAC and kinetochore complexes reveals a recurrent mode of binding that is characterized by disorder-to-order transitions. Examples of this class of transitions occur in the interaction of Mad2 with Mad1 and Cdc20; the binding of TPR domains of Bub1 and BubR1 to KI motifs of Knl1 (Figure 2B); the binding of Bub3 to the MELT motifs of Knl1 (Figure 4A) and the binding of the RWD domain of Knl1 to a synthetic peptide that mimics Nsl1 (Figure 4B) (Bolanos-Garcia et al., 2011; Kiyomitsu et al., 2011; Krenn et al., 2012; Primorac et al., 2013; Petrovic et al., 2014; revised in Ghongane et al., 2014). In all these complexes the binding of an otherwise predominantly disordered protein fragment to the globular partner involves dramatic conformational transitions that lead to the formation of an α-helix upon complex formation. The predominance of cooperative, stabilizing hydrophobic interactions is another structural feature that emerges from the analysis of the aforementioned complexes, where only little conformational changes are observed in the BUBs after complex formation.

The interaction of SAC kinases Bub1 and BubR1 with the protein Knl1 physically links SAC signaling with the kinetochore (Kiyomitsu et al., 2007, 2011; Bolanos-Garcia et al., 2011). The crystal structure of N-terminal Knl1 with TPR BubR1 defines an extensive hydrophobic interface in which a mechanistic zipper mode of binding has been suggested. In this model, several Knl1 residues (I213, F215, F218, and I219) sequentially dock into BubR1 pockets, thus ensuring high specificity and sensitive



regulation. Furthermore, comparison of the crystal structure of the TPR BubR1-Knl1 binary complex with free Knl1 peptides titrations using 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol and monitored by far-UV circular dichroism revealed a disorder-to-order transition of Nterminal Knl1 upon binding BubR1. This is possible because a hydrophobic environment can be mimic experimentally with 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, a solvent of low dielectric constant, ε , ($\varepsilon =$ 8.55). The observed disorder-to-order transition of N-terminal Knl1 when binding to BubR1 can be expected for the interaction of Knl1 with Bub1, given the similar mode of binding (Figure 2B). Importantly, the local conformational changes trigger by disorder-to-order transitions upon BUBs binding should influence the interaction of Knl1 with other interacting partners such as specific kinases and/or phosphatases thus contributing to the regulation of the SAC (Liu et al., 2010; Rosenberg et al., 2011).

Bub1 and BubR1 (Mad3 in yeast) have a conserved stretch of about 40 amino acid residues downstream the N-terminal TPR domain that is predicted to be of low structural complexity and that harbor a Bub3 binding region commonly referred to as the GLE2p-binding sequence (GLEBS) motif. The crystal structures of two independent complexes formed between the GLEBS motifs of Mad3 and yeast Bub1 with Bub3 show the establishment of an extensive interface along the top surface of Bub3 upon complex formation (Larsen and Harrison, 2007) (**Figure 4A**). Such mode of binding implies a large conformational shift of the GLEBS motifs from a disorder to an ordered state. In a similar fashion, the crystal structure of a Mad1 fragment (residues 485– 584) in complex with Mad2 revealed that the Mad1 fragment adopts a predominantly α -helix conformation upon complex formation (Luo et al., 2000) (**Figure 1D**). Furthermore, binding studies *in vitro* suggest an important conformational transition in which Mad1 primes the Mad2 binding site for the interaction with Cdc20 (Luo et al., 2002). *In vivo*, such concerted conformational rearrangements should ensure the tight regulation of the APC/C's ubiquitin-ligase activity (Tang et al., 2001; Jia et al., 2013).

SAC-KMN SIGNAL AMPLIFICATION BY THE MEANS OF WEAK, COOPERATIVE INTERACTIONS

Because multiprotein complexes that form cooperatively would less likely to be formed fortuitously (Blundell et al., 2002; Bolanos-Garcia et al., 2012), the cooperative association of higher order SAC signaling complexes resulting from binary interactions that are both specific and of low-affinity should favor the amplification of specific signals to mount an effective SAC response. The cooperative assembly of the KMN subcomplexes Mis12 and Ndc80 illustrates how the establishment of higher order signaling complexes can regulate the SAC. The Ndc80 subcomplex is composed of four subunits: Ndc80 (the subunit that gives its name to the entire subcomplex), Nuf2, Spc24, and Spc25 (Ciferri et al., 2005, 2008; Wei et al., 2005, 2007; Wan et al., 2009). The Ndc80 subcomplex adopts a dumbbell shape molecule with Spc24-Spc25 and Nuf2-Ndc80 located in opposite ends of the molecule (Figure 4C) (Ciferri et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2005). The association of Nuf2-Ndc80 is required for the binding of the Ndc80 complex to microtubules while the formation of the Spc24-Spc25 heterodimer is required for binding Knl1 and the Mis12 complex (Cheeseman et al., 2006; Kiyomitsu et al., 2007; Wei et al., 2007; Ciferri et al., 2008; Joglekar and DeLuca, 2009; Wan et al., 2009).

The exquisite regulation of the SAC is a fine example of how the remodeling of macromolecular assemblies in time and space has evolved as a successful strategy that increases selectivity of signals with a minimal margin for errors. At the same time, the highly versatile and dynamic remodeling of macromolecular assemblies constitutes a great challenge for their functional, biochemical and structural characterisation in space and time. Furthermore, a wide range of post-translational modifications such as acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation and sumoylation can have a significant impact on protein stability, turnover, reversibility, sub-cellular localisation and the hierarchical order of assembly/disassembly of protein complexes thus constituting and additional layer of control of cell signaling (Pawson and Nash, 2003; Kim et al., 2006b; Seet et al., 2006; Simorellis and Flynn, 2006; Mao et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2012; Jia et al., 2013).

NEW APPROACHES TO THE STUDY OF SAC MACROMOLECULAR ASSEMBLIES

Our discussion of the interactions underpinning SAC signaling is typical of many cell regulation systems, where a large number of macromolecules tend to associate, thus requiring the ability to describe the dynamics of transient complex formation and

dissociation in both space and time. One strategy to achieve this is to combine a range of biophysical and biochemical methods with spatial techniques for structural biology. For example, time-resolved Raman scattering and X-ray scattering can be very powerful to study the dynamics of macromolecular interactions when they are combined with X-ray protein crystallography, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS), and Electron Microscopy (EM). A useful approach to the study of the dynamic of macromolecular complexes underpinning the SAC-kinetochore-microtubule interactome is the stabilization and fixation of the complexes which can be achieved by incorporation of phospho-mimicking mutations; truncation or extension of the polypeptide chain; the addition of post-translational modifications, such as phosphorylation, acetylation, methylation and the use of crosslinking agents, to name just a few. The stabilization and fixation of complexes can be combined with Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) to define temporal aspects of the interactions but also local conformational changes associated with SAC signaling. Importantly, exiting new experimental strategies for the study of dynamic systems are currently in fast development. For example, free-electron lasers (FEL) a technique that relies on the generation of X-ray pulses of very high intensity and short duration, has facilitated the structural determination of macromolecular complexes even from very small crystals of relatively low quality. The ultrashort X-ray flashes ensure that the molecules hardly change during the exposure and enable the study of functional processes through the monitoring of the motion of molecules from instant to instant. This is particularly attractive to the study of the interactions underpinning the SAC where is important to closely follow the dynamics of the association and dissociation of macromolecular assemblies. Current free-electron lasers facilities are the European X-ray freeelectron laser, the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, the Free electron LASer in Hamburg (FLASH), the SPring-8 Compact SASE Source (SCSS), and the PSI SwissFEL. Another exciting new development is transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A TEM variant that uses cryo-technology (Cryo-TEM) permits a full range of semiautomated applications, including 2D electron crystallography, single particle analysis, cryo electron microscopy, and dual-axis cellular tomography of frozen hydrated cell organelles and cells. Cryo-TEM, when combined with protein X-ray crystallography, NMR and molecular modeling studies, facilitates the generation of complete atomic models. Additional advantages of cryo-TEM are: (1) is its suitable to study complexes that are 250 kDa or larger; (2) it can be applied to the study of heterogeneous samples and (3) it can provide structural details of dynamic complexes, such as those defining the architectures of the kinetochore and the nucleosome, that are difficult to examine with other structural biology techniques.

A major challenge will be to extend the analysis of structure and dynamics of isolated SAC and kinetochore assemblies to the understanding of the organization of signal generation and amplification in the cell in space and time. Because large multiprotein complexes play critical roles in cell regulation, interfering with the dynamics of their assembly and/or dissociation rises as an attractive strategy for the treatment of diseases.

CLOSING REMARKS

The function and regulation of the SAC depends upon a hierarchical organization of macromolecular assemblies in time and space to ensure the accurate and timely transmission of the genetic material to descendants. A common theme emerging from the structural analysis of SAC complexes is the adoption of a regular structure by one of the interaction partners upon complex formation.

SAC components that are intrinsically disordered in the unbound form often associate to binding partners with low affinity but high specificity thus mounting an effective SAC response. Interaction with one or more ligands through multiple linear motifs is an effective strategy to control the flow of information and to modulate the signal. Therefore, the greater selectivity that communication of the SAC with the KMN network demands is gained by the involvement of multiple components that assemble in a cooperative fashion. Undoubtedly, the structural characterisation of larger SAC protein assemblies will reveal novel molecular details of how signal amplification is achieved to control chromosome segregation in higher organisms.

Therefore, the timely assembly of protein subcomplexes in which at least one of the components shows low structural complexity appears a reiterate structural feature in SAC signaling.

Importantly, regulation of this critical cellular process relies on the establishment of transient interactions in space and time. This manner, multi-protein assemblies can associate cooperatively to confer high selectivity and sensitivity to the interactions.

Undoubtedly, the detailed knowledge of the architecture of large SAC and kinetochore complexes will provide the structural basis for the rational targeting of specific protein-protein interfaces with drugs, being these small size molecules, peptides, nucleic acids or carbohydrates.

REFERENCES

- Abrieu, A., Magnaghi-Jaulin, L., Kahana, J. A., Peter, M., Castro, A., Vigneron, S., et al. (2001). Mps1 is a kinetochore-associated kinase essential for the vertebrate mitotic checkpoint. *Cell* 106, 83–93. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(01) 00410-X
- Aoki, K., Yamada, M., Kunida, K., Yasuda, S., and Matsuda, M. (2011). Processive phosphorylation of ERK MAP kinase in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 108, 12675–12680. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1104030108
- Babu, M. M., Kriwacki, R. W., and Pappu, R. V. (2012). Structural biology. Versatility from protein disorder. *Science* 337, 1460–1461. doi: 10.1126/science. 1228775
- Banks, D. S., and Fradin, C. (2005). Anomalous diffusion of proteins due to molecular crowding. *Biophys. J.* 89, 2960–2971. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.051078
- Barnhart, M. C., Kuich, P. H., Stellfox, M. E., Ward, J. A., Bassett, E. A., Black, B. E., et al. (2011). HJURP is a CENP-A chromatin assembly factor sufficient to form a functional de novo kinetochore. *J. Cell Biol.* 194, 229–243. doi: 10.1083/jcb. 201012017
- Blundell, T. L., Bolanos-Garcia, V., Chirgadze, D. Y., Harmer, N. J., Lo, T., Pellegrini, L., et al. (2002). Asymmetry in the multiprotein systems of molecular biology. *Struct. Chem.* 13, 405–412. doi: 10.1023/A:1015888617329
- Bolanos-Garcia, V. M., and Blundell, T. L. (2011). BUB1 and BUBR1: multifaceted kinases of the cell cycle. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 36, 141–150. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2010.08.004
- Bolanos-Garcia, V. M., Kiyomitsu, T., D'Arcy, S., Chirgadze, D. Y., Grossmann, J. G., Matak-Vinkovic, D., et al. (2009). The crystal structure of the N-terminal region of BUB1 provides insight into the mechanism of BUB1 recruitment to kinetochores. *Structure* 17, 105–116. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2008.10.015
- Bolanos-Garcia, V. M., Lischetti, T., Matak-Vinkovic, D., Cota, E., Simpson, P. J., Chirgadze, D. Y., et al. (2011). Structure of a Blinkin-BUBR1 complex reveals

an interaction crucial for kinetochore-mitotic checkpoint regulation via an unanticipated binding site. *Structure* 19, 1691–1700. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2011. 09.017

- Bolanos-Garcia, V. M., Wu, Q., Ochi, T., Chirgadze, D. Y., Sibanda, B. L., and Blundell, T. L. (2012). Spatial and temporal organisation of multiprotein assemblies: achieving sensitive control in information-rich cell regulatory systems. *Philos. Transact. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 370, 3023–3039. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2011.0268
- Boyarchuk, Y., Salic, A., Dasso, M., and Arnaoutov, A. (2007). Bub1 is essential for assembly of the functional inner centromere. J. Cell Biol. 176, 919–928. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200609044
- Burgess, A., Rasouli, M., and Rogers, S. (2014). Stressing mitosis to death. Front. Oncol. 4:140. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2014.00140
- Carter, S. L., Eklund, A. C., Kohane, I. S., Harris, L. N., and Szallasi, Z. (2006). A signature of chromosomal instability inferred from gene expression profiles predicts clinical outcome in multiple human cancers. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 1043–1048. doi: 10.1038/ng1861
- Chao, W. C., Kulkarni, K., Zhang, Z., Kong, E. H., and Barford, D. (2012). Structure of the mitotic checkpoint complex. *Nature* 484, 208–213. doi: 10.1038/nature10896
- Cheeseman, I. M., Chappie, J. S., Wilson-Kubalek, E. M., and Desai, A. (2006). The conserved KMN network constitutes the core microtubule-binding site of the kinetochore. *Cell* 127, 983–997. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.039
- Cheeseman, I. M., Hori, T., Fukagawa, T., and Desai, A. (2008). KNL1 and the CENP-H/I/K complex coordinately direct kinetochore assembly in vertebrates. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 19, 587–594. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E07-10-1051
- Chung, E., and Chen, R. H. (2002). Spindle checkpoint requires Mad1-bound and Mad1-free Mad2. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 13, 1501–1511. doi: 10.1091/mbc.02-01-0003
- Ciferri, C., De Luca, J., Monzani, S., Ferrari, K. J., Ristic, D., Wyman, C., et al. (2005). Architecture of the human Ndc80-Hec1 complex, a critical constituent of the outer kinetochore. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 29088–29095. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M504070200
- Ciferri, C., Pasqualato, S., Screpanti, E., Varetti, G., Santaguida, S., Dos Reis, G., et al. (2008). Implications for kinetochore-microtubule attachment from the structure of an engineered Ndc80 complex. *Cell* 133, 427–439. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.020
- Cino, E. A., Karttunen, M., and Choy, W.-Y. (2012). Effects of molecular crowding on the dynamics of intrinsically disordered proteins. *PLoS ONE* 7:e49876. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049876
- De Antoni, A., Pearson, C. G., Cimini, D., Canman, J. C., Sala, V., Nezi, L., et al. (2005). The Mad1/Mad2 complex as a template for Mad2 activation in the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 214–225. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005. 01.038
- DeLano, W. L. (2002). The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. San Carlos, CA: DeLano Scientific.
- Dosztanyi, Z., Chen, J., Dunker, A. K., Simon, I., and Tompa, P. (2006). Disorder and sequence repeats in hub proteins and their implications for network evolution. *J. Proteome Res.* 5, 2985–2995. doi: 10.1021/pr0601710
- Dunker, A. K., Brown, C. J., Lawson, J. D., Iakoucheva, L. M., and Obradoviće, Z. (2002). Intrinsic disorder and protein function. *Biochemistry* 41, 6573–6582. doi: 10.1021/bi012159+
- Dunker, A. K., Cortese, M. S., Romero, P., Iakoucheva, L. M., and Uversky, V. N. (2005). Flexible nets: the roles of intrinsic disorder in protein interaction networks. *FEBS J.* 272, 5129–5148. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005. 04948.x
- Dunker, A. K., Garner, E., Guilliot, S., Romero, P., Albrecht, K., Hart, J., et al. (1998). Protein disorder and the evolution of molecular recognition: theory, predictions and observations. *Pac. Symp. Biocomput.* 473–484.
- Dunker, A. K., Oldfield, C. J., Meng, J., Romero, P., Yang, J. Y., Chen, J. W., et al. (2008). The unfoldomics decade: an update on intrinsically disordered proteins. *BMC Genomics* 9:S1. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-S2-S1
- Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2002). Coupling of folding and binding for unstructured proteins. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 12, 54–60. doi: 10.1016/S0959-440X(02)00289-0
- Dyson, H. J., and Wright, P. E. (2005). Intrinsically unstructured proteins and their functions. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 6, 197–208. doi: 10.1038/nrm1589
- Elcock, A. H. (2010). Models of macromolecular crowding effects and the need for quantitative comparisons with experiment. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 20, 196–206. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2010.01.008

- Elowe, S. (2011). Bub1 and BubR1: at the interface between chromosome attachment and the spindle checkpoint. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 31, 3085–3093. doi: 10.1128/MCB.05326-11
- Fachinetti, D., Folco, H. D., Nechemia-Arbely, Y., Valente, L. P., Nguyen, K., Wong, A. J., et al. (2013). A two-step mechanism for epigenetic specification of centromere identity and function. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 15, 1056–1066. doi: 10.1038/ncb2805
- Fava, L. L., Kaulich, M., Nigg, E. A., and Santamaria, A. (2011). Probing the in vivo function of Mad1:C-Mad2 in the spindle assembly checkpoint. *EMBO J.* 30, 3322–3336. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.239
- Foley, E. A., and Kapoor, T. M. (2013). Microtubule attachment and spindle assembly checkpoint signalling at the kinetochore. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 14, 25–37. doi: 10.1038/nrm3494
- Funabiki, H., and Wynne, D. J. (2013). Making an effective switch at the kinetochore by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. *Chromosoma* 122, 135–158. doi: 10.1007/s00412-013-0401-5
- Ghongane, P., Kapanidou, M., Asghar, A., Elowe, S., and Bolanos-Garcia, V. M. (2014). The dynamic protein KNL1: a kinetochore rendezvous. J. Cell Sci. 127, 3415–3423. doi: 10.1242/jcs.149922
- Gsponer, J., and Babu, M. M. (2009). The rules of disorder or why disorder rules. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 99, 94–103. doi: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2009. 03.001
- Habu, T., Kim, S. H., Weinstein, J., and Matsumoto, T. (2002). Identification of a MAD2-binding protein, CMT2, and its role in mitosis. *EMBO J.* 21, 6419–6428. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf659
- Hancock, R. (2012). Structure of metaphase chromosomes: a role for effects of macromolecular crowding. *PLoS ONE* 7:e36045. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036045
- Hardwick, K. G., Johnston, R. C., Smith, D. L., and Murray, A. W. (2000). MAD3 encodes a novel component of the spindle checkpoint which interacts with Bub3p, CDC20p, and MAD2p. *J. Cell Biol.* 148, 871–882. doi: 10.1083/jcb.148.5.871
- Hardwick, K. G., and Murray, A. W. (1995). Mad1p, a phosphoprotein component of the spindle assembly checkpoint in budding yeast. J. Cell Biol. 131, 709–720. doi: 10.1083/jcb.131.3.709
- Hauf, S. (2013). The spindle assembly checkpoint: progress and persistent puzzles. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 41, 1755–1760. doi: 10.1042/BST20130240
- Haynes, C., Oldfield, C. J., Ji, F., Klitgord, N., Cusick, M. E., Radivojac, P., et al. (2006). Intrinsic disorder is a common feature of hub proteins from four eukaryotic interactomes. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 2:e100. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.0020100
- Hewitt, L., Tighe, A., Santaguida, S., White, A. M., Jones, C. D., Musacchio, A., et al. (2010). Sustained Mps1 activity is required in mitosis to recruit O-Mad2 to the Mad1-C-Mad2 core complex. J. Cell Biol. 190, 25–34. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201002133
- Hori, T., Shang, W. H., Takeuchi, K., and Fukagawa, T. (2013). The CCAN recruits CENP-A to the centromere and forms the structural core for kinetochore assembly. J. Cell Biol. 200, 45–60. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201210106
- Huang, J. N., Park, I., Ellingson, E., Littlepage, L. E., and Pellman, D. (2001). Activity of the APC(Cdh1) form of the anaphase-promoting complex persists until S phase and prevents the premature expression of Cdc20p. *J. Cell Biol.* 154, 85–94. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200102007
- Izawa, D., and Pines, J. (2012). Mad2 and the APC/C compete for the same site on Cdc20 to ensure proper chromosome segregation. J. Cell Biol. 199, 27–37. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201205170
- Janssen, A., Kops, G. J., and Medema, R. H. (2009). Elevating the frequency of chromosome missegregation as a strategy to kill tumor cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.* S. A. 106, 19108–19113. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0904343106
- Jia, L., Kim, S., and Yu, H. (2013). Tracking spindle checkpoint signals from kinetochores to APC/C. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 38, 302–311. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2013.03.004
- Joglekar, A. P., and DeLuca, J. G. (2009). Chromosome segregation: Ndc80 can carry the load. *Curr. Biol.* 19, R404–R407. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009. 04.014
- Jones, S., and Thornton, J. M. (1996). Principles of protein-protein interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 13–20. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.1.13
- Kapoor, T. M., Lampson, M. A., Hergert, P., Cameron, L., Cimini, D., Salmon, E. D., et al. (2006). Chromosomes can congress to the metaphase plate before biorientation. *Science* 311, 388–391. doi: 10.1126/science.1122142

- Kim, P. M., Lu, L. J., Xia, Y., and Gerstein, M. B. (2006a). Relating threedimensional structures to protein networks provides evolutionary insights. *Science* 314, 1938–1941. doi: 10.1126/science.1136174
- Kim, S., Sun, H., Ball, H. L., Wassmann, K., Luo, X., and Yu, H. (2010). Phosphorylation of the spindle checkpoint protein Mad2 regulates its conformational transition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 19772–19777. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1009000107
- Kim, S. C., Sprung, R., Chen, Y., Xu, Y., Ball, H., Pei, J., et al. (2006b). Substrate and functional diversity of lysine acetylation revealed by a proteomics survey. *Mol. Cell* 23, 607–618. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.06.026
- Kiyomitsu, T., Murakami, H., and Yanagida, M. (2011). Protein interaction domain mapping of human kinetochore protein Blinkin reveals a consensus motif for binding of spindle assembly checkpoint proteins Bub1 and BubR1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 31, 998–1011. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00815-10
- Kiyomitsu, T., Obuse, C., and Yanagida, M. (2007). Human Blinkin/AF15q14 is required for chromosome alignment and the mitotic checkpoint through direct interaction with Bub1 and BubR1. *Dev. Cell* 13, 663–676. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2007.09.005
- Krenn, V., Wehenkel, A., Li, X., Santaguida, S., and Musacchio, A. (2012). Structural analysis reveals features of the spindle checkpoint kinase Bub1-kinetochore subunit Knl1 interaction. J. Cell Biol. 196, 451–467. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201110013
- Kulukian, A., Han, J. S., and Cleveland, D. W. (2009). Unattached kinetochores catalyze production of an anaphase inhibitor that requires a Mad2 template to prime Cdc20 for BubR1 binding. *Dev. Cell.* 16, 105–117. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel. 2008.11.005
- Lad, L., Lichtsteiner, S., Hartman, J. J., Wood, K. W., and Sakowicz, R. (2009). Kinetic analysis of Mad2-Cdc20 formation: conformational changes in Mad2 are catalyzed by a C-Mad2-ligand complex. *Biochemistry* 48, 9503–9515. doi: 10.1021/bi900718e
- Larsen, N. A., and Harrison, S. C. (2004). Crystal structure of the spindle assembly checkpoint protein Bub3. J. Mol. Biol. 344, 885–892. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2004.09.094
- Larsen, N. A., and Harrison, S. C. (2007). Structural analysis of Bub3 interactions in the mitotic spindle checkpoint. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 1201–1206. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610358104
- Lau, D. T., and Murray, A. W. (2012). Mad2 and Mad3 cooperate to arrest budding yeast in mitosis. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 180–190. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.12.029
- Leduc, C., Padberg-Gehle, K., Varga, V., Helbing, D., Diez, S., and Howard, J. (2012). Molecular crowding creates traffic jams of kinesin motors on microtubules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 6100–6105. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1107281109
- Li, C., Zhu, Y., Wang, Y., and Chen, G. (2014). Molecular dynamics simulation on the conformational transition of the mad2 protein from the open to the closed state. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 5553–5569. doi: 10.3390/ijms15045553
- Liu, D., Vleugel, M., Backer, C. B., Hori, T., Fukagawa, T., Cheeseman, I. M., et al. (2010). Regulated targeting of protein phosphatase 1 to the outer kinetochore by KNL1 opposes Aurora B kinase. J. Cell Biol. 188, 809–920. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201001006
- London, N., Ceto, S., Ranish, J. A., and Biggins, S. (2012). Phosphoregulation of Spc105 by Mps1 and PP1 regulates Bub1 localization to kinetochores. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 900–906. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.052
- Luo, X., Fang, G., Coldiron, M., Lin, Y., Yu, H., Kirschner, M. W., et al. (2000). Structure of the MAD2 spindle assembly checkpoint protein and its interaction with CDC20. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 7, 224–229. doi: 10.1038/73338
- Luo, X., Tang, Z., Rizo, J., and Yu, H. (2002). The MAD2 spindle checkpoint protein undergoes similar major conformational changes upon binding to either MAD1 or CDC20. *Mol. Cell* 9, 59–71. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(01)00435-X
- Luo, X., Tang, Z., Xia, G., Wassmann, K., Matsumoto, T., Rizo, J., et al. (2004). The Mad2 spindle checkpoint protein has two distinct natively folded states. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 11, 338–345. doi: 10.1038/nsmb748
- Luo, X., and Yu, H. (2008). Protein metamorphosis: the two-state behavior of Mad2. Structure 16, 1616–1625. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2008.10.002
- Maciejowski, J., George, K. A., Terret, M. E., Zhang, C., Shokat, K. M., and Jallepalli, P. V. (2010). Mps1 directs the assembly of Cdc20 inhibitory complexes during interphase and mitosis to control M phase timing and spindle checkpoint signaling. *J. Cell Biol.* 190, 89–100. doi: 10.1083/jcb. 201001050
- Maldonado, M., and Kapoor, T. M. (2011). Constitutive Mad1 targeting to kinetochores uncouples checkpoint signalling from chromosome biorientation.

Nat. Cell Biol. 13, 475–482. Erratum in: Nat. Cell Biol. (2011) 13, 633. doi: 10.1038/ncb2223

- Mao, Y., Abrieu, A., and Cleveland, D. W. (2003). Activating and silencing the mitotic checkpoint through CENP-E-dependent activation/inactivation of BubR1. *Cell* 114, 87–98. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00475-6
- Mao, Y. S., Zhang, B., and Spector, D. L. (2011). Biogenesis and function of nuclear bodies. *Trends Genet*. 27, 295–306. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2011.05.006
- Mapelli, M., Massimiliano, L., Santaguida, S., and Musacchio, A. (2007). The Mad2 conformational dimer: structure and implications for the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Cell* 131, 730–743. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.049
- McEwen, B. F., Chan, G. K., Zubrowski, B., Savoian, M. S., Sauer, M. T., and Yen, T. J. (2001). CENP-E is essential for reliable bioriented spindle attachment, but chromosome alignment can be achieved via redundant mechanisms in mammalian cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 12, 2776–2789. doi: 10.1091/mbc.12.9.2776
- McGuffee, S. R., and Elcock, A. H. (2010). Diffusion, crowding and protein stability in a dynamic molecular model of the bacterial cytoplasm. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 6:e1000694. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000694
- Mendiburo, M. J., Padeken, J., Fulop, S., Schepers, A., and Heun, P. (2011). Drosophila CENH3 is sufficient for centromere formation. *Science* 334, 686–690. doi: 10.1126/science.1206880
- Meyer, R. E., Kim, S., Obeso, D., Straight, P. D., Winey, M., and Dawson, D. S. (2013). Mps1 and Ipl1/Aurora B act sequentially to correctly orient chromosomes on the meiotic spindle of budding yeast. *Science* 339, 1071–1074. doi: 10.1126/science.1232518
- Miermont, A., Waharte, F., Hu, S., McClean, M. N., Bottani, S., Léon, S., et al. (2013). Severe osmotic compression triggers a slowdown of intracellular signaling, which can be explained by molecular crowding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 5725–5730. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215367110
- Morrow, C. J., Tighe, A., Johnson, V. L., Scott, M. I., Ditchfield, C., and Taylor, S. S. (2005). BUB1 and aurora B cooperate to maintain BubR1-mediated inhibition of APC/CCDC20. J. Cell Sci. 118, 3639–3652. doi: 10.1242/ jcs.02487
- Musacchio, A. (2011). Spindle assembly checkpoint: the third decade. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 366, 3595–3604. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0072
- Musacchio, A., and Salmon, E. D. (2007). The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8, 379–393. doi: 10.1038/nrm2163
- Nezi, L., Rancati, G., De Antoni, A., Pasqualato, S., Piatti, S., and Musacchio, A. (2006). Accumulation of Mad2-Cdc20 complex during spindle checkpoint activation requires binding of open and closed conformers of Mad2 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell. Biol. 174, 39–51. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200602109
- Nilsson, J., Yekezare, M., Minshull, J., and Pines, J. (2008). The APC/C maintains the spindle assembly checkpoint by targeting Cdc20 for destruction. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 10, 1411–1420. doi: 10.1038/ncb1799
- Palmer, D. K., O'Day, K., Trong, H. L., Charbonneau, H., and Margolis, R. L. (1991). Purification of the centromerespecific protein CENP-A and demonstration that it is a distinctive histone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 3734–3738.
- Pawson, T., and Nash, P. (2003). Assembly of cell regulatory systems through protein interaction domains. *Science* 300, 445–452. doi: 10.1126/science.1083653
- Perpelescu, M., and Fukagawa, T. (2011). The ABCs of CENPs. *Chromosoma* 120, 425–446. doi: 10.1007/s00412-011-0330-0
- Petrovic, A., Mosalaganti, S., Keller, J., Mattiuzzo, M., Overlack, K., Krenn, V., et al. (2014). Modular assembly of RWD domains on the Mis12 complex underlies outer kinetochore organization. *Mol. Cell* 53, 591–605. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.01.019
- Pfleger, C. M., and Kirschner, M. W. (2000). The KEN box: an APC recognition signal distinct from the D box targeted by Cdh1. *Genes Dev.* 14, 655–665.
- Primorac, I., Weir, J. R., Chiroli, E., Gross, F., Hoffmann, I., van Gerwen, S., et al. (2013). Bub3 reads phosphorylated MELT repeats to promote spindle assembly checkpoint signaling. *Elife* 2:e01030. doi: 10.7554/eLife.01030
- Przewłoka, M. R., and Glover, D. M. (2009). The kinetochore and the centromere: a working long distance relationship. Annu. Rev. Genet. 43, 439–465. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-genet-102108-134310
- Przewłoka, M. R., Venkei, Z., Bolanos-Garcia, V. M., Debski, J., Dadlez, M., and Glover, D. M. (2011). CENP-C is a structural platform for kinetochore assembly. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 399–405. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.02.005
- Puntervoll, P., Linding, R., Gemünd, C., Chabanis-Davidson, S., Mattingsdal, M., Cameron, S., et al. (2003). ELM server: a new resource for investigating short functional sites in modular eukaryotic proteins. *Nucleic. Acids Res.* 31, 3625–3630. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg545

- Putkey, F. R., Cramer, T., Morphew, M. K., Silk, A. D., Johnson, R. S., McIntosh, J. R., et al. (2002). Unstable kinetochore-microtubule capture and chromosomal instability following deletion of CENP-E. *Dev. Cell* 3, 351–365. doi: 10.1016/ S1534-5807(02)00255-1
- Reis, A., Levasseur, M., Chang, H. Y., Elliott, D. J., and Jones, K. T. (2006). The CRY box: a second APCcdh1-dependent degron in mammalian cdc20. *EMBO Rep.* 7, 1040–1045. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400772
- Rosenberg, J. S., Cross, F. R., and Funabiki, H. (2011). KNL1/Spc105 recruits PP1 to silence the spindle assembly checkpoint. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 942–947. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.011
- Santaguida, S., and Musacchio, A. (2009). The life and miracles of kinetochores. *EMBO J.* 28, 2511–2531. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.173
- Sasahara, K., McPhie, P., and Minton, A. P. (2003). Effect of dextran on protein stability and conformation attributed to macromolecular crowding. J. Mol. Biol. 326, 1227–1237. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(02)01443-2
- Schaar, B. T., Chan, G. K., Maddox, P., Salmon, E. D., and Yen, T. J. (1997). CENP-E function at kinetochores is essential for chromosome alignment. J. Cell Biol. 139, 1373–1382. doi: 10.1083/jcb.139.6.1373
- Schlessinger, A., Liu, J., and Rost, B. (2007). Natively unstructured loops differ from other loops. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 3:e140. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030140
- Schuyler, S. C., Wu, Y. F., and Kuan, V. J. (2012). The Mad1-Mad2 balancing act—a damaged spindle checkpoint in chromosome instability and cancer. J Cell Sci. 125, 4197–4206. doi: 10.1242/jcs.107037
- Schvartzman, J. M., Duijf, P. H., Sotillo, R., Coker, C., and Benezra, R. (2011). Mad2 is a critical mediator of the chromosome instability observed upon Rb and p53 pathway inhibition. *Cancer Cell* 19, 701–714. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011. 04.017
- Sedgwick, G. G., Hayward, D. G., Di Fiore, B., Pardo, M., Yu, L., Pines, J., et al. (2013). Mechanisms controlling the temporal degradation of Nek2A and Kif18A by the APC/C-Cdc20 complex. *EMBO J.* 32, 303–314. doi: 10.1038/emboj. 2012.335
- Seet, B. T., Dikic, I., Zhou, M. M., and Pawson, T. (2006). Reading protein modifications with interaction domains. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 7, 473–483. doi: 10.1038/nrm1960
- Shepperd, L. A., Meadows, J. C., Sochaj, A. M., Lancaster, T. C., Zou, J., Buttrick, G. J., et al. (2012). Phosphodependent recruitment of Bub1 and Bub3 to Spc7/KNL1 by Mph1 kinase maintains the spindle checkpoint. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 891–899. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.051
- Sickmeier, M., Hamilton, J. A., LeGall, T., Vacic, V., Cortese, M. S., Tantos, A., et al. (2007). DisProt: the database of disordered proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, D786–D793. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl893
- Simorellis, A. K., and Flynn, P. F. (2006). Fast local backbone dynamics of encapsulated ubiquitin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 9580–9581. doi: 10.1021/ja061705p
- Sironi, L., Mapelli, M., Knapp, S., De Antoni, A., Jeang, K. T., and Musacchio, A. (2002). Crystal structure of the tetrameric Mad1-Mad2 core complex: implications of a 'safety belt' binding mechanism for the spindle checkpoint. *EMBO J.* 21, 2496–2506. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.10.2496
- Sironi, L., Melixetian, M., Faretta, M., Prosperini, E., Helin, K., and Musacchio, A. (2001). Mad2 binding to Mad1 and Cdc20, rather than oligomerization, is required for the spindle checkpoint. *EMBO J.* 20, 6371–6382. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.22.6371
- Sopko, R., Huang, D., Preston, N., Chua, G., Papp, B., Kafadar, K., et al. (2006). Mapping pathways and phenotypes by systematic gene overexpression. *Mol. Cell* 21, 319–330. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.12.011
- Sotillo, R., Hernando, E., Díaz-Rodríguez, E., Teruya-Feldstein, J., Cordón-Cardo, C., Lowe, S. W., et al. (2007). Mad2 overexpression promotes aneuploidy and tumorigenesis in mice. *Cancer Cell* 11, 9–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2006.10.019
- Sotillo, R., Schvartzman, J. M., Socci, N. D., and Benezra, R. (2010). Mad2induced chromosome instability leads to lung tumour relapse after oncogene withdrawal. *Nature* 464, 436–440. doi: 10.1038/nature08803
- Stoler, S., Keith, K. C., Curnick, K. E., and Fitzgerald-Hayes, M. (1995). A mutation in CSE4, an essential gene encoding a novel chromatin-associated protein in yeast, causes chromosome nondisjunction and cell cycle arrest at mitosis. *Genes Dev.* 9, 573–586. doi: 10.1101/gad.9.5.573
- Tachiwana, H., Kagawa, W., Shiga, T., Osakabe, A., Miya, Y., Saito, K., et al. (2011). Crystal structure of the human centromeric nucleosome containing CENP-A. *Nature* 476, 232–235. doi: 10.1038/nature10258
- Tanaka, K. (2013). Regulatory mechanisms of kinetochore-microtubule interaction in mitosis. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 70, 559–579. doi: 10.1007/s00018-012-1057-7

- Tang, Z., Bharadwaj, R., Li, B., and Yu, H. (2001). Mad2-independent inhibition of APC^{Cdc20} by the mitotic checkpoint protein BubR1. Dev. Cell 1, 227–237. doi: 10.1016/S1534-5807(01)00019-3
- Tang, Z., Shu, H., Oncel, D., Chen, S., and Yu, H. (2004). Phosphorylation of CDC20 by Bub1 provides a catalytic mechanism for APC/C inhibition by the spindle checkpoint. *Mol. Cell* 16, 387–397. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2004. 09.031
- Tanudji, M., Shoemaker, J., L'Italien, L., Russell, L., Chin, G., and Schebye, X. M. (2004). Gene silencing of CENP-E by small interfering RNA in HeLa cells leads to missegregation of chromosomes after a mitotic delay. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 15, 3771–3781. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E03-07-0482
- Tipton, A. R., Ji, W., Sturt-Gillespie, B., Bekier, M. E. 2nd., Wang, K., Taylor, W. R., et al. (2013). Monopolar spindle 1 (MPS1) kinase promotes production of closed MAD2 (C-MAD2) conformer and assembly of the mitotic checkpoint complex. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 35149–35158. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.522375
- Vanoosthuyse, V., and Hardwick, K. G. (2005). Bub1 and the multilayered inhibition of CDC20-APC/C in mitosis. *Trends Cell Biol.* 15, 231–233. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2005.03.003
- Vavouri, T., Semple, J. I., Garcia-Verdugo, R., and Lehner, B. (2009). Intrinsic protein disorder and interaction promiscuity are widely associated with dosage sensitivity. *Cell* 138, 198–208. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.029
- Venkei, Z., Przewloka, M. R., Ladak, Y., Albadri, S., Sossick, A., Juhasz, G., et al. (2012). Spatiotemporal dynamics of Spc105 regulates the assembly of the Drosophila kinetochore. *Open Biol.* 2, 110032. doi: 10.1098/rsob. 110032
- Vink, M., Simonetta, M., Transidico, P., Ferrari, K., Mapelli, M., De Antoni, A., et al. (2006). *In vitro* FRAP identifies the minimal requirements for Mad2 kinetochore dynamics. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 755–766. doi: 10.1016/j.cub. 2006.03.057
- Wan, J., Subramonian, D., and Zhang, X. D. (2012). SUMOylation in control of accurate chromosome segregation during mitosis. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 13, 467–481. doi: 10.2174/138920312802430563
- Wan, X., O'Quinn, R. P., Pierce, H. L., Joglekar, A. P., Gall, W. E., DeLuca, J. G., et al. (2009). Protein architecture of the human kinetochore microtubule attachment site. *Cell* 137, 672–684. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.035
- Wang, Y., Li, C., and Pielak, G. J. (2010). Effects of proteins on protein diffusion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 9392–9397. doi: 10.1021/ja102296k
- Wang, Y., Sarkar, M., Smith, A. E., Krois, A. S., and Pielak, G. J. (2012). Macromolecular crowding and protein stability. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 16614–16618. doi: 10.1021/ja305300m
- Warren, C. D., Brady, D. M., Johnston, R. C., Hanna, J. S., Hardwick, K. G., and Spencer, F. A. (2002). Distinct chromosome segregation roles for spindle checkpoint proteins. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 13, 3029–3041. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E02-04-0203
- Wei, R. R., Al-Bassam, J., and Harrison, S. C. (2007). The Ndc80/HEC1 complex is a contact point for kinetochore-microtubule attachment. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 54–55. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1186
- Wei, R. R., Sorger, P. K., and Harrison, S. C. (2005). Molecular organization of the Ndc80 complex, an essential kinetochore component. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 102, 5363–5367. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0501168102
- Weiss, E., and Winey, M. (1996). The Saccharomyces cerevisiae spindle pole body duplication gene MPS1 is part of a mitotic checkpoint. J. Cell Biol. 132, 111–123. doi: 10.1083/jcb.132.1.111

- Welcker, M., and Clurman, B. E. (2008). FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8, 83–93. doi: 10.1038/nrc2290
- Westhorpe, F. G., and Straight, A. F. (2013). Functions of the centromere and kinetochore in chromosome segregation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 25, 334–340. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2013.02.001
- Wilson, D. K., Cerna, D., and Chew, E. (2005). The 1.1-angstrom structure of the spindle checkpoint protein Bub3p reveals functional regions. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 13944–13951. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M412919200
- Winey, M., and Huneycutt, B. J. (2002). Centrosomes and checkpoints: the MPS1 family of kinases. Oncogene 21, 6161–6169. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205712
- Xia, G., Luo, X., Habu, T., Rizo, J., Matsumoto, T., and Yu, H. (2004). Conformation-specific binding of p31(comet) antagonizes the function of Mad2 in the spindle checkpoint. *EMBO J.* 23, 3133–3143. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj. 7600322
- Yang, M., Li, B., Liu, C. J., Tomchick, D. R., Machius, M., Rizo, J., et al. (2008). Insights into mad2 regulation in the spindle checkpoint revealed by the crystal structure of the symmetric mad2 dimer. *PLoS Biol.* 6:e50. doi: 10.1371/journal. pbio.0060050
- Yang, M., Li, B., Tomchick, D. R., Machius, M., Rizo, J., Yu, H., et al. (2007). p31comet blocks Mad2 activation through structural mimicry. *Cell* 131, 744–755. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.048
- Yao, X., Abrieu, A., Zheng, Y., Sullivan, K. F., and Cleveland, D. W. (2000). CENP-E forms a link between attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochores and the mitotic checkpoint. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2, 484–491. doi: 10.1038/ 35019518
- Yao, Y., and Dai, W. (2012). Mitotic checkpoint control and chromatin remodeling. Front. Biosci. 17, 976–983. doi: 10.2741/3968
- Zhang, J., Wan, L., Dai, X., Sun, Y., and Wei, W. (2014). Functional characterization of Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C) E3 ubiquitin ligases in tumorigenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1845, 277–293. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan. 2014.02.001
- Zhou, W., Wei, W., and Sun, Y. (2013). Genetically engineered mouse models for functional studies of SKP1-CUL1-F-box-protein (SCF) E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Cell Res.* 23, 599–619. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.44

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 30 June 2014; accepted: 07 September 2014; published online: 29 September 2014.

Citation: Lee S and Bolanos-Garcia VM (2014) The dynamics of signal amplification by macromolecular assemblies for the control of chromosome segregation. Front. Physiol. 5:368. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2014.00368

This article was submitted to Systems Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology.

Copyright © 2014 Lee and Bolanos-Garcia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.