



DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

The metabolic demands of endosymbiotic chemoautotrophic metabolism on host physiological capacities

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
[Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Childress, J. J., and P. R. Girguis. 2011. "The Metabolic Demands of Endosymbiotic Chemoautotrophic Metabolism on Host Physiological Capacities." <i>Journal of Experimental Biology</i> 214, no. 2: 312–325.
Published Version	doi:10.1242/jeb.049023
Accessed	February 16, 2015 7:23:35 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12763600
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP

(Article begins on next page)

1 **The metabolic demands of endosymbiotic chemoautotrophic metabolism on host**
2 **physiological capacities**

3

4 J. J. Childress^{1*} and P. R. Girguis²

5 ¹Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa
6 Barbara, CA 93106, USA, ²Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology,
7 Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

8 *Author for correspondence (childres@lifesci.ucsb.edu)

9 Running Title: Chemoautotrophic Metabolism

10

11 **SUMMARY**

12 **While chemoautotrophic endosymbioses of hydrothermal vents and other**
13 **reducing environments have been well studied, little attention has been paid to the**
14 **magnitude of the metabolic demands placed upon the host by symbiont metabolism,**
15 **and the adaptations necessary to meet such demands. Here we make the first**
16 **attempt at such an evaluation, and show that moderate to high rates of**
17 **chemoautotrophic or methanotrophic metabolism impose oxygen uptake and proton**
18 **equivalent elimination demands upon the hosts that are much higher than is typical**
19 **for the non-symbiotic annelid, bivalve, and gastropod lineages to which they are**
20 **related. The properties of the hosts are described and compared to determine which**
21 **properties are associated with and predictive of the highest rates. We suggest that**
22 **the high oxygen demand of these symbionts is perhaps the most limiting flux for**
23 **these symbioses. Among the consequences of such demands has been the widespread**
24 **presence of circulating and/or tissue hemoglobins in these symbioses that are**
25 **necessary to support high metabolic rates in thioautotrophic endosymbioses. We**
26 **also compare photoautotrophic with chemo- and methanotrophic endosymbioses to**
27 **evaluate the differences and similarities in physiologies. These analyses suggest that**
28 **the high demand for oxygen by chemo- and methanotrophic symbionts is likely a**
29 **major factor precluding their endosymbiosis with cnidarians.**

30

31

1 Key words: chemoautotrophy, photoautotrophy, symbiosis, Cnidaria, Anthozoa, *Riftia*,
2 oxygen consumption, hemoglobin, sulfide.

4 **Introduction**

5 The deep-sea hydrothermal vent communities were discovered in 1977 and
6 immediately recognized as radically different ecosystems in the deep sea (Corliss and
7 Ballard, 1977; Corliss et al., 1979). Unlike the rest of the deep sea, these communities
8 exhibited extremely high biomasses, aggregated in small areas, whose dominant species
9 were very large and taxonomically novel. By early 1980, the “secret” of these dominant
10 species was found to be endosymbiotic relationships with chemoautotrophic
11 microorganisms whose primary production was fueled by the oxidation of hydrogen
12 sulfide (Cavanaugh, 1985; Cavanaugh et al., 1981; Felbeck, 1981). Subsequent
13 exploration revealed that these symbioses are found in other chemically reducing habitats
14 and in a variety of taxa (for review see Dubilier et al., 2008; Stewart et al., 2005).
15 Although most of the symbionts are sulfur-oxidizers, a number of methanotrophic
16 symbionts have also been found.

17 From early on in vent research it was apparent that the giant tubeworm, *Riftia*
18 *pachyptila*, had unusually high growth rates (Lutz et al., 1994). As they lack a mouth or
19 gut as an adult, *Riftia* (a monospecific genus) must have high rates of carbon fixation to
20 support their growth. The physiological functioning of hydrothermal vent species,
21 especially *Riftia pachyptila*, was studied intensively in following years and major aspects
22 of its physiology and biochemistry were discerned (Arp et al., 1985; Childress and Fisher,
23 1992). Studies showed that hemoglobins play a key role in this physiological functioning,
24 binding both sulfide and oxygen to separate sites, preventing spontaneous oxidation and
25 allowing their transport to the symbionts (Arp and Childress, 1983; Arp et al., 1987;
26 Childress et al., 1991a). Many years of effort were required, however, to successfully
27 measure net fluxes of the major metabolites in these symbioses, as high pressure was
28 necessary to sustain physiological function (Childress et al., 1991; Girguis and Childress,
29 2006).

30 Studies of chemoautotrophic symbioses have revealed a range of metabolic rates
31 that generally correspond to the availability of reducing substrates in the animals’

1 environments and the observed growth rates of the animals. These publications have
2 primarily emphasized the rates of net uptake of inorganic carbon and sulfide. Notably, the
3 intent of this review is to consider the relatively unexamined quantitative demand for the
4 primary oxidant, oxygen, in these symbioses in the context of their physiological
5 functioning (while studies have shown that nitrate is clearly important as a N source for
6 the symbionts, it does not appear to be an important oxidant). It is apparent that
7 chemoautotrophy is very demanding of oxygen, and a previous study suggests that up to
8 80% of oxygen uptake is driven by symbiont metabolism (Girguis and Childress, 2006)).
9 Thus, to sustain high rates of sulfide or methane oxidation, and in turn net carbon
10 incorporation, these hosts must be able to sustain high rates of oxygen uptake by the host
11 and high rates of oxygen transport to the symbionts. Here we propose that the capacity
12 for rapid and continuous uptake of oxygen to support symbiont metabolism is a crucial
13 adaptation for chemoautotrophic and methanotrophic endosymbioses, which severely
14 restricts the ability of some invertebrate taxa to evolve such endosymbioses. Moreover,
15 the ability to cope with and eliminate proton equivalents resulting from chemoautotrophic
16 function is also essential. We also propose that this provides a reasonable explanation for
17 the absence of chemoautotrophic symbioses in the Cnidaria, the phylum with the greatest
18 diversity of photoautotrophic endosymbioses.

19

20 **Comparing physiological and morphological attributes of chemoautotrophic and** 21 **photoautotrophic endosymbioses**

22 Although chemoautotrophic and methanotrophic symbioses have been described
23 in many metazoan taxa (Dubilier et al., 2008; Stewart et al., 2005), only the siboglinid
24 annelids and bivalve and gastropod molluscs have sulfur or methane oxidizing symbionts
25 within host cells (bacteriocytes). In the siboglinids, the symbiont bacteriocytes are
26 located in a specialized tissue within the body called the trophosome (Jones et al 1981).
27 This organ is far removed from the gill, or plume. In contrast, in molluscs - wherein six
28 different families have independently evolved endosymbioses with chemoautotrophs- the
29 bacteria are contained in bacteriocytes located at the gill surfaces (Stewart et al., 2005).

30 Similarly, among photoautotrophic symbioses (which are widely distributed
31 among metazoan taxa), only the Cnidaria and gastropod mollusks have intracellular

1 symbionts (Smith and Douglas, 1987). Of these, the Cnidaria clearly have the greatest
2 proliferation of symbiotic species as well as dominance in some ecosystems. In the
3 cnidarians, the symbionts are contained in cells of the endoderm. In the opisthobranch
4 molluscs, which lack gills, the chloroplasts are contained in cells lining the digestive tract
5 that are very close to the surface.

6 All these intracellular autotrophic symbioses share the requirement that substrates
7 and endproducts of symbiont metabolism must pass through the animal's tissues. This
8 presents an opportunity for the hosts to facilitate the functioning of the endosymbionts
9 (which is discussed in greater detail below). For photoautotrophic symbioses, sunlight is
10 necessary for photosynthesis, and as such the endosymbionts are located near the surface of
11 the animals where light can readily penetrate the tissues. The chemoautotrophic
12 symbioses, on the other hand, must supply a reduced sulfur compound (sulfide or
13 thiosulfate) as well as oxygen to support chemosynthesis. While sunlight is not required
14 for chemosynthesis, there are still advantages to locating the symbionts near the host's
15 surface, and this is evident in the body plan of endosymbiotic molluscs. The siboglinid
16 trophosome is located deep within the worm (also discussed in detail below). With
17 respect to eliminating waste products from symbiont metabolism, photoautotrophic
18 symbioses must dispose of excess oxygen produced during photosynthesis.

19 Chemoautotrophic hosts must eliminate the endproducts of sulfur oxidation, mainly
20 sulfate and hydrogen ions (Goffredi et al., 2000; Girguis et al., 2002). Among
21 photoautotrophs, symbiont photosynthesis results in high internal oxygen partial
22 pressures in high light regimes, which drives diffusion of oxygen. For chemoautotrophic
23 symbioses, sulfate and proton equivalents are actively "pumped" out against the
24 concentration gradient. Notably, both types of symbioses require defenses against
25 reactive oxygen species produced during photosynthesis (Shick and Dykens, 1985) or
26 sulfur oxidation (Blum and Fridovich, 1984; Tapley and Shick, 1991).

27 In both types of symbioses, nitrogen is often taken up by the symbionts in the
28 form of ammonium ions, either from the environment or from the catabolism of food
29 captured by the host (Lee and Childress, 1994; Miller and Yellowlees, 1989; Yellowlees
30 et al., 2008). Some photoautotrophic symbioses are also able to take up nitrate from the
31 very low concentrations found in their environments (Furla et al., 2005). Many

1 photoautotrophic symbioses occupy nutrient poor habitats, and depend on obtaining
2 ammonium from heterotrophic feeding and recycling within the symbiosis as well as
3 from the environment (Falkowski et al., 1993; Yellowlees et al., 2008). In the
4 chemoautotrophic and methanotrophic symbioses, most examined are able to readily use
5 nitrate, which is much more available in deep ocean waters (Lee and Childress, 1994;
6 Girguis et al., 2000). The siboglinids appear to use only nitrate because they maintain very
7 high internal ammonium concentrations throughout their bodies due to their uptake of
8 nitrate and its reduction to ammonium by the symbionts (De Cian et al., 2000; Girguis et
9 al., 2000). In addition, nitrogen limitation is considered a possible mechanism for the
10 photoautotrophic host's control of symbiont density (Falkowski et al., 1993). This is
11 unlikely to be the case for most chemoautotrophic symbioses because of the ready
12 availability of inorganic nitrogen in their environments as well as high internal
13 ammonium concentrations in siboglinids.

14 With respect to carbon acquisition, photoautotrophic and chemoautotrophic
15 symbioses typically host symbionts that fix inorganic carbon. For both photoautotrophic
16 and chemoautotrophic symbioses, inorganic carbon is derived from the ambient seawater,
17 which typically contains ca. 2 mmol l⁻¹, as well as from the animal respiration. At vents
18 and seeps, however, chemoautotrophic symbioses also acquire their inorganic carbon
19 from a mixture of bottom water and porewaters or vent fluids. In these mixed, diffuse
20 fluids inorganic carbon can reach greater than 6 mmol l⁻¹ and pH values of 6 to 6.5
21 around *Riftia* (Childress et al., 1993) with low pH being typical of hard bottom deep-sea
22 vent environments (Tunnicliffe et al., 2009). At vents and seeps, elevated inorganic
23 carbon and lower environmental pH results in increased pCO₂ (Childress et al., 1993b),
24 which can greatly increase the ability of the chemoautotrophic symbioses to take up
25 inorganic carbon. The elevated external pCO₂ results in high internal pCO₂ which is
26 expected to be much more important as a resource for C fixation than the much smaller
27 amount of respiratory CO₂ produced (in chemoautotrophs, respiratory CO₂ is mostly if
28 not entirely derived from host respiration of symbiont produced carbon, and does not
29 contribute to net productivity). Notably, the uptake of inorganic carbon in both types of
30 associations is facilitated by carbonic anhydrases, which catalyze the rapid

1 interconversion of bicarbonate and carbon dioxide (Goffredi et al., 1999b; Kochevar and
2 Childress, 1996; Yellowlees et al., 2008).

3 The reduced sulfur compound hydrogen sulfide is extremely toxic to animals as it
4 poisons cytochrome-c-oxidase and arrests aerobic respiration. In general,
5 photoautotrophic symbioses are not exposed to reduced chemicals such as hydrogen
6 sulfide. As such, most are not likely adapted to mitigate exposure to sulfide. In contrast,
7 chemoautotrophic symbioses live in environments characterized by substantial sulfide
8 concentrations. All the inhabitants of these habitats -whether they have symbionts or not-
9 must deal with the problem of sulfide toxicity. In the case of the symbiotic molluscs, they
10 typically oxidize sulfide to thiosulfate to reduce toxicity, and their symbionts can use
11 thiosulfate (which is significantly less toxic) as a reductant. However, only siboglinids
12 have been shown to exclusively transport sulfide to the symbionts, having negligible
13 production of thiosulfate (Childress and Fisher, 1992; Childress et al., 1991a).
14 Hemoglobin is typically very abundant in siboglinids as well as all of the molluscan
15 chemoautotrophic symbioses except the mytilid bivalves (Dando et al., 1985; Doeller et
16 al., 1988; Terwilliger and Terwilliger, 1985; Wittenberg, 1985; Wittenberg and Stein,
17 1995). These respiratory pigments have been implicated in the supplying of oxygen and
18 sulfide to the endosymbionts in these groups, and will be discussed in detail later. To
19 date, none of the photoautotrophic endosymbioses have been found to contain
20 hemoglobin or other respiratory pigments.

21 Another very interesting difference between the photoautotrophic and
22 chemoautotrophic symbioses is the means by which the host obtains reduced carbon
23 compounds from the endosymbionts. In the case of the photoautotrophic symbioses, it
24 seems to universally be the case that the endosymbionts “leak” one or a few specific
25 organic compounds under the control of the hosts (Trench, 1993; Venn et al., 2008;
26 Yellowlees et al., 2008). For the chemoautotrophic symbioses, the hosts appear to digest
27 the endosymbionts in all the groups except the bivalves of the family Solemyidae (Bright
28 et al., 2000; Fiala-Medioni et al., 1994). In the case of the methanotrophic mussel,
29 *Bathymodiolus childressi*, this transfer takes days supporting histological evidence for
30 digestion (Fisher and Childress, 1993). In the case of the solemyid *Solemya reidi*, the

1 movement of ^{14}C labeled organic carbon from the gills takes place within minutes
2 precluding digestion (Fisher and Childress, 1986).

3 Among the chemoautotrophic symbioses, only the siboglinid polychaetes are
4 organized in such a fashion that the endosymbionts are remote from the surface of the
5 animal hosts and therefore metabolites are passed through multiple tissues as well as
6 being transported in the vascular system on their way to the symbionts (Jones, 1981). The
7 closest to this organization in the photoautotrophic symbioses are tridacnid clams that
8 have extracellular symbionts contained in extensions of the digestive tract in the mantle.
9 These tubular extensions are in very close association with the vascular system so that
10 photosynthetically produced oxygen is removed by the circulatory system and gills
11 (Farmer et al., 2001; Mangum and Johansen, 1982). In both cases, such an organization
12 allows the animal hosts to control the supply of metabolites to the endosymbionts as well
13 as effectively remove waste products. It also potentially enables much higher rates of
14 metabolite uptake and transport to and from the endosymbionts as well as animal tissues.

15 In light of the hosts' dependence on symbiont primary production, comparing
16 differences in carbon fixation rates among these photoautotrophic and chemoautotrophic
17 symbioses is especially revealing. The data presented here for chemoautotrophic
18 symbioses (Table 1) represent net fluxes of metabolites measured using flow-through
19 pressurized aquaria. Heterotrophic metabolism by the host has been subtracted out of the
20 carbon flux data, so these values represent net production (i.e. carbon accumulation, that
21 is growth) in relation to wet body mass. Primary production rates by intact
22 photoautotrophic symbioses have mostly been inferred from the net oxygen production
23 while illuminated, oxygen consumption in the dark, estimates of % translocation of
24 photosynthate and other factors. To our knowledge, all such data have been normalized to
25 something other than live weight, usually protein or chlorophyll (Falkowski et al., 1984;
26 Muscatine and Porter, 1977; Yellowlees et al., 2008). Regardless, the net rates of carbon
27 fixation by chemoautotrophic associations (Table 1) can roughly be compared to those of
28 the photoautotrophs with respect to the rates of heterotrophic CO_2 production. In most
29 cases, the rates of sustained net inorganic carbon uptake in chemoautotrophic symbioses
30 exceeds heterotrophic production by several fold, varying from about 100% of the

1 heterotrophic consumption (i.e. gross uptake is about twice the heterotrophic rate) up to
2 10 to 14 times higher for the siboglinids and *Alviniconcha*.

3 Photoautotrophic net carbon fixation estimates are also quite variable, though
4 these it has been suggested that symbiont carbon fixation may not always account for the
5 associations' carbon needs. For photoautotrophic symbioses it appears that the maximum
6 gross primary production as estimated from oxygen production would be less than twice
7 the heterotrophic consumption (Falkowski et al., 1984). For example, using data from
8 McCloskey and Muscatine (1984), it is possible to make an approximate estimate of net
9 inorganic C uptake as % of body C for the coral *Stylophora pistillata*, (McCloskey and
10 Muscatine, 1984). These authors report that specimens of this species had net C fixation
11 rates of 0.698 and 0.168 mg C mg⁻¹ algal C day⁻¹ respectively for specimens from 3 and
12 35 m. Using the biomass ratios of 5.1% and 4.0% respectively (as in Falkowski et al.
13 1984) we can calculate that this species has net inorganic C fixation rates of 3.6 and
14 0.67% of total body carbon per day respectively. From these data, it appears that
15 cnidarian algal endosymbioses can have C fixation rates relative to body C that are
16 comparable to the less productive chemoautotrophic associations, but well below those of
17 the most effective symbioses, the siboglinids and *Alviniconcha* (ca. 10% of body carbon
18 per day). In both photoautotroph and chemoautotrophic associations, the degree to which
19 heterotrophy supplements symbiont-derived organic matter has been well studied.
20 Notably, all of the cnidarian symbioses and nearly all photoautotrophic symbioses feed
21 heterotrophically. In contrast, all of the chemoautotrophic endosymbioses -except the
22 mytilid bivalves- have severely reduced or no ability to feed on particulate material,
23 emphasizing their greater dependence upon carbon fixed by their endosymbionts. While
24 it is generally accepted that the leaked carbon in the photoautotrophic symbioses is not
25 nutritionally complete (Falkowski et al., 1984), this is not likely the case in those
26 chemoautotrophic symbioses that digest their symbionts and cannot feed.

27

28 **Comparison of the rates of metabolite exchange among chemoautotrophic** 29 **endosymbioses.**

30 In comparing the metabolite fluxes of chemoautotrophic symbioses shown in
31 Table 1, we will explore the anatomical organizations and physiological properties that

1 make these fluxes possible. It is also essential to consider the availability of reduced
2 substrate in the species' habitats as some habitats such as hydrothermal vents have very
3 large amounts of sulfide available while others such as reducing sediments are
4 constrained by the low rates of diffusion through sediment. The rates presented in Table 1
5 are sustained net fluxes, determined in flowing water respirometers, at habitat pressure
6 for vent species, over periods of hours to days. Host metabolism is part of the oxygen
7 uptake rates, but not part of the inorganic carbon uptake rates which are net rates. As
8 mentioned, the animal metabolic demands in highly productive symbioses are much
9 lower than those of the symbionts. For example, at the temperatures shown, the oxygen
10 consumption due to *Riftia* host respiration is about $2 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ (Childress et al., 1984;
11 Childress and Mickel, 1985) while the remaining $27 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ represents the oxidation
12 of sulfide by the symbionts (Table 1). Notably, the rates of oxygen consumption by these
13 symbioses when in autotrophic balance (meaning when chemoautotrophic metabolism
14 exceeds heterotrophic metabolism and produces a net uptake of inorganic carbon) are
15 typically much higher than the rates of other comparable invertebrates as well as
16 cnidarians (Fig. 1). This is not unexpected as the oxidation of both methane and sulfide
17 have high oxygen requirements (stoichiometrically, methane oxidation typically requires
18 two oxygens per methane molecule for complete oxidation and less to the degree that
19 methane carbon is incorporated into organic carbon, while sulfide oxidation typically
20 uses two oxygens per sulfide molecule). The ability of the animal hosts to support these
21 high oxygen demands is a critical determinant of the rates of carbon fixation that can be
22 achieved. For example, the oxygen uptake rate by *Riftia*, which is the highest among the
23 chemoautotrophs in Fig. 1, is higher than routine rates for highly active animals such as
24 loliginid squid and active fish (horse mackerel), even though *Riftia* is not using it for
25 locomotion. For a sessile invertebrate, *Riftia* and other siboglinids have an astonishing
26 and unique ability to take up and transport oxygen at very high rates.

27 Although these high oxygen consumption rates are not to support typical animal
28 needs such as endothermy or muscular activity, they impose upon the host the same sorts
29 of demands for oxygen uptake. The symbiont containing tissues are novel, high oxygen
30 demand tissues within the context of these metazoans. In the remainder of this section
31 we will further examine the functioning of chemoautotrophic and methanotrophic

1 symbioses to evaluate which properties of these systems are associated with higher rates
2 of carbon fixation.

4 *Characteristics and functioning of siboglinid-chemoautotrophic endosymbioses*

5 The highest rates of carbon fixation and oxygen consumption have been found in
6 the hydrothermal vent clade of the siboglinids (Table 1). The members of this clade,
7 represented by *Riftia*, *Tevnia* and *Ridgeia*, live in vent environments characterized by
8 elevated temperatures and high fluxes of sulfide in the venting waters around the worms.
9 Worms in this clade carry out gas exchange entirely across their plume, which is
10 positioned at the turbulent interface between the venting water and the ambient deep-sea
11 water (Childress and Fisher, 1992; Johnson et al., 1988). These worms typically have
12 very large gill areas relative to their size ($22 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$). They all have very thin diffusion
13 distances (ca. $2 \mu\text{m}$) between the water and their hemolymph (Andersen et al., 2006;
14 Andersen et al., 2002). These parameters support a high capacity for diffusion, being
15 comparable to those of very active pelagic fishes, for example.

16 In contrast, the more basal hydrocarbon seep clade, Lamellibrachiidae,
17 represented by *Lamellibrachia* in Table 1 (Black et al., 1997; McMullin et al., 2003;
18 Rouse, 2001), live at lower temperatures with their basal ends buried deep in sediments
19 and their plumes positioned well above the sediments. They have considerably lower
20 rates of CO_2 and O_2 uptake and take up oxygen through their proportionally much smaller
21 plumes and sulfide through their posterior extensions that have been dubbed “roots”
22 (Freytag et al., 2001; Julian et al., 1999; Ortega et al., 2008). Their environment is stable
23 and depletion of sulfide around the roots probably limits their autotrophic potential,
24 though they do transport the endproduct of sulfur oxidation, sulfate, back into the
25 sediments to further stimulate sulfide generation by sulfate reducing bacteria (Cordes et
26 al., 2003; Dattagupta et al., 2008; Dattagupta et al., 2006). In the evolution of the vent
27 siboglinids, gills apparently became enlarged and all metabolite exchanges were localized
28 to the gill, enabling much higher metabolite uptake from the turbulent vent waters.

29 The physiological functioning of the vent siboglinids is portrayed in Fig. 2. The
30 functioning of the seep worms would be similar with the uptake of sulfide and
31 elimination of sulfate transferred to the posterior root structure. As adults, the siboglinids

1 lack a gut or a mouth. They have a large circulatory system which pumps hemolymph
2 through the gill and then to the trophosome where the bacteria are located in
3 bacteriocytes. The trophosome is heavily vascularized with small blood vessels that come
4 within a few μm at most of the individual bacteriocytes ensuring effective exchange of
5 metabolites with the hemolymph (Arp and Childress, 1985). The trophosome accounts
6 for between 10 and 30% of the wet tissue weight in *Riftia* depending upon the worm size,
7 and the coelomic fluid is around 25% (Childress et al., 1984; Fisher et al., 1988a), while
8 hemolymph is around 15% (J. J. Childress, unpublished). The coelomic fluid, which
9 surrounds the trophosome, does not circulate but is in close contact with the hemolymph.
10 The total hemoglobin concentration is much lower in the coelomic fluid, as it lacks the
11 large 3.5 kDa hemoglobin that is found only in the hemolymph (Childress et al., 1991a).
12 Also, both sulfide and nitrate concentrations are much lower in the coelomic fluid due to
13 limited binding capacity resulting from lower hemoglobin concentrations. The coelomic
14 fluid is thought to act as a metabolite reservoir to buffer short term fluctuations in uptake
15 over the plume. For many inorganic ions, coelomic fluid and hemolymph are nearly in
16 equilibrium though there are small, significant differences (Childress et al., 1991a).

17 In *Riftia*, oxygen diffuses through the gill surface and is bound to the very high
18 oxygen affinity hemoglobins that transport it to the trophosome (Arp et al., 1990;
19 Terwilliger et al., 1985). The very high affinity ensures loading of the hemoglobin at the
20 gill surface when oxygen is available, but also limits the spontaneous oxidation of sulfide
21 and restricts unloading when the plume is exposed to the anoxic venting waters. The
22 vascular hemoglobins also bind sulfide with a very high affinity to sites different from
23 those that bind oxygen (Arp and Childress, 1983; Arp and Childress, 1985; Childress et
24 al., 1984; Fisher and Childress, 1984). This enables these worms to greatly concentrate
25 sulfide in their blood (Childress et al., 1991a), and transport it to symbionts while
26 preventing spontaneous reaction with oxygen (Fisher and Childress, 1984). This sulfide
27 binding capacity serves to provide high concentrations of sulfide to the symbionts while
28 protecting the symbionts from substrate inhibition, as was demonstrated in experiments
29 showing much greater carbon fixation by isolated trophosome tissue in the presence of
30 *Riftia* blood as compared to saline with equal sulfide concentrations (Fisher et al., 1989;
31 Fisher et al., 1988b). These hemoglobins also have a high enough affinity for sulfide to

1 protect cytochrome-c-oxidase from sulfide poisoning (Powell and Somero, 1983). The
2 binding mechanism that was originally thought to involve binding to free sulfhydryl
3 groups on the hemoglobins has now been shown to involve binding to zinc ions on the
4 hemoglobins (Flores et al., 2005; Royer and Flores, 2007).

5 Both H_2S and HS^- are acquired by the host, but surprisingly the charged HS^-
6 appears to preferentially diffuse through the gill tissue into the blood (Girguis and
7 Childress, 2006; Goffredi et al., 1997b). The $\sum\text{H}_2\text{S}$ concentration in the vascular and
8 coelomic fluids is limited by the binding capacity of their hemoglobins, which appear to
9 bind HS^- (Childress et al., 1991a). Unlike the molluscan symbioses, *Riftia* does not
10 accumulate thiosulfate in the presence of sulfide, indicating that the siboglinids are
11 specialized to provide sulfide -the most reduced and hence energetic form of inorganic
12 sulfur- to their symbionts, rather than reducing toxicity by oxidizing it to thiosulfate
13 (Childress et al., 1991a). In sum, the hemoglobins provide a stable supply of sulfide, at
14 high concentration and low chemical activity, to the symbionts that enables them to have
15 much higher levels of carbon fixation than would be the case without the sulfide binding
16 (Fisher et al., 1989).

17 Previous studies have also shown that when *Riftia* are exposed to adequate sulfide
18 concentrations over time, the symbionts store a substantial fraction as elemental sulfur.
19 This can reach concentrations greater than 10% of the wet weight of the trophosome
20 (Fisher et al., 1988a) which is quickly oxidized if sulfide is withheld from the worms
21 (Childress et al., 1991a). The primary end-products of chemoautotrophic metabolism are
22 sulfate and hydrogen ions. These are moved out of the worms by active transport in the
23 gill (Goffredi et al., 1999a).

24 As mentioned, the primary source of nitrogen for biosynthesis in vent siboglinids
25 is typically nitrate (Girguis et al., 2000). Nitrate enters across the gill, apparently by
26 diffusion, and is bound to the hemoglobin (Girguis et al., 2000; Hahlbeck et al., 2005). In
27 the trophosome it is reduced to ammonia, which is found in high concentrations in the
28 vascular and coelomic fluids (De Cian et al., 2000; Girguis et al., 2000; Lee and
29 Childress, 1994) and is presumably used by the endosymbionts in synthesizing amino
30 acids (Lee et al., 1999). When supplied with nitrate there is substantial leakage of
31 ammonium ion and lesser leakage of nitrate into the surrounding water apparently

1 diffusing down the gradient due to the higher internal concentrations (Girguis et al.,
2 2000). This outward gradient explains why the siboglinids don't usually take up
3 ammonium ion (Lee and Childress, 1994). There are also a variety of other nitrogenous
4 compounds at high concentrations in the trophosome, but their function is not clear (De
5 Cian et al., 2000; Girguis et al., 2000; Lee and Childress, 1994). Although nitrate is
6 potentially an oxidant that the symbionts can use, experiments with intact symbioses in
7 net autotrophic balance have failed to show an impact of nitrate on uptake of oxygen,
8 sulfide or inorganic carbon (Girguis et al., 2000). Further, when the intact symbioses are
9 kept under severely hypoxic conditions the symbionts are apparently unable to consume
10 nitrate as shown by their failure to lower the hemolymph nitrate concentrations over time
11 in the absence of external nitrate (J. J Childress, unpublished). Nitrate is also at much
12 lower concentrations in hemolymph from worms with a surfeit of sulfide in their
13 environment than is the capacity of these same worms to bind oxygen in their
14 hemolymph and this alone would limit the role of nitrate as an oxidant (Hahlbeck et al.,
15 2005). Thus nitrate seems to have at best a marginal role as oxidant in siboglinid
16 symbioses but a critical role as the source of nitrogen for biosynthesis.

17 Inorganic carbon for chemoautotrophic carbon fixation is taken up as CO₂ across
18 the gill, facilitated by carbonic anhydrase (Goffredi et al., 1997a; Kochevar and
19 Childress, 1996; Sanchez et al., 2007). In the hemolymph it is stored primarily as
20 bicarbonate at the relatively alkaline pH of 7.4, concentrating ΣCO_2 by an "alkaline trap"
21 mechanism (Childress et al., 1993b; Goffredi et al., 1997a). For example, at one site
22 where $[\Sigma\text{CO}_2]$ in the water around the worms was 4.7 mmol l⁻¹, $[\Sigma\text{CO}_2]$ in the
23 hemolymph was 30 mmol l⁻¹, and values up to 70 mmol l⁻¹ have been found at other sites.
24 This high concentration in the blood facilitates the transport of inorganic carbon to the
25 symbionts in the hemolymph. The high bicarbonate concentrations in the hemolymph
26 result in the animal apparently transporting Cl⁻ out to compensate for what would
27 otherwise be substantial osmotic and charge imbalances (Goffredi et al., 1999a).
28 Carbonic anhydrase also plays a role in the movement of inorganic carbon from the blood
29 to the symbionts in the trophosome bacteriocytes (Goffredi et al., 1997a; Goffredi et al.,
30 1999b; Kochevar and Childress, 1996; Sanchez et al., 2007). Via inhibitor experiments
31 on live animals in pressurized aquaria and respirometer vessels, investigators showed the

1 stoppage of CO₂ uptake when carbonic anhydrase was fully inhibited, confirming that
2 carbonic anhydrases are essential for the movement of CO₂ into and through the worm's
3 tissues at rates needed by the symbiosis (Goffredi et al., 1999b). In siboglinids, once the
4 carbon has been fixed by the symbionts, it is probably not rapidly translocated to the host.
5 Current models suggest that there is a complex but orderly symbiont life cycle taking
6 place in the trophosome, and that organic carbon is transferred to the host through
7 systematic digestion of the bacteria in the bacteriocytes (Bright et al., 2000).

8 One remaining essential aspect of this symbiosis is the elimination of hydrogen
9 ions. Diffusion of CO₂ or H₂S into the hemolymph as well as the oxidation of sulfide will
10 yield a substantial load of hydrogen ions. However, *Riftia* has very effective control of
11 hemolymph pH, showing little deviation from pH 7.4 regardless of the sulfide or
12 inorganic carbon concentrations under aerobic conditions (Goffredi et al., 1997a).
13 Respirometer experiments using live *Riftia* demonstrated that hydrogen ion excretion in
14 live animals is closely tied to sulfide oxidation and the rates of hydrogen ion excretion of
15 this animal are unprecedented for a marine animal (Girguis et al., 2002). The use of
16 transport inhibitors on live animals demonstrated the total elimination of proton
17 elimination with the concurrent elimination of CO₂ uptake, and severe reductions in
18 sulfide and oxygen uptake. High activities of proton ATPases have been demonstrated in
19 the gill of *Riftia* (Goffredi and Childress, 2001). Even inhibition of K⁺/H⁺ ATPases in the
20 less metabolically active seep worm *Lamellibrachia* rapidly stopped carbon fixation and
21 sulfide uptake (P. R. Girguis, unpublished). In sum, substantial proton pumping capacity
22 appears essential for thiotrophic endosymbioses, even for those with lower metabolic
23 rates.

24 Whereas an abundant availability of sulfide and oxygen, as well as elevated
25 temperatures, are major environmental properties at diffuse vents that enable high carbon
26 fixation rates in vent siboglinids, the studies above show that physiological and
27 biochemical adaptations of the animal hosts are required to take advantage of these
28 properties to sustain high rates of carbon fixation. These include the hemoglobins that
29 can bind oxygen and sulfide with high affinity to separate sites, controlling toxicity,
30 providing the necessary high capacitances in the hemolymph, and providing sulfide to the
31 symbionts to sustain symbiont metabolism. Moreover, morphological adaptive traits such

1 as the large gill surface enable high diffusive fluxes of substrates and waste products.
2 Finally a pronounced capacity to control hemolymph pH via high activities of proton
3 ATPases is necessary for the survival of the host, as well as for concentrating inorganic
4 carbon and keeping the hemolymph pH in a suitable range for oxygen and sulfide
5 transport. It is likely that the seep worms have lower rates due to temperature, but more
6 importantly the low fluxes of sulfide to their “roots” deep in the sediments. In these
7 diffusion dominated systems, they simply do not have the same rate of substrate supply to
8 support chemoautotrophic function that the hot vent species do. It is apparent that in the
9 advection-dominated vent flows -with a continuous supply of sulfide and oxygen- the
10 evolved functional modifications, aside from the elimination of roots, were ones
11 primarily of degree, not of kind.

12

13 *Characteristics and functioning of mollusc-chemoautotrophic endosymbioses*

14 The molluscs have evolved chemoautotrophic endosymbioses in six different
15 families, five bivalve ones and one gastropod family (Distel, 1998; Stewart et al., 2005).
16 All of these endosymbioses contain the bacteria within bacteriocytes in the surface layer
17 of gill cells. As presented in Table 1, all of these bivalve symbioses have much lower
18 rates of carbon fixation and oxygen demand than do the vent siboglinids (we discuss the
19 provannid gastropods below). The most obvious attribute shared by all of the molluscan
20 thiotrophic and methanotrophic endosymbioses is that they have very large gills
21 compared to non-symbiotic bivalves or gastropods. The available data on the gill size
22 relative to the whole body are given in Table S1 for these endosymbioses, as well as a
23 few non-symbiotic species. Endosymbiotic species have gills that range from 17 to 38%
24 of their wet tissue weights, while the non symbiotic ones range from 5 to 15% with non-
25 mytilids being at the lower end of this range. Within the family Mytilidae, the gills of the
26 symbiont bearing species (subfamily Bathymodiolinae) are an almost 3 fold greater
27 percentage of the total tissue weight than in the non symbiotic *Mytilus edulis*. Another
28 criterion for comparing the gills is the gill surface areas relative to the body weights.
29 Such a determination is available for only one endosymbiotic mollusk, *Solemya velum*,
30 which exhibits an extraordinarily high surface area of $107 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Scott, 2005). This

1 compares with surface areas in the range of 5 to 15 cm² g⁻¹ in other bivalves (Booth and
2 Mangum, 1978; Ghiretti, 1966) and 10 to 22 cm² g⁻¹ in *Riftia* (Andersen et al., 2002).

3 From this perspective it seems clear that the apparent capacity of molluscan
4 lineages to evolve gills of immense size relative to the total mass and area of the animals
5 without impairing physical functioning of the animal is a key component of the success
6 of these molluscs as hosts for thiotrophic and methanotrophic symbionts. These gills are
7 not, however, gills in the same sense as the plume gill of *Riftia* or the gills of fishes and
8 cephalopods. In these other cases, the gill is the site of diffusion of gases into or out of
9 the circulating vascular fluid, which transports these gases to and from the tissues where
10 they are used or produced. In the case of these molluscan symbioses, little of the
11 metabolite exchange goes through the gills to the hemolymph, as by far the majority is
12 consumed by the symbionts within the surface layer of the gills. In this sense these
13 molluscan gills are not, to a large extent, functioning as gills but rather as very large
14 surfaces that are very well ventilated while being physically protected . This is further
15 emphasized by the relatively large diffusion distances from water to blood observed in
16 some of these symbioses. For example the vesicomid *Calyplogena elongata* has a
17 diffusion distance of about 6 μm (Childress et al., 1991b) while *Bathymodilus childressi*
18 and *B. thermophilus* have diffusion distances of about 12 and 17 μm respectively (Fisher
19 et al., 1987) which reduce their effectiveness in passing gases to and from the
20 hemolymph. Thus, their critical importance is as a very expanded surface, which is
21 continuously and effectively exposed to the highest concentrations of the needed
22 metabolites that are available in their environments. This view of bivalve gills is
23 consistent with the literature on the gills of mytilids, in which their gills are commonly
24 regarded as being as being large primarily to facilitate filter feeding (Bayne et al., 1976).
25 In fact, for *Modiolus demissus* it has been estimated that most gas exchange for the
26 animal tissues takes place across the body surfaces and only 15% of the consumed
27 oxygen is carried in the hemolymph, which lacks a respiratory protein (Booth and
28 Mangum, 1978). Thus, in the molluscan endosymbioses considered here, the gill sizes
29 and areas seem to be driven not by the need for gas exchange into the animal but by the
30 need for a large, ventilated surface area to accommodate a substantial symbiont
31 population.

1 The other property, which is almost universal in these symbiotic molluscan
2 groups, is the presence of tissue hemoglobins in the gills (Dando et al., 1985; Hourdez
3 and Weber, 2005; Wittenberg, 1985; Wittenberg and Stein, 1995). Only the mytilids lack
4 gill hemoglobins. These hemoglobins have been reported to interact with both oxygen
5 and sulfide in thysasirid, solemyid and lucinid bivalves (Dando et al., 1985; Doeller et al.,
6 1988; Kraus and Wittenberg, 1990). It seems likely that the substantial concentrations in
7 the gills of the five non-mytilid molluscan families with endosymbionts are important in
8 facilitating the movement of oxygen and sulfide to the symbionts as well as controlling
9 the activity of these substances in the environment of the symbionts.

10 We will now examine the major types of molluscan thiotrophic symbioses,
11 emphasizing the key animal physiological characteristics which facilitate the symbiosis.

12 13 *Vesicomysids*

14 The Vesicomysidae is a very widely distributed and speciose bivalve family. Its members
15 typically are found at the surface of reducing sediments which have reducing conditions
16 near the surface. They have a very extensible foot, and use this to reach into the reducing
17 areas of the sediment to access sulfide, which they take up across this foot and transport
18 to the symbionts in the gills (Arp et al., 1984; Fisher, 1990). The hydrothermal vent
19 vesicomysid clam *Calyplogena magnifica* extends its foot into cracks in the rocks or
20 underneath mussels to access sulfide in weak vent flows. In turn they draw oxygen from
21 the ambient water bathing their gills. Inorganic carbon is probably taken up across both
22 the gills and the foot. Based on histological evidence and lysozyme activities, these clams
23 apparently digest the symbionts (Fiala-Médioni et al., 1990; Fiala-Médioni et al., 1994).
24 This overall scheme is in some ways similar to that of the cold seep siboglinids, and these
25 clams are undoubtedly subject to the same limitations in accessing sulfide due to
26 diffusion through the sediment. Indeed, sulfide uptake rates by two vesicomysid clams
27 from cold seep environments are 2 and 11 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$, comparable to the *Lamellibrachia*
28 siboglinid seep tubeworm (Goffredi and Barry, 2002). Although there have been no
29 measurements of net carbon dioxide or oxygen uptake in the presence of sulfide, their
30 growth rates are not explosively fast like the vent siboglinids but are in a rather typical
31 range for non-symbiotic shallow living bivalves (Lutz et al., 1988). The physiological

1 functioning of this symbiosis is the most different of the six molluscan families (Fig. 3)
2 (Childress and Fisher, 1992).

3 In addition to gill hemoglobins, vesicomids have hemoglobin in cells in their
4 vascular system to transport oxygen from the gills to the animal tissues. They also have a
5 very large protein in the hemolymph that binds sulfide to a zinc moiety (Childress et al.,
6 1993a; Zal et al., 2000). This protein concentrates sulfide into the hemolymph then
7 releases it to the symbionts (Childress et al., 1993a). However, unlike the siboglinids,
8 which do not produce thiosulfate to any extent, the vesicomids do produce thiosulfate in
9 the presence of sulfide and the symbionts metabolize it when deprived of sulfide
10 (Childress et al., 1993a; Childress et al., 1991a).

11 Inorganic carbon uptake is facilitated by carbonic anhydrase in the gills
12 (Kochevar and Childress, 1996). One way that the vesicomids are very different from
13 the siboglinids is that they regulate their hemolymph pH very poorly (Childress et al.,
14 1993a; Childress et al., 1991a). While *Riftia* maintains a stable hemolymph pH with
15 virtually any concentration of sulfide under aerobic conditions, the blood pH of
16 vesicomids quickly declines as sulfide increases in concentration. This relative lack of
17 ability to deal with hydrogen ions would be expected to limit the potential rate of sulfur
18 oxidation. In summary, the vesicomids are functionally organized to draw sulfide from
19 reducing sediments beneath the surface through their foot. They are adapted to situations
20 where sulfide availability is generally low, and correspondingly appear to have limited
21 rates of carbon fixation.

22

23 *Other bivalves*

24 The bathymodiolin mytilids are represented here by Fig. 4 with the other three
25 families represented with additions to the figure as noted below. All of these species
26 acquire sulfide via the gills, and all except the mytilids acquire their sulfide from the
27 sediments in which they live. Thus they too have the general limitations associated with
28 sulfide diffusion in sediments. All of these species oxidize sulfide to thiosulfate to control
29 toxicity so the symbionts likely have access to both sulfide and thiosulfate. They all have
30 carbonic anhydrase to facilitate CO₂ uptake (Kochevar and Childress, 1996). The
31 mytilids do not burrow in sediments and so must draw their sulfide from the water around

1 themselves. Only the mytilids are found at rocky hydrothermal vent sites, often from
2 moderate or low flow areas but sometimes from higher flow areas with large supplies of
3 sulfide. The mytilids are effective filter feeders (Page et al., 1991), while the other groups
4 have quite reduced feeding and digestive abilities in most cases (Le Pennec et al., 1995).
5 Only the mytilids lack gill hemoglobins. The mytilids, like the lucinids and thyasirids,
6 probably rely entirely on digestion of the symbionts for transfer of fixed organic material
7 while the solemyids rely on rapid leakage of material and distribution via the vascular
8 system (Fisher and Childress, 1986; 1993). All of these symbioses seem to have
9 relatively modest rates of carbon fixation, but the symbioses appear to be obligate in all
10 cases and the reduced feeding and digestive systems of the lucinids, thyasirids and
11 solemyids indicate that the symbioses fix enough carbon to reduce or eliminate the need
12 for particulate feeding.

13

14 *Provannid gastropods*

15 The provannid gastropods *Ifremeria nautilei* and *Alviniconcha* species, are the only
16 endosymbiotic gastropods. With the addition of gill hemoglobins to the schematic for
17 sulfur-oxidizing bivalve symbioses other than vesicomyids, Fig. 4 also represents the
18 state of our knowledge about them. These are very different species in terms of anatomy
19 and ecology. *Ifremeria* has a relatively massive, heavily calcified shell, and is relatively
20 inactive, living in cooler waters away from the active venting than *Alviniconcha*
21 (Desbruyères et al., 1994; Podowski et al., 2009). In contrast *Alviniconcha* lives in
22 vigorously venting water at higher temperatures, has an essentially uncalcified shell and
23 is very active. Both have hemocyanin in their vascular system to transport oxygen to their
24 tissues. *Alviniconcha* has much higher rates of carbon fixation and oxygen consumption,
25 comparable to what we observe in siboglinids (Henry et al., 2008). These higher rates
26 clearly echo the availability of substrates in its environment, which is one with
27 considerably higher available concentrations and supplies of sulfide as well as higher
28 temperatures (Podowski et al., 2009).

29

30 *Characteristics and functioning of methanotrophic endosymbioses*

1 Methanotrophic symbioses live in reducing environments as well, often close to
2 symbioses with thiotrophic endosymbionts. A recent review summarizes the relatively
3 small literature on these symbioses (Petersen and Dubilier, 2009). There have been
4 reports of methanotrophs in one species of *Alviniconcha*, but these have not been
5 confirmed in living organisms or tissues. When methane consumption was tested in live
6 *Alviniconcha*, the result was negative (Henry et al., 2008). To date, there are two species
7 of siboglinid, a very thin pogonophoran, which have been shown to have methanotrophic
8 symbionts (Petersen and Dubilier, 2009; Schmaljohann and Flügel, 1987). In contrast
9 there are a number of known methanotrophic symbioses among bathymodiolin mussels,
10 including species with only methanotrophic symbionts (Childress et al., 1986), and
11 species with both thiotrophic and methanotrophic symbionts (Distel et al., 1995;
12 Duperron et al., 2005; Fisher et al., 1993). This is the symbiosis depicted in Fig. 5.

13 Methanotrophic symbioses must also oxidize sulfide (to thiosulfate), as it co-
14 occurs in nearly every benthic environment that is rich in methane. The uptake of
15 methane, however, is simple as there are no known binding proteins or uptake systems. It
16 is reasonably soluble in water (ca. 2 mM at one atm pressure). The mussels, like many
17 other mytilids, do not regulate either their oxygen or methane uptake very well so they
18 require substantial concentrations of both to obtain a sufficient supply (Kochevar et al.,
19 1992). As with other molluscan symbioses, the very large gills and high environmental
20 methane concentrations in their habitats are key to hosting and sustaining methanotrophic
21 symbionts.

22

23 *Characteristics needed for endosymbiotic chemoautotrophy and methanotrophy and*
24 *higher rates.*

25 The primary requisite for sustaining high rates of chemoautotrophy is living in an
26 environment with an abundant supply of sulfide and elevated temperatures in addition to
27 oxygen. Both the hot vent siboglinids and *Alviniconcha* live in and are adapted to the
28 most active warm water flows (as opposed to the vent “smokers”, where temperatures
29 reach hundreds of degrees Celsius). The supply of sulfide in the venting waters far
30 exceeds what they can capture, and the elevated temperatures promote much higher rates
31 of host and bacterial metabolism. Oxygen and nitrate are available to them due to the

1 turbulent, incomplete mixing of the vent and ambient waters in their microhabitats. The
2 siboglinids have a classic higher metazoan layout in which gases are exchanged at a large
3 surface area gill, concentrated in the hemolymph and transported by the circulation to the
4 bacteria containing tissue where the gases diffuse to the bacteria. As discussed earlier, an
5 essential key to this functioning is the hemoglobins, which bind sulfide and oxygen to
6 separate sites with very high affinities. Without this there would not be sufficient
7 capacitance for sulfide and oxygen in the blood to satisfy even very modest bacterial
8 needs. A high capacity for controlling internal pH via excretion of hydrogen ions using
9 proton ATPases is also essential to maintain the functioning of the hemoglobin, alkaline
10 trapping of inorganic carbon and other aspects of the worms' functioning in the face of
11 high production of hydrogen ions by the dissociation of carbonic acid and the oxidation
12 of sulfide. In addition, the dominant species of hot vent siboglinids are relatively large
13 animals, which probably assists them in bridging and accessing both the reducing and
14 oxic waters at diffuse flows. In terms of rates of metabolite exchange, performance, and
15 functioning one can perhaps consider them the "tuna fishes" of the chemoautotrophic
16 world, with the supported performance being manifest as sustained, elevated carbon
17 fixation rather than sustained, rapid swimming.

18 The functioning of *Alviniconcha* species is less well understood, but clearly they
19 live in and are adapted to the highest flow areas in the warm vents where they are found.
20 Their high activity levels and large sizes (up to at least 88 mm across), enables them to
21 position themselves well within and possibly across the vent flow, and their uncalcified
22 shells may allow much faster growth. They have very large gills with tissue hemoglobins
23 that are likely involved in the uptake and movement of these metabolites to the bacteria.
24 Preliminary data suggest that they have high rates of proton elimination as well (P. R.
25 Girguis unpublished).

26 The five bivalve families and *Ifremeria nautilei* all appear to have lower rates of
27 carbon fixation and oxygen consumption, though these rates are relatively higher than
28 non chemoautotrophic invertebrates (as in Fig. 1) where they are the lower of the data
29 points for chemoautotrophic symbioses. In general, all bivalves occupy environments
30 with a relatively lower supply of sulfide and cooler temperatures even if they live around
31 hydrothermal vents. The notable exception is the bathymodiolin mytilids, which as

1 mentioned, are somewhat different in that they sometimes occupy higher flow areas at
2 vents. Some bathymodiolin mytilids have methanotrophic symbioses, but still have
3 relatively low rates of carbon uptake. This may be partially explained by the fact that the
4 are much more capable filter feeders and less capable of maintaining oxygen uptake at
5 low oxygen partial pressures. So in general they may be less well adapted to support
6 chemosynthesis and more adapted for a mixotrophic existence.

7 As a group these bivalves and the provannids all have greatly increased gill sizes
8 and contain the bacteria within specialized cells in the surface of these gills. These are not
9 for the most part gills in the usual sense, i.e. organs for exchange of gases between water
10 and blood. In these symbioses most of the gas exchange is undoubtedly limited to the
11 bacteriocytes in the surface of the gills. From this perspective, the large gill areas are not
12 organismal gas exchangers as such but rather very large surfaces, which are well
13 ventilated and physically protected.

14 In summary it appears that the habitat and adaptations to the habitat are the first
15 determinants of the rate of chemoautotrophic function. Hemoglobins, either circulating or
16 tissue appear to be essential in the functioning of all but the bathymodiolin symbioses.
17 All of these symbioses have much higher oxygen demands than do non-symbiotic species
18 and this is an important factor in selecting for large gill surfaces and hemoglobins. For all
19 of these symbioses below the euphotic zone, nitrate is readily available and readily
20 utilized and for those in sedimented environments, ammonium is also available for the
21 symbiont's needs. All of these symbioses except the methanotrophs also have carbonic
22 anhydrase to facilitate CO₂ uptake. Proton ATPases are also important for eliminating
23 protons but it appears that symbioses with lower rates may have less rigorous control of
24 internal hydrogen ion concentrations.

25 26 **Why no cnidarian sulfur or methane oxidizing symbioses?**

27 Just as *Riftia* is the iconic chemoautotrophic symbiosis based on its domination of
28 vent sites in the Eastern Pacific, corals and other anthozoans are the iconic
29 photoautotrophic symbioses. Even though anthozoans can adapt to sulfidic environments
30 and are found at the vents (Vervoort and Segonzac, 2006), no cnidarian
31 chemoautotrophic endosymbioses have been found in spite of early and long-standing

1 interest and effort. The extent and diversity of photoautotrophic symbioses among the
2 cnidarians has led many investigators to ask why cnidarian-chemoautotrophic symbioses
3 have not been found in any of the chemically reducing habitats studied to date. Here we
4 present some considerations -from a physiologist's point of view- that may serve to
5 explain this pattern.

6 As mentioned, the ability to tolerate sulfide in the environment is essential in
7 chemically reducing environments. It would appear that toxicity is not a factor since
8 cnidarians are found in and around vents and other chemically reducing environments.

9 It is also unlikely that inorganic carbon availability serves to explain the absence
10 of cnidarian-chemoautotrophic associations. Inorganic carbon is readily available in
11 seawater, and both photoautotrophic and chemoautotrophic endosymbioses use carbonic
12 anhydrase to facilitate inorganic carbon assimilation. Moreover, given that
13 photoautotrophic symbioses have reasonably high surface to volume ratios, it is unlikely
14 that carbon acquisition will be limited.

15 However, the surface to volume ratios of cnidarians are unlikely to be sufficient to
16 support high oxygen demands. As mentioned, cnidarians are characterized by the need to
17 have a substantial surface occupied by the photoautotrophic symbionts and exposed to the
18 light. These surfaces can be somewhat convoluted in corals, but shading precludes
19 elaborate convolution and thus limits the possible surface area. Cnidarians lack active
20 ventilation mechanisms, depending on environmental water movement or gross body
21 movements to refresh the water near their surfaces. In fact, anthozoans do not regulate
22 their oxygen consumption well at lower oxygen partial pressures (Shick, 1990). Over the
23 range of oxygen partial pressures found in the interface habitats occupied by
24 chemoautotrophic symbioses, they would be unlikely to be able to support the substantial
25 oxygen demands of even modest levels of thiotrophic or methanotrophic metabolism. In
26 brief, there is a lack of sufficient surface area, which would result in excessive diffusion
27 distances from the water to the symbionts, and the lack of active ventilation and
28 respiratory proteins preclude the possibility of storing and transporting either oxygen or
29 sulfide. It is perhaps telling that the photoautotrophic symbioses with molluscs, namely
30 the tridacnid clams and saccoglossan gastropods, have located the symbionts not in the

1 gills but in the digestive tract emphasizing the very different physiological demands of
2 these two kinds of autotrophic symbioses.

3 We therefore hypothesize that the physiological limitations of the cnidarian body
4 plan as concerns oxygen uptake from the environment is a major reason for the absence
5 of chemoautotrophic symbioses in anthozoans. These findings do not preclude the
6 possibility that a heretofore undescribed cnidarian hosts chemoautotrophic symbionts.
7 However, based on the observed physiological and biochemical demands of the
8 symbionts on host metabolism, and the physiological and morphological attributes of
9 known cnidarians, it is unlikely that a highly active population of chemoautotrophic
10 endosymbionts could be supported by their host. In contrast, the evolution of the very
11 large surface areas for gas exchange, which is often facilitated by respiratory oxygen and
12 sulfide binding pigments, are a major physiological and anatomical property among
13 chemoautotrophic symbioses which enables the high metabolic activity of these
14 chemoautotrophic symbionts.
15

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Raul Suarez and the Company of Biologists for the opportunity to participate in this stimulating meeting. Research described here was funded by NSF grants to JJC over many years. This material is based in part upon work supported by the □National Science Foundation under Grant Number NSF-OCE 0623383 (P. Girguis). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations □expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily□ reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

1
2 **References**
3

- 4 **Andersen, A. C., Flores, J. F. and Hourdez, S.** (2006). Comparative branchial plume
5 biometry between two extreme ecotypes of the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Ridgeia*
6 *piscesae*. *Can. J. Zoology* **84**, 1810-1822.
- 7 **Andersen, A. C., Jolivet, S., Claudinot, S. and Lallier, F. H.** (2002). Biometry of the
8 branchial plume in the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Riftia pachyptila* (Vestimentifera;
9 Annelida). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **80**, 320-332.
- 10 **Arp, A. J. and Childress, J. J.** (1983). Sulfide binding by the blood of the hydrothermal
11 vent tube worm *Riftia pachyptila*. *Science* **219**, 295-297.
- 12 **Arp, A. J., Childress, J. J. and Fisher, C. R., Jr.** (1984). Metabolic and blood gas
13 transport characteristics of the hydrothermal vent bivalve, *Calyptogena magnifica*.
14 *Physiol. Zool.* **57**, 648-662.
- 15 **Arp, A. J., Childress, J. J. and Fisher, C. R., Jr.** (1985). Blood gas transport in *Riftia*
16 *pachyptila*. *Bull. Biol. Soc. Wash.* **6**, 289-300.
- 17 **Arp, A. J., Childress, J. J. and Vetter, R. D.** (1987). The sulphide-binding protein in
18 the blood of the vestimentiferan tube-worm, *Riftia pachyptila*, is the extracellular
19 haemoglobin. *J. Exp. Biol.* **128**, 139-158.
- 20 **Arp, A. J., Doyle, M. L., Di Cera, E. and Gill, S. J.** (1990). Oxygenation properties of
21 the two co-occurring hemoglobins of the tube worm *Riftia pachyptila*. *Resp. Physiol.*
22 **80**, 323-334.
- 23 **Bayne, B. L., Widdows, J. and Thompson, R. J.** (1976). Physiology: I. In *Marine*
24 *mussels: their ecology and physiology*, (ed. B. L. Bayne), pp. 121-206. Cambridge:
25 Cambridge University Press.
- 26 **Black, M. B., Halanych, K. M., Maas, P. A. Y., Hoeh, W. R., Hashimoto, J.,**
27 **Desbruyères, D., Lutz, R. A. and Vrijenhoek, R. C.** (1997). Molecular systematics of
28 vestimentiferan tubeworms from hydrothermal vents and cold-water seeps. *Mar. Biol.*
29 **130**, 141-149.
- 30 **Blum, J. and Fridovich, I.** (1984). Enzymatic defenses against oxygen toxicity in the
31 hydrothermal vent animals *Riftia pachyptila* and *Calyptogena magnifica*. *Arch.*
32 *Biochem. Biophys.* **228**, 617-620.
- 33 **Booth, C. E. and Mangum, C. P.** (1978). Oxygen uptake and transport in the
34 lamellibranch mollusc *Modiolus demissis*. *Physiol. Zool.* **51**, 17-32.
- 35 **Bright, M., Keckeis, H. and Fisher, C. R.** (2000). An autoradiographic examination of
36 carbon fixation, transfer and utilization in the *Riftia pachyptila* symbiosis. *Mar. Biol.*
37 **136**, 621-632.
- 38 **Cavanaugh, C. M.** (1985). Symbiosis of chemoautotrophic bacteria and marine
39 invertebrates from hydrothermal vents and reducing sediments. *Bull. Biol. Soc. Wash.*
40 **6**, 373-388.
- 41 **Cavanaugh, C. M., Gardiner, S. L., Jones, M. L., Jannasch, H. W. and Waterbury,**
42 **J. B.** (1981). Prokaryotic cells in the hydrothermal vent tube worm *Riftia pachyptila*:
43 Possible chemoautotrophic symbionts. *Science* **213**, 340-342.

- 1 **Childress, J. J., Arp, A. J. and Fisher, C. R., Jr.** (1984). Metabolic and blood
2 characteristics of the hydrothermal vent tube worm *Riftia pachyptila*. *Mar. Biol.* **83**,
3 109–124.
- 4 **Childress, J. J. and Fisher, C. R.** (1992). The biology of hydrothermal vent animals -
5 physiology, biochemistry, and autotrophic symbioses. *Oceanog. Mar. Biol.* **30**, 337-
6 441.
- 7 **Childress, J. J., Fisher, C. R., Brooks, J. M., Kennicutt, M. C., II, Bidigare, R. and**
8 **Anderson, A.** (1986). A methanotrophic marine molluscan symbiosis: mussels fueled
9 by gas. *Science* **233**, 1306–1308.
- 10 **Childress, J. J., Fisher, C. R., Favuzzi, J. A., Arp, A. J. and Oros, D. R.** (1993a). The
11 role of a zinc-based, serum-borne sulphide-binding component in the uptake and
12 transport of dissolved sulphide by the chemoautotrophic symbiont containing clam
13 *Calyptogena elongata*. *J. Exp. Biol.* **179**, 131–158.
- 14 **Childress, J. J., Fisher, C. R., Favuzzi, J. A., Kochevar, R., Sanders, N. K. and**
15 **Alayse, A. M.** (1991a). Sulfide-driven autotrophic balance in the bacterial symbiont-
16 containing hydrothermal vent tubeworm, *Riftia pachyptila*, Jones. *Biol. Bull.* **180**, 135–
17 153.
- 18 **Childress, J. J., Fisher, C. R., Favuzzi, J. A. and Sanders, N. K.** (1991b). Sulfide and
19 carbon-dioxide uptake by the hydrothermal vent clam, *Calyptogena magnifica*, and its
20 chemoautotrophic symbionts. *Physiol Zool* **64**, 1444-1470.
- 21 **Childress, J. J., Lee, R., Sanders, N. K., Felbeck, H., Oros, D., Toulmond, A.,**
22 **D.Desbruyères, II, M. C. K. and Brooks, J.** (1993b). Inorganic carbon uptake in
23 hydrothermal vent tubeworms facilitated by high environmental pCO₂. *Nature* **362**,
24 147–149.
- 25 **Childress, J. J. and Mickel, T. J.** (1985). Metabolic rates of animals from the
26 hydrothermal vents and other deep-sea habitats. *Bull. Biol. Soc. Wash.* **6**, 249–260.
- 27 **Cordes, E. E., Bergquist, D. C., Shea, K. and Fisher, C. R.** (2003). Hydrogen sulphide
28 demand of long-lived vestimentiferan tube worm aggregations modifies the chemical
29 environment at deep-sea hydrocarbon seeps. *Ecol. Lett.* **6**, 212-219.
- 30 **Corliss, J. B. and Ballard, R. D.** (1977). Oases of life in the cold abyss. *Nat. Geogr.*
31 **152**, 441–453.
- 32 **Corliss, J. B., Dymond, J., Gordon, L. I., Edmond, J. M., Herzen, R. P. V., Ballard,**
33 **R. D., Green, K., Williams, D., Bainbridge, A., Crane, K. et al.** (1979). Submarine
34 thermal springs on the Galapagos Rift. *Science* **203**, 1073–1083.
- 35 **Dando, P. R., Southward, A. J., Southward, E. C., Terwilliger, N. B. and**
36 **Terwilliger, R. C.** (1985). Sulphur-oxidising bacteria and haemoglobin in gills of the
37 bivalve mollusc *Myrtea spinifera*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **23**, 85–98.
- 38 **Dattagupta, S., Arthur, M. A. and Fisher, C. R.** (2008). Modification of sediment
39 geochemistry by the hydrocarbon seep tubeworm *Lamellibrachia luymesii*: A combined
40 empirical and modeling approach. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 2298-2315.
- 41 **Dattagupta, S., Miles, L. L., Barnabei, M. S. and Fisher, C. R.** (2006). The
42 hydrocarbon seep tubeworm *Lamellibrachia luymesii* primarily eliminates sulfate and
43 hydrogen ions across its roots to conserve energy and ensure sulfide supply. *J. Exp.*
44 *Biol.* **209**, 3795-3805.

- 1 **De Cian, M.-C., Regnault, M. and Lallier, F. H.** (2000). Nitrogen metabolites and
2 related enzymatic activities in the body fluids and tissues of the hydrothermal vent
3 tubeworm *Riftia pachyptila*. *J. Exp. Biol.* **203**, 2907-2920.
- 4 **Desbruyères, D., Alayzedanet, A. M., Ohta, S., Antoine, E., Barbier, G., Briand, P.,**
5 **Godfroy, A., Crassous, P., Jollivet, D., Kerdoncuff, J. et al.** (1994). Deep-sea
6 hydrothermal communities in southwestern pacific back-arc basins (the North Fiji and
7 Lau Basins) - composition, microdistribution and food-web. *Mar. Geol.* **116**, 227-242.
- 8 **Distel, D. L.** (1998). Evolution of chemoautotrophic endosymbioses in bivalves.
9 *Bioscience* **48**, 277-286.
- 10 **Distel, D. L., Lee, H. K. W. and Cavanaugh, C. M.** (1995). Intracellular coexistence of
11 methano- and thioautotrophic bacteria in a hydrothermal vent mussel. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
12 *Sci. USA* **92**, 9598-9602.
- 13 **Doeller, J. E., Kraus, D. W., Colacino, J. M. and Wittenberg, J. B.** (1988). Gill
14 hemoglobin may deliver sulfide to bacterial symbionts of *Solemya velum* (Bivalvia,
15 Mollusca). *Biol. Bull.* **175**, 388-396.
- 16 **Dubilier, N., Bergin, C. and Lott, C.** (2008). Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: the
17 art of harnessing chemosynthesis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**, 725-740.
- 18 **Duperron, S., Nadalig, T., Caprais, J. C., Sibuet, M., Fiala-Medioni, A., Amann, R.**
19 **and Dubilier, N.** (2005). Dual symbiosis in a *Bathymodiolus* sp mussel from a methane
20 seep on the gabon continental margin (southeast Atlantic): 16S rRNA phylogeny and
21 distribution of the symbionts in gills. *Appl. Envir. Microbiol.* **71**, 1694-1700.
- 22 **Falkowski, P. G., Dubinsky, Z., Muscatine, L. and McCloskey, L.** (1993). Population-
23 Control in Symbiotic Corals. *Bioscience* **43**, 606-611.
- 24 **Falkowski, P. G., Dubinsky, Z., Muscatine, L. and Porter, J. W.** (1984). Light and the
25 bioenergetics of a symbiotic coral. *Bioscience* **34**, 265-269.
- 26 **Farmer, M. A., Fitt, W. K. and Trench, R. K.** (2001). Morphology of the symbiosis
27 between *Corculum cardissa* (Mollusca : Bivalvia) and *Symbiodinium corculorum*
28 (Dinophyceae). *Biol. Bull.* **200**, 336-343.
- 29 **Felbeck, H.** (1981). Chemoautotrophic potential of the hydrothermal vent tube worm,
30 *Riftia pachyptila* Jones (Vestimentifera). *Science* **213**, 336-338.
- 31 **Fiala-Médioni, A., Felbeck, H., Childress, J. J., Fisher, C. R. and Vetter, R. D.**
32 (1990). Lysosomal resorption of bacterial symbionts in deep-sea bivalves. In
33 *Endocytobiology IV*, (ed. P. Nardon V. Gianinazzi-Pearson A. M. Grenier L. Margulis
34 and D. C. Smith), pp. 335-338. Paris: Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique.
- 35 **Fiala-Médioni, A., Michalski, J.-C., Jolles, J., Alonso, C. and Montreuil, J.** (1994).
36 Lysosomal and lysozyme activities in the gill of bivalves from deep hydrothermal
37 vents. *C. R. Acad. Sci. III*, **317**, 239-244.
- 38 **Fisher, C. R.** (1990). Chemoautotrophic and methanotrophic symbioses in marine
39 invertebrates. *Crit. Rev. Aquat. Sci.* **2**, 399-436.
- 40 **Fisher, C. R., Brooks, J. M., Vodenichur, J., Zande, J., Childress, J. J. and Burke,**
41 **R. A.** (1993). The co-occurrence of methanotrophic and chemoautotrophic sulfur-
42 oxidizing symbionts in a deep-sea mussel. *Mar. Ecol.* **14**, 277-289.
- 43 **Fisher, C. R. and Childress, J. J.** (1984). Substrate oxidation by trophosome tissue from
44 *Riftia Pachyptila* Jones (Phylum Pogonophora). *Mar. Biol. Lett.* **5**, 171-183.
- 45 **Fisher, C. R. and Childress, J. J.** (1986). Translocation of fixed carbon from symbiotic
46 bacteria to host tissues in the gutless bivalve, *Solemya reidi*. *Mar. Biol.* **93**, 59-68.

- 1 **Fisher, C. R. and Childress, J. J.** (1993). Organic carbon transfer from methanotrophic
2 symbionts to the host hydrocarbon seep mussel. *Symbiosis* **12**.
- 3 **Fisher, C. R., Childress, J. J., Arp, A. J., Brooks, J. M., Distel, D., Favuzzi, J. A.,**
4 **Macko, S. A., Newton, A., Powell, M. A., Somero, G. N. et al.** (1988a). Physiology,
5 morphology, and biochemical composition of *Riftia pachyptila* at Rose Garden in 1985.
6 *Deep-Sea Res.* **35**, 1745–1758.
- 7 **Fisher, C. R., Childress, J. J. and Minnich, E.** (1989). Autotrophic carbon fixation by
8 the chemoautotrophic symbionts of *Riftia pachyptila*. *Biological Bulletin* **177**, 372–385.
- 9 **Fisher, C. R., Childress, J. J., Oremland, R. S. and Bidigare, R. R.** (1987). The
10 importance of methane and thiosulphate in the metabolism of the symbionts of two
11 deep-sea mussels. *Mar. Biol.* **96**, 59–71.
- 12 **Fisher, C. R., Childress, J. J. and Sanders, N. K.** (1988b). The role of vestimentiferan
13 hemoglobin in providing an environment suitable for chemoautotrophic sulfide-
14 oxidizing endosymbionts. *Symbiosis* **5**, 229–246.
- 15 **Flores, J. F., Fisher, C. R., Carney, S. L., Green, B. N., Freytag, J. K., Schaeffer, S.**
16 **W. and Royer, W. E.** (2005). Sulfide binding is mediated by zinc ions discovered in
17 the crystal structure of a hydrothermal vent tubeworm hemoglobin. *Proc. Nat. Acad.*
18 *Sci. USA* **102**, 2713-2718.
- 19 **Freytag, J. K., Girguis, P. R., Bergquist, D. C., Andras, J. P., Childress, J. J. and**
20 **Fisher, C. R.** (2001). A paradox resolved: Sulfide acquisition by roots of seep
21 tubeworms sustains net chemoautotrophy. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 13408–
22 13413.
- 23 **Furla, P., Allemand, D., Shick, J. M., Ferrier-Pages, C. and Richier, S.** (2005). The
24 symbiotic anthozoan: A physiological chimera between alga and animal. *Integ. Comp.*
25 *Biol.* **45**, 595-604.
- 26 **Ghiretti, F.** (1966). Respiration. In *Physiology of the mollusca*, vol. 2 (ed. K. M. Wilbur
27 and C. M. Yonge). New York: Academic Press.
- 28 **Gillooly, J. F., Brown, J. H., West, G. B., Savage, V. M. and Charnov, E. L.** (2001).
29 Effects of size and temperature on metabolic rate. *Science* **293**, 2248-2251.
- 30 **Girguis, P. R. and Childress, J. J.** (2006). Metabolite uptake, stoichiometry and
31 chemoautotrophic function of the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Riftia pachyptila*:
32 responses to environmental variations in substrate concentrations and temperature.
33 *Journal of Experimental Biology* **209**, 3516-3528.
- 34 **Girguis, P. R., Childress, J. J., Freytag, J. K. and Stuber, H. R.** (2002). Effects of
35 metabolite uptake on proton equivalent elimination by two species of deep-sea
36 vestimentiferan tubeworm, *Riftia pachyptila* and *Lamellibrachia* sp.: proton elimination
37 is an obligatory adaptation to chemoautotrophic symbionts. *J. Exp. Biol.* **205**, 3055–
38 3066.
- 39 **Girguis, P. R., Lee, R. W., Desaulniers, N. T., Childress, J. J., Pospesel, M. A.,**
40 **Felbeck, H. and Zal, F.** (2000). Fate of nitrate acquired by the tubeworm *Riftia*
41 *pachyptila*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66**, 2783-2790.
- 42 **Goffredi, S. K. and Childress, J. J.** (2001). Activity and inhibitor sensitivity of ATPases
43 in the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Riftia Pachyptila*. *Mar. Biol.* **138**, 259–265.
- 44 **Goffredi, S. K., Childress, J. J., Desaulniers, N., Lee, R. W., Lallier, F. H. and**
45 **Hammond, D.** (1997a). Inorganic carbon acquisition by hydrothermal vent tubeworm

- 1 *Riftia pachyptila* depends upon high external P_{CO_2} and on Proton elimination by the
2 worm. *J. Exp. Biol.* **200**, 883–896.
- 3 **Goffredi, S. K., Childress, J. J., Desaulniers, N. T. and Lallier, F. H.** (1997b). Sulfide
4 uptake by the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Riftia* is via diffusion of HS^- , rather than
5 H_2S . *J. Exp. Biol.* **200**, 2609–2616.
- 6 **Goffredi, S. K., Childress, J. J., Lallier, F. H. and Desaulniers, N. T.** (1999a). The
7 ionic composition of the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Riftia pachyptila*; evidence for
8 the accumulation of SO_4^{2-} and H^+ and for a Cl^-/HCO_3^- shift. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **72**,
9 296–306.
- 10 **Goffredi, S. K., Girguis, P. R., Childress, J. J. and Desaulniers, N. T.** (1999b). The
11 physiological functioning of carbonic anhydrase in the hydrothermal vent tubeworm
12 *Riftia pachyptila*. *Biol. Bull.* **196**, 257–264.
- 13 **Hahlbeck, E., Pospesel, M. A., Zal, F., Childress, J. J. and Felbeck, H.** (2005).
14 Proposed nitrate binding by hemoglobin in *Riftia pachyptila* blood. *Deep-Sea Res. I* **52**,
15 1885–1895.
- 16 **Henry, M. S., Childress, J. J. and Figueroa, D.** (2008). Metabolic rates and thermal
17 tolerances of chemoautotrophic symbioses from Lau Basin hydrothermal vents and
18 their implications for species distributions. *Deep-Sea Res. I* **55**, 679–695.
- 19 **Herrmann, J.-P. and Enders, E. C.** (2000). Effect of body size on the standard metabolism of
20 the horse mackerel. *J. Fish Biol.* **57**, 746–760.
- 21 **Hourdez, S. and Weber, R. E.** (2005). Molecular and functional adaptations in deep-sea
22 hemoglobins. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **99**, 130–141.
- 23 **Johnson, K. S., Childress, J. J. and Beehler, C. L.** (1988). Short term temperature
24 variability in the Rose Garden hydrothermal vent field. *Deep-Sea Res.* **35**, 1711–1722.
- 25 **Jones, M. L.** (1981). *Riftia pachyptila* Jones: observations on the vestimentiferan worms
26 from the Galapagos Rift. *Science* **213**, 333–336.
- 27 **Julian, D., Gaill, F., Wood, E., Arp, A. J. and Fisher, C. R.** (1999). Roots as a site of
28 hydrogen sulfide uptake in the hydrocarbon seep vestimentiferan *Lamellibrachia* sp. *J.*
29 *Exp. Biol.* **202**, 2245–2257.
- 30 **Kochevar, R. E. and Childress, J. J.** (1996). Carbonic anhydrase in deep-sea
31 chemoautotrophic symbioses. *Mar. Biol.* **125**, 375–383.
- 32 **Kochevar, R. E., Childress, J. J., Fisher, C. R. and Minnich, E.** (1992). The Methane
33 Mussel - Roles of Symbiont and Host in the Metabolic Utilization of Methane. *Mar.*
34 *Biol.* **112**, 389–401.
- 35 **Kraus, D. W. and Wittenberg, J.** (1990). Hemoglobins of the *Lucina pectinata*/bacteria
36 symbiosis I. Molecular properties, kinetics and equilibria of reactions with ligands. *J.*
37 *Biol. Chem.* **265**, 16043–16053.
- 38 **Le Pennec, M., Beninger, P. G. and Herry, A.** (1995). Feeding and digestive
39 adaptations of bivalve molluscs to sulfide-rich habitats. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*
40 **111A**, 183–189.
- 41 **Lee, R. W. and Childress, J. J.** (1994). Assimilation of inorganic nitrogen by marine
42 invertebrates and their chemoautotrophic and methanotrophic symbionts. *Appl.*
43 *Environ. Microbiol.* **60**, 1852–1858.
- 44 **Lee, R. W., Robinson, J. J. and Cavanaugh, C. M.** (1999). Pathways of inorganic
45 nitrogen assimilation in chemoautotrophic bacteria-marine invertebrate symbioses:
46 expression of host and symbiont glutamine synthetase. *J. Exp. Biol.* **202**, 289–300.

- 1 **Lutz, R. A., Fritz, L. W. and Cerrato, R. M.** (1988). A comparison of bivalve
2 (*Calyplogena magnifica*) growth at two deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the eastern
3 Pacific. *Deep-Sea Res.* **35**, 1793–1810.
- 4 **Lutz, R. A., Shank, T. M., Fornari, D. J., Haymon, R. M., Lilley, M. D., Von Damm,**
5 **K. L. and Desbruyeres, D.** (1994). Rapid growth at deep-sea vents. *Nature* **371**, 663–
6 664.
- 7 **Mangum, C. P. and Johansen, K.** (1982). The influence of symbiotic dinoflagellates on
8 respiratory processes in the giant clam *Tridacna squamosa*. *Pac. Sci.* **36**, 395-401.
- 9 **Mccloskey, L. and Muscatine, L.** (1984). Production and respiration in the Red Sea coral
10 *Stylophora pistillata* as a function of depth. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **222**, 215-230.
- 11 **McMullin, E. R., Hourdez, S., Schaeffer, S. W. and Fisher, C. R.** (2003). Phylogeny
12 and biogeography of deep sea vestimentiferan tubeworms and their bacterial symbionts.
13 *Symbiosis* **34**, 1-41.
- 14 **Miller, D. J. and Yellowlees, D.** (1989). Inorganic nitrogen uptake by symbiotic marine
15 cnidarians: a critical review. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* **237**, 109–125.
- 16 **Muscatine, L. and Porter, J. W.** (1977). Reef corals - mutualistic symbioses adapted to
17 nutrient-poor environments. *Bioscience* **27**, 454-460.
- 18 **Nyholm, S. V., Robidart, J. and Girguis, P. R.** (2008). Coupling metabolite flux to
19 transcriptomics: Insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying primary productivity by
20 the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Ridgeia piscesae*. *Biol. Bull.* **214**, 255-265.
- 21 **Ortega, J. A., Ortega, J. M. and Julian, D.** (2008). Hypotaurine and sulfhydryl-
22 containing antioxidants reduce H₂S toxicity in erythrocytes from a marine invertebrate.
23 *J. Exp. Biol.* **211**, 3816-3825.
- 24 **Page, H. M., Fiala-Médioni, A., Fisher, C. R. and Childress, J. J.** (1991).
25 Experimental evidence for filter-feeding by the hydrothermal vent mussel,
26 *Bathymodiolus thermophilus*. *Deep-Sea Res.* **38**, 1455–1461.
- 27 **Petersen, J. M. and Dubilier, N.** (2009). Methanotrophic symbioses in marine
28 invertebrates. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **1**, 319-335.
- 29 **Podowski, E. L., Moore, T. S., Zelnio, K. A., Luther, G. W. and Fisher, C. R.** (2009).
30 Distribution of diffuse flow megafauna in two sites on the Eastern Lau Spreading
31 Center, Tonga. *Deep-Sea Res. I* **56**, 2041-2056.
- 32 **Powell, M. A. and Somero, G. N.** (1983). Blood components prevent sulfide poisoning
33 of respiration of the hydrothermal vent tubeworm *Riftia pachyptila*. *Science* **219**, 297–
34 299.
- 35 **Rouse, G. W.** (2001). A cladistic analysis of Siboglinidae Caullery, 1914 (Polychaeta,
36 Annelida): Formerly the phyla Pogonophora and Vestimentifera. *Zoological J. Linn.*
37 *Soc.* **132**, 55-80.
- 38 **Royer, W. E. and Flores, J. F.** (2007). Structural basis for assembly and sulfide binding
39 in the 400 kDa hemoglobin from the hydrothermal vent tubeworm, *Riftia pachyptila*.
40 *Biophys. J.*, 537A-537A.
- 41 **Sanchez, S., Andersen, A., Hourdez, S. and Lallier, F.** (2007). Tissue-specific
42 expression of different carbonic anhydrases in the chemoautotrophic symbiosis *Riftia*
43 *pachyptila*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Physiol.* **146**, S222-S223.
- 44 **Sassaman, C. and Mangum, C. P.** (1972). Adaptations to Environmental Oxygen
45 Levels in Infaunal and Epifaunal Sea Anemones. *Biol. Bull.* **143**, 657-678.

- 1 **Sassaman, C. and Mangum, C. P.** (1974). Gas-Exchange in a Cerianthid. *J. Exp. Zool.*
2 **188**, 297-306.
- 3 **Schmaljohann, R. and Flügel, H. J.** (1987). Methane-oxidizing bacteria in
4 Pogonophora. *Sarsia* **72**, 91–98.
- 5 **Scott, K.** (2005). Allometry of gill weights, gill surface areas, and foot biomass $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
6 values of the chemoautotroph-bivalve symbiosis *Solemya velum*. *Mar. Biol.* **147**, 935-
7 941.
- 8 **Seibel, B. A.** (2007). On the depth and scale of metabolic rate variation: scaling of oxygen
9 consumption rates and enzymatic activity in the Class Cephalopoda (Mollusca). *J. Exp. Biol.*
10 **210**, 1-11.
- 11 **Shick, J. M.** (1990). Diffusion limitation and hyperoxic enhancement of oxygen-
12 consumption in zooxanthellate sea-anemones, zoanths, and corals. *Biological Bulletin*
13 **179**, 148-158.
- 14 **Shick, J. M. and Dykens, J. A.** (1985). Oxygen detoxification in algal-invertebrate
15 symbioses from the Great Barrier Reef. *Oecologia* **66**, 33–44.
- 16 **Smith, D. C. and Douglas, A. E.** (1987). *The Biology of Symbiosis*. London: Edward
17 Arnold.
- 18 **Stewart, F. J., Newton, I. L. G. and Cavanaugh, C. M.** (2005). Chemosynthetic
19 endosymbioses: adaptations to oxic-anoxic interfaces. *Trends in Microbiology* **13**, 439-
20 448.
- 21 **Tapley, D. W. and Shick, J. M.** (1991). Antioxidant enzyme activities in the
22 protobranch bivalves *Solemya velum* and *Yoldia limatula*: responses to sulfide
23 exposure. *Am. Zool.* **31**, 135A.
- 24 **Terwilliger, R. C. and Terwilliger, N. B.** (1985). Respiratory proteins of hydrothermal
25 vent animals. *Bull. Biol. Soc. Wash.* **6**, 273–288.
- 26 **Terwilliger, R. C., Terwilliger, N. B., Bonaventura, C., Bonaventura, J. and**
27 **Schabtach, E.** (1985). Structural and functional properties of hemoglobin from the
28 vestimentiferan Pogonophora, *Lamellibrachia*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **829**, 27–33.
- 29 **Towanda, T. and Thuesen, E. V.** (2010). Effects of CO₂-induced acidification on the
30 intertidal sea anemone *Anthopleura elegantissima* and its algal symbiont *Symbiodinium*
31 *muscatinei* *Aquatic Biology*.
- 32 **Thuesen, E. V. and Childress, J. J.** (1994). Oxygen consumption rates and metabolic
33 enzyme activities of oceanic California medusae in relation to body size and habitat
34 depth. *Biol. Bull.* **187**, 84-98.
- 35 **Trench, R. K.** (1993). Microalgal-Invertebrate Symbioses - A Review. *Endocytobiosis*
36 *Cell Res.* **9**, 135-175.
- 37 **Tunnicliffe, V., Davies, K. T. A., Butterfield, D. A., Embley, R. W., Rose, J. M. and**
38 **Chadwick, W. W.** (2009). Survival of mussels in extremely acidic waters on a submarine
39 volcano. *Nat. Geosci.* **2**, 344-348.
- 40 **Venn, A. A., Loram, J. E. and Douglas, A. E.** (2008). Photosynthetic symbioses in
41 animals. *J. Exp. Bot.* **59**, 1069-1080.
- 42 **Vervoort, W. and Segonzac, M.** (2006). Cnidaria. *Denisia* **18**, 48-74.
- 43 **Wittenberg, J. B.** (1985). Oxygen supply to intracellular bacterial symbionts. *Bull. Biol.*
44 *Soc. Wash.* **6**, 301–310.
- 45 **Wittenberg, J. B. and Stein, J. L.** (1995). Hemoglobin in the symbiont-harboring gill of
46 the marine gastropod *Alviniconcha hessleri*. *Biol. Bull.* **188**, 5-7.

1 **Yellowlees, D., Rees, T. A. V. and Leggat, W.** (2008). Metabolic interactions between
2 algal symbionts and invertebrate hosts. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **32**, 679-694.
3 **Zal, F., Leize, E., Oros, D. R., Hourdez, S., Dorsselaer, A. V. and Childress, J. J.**
4 (2000). Haemoglobin structure and biochemical characteristics of the sulphide-binding
5 component from the deep-sea clam *Calypptogena magnifica*. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* **41**, 413-
6 423.
7 **Zamer, W. E. and Mangum, C. P.** (1979). Irreversible Non-Genetic Temperature Adaptation of
8 Oxygen-Uptake in Clones of the Sea-Anemone *Haliplanella luciae* (Verrill). *Biol. Bull.* **157**,
9 536-547.
10
11

1 Fig. 1. The oxygen consumption rates from Table 1 of the chemoautotrophic
2 endosymbioses (filled circles), standardized to 20°C using a Q₁₀ of 2, plotted with oxygen
3 consumption rates of a variety of non-symbiotic invertebrates and fishes measured at or
4 standardized to 20°C as above. Each of the filled circles represents one species using data
5 from Table 1. Reading from the bottom of the graph, the solid line represents data for
6 medusae (Thuesen and Childress, 1994). The X symbols and short dashed line represent
7 data from *Anthopleura elegantissima* (Towanda, 2008), *Metridium senile* (Sassaman and
8 Mangum, 1972) *Ceriatheopsis americanus* (Sassaman and Mangum, 1974) and
9 *Haliplanella luciae* (Zamer and Mangum, 1979). The medium dashed line represents the
10 “invertebrate” data including annelids, bivalves, gastropods, crustaceans, and
11 echinoderms used by (Gillooly, et al., 2001). The long dashed line represents data on
12 routine metabolism of horse mackerel, *Trachurus trachurus* (Herrmann and Enders, 2000).
13 The line at the top with very short dashes represents routine metabolism data for loliginid
14 and ommastrephid cephalopods (Seibel, 2007). All consumption rates are expressed
15 relative to the live weight of the animals.
16


17 Fig. 2. A schematic of the physiological functioning of the hot vent siboglinid tubeworm,
18 *Riftia pachyptila*. Light blue represents worm tissue with an intracellular pH of 7.4
19 (Goffredi et al., 1999a). Yellow represents the endosymbiotic bacteria (intracellular pH of
20 trophosome bacteria and bacteriocytes is 7.0). Red represents the vascular fluid with a
21 strongly defended pH of 7.4.. Pink represents the coelomic fluid with a pH near 7.4 but
22 slightly above the hemolymph pH. The heavy arrows represent blood flow. The thin
23 arrows represent either diffusion, chemical reactions, or chemical equilibration depending
24 on the context. Thin arrows crossing tissue boundaries are diffusion. (The thin dark blue
25 arrows represent minor fluxes of ammonium and nitrite ions.) A thin arrow with an open
26 circle attached represents some sort of specific transport mechanism. Hb indicates
27 hemoglobin and it is shown as binding and carrying sulfide, oxygen and nitrate. The word
28 “digest” indicates that the symbionts are digested within the bacteriocytes in the gills.
29 CHNO indicates organic matter from the digested bacteria. AA indicates amino acids.
30 Nitrogen metabolism is separated from sulfide and carbon metabolism just for
31 convenience in depiction. All other chemical labels have their usually accepted meanings.
32 This schematic is representative of the functioning of the Eastern Pacific hot vent
33 tubeworms, *Riftia pachyptila*, *Oasisia alvinae*, *Tevnia jerichonana*, and *Ridgeia*
34 *piscisae*. As discussed in the text, the cold seep tubeworms have essentially the same
35 physiological system except that the uptake of sulfide is through extensions of the
36 posterior of the body deep into sulfide-rich sediments. Figure adapted from one in
37 (Childress and Fisher, 1992).
38


39 Fig. 3. A schematic of the physiological functioning of the vesicomid clam
40 *Calyptogena magnifica*. Light blue represents animal tissue. Yellow represents the
41 endosymbiotic bacteria. Red represents the vascular fluid. Pink represents the coelomic
42 fluid. The heavy arrows represent blood flow. The thin arrows represent either diffusion,
43 chemical reactions, or chemical equilibration depending on the context. Thin arrows
44 crossing tissue boundaries are diffusion or unknown transport mechanisms. Hb represents
45 hemoglobin and it is contained in erythrocytes depicted as ovals within the vascular fluid.
46 BP indicates a separate protein, found in the vascular fluid which binds sulfide to a site

1 incorporating zinc. CA indicates carbonic anhydrase. SOX indicates the presence of a
2 sulfide oxidizing activity which oxidizes sulfide to thiosulfate. The word “digestion”
3 indicates that the symbionts are digested within the bacteriocytes in the gills. CHNO
4 indicates organic matter from the digested bacteria. The dominant uptake route for sulfide
5 is believed to be across the foot which is extended into the substrate, while the other
6 metabolites are taken up across the gills. This schematic is applicable to all members of
7 the family Vesicomidae.. Figure adapted from one in (Childress and Fisher, 1992).

8
9 Fig. 4. A schematic of the physiological functioning of a mussel with sulfide oxidizing
10 symbionts, such as *Bathymodilus thermophilus*. With certain modifications described
11 below this is applicable to all of the molluscan endosymbioses except the Vesicomidae.
12 Light blue represents animal tissue. Yellow represents the endosymbiotic bacteria. Red
13 represents the vascular fluid. Pink represents the coelomic fluid. The heavy arrows
14 represent vascular fluid flow. The thin arrows represent either diffusion, chemical
15 reactions, or chemical equilibration depending on the context. Thin arrows crossing tissue
16 boundaries are diffusion or unknown transport mechanisms. CA indicates carbonic
17 anhydrase. SOX indicates the presence of a sulfide oxidizing activity which oxidizes
18 sulfide to thiosulfate. The word “digestion” indicates that the symbionts are digested
19 within the bacteriocytes in the gills. CHNO indicates organic matter from the digested
20 bacteria. All other molluscan endosymbioses, bivalves and gastropods, have gill
21 hemoglobins (shown as (Hb)), often in high concentrations, which are very likely to be
22 important in the uptake and sequestering of sulfide and oxygen in these symbioses. In
23 addition both solemyid bivalves and provannid gastropods have substantial hemocyanin
24 concentrations in their vascular fluids to supply the needs of the animal tissues for
25 oxygen. Further, the solemyids, unlike all the other molluscan endosymbioses studied in
26 detail, appear to rapidly transfer a large fraction of the chemosynthate via leakage from
27 the bacteria instead of transferring it much more slowly after digestion of the bacteria as
28 shown. Figure adapted from one in (Childress and Fisher, 1992).


29
30 Fig. 5. A schematic of the physiological functioning of a mussel with methane oxidizing
31 symbionts, such as *Bathymodilus childressi*. Light blue represents animal tissue. Yellow
32 represents the endosymbiotic bacteria. Red represents the vascular fluid. Pink represents
33 the coelomic fluid. The heavy arrows represent vascular fluid flow. The thin arrows
34 represent either diffusion, chemical reactions, or chemical equilibration depending on the
35 context. Thin arrows crossing tissue boundaries are diffusion or unknown transport
36 mechanisms. SOX indicates the presence of a sulfide oxidizing activity which oxidizes
37 sulfide to thiosulfate. The word “digestion” indicates that the symbionts are digested
38 within the bacteriocytes in the gills. CHNO indicates organic matter from the digested
39 bacteria. Due to substantial environmental sulfide concentrations, sulfide oxidation by the
40 animal is required for control of toxicity of sulfide within symbiosis even though sulfide
41 is not utilized by the symbionts.






pH	Compartment
(plume) 7.4	Worm Tissue
(Tsome) 7.0	Bacteria
7.4	Hemolymph
7.4	Coelomic Fluid

- Proposed Net Fluxes**
- ← Circulation
 - ← Diffusion or Chemical Processes (minor)
 - ←○ Transport Mechanism








Table 1. Representative sustained net rates (standardized to 20°C) of metabolite exchange for vestimentiferan and molluscan chemoautotrophic endosymbioses.

Species	Measurement Temperature °C	ΣCO_2 uptake ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$)	Relative C uptake (% Body C day ⁻¹)	O ₂ uptake ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$)	H ⁺ equivalent elimination ($\mu\text{equiv. g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$)	Mass (g)
<i>Riftia pachyptila</i>	25	26.8	14	29	119	19-21
<i>Tevnia jerichonana</i>	25	18.6	8.3	21	(65)	6-8
<i>Ridgeia piscesae</i>	15	3.5	1.8	13.7	16.1	5-20
<i>Lamellibrachia luymesii</i>	7	2.4	1	5.3	(12)	3-6
<i>Calyptogenia magnifica</i>	7	0.9	(0.3)			178
<i>Bathymodiolus brevior</i>	12	1.4	(0.5)	1.7		99
<i>Solemya reidi</i>	10	2.4	1	4.5		8
<i>Bathymodiolus childressii</i>	6	2.8 (CH ₄)*	1.5	6		26
<i>Alviniconcha hessleri</i>	30	24.7	6.5	17.5	(41)	28-31
<i>Ifremeria nautilei</i>	13	0.7	0.3	1.4		25

Values in parentheses are less well documented values.

*C uptake based on a total CH₄ consumption of 4 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ with 30% being released as CO₂.

Riftia values from (Girguis and Childress, 2006) as well as unpublished data.

Tevnia values are unpublished data of the authors.

Ridgeia values from (Nyholm et al., 2008).

Lamellibrachia values from (Freytag et al., 2001).

Calyptogenia rates are based on ¹⁴C fixation by gill pieces (Childress et al., 1991b).

Bathymodiolus brevior and *Ifremeria nautilei* rates from (Henry and Childress, 2008).

Solemya reidi rates from (Anderson et al., 1987).

Bathymodiolus childressi, which has methanotrophic symbionts, rates from (Kochevar, et al., 1992).

Alviniconcha (P. R. Girguis, unpublished).
