



DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

Characterization of Circulating Natural Killer Cells in Neotropical Primates

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
[Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Carville, Angela, Tristan I. Evans, and R. Keith Reeves. 2013. "Characterization of Circulating Natural Killer Cells in Neotropical Primates." PLoS ONE 8 (11): e78793. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078793 .
Published Version	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793
Accessed	April 17, 2018 4:44:34 PM EDT
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:11879077
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

(Article begins on next page)

Characterization of Circulating Natural Killer Cells in Neotropical Primates

Angela Carville, Tristan I. Evans, R. Keith Reeves*

Division of Immunology, New England Primate Research Center, Harvard Medical School, Southborough Campus, Southborough, Massachusetts, United States of America

Abstract

Despite extensive use of nonhuman primates as models for infectious diseases and reproductive biology, imprecise phenotypic and functional definitions exist for natural killer (NK) cells. This deficit is particularly significant in the burgeoning use of small, less expensive New World primate species. Using polychromatic flow cytometry, we identified peripheral blood NK cells as CD3-negative and expressing a cluster of cell surface molecules characteristic of NK cells (i.e., NKG2A, NKp46, NKp30) in three New World primate species – common marmosets, cotton-top tamarins, and squirrel monkeys. We then assessed subset distribution using the classical NK markers, CD56 and CD16. In all species, similar to Old World primates, only a minor subset of NK cells was CD56+, and the dominant subset was CD56–CD16+. Interestingly, CD56+ NK cells were primarily cytokine-secreting cells, whereas CD56–CD16+ NK cells expressed significantly greater levels of intracellular perforin, suggesting these cells might have greater potential for cytotoxicity. New World primate species, like Old World primates, also had a minor CD56–CD16– NK cell subset that has no obvious counterpart in humans. Herein we present phenotypic profiles of New World primate NK cell subpopulations that are generally analogous to those found in humans. This conservation among species should support the further use of these species for biomedical research.

Citation: Carville A, Evans TI, Reeves RK (2013) Characterization of Circulating Natural Killer Cells in Neotropical Primates. PLoS ONE 8(11): e78793. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793

Editor: Geetha P. Bansal, Tulane University, United States of America

Received: May 24, 2013; **Accepted:** September 21, 2013; **Published:** November 11, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Carville et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by NEPRC base grant (NIH grant P51 OD011103), and CHAVI/HVTN Early Career Investigator (NIH grant U19 AI067854) and NIAID grants (NIH grant R21 AI101170), both to RKR. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: roger_reeves@hms.harvard.edu

Introduction

In primates, there are two major arms of the immune system: a) antigen-specific adaptive immunity and b) antigen-independent innate immunity. Innate immune responses limit the spread and subsequent tissue destruction of bacterial and viral infections and nascent neoplasms before the onset of adaptive immunity, but also contribute to the shaping of adaptive immune responses by cellular editing and cytokine secretion. The primary effector cells of the innate immune system are natural killer (NK) cells, which can have both cytotoxic and cytokine-based regulatory functions. Indeed critical roles for NK cells in defense against a number of viral infections including influenza, CMV, VZV, and HSV [1–6] have been documented, but NK cells also play important modulatory roles such as in pregnancy [7–9]. NK cells have evolved multiple mechanisms for the recognition of aberrant cells, the primary basis of which rests on a two-signal discrimination of “self” versus “non-self”: a positive signal initiating lysis and an inhibitory signal that is necessary to prevent lysis. The first signal is an interaction with cell-surface MHC, which would be expressed on healthy cells, but lost on many virus-infected or stressed cells. A second signal can involve so-called natural cytotoxicity receptors (NCRs) including NKG2a, NKp30, NKp44, and NKp46, which can be inhibitory or activating [10,11]. In recent years attention has been more focused on MHC interactions with killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIRs), a polygenic family of NK cell surface receptors that appear to mediate NK cell activation and cytolysis in humans and nonhuman primate species, but are absent in other mammals [11–

17]. NK cell expression of the low affinity FcγR, CD16, which binds antibodies coated on targeted cells, can also regulate antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Through this complex discrimination, NK cells maintain balance of tolerance and cytotoxicity.

In humans, two primary subsets of NK cells are found, cytolytic CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ and cytokine-secreting CD56^{bright}CD16[–] subsets, of which the CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ subset predominates in blood. Efforts to identify comparable populations of NK cells in nonhuman primate models were complicated by incomplete definitions, but we have more recently determined a definition of CD3[–]CD8αα⁺CD20^{–/dim}NKG2A⁺ is one of the most effective inclusive definitions for Old World monkeys such as rhesus and pig-tailed macaques [18–20]. Other groups have found this definition to be similarly effective for sooty mangabeys [21]. Like humans, Old World monkey NK cell subpopulations include cytolytic CD16⁺CD56^{–/dim} and cytokine-secreting CD16^{–/dim}CD56^{hi} cells, but also multifunctional CD16[–]CD56[–] NK cells which have no obvious counterpart in humans [20].

To date, evaluations of NK cell populations in New World (neotropical) primate species have been limited, and often have used nonspecific NK cell markers [22–26]. Such limitations have been imposed, at least partially, by limited numbers of known cross-reactive antibodies in these species. However, in recent years a wide range of neotropical primate disease models have been developed including those for EBV, KSV, HCV, lymphoma, neurodegenerative disorders, and autoimmune diseases [25–32]. With hundreds of millions of persons affected by these diseases




Figure 1. Peripheral blood NK cells in neotropical primate species. (A) Representative gating strategy identifying circulating NK cells. **(B)** Representative histograms of secondary molecule expression on NK cells as gated in A. **(C)** Cross-sectional comparisons of NKp46+ NK cell frequencies among total mononuclear cells in different neotropical primate species. Bars represent medians. Mann-Whitney *U* tests were used for interspecies comparisons; *, $P < 0.05$; ns, not significant. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793.g001

worldwide, a need for better tools to study immune responses in these models has arisen. Therefore, in this study we sought to comprehensively characterize the phenotypic and functional biology of NK cells in neotropical primate model species using comprehensive polychromatic flow cytometry (PFC) panels.

Methods

Ethics Statement

Animals were housed at the New England Primate Research Center (NEPRC) and were maintained in accordance with the guidelines of the local institutional animal care and use committee and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All animals were socially housed and enrolled in the NEPRC environmental enrichment program designed to provide mental and sensory stimulation and promote development of behavioral and logical skills using varied stimuli (i.e., foraging devices). Samples obtained for analysis in this study were collected during routine physical examinations, performed quarterly at NEPRC. Animals were not sedated specifically for biomedical manipulation, but rather blood draws were timed to coincide with routine health-checks thereby eliminating any unnecessary pain or distress. Thus no blood was drawn specifically for research purposes, but excess sample that would have otherwise been discarded was allocated for these analyses. Blood draws consisted of no more than 1% of body weight and not more than 3 ml, and were performed by Angela Carville, B.V.M.S., a clinical veterinarian with over 20 years experience specific to working with nonhuman primates, including neotropical primates. Post-blood draw analgesics were administered at the discretion of the veterinarian.

Animals

Neotropical primates used in this study included common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*, $n = 14$), cotton-top tamarins (*Saguinus oedipus*, $n = 8$); and squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*, $n = 6$). Animals were fed a commercial new world nonhuman primate diet (New World Primate Chow 8791, Harlan Teklad, Indianapolis, IN), which was supplemented with fruits, vegetables, eggs and nuts. Water was available ad libitum.

Polychromatic Flow Cytometry

Flow cytometry staining of mononuclear cells was carried out for cell-surface and intracellular molecules using standard protocols [20]. LIVE/DEAD Aqua dye (Invitrogen) and isotype-matched controls and/or fluorescence-minus-one (FMO) controls [33] were included in all assays. Acquisitions were made on an LSR II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR).

Table 1. Variable cross-reactivity of NK cell-specific antibodies among species.

	Marmosets	Tamarins	Squirrel Monkeys
anti-NKG2A	8/8* (100%)	2/8 (25%)	0/6 (0%)
anti-NKp30	14/14 (100%)	8/8 (100%)	4/6 (66.6%)
anti-NKp46	14/14 (100%)	8/8 (100%)	6/6 (100%)

*, number of animals evaluated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793.t001




Figure 2. Disparate frequencies of NK cell subpopulations among neotropical primate species. (A) Representative flow cytometry plots displaying NK cell subpopulations based on CD56 and CD16 expression gated on NKp46⁺ NK cells as shown in Figure 1. (B) Graphic comparisons of NK cell subpopulations among common marmosets and cotton-top tamarins (lower panels). DN, double-negative.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793.g002

NK Stimulation Assay

To analyze functional NK cell responses *ex vivo* we used a modification of an assay previously developed in our laboratory for Old World primates [20,34]. Briefly, 1×10^6 NK-enriched cells were resuspended in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10% FBS and stimulated at an E:T ratio of 1:1 with 721.221 cells, or with PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μ g/ml), or with medium alone. Golgiplug (brefeldin A) and Golgistop (monensin) were added at final concentrations of 6 μ g/ml, then all samples were cultured for 12 hours at 37°C in 5% CO₂. After culture, samples were surface-stained using markers to delineate NK cells (CD3, NKp46, CD16, CD56). Cells were then permeabilized using Caltag Fix & Perm and intracellular cytokine staining was performed for IFN- γ (APC conjugate, clone B27, Invitrogen) and TNF- α (Alexa 700 conjugate, clone Mab11, Pharmingen).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical and graphical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism (version 6.0a) software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). Nonparametric Mann-Whitney U and Wilcoxon matched pairs tests were used where indicated and $P < 0.05$ were assumed to be significant in all analyses.

Results

Identification and Quantification of Natural Killer Cells in Neotropical Primates

Since little research had previously been performed on NK cells in neotropical primate species we initially used gating strategies from other primate models as a guide for identification. We tested cross-reactivity of a battery of monoclonal antibodies often used in other nonhuman primates in three neotropical species – common marmosets, cotton-top tamarins, and squirrel monkeys. Using up

to 8-color flow cytometry we identified a number of cross-reactive antibodies to NK cell and lineage markers that could be used specifically in these species (Figure S1). We were able to identify a population of large granular lymphocytes that were non-B (CD20⁻) non-monocytic (CD14⁻) non-T cells (CD3⁻) in all species. To further confirm these cells as NK cells we used antibodies to three NK-cell specific markers, NKG2A, NKp30, and NKp46. These three antibodies are among few available antibodies to NK cell markers that have any cross-reactivity in other nonhuman primate species [20,21,34,35]. Although in marmosets the three primary NK cell antibodies were highly cross-reactive, there was variable cross-reactivity for both anti-NKG2A and anti-NKp30 in tamarins and squirrel monkeys (Table 1). This could be due to some allelic variation in the epitope targeted by these antibodies (unpublished observations). However, anti-NKp46 exhibited bright staining in all species, thereby allowing us to use an NK cell inclusive definition of large lymphocytes CD3⁻CD14⁻CD20⁻NKp46⁺ (Figure 1A). Furthermore, in those animals where the antibodies to NKp30 and NKG2a were cross-reactive, both molecules were co-expressed with NKp46, suggesting these molecules similarly identify NK cells in each of the species (Figure S2). Although this may not be an all-inclusive definition for NK cells, at present it is the most comprehensive proposed working definition for these species. In addition to NK cell-specific markers we also examined a number of cell-surface markers that are associated with NK cell development, trafficking and activation (Figure 1B). NK cells from the species examined expressed little HLA-DR, but had variable levels of CCR5 and high cell surface expression of CD27, consistent with other nonhuman primate and human NK cells. Interestingly, CD8 α was negative to dim on NK cells from all neotropical species (Figure 1B, Figure S3); an unexpected finding since CD8 α is expressed at high levels on NK cells in Old World primates and delineates a subpopulation of NK cells in humans [20,21,34,36,37].

Using the CD3⁻CD14⁻CD20⁻NKp46⁺ definition for NK cells we could then assess the frequency of NK cells in the circulation of neotropical species (Figure 1C). In general NK cells were most uncommon in marmosets with a median frequency of 1.5% of peripheral lymphocytes, compared to tamarins and squirrel monkeys, with median frequencies of 2.2% and 4.5%, respectively. Interestingly, the frequency of NK cells in each of these species was markedly rare compared to humans and other primate species that range from 5% to 40% [18,20,21,34–36,38]. However, whether this might be reflective of technical issues in identifying NK cells in these species or a true biologic difference is unclear.

Disparate Subset Distribution of Circulating Natural Killer Cells in Primate Species

In both humans and Old World primate species, such as rhesus macaques, CD56 and CD16 delineate subpopulations of cytokine-secreting and cytolytic natural killer cells, respectively. Similarly we found that CD56 and CD16 could be used to subdivide circulating NK cells into three subpopulations in marmosets and tamarins. – CD56⁺CD16⁻ (CD56⁺), CD56⁻CD16⁺ (CD16⁺), and CD56⁻CD16⁻ (DN) (Figure 2). This pattern of expression was more similar to that observed in Old World nonhuman primates where CD56 delineates only a small subset of NK cells compared to humans and chimpanzees where CD56 is expressed on virtually all NK cells [20,34,39]. However, the frequency of NK cell subpopulations among both neotropical species was somewhat disparate where, like in humans and in other primates, the CD16⁺ subpopulation was dominant in blood, followed in frequency by the DN subpopulation. Interestingly, in marmosets the CD56⁺ population was disproportionately large, representing a median of




Figure 3. Functional profiles of marmoset and tamarin NK cells. (A) MFIs and example histograms of intracellular perforin expression in different NK cell subpopulations *ex vivo*. Percentages of peripheral blood NK cells from common marmosets (B) or cotton-top tamarins (C) positive for intracellular IFN- γ and TNF- α following PMA/ionomycin or 721.221 stimulation. Bars represent means \pm SEM of 6 animals per group. Wilcoxon matched pairs tests were used for CD16+ NK cells versus CD56+ or DN NK cells; *, $P < 0.05$. Only statistically significant differences are indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078793.g003

20% of marmoset NK cells compared to less than 5% in tamarins. This unusual finding was, however, biologic and not due to cross-reactivity issues given the bright staining of CD56 on other tamarin non-NK lymphocytes (Figure S4).

Functional Profiles of Natural Killer Cells in Neotropical Primates

In humans and Old World monkeys CD16⁺ NK cells are generally more cytotoxic while CD56⁺ NK cells are more cytokine-secreting cells. Unfortunately antibodies tested to two surrogate indicators of cytotoxicity, granzyme B and CD107a, were found to not cross-react in neotropical primates (Figure S1 and unpublished observations). However, an anti-perforin antibody had very strong cross-reactivity in all species for intracellular staining (Figure 3A) and we used this as a surrogate marker for cytotoxic potential of various NK cell subpopulations. Intracellular perforin expression was ~2.5-fold greater in CD16⁺ compared to CD56⁺ NK cells, with expression in DN NK cells measuring intermediately between these two populations. Interestingly, perforin expression was generally greater (as measured by MFI) in marmosets compared to tamarins. Whether this is a biologic difference, or, alternatively, a relative cross-reactivity issue between the two species, is not clear.

To discriminate cytokine production profiles of NK cell subpopulations in New World primates, we next evaluated cells from both marmosets and tamarins in an *ex vivo* intracellular cytokine staining assay adapted from previous assays used in Old World primates and humans [19,20,34]. We evaluated production of IFN- γ and TNF- α in response to both mitogen (PMA/ionomycin) and the classical NK cell stimulus, MHC-devoid 721.221 cells. NK cells from marmosets and tamarins (Figure 3B and 3C) produced both cytokines at similar frequencies, although, not surprisingly, cytokine secretion was generally higher in response to PMA/ionomycin compared to 721.221 cells. IFN- γ was produced at similar levels by CD56⁺ and DN NK cells, whereas CD16⁺ NK cells generally produced much less. Similarly, TNF- α was produced predominantly by DN NK cells and CD56⁺ NK cells, but very little by CD16⁺ NK cells. This delineation of CD56⁺ NK cells as primarily cytokine-secreting cells is similar to what has been observed in other primate species, but additional experiments will be required to determine if the high level of perforin expression in CD16⁺ NK cells is actually indicative of increased cytolytic function [20,34,36,40].

Discussion

Herein we present for the first time an inclusive phenotypic definition of NK cells in neotropical primates using modern PFC techniques. Furthermore, our data suggest that the functionality of various NK cell subpopulations is conserved in New and Old World primates as well as humans. Given the increasing interest in use of neotropical primates in biomedical research, these data are highly relevant for establishing the validity and utility of such models for infectious disease and immunologic models. In addition, these data will provide a significant reference for other scientists on the cross-reactivity of a number of human antibodies in neotropical primate studies.

Using PFC we validated unequivocally the identity of neotropical primate NK cells and their subsets by demonstrating expression of NKG2A and NKp30 expression in addition to the more definitive marker NKp46. Others and we have previously determined that these markers are also inclusive for NK cells for rhesus and pig-tailed macaques and sooty mangabeys [18,20,21]. Like in other species, neotropical primate NK cells lacked

expression of CD14, CD20, and HLA-DR, but could be divided into subpopulations by CD56 and CD16 expression. Although the paucity of CD56 expression on neotropical primate NK cells is more similar to Old World monkeys than the defining expression found in humans and apes. Perhaps most convincingly to confirm these as true NK cells; each of the subsets (CD56⁺, CD16⁺, DN) was responsive to the classical NK cell stimulus, MHC-devoid 721.221 cells. The DN NK cell subset is of particular interest since functionally and phenotypically it appears to be conserved among New and Old World monkeys, but does not have an obvious human counterpart and further studies will be required to delineate the ontogeny. However, neotropical primate NK cells expressed little to no CD8 α . This is somewhat of an unexpected finding given that CD8 α is often used as an NK cell-delineating marker in Old World primates and chimpanzees [20,34,41]. Although these data will need to be confirmed with subsequent studies, it is tempting to speculate that this could have an impact on NK cell functionality.

Although there was significant variability in the frequencies, we found that in each of the three neotropical primate species NK cells generally represented less than 5% (medians) of circulating mononuclear cells. This is in contrast to Old World monkeys and humans where NK cell frequencies are also highly variable, but generally much greater in number, up to 40% of lymphocytes [20,34,39]. There could be several explanations for this disparate pattern: 1) Our working definition for NK cells is incomplete and that we are not identifying the full complement of NK cells; or 2) NK cells are truly biologically more rare in neotropical primate species. The former is a caveat that we must accept as a possibility from our study. The second explanation, if true, could be of significant interest and have several plausible mechanisms. In general NK cells have two primary mechanisms for discrimination of self versus non-self, either NKG2 molecules or KIR binding to MHC. Unlike higher primates that express NKG2a, NKG2c, and NKG2e, marmosets only express one ancestral NKG2 molecule [42]. Furthermore, marmosets express a simplified repertoire of KIR molecules comprised of a single novel lineage related to human KIR3DL which co-evolved with the limited MHC also found in these species [17]. These data could suggest New World monkeys have a contracted NK cell repertoire in general. The simplified NK cell arm of neotropical primates might suggest they are more susceptible to certain viruses or tumors, and could also explain in part the unique immunologic tolerance in these species [30,43–45]. Although the underlying reasons for modest differences between neotropical primate NK cells and those found in Old World monkeys and humans are not entirely clear, these data do suggest the basic functional “divisions of labor” are conserved and support the use of these species for future biomedical studies.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Cross-reactivity of antibodies to neotropical primate antigens. List of antibodies tested for cross-reactivity in neotropical primate species. Green (+) indicates consistent positive staining in the species whereas red (–) indicates lack of positive staining. Gray (+/–) indicates inconsistent cross-reactivity. (EPS)

Figure S2 Correlative expression of NK cell markers. Percentages of NKp30⁺ (A) and NKG2A⁺ (B) NK cells in neotropical primate species correlate with percentages of NKp46⁺ NK cells, suggesting these markers identify equivalent cell types. Spearman’s correlation test (r), P values <0.05 are considered significant. (EPS)

Figure S3 Cross-reactive staining of anti-CD8 α (B9_11) on neotropical primate lymphocytes.

(EPS)

Figure S4 Cross-reactive staining of anti-CD56 on tamarin lymphocytes.

(EPS)

References

- Lanier LL (2008) Evolutionary struggles between NK cells and viruses. *Nat Rev Immunol* 8: 259–268.
- Gazit R, Gruda R, Elboim M, Arnon TI, Katz G, et al. (2006) Lethal influenza infection in the absence of the natural killer cell receptor gene Ncr1. *Nature Immunology* 7: 517–523.
- Bonaparte MI, Barker E (2004) Killing of human immunodeficiency virus-infected primary T-cell blasts by autologous natural killer cells is dependent on the ability of the virus to alter the expression of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. *Blood* 104: 2087–2094.
- Fogli M, Mavilio D, Brunetta E, Varchetta S, Ata K, et al. (2008) Lysis of endogenously infected CD4+ T cell blasts by rIL-2 activated autologous natural killer cells from HIV-infected viremic individuals. *PLoS Pathog* 4: e1000101.
- Ward J, Bonaparte M, Sacks J, Guterman J, Fogli M, et al. (2007) HIV modulates the expression of ligands important in triggering natural killer cell cytotoxic responses on infected primary T-cell blasts. *Blood* 110: 1207–1214.
- Choi EI, Reimann KA, Letvin NL (2008) In vivo natural killer cell depletion during primary simian immunodeficiency virus infection in rhesus monkeys. *Journal of Virology* 82: 6758–6761.
- El Costa H, Casemayou A, Aguerre-Girr M, Rabot M, Berrebi A, et al. (2008) Critical and differential roles of NKp46- and NKp30-activating receptors expressed by uterine NK cells in early pregnancy. *Journal of Immunology* 181: 3009–3017.
- Huang Y, Zhu XY, Du MR, Li DJ (2008) Human trophoblasts recruited T lymphocytes and monocytes into decidua by secretion of chemokine CXCL16 and interaction with CXCR6 in the first-trimester pregnancy. *J Immunol* 180: 2367–2375.
- Kalkunte S, Chichester CO, Gotsch F, Sentman CL, Romero R, et al. (2008) Evolution of non-cytotoxic uterine natural killer cells. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 59: 425–432.
- Biassoni R (2009) Human natural killer receptors, co-receptors, and their ligands. *Current Protocols in Immunology*.
- Bryceson YT, Long EO (2008) Line of attack: NK cell specificity and integration of signals. *Curr Opin Immunol* 20: 344–352.
- LaBonte ML, Hershberger KL, Korber B, Letvin NL (2001) The KIR and CD94/NKG2 families of molecules in the rhesus monkey. *Immunol Rev* 183: 25–40.
- Bimber B, O'Connor DH (2008) KIRigami: the case for studying NK cell receptors in SIV+ macaques. *Immunologic Research* 40: 235–4023.
- Colantonio AD, Bimber BN, Neidermyer WJ, Reeves RK, Alter G, et al. (2011) KIR Polymorphisms Modulate Peptide-Dependent Binding to an MHC Class I Ligand with a Bw6 Motif. *PLoS Pathog* 7: e1001316.
- Hershberger KL, Shyam R, Miura A, Letvin NL (2001) Diversity of the killer cell Ig-like receptors of rhesus monkeys. *Journal of Immunology* 166: 4380–4390.
- Parham P (2005) MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. *Nat Rev Immunol* 5: 201–214.
- Parham P, Abi-Rached L, Matevosyan L, Moesta AK, Norman PJ, et al. (2010) Primate-specific regulation of natural killer cells. *J Med Primatol* 39: 194–212.
- Reeves RK, Wei Q, Stallworth J, Fultz PN (2009) Systemic dendritic cell mobilization associated with administration of FLT3 ligand to SIV- and SHIV-infected macaques. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 25: 1313–1328.
- Reeves RK, Evans TI, Gillis J, Johnson RP (2010) Simian immunodeficiency virus infection induces expansion of alpha4beta7+ and cytotoxic CD56+ NK cells. *J Virol* 84: 8959–8963.
- Reeves RK, Gillis J, Wong FE, Yu Y, Connole M, et al. (2010) CD16- natural killer cells: enrichment in mucosal and secondary lymphoid tissues and altered function during chronic SIV infection. *Blood* 115: 4439–4446.
- Pereira LE, Johnson RP, Ansari AA (2008) Sooty mangabeys and rhesus macaques exhibit significant divergent natural killer cell responses during both acute and chronic phases of SIV infection. *Cell Immunol* 254: 10–19.
- Neubauer RH, Levy R, Strnad BC, Rabin H (1981) Reactivity of monoclonal antibodies against human leucocyte antigens with lymphocytes of non-human primate origin. *Journal of Immunogenetics* 8: 433–442.
- Neubauer RH, Marchalonis JJ, Strnad BC, Rabin H (1982) Surface markers of primate B and T lymphoid cell lines identified by antibodies to human and simian lymphocyte antigens. *Journal of Immunogenetics* 9: 209–221.
- Neubauer RH, Briggs CJ, Noer KB, Rabin H (1983) Identification of normal and transformed lymphocyte subsets of nonhuman primates with monoclonal antibodies to human lymphocytes. *Journal of Immunology* 130: 1323–1329.
- Ohta S, Ueda Y, Yaguchi M, Matsuzaki Y, Nakamura M, et al. (2008) Isolation and characterization of dendritic cells from common marmosets for preclinical cell therapy studies. *Immunology* 123: 566–574.
- Yoshida T, Saito A, Iwasaki Y, Iijima S, Kurosawa T, et al. (2010) Characterization of natural killer cells in tamarins: a technical basis for studies of innate immunity. *Front Microbiol* 1: 28.
- Jacob JR, Lin KC, Tennant BC, Mansfield KG (2004) GB virus B infection of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) and associated liver pathology. *J Gen Virol* 85: 2525–2533.
- Lee H, Vcazey R, Williams K, Li M, Guo J, et al. (1998) Deregulation of cell growth by the K1 gene of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. *Nat Med* 4: 435–440.
- Marvanova M, Menager J, Bezard E, Bontrop RE, Pradier L, et al. (2003) Microarray analysis of nonhuman primates: validation of experimental models in neurological disorders. *FASEB J* 17: 929–931.
- Massacesi L, Genain CP, Lee-Parritz D, Letvin NL, Canfield D, et al. (1995) Active and passively induced experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in common marmosets: a new model for multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 37: 519–530.
- Miller G, Lipman M (1973) Release of infectious Epstein-Barr virus by transformed marmoset leukocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 70: 190–194.
- Yaguchi M, Tabuse M, Ohta S, Ohkusu-Tsukada K, Takeuchi T, et al. (2009) Transplantation of dendritic cells promotes functional recovery from spinal cord injury in common marmoset. *Neurosci Res* 65: 384–392.
- Roederer M (2001) Spectral compensation for flow cytometry: visualization artifacts, limitations, and caveats. *Cytometry* 45: 194–205.
- Reeves RK, Evans TI, Fultz PN, Johnson RP (2011) Potential confusion of contaminating CD16+ myeloid DCs with anergic CD16+ NK cells in chimpanzees. *Eur J Immunol* 41: 1070–1074.
- Mavilio D, Benjamin J, Kim D, Lombardo G, Daucher M, et al. (2005) Identification of NKG2A and NKp80 as specific natural killer cell markers in rhesus and pigtailed monkeys. *Blood* 106: 1718–1725.
- Cooper MA, Fehniger TA, Caligiuri MA (2001) The biology of human natural killer-cell subsets. *Trends Immunol* 22: 633–640.
- Freud AG, Caligiuri MA (2006) Human natural killer cell development. *Immunological Reviews* 214: 56–72.
- Rutjens E, Mazza S, Biassoni R, Koopman G, Radic L, et al. (2007) Differential NKp30 inducibility in chimpanzee NK cells and conserved NK cell phenotype and function in long-term HIV-1-infected animals. *J Immunol* 178: 1702–1712.
- Caligiuri MA (2008) Human natural killer cells. *Blood* 112: 461–469.
- Cooper MA, Fehniger TA, Turner SC, Chen KS, Ghaheri BA, et al. (2001) Human natural killer cells: a unique innate immunoregulatory role for the CD56(bright) subset. *Blood* 97: 3146–3151.
- Rutjens E, Mazza S, Biassoni R, Koopman G, Ugolotti E, et al. (2010) CD8(+) NK cells are predominant in chimpanzees and characterized by high NCR expression and cytokine production and preserved in chronic HIV-1 infection. *Eur J Immunol*.
- Averdam A, Kuhl H, Sontag M, Becker T, Hughes AL, et al. (2007) Genomics and diversity of the common marmoset monkey NK complex. *J Immunol* 178: 7151–7161.
- Benirschke K, Anderson JM, Brownhill LE (1962) Marrow Chimerism in Marmosets. *Science* 138: 513–515.
- Niblack GD, Kateley JR, Gengozian N (1977) T-and B-lymphocyte chimerism in the marmoset. *Immunology* 32: 257–263.
- Ross CN, French JA, Orti G (2007) Germ-line chimerism and paternal care in marmosets (*Callithrix kuhlii*). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104: 6278–6282.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RKR. Performed the experiments: AC TIE RKR. Analyzed the data: RKR. Wrote the paper: AC RKR.