

Two Independent Pathways for Self-Recognition in Proteus Mirabilis Are Linked by Type VI-Dependent Export

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Wenren, Larissa M., Nora L. Sullivan, Lia Cardarelli, Alecia N. Septer, and Karine A. Gibbs. 2013. Two independent pathways for self-recognition in proteus mirabilis are linked by type VI- dependent export. mBio 4(4): e00374-13.
Published Version	doi:10.1128/mBio.00374-13
Accessed	February 19, 2015 1:12:10 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:11315443
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#OAP

(Article begins on next page)

1	Two independent pathways for self recognition in <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> are linked by type VI-
2	dependent export
3	
4	Larissa M. Wenren ^a , Nora L. Sullivan ^{a, b} , Lia Cardarelli ^a , Alecia N. Septer ^a , and Karine A.
5	Gibbs ^{a,1}
6	^a Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, 16 Divinity Avenue,
7	Cambridge, MA 02138
8	^b current address: W.M. Keck Science Department, 925 N. Mills Ave, Claremont McKenna,
9	Pitzer and Scripps Colleges, Claremont, CA 91711
10	
11	
12	¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed:
13	Karine A. Gibbs, Ph.D.
14	Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University
15	16 Divinity Avenue
16	Cambridge, MA 02138
17	Ph: 617-496-1637 (office)
18	Em: kagibbs@mcb.harvard.edu
19	
20	
21	Keywords: sociomicrobiology, self recognition, type VI secretion, bacterial competition, cell-cell
22	communication
23	Running title: Self-recognition networks intersect via a T6S system

1 Abstract

2 Swarming colonies of the bacterium Proteus mirabilis are capable of self recognition and 3 territorial behavior. Swarms of independent P. mirabilis isolates can recognize each other as 4 foreign and establish a visible boundary where they meet; by contrast, genetically identical 5 swarms merge. The *ids* genes, which encode self-identity proteins, are necessary but not 6 sufficient for this territorial behavior. Here we have identified two new gene clusters: one (*idr*) 7 encodes *rhs*-related products and another (*tss*) encodes a putative type VI secretion (T6S) 8 apparatus. The Ids and Idr proteins function independently of each other in extracellular transport 9 and in territorial behaviors; however, these self-recognition systems are linked via this type VI 10 secretion system. The T6S system is required for export of select Ids and Idr proteins. Our results 11 provide a mechanistic and physiological basis for the fundamental behaviors of self recognition 12 and territoriality in a bacterial model system.

13

14

15 **Importance**

16 Our results support a model in which self recognition in *P. mirabilis* is achieved by the 17 combined action of two independent pathways linked by a shared machinery for export of 18 encoded self-recognition elements. These proteins together form a mechanistic network for self 19 recognition that can serve as a foundation for examining the prevalent biological phenomena of 20 territorial behaviors and self recognition in a simple, bacterial model system.

21

1 Introduction

2 The ability to differentiate self from nonself is a behavior observed throughout biology, 3 from animals to single-celled organisms. Self recognition has been hypothesized to be a 4 cornerstone aspect of territorial behavior, i.e., a preference for kin and aggressiveness toward 5 non-kin (1). Multiple implementations of self-recognition capability have been described in a 6 growing set of bacteria, including Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Vibrio cholerae, 7 Escherichia coli, Paenibacillus dendritiformis, and Myxococcus xanthus (2-9). In both P. 8 aeruginosa and V. cholerae, the type VI secretion (T6S) system mediates the exchange of toxins 9 between neighboring cells; kin selection occurs through the strain-specific expression of an 10 antitoxin to the T6S-mediated toxins, which are usually strain- or species-specific effector 11 molecules (3-5). Similarly, contact-dependent inhibition (CDI) in E. coli and Dickeya dadantii is 12 achieved through the direct exchange of toxin-encoding peptides that are selectively targeted to 13 inhibit growth of non-isogenic strains; these non-isogenic strains do not express the requisite 14 neutralizing antitoxin (7, 10-12). It has been proposed that these CDI toxins are linked to rhs 15 (rearrangement hotspot) sequences in bacteria (11). While the molecular mechanisms of these 16 systems are beginning to be described, the native environmental and physiological role for self 17 recognition in bacteria is poorly understood.

In the model system *P. mirabilis*, a Gram-negative bacterium and causative agent of
urinary tract infections, self recognition is necessary for territorial behavior. Migrating
populations, or "swarms," of independent *P. mirabilis* isolates can recognize each other as
foreign and establish a macroscopically visible boundary (of up to three millimeters) where they
meet. By contrast, genetically identical swarms merge, forming a single, larger swarm (2). This
behavior indicates that *P. mirabilis* populations are capable of distinguishing self from nonself.

P. mirabilis infections have been described as clonal and as a consequence of infection by the
 host's endogenous strain (13, 14).

3	We previously reported that <i>P. mirabilis</i> populations with mutations in the <i>ids</i> operon,
4	consisting of <i>idsABCDEF</i> , do not merge with the wild-type parent, indicating a loss of the ability
5	to correctly recognize self (15, 16). More specifically, we found that IdsD and IdsE encode
6	strain-specific self-identity determinants in <i>P. mirabilis</i> . Strains in which either <i>idsD</i> or <i>idsE</i> are
7	absent form a territorial boundary with an otherwise genetically identical parent strain, and this
8	behavior is not rescued by expression of <i>idsD</i> and/or <i>idsE</i> alleles from a foreign strain (15). This
9	differs from the other four Ids proteins (IdsA, IdsB, IdsC, and IdsF), which we found do not
10	confer strain-specific self-identity as their substitution with alleles from a foreign strain does not
11	alter boundary formation (15). The Ids proteins, however, are necessary but not sufficient for self
12	recognition and subsequent boundary formation in P. mirabilis.
13	To fully understand and model self-recognition behavior in bacteria, we need to know the
14	core components and how they interact with one another. Indeed, the full set of proteins involved
15	in self recognition in <i>P. mirabilis</i> , as well as their cellular location and the interconnections
16	between them, were previously unknown. Moreover, the role of the Ids proteins, and of self
17	recognition in general, in social behaviors outside of boundary formation has yet to be examined.
18	Here, we have characterized core molecular networks for self recognition in one strain of P .
19	mirabilis, as well as the interconnections between these proteins.
20	
21	Results

22 Self recognition requires two gene clusters, *tss* and *idr*, in addition to the *ids* genes.

We sought to ascertain the full set of genes necessary for self recognition by searching 1 2 for mutants that display a different territorial boundary formation phenotype from the wild-type 3 strain and/or an *ids*-deficient mutant strain. To this end, we generated a library of roughly 13,000 4 single-insertion transposon mutants in the wild-type strain BB2000, representing an 5 approximately three-fold coverage of its genome. Then we screened each mutant from the library 6 by swarming it against a mutant lacking the *ids* operon (Δids) and against other mutants from the 7 transposon library, which served as proxies for nonself and self populations, respectively. We 8 isolated mutants that either merged with all strains or formed boundaries with $\Delta i ds$ and each 9 other (Figure 1A). Seven mutants were pursued: five that merged with both $\Delta i ds$ and the wild-10 type parent ("all-merge") and two that formed boundaries with both Δids and the wild-type ("no-11 merge") (Figure 1B). We had isolated an additional no-merge mutant in a previous self-12 recognition screen (15). The isolated mutant strains, like the wild-type parent and $\Delta i ds$, formed 13 boundaries with the independent P. mirabilis wild-type strain HI4320 (Figure 1B). The eight 14 insertion sites represented by these recovered mutants map to two adjacent, divergently oriented 15 gene clusters.

16 The insertions in the all-merge mutants map to a single 17-gene cluster, tssA-Q, located 17 from base pairs 938,609 to 916,585 (Figure 1C, NCBI accession number BankIt1590180 18 BB2000 CP004022). The sequence of tssA-Q reveals similarities to genes encoding core 19 components of the Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion (T6S) system, including the membrane 20 proteins *icmF*, *dotU*, and *sciN*, as well as the ATPase clpV(17). This is the sole locus containing 21 these T6S proteins in the BB2000 genome. To confirm the phenotype associated with tssA-Q, we 22 introduced *tssN* (*icmF*) and the three downstream genes, *tssOPQ*, into a low-copy plasmid where 23 gene expression is controlled by the region directly upstream of *tssA*; we transformed this

1	plasmid, pLW100, into a <i>tssN</i> -deficient mutant (<i>tssN</i> *) in which <i>tssN</i> is disrupted by a
2	transposon insertion. The four <i>tss</i> genes, <i>tssNOPQ</i> , were included on pLW100, because the <i>tssN</i>
3	mutation likely disrupts expression of the downstream genes. The plasmid pLW100
4	complements the <i>tssN</i> mutation; the resultant strain forms a boundary with $\Delta i ds$ (Figure 1B). We
5	did not see complementation with a plasmid containing solely <i>tssN</i> using the same promoter
6	region, suggesting that a disruption in <i>tssO</i> , <i>tssP</i> , or <i>tssQ</i> may also be responsible for the all-
7	merge phenotype and that the upstream promoter is not contributing to the complementation
8	phenotype (see Supporting Information). Therefore, we conclude that disruption of T6S function
9	is responsible for the all-merge phenotype.
10	The no-merge mutants contain transposon insertions in three separate genes of a
11	previously uncharacterized five-gene locus, located from base pairs 940,506 to 949,474, that we
12	name <i>idr</i> for <i>identity recognition</i> (Figure 1D). The first gene, <i>idrA</i> , shares high sequence
13	similarity with <i>idsA</i> (98%) and the T6S-related gene <i>hcp</i> , whereas the second gene, <i>idrB</i> , has
14	some sequence similarity to $idsB$ (50%) and the T6S-related gene $vgrG$ (Figure 1D). The $idrB$ -
15	deficient mutant strain $(idrB^*)$ in which $idrB$ is disrupted by a transposon insertion serves as the
16	<i>idr</i> -deficient strain throughout our studies. The remaining genes, <i>idrC</i> , <i>idrD</i> , and <i>idrE</i> , are
17	predicted to encode polypeptides of unknown function. The <i>idrD</i> gene contains <i>rhs</i> sequences.
18	Some genes containing <i>rhs</i> sequences have been shown to encode antibacterial toxins (11).
19	We observe that the <i>ids</i> , <i>idr</i> , and <i>tss</i> gene clusters are all present in the genome of the
20	independent strain HI4320 (18). The Ids proteins share greater than 97% sequence identity
21	among strains, except for IdsD and IdsE, which share 96% and 93% sequence identity,
22	respectively (15). The polypeptides encoded by the tss locus are highly similar (over 97%
23	sequence identity) between strains BB2000 and HI4320 (Figure 1C). However, the <i>idr</i> locus

differs in both nucleotide sequence and gene content between strains BB2000 and HI4320,
 suggesting that the *idr* locus encodes as-yet uncharacterized strain-specific factors necessary for
 self recognition (Figure 1D).

4

5 The *ids*, *tss*, and *idr* loci are each critical for competition on surfaces.

6 We next examined the role of each gene cluster in self recognition and territorial 7 behaviors. We predicted that self-recognition capability likely provides an increased ability to 8 survive against other organisms. As self recognition-dependent boundary formation in P. 9 *mirabilis* is principally apparent on surfaces, we investigated whether loss of self-recognition 10 capability decreases a population's ability to compete on surfaces. In equal initial ratios, we 11 mixed cells of the parent BB2000, which is fully capable of self recognition, with those of either 12 the $\Delta i ds$, tssN*, or idrB* mutant strains, all of which are deficient in one or more self-recognition 13 protein. We placed each mixed population on a nutrient surface in a single spot from which the 14 population migrated outward as a single swarm. Then we analyzed for dominance by measuring 15 whether the mixed population merged with either a pure swarm of parent BB2000 or of an 16 isolated swarm of the tested mutant strain. The parent BB2000 prevailed in virtually every mixed 17 population (Figure 2A).

18 To determine how the parent strain achieves dominance, we sampled for the presence of 19 the parent and mutant strains at discrete locations within the swarm of the mixed population. 20 Notably, parent BB2000 cells migrated to the periphery of the swarm more rapidly than any of 21 the mutant strains (Figure 2B). None of the mutant strains have a motility defect, as compared to 22 BB2000, when migrating alone (Figure 1). Therefore, loss of self-recognition capability 23 diminishes a population's relative rate of movement to, and dominance of, the leading edge of a

swarm colony when growing with an otherwise genetically identical strain fully capable of self
 recognition (Figure 2B).

3 We next assessed how the BB2000 parent and mutant strains fared in competition with 4 the independent wild-type P. mirabilis strain HI4320. In similar assays for dominance as 5 described above, we mixed an equal ratio of HI4320 and BB2000 cells and then placed the 6 mixed population onto a nutrient surface in a spot from which the cells migrated outwards as a 7 single swarm. We measured for dominance by examining whether the swarm of the mixed 8 population formed a boundary with an adjacent pure swarm of either HI4320 or of BB2000. 9 Most mixtures of HI4320 and BB2000 yielded boundaries with the neighboring HI4320 swarm 10 but would merge with the BB2000 swarm, indicating that BB2000 cells dominated at the leading 11 edges of mixed populations (Figure 2C). Likewise, mixtures of HI4320 and the Δids mutant 12 strain primarily formed boundaries with a pure HI4320 swarm but merged with a pure Δids 13 swarm, indicating that the $\Delta i ds$ strain was dominant in these mixed population and that the *i ds* 14 genes are not needed for competition between strains (Figure 2C). By contrast, mixtures of 15 HI4320 with either the tssN* or idrB* mutant strain primarily yielded swarms that merged with a 16 pure HI4320 swarm but formed boundaries with pure swarms of the tssN* or idrB* mutant 17 strain, respectively, indicating that HI4320 dominated in these mixed populations (Figure 2C). 18 The presence of the Idr and T6S proteins, but not the Ids proteins, is therefore advantageous in 19 competitions against the independent strain HI4320. Further, the Idr and Ids proteins have 20 discrete roles in competitions; while Ids and Idr proteins are necessary for competitions with the 21 parent strain, only Idr proteins are involved in competition with foreign strains.

22



1	The phenotypes observed during the competition assays suggest a dynamic connection
2	between these three gene clusters that together contribute to self recognition and territorial
3	behaviors. Since T6S is needed for the export of proteins in other bacterial systems, we predicted
4	that self-recognition products in <i>P. mirabilis</i> are likely exported from the cell via this system. As
5	such, we examined the secretion profiles of the wild-type, $\Delta i ds$, $i dr B^*$, and $tssN^*$ strains for
6	proteins involved in self recognition using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
7	(LC-MS/MS). We detected the self-identity determinant protein IdsD, as well as IdsA and IdsB,
8	in the extracellular fraction of the wild-type <i>P. mirabilis</i> strain BB2000 but not in that of the Δids
9	mutant strain (Figure 3A). None of the remaining Ids proteins were present in any of the
10	extracellular fractions by LC-MS/MS analysis. The newly identified IdrA and IdrB proteins were
11	also present in the extracellular fractions for both the wild-type and the $\Delta i ds$ mutant strains,
12	indicating that export of the Idr proteins is independent of the Ids proteins (Figure 3A).
13	Conversely, IdsA, IdsB, and IdsD, as well as IdrA, were detected by LC-MS/MS analysis in
14	supernatant isolated from the $idrB^*$ mutant strain, providing further support that the Ids and Idr
15	proteins likely function independently in export from the cell (Figure 3A).
16	We readily observed IdsA and IdrA in the extracellular fraction of the wild-type strain as
17	discrete bands in a Coomassie Blue-stained protein gel. We excised these bands and confirmed
18	by LC-MS/MS that they were indeed IdsA and IdrA (Figure 3B). Only a single polypeptide band
19	corresponding to the molecular weight of IdrA was present in the Δids extracellular fraction,
20	confirming the LC-MS/MS results (Figure 3B). By contrast, neither IdsA nor IdrA were visible
21	in the extracellular fraction of the tssN* mutant strain (Figure 3B). Indeed, neither Ids nor Idr
22	proteins were detected above background levels in the supernatant of the $tssN^*$ mutant strain
23	analyzed by LC-MS/MS (see Supporting Information).

1	To further confirm the LC-MS/MS data, we attached a FLAG epitope to the C-terminus
2	of IdsA in a low-copy plasmid containing the entire <i>ids</i> operon with its native promoter, resulting
3	in plasmid pLW101, and then introduced this plasmid construct into the Δids strain, into wild-
4	type BB2000, and into the tssN* mutant strain. In these plasmid-carrying strains, IdsA-FLAG
5	was absent in the extracellular fraction of the $tssN^*$ mutant strain, but was present in that of the
6	Δids and wild-type strains, as detected by western blot (Figure 3C). Of note, IdsA-FLAG was
7	detected not only in the supernatant, but also on the surface of intact BB2000 carrying pLW101
8	cells (see Supporting Information). The lack of extracellular IdsA-FLAG in the tssN* mutant
9	strain was not due to reduced production, as IdsA-FLAG was present at equivalent levels in the
10	whole cell extracts of all plasmid-carrying cells (Figure 3C).
11	We performed a similar western blot analysis using custom-raised antibodies to IdsB.
12	IdsB was detected at equivalent levels in the whole cell extracts of the wild-type, $idrB^*$, and
13	$tssN^*$ strains, but was only detected in the supernatant fractions of the wild-type and $idrB^*$
14	strains (Figure 3D). Unfortunately, we were unable to directly localize epitope-tagged variants of
15	IdsD in vivo, perhaps due to low expression of IdsD and/or to steric hindrance of the epitope by a
16	putative identity complex. However, based on the LC-MS/MS and western blot analyses, export
17	of the self-identity determinant protein IdsD, as well as the non-identity determinant proteins
18	IdsA, IdsB, IdrA, and IdrB, requires a functional T6S system. Moreover, export of the Ids
19	components is independent of the Idr components and vice-versa.
20	
21	Discussion

Here we report the discovery of two additional gene clusters that together with the *ids* operon comprise a network of self-recognition genes (Figure 4A). One locus, *idr*, encodes

proteins necessary for merger with the parent BB2000 strain, while the other locus, *tss*, is a type
 VI secretion system that mediates export of Ids and Idr proteins. Significantly, we found that
 multiple Ids and Idr proteins are exported from the cell, including the self-identity determinant
 protein, IdsD.

5 The Idr and Ids proteins represent two separate mechanisms for self recognition. Export 6 of the Idr proteins is independent of the *ids* gene cluster, and likewise, export of the Ids proteins 7 is not dependent on the *idr* gene cluster. Moreover, strains with mutations in the *ids* or *idr* genes 8 have different phenotypes in intraspecies competitions. The Ids proteins, which are only needed 9 for competition with the parent strain, encode strain-specific self-identity determinants (15). 10 Interestingly, the *idrD* gene contains *rhs* sequences, which are commonly found in bacterial 11 species. Recent research has implicated that these *rhs*-encoding proteins, as well as proteins 12 involved in contact-dependent inhibition such as CdiA in E. coli, encode toxin elements in the C-13 terminal domain (6, 11, 19). However, the *rhs*-containing proteins may also encode for adhesion 14 molecules because they share some sequence similarity to YD-repeat-containing teneurin 15 proteins (11). Either of these *idrD* proposed functions could explain why the *idr* genes are 16 required for increased competition (and/or population migration) against foreign strains. 17 Indeed, we demonstrate that the self-recognition capability of *P. mirabilis* provides a 18 competitive advantage for the population specifically on surfaces. We did not observe similar 19 advantages when wild type was grown with self-recognition mutant strains in liquid (see 20 Supporting Information). Growth on surfaces induces a broad developmental change in *P*. 21 *mirabilis* where increased cell-cell contact yields increased population-wide coordination that is 22 integral to migration and outward expansion of the swarm (reviewed in (20, 21)). Perhaps the

behavior of self recognition is most beneficial in environments where social interactions are
 more frequent and, thus potentially, more impactful.

3 Our research supports a model in which *P. mirabilis* self recognition involves the display 4 of self-identity proteins that are likely interpreted via a direct physical interaction with other 5 cells; this communication then yields a self versus nonself assessment that guides whether 6 boundaries are formed between populations (Figure 4B). Some self-identity proteins are likely 7 displayed on or near the cell surface, as physical contact between cells is required for boundary 8 formation (22). This extracellular exposure may serve to communicate a cell's identity 9 represented by the self-identity determinant molecules, IdsD and IdsE, during interactions with 10 neighboring cells (15, 16). Indeed, Ids and Idr proteins are transported out of the cell via the T6S 11 system and are either transported into the neighboring cell or localize on the cell surface (see 12 Supporting Information). However, we have not yet found evidence for the transfer of self-13 recognition proteins into a neighboring cell.

14 We propose that boundary formation can result from the actions of a single population, 15 which has queried on a cell-cell level, whether the neighboring cell is self or not. For each 16 population of *P. mirabilis* strain BB2000, "self" is defined by the combined actions of the Ids 17 and Idr pathways. Self recognition occurs when both the expected cognate Ids and Idr proteins 18 are present in (or on) the neighboring cell, ultimately resulting in merger with the neighboring 19 swarm (Figure 4B). By contrast, we predict that boundary formation results from the absence of 20 the cognate Ids and Idr self-determinants in the neighboring cell (Figure 4B). Both the Ids and 21 Idr proteins likely initiate downstream signaling pathways that are altered depending on whether 22 the interactions are with cognate or non-cognate Ids and Idr proteins, respectively.

23 This two-part network for self recognition appears analogous to aspects of the innate

1 immune system and indeed has many parallels to the immune surveillance of natural killer (NK) 2 cells. In current models for NK cell activity, the presence of self cells (i.e., of one's own 3 organism) is conveyed by the combined detection of two surface receptors (an activation-4 receptor ligand and Class I MHC), resulting in no killing of the self cell. By contrast, the absence 5 of either receptor leads to the NK cell's determination of an absence of self and the subsequent 6 killing of the non-self (or receptor-deficient) cell, as reviewed in (23). Intriguingly, these results 7 in *P. mirabilis* further support the idea that cellular self recognition is a behavior shared amongst 8 many levels of biological complexity.

9 While the capability for self recognition is broadly found, it remains unclear why and 10 how bacteria utilize this ability. In P. mirabilis, self recognition is necessary for territorial 11 expansion when interacting with competing non-isogenic populations. Recently, other research 12 groups have shown that type VI secretion systems confer a fitness advantage in interbacterial and 13 interkingdom competitions, likely through transport of small molecules, but their role in 14 intraspecies interactions is only beginning to emerge (3-5, 24-31). Our demonstration that a T6S 15 system functions directly in self recognition-dependent territoriality expands the set of known 16 applications for this widely conserved export machinery. We still need to explore the 17 mechanisms of T6S in *P. mirabilis* and its relative functional capabilities as compared to T6S 18 systems described in other bacteria. Importantly, we still need to understand the dynamics of Idr 19 and Ids protein-protein interactions within and between cells. Indeed, the Ids, Idr, and T6S 20 proteins together form a mechanistic foundation for examining the basic biological phenomena 21 of territoriality and self recognition in a bacterial model system.

- 22
- 23

1	Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Andrew Fields, Clarissa Scholes, Mark
2	Urbanowski, and Pete Greenberg for contributing experimental materials to this project. Richard
3	Losick, Alexander Schier, Feng Chen, Melissa Marks, and Philippe Cluzel provided valued
4	advice on this manuscript. Harvard University and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation
5	funded our research.
6	
7	Author contributions: L.M.W., N.L.S, L.C., A.N.S., and K.A.G. designed and performed
8	research, as well as analyzed data. L.M.W., L.C., A.N.S., and K.A.G. wrote the paper.

1 Materials and Methods

maintained on LB agar and *Proteus mirabilis* strains were maintained on LSW- agar (32). *P*. *mirabilis* was grown on CM55 Blood Agar Base agar (Remel Inc., Lenexa, KS) for swarm
colony growth. For broth cultures, all strains were grown in LB broth under aerobic conditions at
37°C. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: 15 µg/ml tetracycline (Tet); 100
µg/ml rifampicin (Rif); 50 µg/ml kanamycin (Kn); 35 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Cm) for *E. coli*and 50 µg/ml for *P. mirabilis*. All media contained antibiotics appropriate for selection or
maintenance of plasmids.

Bacterial strains and media. All strains are listed in Table 1. Escherichia coli strains were

10

2

11 Plasmid construction. The tssN (icmF) complementation plasmid pLW100 encodes the last four 12 genes of the tss gene cluster (tssN through tssO) under the transcriptional control of the proposed 13 promoter contained in the region immediately upstream of the *tss* gene cluster. This plasmid was 14 constructed in two steps: the 1200 basepairs upstream of *tssA* was amplified by Polymerase 15 Chain Reaction (PCR) from the BB2000 genome and inserted into the pBBR1-NheI (15) plasmid 16 using the Infusion HD system (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA); genes tssN, tssO, 17 tssP, and tssQ were then PCR-amplified and inserted into the above plasmid (with Infusion HD), 18 resulting in pLW100. To construct pLW101, which is the plasmid encoding IdsA-FLAG, a 19 FLAG epitope (N-DYKDDDDK-C) was inserted immediately before the *idsA* stop codon in the 20 pids_{BB} plasmid (15) using Quikchange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent Technologies, Santa 21 Clara, CA). Plasmids were propagated in E. coli XL10-Gold and then conjugated into P. 22 *mirabilis* via mating with E. coli S17-1 λ pir carrying the target plasmid as described earlier (15). 23

Swarm boundary assay. Cells were inoculated from overnight or mid-logarithmic cultures with
 an inoculation needle onto the surface of CM55 agar. Swarm plates were incubated for 18 - 24
 hours at 37°C and screened by eye for the presence or absence of a visible boundary.

4

5 Transposon library construction and screen. A library of *P. mirabilis* transposon insertion 6 mutants was generated by mating P. mirabilis strain BB2000 with E. coli strain SM10\pir 7 carrying pUTmini-Tn5-Cm as described previously (15). Matings were performed on LSW⁻ agar 8 plates in the absence of selection for 8 - 16 hours, spread on 22 cm x 22 cm LSW⁻ Tet+Cm agar 9 trays (Genetics/Molecular Devices, UK), and incubated at 37°C for 24 - 36 hours. Colonies were 10 picked using a robotic colony picker (Qbot/Molecular Devices, Genetix, UK) and arrayed into 11 96-well master plates. In total, 12,960 transposon insertion mutants were arrayed from 96-well 12 master plates onto swarm agar trays (Nunc Omnitray, Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) 13 using the gridding head of the robotic colony picker. The swarm agar trays were arrayed in one 14 of two patterns: 1) the mutants alone were arrayed and screened for boundary formation between 15 independent mutants, or 2) the mutants were arrayed alternating with the $\Delta i ds$ mutant. After 24 16 and 48 hours, each mutant was scored for boundary formation or merger with neighboring 17 colonies. From the initial high-throughput, robotic screen of ~26,000 interactions, 192 potential 18 mutants were selected for further re-testing. Potential mutants were then examined in individual 19 swarm boundary assays against the BB2000 parent, the $\Delta i ds$ mutant strain, and wild-type P. 20 *mirabilis* strain HI4320 (33) to confirm the phenotype. Of those tested, 21 mutant strains were 21 confirmed. Eight mutants contained disruptions in eight different loci, six strains contained 22 mutations in the *ids* locus, and the remaining mutants contained disruptions in the *tss* (five) and 23 *idr* (two) loci.

2	Mapping the transposon insertion sites. Arbitrary PCR was used to map the sites of the mini-
3	Tn5-Cm transposon insertions as described previously (34-36). Briefly, genomic DNA was
4	isolated from each transposon mutant of interest by phenol chloroform extraction, and the
5	transposon insertion sites were amplified using Vent Polymerase (New England Biolabs,
6	Ipswich, MA) and primers Tn5Ext and ARB6 for the first round, then oNS054 (5'-
7	TTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACCGCATTAAAATCTAGCGAGG -3') and ARB2 for the
8	second round. Samples were treated with ExoSAP-IT (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA)
9	between rounds and prior to sequencing. Sanger sequencing was performed using primer
10	oNS056 (5'- TTCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACC -3') via Genewiz, Inc. (South Plainfield,
11	NJ). Results were mapped against the HI4320 (18) and the BB2000 (NCBI accession number
12	BankIt1590180 BB2000 CP004022) genome sequences using ViroBLAST (37).
13	
14	Sequence alignments. The predicted polypeptide sequences for the <i>ids</i> , <i>tss</i> , and <i>idr</i> gene clusters
15	were compared between independent P. mirabilis strains BB2000 and HI4320. Percent identities
16	for the entire peptide were calculated in pairwise comparisons using ClustalW2 (38, 39).
17	
18	Surface competitions. To observe the spatial distribution of co-swarming <i>P. mirabilis</i> strains
19	over time, BB2000 c. pBBR2-GFP (16) was competed against BB2000, Δids , tssN*, or $idrB^*$.
20	Overnight cultures were normalized to an OD_{600} of 0.1. Competing strains were mixed together
21	in a 1:1 ratio, and 0.5 μ L of each co-culture was spotted onto the center of a CM55 agar plate.
22	After incubating first at room temperature for 22 hours and then at 37°C for 6 hours, each swarm
23	consisted of four swarm rings and was patched using a half-plate 48-prong device onto selective

1	plates that could detect the marked BB2000 strain (LSW Kn) and, when applicable, the mutant
2	strain (LSW ⁻ Cm). Select swarms (i.e. BB2000 versus BB2000) were also plated non-selectively
3	onto LSW ⁻ agar. To determine which strain was dominant in surface competitions, overnight
4	cultures, normalized to OD_{600} of 1.0, of BB2000 were mixed at a 1:1 ratio with those of Δids ,
5	$tssN^*$, or $idrB^*$. Mixed populations were inoculated onto CM55 agar using an inoculation
6	needle; monocultures of the boundary indicator strains were inoculated approximately 1 cm
7	away. After overnight incubation at 37°C, the presence or absence of boundaries between swarm
8	was used to assess strain dominance at the leading edge. Dominance of BB2000 was assessed as
9	the merger of mixed populations with a mono-swarm of BB2000; dominance of the mutant was
10	assessed as a merger with a mono-swarm of itself, or in the case of the $tssN^*$ strain, the Δids
11	strain.
12	For competition between independently derived strains, the surface competition described
13	above was repeated using the P. mirabilis wild-type strain HI4320 competed against BB2000,
14	$\Delta ids, tssN^*$, or $idrB^*$, with the modifications that a mono-culture of HI4320 was used as the
15	indicator strain on each plate instead of BB2000 and dominance of HI4320 was assessed as the

merger of mixed populations with the HI4320 mono-swarm. For all assays, unclear boundaries
were marked as neither.

18

TCA precipitation. Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.1 in fresh LB+Kn and grown at 37°C with shaking to an OD_{600} of 3.5 - 4.5. For whole cell extracts, 1 ml of culture was centrifuged and the pellet was resuspended in 100 µl SDS-PAGE sample buffer. For supernatant samples, 30 ml of culture was clarified by centrifugation and filter sterilized (0.22 µm filters). The filtered supernatant was treated with trichloroacetic acid (10% final concentration) and

incubated on ice for 30 minutes. Precipitated proteins were collected by centrifugation, washed
 twice with pre-chilled 100% acetone, dried, and resuspended in 100 µL 2X SDS-PAGE sample
 buffer.

4

5 Protein sequence analysis by LC-MS/MS. TCA-precipitated samples were analyzed by 6 electrophoresis using 10% SDS-PAGE gels and then stained with Coomassie Blue. Gel regions 7 of interest were excised and analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry/mass 8 spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility (Harvard 9 Medical School, Boston, MA). The unique peptide results for the Ids and Idr proteins are in 10 Tables S1, S2, S3, and S4. 11 12 Antiserum preparation. Polyclonal antiserum against residues Cys713-Ala723 of IdsB was 13 raised in rabbits according to the standard protocols (Covance Research Products, Denver, CO). 14 15 Gel electrophoresis and western blot. Protein samples were separated by gel electrophoresis 16 using 15% tris-tricine gels and were either stained with Coomassie Blue or transferred to 17 nitrocellulose for western blot analysis. Western blot membranes were probed with primary 18 antibody (either 1:5000 mouse anti-FLAG, Sigma-Aldrich, Allentown, PA; 1:1000 mouse anti-19 Sigma70, Thermo Scientific, West Palm Beach, FL; or, 1:1000 rabbit anti-IdsB antiserum) for 1 20 hour, with secondary antibody (1:5000 goat anti-mouse-HRP, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD; 1:5000 21 goat anti-rabbit-HRP, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD) for 1 hour, and visualized using Immun-Star 22 HRP Luminol/Enhancer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and the ChemiDoc XRS (Bio-Rad, Hercules, 23 CA).

1	Refe	rences
2	1.	Wilson EO. 2000. Sociobiology: The New Synthesis, 25th anniversary edition, 25 Anv
3		ed. Belknap Press of the Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
4	2.	Dienes L. 1946. Reproductive processes in Proteus cultures. Proc Soc Expt Biol Med
5		63: 265-270.
6	3.	Hood RD, Singh P, Hsu F, Guvener T, Carl MA, Trinidad RR, Silverman JM,
7		Ohlson BB, Hicks KG, Plemel RL, Li M, Schwarz S, Wang WY, Merz AJ, Goodlett
8		DR, Mougous JD. 2010. A type VI secretion system of Pseudomonas aeruginosa targets
9		a toxin to bacteria. Cell Host Microbe 7:25-37.
10	4.	LeRoux M, De Leon JA, Kuwada NJ, Russell AB, Pinto-Santini D, Hood RD,
11		Agnello DM, Robertson SM, Wiggins PA, Mougous JD. 2012. Quantitative single-cell
12		characterization of bacterial interactions reveals type VI secretion is a double-edged
13		sword. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:19804-19809.
14	5.	Basler M, Ho BT, Mekalanos JJ. 2013. Tit-for-Tat: Type VI secretion system
15		counterattack during bacterial cell-cell interactions. Cell 152:884-894.
16	6.	Aoki SK, Diner EJ, de Roodenbeke CT, Burgess BR, Poole SJ, Braaten BA, Jones
17		AM, Webb JS, Hayes CS, Cotter PA, Low DA. 2010. A widespread family of
18		polymorphic contact-dependent toxin delivery systems in bacteria. Nature 468: 439-442.
19	7.	Aoki SK, Pamma R, Hernday AD, Bickham JE, Braaten BA, Low DA. 2005.
20		Contact-dependent inhibition of growth in Escherichia coli. Science 309:1245-1248.
21	8.	Be'er, Zhang HP, Florin EL, Payne SM, Ben-Jacob E, Swinney HL. 2009. Deadly
22		competition between sibling bacterial colonies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:428-433.

1	9.	Pathak DT, Wei X, Bucuvalas A, Haft DH, Gerloff DL, Wall D. 2012. Cell contact-
2		dependent outer membrane exchange in myxobacteria: genetic determinants and
3		mechanism. PLoS genetics 8:e1002626.
4	10.	Aoki SK, Webb JS, Braaten BA, Low DA. 2009. Contact-dependent growth inhibition
5		causes reversible metabolic downregulation in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 191:1777-
6		1786.
7	11.	Koskiniemi S, Lamoureux JG, Nikolakakis KC, T'Kint de Roodenbeke C, Kaplan
8		MD, Low DA, Hayes CS. 2013. Rhs proteins from diverse bacteria mediate intercellular
9		competition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:7032-7037.
10	12.	Webb JS, Nikolakakis KC, Willett JL, Aoki SK, Hayes CS, Low DA. 2013. Delivery
11		of CdiA nuclease toxins into target cells during contact-dependent growth inhibition.
12		PLoS ONE 8:e57609.
13	13.	Mathur S, Sabbuba NA, Suller MT, Stickler DJ, Feneley RC. 2005. Genotyping of
14		urinary and fecal Proteus mirabilis isolates from individuals with long-term urinary
15		catheters. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 24:643-644.
16	14.	Sabbuba NA, Stickler DJ, Mahenthiralingam E, Painter DJ, Parkin J, Feneley RC.
17		2004. Genotyping demonstrates that the strains of Proteus mirabilis from bladder stones
18		and catheter encrustations of patients undergoing long-term bladder catheterization are
19		identical. J Urol 171:1925-1928.
20	15.	Gibbs KA, Urbanowski ML, Greenberg EP. 2008. Genetic determinants of self
21		identity and social recognition in bacteria. Science 321:256-259.
22	16.	Gibbs KA, Wenren LM, Greenberg EP. 2011. Identity gene expression in Proteus
23		mirabilis. J Bacteriol 193:3286-3292.

1	17.	Heidelberg JF, Eisen JA, Nelson WC, Clayton RA, Gwinn ML, Dodson RJ, Haft
2		DH, Hickey EK, Peterson JD, Umayam L, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Read TD, Tettelin H,
3		Richardson D, Ermolaeva MD, Vamathevan J, Bass S, Qin H, Dragoi I, Sellers P,
4		McDonald L, Utterback T, Fleishmann RD, Nierman WC, White O, Salzberg SL,
5		Smith HO, Colwell RR, Mekalanos JJ, Venter JC, Fraser CM. 2000. DNA sequence
6		of both chromosomes of the cholera pathogen Vibrio cholerae. Nature 406:477-483.
7	18.	Pearson MM, Sebaihia M, Churcher C, Quail MA, Seshasayee AS, Luscombe NM,
8		Abdellah Z, Arrosmith C, Atkin B, Chillingworth T, Hauser H, Jagels K, Moule S,
9		Mungall K, Norbertczak H, Rabbinowitsch E, Walker D, Whithead S, Thomson
10		NR, Rather PN, Parkhill J, Mobley HLT. 2008. Complete genome sequence of
11		uropathogenic Proteus mirabilis, a master of both adherence and motility. J Bacteriol
12		190: 4027-4037.
13	19.	Poole SJ, Diner EJ, Aoki SK, Braaten BA, t'Kint de Roodenbeke C, Low DA, Hayes
14		CS. 2011. Identification of functional toxin/immunity genes linked to contact-dependent
15		growth inhibition (CDI) and rearrangement hotspot (Rhs) systems. PLoS genetics
16		7: e1002217.
17	20.	Mobley HL, Belas R. 1995. Swarming and pathogenicity of Proteus mirabilis in the
18		urinary tract. Trends Microbiol. 3:280-284.
19	21.	Manos J, Belas R. 2006. The Genera Proteus, Providencia, and Morganella, p. 245-269,
20		Prokaryotes, vol. 6.
21	22.	Budding AE, Ingham CJ, Bitter W, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Schneeberger PM.
22		2009. The Dienes phenomenon: competition and territoriality in swarming Proteus
23		mirabilis. J Bacteriol 191:3892-3900.

1	23.	Lanier LL. 2005. NK cell recognition. Annual review of immunology 23:225-274.
2	24.	Mougous JD, Cuff ME, Raunser S, Shen A, Zhou M, Gifford CA, Goodman AL,
3		Joachimiak G, Ordonez CL, Lory S, Walz T, Joachimiak A, Mekalanos JJ. 2006. A
4		virulence locus of Pseudomonas aeruginosa encodes a protein secretion apparatus.
5		Science 312: 1526-1530.
6	25.	Pukatzki S, Ma AT, Revel AT, Sturtevant D, Mekalanos JJ. 2007. Type VI secretion
7		system translocates a phage tail spike-like protein into target cells where it cross-links
8		actin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:15508-15513.
9	26.	Russell AB, Hood RD, Bui NK, LeRoux M, Vollmer W, Mougous JD. 2011. Type VI
10		secretion delivers bacteriolytic effectors to target cells. Nature 475: 343-347.
11	27.	Schell MA, Ulrich RL, Ribot WJ, Brueggemann EE, Hines HB, Chen D, Lipscomb
12		L, Kim HS, Mrazek J, Nierman WC, Deshazer D. 2007. Type VI secretion is a major
13		virulence determinant in Burkholderia mallei. Mol Microbiol 64:1466-1485.
14	28.	Basler M, Mekalanos JJ. 2012. Type 6 secretion dynamics within and between bacterial
15		cells. Science 337: 815.
16	29.	Unterweger D, Kitaoka M, Miyata ST, Bachmann V, Brooks TM, Moloney J, Sosa
17		O, Silva D, Duran-Gonzalez J, Provenzano D, Pukatzki S. 2012. Constitutive type VI
18		secretion system expression gives Vibrio cholerae intra- and interspecific competitive
19		advantages. PLoS ONE 7:e48320.
20	30.	MacIntyre DL, Miyata ST, Kitaoka M, Pukatzki S. 2010. The Vibrio cholerae type VI
21		secretion system displays antimicrobial properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:19520-
22		19524.

1	31.	Pukatzki S, Ma AT, Sturtevant D, Krastins B, Sarracino D, Nelson WC, Heidelberg
2		JF, Mekalanos JJ. 2006. Identification of a conserved bacterial protein secretion system
3		in Vibrio cholerae using the Dictyostelium host model system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
4		103: 1528-1533.
5	32.	Belas R, Erskine D, Flaherty D. 1991. Transposon mutagenesis in Proteus mirabilis. J
6		Bacteriol 173: 6289-6293.
7	33.	Jones BD, Mobley HL. 1988. Proteus mirabilis urease: genetic organization, regulation,
8		and expression of structural genes. J Bacteriol 170:3342-3349.
9	34.	Caetano-Anolles G. 1993. Amplifying DNA with arbitrary oligonucleotide primers.
10		PCR methods and applications 3: 85-94.
11	35.	O'Toole GA, Kolter R. 1998. Initiation of biofilm formation in Pseudomonas
12		fluorescens WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic
13		analysis. Mol Microbiol 28:449-461.
14	36.	O'Toole GA, Pratt LA, Watnick PI, Newman DK, Weaver VB, Kolter R. 1999.
15		Genetic approaches to study of biofilms. Methods Enzymol 310: 91-109.
16	37.	Deng W, Nickle DC, Learn GH, Maust B, Mullins JI. 2007. ViroBLAST: a stand-
17		alone BLAST web server for flexible queries of multiple databases and user's datasets.
18		Bioinformatics 23:2334-2336.
19	38.	Goujon M, McWilliam H, Li W, Valentin F, Squizzato S, Paern J, Lopez R. 2010. A
20		new bioinformatics analysis tools framework at EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res
21		38: W695-699.

1	39.	Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H,
2		Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG.
3		2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23:2947-2948.
4	40.	Warren JW, Tenney JH, Hoopes JM, Muncie HL, Anthony WC. 1982. A prospective
5		microbiologic study of bacteriuria in patients with chronic indwelling urethral catheters. J
6		Infect Dis 146: 719-723.
7	41.	de Lorenzo V, Cases I, Herrero M, Timmis KN. 1993. Early and late responses of
8		TOL promoters to pathway inducers: identification of postexponential promoters in
9		Pseudomonas putida with lacZ-tet bicistronic reporters. J Bacteriol 175:6902-6907.
10		
11		
12		

1 Figure Legends

2 Figure 1. The tss and idr genes are necessary for self recognition. (A) Diagrammatic

3 representations of the boundary behavior patterns exhibited by mutants isolated in the screen, 4 matched with the swarm plates below. (B) Swarm agar plates inoculated with P. mirabilis strains 5 exhibited the boundary formation behavior of two representative mutants isolated from the self-6 recognition screen: the *tssN** mutant strain that merged with all other BB2000-derived strains 7 (left), the complemented *tssN** mutant strain carrying plasmid pLW100 that formed a boundary 8 with the Δids strain (center), and the *idrB** mutant that formed boundaries with all other strains 9 (right). (C) Diagram of the putative type VI secretion (tss) gene locus with sites of the transposon 10 insertions, as depicted by lollipops. (D) Diagram of the *idr* gene locus with sites of the 11 transposon insertions, as depicted by lollipops. For (C) and (D), the dark grey shading indicates 12 97% or higher percent identity for the predicted polypeptide sequences of the *tss* and *idr* genes 13 between strains BB2000 and HI4320; otherwise, specific identities are provided underneath. The 14 dashed box indicates the region of *idrD* that shares sequence similarity between strains BB2000 15 and HI4320. Slanted lines indicate a break in the genomic regions, corresponding to 16 approximately 8 kilobase pairs (kb).

17

Figure 2. Competitions between *P. mirabilis* strains. (A) Competitions between mutant strains and the parent strain BB2000 on surfaces were initiated at a 1:1 ratio, and the mixed populations were permitted to swarm against either BB2000 or the mutant strain, N = 12. Population dominance was measured as the ability of the mixed swarm to merge with either BB2000, indicating BB2000 dominance, or the mutant strain, indicating dominance of the mutant strain. Unclear boundaries were classified as neither. (B) To observe the spatial distribution of co-

1 swarming P. mirabilis strains over time, BB2000 c. pKG101 (16) was competed against 2 BB2000, Δids , tssN*, or idrB*. Overnight cultures were normalized to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. 3 Competing strains were mixed together in a 1:1 ratio, and 0.5 µL of each co-culture was spotted 4 onto the center of a CM55 agar plate, N=3. After incubating first at room temperature for 22 5 hours and then at 37°C for 6 hours, each swarm consisted of four swarm rings and was patched 6 using a half-plate 48-prong device onto selective plates that could detect the marked BB2000 7 strain (LSW⁻ Kn) and, when applicable, the mutant strain (LSW⁻ Cm). Swarms of BB2000 versus 8 BB2000 were also plated non-selectively onto LSW⁻ agar. Representative photographs of the 9 swarm plates, after sampling for migration distance, are depicted below. (C) Competitions 10 between BB2000, the BB2000 mutant strains, and an independent strain HI4320 were initiated at 11 a 1:1 ratio, and the mixed populations were swarmed against either a BB2000 mutant strain or 12 HI4320. The BB2000 mutant strain was defined as dominant when there was a merger between the mixed population and the BB2000 mutant strain, while HI4320 was dominant if the mixed 13 14 population merged with the HI4320 swarm. N = 6 for *tssN**; 12 for Δids and idr*B**; and 18 for 15 BB2000.

16

Figure 3. Proteins involved in self recognition are exported outside of the cell. (A) LC-MS/MS peptide hits for proteins in the culture supernatants of wild-type BB2000 and the Δids mutant strains. ⁺For BB2000 and the *idrB** strains, an additional 6 unique (74 total) and 4 unique (28 total) peptides, respectively, could be assigned to either IdsA or IdrA, due to high similarity of the two proteins. (B) The secretion profiles of the wild-type, Δids , and *tssN** strains were examined by gel electrophoresis followed by Coomassie Blue staining. The identity of bands corresponding to IdsA and IdrA were confirmed by LC-MS/MS. (C) Western blots of

1 extracellular secretions (left) and whole cell extracts (right) isolated from strains expressing 2 IdsA-FLAG. The Δids c. pids_{BB} strain was included as a negative control for the FLAG epitope. 3 For Δids expressing IdsA-FLAG *in trans*, the FLAG epitope was engineered in-frame into an 4 expression plasmid that contains the entire *ids* operon under native control. (D) Western blots of 5 extracellular secretions (left) and whole cell extracts (right) isolated from the indicated strains 6 using a polyclonal anti-IdsB antibody. The asterisks mark the size of the expected band.

7

8 Figure 4. Model for Ids and Idr functional roles in self recognition. (A) Depicted is a 9 functional flowchart for the roles of the Ids, Idr, and T6S proteins in self recognition and 10 territorial behaviors. A subset of Ids and Idr proteins are primarily exported via a shared T6S 11 system (tss) and are necessary for competition on surfaces with the parent strain. Idr proteins are 12 also needed for competition against foreign strains. (B) Our proposed model for self recognition 13 predicts that the combined actions of interactions between cognate Ids and Idr proteins between 14 two neighboring cells result in the determination that self is present, ultimately resulting in the 15 merger of two swarms. Expression of the self-recognition components within the cells is 16 sufficient, though in wild-type strains, some of these components are exported out of the cell by 17 a T6S system. By contrast, absence of one or more of the Ids and Idr self-recognition systems 18 leads to the determination that self is absent and ultimately to boundary formation.

- 19
- 20
- 21

1 Table 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids.

Strain	Genotype	Source
Proteus mirabilis		
BB2000	wild type	(32)
HI4320	wild type	(18, 40)
Δids	$\Delta ids::Cm(R)$	(15)
$\Delta ids c. pids_{BB}$	$\Delta ids::Cm(R)$ carrying a plasmid	(15)
	expressing the <i>ids</i> operon under control of	
	the <i>ids</i> upstream region	
idrB*	<i>idrB</i> ::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
idrC*	<i>idrC</i> ::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
idrD*	<i>idrD</i> ::Tn-Cm(R)	(15)
tssA*	tssA::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
tssB*	tssB::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
tssG*	tssG::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
tssM*	tssM::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
tssN*	tssN::Tn-Cm(R)	This study
BB2000 c. pKG101	wild type carrying a plasmid with Kn(R)	(16)
	and promoter-less gfp	
<i>tssN</i> * c. pLW100	<i>tssN</i> ::Tn-Cm(R) carrying a plasmid	This study
	expressing <i>tssNOPQ</i> under control of the	
	tssA upstream region	
BB2000 c. pLW101	wild type carrying a plasmid expressing	This study

	IdsA-FLAG in which a FLAG was	
	engineered to the C-terminus of IdsA in	
	the pids _{BB} vector	
Δids c. pLW101	$\Delta ids::Cm(R)$ carrying a plasmid	This study
	expressing IdsA-FLAG in which a FLAG	
	was engineered to the C-terminus of IdsA	
	in the pids _{BB} vector	
<i>tssN</i> * c. pLW101	<i>tssN</i> *::Cm(R) carrying a plasmid	This study
	expressing IdsA-FLAG in which a FLAG	
	was engineered to the C-terminus of IdsA	
	in the $pids_{BB}$ vector	
Escherichia coli		
SM10λpir c.	Cm(R)	(41)
pUTmini-Tn5-Cm		
S17-1λpir		(41)
XL10-Gold		Agilent
Ultracompetent Cells		Technologies,
		Santa Clara, CA

1 Supporting Information legends

2

3 the boundary behavior patterns exhibited by the indicated strains, matched with the swarm plate 4 to the right. (B) On this swarm agar plate, the *tssN** mutant strain carrying plasmid pLW103, 5 which encodes for *tssN* expression alone, merges with the both $\Delta i ds$ strain (top) and the parent 6 BB2000 (left), as did the *tssN** mutant strain. 7 Method: The *tssN*-expressing plasmid, pLW103, encodes the *tssN* gene under the transcriptional 8 control of the proposed promoter contained in the region immediately upstream of the tss gene 9 cluster. This plasmid was constructed as follows: pLMW100 was digested at NheI and XmaI 10 sites to obtain the vector backbone; gene *tssN* and the 1200 basepairs upstream region were then 11 PCR-amplified from pLMW100 using primers 5'-12 ATAGCTAGCTCGAGGCCTCTCATTACAGTAGCAATATTGAGAGAAGATT-3' and 5'-13 ATACCCGGGCCCGCGGTTAATAAAGCGTTTCAGGTAAACGGA-3'; this product was 14 then digested with NheI and XmaI and ligated with the vector backbone. The plasmid pLW103 15 was then transformed into *E. coli* S17λpir using standard protocols and subsequently conjugated 16 into the *tssN** mutant strain. 17

Figure S1. Boundary assays with tssN*-derived strain. (A) Diagrammatic representations of

18 Figure S2. No clear disadvantage for loss of self-recognition in liquid competitions. No

19 significant difference was seen between the growth of BB2000 and each self-recognition mutant

20 when grown together in liquid broth after three hours (as measured by a two-tailed t-test, p =

- 21 0.38, 0.39, and 0.14 for Δids , tssN*, and idrB*, respectively) and after 20 hours (as measured by
- a two-tailed t-test, p = 0.22, 0.89, and 0.31 for Δids , tssN*, and idrB*, respectively). These

results suggest that the self-recognition components do not confer a competitive advantage under
 liquid-grown conditions.

3 Method: The constitutive *lacZ* expression plasmid pLW102 encodes the *lacZ* gene under the

4 transcriptional control of the *fla* and *lac* promoters. This plasmid was constructed as follows:

5 pKG105 (Gibbs et al., 2011) was digested at SacI and AgeI sites to obtain the vector backbone;

6 gene *lacZ* was then PCR-amplified from pQF50 (1) using primers 5'-

7 CATGAGCTCATGAAAGGGAATTCACTGGCC-3' and 5'-

8 TAAACCGGTTTATTTTGACACCAGACCAACTG-3'; after digesting with SacI and AgeI,

9 this product was then ligated with the vector backbone. The ligation reaction was transformed

10 into Stellar Competent Cells (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA). The plasmid

11 pLW102 was then transformed into *E. coli* S17λpir using standard protocols and subsequently

12 transformed into wild-type BB2000. BB2000 c. pLW102 was competed against BB2000 c.

13 pKG101, Δids c. pKG101, tssN* c. pKG101, or idrB* c. pKG101. Overnight cultures were back-

14 diluted to OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in 3 ml LB+Kn and rotated at 37°C until late-log growth. Cultures were

15 then normalized to OD_{600} of 3.5. The competing strains were mixed together in a 1:10

16 BB2000:mutant ratio, back-diluted to a 1:3 in LB+Kn for a total volume of 1.5 ml, and incubated

17 at 37°C while shaking at 225 rpm. After three hours and 20 hours of growth, cells were spotted

18 on non-selective (LSW⁻+Kn and 300 µg/ml X-gal) and, when applicable, selective (LSW⁻+Cm)

19 plates to measure for colony forming units (CFUs). The resultant ratio in CFUs of each strain

20 was compared to the initial inoculation ratio to calculate fold change. Statistical analysis was

21 performed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

22 (1) Farinha MA, Kropinski AM. 1990. Construction of broad-host-range plasmid vectors for

easy visible selection and analysis of promoters. J Bacteriol **172:**3496-3499.

1

2 Figure S3. Dot blot analysis of IdsA-FLAG. IdsA-FLAG, expressed in trans in P. mirabilis 3 cells, was found in the supernatant and on the cell surface of wild-type BB2000 and in severely 4 attenuated amounts for the tssN* mutant strain. The blot on the left was probed with an anti-5 FLAG antibody primary while an anti-sigma-70 antibody was used to probe the blot on the right 6 as a control for cell lysis. These results confirm that IdsA requires *tssN* for proper export out of 7 the cell as indicated by the LC-MS/MS and western blot analyses. This result suggests that IdsA 8 is normally located and exposed on the cell surface. 9 Method: Samples for whole cell immunoblots were prepared as described previously (2). Briefly, 10 cell cultures were grown to late-logarithmic phase. "Cell culture" samples were prepared by 11 spotting directly onto nitrocellulose membrane in 5 x 5 μ l aliquots for a total of 25 μ l in each 12 spot. For "cell surface" samples, the loosely-adhered portion of a cell pellet from 5 ml of cell 13 culture was gently resuspended in 1 ml LB and spotted on the membrane as above. For "lysed 14 cells" samples cells from 10 ml of culture were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in 1 15 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, and protease 16 inhibitor cocktail, Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Cells were lysed by vortexing with cell disruptor 17 beads (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and centrifuged to remove cell debris. The 18 soluble fraction was spotted onto the membrane as above. The dot immunoblot was then 19 developed as the above western blots using one of two primary antibodies: mouse anti-FLAG 20 (Sigma-Aldrich, Allentown, PA) or mouse anti-sigma70 (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL).) 21 (2) Newell PD, Monds RD, O'Toole GA. 2009. LapD is a bis-(3',5')-cyclic dimeric GMP-22 binding protein that regulates surface attachment by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf0-1. Proc Natl 23 Acad Sci U S A **106:**3461-3466.

2	Table S1. For the wild-type parent strain BB2000, listed are the unique peptide results for Ids
3	and Idr proteins, acquired by LC-MS/MS. Peptide fragments that could correspond to either IdsA
4	or IdrA are marked as such. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin
5	Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides.
6	
7	Table S2. For the Δids mutant strain, listed are the unique peptide results for Ids and Idr proteins,
8	acquired by LC-MS/MS. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin Biological
9	Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides.
10	
11	Table S3 For the $idrB^*$ mutant strain, listed are the peptide results for Ids and Idr proteins,
12	acquired by LC-MS/MS. Peptide fragments that could correspond to either IdsA or IdrA are
13	marked as such. The minimum detection cut-off recommended by the Taplin Biological Mass
14	Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides.
15	
16	Table S4. For the <i>tssN</i> * mutant strain, listed are the unique peptide results for Ids and Idr
17	proteins, acquired by LC-MS/MS. Peptide fragments that could correspond to either IdsA or
18	IdrA are marked as such. Consistent with the dot blots, the <i>tssN</i> * mutant strain is greatly
19	attenuated for IdsA or IdrA export, though not completely deficient. The tssN* mutant strain
20	does not export any of the remaining Ids and Idr proteins. The minimum detection cut-off
21	recommended by the Taplin Biological Mass Spectrometry Facility was three unique peptides,
22	which IdrA/IdsA did not achieve for the <i>tssN</i> * sample.
23	











A. Wild-type BB2000:

Protein	Predicted size (kDa)	No. of unique peptides	No. of total peptides	Percent coverage
ldsA⁺	18.9	3	18	13.4
ldsB	79.5	8	10	16.3
ldsD	113.7	6	6	5.7
ldrA⁺	18.9	6	31	39.5
ldrB	80.3	22	32	33.3

The Δids mutant strain:

Protein	Predicted size (kDa)	No. of unique peptides	No. of total peptides	Percent coverage
IdrA	18.9	8	134	27.3
IdrB	80.3	14	23	24.4

The *idrB** mutant strain:

Protein	Predicted size (kDa)	No. of unique peptides	No. of total peptides	Percent coverage
ldsA⁺	18.9	3	13	13.4
ldsB	79.5	9	11	18.4
ldsD	113.7	13	15	13.1
ldrA⁺	18.9	4	24	35.5









∆ids

parent BB2000 *t*ss*N** c. pLW103

parent BB2000

Β.

∆ids

tssN* c. pLW103

*tssN** c. pLW103

*tssN** c. pLW103



BB2000-IdsA-FLAG BB2000-IdsA-FLAG tssN*-ldsA-FLAG tssN*-ldsA-FLAG BB B ∆*ids*-pids ∆*id*s-pids

cell culture cell surface lysed cells

α-FLAG

 α -sigma70

Table S1.

Reference	<u>XCorr</u>	<u>dCn</u>	dCn2	Ions	Peptide
IdsA	5.3896	0.5549	0.5549	25/32	K.VDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdsA	4.2485	0.4309	0.4309	29/68	R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdsA	5.9656	0.4986	0.4986	38/88	R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdsB	4.759	0.5298	0.5298	21/30	K.AGSIQLDAQGVTITGK.I
IdsB	3.7675	0.3475	0.3475	24/60	K.TQYVGHDDSHTVANNR.K
IdsB	2.8448	0.3676	0.3676	15/18	R.DNNIHINHNK.T
IdsB	5.1584	0.4859	0.4859	22/26	R.FEEDAQGQPFNQIR.Y
IdsB	2.3677	0.1035	0.1035	13/20	R.IFTLSNHPSAR.M
IdsB	2.9674	0.2714	0.2714	17/30	R.QVGSATTNC#IELAPGR.I
IdsB	5.0171	0.4794	0.4794	31/68	R.TVQGILAAAEQGNTDGVK.T
IdsB	2.4417	0.3319	0.3319	14/32	R.VAQGWNGDGFGFM*AIPR.V
IdsD	2.0964	0.1035	0.1035	11/18	K.EATILFSESK.L
IdsD	3.1107	0.293	0.293	14/24	K.ESINQNALDNEWK.N
IdsD	1.9879	0.1803	0.1803	10/14	K.ISLTEFVK.L
IdsD	3.6108	0.3934	0.3934	16/20	K.SYQEQNVDATK.G
IdsD	2.4055	0.29	0.29	10/12	K.TFIFFEK.H
IdsD	2.1136	0.3466	0.3466	13/18	K.TPSSAYVLNK.R
IdrA	4.9102	0.3358	0.3358	29/64	K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdrA	5.4061	0.4659	0.4659	38/84	K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdrA	5.6797	0.467	0.467	32/68	R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A

IdrA	4.0785	0.372	0.372	24/88	R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdrA or					
IdsA	4.2545	0.4478	0.4478	20/26	K.ADFTQLIEVSLSYR.K
IdrA or					
IdsA	2.8423	0.3304	0.3304	14/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	4.4527	0.431	0.431	34/64	K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	3.0643	0.3506	0.3506	30/80	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPKVELK.W
IdrA or					
IdsA	3.0339	0.1195	0.1195	19/40	K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPKVELK.W
IdrA or					
IdsA	2.2485	0.2758	0.2758	11/12	K.VELKWYR.T
IdrA or					
IdsA	2.0092	0.1537	0.1537	9/12	R.FTVALNK.A
IdrA or					R.FTVALNKAVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.
IdsA	5.2513	0.4468	0.4468	31/92	V
IdrB	3.6976	0.3279	0.3279	16/18	K.DM*NTVVQNDK.G
IdrB	3.3175	0.285	0.285	15/18	K.DMNTVVQNDK.G
IdrB	4.282	0.4147	0.4147	28/60	K.DNNFVRPSYPLSHENK.I
IdrB	2.7468	0.1269	0.1269	13/14	K.GEGFNELR.F
IdrB	4.2695	0.343	0.343	31/64	K.GTTVGANHTETIM*QNQK.I
IdrB	4.9009	0.4954	0.4954	24/28	K.IEQGGQHSVFESYGR.F

IdrB	4.2312	0.441	0.441	31/60	K.ISVHGTQTTAVQADQK.N
IdrB	1.6257	0.1322	0.1322	9/16	K.QEVFLHAQK.D
IdrB	2.8236	0.2991	0.2991	14/16	K.TLLDEAHVK.A
IdrB	3.2206	0.1876	0.1876	18/32	K.VNGILAGAVQGNTDGVK.T
IdrB	2.2093	0.1234	0.1234	10/12	R.DGVLIRK.V
IdrB	2.6654	0.3208	0.3208	13/16	R.ESAFDFWC#R.L
IdrB	3.6954	0.3472	0.3472	23/60	R.FEDAGGKQEVFLHAQK.D
IdrB	3.1383	0.3473	0.3473	17/26	R.FQLDDEGRPLTQVR.F
IdrB	2.4368	0.3	0.3	14/20	R.HLGLASSLTVK.R
IdrB	3.5169	0.2206	0.2206	17/22	R.IFQHQSVPTILK.T
				37/12	R.IGTGELLDLNM*DGAGPGNLEM*KP
IdrB	6.084	0.4449	0.4449	4	DTSTIAQAK.D
IdrB	3.9134	0.1133	0.1133	29/68	R.KVNGILAGAVQGNTDGVK.T
IdrB	1.6251	0.1763	0.1763	8/10	R.LYTTQK.R
IdrB	2.3779	0.129	0.129	14/20	R.NAPPIKFPENK.T
IdrB	3.4027	0.3438	0.3438	23/32	R.VAM*GWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I
IdrB	3.2794	0.2957	0.2957	23/32	R.VAMGWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I

Table S2.

Reference	XCorr	<u>dCn</u>	dCn2	Ions	Peptide
IdrA	3.7201	0.3646	0.3646	28/64	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V
IdrA	4.4093	0.3502	0.3502	34/64	K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V
IdrA	4.6002	0.4061	0.4061	26/64	K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdrA	4.664	0.4101	0.4101	34/84	K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdrA	1.769	0.0592	0.0592	8/12	K.VELKWYR.T
IdrA	1.9592	0.1684	0.1684	8/12	R.FTVALNK.A
IdrA	5.6781	0.6324	0.6324	27/34	R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdrA	3.1953	0.2248	0.2248	25/88	R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdrB	3.3388	0.3399	0.3399	15/18	K.DM*NTVVQNDK.G
IdrB	4.5883	0.437	0.437	27/60	K.DNNFVRPSYPLSHENK.I
IdrB	2.7321	0.1448	0.1448	13/14	K.GEGFNELR.F
IdrB	4.307	0.3083	0.3083	29/64	K.GTTVGANHTETIM*QNQK.I
IdrB	4.2783	0.3057	0.3057	28/56	K.IEQGGQHSVFESYGR.F
IdrB	3.1619	0.3241	0.3241	27/60	K.ISVHGTQTTAVQADQK.N
IdrB	2.5799	0.1261	0.1261	11/12	K.RDGVLIR.K
IdrB	2.3248	0.2782	0.2782	15/16	R.ESAFDFWC#R.L
IdrB	3.0519	0.352	0.352	16/26	R.FQLDDEGRPLTQVR.F
				35/12	R.IGTGELLDLNM*DGAGPGNLEM*KP
IdrB	5.8585	0.4843	0.4843	4	DTSTIAQAK.D
IdrB	1.6372	0.1415	0.1415	8/10	R.LYTTQK.R

IdrB	2.3593	0.2877	0.2877	13/20	R.NAPPIKFPENK.T
IdrB	4.9851	0.5957	0.5957	24/32	R.VAM*GWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I
IdrB	3.4545	0.2977	0.2977	23/32	R.VAMGWSGNGYGFSAVPR.I

Table S3.

Reference	<u>XCorr</u>	<u>dCn</u>	dCn2	Ions	Peptide
IdsA	4.9592	0.4513	0.4513	29/64	K.VDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdsA	5.7991	0.5746	0.5746	26/34	R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdsA	6.4703	0.5907	0.5907	37/88	R.KVDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdsB	4.6550	0.4943	0.4943	21/30	K.AGSIQLDAQGVTITGK.I
IdsB	4.4363	0.3836	0.3836	28/60	K.TQYVGHDDSHTVANNR.K
IdsB	4.8031	0.3950	0.3950	28/92	R.AGISLTYNPQSDTDITDSTATTWR.Y
IdsB	3.5356	0.4250	0.4250	15/18	R.DNNIHINHNK.T
IdsB	5.6329	0.5124	0.5124	22/26	R.FEEDAQGQPFNQIR.Y
IdsB	3.4731	0.4005	0.4005	16/20	R.IFTLSNHPSAR.M
IdsB	2.3558	0.2076	0.2076	9/12	R.SPIDLPK.H
IdsB	6.4621	0.5810	0.5810	25/34	R.TVQGILAAAEQGNTDGVK.T
IdsB	3.6928	0.3932	0.3932	24/32	R.VAQGWNGDGFGFM*AIPR.V
IdsD	2.2796	0.1292	0.1292	12/14	K.DALQVSTK.L
IdsD	3.4800	0.2688	0.2688	16/18	K.DLLEISEQLK.M
IdsD	1.7805	0.0837	0.0837	11/12	K.DPVGYQK.D
IdsD	3.1138	0.3244	0.3244	16/18	K.EATILFSESK.L
IdsD	1.7527	0.0873	0.0873	15/22	K.IAGAVGAALAAR.D
IdsD	2.0824	0.1028	0.1028	12/14	K.IEIIDITK.N
IdsD	4.4499	0.3154	0.3154	22/26	K.IINLGEETAVLIPK.I
IdsD	2.3336	0.2436	0.2436	13/14	K.ISLTEFVK.L

IdsD	3.5650	0.2871	0.2871	17/20	K.LSQTVSSTTLK.F
IdsD	3.6800	0.4398	0.4398	16/20	K.SYQEQNVDATK.G
IdsD	3.4074	0.4669	0.4669	20/32	K.TLEASIPPSINQLLNAK.D
IdsD	2.3196	0.3378	0.3378	15/18	K.TPSSAYVLNK.R
IdsD	2.6735	0.2396	0.2396	14/16	K.VISLIANSK.I
IdrA	5.5520	0.4721	0.4721	24/32	K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdrA	5.2084	0.5535	0.5535	37/84	K.IDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdrA	3.5020	0.1120	0.1120	29/68	R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWR.A
IdrA	4.2771	0.4223	0.4223	31/88	R.KIDWEHTVAGTSGADDWRAPLEA
IdrA or					
IdsA	4.0383	0.4488	0.4488	17/26	K.ADFTQLIEVSLSYR.K
IdrA or					
IdsA	4.4752	0.5319	0.5319	22/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	4.7170	0.5144	0.5144	20/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	1.5866	0.0996	0.0996	8/12	R.FTVALNK.A

Table S4.

Reference	<u>XCorr</u>	<u>dCn</u>	dCn2	Ions	Peptide
IdrA or					
IdsA	5.3089	0.5427	0.5427	24/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	5.3944	0.5881	0.5881	25/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	4.2235	0.4096	0.4096	21/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEM*LPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	5.1316	0.5971	0.5971	22/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V
IdrA or					
IdsA	5.479	0.5245	0.5245	24/32	K.AVPLLYNALASGEMLPK.V