DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

Selection of sampling points for saturation recovery based myocardial T1 mapping

Citation	Akcakaya, Mehmet, Sebastian Weingartner, Warren J Manning, and Reza Nezafat. 2014. "Selection of sampling points for saturation recovery based myocardial T1 mapping." Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance 16 (Suppl 1): W32. doi:10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-W32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-W32.
Published Version	doi:10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-W32
Accessed	February 16, 2015 11:49:28 AM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12406805
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

(Article begins on next page)

Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance

Selection of sampling points for saturation recovery based myocardial T_1 mapping

Mehmet Akcakaya[1*], Sebastian Weingartner[1,2], Warren J Manning[1,3], Reza Nezafat[1]

Background

Quantitative myocardial T_1 mapping allows assessment of focal and diffuse fibrosis in the myocardium, by sampling the T_1 relaxation curve using inversion [1] or saturation recovery (SR) preparation [2] or a combination of both [3], followed by the acquisition of multiple images with different contrasts, which are subsequently fitted to a parametric equation pixel-wise to yield the T_1 maps. In myocardial T_1 mapping, there is a degree of freedom in selecting which points on the relaxation curve are sampled. However, this topic has not been studied. In this study, we sought to develop an estimation theoretic

framework for optimal selection of sampling points and characterized the variance of the corresponding T_1 estimator for sampling of the SR curve.

Methods

Based on the signal model, $y_k = a (1-b \exp(-x_k/T_1)) + n_k$, and the least squares model, we derived the Fisher information matrix [4]. This was used to derive the Bayesian Cramer-Rao bound [4] for the variance of the T_1 estimator for T_1 values of interest between 950 and 1250 ms (~pre-contrast myocardium). The bound was evaluated for the SASHA sequence [2] which allows sampling

Vial	uniformly distributed points		proposed point selection			
	T_1^{est} (ms)	std(T_1^{est}) (ms)	T_1^{est} (ms)	std(T_1^{est}) (ms)	std wrt. uniform	theory std wrt. uniform
1	1457 ± 7.7	69.5	1456 ± 7.4	48.4	0.69	0.71
2	1144 ± 14.5	56.1	1130 ± 7.1	41.1	0.73	0.76
3	1151 ± 11.5	53.3	1155 ± 8.6	43.2	0.81	0.76
4	729 ± 10.3	31.3	724 ± 2.1	26.3	0.84	0.86
5	980 ± 11.2	34.6	981 ± 10.4	25.2	0.73	0.78
6	823 ± 13.3	29.9	822 ± 7.7	24.2	0.81	0.83
7	1148 ± 18.4	53.0	1144 ± 8.3	37.8	0.71	0.76
8	1130 ± 10.6	56.1	1137 ± 10.5	45.2	0.81	0.76
9	963 ± 13.8	50.0	962 ± 6.2	35.5	0.71	0.79

Figure 1 Results of the phantom imaging over vials with T_1 values > 700 ms using the proposed and uniform sampling strategies, where each acquisition was repeated 5 times. The ratio of the standard deviation of the T_1 estimator for each proposed sampling strategy and that of the uniform sampling strategy is reported as "standard deviation (std) with respect to (wrt) uniform." There is a gain in using the proposed point selection strategy, which is significantly different than 1 (P < 0.001). The values match those predicted by theory (P = 0.23).

[1]Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

BioMed Central

subject	anatomy	uniform point selection		proposed point selection		std wrt. uniform
		T_1^{est} (ms)	std(T_1^{est}) (ms)	T_1^{est} (ms)	std(T_1^{est}) (ms)	
1	myocardium	1211 ± 6.4	110.1 ± 10.3	1201 ± 9.2	84.0 ± 8.2	0.76
	blood	1925 ± 22.5	166.7 ± 14.8	1903 ± 17.2	111.8 ± 6.9	0.67
2	myocardium	1242 ± 56.9	132.9 ± 32.5	1254 ± 58.9	101.5 ± 12.4	0.76
	blood	1772 ± 21.6	204.9 ± 28.9	1779 ± 48.5	147.4 ± 6.9	0.72
3	myocardium	1187 ± 55.0	117.0 ± 15.0	1218 ± 33.8	83.0 ± 12.0	0.71
	blood	1787 ± 33.4	179.7 ± 23.7	1809 ± 31.7	138.1 ± 13.4	0.77
4	myocardium	1213 ± 44.3	107.3 ± 14.9	1207 ± 28.4	85.0 ± 4.8	0.79
	blood	1755 ± 31.0	161.4 ± 14.9	1780 ± 17.2	131.4 ± 11.5	0.81
5	myocardium	1168 ± 48.5	95.3 ± 6.1	1187 ± 13.3	76.6 ± 4.4	0.80
	blood	1772 ± 42.7	164.7 ± 16.9	1761 ± 20.8	114.2 ± 9.3	0.69

Figure 2 Results of in-vivo imaging for five healthy subjects using the proposed and uniform sampling strategies, where each acquisition was repeated 5 times. T_1^{est} is reported as the mean ± std of the average T_1 values in the ROI across 5 scans, as a surrogate for accuracy and inter-scan reproducibility. The std(T_1^{est}) is reported as the mean ± std of the std of the T_1 values in the ROI across 5 scans, as a surrogate for the precision within the scan. Std wrt. uniform is the ratio of the mean values of std(T_1^{est}) using the proposed and uniform point selection, as a surrogate for the percentage gain in precision. The standard deviation of the T_1 estimator in the myocardium and blood was reduced by 23.6% and 26.8% respectively using the proposed approach.

within a heart-beat between T_{min} and T_{max} with one point at full magnetization recovery ($x_k = \infty$), and minimized over the choice of sampling points $\{x_k\}$ yielding the proposed point selection. Phantom imaging of $NiCl_2$ doped agarose vials was performed to compare the proposed point selection with a uniform distribution of sampling points between T_{min} and T_{max} [3] using an SSFP sequence with body-coil (NSA = 5) for 11 sampling points. Standard deviation (std) of T_1 values within the vials was used as a surrogate for the variance of the estimator. Imaging was also performed on 5 healthy adult subjects (4 women, 23.4 ± 3.3 years) with a 32-channel cardiac-coil to verify the gains predicted by the theory. Both proposed and uniform point selection acquisitions were repeated 5 times per subject to average out the effects of noise. ROIs were drawn in the myocardium and the blood. Both the T_1 estimate (average T_1 values in the ROI) and the std of the estimator (std of T_1 values in the ROI) are reported as mean ± std across 5 scans.

Results

The point selection yielded a tri-modal distribution of points: 4 at T_{min}, 6 at T_{max}, 1 at ∞, with a theoretical gain in std of 24% compared to uniform selection. Figure 1 shows the results of phantom imaging for T_1 values > 700 ms, indicating a good match between theory and experiment. Figure 2 depicts the measurements from the in-vivo data, averaged over five scans. Overall, there was a 23.6% and 26.8% reduction in the std of the T_1 maps in the myocardium and blood respectively using the proposed approach.

Conclusions

The proposed framework allows for choosing the location of points on the T_1 relaxation curve to achieve higher levels of precision without increasing the scan time.

Funding

Authors' details

[1]Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. [2]Computer Assisted Clinical Medicine, University Medical Center Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany. [3]Radiology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

References

1. Messroghli: *MRM* 2004.
2. Chow: *MRM* 2013.
3. Weingartner: *MRM* 2013.
4. Gill: *Bernoulli* 1995.