

Glycemic Control and Hypoglycemia: Is the Loser the Winner?: Response to Perlmuter et al.

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Musen, G., A. M. Jacobson, C. M. Ryan, P. A. Cleary, B. H. Waberski, K. Weinger, W. Dahms, et al. 2009. "Glycemic Control and Hypoglycemia: Is the Loser the Winner?: Response to Perlmuter et al." Diabetes Care 32 (4): e46. doi:10.2337/dc08-2264. http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-2264.
Published Version	doi:10.2337/dc08-2264
Accessed	February 19, 2015 4:01:50 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12153019
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

(Article begins on next page)

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

ONLINE LETTERS

Glycemic Control and Hypoglycemia: Is the Loser the Winner?

Response to Perlmuter et al.

The editorial by Perlmuter et al. (1) in the October 2008 issue of *Diabetes Care* commented on the long-term effects of severe hypoglycemia and raised concerns about our study (2), which reported that within the cohort of subjects who entered the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) during adolescence, there was no relationship between subsequent episodes of severe hypoglycemia and cognitive performance measured ~20 years after study entry. Below, we outline their five major concerns and provide supporting information.

One concern of Perlmuter et al. was the exclusion of potential participants from the DCCT if they had a history of severe hypoglycemia, thus limiting generalizability. However, a history of severe hypoglycemia was not an absolute exclusion criterion for participation in the DCCT. Indeed, 24% of the 175 participants had previously experienced 1–5 episodes of severe hypoglycemia with loss of consciousness before entry into the DCCT.

The editorial by Perlmuter et al. also suggested that nonparticipation may have been due to cognitive deterioration or severe hypoglycemic events. However, the 56 nonparticipants remained active in the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) protocol, and data are available on their frequency of severe hypoglycemia. The frequency distribution of severe hypoglycemia episodes is similar between the 56 nonparticipants and the 175 participants (5 vs. 9%, respectively). Therefore, dropout due to cognitive deterioration is unlikely. A comparison of cognitive performance between baseline and DCCT year 5 for both groups showed the same scores on seven domains, with participants scoring modestly better only on problem solving (P = 0.01).

Perlmuter et al. asserted that baseline data for the dropouts would have been useful: There were no differences in demographic or clinical characteristics between the 175 participants and the 56 nonparticipants at baseline. Complete baseline data are available upon request.

Perlmuter et al. also stated that our article was unclear regarding the ages of the individuals during each severe hypoglycemic episode: By EDIC year 12, there were 294 events in 87 subjects (33 events, ages 13–16 years; 71, 17–19 years; 152, 20–29 years; and 38, 30–39 years). As noted on page 1936 of our article, the timing of severe hypoglycemic events did not affect performance on any of the eight cognitive domains evaluated.

Finally, Perlmuter et al. expressed concern regarding the synergistic effects of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia and stated that statistical support for these effects was not reported. The synergistic effects of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia on cognitive function were evaluated using the interaction term in an ANCOVA model. We found no statistically significant effect.

In conclusion, our research question related to the long-term effects of severe hypoglycemic episodes on cognitive performance associated with intensive insulin therapy during the DCCT and post-DCCT period. Our results indicate that for patients similar to those of the DCCT adolescent cohort, intensive diabetes therapy can be implemented without undue concern for development of longterm cognitive deficits during the follow-up period. Despite this finding, intensive diabetes therapy must be practiced with caution because severe hypoglycemia can cause short-term cognitive impairment that affects ability to carry out daily activities and can lead to seizure or coma. Moreover, effects of hypoglycemia at a younger age were not assessed. In sum, the goal of achieving tight glycemic control while avoiding severe hypoglycemia is appropriate for adolescent patients.

GAIL MUSEN, PHD^{1,2} Alan M. Jacobson, md^{1,2} Christopher M. Ryan, phd³ Patricia A. Cleary, ms⁴ Barbara H. Waberski, ms⁴ Katie Weinger, edd^{1,2} William Dahms, md^{5†} Meg Bayless, rn⁶ Nancy Silvers, rn³ Judith Harth, rn⁷ Neil White, md, cde⁸ For the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of

DIABETES INTERVENTIONS AND COMPLICATIONS (DCCT/EDIC) RESEARCH GROUP*

- From the ¹Joslin Diabetes Center, Boston, Massachusetts; the ²Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; the ³Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; the ⁴Biostatistics Center, George Washington University, Washington, D.C.; ⁵Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio; ⁶Diabetes Clinical Research & Programs, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; ⁷St. Joseph's Health Care London, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; and the ⁸Department of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri.
- Corresponding author: Gail Musen, gail.musen@ joslin.harvard.edu.
- *A complete list of the individuals and institutions participating in the DCCT/EDIC Research Group appears in the following article: Jacobson AM, Ryan CM, Cleary P, Waberski B, Burwood A, Weinger K, Bayless M, Dahms W, Harth J: Long-term effects of diabetes and its treatment on cognitive function. N Engl J Med 356:1842–1852, 2007. †Deceased.
- The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases or the National Institutes of Health.
- DOI: 10.2337/dc08-2264. Clinical trial reg. no. NCT00360893, clinicaltrials.gov.
- © 2009 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

Acknowledgments — Funding for this study was provided by NIH grant 5R01-DK-062218-02 and contracts with the Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology, and Metabolic Diseases of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases and by the General Clinical Research Centers Program, National Center for Research Resources.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

- 1. Perlmuter LC, Flanagan BP, Shah PH, Singh SP: Glycemic control and hypoglycemia: is the loser the winner? *Diabetes Care* 31:2072–2076, 2008
- Musen G, Jacobson AM, Ryan CM, Cleary PA, Waberski BH, Weinger K, Dahms W, Bayless M, Silvers N, Harth J, White N, the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Research Group: Impact of diabetes and its treatment on cognitive function among adolescents who participated in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. Diabetes Care 31:1933–1938, 2008