

Molybdenum evidence for expansive sulfidic water masses in ~750Ma oceans

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Dahl, Tais W., Donald E. Canfield, Minik T. Rosing, Robert E. Frei, Gwyneth W. Gordon, Andrew H. Knoll, and Ariel D. Anbar. 2011. "Molybdenum Evidence for Expansive Sulfidic Water Masses in ~750Ma Oceans." Earth and Planetary Science Letters 311, no. 3-4: 264–274.
Published Version	doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.09.016
Accessed	February 16, 2015 11:39:17 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:13041339
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#OAP

(Article begins on next page)

1	Molybdenum evidence for expansive sulfidic water masses
2	$1n \sim 750$ Ma oceans
3	
4	T. W. Dahl ^{1, 2,} *, D. E. Canfield ^{1,2} , M. T. Rosing ^{1,3} , R. Frei ^{1,3} , G. W. Gordon ⁴ , A. H.
5	Knoll ⁵ , A. D. Anbar ⁴
6	
7	¹ Nordic Center for Earth Evolution (NordCEE)
8	² University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark
9	³ University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5-7, DK-1350 Copenhagen K,, Denmark
10	⁴ School of Earth & Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-
11	1404, USA
12	⁵ Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
13	Massachusetts 02138, USA
14	
15	
16	Final Minor Revisions to accepted version
17	*E-Mail: tdahl@biology.sdu.dk
18	
19	

20 Abstract

21 The Ediacaran appearance of large animals, including motile bilaterians, is commonly hypothesized to reflect a physiologically enabling increase in atmospheric 22 23 and oceanic oxygen abundances (pO_2) . To date, direct evidence for low oxygen in 24 pre-Ediacaran oceans has focused on chemical signatures in the rock record that 25 reflect conditions in local basins, but this approach is both biased to constrain only 26 shallower basins and statistically limited when we seek to follow the evolution of 27 mean ocean chemical state through time. Because the abundance and isotopic 28 composition of molybdenum (Mo) in organic-rich euxinic sediments can vary in 29 response to changes in global redox conditions, Mo geochemistry provides 30 independent constraints on the global evolution of well-oxygenated environments. 31 Here, we establish a theoretical framework to access global marine Mo cycle in the 32 past from the abundance and isotope composition of ancient seawater. Further, we 33 investigate the ~750 Ma Walcott Member of the Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, 34 which accumulated in a rift basin with open connection to the ocean. Iron speciation 35 data from upper Walcott shales indicate that local bottom waters were anoxic and 36 sulfidic, consistent with their high organic content (up to 20 wt%). Similar facies in 37 Phanerozoic successions contain high concentrations of redox-sensitive metals, but 38 in the Walcott Member, abundances of Mo and U, as well as Mo/TOC (~ 0.5 ppm/wt%) are low. δ^{98} Mo values also fall well below modern equivalents 39 40 (0.99±0.13‰ versus ~2.35‰ today). These signatures are consistent with model 41 predictions where sulfidic waters cover ~1-4% of the global continental shelf area, 42 corresponding to a ~400-800 fold increase compared to the modern ocean.

43	Therefore, our results suggest globally expansive sulfidic water masses in mid-
44	Neoproterozoic oceans, bridging a nearly 700 million-year gap in previous Mo data.
45	We propose that anoxic and sulfidic (euxinic) conditions governed Mo cycling in the
46	oceans even as ferruginous subsurface waters re-appeared 800-750 Ma, and we
47	interpret this anoxic ocean state to reflect a markedly lower atmospheric and
48	oceanic O_2 level, consistent with the hypothesis that pO_2 acted as an evolutionary
49	barrier to the emergence of large motile bilaterian animals prior to the Ediacaran
50	Period.
51	Keywords: Earth history, Mo isotopes, stable isotope fractionation, molybdenum, paleo-

redox, Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, Neoproterozoic, black shales, anoxic environments, 52 53 euxinia.

54

55 **1** Introduction

56 Most evidence suggests that the atmosphere contained essentially no O_2 prior to 57 the so-called "Great Oxidation Event" (GOE) 2.45-2.3 Ga [1, 2]. A variety of chemical 58 proxies signal a rise in atmospheric O_2 concentrations at this time [2, 3], although to 59 levels which probably remained well below present concentrations [4, 5]. Current models 60 propose higher atmospheric oxygen levels promoted increased continental weathering of 61 sulfide to sulfate, increasing the flux of sulfate to the ocean and stimulating marine 62 sulfide production by sulfate reduction in low oxygen bottom waters. Increased sulfide 63 production led to the expansion of sulfide into broad regions of the global ocean [6], 64 perhaps especially in oxygen-minimum zone (OMZ) settings [7]. Mo chemistry provides 65 a potentially powerful way of evaluating the history of euxinic water masses, because Mo reactivity and Mo burial rate dramatically increase in the presence of H_2S . However,

available analyses remain limited and no data have been reported for rocks deposited
between 1400 and 663 Ma.

69 Black shales of the ca. 800-750 Ma Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, provide an 70 opportunity to bridge this gap, allowing insight into a critical time in Earth history during 71 or just before the onset of major Neoproterozoic cooling [8]. Chuar deposition coincides 72 with an expansion in the diversity of fossil protists [9] and also corresponds to some 73 molecular clock estimates for the initial divergence of animals from their closest 74 protozoan sister groups [10]. Evidence presented here suggests that during upper Walcott 75 time the marine Mo cycle was controlled by widespread sulfidic water masses that would 76 have persisted under much lower marine pO_2 than today. These results support the 77 hypothesis that O₂ remained low in the oceans and atmosphere at times before 78 macroscopic, motile bilaterian animals evolved in marine ecosystems.

79

80 **1.1 Molybdenum as a paleoenvironmental proxy for H₂S**

Molybdenum is a redox sensitive element: it enters the oceans mainly as dissolved MoO₄²⁻, and has done so for billions of years since the onset of oxidative weathering [11]. The accumulation of Mo in the modern oceans is largely dictated by the high solubility of the MoO_4^{2-} species and its slow removal rate in the presence of dissolved O₂. The slow rate of oxic removal is a result of the very low reactivity of molybdate, with removal taking place as a rare Mo species (e.g. polynuclear $Mo_6O_{19}^{2-}$ [12]) in seawater adsorb onto precipitating Fe-Mn-oxides [13, 14]. In contrast, molybdate reacts to form

88 particle-reactive oxythiomolybdates in the presence of >10 μ M aqueous H₂S [15] and is 89 actively scavenged onto particulate matter in anoxic and sulfidic (= euxinic) waters [16]. 90 The Mo accumulation rate in sulfidic settings (whether sulfide is retained in pore 91 waters or in the water column) is 100-1000 fold higher than in present day oxic ocean 92 waters (see [17] and references therein). Today, 30-50% of oceanic Mo removal occurs 93 into sediments with sulfidic pore fluids and mildly reducing overlying waters typical of 94 some OMZs. Due to the vast areas of oxic seafloor in the modern deep oceans, oxic 95 ferromanganese crusts remove 35-50% of the oceanic input, with the remaining 5-15% 96 buried under highly sulfidic water columns (e.g. Cariaco Basin, Baltic Sea, Black Sea, 97 Namibian Shelf). Because oxic conditions dominate in the ocean today, Mo removal is 98 slow, resulting in relatively high Mo concentrations in seawater (105 nM, greater than 99 any other transition metal). Accordingly, Mo has a long residence time in the modern 100 ocean (τ_{M_0} ~800 kyrs; [18]) relative to ocean mixing time scales (1.5 kyr, [19]). This 101 means that Mo in any marine basin tracks average global conditions and would have done 102 so in the past provided contemporaneous oceans were also well mixed with respect to 103 molybdenum.

Molybdenum accumulates with organic matter in sediments of modern marine euxinic basins. The role of organic matter in euxinic Mo burial is currently debated [20]. Organic matter may add ballast to Mo adsorbed onto FeS particles and allow Mo transport out of the water column, Mo-S compounds may also be deposited with sulfate reducing bacteria [21-23], or both. In any case, sedimentary Mo-TOC correlations have been used to infer Mo concentrations in local basins [24]. This is because low Mo/TOC is characteristic of sediments underlying stagnant, sulfidic waters where Mo removal is 111 nearly complete (Black Sea) and Mo recharge is slow. In contrast, high Mo/TOC is

112 associated with rapid water exchange with the ocean and significant water column sulfide

113 (e.g. Namibian shelf, modern Saanich Inlet and the Cariaco Basin). Maximum Mo/TOC

114 values at any given time are set by the Mo concentration of global seawater at the time of

115 deposition. Available sedimentary Mo/TOC ratios suggest that the average value [17] has

116 increased through Earth history in concordance with increasing seawater Mo

117 concentrations and δ^{98} Mo in more oxygenated oceans [5].

118 Because its residence time is long relative to modern ocean mixing time scales,

119 Mo has a uniform isotopic composition in seawater (SW) which is δ^{98} Mo¹ = 2.3±0.1‰ in

120 the present oceans. This value is isotopically heavier than the $\sim 0.7\%$ value of the input to

121 the oceans, because oxic (OX) and other non-euxinic sediments preferentially scavenge

122 light Mo isotopes with a large fractionation from the seawater value (e.g. $\Delta_{OX-SW} = -$

123 2.9‰ [13, 14]). Conversely, little or no isotope fractionation is expressed during Mo

124 removal into highly euxinic sediments (EUX) with $H_2S > 10 \mu M$ [16, 25]. Therefore,

125 δ^{98} Mo in such sediments can reflect seawater composition. At intermediate redox

126 conditions, where <u>Sulfide Accumulates at Depth inside the sediments (SAD)</u>, Mo is

127 retained with an isotopic offset from seawater of roughly $\Delta_{SAD-SW} \sim -0.7\%$ [26].

128 Consequently, δ^{98} Mo_{SW} also reflects the balance between how much Mo is buried in

129 highly euxinic (EUX, no fractionation) versus Mo buried in oxic (OX and SAD,

130 fractionated) bottom waters. Oxygenated oceans have high $\delta^{98}Mo_{SW}$, while low $\delta^{98}Mo_{SW}$

131 values approaching the oceanic input value imply expanded oceanic euxinia. A major

132 drop in seawater Mo concentrations accompanies the latter.

133

134 **1.2 Model: Relating Mo and \delta^{98}Mo_{SW} in ancient seawater to euxinic seafloors**

135 We provide a framework to quantify the extent of euxinic seafloor from models of 136 the ancient Mo cycle based on our current understanding of how the modern Mo cycle 137 works. By definition and in contrast to local redox indicators, we cannot directly calibrate 138 global proxies in modern local environments (e.g. lakes). Instead, we rely on quantitative 139 modeling of the global marine Mo cycle and assume that known removal processes also 140 operated in the past. Here, we illustrate the steady-state behavior of the marine Mo cycle 141 by a 1-box model, where riverine and hydrothermal sources balance removal into oxic 142 (OX), mildly reducing (SAD) and euxinic (EUX) sinks:

143

144	equation 1	$dMo/dt = F_{sources} - F_{sinks}$
145	equation 2	$F_{SINKS} = F_{OX} + F_{SAD} + F_{EUX}$
146		
147	Today, Mo is sourced	l into the ocean mainly as dissolved MoO_4^{2-} by rivers (1.8 $\cdot 10^8$
148	moles yr ⁻¹) and hydro	thermal sources $(0.2 \cdot 10^8 \text{ moles yr}^{-1})$ and the export pathways are
149	grouped into three dis	stinct redox environments, as outlined above [27, 28].
150		
151	The model ca	n be used to constrain the areal extent of each of these redox
152	environments. We sca	ale the removal fluxes in each sink to their respective seafloor
153	coverage (A _i):	

154

 $^{^{1}\}delta^{98}Mo = [(^{98}Mo/^{95}Mo)_{sample}/(^{98}Mo/^{95}Mo)_{standard} - 1] \cdot 1000$. There is still no certified standard, so results are given relative to our in-house ICP standard Mo solution (Alfa Aesar Specpure Lot# 802309E).

155 equation 3 $F_i = A_i r_i$, where $i = OX$, SAD), or EUX.
---	------------

156

157	The burial rates in oxic sediments, sulfidic sediments and euxinic settings must be
158	self-regulated, otherwise the oceanic Mo inventory would either vanish or accumulate
159	infinitely when sinks expand or shrink. We adopt a self-regulated feedback between
160	removal fluxes (F) and marine Mo inventory (M), $F_i \sim M^{y_i}$. The end member cases $y_i =$
161	1 and $y_i = 0$ correspond, first, to a direct feedback and, second, to the unrealistic case of
162	no self-regulation, respectively. ² Each redox environment may operate with its own
163	response function, $y_i > 0$. However, the direct feedback model, $y = 1$, is attractive because
164	it matches expectations, if removal rates were limited only by diffusive or advective
165	transport into the sulfidic waters. In this case, the burial rate in the i th sink is proportional
166	to oceanic Mo inventory:
167	
168	equation 4 $r_i = r_{i,today} \cdot Mo/Mo_{today}$
169	
170	A mathematical derivation of the solution can be found in the supplementary online
171	material (section A2.2.2.1).
172	

173 *1.2.1 Mo concentration and residence time for the direct feedback model, y =1:*

² A stronger feedback y>1 is possible if seawater Mo concentration limits Mo export in more than one manner. For example, if both the rate of Mo supply and particle rain rate (controlled by primary production) is decreasing due to Mo limited supply [29] A.D. Anbar, A.H. Knoll, Proterozoic ocean chemistry and evolution: A bioinorganic bridge?, Science 297(2002) 1137-1142. Here, we adopt the simplest feedback with a direct response between fluxes and oceanic inventory, y = 1, and assume the same feedback operates today. Even this fast removal feedback, we will see, is not enough to drive open ocean Mo concentrations below the thresholds where nitrogen fixation can limit global primary production, and thus y>1 becomes hard to envision.

174	An important feature of the direct feedback model is that all reasonable choices of
175	parameter values with euxinic shelves (<8% seafloor) lead to long marine residence time
176	scales (>30,000 years) compared to ocean mixing time scales (~1,500 yr [19]). Hence,
177	Mo should be well mixed in the open ocean under these circumstances even if mixing by
178	global ocean circulation proceeded at a rate ~ 10 times slower than today. We note that
179	burial rates in the deep ocean are greatly exaggerated in this model, since we have scaled
180	mean accumulation rates to data obtained from shelf environments, where bulk
181	sedimentation rates are much greater. Thus, Mo drawdown in each sink is exaggerated,
182	leading us to overestimate Mo drawdown upon expansive euxinic seafloor, and
183	conversely to underestimate euxinic coverage for a given Mo drawdown. The true Mo
184	residence time would actually be longer than predicted by our simplistic model.
185	
186	The isotopic composition of Mo provides independent constraints on the global
187	Mo budget. The steady state solution to isotopic mass balance in the global ocean reads:
188	
189	$equation 5 \qquad \qquad \delta_{IN} = f_{OX} \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{OX} \right) + f_{SAD} \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) + \left(1 - f_{SAD} - f_{OX} \right) \left(\delta_{SW} - \Delta_{SAD} \right) \right)$
190	$\Delta_{ m EUX}$)
191	
192	where f_{OX} and f_{SAD} is the fraction of total Mo removed into oxic and SAD settings,
193	respectively. δ_{IN} is the isotopic composition of oceanic input, δ_{SW} is the isotopic
194	composition of contemporaneous seawater, Δ_i are fractionations into their respective
195	sinks.
196	

197	Quantitative assessments depend on how well we understand fractionation in the
198	contemporaneous ocean and the δ^{98} Mo of the oceanic input. The full range of possible
199	oceanic steady states can be explored if we keep the oceanic input flux constant at
200	modern rates and assume fractionation into major sinks similar to modern values.
201	Riverine inputs discharge 90% of all Mo [30] with an average δ^{98} Mo of ~0.7‰ [31-33].
202	This is indistinguishable from average molybdenite deposits, at $0.4\pm0.5\%$, which we
203	argue resemble the average crustal composition (further discussion in section below).
204	Therefore, we assume that the average δ^{98} Mo of oceanic input on > 1 Myr time scale was
205	the same as today. Model results for seawater Mo inventory and $\delta^{98} \text{Mo}$ at various degrees
206	of expansive euxinia are summarized in Table 1.
207	
208	1.3 Mo isotope fractionation on land and in rivers
209	
210	In early studies, the crustal average isotopic composition of Mo was reported at $\sim 0\%$
211	based on two granite samples [34, 35], and this has been taken as the canonical value ever
212	since. If correct, the isotopic offset between crust and dissolved Mo in rivers implies that
213	there is an additional reservoir of isotopically fractionated Mo in nature. Here, we offer a
214	simpler explanation consistent with current observations and advocate that average
215	crustal composition is, indeed, very close to average dissolved load in rivers.
216	
217	Crustal samples display significant variability with the two reported granite samples
218	representing the lighter side of the crustal distribution around ~ 0 ‰, with subduction-
210	related volcanics at higher values $0.9+0.3$ % [34]. We suggest that average crustal Mo

220	isotope composition is more accurately (but not precisely) represented by the most
221	concentrated Mo-phase in the crust, namely molybdenite (a view also held by [35]). We
222	argue that molybdenite probably formed under conditions with efficient Mo accumulation
223	and, likely, wholesale Mo capture and little or no net isotope fractionation relative to its
224	crustal source. Molybdenites carry δ^{98} Mo compositions 0.36±0.54‰, 1-standard
225	deviation of the mean, $n=86$ (Figure 1) with some of the variability reflecting Rayleigh
226	distillation during vapor transport and precipitation in single molybdenite occurrences
227	[36].

is more accurately (but not measured), any control by the most

228

220

An alternate hypothesis states that the riverine Mo has a higher δ^{98} Mo than crustal rocks 229 230 supply to rivers. Such isotope fractionation between crustal rocks and dissolved Mo in 231 rivers has been proposed to govern major changes in the isotopic composition of the 232 oceanic input over geological time [31, 32]. Mo bound to Fe-oxyhydroxide particles in 233 rivers and soils is argued to hold the lighter Mo isotopes [31, 32]. However, there are two 234 issues to consider before such a hypothesis can be accepted: 1) Is the reservoir large 235 enough? 2) Is the isotope composition of the fractionated sink low enough to account for 236 the apparent 0.7‰ offset between crust and rivers?

237

In world rivers, the particulate fraction (presumably carrying lower δ^{98} Mo than dissolved Mo) is a minor constituent accounting for less than 5% of the total oceanic inputs [4-6% [37]; 1-2% [31]]. If the average crustal value was 0‰, then the particulates associated with river flux should be fractionated 12‰ - approximately ten-fold higher than can be generated by any known process [14, 38]. Observations are limited, but the Mo adsorbed onto Fe precipitates and colloidal Mo in one Icelandic river is fractionated by -0.6‰ and
-0.8‰ relative to dissolved Mo, respectively [32]. At the observed fractionation, these
particles could shift the average crustal value only -0.05‰ relative to the dissolved
riverine input.

247

The amount of Mo retained in soils is only ~7% of the dissolved inventory in the oceans 248 (Estimate: $[Mo]_{soil} = 3 \text{ ppm}, \rho_{soil} = 1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, average global soil thickness = 1.5 m). 249 250 Hence, the residence time of Mo in modern soils is only ~60,000 years, and likely was 251 much shorter in the Neoproterozoic, so variations in the magnitude and isotopic 252 composition of this reservoir could only be observed in marine sediments on similar or 253 shorter time scales. The Walcott Member of the Chuar Group was deposited over > 1million years [39], so we are looking at the average state of many oceanic Mo residence 254 255 times, where soil-modulated effects vanish. Over long time scales, average oceanic input 256 will match the δ^{98} Mo of average crust with small variability around crustal average, but 257 substantial variability ($\sim 1.5\%$) could exist between individual rivers [31]. If the isotopic 258 composition of oceanic input were different from today, any differences must have been 259 caused by variation in a much larger Mo terrestrial reservoir, of which there is no 260 evidence. For these reasons, we constrain our models for the molybdenum cycle at 750 261 Ma using same oceanic input as today. 262 263 2 Geological settings and environmental conditions in the Walcott basin

264 The Chuar Group was deposited in an intracratonic rift basin at near-equatorial
265 (2°S to 18°N) latitudes [40] during the break-up of the supercontinent Rodinia [41]. The

266 ~1600 m thick succession is subdivided into the Kwagunt and underlying Galeros 267 formations (Figure 2) [39]. We examined carbonaceous shales in the uppermost ~250 268 meters of the Walcott Member, Kwagunt Formation. Samples were collected from 269 outcrops in the Sixty-Mile Canyon and the NE Flank of Nankoweap Butte [42]. 270 The Walcott Member is capped by an ash layer hosting zircons with a U-Pb age 271 of 742±6 Ma [41]. The bottom of the Galeros Formation, some 1400 meters lower in the 272 Chuar succession, contains authigenic monazite with a U-Pb age of ca. 770 Ma [43], 273 consistent with both the presence of *Cerebrosphaera buickii* [44], an acritarch 274 stratigraphically restricted to sediments younger than 777±7Ma in the Adelaide Rift 275 Complex [45] and some 300 stacked, meter-scale cycles of sandstone-capped dolomite, 276 each thought to represent ~100,000 yrs [39]. 277 The Chuar Basin was marine and had contact to the open ocean, as recorded both 278 by sedimentological and paleontological evidence. Sedimentary structures suggest a wave 279 and tide-influenced depositional system that would not exist in lacustrine or highly 280 restricted settings [39] (Figure 2). Microfossils in the Walcott Member belong to taxa 281 found widely in 800-750 Ma successions around the world [44], providing independent 282 evidence that at least surface waters exchanged with the global ocean. 283 Previous studies have concluded that most carbonaceous shales of the Walcott 284 Member accumulated beneath sulfidic subsurface waters [7, 46]. In contrast, the lower 285 Chuar shales were deposited beneath water masses that were commonly anoxic but 286 ferruginous [7]. It is inferred that the water column was structured such that sulfidic 287 subsurface waters, perhaps quite extensive, developed when rates of export production 288 were high. With the exception of a few samples (AK-10-53-12, AK-10-60-16) most

289 Chuar samples display low Fe-oxide contents despite abundant highly reactive iron,

indicating that post-depositional oxidative weathering has been minimal [7].

291

292 **3. Methods**

293 Rock samples were crushed in a ceramic mortar. Total organic carbon (TOC) 294 content was determined at University of Southern Denmark using a FLASH 2000 295 Element Analyzer interfaced through a Conflo IV to a Thermo Scientific Delta V 296 Advantage Continuous Flow Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer. Samples were first acid-297 leached in 2M HCl for >24 hours to remove carbonate. Conversion from intensity to 298 concentrations was done using a certified Nicotinalamide standard from Thermo Electron 299 S.p.A. (Lot O13A), measured at four different intensities. The procedural TOC blank was 300 measured by analyzing a pure silicate quartz powder and was well below the 301 concentration of the lowest standard (corresponding to <<0.4wt%). Fe speciations were 302 previously determined using a validated extraction method [46]. For trace metal 303 concentration analyses, samples were ashed at 550°C for 12 hours and rock powders were 304 then weighed into Teflon vials and digested using a 5:1 mixture of conc. HF and conc. 305 HNO₃ for 48 hours. After evaporation the samples were dissolved in concentrated HCl 306 for 24 hours, dried, and finally re-dissolved in 6M HCl. Sample aliquots for concentration 307 analyses were first dried and re-dissolved in nitric acid before dilution with MQ H_2O , so 308 that the analyses could be done in 2% HNO₃ solutions using a quadropole ICP-MS 309 (Thermo Elemental X-Series with Collision Cell Technology) at Arizona State 310 University. A continuous supply of internal standard (Ge, Y, In, Bi) was mixed online 311 into the sample during analysis, and the response of this solution was monitored to

312	correct for plasma suppression. The conversion from intensity to concentrations was done
313	by comparison to a known multi-element standard made from single element ICP
314	solutions with elemental concentrations optimized for black shales measured at four
315	different intensities. A standard curve was measured before, during and after the samples
316	to compensate for drift in the observed values.
317	
318	For isotopes, Mo was purified from the matrix by techniques described in [35] and
319	isotope ratios determined by MC-ICP-MS (Thermo Elemental Neptune) at Arizona State
320	University in the W.M. Keck Foundation Laboratory for Environmental
321	Biogeochemistry. We used Zr-doping to correct for instrumental mass bias and applied
322	quality controls described in [16]. An aliquot of the sample taken before and after the
323	purification procedure was measured to ensure quantitative chemical recovery (>92%)
324	during ion exchange purification which could otherwise change the isotope composition
325	of the eluent. The long-term external reproducibility of δ^{98} Mo (2 standard deviation) of a
326	rock standard (SDO-1) was better than $\pm 0.15\%$ [47].
327	
278	

4. Results

Data are summarized in Table 2. Fe speciation data from the Walcott Member show clear enrichment of highly reactive iron ($Fe_{HR}/Fe_T \sim 0.8$) relative to oxic sediments and riverine particulates (<0.38, [48]). In most of the Walcott shales, pyrite accounts for the majority of highly reactive iron found in the Walcott shales ($Fe_P/Fe_{HR} \sim 0.5-0.9$) (Figure 3). A few Fe-carbonate rich samples are found in the middle of the Walcott section (AK-10-60-31, AK-10-60-32) near paleo-subaerial exposure surfaces. The highly reactive iron

335	in the top-most sample and in samples from the very bottom of the Walcott member
336	consists mainly of Fe-oxides. Overall, Mo and U concentrations are remarkably low, at 1-
337	12 ppm and 1-7 ppm, respectively. This translates into small, but significant, average
338	enrichment factors above average crust, Mo $EF_{Al} = 6$ and U $EF_{Al} = 4$; see figure 3
339	caption for definition of enrichment factors. Samples enriched in highly reactive iron
340	(Fe_{HR}/Fe_T) are also consistently enriched in total iron relative to continental crust (Fe
341	EF_{Al}). The δ^{98} Mo composition of Walcott shales, both with a strictly euxinic (Fe _P /Fe _{HR} >
342	0.8) and with a probable euxinic (Fe _P /Fe _{HR} $>$ 0.5) Fe speciation signature (figure 3), are
343	rather constant at 0.97±0.16‰ (n=4, 1 σ), and 0.99±0.13‰ (n=6, 1 σ), respectively. The
344	δ^{98} Mo of the authigenic component can be derived, assuming that the lithogenic
345	component is represented by either average crustal value (0.4-0.7‰, Figure 1) or from
346	samples with little or no authigenic enrichment (δ^{98} Mo ~ 0.8‰), which leads to
347	authigenic Mo with δ^{98} Mo of 1.25±0.40 and 1.10±0.30, respectively. The average bulk
348	δ^{98} Mo value of ferruginous sediments, 0.80±0.09‰ (n=3, 1 σ) is within error
349	indistinguishable from the euxinic samples. The samples from the top and bottom of the
350	section with large proportions of Fe-oxides display lower δ^{98} Mo values, indicative of
351	isotope fractionation. Particularly, one sample (AK-10-53-12), distinctly enriched in Mo
352	(12 ppm), shows a remarkably low δ^{98} Mo of 0.2‰.

353

354 **5. Discussion**

355 Previous studies have used local paleoenvironmental indicators with short marine
356 residence times relative to oceanic mixing time to describe redox conditions in the
357 Walcott basin, finding evidence for deposition in a basin with anoxic and sulfidic bottom

waters (Figure 2 and 3 [7, 46]), Here, Mo chemistry is used to target the global extent of
anoxic and sulfidic water masses in the contemporaneous oceans.

360 In persistently euxinic water columns, Mo and U concentrations are typically high 361 (Table S2), but they are unusually low in euxinic Walcott samples. These values reflect 362 far less metal enrichments relative to crustal average than in previously analyzed Meso-363 to Neoproterozoic black shales (~ 24 ppm Mo [11, 17], >10 ppm U [49]) and are 364 reminiscent of typical Archean sediments (~3.3 ppm Mo [17], <10 ppm U [49]) 365 interpreted as reflecting low marine metal inventories associated with extensive anoxic 366 and sulfidic removal pathways. An increase in Mo and U enrichment towards the end of 367 the Neoproterozoic [17, 49] is consistent with the growth of oceanic metal inventories as 368 oxic water masses expanded [5, 17]. 369 Walcott shales contain 2-20 wt% organic carbon [41, 42], corresponding to 370 average Mo/TOC ~ 0.4 ppm/wt% in the euxinic shales. Similar low Mo/TOC values have 371 been suggested to record <5 nM Mo in the water column [17, 50]. This is an order of 372 magnitude lower than the Proterozoic average of 6.4 ppm/wt% between 1700-550 Ma, 373 which may represent seawater Mo concentrations of ~20 nM [17]. δ^{98} Mo shows little systematic variation with sedimentary Mo EF (Figure 4), but 374 375 the data are completely consistent with mixing between a lithogenic component with riverine discharge at an average crustal δ^{98} Mo of 0.4-0.7‰ and a marine end member 376 value of ~1.0‰. This marine value is only marginally enriched in δ^{98} Mo over the modern 377 378 riverine value and lies well below modern seawater at 2.3‰. Two samples in the middle 379 of the section with high Fe-carbonate content coincide with sedimentological evidence 380 for subaerial exposure and may reflect a brief interval of ferruginous and non-euxinic

381	deposition. The Fe_P/Fe_{HR} indicator gives a rough estimate of local water column
382	conditions and is not tuned for firm conclusions about the samples with ratios in the 0.5-
383	0.8 range, which have been deposited in either a euxinic basin or under ferruginous
384	waters with sulfidic sediments. However, the samples near exposure surfaces display
385	$Fe_P/Fe_{HR} = 0.2-0.3$, indicative of sulfate reduction, but display no isotope fractionation
386	relative to euxinic shales and contemporaneous seawater. Two anoxic samples at the top
387	of the section (AK10-53-12, AK-10-53-13) have suffered from post-depositional
388	oxidation (Fe _{OX} /Fe _{HR} = 0.9-1.0) with the former clearly fractionated from the mixture of
389	lithogenic and authigenic Mo defined by the rest of the samples (Figure 4). This
390	fractionated sample is particularly interesting, since its high Fe oxide content may result
391	from late oxic diagenesis/weathering. Its high Mo content is still modest in comparison to
392	the Proterozoic average, and its Mo enrichment ($Mo_{EF} = 12.5$) compares to neighboring
393	samples ($Mo_{EF} < 30$) in the uppermost Walcott section. Hence, we cannot rule out that
394	Mo isotope fractionation occurred during diagenetic alteration in this case. In any event,
395	the small amount of isotopic variation through ~100 meters of Walcott shales suggests
396	consistently sulfidic bottom-water chemistry (as reported also in previous studies [7, 46])
397	and quantitative scavenging from a Mo-depleted water column with an estimated δ^{98} Mo
398	of 1.0±0.1‰.

399

400 5.1. Expanded sulfidic water masses at ~750 Ma

401 We have tested three hypotheses to account for the low sedimentary Mo 402 concentrations, the low Mo/TOC ratios and δ^{98} Mo values in the Walcott shales. These 403 models include: 1) deposition in a hydrographically isolated basin with Mo 404 concentrations and δ^{98} Mo values distinct from the open ocean, 2) incomplete Mo 405 scavenging in the Walcott basin, and 3) globally expanded euxinic water masses with 406 globally low [Mo] and δ^{98} Mo in seawater.

407 Hypothesis 1 can be rejected from paleontological, hydrodynamic, and 408 geochemical considerations. The Walcott shales contain a globally a significant marine 409 microfossil assemblage, as well as sedimentological evidence of relatively high water 410 stand during Walcott times, pointing to maximal ocean-to-basin water exchange. In light of the low Mo concentration and the δ^{98} Mo data, it is inconceivable that hydrodynamic 411 412 restriction would explain the data if a modern Mo cycle operated in the open ocean, 413 because that would imply that only 1% of the water entering the Chuar Basin was 414 sourced from the ocean, with the remaining 99% originating as fresh water from local 415 rivers. Such a hydrographic situation is incompatible with even the most restricted 416 estuaries today. Furthermore, sulfur isotope fractionation between carbonate associated 417 sulfate and pyrite within the basin implies sulfate levels above $\sim 200 \,\mu\text{M}$ sulfate [51], 418 requiring a substantial flux of water from the open ocean. This is because rivers carry too 419 low a sulfate concentration (\sim 50 μ M in unpolluted modern rivers) to account for the 420 significant S isotope fractionation found in the basin, if they were also the major source 421 of water (further details in the supplement).

Hypothesis 2 can be rejected on the basis of comparisons with modern low-sulfide
basins and estimates of the H₂S concentration in the Chuar basin (see supplementary
online material for details). There are at least two prerequisites for rapid euxinic Mo
removal: H₂S levels sufficiently high to form particle-reactive oxythiomolybdates [15,
16], FeS formation in the water column [20] and/or other sinking particles. Both organic

427	matter and iron sulfides (FeS and FeS ₂) settled out of the Chuar water column, as the
428	Walcott shales contain >2 wt% total organic carbon and high proportions of pyrite
429	$(Fe_P/Fe_{HR} > 0.8)$. Reactive MoOS ₃ ²⁻ and possibly MoS ₄ ²⁻ (given enough time) are slowly
430	produced from molybdate in the presence of >10 μ M H ₂ S. The high pyrite content is
431	evidence that amorphous FeS formed in the basin through the reaction of Fe^{2+} with HS ⁻ .
432	From this, we estimate that >2 μ M H ₂ S (and >7 μ M \sum S(-II) = H ₂ S + HS ⁻ + S ²⁻ at pH =
433	7.69, $T = 8^{\circ}C$ [52]) was permanently available in the deep-water column, and that
434	concentrations might have been as high as the sulfate concentrations in contemporaneous
435	surface seawater ~1,000-4,000 μ M [7, 53]. These sulfide estimates are higher than
436	observed even in intermittently euxinic settings such as the Benguela upwelling system
437	off the coast of Namibia, where temporal emission of hydrogen sulfide [54], is sufficient
438	to activate rapid scavenging of Mo and produce sedimentary Mo concentrations of 30-50
439	ppm [55].

440

441 Recently, Helz et al. [20] proposed a new model for euxinic Mo removal that not only

442 requires H_2S , but also moderate pH. Mo precipitation would be hindered at pH > 8,

443 provided >1000 μ M Σ S(-II) (H₂S > 100 μ M, T = 20°C). Sulfate reduction causes

seawater pH to decrease relative to the surface ocean, with values of 7.1-7.7 in the

deepest parts of modern euxinic basins (see summary in [20]). Hence, pH-inhibition of

the hypothesized Mo removal process could occur if seawater pH were substantially

447 higher than today and total sulfide concentration were near the maximal value.

448 Nonetheless, Mo precipitation should still proceed at the chemocline. With this in mind,

449 we conclude that the Walcott basin was most likely capable of efficient Mo scavenging at

450 times of either maximum or minimum euxinia (e.g. $Fe_P/Fe_{HR} > 0.8$, and >0.5,

451 respectively). Still, the Mo enrichment is remarkably small.

452 Hypothesis 3 provides the best explanation for the data, implying globally 453 expansive euxinia at ~750 Ma. Severe euxinia in the world ocean is sufficient to explain 454 the data, but a combination of global anoxia and basinal restriction is favored and would 455 easily fit the exceptionally low [Mo] and δ^{98} Mo in the Walcott member and rather low 456 values in other Proterozoic successions [56, 57]. We explore this solution further using 457 the simple model presented above (section 1.2) to interpret the oceanic Mo cycle 750 458 million years ago.

459

460 **5.2 Marine Mo cycle at 750 Ma**

461

If the δ^{98} Mo of seawater during Walcott times was steady at 1.0±0.1‰, we can 462 463 derive permissible solutions to the Mo budget. The proportion of euxinic Mo removal can 464 be assessed by first investigating end member cases. Ignoring the oxic removal pathway, 465 simple two sink mass balance predicts that euxinic sinks accounted for $66\pm10\%$ of 466 oceanic Mo removal (equation 5), with the rest in SAD settings (using $\Delta_{SAD} = -$ 467 $0.7\pm0.2\%$, $\Delta_{EUX}=0\%$). A more prominent euxinic sink would result if removal pathways 468 with higher fractionations, such as oxic sediments, were important. For example, we find 469 90% euxinic removal if we ignore the SAD sink and posit only oxic and euxinic Mo 470 burial. In these cases, the euxinic removal pathway would have operate an order of 471 magnitude faster than today (5-15%, [17, 26, 56]). 472

473	Our model (section 2) using all three major Mo sinks leads to a range of possible
474	solutions for the mean ocean concentration and isotopic composition of Mo at ~750 Ma
475	(Table 1). The condition in which euxinic water masses cover 2-4% of the global seafloor
476	satisfies a seawater $\delta^{98}Mo$ of 1.0±0.1‰ and predicts 7-20 nM Mo in seawater, consistent
477	with averages deduced from Mo/TOC data for Proterozoic samples [17]. This represents
478	a 400-800 fold expansion of euxinic areas in Chuar times compared to today ($\sim 0.05\%$
479	seafloor), and it necessitates sulfidic water masses beyond restricted fjords and inland
480	basins. That is, to satisfy observed geochemical data, one has to postulate sulfidic
481	oxygen-minimum zones in the open ocean, e.g. on the continental shelves. The model
482	predicts a Mo residence time of 50-200 kyrs - long enough that open oceans would have
483	carried a uniform isotope composition, even if ocean mixing time scales were
484	substantially slower than today (~1.5 kyrs).
485	We view our model results as illustrative but only semi-quantitative, as we have
486	assumed that average modern removal rates apply to very different ancient environments.
487	For example, if euxinia extended into low sedimentation rate deep-sea environments,
488	there would be little influence on the isotope mass balance. We can conclude, however,
489	that since oxic removal pathways were likely limited during the time of Chuar deposition
490	[7, 46], the removal of Mo into euxinic environments was substantial, and euxinic
491	environments were much more abundant than today.
492	
493	5.3 Implications for nutrient limitation in Proterozoic oceans

494 Molybdenum scarcity has been hypothesized to have limited primary production495 in the Proterozoic ocean because nitrogen fixation is most efficient with Mo-based

496 nitrogenase enzymes [29]. Our model results allow us to assess this issue further, since 497 limited pure culture experiments indicate that nitrogen fixation rate is reduced at <2 nM 498 Mo, but not at 5 nM [58, 59]. Assuming that our model parameterization is valid and that 499 the culture experiments apply to the marine system, one would infer that Mo was not 500 sufficiently scarce to limit primary production in the open oceans at 750 Ma. The model 501 suggests that Mo-limited nitrogen fixation in open ocean settings is linked to a reduced 502 oxic weathering regime reminiscent of the Archean atmosphere [11, 60]. Also, nitrate 503 assimilation requires molybdenum in nitrate reductases [61], and Mo depletion in 504 Proterozoic oceans might have limited biologically available N supply to the surface 505 waters [29] where non-diazotrophic primary producers would depend on the vertical 506 mixing of ammonium released from organic matter in deep waters. In any case, our 507 simple scaling model establishes a framework for evaluating the evolving oceanic Mo 508 cycle and for illuminating Mo thresholds that biological experiments should explore 509 further.

510

511 **5.4 The emerging picture of Proterozoic ocean chemistry**

512 The δ^{98} Mo data from the 0.75 Ga Walcott Member are similar to those from 1.7 513 and 1.4 Ga black shales [56, 57] and provide evidence that sulfidic water masses 514 constituted the major sinks for Mo as recently as 750 Ma. Whether ocean euxinia was 515 persistent or recurrent remains uncertain and can only be assessed by continuing studies. 516 This finding is qualitatively consistent with current models which posit that the 517 expansion of free sulfide in subsurface water masses substantially reduced the Fe²⁺ 518 concentration in the deep ocean, diminishing the significance of banded iron formation

deposition from ~1.8 Ga until the later Neoproterozoic, when higher deep water Fe^{2+} 519 520 concentrations were reestablished and iron formations again deposited [46]. Our results 521 are also consistent with the emerging picture that while extensive, sulfidic conditions 522 were likely not global. Extensive sulfidic oxygen-minimum zone-like settings would 523 have been sufficient to account for the substantial removal of molybdenum and probably also Fe²⁺ and a variety of other redox-sensitive trace metals. Proterozoic oceans may have 524 525 developed a complex redox structure distinguished by oxygenated surface waters, sulfidic 526 basins and settings similar to oxygen minimum zones with deeper ferruginous and 527 possibly even oxic waters in some places [7, 62, 63]. By 750-800 Ma ago, it appears that 528 sulfidic waters were more constrained by ferrous iron, perhaps developing only where 529 export of organic matter to subsurface water masses was high [7]. In any event, anoxic 530 and sulfidic water masses bathing continental shelves and platforms would have been 531 more stable if the atmosphere and oceans were less oxygenated. A low oceanic pO_2 , in 532 turn, helps to explain why marine animals with high O₂ requirements first diversified 533 after 750 Ma, near the very end of the Proterozoic Eon [7, 64]. While marine redox 534 indicators only constrain oceanic O₂, and global marine oxygen depletion might 535 transiently occur even at high atmospheric O_2 levels [65], the persistence of low O_2 in 536 Proterozoic oceans is best explained by an overall low free O₂ inventory in the 537 atmosphere and ocean system before animals emerged on the planet.

538

539 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

540 We thank Carol Dehler for providing samples and Brian Kendall, Benjamin C.541 Gill, David Johnston, and Bo Thamdrup for constructive discussions. Three anonymous

- 542 reviewers improved the manuscript. Funding was provided from the Danish National
- 543 Research Foundation (NordCEE), NASA Planetary Biology Internship, Villum Kann
- 544 Rasmussen foundation, and Danish National Research Agency (TWD) and NSF grant
- 545 EAR-0420592 (AHK).
- 546

547

548 References

549 [1] J. Farquhar, J. Savarino, S. Airieau, M.H. Thiemens, Observation of wavelength-550 sensitive mass-independent sulfur isotope effects during SO2 photolysis: 551 Implications for the early atmosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research-Planets 552 106(2001) 32829-32839. 553 [2] H. Holland, The oxygenation of the atmosphere and oceans, Philosophical 554 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 361(2006) 903-915. 555 J. Farquhar, H.M. Bao, M. Thiemens, Atmospheric influence of Earth's earliest [3] sulfur cycle, Science 289(2000) 756-758. 556 557 D.E. Canfield, A. Teske, Late Proterozoic rise in atmospheric oxygen [4] concentration inferred from phylogenetic and sulphur-isotope studies, Nature 558 559 382(1996) 127-132. 560 T.W. Dahl, E.U. Hammarlund, A.D. Anbar, D.P.G. Bond, B.C. Gill, G.W. [5] 561 Gordon, A.H. Knoll, A.T. Nielsen, N.H. Schovsbo, D.E. Canfield, Devonian rise 562 in atmospheric oxygen correlated to the radiations of terrestrial plants and large 563 predatory fish, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107(2010) 17911-17915. 564 [6] D.E. Canfield, A new model for Proterozoic ocean chemistry, Nature 396(1998) 565 450-453. 566 [7] D.T. Johnston, S.W. Poulton, C. Dehler, S. Porter, J. Husson, D.E. Canfield, A.H. Knoll, An emerging picture of Neoproterozoic ocean chemistry: Insight from the 567 568 Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, USA, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 290(2010) 64-73. 569 [8] P.F. Hoffman, D.P. Schrag, The snowball Earth hypothesis: testing the limits of 570 global change, Terr. Nova 14(2002) 129-155. 571 [9] A. Knoll, E. Javaux, D. Hewitt, P. Cohen, Eukaryotic organisms in Proterozoic 572 oceans, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 573 361(2006) 1023. 574 K. Peterson, J. Cotton, J. Gehling, D. Pisani, The Ediacaran emergence of [10] 575 bilaterians: congruence between the genetic and the geological fossil records, 576 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 363(2008) 1435. 577 578 [11] A.D. Anbar, Y. Duan, T.W. Lyons, G.L. Arnold, B. Kendall, R.A. Creaser, A.J. 579 Kaufman, G.W. Gordon, C. Scott, J. Garvin, R. Buick, A whiff of oxygen before 580 the Great Oxidation Event?, Science 317(2007) 1903-1906. 581 L.E. Wasylenki, C.L. Weeks, T.G. Spiro, J.R. Bargar, A.D. Anbar, How Mo [12] 582 isotopes fractionate during adsorption to Mn and Fe oxyhydroxides, Pergamon-583 Elsevier Science Ltd, 2009, pp. A1419-A1419. 584 [13] J. Barling, A.D. Anbar, Molybdenum isotope fractionation during adsorption by 585 manganese oxides, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 217(2004) 315-329. 586 L.E. Wasylenki, B.A. Rolfe, C.L. Weeks, T.G. Spiro, A.D. Anbar, Experimental [14] 587 investigation of the effects of temperature and ionic strength on Mo isotope 588 fractionation during adsorption to manganese oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 589 72(2008) 5997-6005. 590 [15] B.E. Erickson, G.R. Helz, Molybdenum(VI) speciation in sulfidic waters: 591 Stability and lability of thiomolybdates, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 64(2000) 592 1149-1158.

593	[16]	T.W. Dahl, A.D. Anbar, G.W. Gordon, M.T. Rosing, R. Frei, D.E. Canfield, The
594		behavior of molybdenum and its isotopes across the chemocline and in the
595		sediments of sulfidic Lake Cadagno, Switzerland, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta
596		74(2010) 144-163.
597	[17]	C. Scott, T.W. Lyons, A. Bekker, Y. Shen, S.W. Poulton, X. Chu, A.D. Anbar,
598		Tracing the stepwise oxygenation of the Proterozoic ocean, Nature 452(2008)
599		456-459.
600	[18]	S.R. Emerson, S.S. Huested, Ocean Anoxia and the Concentrations of
601		Molybdenum and Vanadium in Seawater, Mar. Chem. 34(1991) 177-196.
602	[19]	J.L. Sarmiento, N. Gruber, Ocean Biogeochemical Dynamics, Princeton
603		university press, New Jersey, USA, 503 pp. (2006).
604	[20]	G.R. Helz, E. Bura-Nakic, N. Mikac, I. Ciglenecki, New model for molybdenum
605	[=0]	behavior in euxinic waters. Chemical Geology(2011).
606	[21]	K.C. Biswas, N.A. Woodards, H. Xu, L.L. Barton, Reduction of molybdate by
607	[=-]	sulfate-reducing bacteria. Biometals 22(2009) 131-139
608	[22]	M.D. Tucker, I.L. Barton, B.M. Thomson, Reduction of Cr. Mo. Se and U by
609	[==]	Desulfovibrio desulfuricans immobilized in polyacrylamide gels. Journal of
610		Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology 20(1998) 13-19
611	[23]	M D Tucker L L Barton B M Thomson Removal of U and Mo from water by
612	[23]	immobilized Desulfovibrio desulfuricans in column reactors. Biotechnology and
613		Bioengineering 60(1998) 88-96
61 <i>4</i>	[24]	T Algeo T I yons Mo-total organic carbon covariation in modern anoxic marine
615	[27]	environments: Implications for analysis of paleoredox and paleohydrographic
616		conditions Paleoceanography 21(2006) 1-A1016
617	[25]	N Neubert TE Nagler ME Bottcher Sulfidity controls molybdenum isotone
618	[23]	fractionation into euxinic sediments: Evidence from the modern Black Sea
619		Geology 36(2008) 775-778
620	[26]	R L P Brucker I McManus S Severmann W M Berelson Molybdenum
621	[20]	behavior during early diagenesis: Insights from Mo isotopes. Geochemistry
622		Geophysics Geosystems 10(2009) 25
623	[27]	LL Morford S Emerson The geochemistry of redox sensitive trace metals in
623	[27]	sediments Geochim Cosmochim Acta 63(1999) 1735-1750
625	[28]	I McManus W M Berelson S Severmann R L Poulson D F Hammond G P
626	[20]	Klinkhammer C Holm Molybdenum and uranium geochemistry in continental
620 627		margin sediments: Paleoproxy potential Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta
628		70(2006) A643-A662
620 629	[29]	ΔD Anhar ΔH Knoll Proterozoic ocean chemistry and evolution: Δ
630	[27]	hioinorganic bridge? Science 297(2002) 1137-1142
631	[30]	C Wheat M Mottl M Rudnicki Trace element and RFE composition of a low-
632	[50]	temperature ridge-flank hydrothermal spring Geochim Cosmochim Acta
633		66(2002) 3693-3705
63 <i>1</i>	[31]	C Archer D Vance. The isotopic signature of the global riverine molybdenum
635	[31]	flux and anoxia in the ancient oceans. Nat. Geosci 1(2008) 597-600
636	[32]	C R Pearce K W Burton P von Strandmann R H James S R Gislason
637	[32]	Molyhdenum isotope behaviour accompanying weathering and riverine transport
638		in a basaltic terrain. Earth and Planetary Science Latters 205(2010) 104-114
0.00		in a basalue terrain, Eatth and Flanciary Science Letters 255(2010) 104-114.

639	[33]	N. Neubert, A. Heri, A. Voegelin, T. N‰gler, F. Schlunegger, I. Villa, The
640		molybdenum isotopic composition in river water: Constraints from small
641		catchments, Earth and Planetary Science Letters(2011).
642	[34]	C. Siebert, T.F. Nagler, F. von Blanckenburg, J.D. Kramers, Molybdenum isotope
643		records as a potential new proxy for paleoceanography. Earth Plan, Sci. Lett.
644		211(2003) 159-171.
645	[35]	J. Barling, G.L. Arnold, A.D. Anbar, Natural mass-dependent variations in the
646	[00]	isotopic composition of molybdenum. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 193(2001) 447-457.
647	[36]	IL Hannah H.I. Stein M.E. Wieser, J.R. de Laeter, M.D. Varner, Molybdenum
648	[00]	isotope variations in molybdenite: Vapor transport and Rayleigh fractionation of
649		Mo. Geology 35(2007) 703-706.
650	[37]	J. Martin, M. Meybeck, Elemental mass-balance of material carried by major
651	[0,]	world rivers. Mar. Chem. 7(1979) 173-206.
652	[38]	T. Goldberg, C. Archer, D. Vance, S.W. Poulton, Mo isotope fractionation during
653	[00]	adsorption to Fe (oxyhydr)oxides. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73(2009) 6502-
654		6516.
655	[39]	C M Dehler M Elrick K E Karlstrom G A Smith L I Crossey I M
656	[07]	Timmons, Neoproterozoic Chuar Group (similar to 800-742 Ma), Grand Canvon
657		a record of cyclic marine deposition during global cooling and supercontinent
658		rifting Sediment Geol 141(2001) 465-499
659	[40]	A B Weil I W Geissman R Van der Voo Paleomagnetism of the
660	[10]	Neoproterozoic Chuar Group, Grand Canyon Supergroup, Arizona: implications
661		for Laurentia's Neoproterozoic APWP and Rodinia break-up. Precambrian Res.
662		129(2004) 71-92
663	[41]	K.E. Karlstrom, S.A. Bowring, C.M. Dehler, A.H. Knoll, S.M. Porter, D.J. Des
664	[]	Marais, A.B. Weil, Z.D. Sharp, J.W. Geissman, M.B. Elrick, J.M. Timmons, L.J.
665		Crossey, K.L. Davidek, Chuar Group of the Grand Canvon: Record of breakup of
666		Rodinia, associated change in the global carbon cycle, and ecosystem expansion
667		by 740 Ma. Geology 28(2000) 619-622.
668	[42]	C.M. Dehler, M. Elrick, J.D. Bloch, L.J. Crossev, K.E. Karlstrom, D.J. Des
669		Marais, High-resolution delta C-13 stratigraphy of the Chuar Group (ca. 770-742
670		Ma), Grand Canvon: Implications for mid-Neoproterozoic climate change. Geol.
671		Soc. Am. Bull. 117(2005) 32-45.
672	[43]	M.L. Williams, Crossey, L. J., Jercinovic, M. J., Bloch, J. D., Dehler, C. M.,
673		Heizler, M. T., Bowring, S. A., Goncalves, P., Dating Sedimentary Sequences: In
674		Situ U/Th-Pb Microprobe Dating of Early Diagenetic Mpnazite and Ar-Ar Dating
675		of Marcasite Nodules: Case Study from Neoproterozoic Black Shales in the
676		Southwestern U.S., GSA 35, Seattle, 2003, p. p. 595.
677	[44]	R.M. Nagy, S.M. Porter, C.M. Dehler, Y. Shen, Biotic turnover driven by
678		eutrophication before the Sturtian low-latitude glaciation, Nat. Geosci. 2(2009)
679		414-417.
680	[45]	A. Hill, K. Cotter, K. Grey, Mid-Neoproterozoic biostratigraphy and isotope
681	r - 1	stratigraphy in Australia, Precambrian Res. 100(2000) 281-298.
682	[46]	D.E. Canfield, S.W. Poulton, A.H. Knoll, G.M. Narbonne, G. Ross, T. Goldberg,
683		H. Strauss, Ferruginous conditions dominated later neoproterozoic deep-water
684		chemistry, Science 321(2008) 949-952.

685	[47]	G. Gordon, T. Lyons, G. Arnold, J. Roe, B. Sageman, A. Anbar, When do black
686		shales tell molybdenum isotope tales?, Geology 37(2009) 535-538.
687	[48]	R. Raiswell, D.E. Canfield, Sources of iron for pyrite formation in marine
688		sediments, Am. J. Sci. 298(1998) 219-245.
689	[49]	C.A. Partin, A. Bekker, C. Scott, B.C. Gill, T.W. Lyons, Changes in the
690		Precambrian ocean U cycle linked to the evolution of surficial redox conditions
691		AGU fall meeting 2009, Pergamon-Elsevier Science Ltd, San Francisco, 2009.
692	[50]	J. McArthur, T. Algeo, B. van de Schootbrugge, Q. Li, R. Howarth, Basinal
693		restriction, black shales, Re-Os dating, and the Early Toarcian (Jurassic) oceanic
694		anoxic event, Paleoceanography 23(2008) PA4217.
695	[51]	K.S. Habicht, M. Gade, B. Thamdrup, P. Berg, D.E. Canfield, Calibration of
696		sulfate levels in the Archean Ocean, Science 298(2002) 2372-2374.
697	[52]	J.P. Hershey, T. Plese, F.J. Millero, The PK1-* for the Dissociation of H2S in
698		Various Ionic Media, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 52(1988) 2047-2051.
699	[53]	Y. Shen, D. Canfield, A. Knoll, Middle Proterozoic ocean chemistry: evidence
700		from the McArthur Basin, northern Australia, Am. J. Sci. 302(2002) 81-109.
701	[54]	V. Brüchert, B. Currie, K.R. Peard, Hydrogen sulphide and methane emissions on
702		the central Namibian shelf, Progress In Oceanography 83(2009) 169-179.
703	[55]	R. Robinson, P. Meyers, R. Murray, Geochemical evidence for variations in
704		delivery and deposition of sediment in Pleistocene light-dark color cycles under
705		the Benguela Current Upwelling System, Marine Geology 180(2001) 249-270.
706	[56]	B. Kendall, R.A. Creaser, G.W. Gordon, A.D. Anbar, Re-Os and Mo isotope
707		systematics of black shales from the Middle Proterozoic Velkerri and
708		Wollogorang Formations, McArthur Basin, northern Australia, Geochim.
709		Cosmochim. Acta 73(2009) 2534-2558.
710	[57]	G.L. Arnold, A.D. Anbar, J. Barling, T.W. Lyons, Molybdenum isotope evidence
711		for widespread anoxia in mid-proterozoic oceans, Science 304(2004) 87-90.
712	[58]	A.L. Zerkle, C.H. House, R.P. Cox, D.E. Canfield, Metal limitation of
713		cyanobacterial N-2 fixation and implications for the Precambrian nitrogen cycle,
714		Geobiology 4(2006) 285-297.
715	[59]	J. Glass, F. Wolfe-Simon, J. Elser, A. Anbar, Molybdenum-nitrogen co-limitation
716		in freshwater and coastal heterocystous cyanobacteria, Limnology and
717		Oceanography 55(2010) 667-676.
718	[60]	M. Wille, J.D. Kramers, T.F. Nagler, N.J. Beukes, S. Schroder, T. Meisel, J.P.
719		Lacassie, A.R. Voegelin, Evidence for a gradual rise of oxygen between 2.6 and
720		2.5 Ga from Mo isotopes and Re-PGE signatures in shales, Geochimica Et
721		Cosmochimica Acta 71(2007) 2417-2435.
722	[61]	R. Mendel, F. Bittner, Cell biology of molybdenum, Biochimica et Biophysica
723		Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Research 1763(2006) 621-635.
724	[62]	J. Slack, T. Grenne, A. Bekker, O. Rouxel, P. Lindberg, Suboxic deep seawater in
725		the late Paleoproterozoic: Evidence from hematitic chert and iron formation
726		related to seafloor-hydrothermal sulfide deposits, central Arizona, USA, Earth and
727		Planetary Science Letters 255(2007) 243-256.
728	[63]	S. Poulton, P. Fralick, D. Canfield, Spatial variability in oceanic redox structure
729		1.8 billion years ago, Nat. Geosci.(2010).

730	[64]	C. Li, G.D. Love, T.W. Lyons, D.A. Fike, A.L. Sessions, X. Chu, A Stratified
731		Redox Model for the Ediacaran Ocean, Science 328(2010) 80-83.
732	[65]	C.R. Pearce, A.S. Cohen, A.L. Coe, K.W. Burton, Molybdenum isotope evidence
733		for global ocean anoxia coupled with perturbations to the carbon cycle during the
734		early Jurassic, Geology 36(2008) 231-234.
735	[66]	R. Mathur, S. Brantley, A. Anbar, F. Munizaga, V. Maksaev, R. Newberry, J.
736		Vervoort, G. Hart, Variation of Mo isotopes from molybdenite in high-
737		temperature hydrothermal ore deposits, Mineralium Deposita 45(2010) 43-50.
738	[67]	C. Siebert, T.F. Nagler, J.D. Kramers, Determination of molybdenum isotope
739		fractionation by double-spike multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass
740		spectrometry, Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems 2(2001) art. no
741		2000GC000124.
742	[68]	M.E. Wieser, J.R. de Laeter, A preliminary study of isotope fractionation in
743		molybdenites, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 225(2003) 177-183.
744	[69]	D. Malinovsky, D. Hammarlund, B. Ilyashuk, O. Martinsson, J. Gelting,
745		Variations in the isotopic composition of molybdenum in freshwater lake systems,
746		Chemical Geology 236(2007) 181-198.
747	[70]	D. Malinovsky, I. Rodushkin, D. Baxter, J. Ingri, B. Öhlander, Molybdenum
748		isotope ratio measurements on geological samples by MC-ICPMS, Int. J. Mass
749		Spectrom. 245(2005) 94-107.
750	[71]	A.J. Pietruszka, R.J. Walker, P.A. Candela, Determination of mass-dependent
751		molybdenum isotopic variations by MC-ICP-MS: An evaluation of matrix effects,
752		Chemical Geology 225(2006) 121-136.
753	[72]	S.R. Taylor, S.M. McLennan, The Geochemical Evolution of the Continental-
754		Crust, Rev. Geophys. 33(1995) 241-265.
755		
756		

757

Figure 1: Histogram of δ^{98} Mo values in crustal samples including 86 molybdenites (mean ± 1 sd: 0.36 \pm 0.54‰), 6 subduction volcanites (mean ± 1 sd: 0.12 \pm 0.35‰), and 7 granites (mean ± 1 sd: 0.93 \pm 0.29‰), respectively. Bin size is 0.15‰ set to 1 s.d. reproducibility of the isotopic analysis, equivalent to $\pm 0.075\%$ (63% confidence interval). Data is compiled from [33-36, 66-71].

763

Figure 2. Stratigraphic profile of the Chuar Group and relative water level (after [39]),
distribution of microfossils [44], bottom water redox conditions inferred from Fe
speciation data [after [7]] with expanded width indicating sample positions and
interpolated intervals.

768

769 Figure 3. Stratigraphy, distribution of microfossils, and local redox in the Upper Chuar 770 Group are shown as in Figure 2. Enrichments of highly reacive iron ($Fe_{HR}/Fe_T > 0.38$) 771 with high pyrite content $[Fe_P/Fe_{HR} > 0.7]$ suggest deposition in a euxinic water column. 772 In contrast to modern euxinic sediments the Walcott member display low Mo, U, Mo EF, 773 U EF, and Mo/TOC below mean values of other Proterozoic sequences [17] implying remarkably low Mo inventory in the Chuar basin. The uniform δ^{98} Mo (± 2σ 774 775 reproducibility) indicate persistently euxinic global oceans. Samples from Nankoweap 776 Butte are marked with a diagonal line (\), the rest are from Sixty Mile Canyon. Metal 777 enrichment factors are calculated by $EF = (Mo/Al)_{sample} / (Mo/Al)_{av, crust}$ using average 778 crustal values [72] to visualize authigenic enrichment and compensate for dilution by 779 carbonate sedimentation. Organic carbon content shown is LOI (in agreement with TOC 780 values from [41].

7	8	1

782	Figure 4. δ^{98} Mo is plotted as a function of Mo enrichment in the Walcott shales for
783	anoxic (\blacksquare) and oxic (\Box) samples. Two samples have been oxidized in the outcrop (\Box ,
784	dashed) and one sample has no Fe speciation data. The curve represents a mixing line
785	between lithogenic Mo with a crustal composition δ^{98} Mo = 0.7‰ and authigenic,
786	unfractionated Mo with seawater at 1.0%. Mo EF is used to measure the authigenic Mo
787	enrichment. Samples with high carbonate content are highlighted with accordingly low
788	siliciclastic fraction given in terms of Al/Al _{crust} in %. The Mo contribution from
789	carbonate is insignificant ([Mo] _{carbonate} ~0.02-0.07 ppm) and can be ignored. Therefore,
790	Mo EF reflects authigenic enrichment in all samples.
791	
792	Table 1: Model predictions for the Mo residence time, seawater [Mo] and δ^{98} Mo as a
793	function of various oceanic redox conditions assuming a direct response between Mo
794	inventory and burial rates (y=1). Examples of oceanic coverage are chosen to illustrate
795	how the parameters are related for various ocean compositions. Isotope values within the
796	Walcott range (0.99±0.13‰) are highlighted in red and bold. Parameter values
797	are: δ^{98} Mo _{IN} = 0.65‰ [31], Δ_{OX} = -3.0‰ [34], Δ_{SAD} = -0.7±0.2‰ [26], Δ_{EUX} = 0‰ [25],
798	$(r_{EUX},r_{SAD},r_{OX}$) = (1.2, 0.2, 0.002) $\mu g~cm^{-2}~yr^{-1}$ and F_{IN} = 1.8 $^{\cdot}10^{10}g$ Mo/yr [17], sf =
799	seafloor = $3.6 \cdot 10^8 \text{ km}^2$.
800	
801	Table 2: Sample locations and stratigraphic positions with Fe speciation distribution,
802	TOC, Al, Fe, Fe EF, Mo, Mo EF, Mo/TOC, δ^{98} Mo, U, and U EF for black shales in the
803	Walcott Member of Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, USA.



δ⁹⁸Μο

■Molybdenites □Subduction Volcanics ■Granites

 	 	 	 _	 	 			 	
	I.					Π	ī.		

 	 	 	 _	 	 			 	
	I.					Π	ī.		

Chuar Group Grand Canyon USA

Λ

0



Nankoweap Fm.





Direct feedback model	Euxinic	Sulfidic at depth	Oxic	t _{Mo}	[Mo] _{sw}	δ ⁹⁸ Mo _{sw} ^{c)}
	% sf	% sf	% sf	[kyrs]	[nM]	[‰]
		Moder	'n			
Scott et al. 2008 approx.	0.05	1	90	738	106	2.11 ± 0.10
Scott et al. 2008 (a)	0.063	1	87.5	721	104	2.04 ± 0.10
Brucker et al. 2009	0.021	1	112.5 ^b	724	105	2.35 ± 0.10
Kendall et al. 2009	0.021	1.2	87.5	725	105	2.12 ± 0.12
Euxinia 0.1%	0.1	1	0	972	140	1.12 ± 0.13
with oxic deep ocean	0.1	1	89	657	95	1.94 ± 0.09
with SS shelves	0.1	8	0	172	25	1.31 ± 0.17
with both	0.1	8	89	158	23	1.49 ± 0.17
Euxinia 0.3%	0.3	1	0	583	84	0.93 ± 0.08
with oxic deep ocean	0.3	1	89	453	65	1.54 ± 0.06
with SS shelves	0.3	8	0	154	22	1.24 ± 0.17
with both	0.3	8	89	143	20	1.41 ± 0.16
Euxinia 1.0%	1	1	0	243	35	0.77 ± 0.03
with oxic deep ocean	1	1	89	217	31	1.08 ± 0.02
with SS shelves	1	8	0	112	16	1.08 ± 0.12
with both	1	8	89	106	15	1.22 ± 0.11
Euxinia 2.0%	2	1	0	133	19	0.71 ± 0.02
with oxic deep ocean	2	1	89	124	18	0.91 ± 0.02
with SS shelves	2	8	0	81	12	0.96 ± 0.09
with both	2	8	89	78	11	1.06 ± 0.09
Euxinia 4.0%	4	1	0	69	10	0.68 ± 0.01
with oxic deep ocean	4	1	89	67	9.7	0.78 ± 0.01
with SS shelves	4	8	0	52	7.5	0.85 ± 0.06
with both	4	8	89	51	7.3	0.92 ± 0.05
Euxinia 8.0%	8	1	0	36	5.1	0.67 ± 0.01
with oxic deep ocean	8	1	89	35	5	0.72 ± 0.00
with SS shelves	8	8	0	30	4.4	0.77 ± 0.03
50% Euxinia	50	1	0	5.8	0.84	0.65 ± 0.00
with SS shelves	50	8	0	5.7	0.82	0.67 ± 0.01
90% Euxinia	90	1	0	3.2	0.47	0.65 ± 0.00
with SS shelves	90	8	0	3.2	0.46	0.66 ± 0.01

a) We re-calculate the exact areal coverage needed to balance the reported fluxes and parameter values used here.

b) The budget suggested by Brucker-Poulson et al. 2009 requires faster mean burial rate into oxic sediments.

c) The error estimate on d98MoSW represent the variation caused by varying $\Delta_{\rm SAD}$ ± 0.2‰.

	Exposure ^{a)}	Strat. Height ^{b)}	Fe _{HR} /Fe _T ^{c)}	Fe _{Ox} /Fe _{HR}	Fe _{Mag} /Fe _{HR}	Fe _{Carb} /Fe _{HR}	Fe _P /Fe _{HR} ^{c)}	тос
		[m]						wt%
AK-10-53-12	NB	1594	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.1
AK-10-53-13	NB	1593	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
AK-10-53-13A	NB	1579	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.6	6.4
AK-10-60-38	SMC	1575	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	7.3
AK-10-60-39	SMC	1564	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.6	14.4
AK-10-60-36	SMC	1561						27.8
AK-10-60-36 rep.	SMC	1561						
AK-10-60-35	SMC	1559	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.8	10.3
AK-10-60-35 rep.	SMC	1559						
AK-10-60-34	SMC	1554	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.6	1.7
AK-10-60-33	SMC	1546	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.9	6.8
AK-10-60-32	SMC	1534	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.2	13.7
AK-10-60-31	SMC	1519	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.3	16.3
AK-10-60-31 rep.	SMC	1519						
AK-10-60-30	SMC	1501	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.8	5.2
AK-10-60-29	SMC	1494	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	5.3
AK-10-60-28	SMC	1489	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.8	5.2
AK-10-53-15	NB	1384	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.1	20.7
AK-10-60-19	SMC	1353						0.4
AK-10-60-16	SMC	1350	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	7.9
AK-10-60-13	SMC	1342	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.6

^{a)} Sample localities are Sixty-Mile Canyon (SMC) and NE Flank of Nankoweap Butte (NB)

^{b)} Heights are given relative to the base of the Chuar Group as in (Dehler et al., 2001; Johnston et al., 2010).

^{c)} The local redox conditions are classified using Fe speciation data from (Canfield et al., 2008), where Fe_{HR}/Fe_T > 0.38 indicate deposition under anoxic waters, and Fe_P/Fe

^{d)} Al and Fe are obtained from XRF measurements (Canfield et al. 2008) assuming all Al and Fe are Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃, respectively.

^{e)} Metal enrichment factors are calculated by X EF = (X/AI)_{sample}/(X/AI)_{av. crust} using average crustal values given above each column (Taylor and McLennan, 1995). Typical M This normalization compensates for variable dilution by carbonate sedimentation and facilitates visualization of authigenic enrichment.

^{f)} The δ^{98} Mo ± error (n) are measured relative to the in-house Mo standard ("RochMo2") as described in a footnote of the main text.

Al ^{d)}	Fe ^{d)}	Fe EF ^{e)}	Мо	Mo EF ^{e)}	Mo/TOC	δ ^{98/95} Mo ^{f)}	U	U EF
wt%	wt%	(Fe/AI) _{crust} = 0.5	ppm	(Mo/Al) _{crust} =	ppm/wt%	‰	ppm	(U/AI) _{crust} = 0.35
		wt%/wt%		0.19 ppm/wt%				ppm/wt%
5.2	0.4	0.2	12.1	12.5	3.89	0.18 ± 0.02 (3)		
0.6	1.3	4.4	3.2	28.4	1.27	1.19 ± 0.16 (3)		
0.8	2.0	5.2	0.2	1.4	0.03	0.71 ± 0.06 (2)		
6.5	3.5	1.1	0.4	0.3	0.05		0.4	0.2
0.6	0.5	1.7	3.4	29.9	0.24	0.92 ± 0.19 (2)	3.7	17.4
0.5	0.4	1.8	1.0	11.5	0.04	1.05 ± 0.37 (3)	0.9	5.5
						1.15 ± 0.14 (2)		
7.4	4.7	1.3	2.7	2.0	0.26	1.13 ± 0.38 (2)	6.5	
						1.06 ± 0.12 (3)		
6.1	1.6	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.48	0.91 ± 0.27 (3)	2.4	1.1
5.7	3.1	1.1	3.4	3.2	0.50	0.81 ± 0.28 (3)	4.3	2.1
0.8	0.9	2.3	0.5	3.4	0.04		0.5	1.8
0.7	0.7	2.1	0.7	5.3	0.04	0.82 ± 0.17 (2)	0.6	2.4
						0.88 ± 0.19 (2)		
5.6	2.7	1.0	2.4	2.3	0.46		2.9	1.5
5.9	2.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.13	0.87 ± 0.17 (3)	2.8	1.4
6.0	3.5	1.1	5.2	4.6	1.00	0.89 ± 0.12 (3)		
5.4	1.5	0.6	1.9	1.9	0.09	0.51 ± 0.10 (3)		
0.7	0.2	0.5	0.5	3.7	1.25	0.45 (1)		
0.7	0.8	2.4	0.5	4.0	0.06	0.98 ± 0.14 (3)		
9.1	1.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.53	0.63 ± 0.03 (2)		

 $_{\rm HR}$ > 0.7-0.8 distinguish anoxic+sulfidic bottom waters from anoxic + ferruginous waters.

Io EF values for Archean- and Proterozoic black shales are 2 and 21, respectively (Anbar et al., 2007).

Electronic supplement to:

Molybdenum evidence for expansive sulfidic water masses in ~750 Ma oceans

T. W. Dahl, D. E. Canfield, M. T. Rosing, R. Frei, G. W. Gordon, A. H. Knoll, A. D. Anbar

A1: Modern Mo cycle

The modern oceanic molybdenum cycle has been constrained by isotopic mass balance of the major reservoirs (see main text and summaries in [1-3]). Here, we briefly summarize the state of knowledge necessary for the interpretation of the Mo isotopic composition in ancient sediments. Today, seawater carries a homogeneous isotope composition of δ^{98} Mo = 2.3±0.1‰ [4-6] relative to the oceanic input of dissolved Mo in rivers (90%) at ~0.7‰ [7-9]) with the rest from low temperature hydrothermal fluids [10] at ~0.8‰ [11].

A2 Mo cycle at 750 Ma

A2.1 Slow Mo accumulation in Chuar basin

Our interpretation rests on the assumption that the Walcott basin was capable of rapid, euxinic accumulation of molybdenum. We therefore explore alternative options that could produce low trace metal abundances (Mo = 1-12 ppm, U = 1-7 ppm, Mo/TOC ~ 0.5 ppm/wt%) contrasting high Mo enrichments in modern sediments deposited under similar conditions (Table S1).

	Deep water ∑S(-II) ^{a)}	Deep-water [Mo] _{aq} salinity corrected	Deepwater [Mo] normalized to seawater	Bulk sed. acc. rate	organic C burial flux	Mo accumulation rate flux	TOC	Мо	Mo/TOC	δ^{98} Mo _s offset from surface water
		nM			mg cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹	nmol cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹	wt%	ppm	%	‰
Black Sea, >400m depth Framvaren	350 6000-	0.2-0.3	0.03-0.05	1-20	0.1-1	2	6.1	45	4.5	0^{a}
Fjord	8400	1.3-2.2	0.20-0.30	5-12	1.2-2.4	20	11.6	84	9	
Cariaco Basin	60	6.8-8.4	0.70-0.85	8-25	1-6	63	4.4	85	25	-0.7 to -0.4
Saanich Inlet	25	7.2-9.6	0.80-1.0	42-480	2-11	62	3.2	21	45	
Namibian Shelf	<10	10.5	1.00	12-80	1-10	16	6.7	33	6	
Walcott basin	6-2000	0.02		<14	0.1-0.6	0.33	1-4	2.2	0.2-0.9	

^{a)} Total sulfide: $\sum S(-II) = H_2S + HS^- + S^{2-}$

Table S1: Chemical characteristics of modern euxinic basins and inferred composition for the Walcott basin [20-22].

First, we test if the low Mo concentrations could be an artifact of exquisitely high sedimentation of Mo-depleted material. The average bulk sediment accumulation rate is derived from mean density (2.7 g cm^{-3}) and sedimentation rate (1600 m / 30 Myrs) and gives 14 mg cm⁻² yr⁻¹ for the entire Chuar basin. This is comparable to most other euxinic basins (Table S1) and might even overestimate the sedimentation rate during euxinic deposition, when sea level was at its highest and the water depth at its maximum. In combination with average Mo concentrations at 2.2 ppm, we estimate an average Mo accumulation rate of 0.33 nmol cm⁻² yr⁻¹ for the Walcott shales. This overestimate is already 6-200 times slower than accumulation in modern euxinic basins. Conclusively, the average bulk sedimentation rate is actually not high compared to modern euxinic sediments, and enhanced sedimentation of Mo-poor material could not produce observed low Mo

concentrations if the Walcott basin resembled a modern-type of euxinic system at the given mass accumulation rate.

Next, we test if conditions were in place for Mo accumulation to occur in the Walcott basin (hence solution 1 represent "no Mo capture"). In the current understanding of its euxinic burial pathway, molybdate is sourced from the surface ocean into the sulfidic deep waters and reacts with H₂S in three or four ligand exchange reactions to form the first and second strongly particle reactive oxythiomolybdate species, $MoOS_3^{2-}$ and MoS_4^{2-} [23, 24], respectively. Trithiomolybdate is readily scavenged with sinking particles (organic matter or perhaps Fe-sulfides [25]) and preserved in the sediments. The current model for euxinic Mo accumulation has two general prerequisites, namely sinking particles and H₂S, and might also require Fe²⁺ and moderate pH levels ~6-8 [25]. Both organic matter and FeS/FeS₂ was settling out of the water column since 2-20 wt% total organic carbon and <2 wt% pyrite are present in the Walcott shales. The big question is whether particle reactive Mo species formed. Reactive $MoOS_3^{2-}$ and possibly MoS_4^{2-} (given enough time or a catalytic reaction) are slowly produced from molybdate through reaction with H₂S. This takes place at H₂S > 10 µM [26]¹ equivalent to 40 µM total sulfide at Black Sea temperature and pH of 8°C and 7.69, respectively (remaining 30 µM is dissociated into HS⁻).

How sulfidic was the Walcott basin? Most of the highly reactive iron in the Walcott sediments precipitated as FeS/pyrite. Therefore, its precursor - amorphous FeS - must have formed through reaction with between Fe²⁺ and HS⁻ and, thus, the ion product was high: $[Fe^{2+}][HS^-] > 10^{-2.95\text{-pH}}$ [27]. The continued euxinic signature in the Walcott shale suggests that pyrite production was limited by Fe²⁺ delivery and not by sulfide, so that $[HS^-] > [Fe^{2+}]$. In combination with the ion product, the equations combine to $[HS^-]^2 > [Fe^{2+}][HS^-] > (10^{-2.95\text{-pH}}) = (5 \ \mu\text{M})^2$. Hence, HS⁻

¹ The critical threshold above which trithiomolybdate forms in sulfidic waters is $[H_2S] > K_{03}^{-1/3} = 10\pm 2 \ \mu$ M. This is similar to the action point of switch for MoS_4^{2-} formation: $H_2S > K_{04}^{-1/4} = K_{04}^{-1/4} = 11\pm 3 \ \mu$ M (Erickson & Helz 2000).

concentrations higher than 5 μ M can be inferred from basins where Fe-sulfides precipitate from the water column. This corresponds to total sulfide concentration >7 μ M (with 2 μ M H₂S at pH = 7.69, T = 8°C) and may well have yielded water column concentrations similar to sulfate concentration in the surface waters 1,000-4,000 μ M (sulfate levels are discussed further below). Even mildly or intermittently euxinic basins at 0-60 μ M total sulfide (Cariaco basin, Saanich Inlet and Namibian shelf, Table S1) display at least 10-fold higher Mo accumulation clearly distinct from our ~750 million year old shales, suggestive that low sulfide concentrations were not limiting sedimentary Mo accumulation in the Walcott basin. Further, if the new model for Mo accumulation is correct, Mo precipitation might even be limited in an alkaline basin if sulfide concentrations were too high [25]. One would have to argue that pH in deep euxinic waters were 0.3-0.7 units higher than observed in any modern euxinic basin, and further require permanently > 1,000 μ M total sulfide. Yet, Mo precipitation would proceed at intermediate sulfide concentration, for example near the chemocline, and may still preserve seawater δ^{98} Mo in some of the sediments. Conclusively, the only sensible explanation for the low Mo content and constant δ^{98} Mo value in the Walcott sediments is that the Mo concentration in contemporaneous seawater was low.

A2.2 Chuar basin - exploring solution space

We explore models that would explain the Mo and 98 Mo/ 95 Mo depletion in the Walcott basin. Surface waters were charged with water, at rate V (m³/s) from the ocean (SW) and local rivers plus continental runoff (R). Water is added through precipitation (P) and lost through evaporation (E), so that the abundance (M) and isotopic composition (δ) are given by:

equation 5
$$(V_R + V_{SW} + V_P - V_E) Mo_{mix} = V_R Mo_R + V_{SW} Mo_{SW}$$

equation 6
$$(V_{R} + V_{SW} + V_{P} - V_{E}) \operatorname{Mo}_{mix} \delta_{mix} = V_{R} \operatorname{Mo}_{R} \delta_{R} + V_{SW} \operatorname{Mo}_{SW} \delta_{SW}$$

In steady state equations S1 and S2 combine to:

equation 7
$$0 = (\delta_{R} - \delta_{mix}) V_{R} M O_{R} + (\delta_{SW} - \delta_{mix}) V_{SW} M O_{SW}$$

and thus,

equation 7b
$$(\delta_{SW} - \delta_{mix}) \cdot Mo_{SW}/Mo_R \cdot V_{SW}/V_R = (\delta_{mix} - \delta_R)$$

= $(\delta_{sed} - \delta_R)$

$$= 1.0-0.7 = 0.3\%$$

In the second line, we assume that δ^{98} Mo of the Walcott sediments (sed) directly reflects the surface waters in the Walcott basin (mix), since there can be no isotope fractionation between sediments and water column when Mo is removed to extremely low Mo concentrations in the deep basin. Any of three factors on the left hand side of equation 7b need to be small. If the concentration and isotopic composition of contemporaneous seawater were similar to today (e.g. fully oxic oceans), the ratio of riverine to oceanic water discharge (V_{SW}/V_R) would need to have been 1/95. Alternatively, the oceanic Mo cycle was dramatically different from today with seawater carrying much lower [Mo] and δ^{98} Mo. Before we explore non-actualistic solutions (which implies globally expanded euxinia relative to today), we first discuss why riverine dilution in a hydrographically restricted basin (solution 2) is not a viable answer. The solution phenomena are described in table S2.

	Solution 1	Solution 2	Solution 3
	No Mo capture	Riverine dilution	Globally low δ ⁹⁸ Mo, [Mo]
Requirements	H_2S levels were permanently low	Mixing ratio of oceanic to riverine fluids were 1:95.	$\delta_{SW} - \delta_{CHUAR} \sim 0.05\%$ $M_{SW}/M_R \sim 1$
Implication	Possible Modern Oxic Oceans	Possible Modern Oxic Oceans	Widespread Anoxia
Problems	Fe speciation evidence for high [∑S(-II)]	Unrealistic restriction and $S_{SW} > 14 \text{ mM}$	(Remarkably low [Mo] and δ^{98} Mo)

Table S2: Phenomena that may lead to low Mo and δ^{98} Mo in a euxinic basin

A2.2.1 Solution 2: Riverine dilution and globally oxic oceans

It has been suggested that the low δ^{98} Mo values in the Toarcian black shales of the Cleveland basin (~180 Ma) reflects basinal dilution with riverine fluids [28], and we outline four lines of evidence why such a scenario is insufficient to explain our results from the Chuar basin.

1) Local sea level increased markedly during Walcott times [19], and the connection to the open ocean was likely at its maximum during times of basinal euxinia. This conforms to independent lines of evidence for a marine deposition environment, which are summarized in the main text (including the globally significant microfossil assemblage observed in many other marine basins worldwide).

2) The geometry and hydrographic conditions of the Chuar basin are not well known, but when comparing to the required mixing ratio of seawater to riverine discharge (1:95) to modern marine-connected basins, we can find no modern analogue with similar freshwater dilution. The modern Baltic Sea display deep water salinities of ~10 g/L (25% oceanic component), far from the Supplementary information to: Dahl et al. "Molybdenum evidence for expansive sulfidic water masses..." required setting where 98.9% water is sourced from rivers and only 1.1% from the ocean (Salinity = 0.36).

3) Fluid dynamic considerations elucidate why marine basins contain substantial input of ocean water. Water bodies near the coast that form when fresh water from rivers mix with salt water from the ocean are called estuaries. In positive estuaries (most estuaries), riverine discharge exceeds evaporation allowing for stable salinity gradient and density stratification in the water column. At steady state, surface salinity provides a measure of the mixing ratio of these sources. The complementary "negative estuaries" (e.g. the Mediterranean) display efficient evaporation that drives surface water more saline and leads to an inverted density gradient promoting convective mixing in the basin. For our purpose, negative estuaries can be disregarded since the sediments would record Mo sourced directly from the saline surface waters (inconsistent with a fully oxic Mo cycle) and contradict observed anoxic deep waters unless atmospheric oxygen levels were lower than today.

To maintain stable stratification in a positive estuary saline water must have been supplied to the deep Chuar basin. This stratification is at odds with the extreme supply of river water that is needed in order to generate deceptively low Mo and δ^{98} Mo in the basin and produce a contrasting composition to the open ocean. The criterion for estuarine stratification is summarized in the estuarine Richardson number, which defines the ratio of the stabilizing effect of stratification and the destabilizing effect of shear:

equation 8
$$\operatorname{Ri}_{\mathrm{E}} = (\mathbf{g} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{R}}) / (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{U}^{3})$$

g - gravity, $\Delta \rho$ - density difference between seawater and freshwater, V_R - riverine water flux, ρ = density in the deep basin, W - characteristic width of the estuary, U - r.m.s. tidal velocity.

Estuaries are stably stratified at Ri_{E} , > 0.8 [29]. This occurs at high riverine water flux (V_R) and substantial density gradient between its freshwater and seawater sources ($\Delta\rho$). Stable stratification requires a massive riverine discharge of V_R > 250 m³/s comparable to the Maule River in Chile or three times the Hvitá River [80 m³/s, (Pearce et al. 2010)] in Iceland (here, we assumed the following parameters values: $\Delta\rho = 35$ kg cm⁻³, seawater density 1,035 kg m⁻³, g = 9.8 m/s², W > 10 km, U> 0.22 m/s). The shape of the Chuar basin is unknown, but the minimal lateral width for the Chuar basin (W) is given from today's exposure, 10 km [19]. There is no sedimentological evidence for major fluvial deposits. In fact, tidal influence is reported throughout the section [19] suggestive of a low estuarine Richardson number.

Lastly, we note that a steady undercurrent is driving seawater to any positive estuarine basin. This bottom-water flow is maintained by the horizontal pressure gradient that develops as riverine fresh water entrains into deeper saline waters while dragging basinal waters towards the ocean. The process maintains a landwards undercurrent refilling the deep part of the basin. Consequently, molybdate is sourced from the open ocean into the deeper part of positive estuarine basins even in hydrographically restricted basins. This model provides an explanation why highly euxinic sediments in remote marine basins, such as the deep Black Sea, still carry δ^{98} Mo of global seawater [21], and encourages the use of Mo isotopes to track global ocean redox conditions in the past from restricted marine basins.

4) The hydrographic restriction hypothesis is further disqualified when considering the sulfur cycle in the basin, because the sulfate concentrations in the ocean would need to have been much higher than previously reported. The presence of sulfur isotope fractionation between exported pyrite and carbonate associated sulfate in the Walcott basin [30] implies that the basinal

sulfate concentrations were > 200 μ M [31]. Concentrations are much lower in unpolluted rivers (~50 μ M), and so sulfate must have been sourced from a more concentrated reservoir, almost certainly from the ocean. A simple model for the sulfur cycle in the Chuar basin (Figure S2) allows us to evaluate, what the sulfate concentration in the oceanic source would need to have been at the extreme freshwater dilution needed to fulfill the molybdenum constraint (equation 7b).



Figure S1: Simplified sulfur cycle in the Chuar basin when evaporation and precipitation balance each other. Continental runoff is negligible due to very low sulfate concentrations in river water.

The sulfate concentration in surface waters, S_{mix} , is determined by the concentration in the oceanic (S_{SW}) and riverine (S_R) sources and the corresponding water discharge (q_{SW}, q_R) , precipitation (P) and evaporation (E):

equation 9: $(q_R + q_{SW} + P - E) S_{mix} = q_R S_R + q_{SW} S_{SW}$

In positive estuaries $E \approx P \ll q_R$, q_{SW}) so the sulfur (eq. 5) and molybdenum (eq. 3) constraint yields: $S_{SW} = S_{mix} (q_R / q_{SW} + 1) - q_R / q_{SW} S_R > 0.2 \text{ mM} (95 + 1) - 95 0.05 \text{ mM} > 14 \text{ mM!}$

This is again a minimum estimate. Still, seawater sulfate concentration should have been ~1 order of magnitude higher than previously reported for Proterozoic seawater (0.5-4 mM [30, 32]). We conclude that also in this view is the restriction model unattractive as it directly contradicts with previous attempts to quantify sulfate concentration in Proterozoic oceans.

A2.2.2 Solution 3: Globally anoxic oceans

The only reasonable solution, to the observed low sedimentary [Mo] and δ^{98} Mo in the Walcott shale, is that their associated values in contemporaneous seawater were significantly lower than today (solution 3). This will occur when sulfidic water masses are expanded globally at the expense of oxic seafloor that we know from modern oceans. Both δ^{98} Mo and Mo concentration in seawater decrease as H₂S becomes globally abundant (Table 1). Therefore, expanded euxinia worldwide is an attractive solution. A simple model for oceanic Mo and δ^{98} Mo is described in the model section in the main text. We find realistic solutions for the oceanic Mo budget at 750 Ma at substantial anoxia corresponding to anoxic waters covering a large proportion of the continental shelf sediments.

A2.2.2.1 Mathematical derivation of the direct feedback model

The Mo inventory in the ocean changes with time according to equations 1-4 in the main text:

equation 1	$dMo/dt = F_{sources}$ - F_{sinks}	
equation 2	$F_{SINKS} = F_{OX} + F_{SAD} + F_E$	UX
equation 3	$F_i = A_i \ \ r_i$	i = OX, SAD, or EUX.
equation 4	$r_i = r_{i,today} \ Mo/Mo_{today}$	

The combination of equation 1-4 leads to a simple 1st order differential equation:

equation 10 dMo/dt = a - b Mo

where a and b are functions only of areal coverage of each redox environment. The solution is that the Mo inventory changes from its initial inventory, Mo_0 , in an exponentially decreasing manner towards a "terminal Mo inventory", Mo_{term} :

equation 11
$$Mo(t) = Mo_{term} + (Mo_0 - Mo_{term})^{-} exp(-t/\tau)$$

Any short-term perturbation on Mo is damped over a characteristic time scale known as the residence time scale (τ) and relaxes at a terminal Mo inventory (Mo_{term}) given by:

equation 12
$$Mo_{term} = a/b = F_{sources} \tau$$

equation 13
$$\tau = b^{-1} = \kappa^{-1} \tau_{today}$$

Here, κ is the burial forcing function that is given in terms of areal extent of each redox environment, and the modern values used in the scaling laws. Combining equations 1-4 and 10-13 yields:

equation 14a
$$a = F_{source}$$

equation 14b
$$b = (A_{OX} r_{OX} + A_{SS} r_{SS} + A_{EUX} r_{EUX})/([Mo]_{today} V_{today})$$

Substituting parameter values from [3] gives a forcing function:

equation 15
$$\kappa = b \tau_{today} = (A_{OX} r_{OX} + A_{SS} r_{SS} + A_{EUX} r_{EUX}) / F_{SINKS}$$

$$= 0.367 (a_{OX}/90\%) + 0.506 (a_{SAD}/1\%) + 0.127 (a_{EUX}/0.05\%)$$

In the last line seafloor coverage is scaled to their estimated modern value and global Mo export covering $a_{OX} = 90\%$, $a_{SAD} = 1\%$ and $a_{EUX} = 0.05\%$ of the ocean floor ($\kappa = 1$) with burial rates, r_i , 0.021, 2.6 and 13 nmol cm⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively [3]. Equation 15 highlights the potential importance

of the sulfidic sinks that would easily overrule the influence of the oxic removal pathway, if sulfidic water masses covered a substantial portion of the seafloor. Results are summarized in Table 1.

Literature cited

- [1] A.D. Anbar, O. Rouxel, Metal stable isotopes in paleoceanography, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 35(2007) 717-746.
- [2] T.W. Dahl, E.H. Hammarlund, A.D. Anbar, D.P.G. Bond, B.C. Gill, G.W. Gordon, A.H. Knoll, A.T. Nielsen, N.H. Schovsbo, D. Canfield, A Devonian rise of atmospheric oxygen correlated to the radiations of terrestrial plants and predatory fish, PNAS(submitted).
- [3] C. Scott, T.W. Lyons, A. Bekker, Y. Shen, S.W. Poulton, X. Chu, A.D. Anbar, Tracing the stepwise oxygenation of the Proterozoic ocean, Nature 452(2008) 456-459.
- [4] Y. Nakagawa, M.L. Firdaus, K. Norisuye, Y. Sohrin, K. Irisawa, T. Hirata, Precise Isotopic Analysis of Mo in Seawater Using Multiple Collector-Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometry Coupled with a Chelating Resin Column Preconcentration Method, Analytical Chemistry 80(2008) 9213-9219.
- [5] J. Barling, G.L. Arnold, A.D. Anbar, Natural mass-dependent variations in the isotopic composition of molybdenum, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 193(2001) 447-457.
- [6] C. Siebert, T.F. Nagler, F. von Blanckenburg, J.D. Kramers, Molybdenum isotope records as a potential new proxy for paleoceanography, Earth Plan. Sci. Lett. 211(2003) 159-171.
- [7] C. Archer, D. Vance, The isotopic signature of the global riverine molybdenum flux and anoxia in the ancient oceans, Nat. Geosci. 1(2008) 597-600.
- [8] C.R. Pearce, K.W. Burton, P. von Strandmann, R.H. James, S.R. Gislason, Molybdenum isotope behaviour accompanying weathering and riverine transport in a basaltic terrain, Earth and Planetary Science Letters 295(2010) 104-114.
- [9] N. Neubert, A. Heri, A. Voegelin, T. N‰gler, F. Schlunegger, I. Villa, The molybdenum isotopic composition in river water: Constraints from small catchments, Earth and Planetary Science Letters(2011).
- [10] C. Wheat, M. Mottl, M. Rudnicki, Trace element and REE composition of a lowtemperature ridge-flank hydrothermal spring, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 66(2002) 3693-3705.
- [11] J. McManus, T.F. Nagler, C. Siebert, C.G. Wheat, D.E. Hammond, Oceanic molybdenum isotope fractionation: Diagenesis and hydrothermal ridge-flank alteration, Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems 3(2002).
- [12] J.L. Hannah, H.J. Stein, M.E. Wieser, J.R. de Laeter, M.D. Varner, Molybdenum isotope variations in molybdenite: Vapor transport and Rayleigh fractionation of Mo, Geology 35(2007) 703-706.
- [13] D. Malinovsky, D. Hammarlund, B. Ilyashuk, O. Martinsson, J. Gelting, Variations in the isotopic composition of molybdenum in freshwater lake systems, Chemical Geology 236(2007) 181-198.
- [14] D. Malinovsky, I. Rodushkin, D. Baxter, J. Ingri, B. Öhlander, Molybdenum isotope ratio measurements on geological samples by MC-ICPMS, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 245(2005) 94-107.
- [15] R. Mathur, S. Brantley, A. Anbar, F. Munizaga, V. Maksaev, R. Newberry, J. Vervoort, G. Hart, Variation of Mo isotopes from molybdenite in high-temperature hydrothermal ore deposits, Mineralium Deposita 45(2010) 43-50.
- [16] J. Martin, M. Meybeck, Elemental mass-balance of material carried by major world rivers, Mar. Chem. 7(1979) 173-206.
- [17] L.E. Wasylenki, B.A. Rolfe, C.L. Weeks, T.G. Spiro, A.D. Anbar, Experimental investigation of the effects of temperature and ionic strength on Mo isotope fractionation during adsorption to manganese oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 72(2008) 5997-6005.

- [18] T. Goldberg, C. Archer, D. Vance, S.W. Poulton, Mo isotope fractionation during adsorption to Fe (oxyhydr)oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73(2009) 6502-6516.
- [19] C.M. Dehler, M. Elrick, K.E. Karlstrom, G.A. Smith, L.J. Crossey, J.M. Timmons, Neoproterozoic Chuar Group (similar to 800-742 Ma), Grand Canyon: a record of cyclic marine deposition during global cooling and supercontinent rifting, Sediment. Geol. 141(2001) 465-499.
- [20] T. Algeo, T. Lyons, Mo-total organic carbon covariation in modern anoxic marine environments: Implications for analysis of paleoredox and paleohydrographic conditions, Paleoceanography 21(2006) 1-A1016.
- [21] N. Neubert, T.F. Nagler, M.E. Bottcher, Sulfidity controls molybdenum isotope fractionation into euxinic sediments: Evidence from the modern Black Sea, Geology 36(2008) 775-778.
- [22] G.L. Arnold, A.D. Anbar, J. Barling, T.W. Lyons, Molybdenum isotope evidence for widespread anoxia in mid-proterozoic oceans, Science 304(2004) 87-90.
- [23] T. Vorlicek, M. Kahn, Y. Kasuya, G. Helz, Capture of molybdenum in pyrite-forming sediments: role of ligand-induced reduction by polysulfides 1, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 68(2004) 547-556.
- [24] T.W. Dahl, A.D. Anbar, G.W. Gordon, M.T. Rosing, R. Frei, D.E. Canfield, The behavior of molybdenum and its isotopes across the chemocline and in the sediments of sulfidic Lake Cadagno, Switzerland, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74(2010) 144-163.
- [25] G.R. Helz, E. Bura-Nakic, N. Mikac, I. Ciglenecki, New model for molybdenum behavior in euxinic waters, Chemical Geology(2011).
- [26] B.E. Erickson, G.R. Helz, Molybdenum(VI) speciation in sulfidic waters: Stability and lability of thiomolybdates, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 64(2000) 1149-1158.
- [27] W. Stumm, J. Morgan, Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY 10158 (USA). 1995.(1995).
- [28] J. McArthur, T. Algeo, B. van de Schootbrugge, Q. Li, R. Howarth, Basinal restriction, black shales, Re-Os dating, and the Early Toarcian (Jurassic) oceanic anoxic event, Paleoceanography 23(2008) PA4217.
- [29] H. Fischer, Mixing and dispersion in estuaries, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 8(1976) 107-133.
- [30] D.T. Johnston, S.W. Poulton, C. Dehler, S. Porter, J. Husson, D.E. Canfield, A.H. Knoll, An emerging picture of Neoproterozoic ocean chemistry: Insight from the Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, USA, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 290(2010) 64-73.
- [31] K.S. Habicht, M. Gade, B. Thamdrup, P. Berg, D.E. Canfield, Calibration of sulfate levels in the Archean Ocean, Science 298(2002) 2372-2374.
- [32] Y. Shen, D. Canfield, A. Knoll, Middle Proterozoic ocean chemistry: evidence from the McArthur Basin, northern Australia, Am. J. Sci. 302(2002) 81-109.