



DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation: Challenges, Opportunities, and Impact on Psychiatry and Neurorehabilitation

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. [Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Brunoni, Andre R., Paulo Sergio Boggio, Roberta Ferrucci, Alberto Priori, and Felipe Fregni. 2013. Transcranial direct current stimulation: Challenges, opportunities, and impact on psychiatry and neurorehabilitation. <i>Frontiers in Psychiatry</i> 4:19.
Published Version	doi:10.3389/fpsy.2013.00019
Accessed	February 19, 2015 12:01:37 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10622990
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

(Article begins on next page)



Transcranial direct current stimulation: challenges, opportunities, and impact on psychiatry and neurorehabilitation

Andre R. Brunoni^{1*}, Paulo Sergio Boggio², Roberta Ferrucci^{3,4}, Alberto Priori^{3,4} and Felipe Fregni^{5*}

¹ Clinical Research Center, University Hospital, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

² Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory and Developmental Disorders Program, Center for Health and Biological Sciences, Mackenzie Presbyterian University, Sao Paulo, Brazil

³ Clinical Center for Neurotechnology, Neurostimulation and Movement Disorders, Fondazione IRCCS “Ca’ Granda” Ospedale Maggiore di Milano, Milano, Italy

⁴ Department of Medical-Surgical Pathophysiology and Transplants Section of Neurosciences, University of Milan, Milano, Italy

⁵ Laboratory of Neuromodulation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

*Correspondence: brunoni@usp.br; ffregni@partners.org

Edited by:

Ziad Nahas, Medical University of South Carolina, USA

Reviewed by:

Ziad Nahas, Medical University of South Carolina, USA

The simplicity of the technique of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) can be observed as it consists of a current generator and two electrodes that are placed over the scalp and can deliver weak direct currents. Despite its simplicity, the field of non-invasive brain stimulation has had a rapid and exponential increase in the past 10 years. It is in fact an “old, new” technique – as external brain electric stimulation with electric currents has been recurrently described in medical literature since ancient times (Brunoni et al., 2011b), although the technique was reappraised only recently after the seminal studies of Priori et al. (1998) and Nitsche and Paulus (2000), which showed that it could modify cortical excitability in a polarity-dependent manner, i.e., while anode induces neuronal depolarization and thus activation of neural networks beneath the electrode, the cathode induces the opposite effects (i.e., hyperpolarization and consequent inhibition). From 1998 onward, several studies showed that tDCS modulates a plethora of behavioral, sensorial, or motor effects according to parameters of stimulation and subjects’ characteristics. Two important characteristics of tDCS – the duration of its effects and its safety – have attracted the attention of a large number of scientists and clinicians. Indeed tDCS effects can last for several hours beyond the period of stimulation in some cases (Fregni and Pascual-Leone, 2007) and induce changes in brain biochemistry (Rango et al., 2008). In addition, studies in experimental animals show that tDCS is safe (Liebetanz et al., 2009), and a systematic review found that adverse effects are mild and transient (Brunoni et al., 2011a).

Another important characteristic of tDCS is that it can potentially be adapted for home-use, which would bring about an important advance to the therapeutic field of brain stimulation (Priori et al., 2009). From a methodological perspective, it has a reliable sham method as compared with, for instance, rTMS. Such characteristics (ease of use, low cost, portability, safe, potent effects) render tDCS a sound device for further clinical research, either as a substitutive therapy or a complementary treatment for other interventions (drug therapy, physical therapy, psychotherapy, and so forth) (Brunoni et al., 2011c), especially considering patients that are unable or unwilling to receive standard treatments.

Nonetheless, tDCS clinical trials are still in their infancy. One possible reason is that tDCS use requires basic knowledge on a neural basis of electrical current fields and neuroscience. In fact, an incorrect electrode montage or stimulation of the “wrong” area might generate non-specific or even negative effects (Datta et al., 2010; Mahmoudi et al., 2010; Mendonca et al., 2011). Therefore, it is more difficult to observe positive clinical effects by serendipity – also because tDCS has presently no standard clinical use, all effects can only be observed through research. Further, tDCS trials are methodologically complicated due to attrition, since the protocols demand daily stimulation for 1–4 weeks. A possible solution would be to use portable devices – specific tailored caps could be assembled in for targeting only the desired scalp areas. Furthermore, tDCS may be a device with little commercial interest compared to other medicines or even rTMS – in fact, by being *too* affordable and with a limited possibility of patenting, more robust business ventures are easily discouraged to develop tDCS commercially. Not surprisingly, at the present time tDCS research is mainly conducted in academic settings, usually with public grants. Nevertheless, this scenario could rapidly change depending on whether effective parameters of stimulation and findings are shown in clinical research. Finally, a simple reason to explain the current stage of development of tDCS is timing. Clinical trials, as well as the reporting and dissemination of results, usually has a significant time span.

Considering such challenges, we proposed a Research Topic in *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, named *The frontiers of clinical research on tDCS in neuropsychiatry*. The results were surprisingly positive, with 22 articles from new and experienced research groups that, considered together, represent a robust contribution to the advancement of the field. We are also grateful to all the reviewers – many of them productive researchers in the field – for their invaluable help in making suggestions that ultimately improved the manuscripts significantly. The articles hereby presented are divided in five main sections – in the first one, the neurobiological effects of tDCS are reviewed (Medeiros et al., 2012) and original articles on the electrophysiological effects of tDCS on visuo-spatial working memory (Heimrath et al., 2012), human color discrimination (Costa et al., 2012), and motor cortical excitability (Chaieb et al., 2012) are

presented. The second section contains original articles exploring the behavioral effects of tDCS such as on the saccade task (Kanai et al., 2012), automatic verbal processes (Vannorsdall et al., 2012), working memory (Jones and Berryhill, 2012), emotional processing (Nitsche et al., 2012) and production of untruthful responses (Fecteau et al., 2012), and one review by Brasil-Neto (2012) on tDCS' effects in learning and memory. The third section shows original articles on the clinical effects of tDCS on tinnitus (De Ridder and Vanneste, 2012), major depressive disorder (Blumberger et al., 2012; Knotkova et al., 2012) and pain (DosSantos et al., 2012), and reviews its effects on Alzheimer's disease (Hansen, 2012), stroke (Adeyemo et al., 2012; Madhavan and Shah, 2012), and smoking addiction (Fraser and Rosen, 2012). The fourth section presents computational theoretical models of tDCS for further application

in clinical practice (Datta et al., 2012; Neuling et al., 2012; Sadleir et al., 2012). The last section reviews the application of spinal tDCS (Cogiamanian et al., 2012).

Moving tDCS research from bench to bedside has significant challenges. Nevertheless, there are opportunities for tDCS development as pharmacotherapy is reaching an efficacy and safety plateau and there are still unmet demands for the treatment of several disorders. tDCS therefore represents an interesting alternative that can offer additional therapeutic gains with a minimum of or no side effects. Whether the obstacles of clinical trials are solved or not, this collection of articles presented in this Research Topic provides promising evidence that tDCS could rise in the near future as a novel therapeutic tool and have a significant impact in psychiatry and neurorehabilitation.

REFERENCES

- Adeyemo, B. O., Simis, M., Macea, D. D., and Fregni, F. (2012). Systematic review of parameters of stimulation, clinical trial design characteristics, and motor outcomes in non-invasive brain stimulation in stroke. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:88. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00088
- Blumberger, D. M., Tran, L. C., Fitzgerald, P. B., Hoy, K. E., and Daskalakis, Z. J. (2012). A randomized double-blind sham-controlled study of transcranial direct current stimulation for treatment-resistant major depression. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:74. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00074
- Brasil-Neto, J. P. (2012). Learning, memory, and transcranial direct current stimulation. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:80. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00080
- Brunoni, A. R., Amadera, J., Berbel, B., Volz, M. S., Rizziero, B. G., and Fregni, F. (2011a). A systematic review on reporting and assessment of adverse effects associated with transcranial direct current stimulation. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 14, 1–13.
- Brunoni, A. R., Nitsche, M. A., Bolognini, N., Bikson, M., Wagner, T., Merabet, L., et al. (2011b). Clinical research with transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): challenges and future directions. *Brain Stimul.* 5, 175–195.
- Brunoni, A. R., Valim, C., and Fregni, F. (2011c). Combination of noninvasive brain stimulation with pharmacotherapy. *Expert Rev. Med. Devices* 8, 31–39.
- Chaieb, L., Antal, A., Terney, D., and Paulus, W. (2012). Pharmacological modulation of the short-lasting effects of antagonistic direct current-stimulation over the human motor cortex. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:67. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00067
- Cogiamanian, F., Ardolino, G., Vergari, M., Ferrucci, R., Ciocca, M., Scelzo, E., et al. (2012). Transcutaneous spinal direct current stimulation. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:63. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00063
- Costa, T. L., Nagy, B. V., Barboni, M. T., Boggio, P. S., and Ventura, D. F. (2012). Transcranial direct current stimulation modulates human color discrimination in a pathway-specific manner. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:78. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00078
- Datta, A., Rahman, A., Scaturro, J., and Bikson, M. (2010). Electrode montages for tDCS and weak transcranial electrical stimulation role of "return" electrode's position and size. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 121, 1976–1978.
- Datta, A., Truong, D., Minhas, P., Parra, L. C., and Bikson, M. (2012). Inter-individual variation during transcranial direct current stimulation and normalization of dose using MRI-derived computational models. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:91. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00091
- De Ridder, D., and Vanneste, S. (2012). EEG driven tDCS versus bifrontal tDCS for Tinnitus. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:84. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00084
- DosSantos, M. F., Love, T. M., Martikainen, I. K., Nascimento, T. D., Fregni, F., Cummiford, C., et al. (2012). Immediate effects of tDCS on the mu-opioid system of a chronic pain patient. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:93. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00093
- Fecteau, S., Boggio, P., Fregni, F., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2012). Modulation of untruthful responses with noninvasive brain stimulation. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:97. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00097
- Fraser, P. E., and Rosen, A. C. (2012). Transcranial direct current stimulation and behavioral models of smoking addiction. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:79. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00079
- Fregni, F., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2007). Technology insight: noninvasive brain stimulation in neurology—perspectives on the therapeutic potential of rTMS and tDCS. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Neurol.* 3, 383–393.
- Hansen, N. (2012). Action mechanisms of transcranial direct current stimulation in Alzheimer's disease and memory loss. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:48. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00048
- Heimrath, K., Sandmann, P., Becke, A., Muller, N. G., and Zaehle, T. (2012). Behavioral and electrophysiological effects of transcranial direct current stimulation of the parietal cortex in a visuo-spatial working memory task. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:56. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00056
- Jones, K. T., and Berryhill, M. E. (2012). Parietal contributions to visual working memory depend on task difficulty. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:81. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00081
- Kanai, R., Muggleton, N., and Walsh, V. (2012). Transcranial direct current stimulation of the frontal eye fields during pro and antisaccade tasks. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:45. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00045
- Knotkova, H., Rosedale, M., Strauss, S. M., Horne, J., Soto, E., Cruciani, R. A., et al. (2012). Using transcranial direct current stimulation to treat depression in HIV-infected persons: the outcomes of a feasibility study. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:59. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00059
- Liebetanz, D., Koch, R., Mayenfels, S., Konig, E., Paulus, W., and Nitsche, M. A. (2009). Safety limits of cathodal transcranial direct current stimulation in rats. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 120, 1161–1167.
- Madhavan, S., and Shah, B. (2012). Enhancing motor skill learning with transcranial direct current stimulation – a concise review with applications to stroke. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:66. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00066
- Mahmoudi, H., Haghghi, A. B., Petramfar, P., Jahanshahi, S., Salehi, Z., and Fregni, F. (2010). Transcranial direct current stimulation: electrode montage in stroke. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 33, 1383–1388.
- Medeiros, L. F., De Souza, I. C., Vidor, L. P., De Souza, A., Deitos, A., Volz, M. S., et al. (2012). Neurobiological effects of transcranial direct current stimulation: a review. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:110. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00110
- Mendonca, M. E., Santana, M. B., Baptista, A. F., Datta, A., Bikson, M., Fregni, F., et al. (2011). Transcranial DC stimulation in fibromyalgia: optimized cortical target supported by high-resolution computational models. *J. Pain* 12, 610–617.
- Neuling, T., Wagner, S., Wolters, C. H., Zaehle, T., and Herrmann, C. S. (2012). Finite-element model predicts current density distribution for clinical applications of tDCS and tACS. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:83. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00083
- Nitsche, M. A., Koschack, J., Pohlers, H., Hulleman, S., Paulus, W., and Happe, S. (2012). Effects of frontal transcranial direct current stimulation on emotional state and processing in healthy humans. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:58. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00058
- Nitsche, M. A., and Paulus, W. (2000). Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak transcranial direct current stimulation. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 527(Pt 3), 633–639.
- Priori, A., Berardelli, A., Rona, S., Accornero, N., and Manfredi, M. (1998). Polarization of the human motor cortex through the scalp. *Neuroreport* 9, 2257–2260.
- Priori, A., Hallett, M., and Rothwell, J. C. (2009). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation or transcranial direct current stimulation? *Brain Stimul.* 2, 241–245.
- Rango, M., Cogiamanian, F., Marceglia, S., Barberis, B., Arighi, A., Biondetti, P., et al. (2008). Myo-inositol content in the human brain is modified by transcranial direct current stimulation in a matter of minutes: a 1H-MRS study. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 60, 782–789.

Sadleir, R. J., Vannorsdall, T. D., Schretlen, D. J., and Gordon, B. (2012). Target optimization in transcranial direct current stimulation. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:90. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00090

Vannorsdall, T. D., Schretlen, D. J., Andrejczuk, M., Ledoux, K., Bosley, L. V., Weaver, J. R., et al. (2012). Altering automatic verbal processes with tran-

scranial direct current stimulation. *Front. Psychiatry* 3:73. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2012.00073

Received: 21 January 2013; accepted: 14 March 2013; published online: 27 March 2013.

Citation: Brunoni AR, Boggio PS, Ferrucci R, Priori A and Fregni F (2013)

Transcranial direct current stimulation: challenges, opportunities, and impact on psychiatry and neurorehabilitation. Front. Psychiatry 4:19. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2013.00019

This article was submitted to *Frontiers in Neuropsychiatric Imaging and Stimulation*, a specialty of *Frontiers in Psychiatry*.

Copyright © 2013 Brunoni, Boggio, Ferrucci, Priori and Fregni. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.