

DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

Paths to Statistical Fluency for Ecologists

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Ellison, Aaron M. and Brian Dennis. Forthcoming. Paths to statistical fluency for ecologists. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment.
Published Version	http://www.esajournals.org/loi/fron
Accessed	February 17, 2015 4:29:43 PM EST
Citable Link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2766569
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of- use#OAP

(Article begins on next page)

1	PATHS TO STATISTICAL FLUENCY FOR ECOLOGISTS
2	
3	Aaron M. Ellison ^{1,3} & Brian Dennis ²
4	
5	¹ Harvard University, Harvard Forest, 324 North Main Street, Petersham, MA 01366
6	² Department of Fish & Wildlife Resources, and Department of Statistics, University of Idaho,
7	Moscow, ID 83844
8	³ For all correspondence: aellison@fas.harvard.edu
9	
10	Abstract
11	Twenty-first century ecology requires statistical fluency. Observational and experimental
12	studies routinely gather non-Normal, multivariate data at many spatiotemporal scales.
13	Experimental studies routinely include multiple blocked and nested factors. Ecological theories
14	routinely incorporate both deterministic and stochastic processes. Ecological debates frequently
15	revolve around choices of statistical analyses. Our journals are replete with likelihood and state-
16	space models, Bayesian and frequentist inference, complex multivariate analyses, and papers on
17	statistical theory and methods. We test hypotheses, model data, and forecast future environmental
18	conditions. And many appropriate statistical methods are not automated in software packages. It
19	is time for ecologists to understand statistical modeling well enough to construct nonstandard
20	statistical models and apply various types of inference – estimation, hypothesis testing, model
21	selection, and prediction – to our models and scientific questions. In short, ecologists need to
22	move beyond basic statistical literacy and attain statistical fluency.

23	In a nutshell:
24	• Ecologists need to use nonstandard statistical models and methods of statistical inference to
25	test models of ecological processes and to address pressing environmental problems.
26	• Such statistical models of ecological processes include both deterministic and stochastic
27	parts, and statistically-fluent ecologists will need to use probability theory and calculus to fit
28	these models to available data.
29	• Many ecologists lack appropriate background in probability theory and calculus because
30	there are serious disconnections between the quantitative nature of ecology, the quantitative
31	skills we expect of ourselves and our students, and how we teach and learn quantitative
32	methods.
33	• A prescription for attaining statistical fluency includes: two semesters of standard calculus; a
34	calculus-based introductory statistics course; a two-course sequence in probability and
35	mathematical statistics; and most importantly, a commitment to using calculus and post-
36	calculus statistics in courses in ecological and environmental-science curricula.
37	
38	INTRODUCTION
39	For the better part of a century, ecology has used statistical methods developed mainly for
40	agricultural field trials by statistics luminaries such as Gossett, Fisher, Neyman, Cochran, and
41	Cox (Gotelli and Ellison 2004). Calculation of sums of squares was just within the reach of
42	mechanical (or human) calculators (Fig. 1), and generations of ecologists have spent many hours
43	in their labor of love: caring and curating the results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) models.
44	Basic linear models (ANOVA and regression) continue to be the dominant mode of ecological
45	data analysis; they were used in 75% of all papers published in <i>Ecology</i> in 2008 ($N = 344$; 24

papers were excluded from the analysis because they were conceptual overviews, notes, or 46 47 commentaries that reported no statistics at all). These methods are employed most appropriately 48 to analyze relatively straightforward experiments aimed at estimating the magnitudes of a small 49 number of additive fixed effects or testing simple statistical hypotheses. Although the vast 50 majority of papers published in *Ecology* test statistical hypotheses (75% reported at least one *P*-51 value) and estimate effect sizes (69%), only 32% provided assessments of uncertainty (e.g., 52 standard errors, confidence intervals, probability distributions) on the estimates of the effect sizes 53 themselves (as distinguished from the common practice of reporting standard errors of observed 54 means).

55 But these methods do not reflect ecologists' collective statistical needs for the 21st 56 century. How can we use ANOVA and simple linear regression to forecast ecological processes 57 in a rapidly changing world (Clark et al. 2001)? Familiar examples or ecological problems that 58 would benefit from sophisticated modeling approaches include: forecasts of crop production; 59 population viability analyses; prediction of the spread of epidemics or invasive species; and 60 predictions of fractionation of isotopes through food webs and ecosystems. Such forecasts, and 61 many others like them, are integral to policy instruments such as the Millennium Ecosystem 62 Assessment (2005) or the IPCC reports (IPCC 2007). Yet such forecasts and similar types of 63 studies are uncommon in top-tier ecological journals. Why? Do ecologists limit their study 64 designs so as to produce data that will fit into classical methods of analysis? Are nonstandard 65 ecological data sometimes mis-analyzed with off-the-shelf statistical techniques (Bolker et al. 66 2009)? In the statistical shoe store, do ecologists sometimes cut the foot to fit the shoe? How do we learn to do more than determine P-values associated with mean squared error terms in 67 68 analysis of variance (Butcher et al. 2007)?

69 The short answer is by studying and using "models". Statistical analysis is fundamentally 70 a process of building and evaluating stochastic models, but such models were hidden or even 71 forbidden in the agricultural statistics-education tradition that emphasized practical training and 72 de-emphasized calculus. Yet, any ecological process producing variable data can (and should) be 73 described using a stochastic, statistical model (Bolker 2008). Such models may start as a 74 conceptual or "box-and-arrow" diagram, but these should then be turned into more quantitative 75 descriptions of the processes of interest. The building blocks of such quantitative descriptions are 76 deterministic formulations of the hypothesized effects of environmental variables, time, and 77 space, coupled with discrete and continuous probability distributions. These distributions, rarely 78 Normal, are chosen by the investigator to describe how the departures of data from the 79 deterministic sub-model are hypothesized to occur. The Sums of Squares – a surrogate for 80 likelihood in Normal distribution models – is no longer the only statistical currency; likelihood 81 and other such statistical objective functions are the more widely useful coins of the realm. 82 Alternatives to parametric model-based methods include non-parametric statistics and 83 machine-learning. Classical non-parametric statistics (Conover 1998) have been supplanted by 84 computer simulation and randomization tests (Manly 2006) but the statistical or causal models 85 that they test are rarely apparent to data analysts and users of packaged (especially compiled) 86 software products. Similarly, model-free machine-learning and data-mining methods (Breiman 87 2001) seek large-scale correlative patterns in data by letting the data "speak for themselves". 88 Although the adherents of these methods promise that machine-learning and data-mining will 89 make the "standard" approach to scientific understanding – hypothesis \rightarrow model \rightarrow test – 90 obsolete (Anderson 2008), the ability of these essentially correlative methods to advance 91 scientific understanding and provide reliable forecasts of future events has yet to be

demonstrated. Thus we focus here on the complexities inherent in fitting stochastic statistical
models, estimating their parameters, and carrying out statistical inference on the results.

94 Our students and colleagues create or work far less frequently with stochastic statistical 95 models than they use routine ANOVA and its relatives; in 2008, only 23% of papers published in 96 *Ecology* used stochastic models or applied competing statistical models on their data (and about 97 half of these used automated software such as stepwise regression or MARK [White and 98 Burnham 1999] that take much of the testing out of the hands of the user to contrast among 99 models constructed from many possible combinations of parameters). Why? It may be that we 100 (or at least those of us who publish in our leading journals) primarily conduct well designed 101 experiments that test one or two factors at a time and have sufficient sample sizes and balance 102 among treatments to satisfy all the requirements of ANOVA and yield high statistical power. If 103 this is true, the complexity of stochastic models is simply unnecessary. But our data rarely are so 104 forgiving; more frequently our sample sizes are too small, our data are not Normally distributed 105 (or even continuous), our experimental and observational designs include mixtures of fixed and 106 random effects, and we know that process affect our study systems hierarchically. And finally, 107 we want to do more with our data than simply tell a good story. We want to generalize, predict, 108 and forecast. In short, we really do need to model our data.

We suggest that there are profound disconnections between the quantitative nature of ecology, the quantitative (mathematical and statistical) skills we expect of ourselves and of our students, and how we teach and learn quantitative methods. We illustrate these disconnections with two motivating examples and suggest a new standard – *statistical fluency* – for quantitative skills that are learned and taught by ecologists. We close by providing a prescription for better connecting (or reconnecting) our teaching with the quantitative expectations we have for our

students so that ecological science can progress more rapidly and with more relevance to societyat large.

- 117
- 118 Two Motivating Examples
- 119The first law of population dynamics
- 120 Under optimal conditions, populations grow exponentially:
- $N_t = N_0 e^{rt}$ (Eqn. 1)

122 In this equation, N_0 is the initial population size, N_t is the population size at time t, r is the 123 instantaneous rate of population growth (units of individuals per infinitesimally small units of 124 time t), and e is the base of the natural logarithm. This simple equation is often referred to as the 125 first law of population dynamics (Turchin 2001) and it is universally presented in undergraduate 126 ecology textbooks. Yet we all know all too well that students in our introductory ecology classes 127 view exponential growth mainly through glazed eyes. Why? Equation 1 is replete with complex 128 mathematical concepts normally encountered in the first semester of calculus: the concept of a 129 function, raising a real number to a real power, and Euler's number e. But the majority of 130 undergraduate ecology courses *do not require* calculus as a prerequisite, thereby insuring that 131 understanding fundamental concepts such as exponential growth is not an expected course 132 outcome. The current financial meltdown associated with the foreclosure of exponentially 133 ballooning sub-prime mortgages illustrates writ large Albert Bartlett's assertion that "the greatest 134 shortcoming of the human race is our inability to understand the exponential function". Surely 135 ecologists can do better.

Instructors of undergraduate ecology courses that do require calculus as a prerequisiteoften find themselves apologizing to their students that ecology is a quantitative science and go

on to provide conceptual or qualitative workarounds that keep course enrollments high and deans happy. Students in the resource management fields – forestry, fisheries, wildlife, *etc.* – suffer even more, as quantitative skills are further de-emphasized in these fields. Yet resource managers need a deeper understanding of exponential growth (and other quantitative concepts) than do academic ecologists; for example, the relationship of exponential growth to economics or its role in the concept of the present value of future revenue. The result in all these cases is the perpetuation of a *culture of quantitative insecurity* among many students.

145 The actual educational situation with our example of population growth models in 146 ecology is much worse. The exponential growth expression as understood in mathematics is the 147 solution to a differential equation. Differential equations, of course, are a core topic of calculus. 148 Indeed, because so many dynamic phenomena in all scientific disciplines are naturally modeled 149 in terms of instantaneous forces (rates), the topic of differential equations is one of the main 150 reasons for studying calculus in the first place! To avoid introducing differential equations to 151 introductory ecology classes, most ecology textbooks present exponential growth in a discrete-152 time form: $N_{t+1} = (1 + \text{births} - \text{deaths}) N_t$ and then miraculously transmogrify this (with little or 153 no explanation) into the continuous time model given by dN/dt = rN. The attempts at intuition 154 obscure, for instance, the exact nature of the quantities "births" and "deaths" and how they 155 would be measured, not to mention the assumptions involved in discrete time versus continuous 156 time formulations.

Furthermore, Eqn. 1 provides no insights into how the unknown parameters (r and even N₀ when population size is not known without error) ought to be estimated from ecological data. To convince yourself that it is indeed difficult to estimate unknown parameters from ecological data, consider the following as a first exercise for an undergraduate ecology laboratory: for a

161 given set of demographic data (perhaps collected from headstones in a nearby cemetery),

162 estimate r and N_0 in Eqn. 1 and provide a measure of confidence in the estimates.

163 Finally, to actually use Eqn. 1 to describe the exponential growth of a real population, one 164 must add stochasticity by modeling departures of observed data from the model itself. There are 165 many different ways of modeling such variability that depend on the specific stochastic forces 166 acting on the observations; each model gives a different likelihood function for the data and 167 thereby prescribes a different way for estimating the growth parameter. In addition, the choices 168 of models for the stochastic components, such as demographic variability, environmental 169 variability, and sampling variability, must be added to (and evaluated along with) the suite of 170 modeling decisions concerning the deterministic core, such as changing exponential growth to 171 some density dependent form or adding a predator. Next, extend these concepts and methods to 172 "simple" Lotka-Volterra models of competition and predation ...

173

174

The Cumulative Distribution Function for a Normal curve

175 Our second motivating example deals with a core concept of statistics:

176
$$\int_{a}^{b} \left(\sigma^{2} 2\pi\right)^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(y-\mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right] dy = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a)$$
 (Eqn. 2)

177 The function $\Phi(y)$ is the cumulative distribution function for the Normal distribution and Eqn. 2 178 describes the area under a Normal curve (with two parameters: mean = μ and variance = σ^2) 179 between *a* and *b*. This quantity is important because the Normal distribution is used as a model 180 assumption for many statistical methods (*e.g.*, linear models, probit analysis), and Normal 181 probabilities can express predicted frequencies of occurrence of observed events (data). Also, 182 many test statistics also have sampling distributions that are approximately Normal. Rejection regions, *P*-values, and confidence intervals all are defined in terms of areas under a Normalcurve.

185 The meaning, measurement, and teaching of *P*-values continues to be evil statisticians 186 (e.g., Berger 2003, Hubbard and Byarri 2003, Murdoch et al., 2008), yet ecologists often use and 187 interpret probability and P-values uncritically, and few ecologists can clearly describe a 188 confidence interval with any degree of... uh, confidence. To convince yourself that this is a real 189 problem, consider asking any graduate student in ecology (perhaps during their oral comprehensive examination) to explain why $P(10.2 < \mu < 29.8) = 0.95$ is not the correct 190 191 interpretation of a confidence interval on the parameter μ (original equation from Poole 1974); 192 odds are you will get an impression of someone who is not secure in their statistical 193 understanding. Bayesians should refrain for chortling about the transparency of credible sets. 194 Interpreting Bayesian credible intervals makes equally large conceptual demands (Hill 1968, 195 Lele and Dennis 2009). When pushed, students can *calculate* a confidence interval by hand or 196 with computer software. But interpreting it (Box 1) and generalizing its results is where the 197 difficulty lies.

198 Three centuries of study of Eqn. 2 by mathematicians and statisticians have not reduced it 199 to any simpler form, and evaluating it for any two real numbers a and b must be done 200 numerically. Alternatively, one can proceed through the mysterious, multi-step table-look-up 201 process, involving the Z-tables provided in the back of every basic statistics text. Look-up tables 202 or built-in functions in statistical software may work fine for standard probability distributions 203 such as the Normal or F distribution, but what about non-standard distributions or mixtures of 204 distributions used in many hierarchical models? Numerical integration is a standard topic in 205 calculus classes, and it can be applied to *any* distribution of interest, not just the area under a

206	Normal curve. Consider the power of understanding: how areas under curves can be calculated
207	for other continuous models besides the Normal distribution; how the probabilities for other
208	distributions sometimes converge to the above form based on the Normal; and how Normal-
209	based probabilities can serve as building blocks for hierarchical models of more complex data
210	(Clark 2007). Such interpretation and generalization is at the heart of statistical fluency.
211	
212	DEVELOPING STATISTICAL FLUENCY AMONG ECOLOGISTS
213	Fluency defined
214	We use the term "fluency" to emphasize that a deep understanding of statistics and
215	statistical concepts differs from "literacy" (Table 1). Statistical literacy is a common goal of
216	introductory statistics courses that presuppose little or no familiarity with basic mathematical
217	concepts introduced in calculus, but it is insufficient for 21 st century ecologists. Like fluency in a
218	foreign language, statistical fluency means not only a sufficient understanding of core theoretical
219	concepts (grammar in languages, mathematical underpinnings in statistics) but also the ability to
220	apply statistical principles and adapt statistical analyses for nonstandard problems (Table 1).
221	We must recognize that calculus is the language of the general principles that underlie
222	probability and statistics. We emphasize that statistics is not mathematics; rather, like physics,
223	statistics uses a lot of mathematics (De Veaux and Velleman 2008). And ecology uses a lot of
224	statistics. But the conceptual ideas of statistics are <i>really hard</i> . Basic statistics contains abstract
225	notions derived from those in basic calculus, and students who take calculus courses and use
226	calculus in their statistics courses have a deeper understanding of statistical concepts and the
227	confidence to apply them in novel situations. In contrast, students who take only calculus-free,

cookbook-style statistical methods courses often have a great deal of difficulty adapting thestatistics that they know to ecological problems for which those statistics are inappropriate.

230 For ecologists, the challenge of developing statistical fluency has moved well beyond the 231 relatively simple task of learning and understanding fundamental aspects of contemporary data 232 analysis. The very theories themselves in ecology include stochastic content that can only be 233 interpreted probabilistically and include parameters that can only be estimated using complex 234 statistics. For example, conservation biologists struggle with (and frequently mis-express) the 235 distinctions between demographic and environmental variability in population viability models 236 and must master the intricacies of first passage properties of stochastic growth models. 237 Community ecologists struggle to understand (and figure out how to test) the "neutral" model of 238 community structure (Hubbell 2001), itself related to neutral models in genetics (see Leigh 2007) 239 with which ecological geneticists must struggle. Landscape ecologists must struggle with 240 stochastic dispersal models and spatial processes. Behavioral ecologists must struggle with 241 Markov chain models of behavioral states. All must struggle with huge individual-based 242 simulations and hierarchical (random or latent effects) models. No subfield of ecology, no matter 243 how empirical the tradition, is safe from encroaching stochasticity and the attendant need for the 244 mathematics and statistics to deal with it.

245

246

Statistics is a post-calculus subject

What mathematics do we need – to create, parameterize, and use stochastic statistical models of ecological processes? At a minimum, we need calculus. We must recognize that statistics is a post-calculus subject and that calculus is a prerequisite for development of statistical fluency. Expectation, conditional expectation, marginal and joint distributions,

251 independence, likelihood, convergence, bias, consistency, distribution models of counts based on 252 infinite series... are key concepts of statistical modeling that must be understood by practicing 253 ecologist, and these are straightforward calculus concepts. No amount of pre-calculus statistical 254 "methods" courses can make up for this fact. Calculus-free statistical methods courses doom 255 ecologists to a lifetime of insecurity with regard to the ideas of statistics. Such courses are like 256 potato chips: virtually no nutritional value, no matter how many are consumed. Pre-calculus 257 statistics courses are similar to pre-calculus physics courses in that regard; both have reputations 258 for being notorious, unsatisfying parades of mysterious plug-in formulas. Ecologists who have 259 taken and internalized post-calculus statistics courses are ready to grapple with the increasingly 260 stochastic theories at the frontiers of ecology and will be able to rapidly incorporate future 261 statistical advances in their kit of data analysis tools. How do our students achieve statistical 262 fluency?

- 263
- 264

The prescription

265 Basic calculus, including an introduction to differential equations, seems to us to be a 266 minimum requirement. Our course prescription includes (1) two semesters of standard calculus 267 and an introductory, calculus-requiring introductory statistics course in college; and (2) a two-268 semester post-calculus sequence in probability and mathematical statistics in the first or second 269 year of graduate school (Box 2). But it is not enough to simply *take* calculus courses, as calculus 270 already is clearly required (or at least recommended) by virtually all undergraduate science 271 degree programs (Fig. 2). Rather, calculus must be *used*; not only in statistics courses taken by 272 graduate students in ecology but most importantly in undergraduate and graduate courses in 273 ecology (including courses in resource management and environmental science)! If this seems

274 overly daunting, consider that Hutchinson (1978) summarizes "the modicum of infintesimal 275 calculus required for ecological principles" in three and a half pages. Contemporary texts (such 276 as Clark 2007 or Bolker 2008) in ecological statistical modeling use little more than single 277 variable calculus and basic matrix algebra. Like Hutchinson, Bolker (2008) covers the essential 278 calculus and matrix algebra in 4 pages, each half the size of Hutchinson's! Clark's (2007) 100-279 page mathematical refresher is somewhat more expansive, but in all cases the authors illustrate 280 that knowledge of some calculus allows one to advance rapidly on the road to statistical fluency. We emphasize that nascent ecologists need not take more courses to attain statistical 281 282 fluency; they just need to take courses that are *different* from standard "methods" classes. 283 Current graduate students may need to take refresher courses in calculus and mathematical 284 statistics, but we expect that our prescription (Box 2) will actually reduce the time that future 285 ecology students spend in mathematics and statistics classrooms. Most undergraduate life science 286 students already take calculus and introductory statistics (Fig. 2). The pre-calculus statistical 287 methods courses that are currently required can be swapped out in favor of two semesters of 288 post-calculus probability and statistics. Skills in particular statistical methods can be obtained 289 through self-study or through additional methods courses; a strong background in probability and 290 statistical theory makes self-study a realistic option for rapid learning for motivated students.

291

292

Why not just collaborate with professional statisticians?

In the course of speaking about statistics education to audiences of ecologists and natural resource scientists, we often are asked questions such as: "I don't have to be a mechanic to drive a car, so why do I need to understand statistical theory to be an ecologist? (and why do I have to know calculus to do statistics?)" Our answer, the point of this article, is that the analogy of statistics as a tool or black box increasingly is failing the needs of ecology. Statistics is an
essential part of the thinking, the hypotheses, and the very theories of ecology. Ecologists of the
future should be prepared to confidently use statistics so that they can make substantial progress
at the frontiers of our science.

301 "But," continues the questioner, "why can't I just enlist the help of a statistician?" 302 Collaborations with statisticians can produce excellent results and should be encouraged 303 wherever and whenever possible, but ecologists will find that their conversations and interactions 304 with professional statisticians will be enhanced if ecologists have done substantial statistical 305 ground work before their conversation begins and if both ecologists and statisticians speak a 306 common language (mathematics!). Collaborations between ecologists and statisticians also can 307 be facilitated by building support for consulting statisticians into grant proposals; academic 308 statisticians rely on grant support as much as academic ecologists do. However, ecologists cannot 309 count on the availability of statistical help whenever it is needed. And, statistical help may be 310 unavailable at many universities. Thus, we believe that ecologists should be self-sufficient and 311 self-assured. We should master our own scientific theories and be able to discuss with confidence 312 how our conclusions are drawn from ecological data. We should be knowledgeable enough to 313 recognize what we do understand and what we do not, learn new methods ourselves, and seek 314 out experts who can help us increase our understanding.

- 315
- 316

CONCLUSION: MATHEMATICS AS THE LANGUAGE OF ECOLOGICAL NARRATIVES

It is increasingly appreciated that scientific concepts can be communicated to students of all ages through stories and narratives (Fig. 3; see also Molles 2006). We do not disagree with the importance of telling a good story and engaging our students with detailed narratives of how the

320	world works. Nor do we minimize the importance of doing "hands-on" ecology through inquiry-
321	based learning, which is both important and fun. Field trips, field work, and lab work are exciting
322	and entertaining, draw students into ecology, and dramatically enhance ecological literacy. For
323	individuals who pursue careers in fields outside of science, qualitative experiences and an
324	intuitive grasp of the story-line can be sufficient (Cope 2006). But for our students who want the
325	deepest appreciation and joy of how science works - understanding how we know what we know
326	- and for those of us who are in scientific careers and are educating the next generation of
327	scientists, we should use the richest possible language for our narratives of science. And that
328	language is mathematics.
329	
330	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
331	This paper is derived from a talk on statistical literacy for ecologists presented by the
332	authors at the 2008 ESA Annual Meeting in the symposium "Why is ecology hard to learn?" We
333	thank Charlene D'Avanzo for organizing the symposium and inviting our participation, the other
334	participants and members of the audience for their thoughtful and provocative comments that
335	helped us to refine our arguments, and Ben Bolker, an anonymous reviewer, and the Associate
336	Editor for useful comments that improved the final version.
337	
338	References
339	Anderson C. 2008. The Petabyte age: because more isn't just more – more is different. Wired
340	Magazine Issue 16.07 (July 2008):106-120.
341	Armsworth PR, Gaston KJ, Hanley ND, et al. 2009. Contrasting approaches to statistical
342	regression in ecology and economics. J Appl Ecol 46: 265-268.

- Berger JO. 2003. Could Fisher, Jeffreys and Neyman have agreed on testing (with comments and
 rejoinder). *Stat Sci* 18:1-32.
- Bolker B. 2008. Ecological models and data in R. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Bolker B, Brooks ME, Clark CJ, *et al.* 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide
 for ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol* 24:127-135.
- 348 Breiman L. 2001. Statistical modeling: the two cultures (with discussion). *Stat. Sci* 16:199-231.
- Butcher JA, Groce JE, Lituma CM, *et al.* 2007. Persistent controversy in statistical approaches in
 wildlife sciences: a perspective of students. *J Wildlife Manage* 71:2142-2144.
- Clark JS. 2007. Models for ecological data: an introduction. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University
 Press.
- Clark JS, Carpenter SR, Barber M, *et al.* 2001. Ecological forecasts: an emerging imperative.
 Science 293:657-660.
- 355 Conover WJ. 1998. Practical nonparametric statistics, 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- 356 Cope L. 2006. Understanding and using statistics. In: Blum D, Knudson M, and Henig RM
- 357 (Eds). A field guide for science writers: the official guide of the National Association of
 358 Science Writers, 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press
- delMas RC. 2002. Statistical literacy, reasoning, and learning: a commentary. J Stat Ed 10.
- 360 De Veaux RD, and Velleman PF. 2008. Math is music; statistics is literature (or, why are there no
- 361 six-year-old novelists?). *AmStat News* September 2008:54-58.
- 362 Desai A, Moorcroft PR, Bolstad PV and Davis KJ. 2007. Regional carbon fluxes from an
- 363 observationally constrained dynamic ecosystem model: impacts of disturbance, CO₂
- 364 fertilization, and heterogeneous land cover. J. Geophys Res 112: G01017

365	Devore JL. 2007. Probability and statistics for engineering and the	ne sciences, 7 th ed. Belmont, CA:
366	Duxbury Press.	

- Gotelli NJ, and Ellison AM. 2004. A primer of ecological statistics. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer
 Associates.
- 369 Hill BM. 1968. Posterior distribution of percentiles: Bayes' theorem for sampling from a
 370 population. *J Am Stat Assoc* 63:677-691.
- Hubbard R, and Byarri MJ. 2003. Confusion over measures of evidence (*p*'s) versus errors (α's)
 in classical statistical testing (with discussion and rejoinder). *Am Stat* 57:171-182.
- 373 Hubbell SP. 2001. The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography. Princeton, NJ:

374 University Press.

- Hutchinson G.E. 1978. An introduction to population ecology. New Haven, CT: Yale University
 Press.
- 377 IPCC. 2007. Climate Change 2007: Synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I, II, and
- 378 III to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.
- 379 Geneva: IPCC.
- Larson RJ, and Marx ML. 2005. An introduction to mathematical statistics and its applications,
 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Leigh, EG Jr. 2007. Neutral theory: a historical perspective. *J Evol Biol* **20**:2075-2091.
- Lele SR, and Dennis B. 2009. Bayesian methods for hierarchical models: are ecologists making a
 Faustian bargain? *Ecol Appl* 19:581-584.
- Manly BJF. 2006. Randomization, bootstrap and Monte Carlo methods in biology, 3rd ed. Boca
 Raton, FL: CRC Press.

387	Matross DM et al. 2006. Estimating regional carbon exchange in New England and Quebec by
388	combining atmospheric, ground-based and satellite data. Tellus 58B: 344-358.
389	Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. 2005. Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis.
390	Washington, DC: Island Press.
391	Molles M. C. 2006. Ecology: concepts and applications, 4 th edition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
392	Murdoch DJ., Tsai Y-L, and Adcock J. 2008. p-values are random variables. Am Stat 62:242-
393	245.
394	National Science Foundation. 1996. Undergraduate origins of recent (1991-95) science and
395	engineering doctorate recipients, detailed statistical tables, NSF 96-334. Arlington,
396	Virginia: National Science Foundation
397	Poole RW. 1974. An introduction to quantitative ecology. New York: McGraw-Hill.
398	Rice JA. 2006. Mathematical statistics and data analysis, 3 rd edition. Belmont, CA: Duxbury
399	Press.
400	Stoll EL. 1983. Mark I. In: Ralston A and Reilly ED (Eds.) Encyclopedia of computer science
401	and engineering, 2 nd ed. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
402	Turchin P. 2001. Does population ecology have general laws? Oikos 94:17-26.
403	Wackerly D, Mendenhall W, and Scheaffer RL. 2007. Mathematical statistics with applications,
404	7 th edition. Belmont, CA: Duxbury Press.
405	White GC and Burnham KP. 1999. Program MARK: Survival estimation from populations of
406	marked animals. Bird Study 46 (Supp.): 120-138.

Table 1. The different components and stages of statistical literacy.* "Process" refers to a 407 408 statistical concept (such as a *P*-value or confidence interval) or method.

ain the process	Apply the process to new situations
ain the process	Apply the process to new situations
uni une process	
	Critique it
does it work?	Evaluate it
does it work?	
	Generalize from it
	<pre>/ does it work? / does it work?</pre>

409

* modified from delMas 2002

410 Figure Legends

411 Figure 1 – Milestones in statistical computing. A. Women (ca. 1920) in the Computing Division of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (or the Veterans' Bureau) determining the bonuses to be 412 413 distributed to veterans of World War I. Photograph from the Library of Congress Lot 12356-2, 414 negative LC-USZ62-101229. B. Professor (and Commander) Howard Aiken, Lieutenant (and 415 later Rear Admiral) Grace Hopper, and Ensign Campbell in front of a portion of the Mark I 416 Computer. The Mark I was designed by Aiken, built by IBM, fit in a steel frame 16 m long $\times 2.5$ 417 m high, weighed approximately 4,500 kg, and included 800 km of wire, It was used to solve 418 integrals required by the U.S. Navy Bureau of Ships during World War II, and physics problems 419 associated with magnetic fields, radar, and the implosion of early atomic weapons. Grace 420 Hopper was the lead programmer of the Mark I. Her experience developing its programs led her 421 to develop the first compiler for a computer programming language (which subsequently evolved 422 into COBOL), and she developed early standards for both the FORTRAN and COBOL 423 programming languages. The Mark I was programmed using punched paper tape and was the 424 first automatic digital computer in the U.S. Its calculating units were mechanically synchronized 425 by an \sim 15-m long drive shaft connected to a 4 kW (5 horsepower) electric motor. The Mark I is 426 considered to be the first universal calculator (Stoll 1983). Photograph from the Harvard 427 University Office of News and Public Affairs, Harvard University Archives call number HUPSF 428 Computers (2), and reproduced with permission of the Harvard University Archives. C. A ca. 429 2007 screen-shot of the open-source R statistical package running on a personal computer. The 430 small, notebook computers that on which we run R and other statistical software every day have 431 central processors that execute 10,000 - 100,000 MIPS (million instructions per second). In 432 contrast, the earliest commercial computers executed 0.06-1.0 KIPS (thousand instructions per

433 second), and Harvard's Mark I computer took approximately 6 seconds to simply multiply two

434 numbers together; computing a single logarithm took more than a minute. (Image from

435 *http://www.r-project.org, copyright the R Foundation, and used with permission).*

436

Figure 2 - Total number of quantitative courses, calculus courses, and statistics courses required
at the 25 liberal-arts colleges and universities that produce the majority of students who go on to
receive Ph.D.s in the life sciences. Institutions surveyed are based on data from the National
Science Foundation (1996). Data collected from department web sites and college or university
course catalogs, July 2008.

442

443 **Figure 3** – *Telling a compelling ecological story requires quantitative data. Here, Harvard*

444 Forest researcher Julian Hadley describes monthly cycles of carbon storage in hemlock and

445 hardwood stands. The data are collected at 10-20 Hz from three eddy-covariance towers,

446 analyzed and summarized with time-series modeling, and incorporated into regional estimates

447 (e.g., *Matross* et al. 2006) and forecasts (e.g., *Desai* et al. 2007), and used to determine regional

448 and national carbon emissions targets and policies. Photograph by David Foster, and used with

449 *permission of the Harvard Forest Archives.*



451 Figure 1A



454 Figure 1B







Fig. 2



Figure 3.

451 Box 1. Why " $P(10.2 < \mu < 29.8) = 0.95$ " is not a correct interpretation of confidence 452 interval, and what are confidence intervals, anyway?

453 This statement says that the probability that the true population mean μ lies in the interval 454 (10.2, 29.8) equals 0.95. But μ is a fixed (but unknown) constant: it is either in the interval (10.2, 455 29.8) or it is not. The probability that μ is in the interval is zero or one; we just do not know 456 which. A confidence interval actually asserts that 95% of the confidence intervals resulting from 457 hypothetical repeated samples (taken under the same random sampling protocol used for the 458 single sample) will contain µ in the long run. Think of a game of horseshoes in which you have 459 to throw the horseshoe over a curtain positioned so that you cannot see the stake. You throw a 460 horseshoe and it lands (thud!); the probability is zero or one that it is a ringer, but you do not 461 know which. The confidence interval arising from a single sample is the horseshoe on the 462 ground, and μ is the stake. If you had the throwing motion practiced so that the long run 463 proportion of successful ringers was 0.95, then your horseshoe game process would have the 464 probabilistic properties claimed by 95% confidence intervals. You do not know the outcome 465 (whether or not μ is in the interval) on any given sample, but you have constructed the sampling 466 process so as to be assured that 95% of such samples in the long run would produce confidence 467 intervals that are ringers. The distinction is clearer when we write the probabilistic expression for 468 a 95% confidence interval:

469

$$P(L \le \mu \le U) = 0.95$$

470 What this equation is telling us is that the true (but unknown) population mean μ will be found 471 95% of the time in an interval bracketed by *L* at the lower end and *U* at the upper end, *where L* 472 *and U vary randomly from sample to sample*. Once the sample is drawn, the lower and upper 473 bounds of the interval are fixed (the horseshoe has landed), and μ (the stake) is either contained 474 in the interval or it is not.

475 Many standard statistical methods construct confidence intervals symmetrically in the 476 form of a "point estimate" plus or minus a "margin of error". For instance, a $100(1-\alpha)$ % 477 confidence interval for μ when sampling from a Normal distribution is constructed based on the 478 following probabilistic property:

$$P(\overline{Y} - t_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{S^2/n} \le \mu \le \overline{Y} + t_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{S^2/n}) = (1 - \alpha)$$

480 Here $t_{\alpha/2}$ is the percentile of a t-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom such that there is an area of $\alpha/2$ under the t-distribution to the right of $t_{\alpha/2}$, and \overline{Y} and S^2 are respectively the 481 sample mean and sample variance of the observations. The quantities \overline{Y} and S^2 vary randomly 482 from sample to sample, making the lower and upper bounds of the interval vary as well. The 483 confidence interval itself becomes $\overline{y} \pm t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{s^2/n}$, in which the lowercase \overline{y} and s^2 are the 484 485 actual numerical values of sample mean and variance resulting from a single sample. In general, 486 modern-day confidence intervals for parameters in non-Normal models arising from 487 computationally intensive methods such as bootstrapping and profile likelihood are not 488 necessarily symmetric around the point estimates of those parameters.

496

500

Box 2. A prescription for statistical fluency.

The problem of how to use calculus in the context of developing statistical fluency can be
solved *easily* and *well* by rearranging courses and substituting different statistics courses (those
hitherto rarely taken by ecologists) for many of the statistical methods courses now taken in
college and graduate school. The suggested courses are standard ones, with standard textbooks,
and already exist at most universities. Our prescription is as follows.

497 For *undergraduate majors* in the ecological sciences (including "integrative biology", ecology,
498 evolutionary biology), along with students bound for scientific careers in resource management
499 fields such as wildlife, fisheries, and forestry:

501 1. At least two semesters of standard calculus. "Standard" means real calculus, the courses 502 taken by students in physical sciences and engineering. Those physics and engineering 503 students go on to take a third (multivariable calculus) and a fourth semester (differential 504 equations) of calculus, but these latter courses are not absolutely necessary for ecologists. 505 Only a small amount of the material in those additional courses is used in subsequent 506 statistics or ecology courses and can be introduced in those courses or acquired through 507 self-study. Most population models must be solved numerically, methods for which can 508 be covered in the population ecology courses themselves. (Please note we do not wish to 509 discourage additional calculus for those students interested in excelling in ecological 510 theory; our prescription, rather, should be regarded as minimum core for those who will 511 ultimately have Ph.Ds in the ecological sciences, broadly defined.)

512 2. An introductory statistics course which lists calculus as a prerequisite. This course is
513 standard everywhere; it is the course that engineering and physical science students take,
514 usually as juniors. A typical textbook is Devore (2007).

3. A commitment to using calculus and post-calculus statistics in courses in life-science
curricula must go hand-in-hand with course requirements in calculus and post-calculus
statistics. Courses in the physical sciences for physical science majors use the language of
science – mathematics – and its derived tool – statistics – unapologetically, starting in
beginning courses. Why don't ecologists or other life scientists do the same? The basic
ecology course for majors should include calculus as a prerequisite and must use calculus
so that students see its relevance.

523 For graduate students in ecology (sensu lato):

522

524 1. A standard two-course sequence in probability and mathematical statistics. This sequence 525 is usually offered for undergraduate seniors and can be taken for graduate credit. Typical 526 textbooks are Rice (2006), Larson and Marx (2005), or Wackerly *et al.* (2007). The 527 courses usually require two semesters of calculus as prerequisites.

528 2. Any additional graduate-level course(s) in statistical methods, according to interests and
 529 research needs. After a two-semester post-calculus probability and statistics sequence, the
 530 material covered in many statistical methods courses also is amenable to self-study.

3. Most ecologists will want to acquire some linear algebra somewhere along the line, because matrix formulations are used heavily in ecological and statistical theory alike. Linear algebra could be taken either in college or graduate school. Linear algebra is often reviewed extensively in courses such as multivariate statistical methods and population

ecology, and necessary additional material can be acquired through self-study. Those
ecologists whose research is centered on quantitative topics should consider formal
coursework in linear algebra.

538

539 The benefit of following this prescription is a rapid attainment of statistical fluency. 540 Whether students in ecology are focused more on theoretical ecology or on field methods, 541 conservation biology, or the interface between ecology and the social sciences, a firm grounding 542 in quantitative skills will make for better teachers, better researchers, and better interdisciplinary 543 communicators (for good examples see Armsworth et al. 2009 and other papers in the associated 544 special feature on "Integrating ecology and the social sciences" in the April 2009 issue of the 545 Journal of Applied Ecology). Since our prescription replaces courses rather than adds new ones, 546 the primary cost to swallowing this pill is either to recall and use calculus taken long ago or to 547 take a calculus refresher course.