



DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

Reply to the “Letter to the Editors” by Steven Buyske

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
[Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Abu-Elneel, K., T. Liu, F. S. Gazzaniga, Y. Nishimura, D. P. Wall, D. H. Geschwind, K. Lao, and K. S. Kosik. 2009. Reply to the “Letter to the Editors” by Steven Buyske. <i>Neurogenetics</i> 10(2): 169-170.
Published Version	doi://10.1007/s10048-009-0180-6
Accessed	February 19, 2015 3:55:12 AM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:4882820
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

(Article begins on next page)

Reply to the “Letter to the Editors” by Steven Buyske

K. Abu-Elneel · T. Liu · F. S. Gazzaniga ·
Y. Nishimura · D. P. Wall · D. H. Geschwind · K. Lao ·
K. S. Kosik

Received: 26 January 2009 / Accepted: 26 January 2009 / Published online: 24 February 2009
© The Author(s) 2009. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Dear Editors:

We appreciate the opportunity to clarify the statistical treatment of our data. During the preparation of the manuscript, we did consider the use of the *t*-test as proposed by Dr. Buyske. Indeed, the *z*-test requires that the standard deviation be known, while we estimated the standard deviation from the sample. However, the *t*-test is not ideal either. A *t*-test compares two groups of values and the statistics rely on the standard deviation of both groups. It is unconventional to use the *t*-test to compare a single value, i.e., that of the miRNA in each case of autism against a group of values. By definition, the single values do not

have a standard deviation. For this reason, we chose the *z*-test as a first-level less stringent screen to assess differential expression between one autistic case and 13 normal cases for each miRNA.

We had also addressed the issue raised by Dr. Buyske with a nonparametric approach—the Wilcoxon rank test. However, the statistical power of the rank order test is rather weak and, more importantly, we lose the information in the differences in magnitude of the miRNAs. A strength of the method we used to profile the miRNAs—real-time PCR—is its ability to detect a broad dynamic range of miRNA expression. Our method was preferable to capture this quantitative parameter. However, the miRNAs with *p*-values that rank among the top using the parametric test remain among the top with the nonparametric test.

The statistical testing as suggested by Dr. Buyske does demonstrate some differentially expressed miRNAs. With a one-sided *t*-test, our top five miRNAs remained statistically significant. The main difference between the *z*-test and *t*-test in a sense is the *p*-value cutoff. The *z*-test is indeed less stringent than the *t*-test and the two-sided *t*-test further increases the stringency. On the other hand, the Bonferroni correction for multiple hypotheses testing, applied in the manuscript, is often considered overly stringent. If we control for multiple hypotheses with a 5% false discovery rate (FDR) rather than the Bonferroni correction on *t*-statistics, the number of dysregulated miRNAs goes from five to 13. One could further argue that even the FDR is overly stringent because many miRNAs are co-regulated, and therefore treating each as an independent query may be unnecessarily conservative.

Whichever the test and correction, we did provide all *p*-values signifying the difference between each autistic case and the normal cases for each miRNA, and these *p*-values are not affected by the significance cutoff. These *p*-values

K. Abu-Elneel · T. Liu · F. S. Gazzaniga · K. S. Kosik (✉)
Neuroscience Research Institute, Department of Molecular
Cellular and Developmental Biology,
University of California Santa Barbara,
Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
e-mail: kosik@lifesci.ucsb.edu

Y. Nishimura · D. H. Geschwind
Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine,
University of California at Los Angeles,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1769, USA

D. P. Wall
The Center for Biomedical Informatics & Department of Systems
Biology, Harvard Medical School,
Boston, MA 02115, USA

K. Lao
Applied Biosystems,
850 Lincoln Centre Dr.,
Foster City, CA 94404, USA

Present Address:
F. S. Gazzaniga
Biomedical Sciences, University of California San Francisco,
San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

allow the reader to see all the data and apply their own cutoff as Dr. Buyske has done.

We think that our approach, z -test (less stringent than t -test) plus Bonferroni correction (more stringent than FDR), as well as the inclusion of all the raw data in our manuscript provides researchers a better opportunity to explore the effects of miRNAs on autism. Some validation of the results appears in our paper. We reported that, among the implicated miRNAs, several target genes known to be involved in autism are predicted. Furthermore, four of the miRNAs we reported (miR-23a, miR-132, miR-146b, and miR-320) were reported

in a subsequent study using lymphoblastoid cell lines from six subjects with autism and six controls (Talebizadeh Z et al., *Autism Research* 1:240–250, 2008).

We thank Dr. Buyske for his comments and the opportunity to better convey the findings.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.