



DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

Aqueous Multiphase Systems of Polymers and Surfactants Provide Self-Assembling Step-Gradients in Density

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
[Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Mace, Charles R., Ozge Akbulut, Ashok A. Kumar, Nathan D. Shapiro, Ratmir Derda, Matthew R. Patton, and George M. Whitesides. 2012. Aqueous Multiphase Systems of Polymers and Surfactants Provide Self-Assembling Step-Gradients in Density. <i>Journal of the American Chemical Society</i> 134, no. 22: 9094–9097.
Published Version	doi:10.1021/ja303183z
Accessed	February 19, 2015 3:33:50 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:11956908
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP

(Article begins on next page)

Aqueous Multiphase Systems of Polymers and Surfactants Provide Self-Assembling Step-Gradients in Density

Charles R. Mace¹, Ozge Akbulut¹, Ashok A. Kumar², Nathan D. Shapiro¹, Ratmir Derda¹, Matthew R. Patton¹, and George M. Whitesides^{1,3*}

¹Department of Chemistry & Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

²School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

³Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: This communication demonstrates the generation of over 300 phase-separated systems—ranging from two to six phases—from mixtures of aqueous solutions of polymers and surfactants. These aqueous multiphase systems (MuPSs) form self-assembling, thermodynamically stable, step-gradients in density using a common solvent (water). The steps in density between phases of a MuPS can be very small ($\Delta\rho \sim 0.001 \text{ g/cm}^3$), do not change over time, and can be tuned by the addition of co-solutes. We use two sets of similar objects—glass beads and pellets of different formulations of Nylon—to demonstrate the ability of MuPSs to separate mixtures of objects by differences in density. The stable interfaces between phases facilitate the convenient collection of species after separation. These results suggest that the stable, sharp, step-gradients in density provided by MuPSs can enable new classes of fractionations and separations based on density.

All matter has density, and density can be used to differentiate sub-populations of complex mixtures. Separations based on differences in density have applications in fields as varied as archaeology (e.g., fossils),¹ forensics (e.g., trace materials transferred by contact),² and hematology (e.g., cells in blood).³ Two sub-populations of different densities in a mixture can be separated by a liquid medium that provides a step in density (e.g., a sink/float assay). Separating multiple sub-populations based on density may require multiple liquids and steps. A method to prepare fluid systems having multiple layers with different densities is to overlay miscible solutions of a solute in a common solvent (e.g., sucrose or polysucrose in water) in increments of increasing concentration.⁴ This approach is not ideal because the profiles of density that are produced by this method are not sharp, and change as the components diffuse. Self-assembling, thermodynamically stable, molecularly sharp, step-gradients in density would offer new approaches to separations based on density.

When mixed, many aqueous solutions of polymers, surfactants, and salts form insoluble phases. Common examples of such two-component mixtures—aqueous two-phase systems (ATPSs)—include solutions of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and Ficoll (a polysucrose),⁵ solutions of dextran (a polyglucose) and Ficoll,⁶ and solutions of PEG and Triton (a surfactant).⁷ The two phases of those ATPSs order spontaneously according to density, and pro-

vide three stable interfaces—air/phase I, phase I/phase II, phase II/container—for the collection of species based on density. The interfacial surface energy between the phases of an ATPS is astonishingly low (from 100 nJ/m^2 to $100 \text{ } \mu\text{J/m}^2$)⁸ due to the use of water as the common solvent for the system. A low interfacial surface energy minimizes the effect of the interface on the equilibrium position of an object within an ATPS (Supporting Information). Furthermore, using water as the common solvent in phase-separated systems enables the investigation of biological mixtures.⁹

Systems that generate more than two phases in a common solvent would provide additional interfaces, and enable the collection of more than three populations that differ in density. Step-gradients in density produced by phase separation are superior to systems based on concentration gradients: (i) They have distinct (sharp on the molecular scale) steps in density at phase boundaries. (ii) The composition and properties of the phase-separated layers do not change with time. (iii) The difference in densities between adjacent phases can be very small ($\Delta\rho \sim 0.001 \text{ g/cm}^3$). (iv) They can be prepared well in advance of their use, and stored until needed. (v) They reform spontaneously if perturbed or agitated. Stable, sharp step-gradients in density thus provide the basis for new types of fractionations, classifications, and separations.

This communication demonstrates the use of immiscible mixtures of aqueous solutions of polymers and surfactants to generate multiple thermodynamically stable steps in density through phase separation. We describe a library of 311 new aqueous multiphase systems (MuPSs), ranging from two to six phases. These systems can be used to generate self-assembling step-gradients in density. Each phase of a MuPS contains multiple solutes: the immiscible phases of a MuPS are not solutions of single components but mixtures of components, and the net composition of each phase determines its density. The densities of the phases of MuPSs can also be adjusted using water-soluble additives (e.g., salts) and water-miscible solvents (e.g., D_2O). We demonstrate the use of MuPSs in density-based applications using two model systems: a mixture of six glass beads in a five-phase system, and a mixture of four different formulations of Nylon in a three-phase system.

We investigated twenty-three polymers and eleven surfactants for their ability to induce phase separation in aqueous solutions (Table S1). The criteria for selection were (i) commercial availability (to make them conveniently available to users), (ii) low cost ($< \$2/\text{g}$; we required multigram quantities to complete preliminary miscibility assays), (iii) solubility in water at $\text{pH} \sim 7$ (to limit the

number of parameters for this initial screen), and (iv) chemical diversity (to provide a range of potentially useful functionality). Several types of polymers and surfactants did not satisfy our criteria for selection, and we did not include them in our screen for multi-phase systems. For instance, we did not include polypeptides (high cost) or linear polyethyleneimine (PEI; low solubility in water \sim pH 7). Branched PEI, however, is more soluble and was included in the screen. We focused on compositional diversity in this study. We did not investigate the effect of temperature on phase separation, and we did not systematically examine the influence of the molecular weight or branching of the polymers on the properties of the MuPSs; others have studied these effects in the context of ATPSs.^{5,6,10}

The densities of the phases of MuPSs can be adjusted by changing the concentrations of the polymers or the surfactants that are used to generate them.⁶ These densities can be tuned further by incorporating co-solutes into MuPSs (in this work, D₂O and CsBr). We chose to investigate D₂O instead of other water-miscible solvents (e.g., ethanol) because D₂O—as an isotope of water—does not affect the solubility of polymers or surfactants (Supporting Information). It provides a maximum 11% increase in the density of an aqueous solution, and is relatively inexpensive for solutions that are not highly enriched isotopically (<\$0.35/mL for a solution with 70% D₂O enrichment). We used CsBr as an additive to increase the densities of the phases of MuPSs. We selected this salt for five reasons: (i) Polymers with coordinating functional groups (e.g., poly(methacrylic acid); PMAA) may chelate multivalent cations and precipitate; they do not, however, chelate monovalent cations like Cs⁺. (ii) CsBr is commercially available and inexpensive. (iii) Solutions of CsBr span a range of densities that is useful for biological separations (the density of a CsBr solution at 1 M is 1.161 g/cm³). (iv) CsBr is very soluble in water at room temperature (> 5 M). (v) Solutions of CsBr at high concentrations are transparent at visible wavelengths.

We prepared every two-component combination of the 34 polymers and surfactants we selected for this screen (561 mixtures in total; Figure 1). For each combination, we thoroughly mixed equal volumes of two selected stock solutions of a polymer or a surfactant by vortexing for 30 seconds; complete mixing allows the components of each phase to reach their equilibrium concentrations. Mixtures of immiscible solutions form emulsions, and therefore appear turbid. If these emulsions are left to equilibrate under ambient conditions, separation of the phases into distinct layers occurs over the course of minutes to days; the time required depends on the differences in density and viscosity of the phases ($\Delta\rho \approx 0.001\text{--}0.100$ g/cm³; $\Delta\eta \approx 1\text{--}1000$ cP). To accelerate phase separation, we subjected the emulsions to centrifugation: typically, complete separation is observed after less than five minutes at 2000g.

A visually discernible interface characterized those two-component mixtures that separated into discrete phases (i.e., formed an ATPS); miscible mixtures produced homogenous solutions. A number of mixtures formed either gels or precipitates; these systems are not immediately useful in density-based applications, and we did not consider them further. We confirmed that phase separation occurs for a number of previously characterized ATPSs and identified 91 previously unreported systems (Table S2). These new ATPSs include systems produced from mixtures of two polymers, one polymer and one surfactant, and two surfactants.




Figure 1. Phase separation in two-component mixtures of aqueous solutions of polymers and surfactants. We prepared all two-component mixtures of twenty-three aqueous polymer solutions and eleven aqueous surfactant solutions (561 mixtures in total). These mixtures produced three outcomes: no phase separation (*miscible*; grey box), formation of a precipitate or a gel (*incompatible*; blue box), or phase separation (*immiscible*; red box).

We wrote an algorithm using Matlab (Supporting Information) that used the results of the two-component screen to predict the multi-component mixtures that would produce multiple phases. For example, if mixtures of solutes A/B, B/C, and A/C each result in two-phase systems, then we expected a mixture of solutes A/B/C to produce a three-phase system.⁶ Using this approach, we predicted the existence of multiphase systems with as many as six phases from combinations of the solutes used in the two-component miscibility screen. Based on the ATPSs listed in Table S2, the algorithm predicted 247 systems with three or more phases. We investigated each system and were able to prepare 221 of them—these systems included five six-phase MuPSs (Details in Tables S3–S6). Prior to this study, only one of the three-phase systems that we found had been described in the literature.¹¹ Including new ATPSs identified from our two-component screen, we have discovered 311 mixtures of aqueous solutions of polymers or surfactants that result in phase separation.

Each phase of an ATPS contains water and some amount of both solutes used to form the ATPS.⁸ We expected each phase of a

MuPS would contain a mixture of solutes as well, and the evaluation of a five-phase MuPS by $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectroscopy confirmed this hypothesis (Supporting Information). Each phase of a MuPS can be described by the solute that exists at the highest concentration in the phase (e.g., a PMAA-rich phase).

To demonstrate the use of MuPSs in density-based applications, we added a group of six density standard beads to an emulsion of an aqueous solution containing 6.4% (w/v) PEG, 5.6% (w/v) poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline) (PEOZ), 9.0% (w/v) poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), 4.8% (w/v) dextran, and 6.4% (w/v) Ficoll (Table S11). This emulsion behaved as a sink/float medium for the added density standard beads—three beads floated and three beads sank (Figure 2). Upon centrifugation, this mixture produced a five-phase MuPS, and the beads sedimented to positions within the MuPS based on their densities: one at each of the four interfaces between the five phases, one at the interface between air and the top phase, and one at the interface between the bottom phase and the container. The position of the center of mass of the bead at an interface gives additional information about the density of the bead (Supporting Information).




Figure 2. Preparation and demonstration of MuPSs in density-based separations. We prepared a five-phase MuPS by mixing aqueous solutions of PEG, PEOZ, PVA, dextran, and Ficoll. We added a set of six density standard beads to this mixture: three beads floated and three beads sank in the emulsified aqueous medium (A). The mixture of polymers separated into five phases upon centrifugation and each bead sedimented under the applied centrifugal field until it reached an interface whose densities straddled the density of the bead (B).

We were able to reproduce this separation using an identical MuPS that we prepared prior to the addition of beads rather than *in situ*. When stored at room temperature, the step-gradient in density produced by this MuPS remained unchanged over the course of 12 months, and we were able to reproduce the bead separation experiments successfully after this prolonged storage period. This

stability is, of course, entirely different from what has been observed in layered solutions of sucrose of different concentrations.

Co-solutes and co-solvents can be added to MuPSs to tune the densities of the phases. We prepared a series of two-phase MuPSs that contained 10% (w/v) PEOZ and 15% (w/v) PEG in aqueous solutions that contained a mixture of H₂O and D₂O. The composition of the solvents ranged from 100% H₂O to 70% D₂O/30% H₂O. Each MuPS had identical polymer compositions and differed only in the total concentration of D₂O. After phase separation by centrifugation, we measured the densities of isolated samples of each phase (Figure 3A). The relationship between the concentration of D₂O added to the mixture of polymers and the density of each phase was linear ($R^2 > 0.998$), but the slopes of the linear fit to each set of data were not equal: the slopes, m (in units of g/cm³/ %D₂O), for the top and bottom phases were 9×10^{-4} and 7×10^{-4} , respectively. These results are consistent with the observation that the concentrations of water differ between phases of aqueous two-phase systems.⁶ The phase with lower content of water will incorporate less total D₂O at equal concentrations, and the density of this phase will thus increase at a lower rate compared to the density of a phase with higher water content (Supporting Information).




Figure 3. Tuning the density of the phases of MuPS with additives. A two-phase MuPS was prepared from mixtures of PEG and PEOZ in aqueous solutions with a range of isotopic purity (0% to 70% D₂O, by volume) (A). A three-phase MuPS was prepared from mixtures of PEG, PEI, and PMAA in aqueous solutions that included CsBr over a range of concentrations of salt (B). In both cases, the densities of the phases increased linearly with the concentration of the additive.

We also examined the addition of water-soluble salts as a method to increase the densities of the phases of a MuPS. We prepared a series of three-phase MuPSs that contained 11.7% (w/v) PEG, 8.3% (w/v) PEI, and 10.7% (w/v) PMAA and also included CsBr at concentrations up to 2.0 M. Although CsBr is soluble in water at concentrations greater than 5.0 M, the presence of high concentrations of polymers in solution lowered the solubility of the salt to approximately 2.0 M. The relationship between the concentration of salt added to the MuPSs and the density of each phase was linear, but the slopes were not equal (Figure 3B). Using LiBr instead of CsBr produced a similar result, but with lower densities at equal concentrations of salt (Figure S2).

For the three-phase system with added CsBr, we used $^1\text{H-NMR}$ to quantify the concentrations of water and polymer in each phase and to calculate the amount of salt in each phase (Figure S3). As expected, CsBr is not distributed equally between the three phases;

the phase to which CsBr partitions preferentially—in this case, the bottom phase—increases in density more than the other phases.

The ability to tune the densities of the phases of MuPSs using a number of methods—by changing the combinations of solutes, by changing the concentrations of solutes, or by adding co-solutes—provides options to identify compositions of systems that are useful for separations. For example, we prepared a three-phase MuPS from a mixture of 10% (w/v) Ficoll, 13.3% (w/v) PEOZ and 10% (v/v) Brij 35, and we tuned the density of this system by adding 25.5% (v/v) D₂O. Following centrifugation, this system separated four different formulations of Nylon—Nylon 12 ($\rho = 1.032 \text{ g/cm}^3$), Nylon 6 ($\rho = 1.057 \text{ g/cm}^3$), Nylon 6/66 ($\rho = 1.120 \text{ g/cm}^3$) and Nylon 6/12 ($\rho = 1.147 \text{ g/cm}^3$)—by differences in their densities (Figure 4). In the analogous three-phase system containing 100% H₂O, however, the separation of Nylon species was incomplete: two Nylon beads (Nylon 6 and Nylon 6/66) were captured at the same interface between the middle and the bottom phases. The addition of D₂O to the MuPS increased the densities of the phases to the appropriate values for the separation of the Nylon species (Figure S5).




Figure 4. Separation of Nylon beads based on density using a MuPS. We prepared a three-phase MuPS from a mixture of aqueous solutions of Ficoll, PEOZ, and Brij 35. The water use to prepare this MuPS contained approximately 25.5% (v/v) D₂O. We used this MuPS to separate four formulations of Nylon based on density.

Density, as a common property of all matter, often serves as a convenient and efficient marker for the differentiation and separation of species from mixtures. Multiphase systems (MuPSs) provide a means to produce self-assembling, thermodynamically stable, step-gradients in density; these systems should find use in separations and analyses based on density. MuPSs can be prepared by mixing immiscible aqueous solutions of polymers and surfactants.

The number of immiscible components used to produce the system determines the final number of phases, and, therefore, the number of interfaces: a MuPS with N phases has $N+1$ interfaces, and each interface is capable of isolating species based on density.

In this manuscript, we describe a library of over 300 MuPSs that range from two to six phases and demonstrate that the steps in density produced by MuPSs can be tuned by incorporating water-miscible additives (e.g., co-solvents or co-solutes).

Unlike the step-gradients in density produced by layered media, the interfaces between the layers of a MuPS are sharp on a molecular scale and stable. Species collected at sharp interfaces are easier to visualize, separate, and isolate. MuPSs enable the creation of small steps in density ($\Delta\rho \sim 0.001 \text{ g/cm}^3$), which remain stable because the composition and properties of the phase-separated layers of MuPSs do not change with time. This characteristic makes them useful in situations where the storage and long-term stability of the separation media are important. Although multi-solvent systems can also produce stable, immiscible phases, only MuPSs can be used to separate objects that are compatible with a single solvent. Specifically, the use of water as the common solvent should enable the separation and analysis of multiple sub-populations from complex biological mixtures (e.g., cells from whole blood) based on density.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Detailed materials and methods, description of ordering of solutes in two-component mixtures, description of algorithm to predict multiphase systems, tables of multiphase systems, quantification of ¹H-NMR experiments. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*gwhitesides@gmwgroup.harvard.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation under award number OPP1016360. A.A.K. acknowledges financial support from the Office of Naval Research through the NDSEG fellowship program.

REFERENCES

- (1) Munsterman, D.; Kerstholt, S. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palyno.* **1996**, *91*, 417–422.
- (2) Petraco, N.; Kubic, T. *J. Forensic Sci.* **2000**, *45*, 872–873.
- (3) English, D.; Andersen, B. R. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1974**, *5*, 249–252.
- (4) Glinkski, W.; Gershwin, M. E.; Budman, D. R.; Steinberg, A. D. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **1976**, *26*, 228–238.
- (5) Albertsson, P.-Å. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1958**, *27*, 378–395.
- (6) Albertsson, P.-Å. *Partition of Cell Particles and Macromolecules*; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1986.
- (7) Yamazaki, M.; Ohsika, M.; Ito, T. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1991**, *1063*, 175–177.
- (8) Hatti-Kaul, R. *Mol. Biotechnol.* **2001**, *19*, 269–277.
- (9) (a) Fisher, D. *Biochem. J.* **1981**, *196*, 1–10. (b) Lutwyche, P.; Norris-Jones, R.; Brooks, D.E. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **1995**, *61*, 3251–3255. (c) Kamei, D.T.; Liu, C.-L.; Haase-Pettingell, C.; King, J.A.; Wang, D.I.C.; Blankschtein, D. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2002**, *78*, 190–202. (d) J. P. Frampton, D. Lai, H. Sriram, S. Takayama, *Biomed. Microdevices* **2011**, *13*, 1043–1051.
- (10) (a) Albertsson, P.-Å.; Cajarville, A.; Brooks, D. E.; Tjerneld, F. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1987**, *926*, 87–93. (b) Johansson, H.; Karlström, G.; Tjerneld, F.; Haynes, C. J. *Chromatogr. B* **1998**, *711*, 3–17.
- (11) (a) Albertsson, P.-Å. *Biochemistry* **1973**, *12*, 2525–2530. (b) Ruan, K.; Wang, B.-H.; Xiao, J.-X.; Tan, J.-N. *J. Disper. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *27*, 927–930.

JACS Authors note: Authors are required to submit a graphic entry for the Table of Contents (TOC) that, in conjunction with the manuscript title, should give the reader a representative idea of one of the following: A key structure, reaction, equation, concept, or theorem, etc., that is discussed in the manuscript. The TOC graphic may be **no wider than 9.0 cm** and **no taller than 3.5 cm** as the graphic will be reproduced at 100% of the submission size. A surrounding margin will be added to this width and height during *Journal* production.

