

**Weierstraß-Institut**  
**für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik**  
**Leibniz-Institut im Forschungsverbund Berlin e. V.**

Preprint

ISSN 2198-5855

**Nonlocal isoperimetric problems**

Agnese di Castro<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Novaga<sup>2</sup>, Berardo Ruffini<sup>3</sup>, Enrico Valdinoci<sup>4</sup>

submitted: July 28, 2014

<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Pisa  
Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5,  
56127 Pisa  
Italy  
E-Mail: dicastro@mail.dm.unipi.it  
novaga@dm.unipi.it

<sup>3</sup> Université Joseph Fourier  
BP 53  
38041 Grenoble  
Cedex 39  
France  
E-Mail: berardo.ruffini@ujf-grenoble.fr

<sup>4</sup> Weierstrass Institute  
Mohrenstr. 39  
10117 Berlin  
Germany  
E-Mail: Enrico.Valdinoci@wias-berlin.de

No. 1987  
Berlin 2014



---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R11, 49Q05, 53A10.

*Key words and phrases.* fractional perimeter, isoperimetric problem, existence, rigidity and regularity results.

Edited by  
Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS)  
Leibniz-Institut im Forschungsverbund Berlin e. V.  
Mohrenstraße 39  
10117 Berlin  
Germany

Fax: +49 30 20372-303  
E-Mail: [preprint@wias-berlin.de](mailto:preprint@wias-berlin.de)  
World Wide Web: <http://www.wias-berlin.de/>

## ABSTRACT

We characterize the volume-constrained minimizers of a nonlocal free energy given by the difference of the  $t$ -perimeter and the  $s$ -perimeter, with  $s$  smaller than  $t$ . Exploiting the quantitative fractional isoperimetric inequality, we show that balls are the unique minimizers if the volume is sufficiently small, depending on  $t-s$ , while the existence vs. nonexistence of minimizers for large volumes remains open. We also consider the corresponding isoperimetric problem and prove existence and regularity of minimizers for all  $s, t$ . When  $s = 0$  this problem reduces to the fractional isoperimetric problem, for which it is well known that balls are the only minimizers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with two nonlocal isoperimetric problems, which are closely related one with the other. To introduce them, we recall the definition and some properties of the fractional perimeter. Given a number  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , for a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , the fractional perimeter  $P_\alpha(E)$  is defined as the (squared)  $H^{\alpha/2}$ -seminorm of the characteristic function of  $E$ , that is,

$$P_\alpha(E) := [\chi_E]_{H^{\alpha/2}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\chi_E(x) - \chi_E(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}} dx dy = \int_E \int_{E^c} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}}.$$

The notion of fractional perimeter has been introduced in [36, 9] and it has been extensively studied in several recent papers (see for instance [24, 33, 34, 11, 18, 15] and references therein). In particular, according [10, Theorem 1] (see also [7, 14, 3]), we have that the fractional perimeter  $P_\alpha$ , if suitably renormalized, approaches the classical perimeter  $P$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 1$ . More precisely, if  $\partial E$  is of class  $C^{1,\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ , we have

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - \alpha)P_\alpha(E) = N\omega_N P(E),$$

where  $\omega_N$  denotes the volume of the  $N$ -dimensional ball of radius 1. On the other hand, the fractional perimeter  $P_\alpha$  approaches the Lebesgue measure  $|\cdot|$  as  $\alpha \searrow 0$ , that is,

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha P_\alpha(E) = N\omega_N |E|,$$

if  $P_{\bar{\alpha}}(E) < +\infty$  for some  $\bar{\alpha} > 0$  (see [31] and [17, Corollary 2.6]).

In the first part of the paper we investigate the minimum problem:

$$(1.3) \quad \min_{|E|=m} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \quad m \in (0, +\infty),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) := \begin{cases} (1-t)P_t(E) - sP_s(E) & \text{if } 0 < s < t < 1 \\ N\omega_N P(E) - sP_s(E) & \text{if } 0 < s < t = 1 \\ (1-t)P_t(E) - N\omega_N |E| & \text{if } 0 = s < t < 1 \\ N\omega_N P(E) - N\omega_N |E| & \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that thanks to (1.2) and (1.1), for all  $s, t \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{F}_{s,1}(E) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_{0,1}(E) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_{0,t}(E) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{F}_{0,1}(E),$$

that is,  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$  depends continuously on  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ , with  $s < t$ .

Problem (1.3) is reminiscent of recent results about isoperimetric problems with nonlocal competing term arising in mathematical physics, where the functionals take the form

$$\mathcal{F} = P + \mathcal{NL}$$

being  $P$  the perimeter and  $\mathcal{NL}$  the nonlocal term, see for instance [28, 29, 13, 26, 22, 18, 5, 27]. We mention in particular the works by Knüpfer and Muratov [28, 29] where the authors consider the case where the nonlocal term is given by a Coulombic potential.

In our framework, the energy in (1.4) presents a competing effect between the term  $P_t$  which has the tendency to “aggregate” the sets into balls, and  $P_s$ , which acts in the opposite way. We will see that, at small scales, the aggregating effect is predominant, but this does not occur at large scales.

More precisely, as a first result we show that minimizers exist and are regular at least for small volumes.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ , there exists  $\bar{m}_0 = \bar{m}_0(N, t - s) > 0$  such that for all  $m \in (0, \bar{m}_0)$ , problem (1.3) has a minimizer  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . Moreover  $F$  is bounded with boundary of class  $C^{1,\beta}$ , for some  $\beta = \beta(N, t - s) \in (0, 1)$ , outside a closed singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most  $N - 2$  (respectively  $N - 8$  if  $t = 1$ ).*

Thanks to the fractional isoperimetric inequality in a quantitative form, we also show that the the minimizer found in Theorem 1.1 is necessarily a ball, if the volume  $m$  is sufficiently small.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For any  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$  and  $\bar{m}_0$  as in Theorem 1.1, there exists  $\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_1(N, t - s) \in (0, \bar{m}_0]$  such that for all  $m \in (0, \bar{m}_1)$ , the only minimizer of problem (1.3) is given by the ball of measure  $m$ .*

We stress that our estimates, similarly to those in [18], depend only on a lower bound on the difference  $t - s$ , and pass to the limit as  $s \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow 1$  (as a matter of fact, the normalizing constants appearing in (1.4) has exactly the purpose of making our estimates stable as  $s \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow 1$ ).

Moreover, as far as we know, our results are new even in the case  $t = 1$ .

We also point out that we do not know if a minimizer exists for any volume  $m$ . However, we show that *a minimizer cannot be a ball if  $m$  is large enough* (see Theorem 6.3), so the minimization problem can be in general quite rich.

The second problem we consider is the following generalized isoperimetric problem:

$$(1.5) \quad \min_{E \subset \mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}(E) \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq 1,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}(E) := \begin{cases} \frac{((1-t)P_t(E))^{N-s}}{(sP_s(E))^{N-t}} & \text{if } 0 < s < t < 1 \\ \frac{(N\omega_N P(E))^{N-s}}{(sP_s(E))^{N-1}} & \text{if } 0 < s < t = 1 \\ \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)^N}{(N\omega_N |E|)^{N-t}} & \text{if } 0 = s < t < 1 \\ N\omega_N \frac{P(E)^N}{|E|^{N-1}} & \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Again, thanks to (1.2) and (1.1) we see that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}(E) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,1}(E) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,1}(E) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}(E) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,t}(E) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,1}(E).$$

Since, for  $s = 0$  and  $t = 1$ , problem (1.5) reduces to the classical isoperimetric one, we can think to it as a generalized isoperimetric problem for fractional perimeters.

We now state our main result about problem (1.5).

**Theorem 1.3.** *For any  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ , there exists a nontrivial minimizer  $E_{s,t}$  of problem (1.5). Moreover  $E_{s,t}$  is bounded and has boundary of class  $C^{1,\beta}$ , for some  $\beta = \beta(N, t - s) \in (0, 1)$ , outside a closed singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most  $N - 2$  (respectively  $N - 8$  if  $t = 1$ ).*

We point out that, for  $s = 0$ , the problem reduces to the fractional isoperimetric problem, for which it is known that the ball is the unique minimizer [20] (see also [24] for a quantitative version of this result). However, we do not know if the ball is still a minimizer of problem (1.5) for  $s > 0$ .

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some general properties of the fractional perimeters and, more generally, of the fractional Sobolev seminorms. In Sections 3–6 we deal with problem (1.3). Section 3 contains the main tools exploited later to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The cornerstone of the section is an optimality criterion (see Proposition 3.8) which entails density estimates for minimizers (see Proposition 3.10) and the fact that minimizers must be close to a ball, if the volume is small enough (see Lemma 3.12). An elementary, but important result is then provided by Proposition 3.11, stating that any minimum must be necessary bounded and, if  $t = 1$  (that is,  $\mathcal{F}_{s,1} = N\omega_N P - sP_s$ ), also essentially connected. Section 4 contains Theorem 4.2, which solves the existence part of Theorem 1.1, while in Section 5 we prove that any minimizer has smooth boundary, out of a closed singular set. Then, in Section 6 we show that, if the volume  $m$  is below a certain threshold  $\bar{m}_1 > 0$ , the ball is the unique minimizer for problem (1.3). Eventually, in Section 7, we deal with problem (1.5). The main result here is given by Theorem 1.3, where we show the existence and regularity of minimizers.

## 2. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF FRACTIONAL PERIMETERS

Before starting to prove some properties of fractional perimeters it is convenient to fix some notation which will be used throughout the rest of the paper. Firstly, notice that we will denote by  $c_N$  a general positive constant depending only on the dimension  $N$  and by  $c_0$  a positive constant depending on  $N$  and  $\delta_0$  a fixed quantity such that  $0 < \delta_0 \leq t - s$ , which will not necessarily be the same at different occurrences and which can also change from line to line;

special constants will be denoted by  $c_1, c_2, \dots$ . Relevant dependences on parameters will be emphasized by using parentheses.

As customary, we denote by  $B(x_0, R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < R\}$  the open ball centered in  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with radius  $R > 0$ . We shall use the shorter notation  $B = B(0, 1)$ , with  $|B(0, 1)| = \omega_N$ . Moreover, when not important and clear from the context, we shall denote by  $B_m$  the ball of volume  $m$ , that is of radius  $R = (m/|B(0, 1)|)^{1/N}$ .

Finally, as usual, given two sets  $E$  and  $F$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we denote the symmetric difference between  $E$  and  $F$  as  $E\Delta F = (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)$ .

We begin by a simple observation, whose elementary proof is omitted:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$  a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|E_1 \cap E_2| = 0$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad P_\alpha(E) = P_\alpha(E_1) + P_\alpha(E_2) - 2 \int_{E_1} \int_{E_2} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}}.$$

*In particular*

$$(2.2) \quad P_\alpha(E) \leq P_\alpha(E_1) + P_\alpha(E_2).$$

For further use, we also prove the following interpolation estimate (by reasoning as in [8, Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.4]):

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $0 < s < t < 1$  there holds*

$$(2.3) \quad P_s(E) \leq c_N \frac{1}{s} \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} |E|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} (1-t)^{\frac{s}{t}} P_t(E)^{\frac{s}{t}}.$$

*Proof.* We reason as in [8, Prop. 4.2]. Letting  $u = \chi_E$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_s(E) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{N+s}} dx dh \\ &= \int_{|h|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{N+s}} dx dh \\ &\quad + \int_{|h|\geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{N+s}} dx dh =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that, by [8, Lemma A.1] (see also [31]), there exists a constant  $c_N$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^t} dx \leq c_N (1-t) P_t(E),$$

for all  $|h| > 0$ . We then estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad I_1 &= \int_{|h|<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{N+s}} dx dh \\ &\leq c_N (1-t) P_t(E) \int_{|h|<1} \frac{1}{|h|^{N-(t-s)}} dh \\ &= c_N \frac{1-t}{t-s} P_t(E), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad I_2 &= \int_{|h| \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|}{|h|^{N+s}} dx dh \\
&\leq 2|E| \int_{|h| \geq 1} \frac{1}{|h|^{N+s}} dh \\
&= \frac{2N\omega_N}{s} |E|.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting together (2.5) and (2.6) we then get

$$(2.7) \quad P_s(E) \leq c_N \frac{1-t}{t-s} P_t(E) + \frac{2N\omega_N}{s} |E|.$$

If we evaluate (2.7) on the set  $\lambda E$ , with  $\lambda > 0$ , we obtain

$$\lambda^{N-s} P_s(E) \leq c_N \frac{1-t}{t-s} \lambda^{N-t} P_t(E) + \lambda^N \frac{2N\omega_N}{s} |E|,$$

that is,

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda^{t-s} P_s(E) - \lambda^t \frac{2N\omega_N |E|}{s} \leq \frac{c_N(1-t)}{t-s} P_t(E).$$

The expression at the left-hand side of (2.8) reaches its maximum at

$$\lambda = \left( \frac{s(t-s)P_s(E)}{2N\omega_N t |E|} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Substituting this value of  $\lambda$  into (2.8) we get (2.3). □

**Remark 2.3.** If we let  $t \rightarrow 1^-$  in (2.3), we recover the estimate in [8, Cor. 4.4]:

$$(2.9) \quad P_s(E) \leq \frac{c_N}{s(1-s)} |E|^{1-s} P(E)^s.$$

Indeed the proof of Lemma 2.2 extends to the case  $t = 1$ , by substituting  $(1-t)P_t(E)$  with  $P(E)$  in the right hand side of (2.4).

We show now a version of the local fractional isoperimetric inequality. For this, we recall that the fractional perimeter of a set  $E$  in a bounded set  $\Omega$  is defined by

$$(2.10) \quad P_\alpha(E, \Omega) := \int_{E \cap \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+\alpha}} + \int_{\Omega \setminus E} \int_{E \cap \Omega} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+\alpha}}.$$

With this setting, we have a variant of Lemma 2.1 as follows:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be disjoint bounded sets. Then*

$$(2.11) \quad P_\alpha(E, \Omega_1) + P_\alpha(E, \Omega_2) \leq P_\alpha(E, \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) + 2 \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+\alpha}}.$$

*Proof.* We use (2.10) (omitting the integrands for simplicity) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_\alpha(E, \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) - P_\alpha(E, \Omega_1) - P_\alpha(E, \Omega_2) \\
&= \int_{E \cap (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} + \int_{(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} \\
&\quad - \int_{E \cap \Omega_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} - \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus \Omega_1} - \int_{E \cap \Omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} - \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus \Omega_2} \\
&= \int_{E \cap \Omega_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} + \int_{E \cap \Omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} + \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} + \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} \\
&\quad - \int_{E \cap \Omega_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} - \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus \Omega_1} - \int_{E \cap \Omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E} - \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus \Omega_2} \\
&= \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} + \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} - \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus \Omega_1} - \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus E} \int_{E \setminus \Omega_2} \\
&= - \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \int_{(E \setminus \Omega_1) \cap \Omega_2} - \int_{\Omega_2 \setminus E} \int_{(E \setminus \Omega_2) \cap \Omega_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (2.11). □

Then, we have the following local fractional isoperimetric inequality:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a open bounded set with Lipschitz boundary and let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $|E \cap \Omega| < |\Omega|/2$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(|\Omega|, N, \alpha)$  such that*

$$(2.12) \quad P_\alpha(E, \Omega) \geq C |E \cap \Omega|^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N}}.$$

*Proof.* The case  $t = 1$  is classical. For its proof we refer to [30, Section II.1.6]. We begin by recalling the Poincaré-type inequality for fractional Sobolev spaces (see for instance [7, Equations (2) and (3)]): for any  $p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , given a function  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  we have that

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha p}} \geq C(N, \alpha, p, |\Omega|) \|f - f_\Omega\|_{L^q(\Omega)},$$

where

$$f_\Omega = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f| dx$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{N}.$$



By applying (2.13) with  $p = 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $f = \chi_E$ , and by the very definition of  $P_\alpha(E)$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
2P_\alpha(E, \Omega) &\geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\chi_E(x) - \chi_E(y)|}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}} \\
&\geq C(N, \alpha, |\Omega|) \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \chi_E(x) - \frac{|E \cap \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&= C(N, \alpha, |\Omega|) \left[ |E \cap \Omega| \left( 1 - \frac{|E \cap \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \right)^q + |\Omega \setminus E| \left( \frac{|E \cap \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \\
&\geq C(N, \alpha, |\Omega|) |E \cap \Omega|^{1/q} \left( 1 - \frac{|E \cap \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \right) \\
&\geq \frac{C(N, \alpha, |\Omega|)}{2} |E \cap \Omega|^{1/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since, by (2.14),  $q = N/(N - \alpha)$ , the proof is concluded.  $\square$

Beside the local fractional isoperimetric inequality (2.12), we recall from [19] the standard (fractional) one: if  $0 < t_0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  then it holds (if  $|E| < +\infty$ )

$$(2.15) \quad (1 - \alpha)P_\alpha(E) \geq c(N, t_0) |E|^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N}}, \quad \text{where } c(N, t_0) = \frac{c_N}{t_0},$$

We now recall some basic facts on hypersingular Riesz operators on the sphere, following [18, pp. 4-5] (see also [32, pp. 159-160]). We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_k$  the space of spherical harmonics of degree  $k$ , and by  $d(k)$  the dimension of  $\mathcal{S}_k$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we also let  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$  be the operator defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha u(x) = 2 \text{p.v.} \int_{\partial B} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) \quad \text{for } u \in C^2(\partial B),$$

and we let  $\lambda_k^\alpha$  be the  $k^{\text{th}}$  eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha Y = \lambda_k^\alpha Y \quad \text{for any } Y \in \mathcal{S}_k.$$

We then have  $\lambda_k^\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , and

$$\lambda_0^\alpha = 0 \quad \lambda_{k+1}^\alpha > \lambda_k^\alpha \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

If we let  $\{Y_k^i\}_{i=1}^{d(k)}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{S}_k$  in  $L^2(\partial B)$ , and denote by

$$a_k^i(u) := \int_{\partial B} u Y_k^i d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

the Fourier coefficients of  $u \in L^2(\partial B)$  corresponding to  $Y_k^i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[u]_{H^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 &:= \int_{\partial B} \int_{\partial B} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+\alpha}} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) \\
&= \int_{\partial B} u \mathcal{J}_\alpha u d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
(2.16) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d(k)} \lambda_k^\alpha a_k^i(u)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.6.** ([18, Proposition 2.3]) *We have*

$$\lambda_k^\alpha \geq \lambda_1^\alpha = \alpha(N - \alpha) \frac{P_\alpha(B)}{P(B)} \geq \frac{1}{c_N(1 - \alpha)}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $u \in H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t < 1$  then the following estimate holds*

$$(2.17) \quad (1-s)[u]_{H^{\frac{1+s}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 \leq c_N(1-t)[u]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2.$$

*Proof.* By (2.16) and using the estimate for  $\lambda_k$  established in Proposition 2.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1-s)[u]_{H^{\frac{1+s}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 &= (1-s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d(k)} \lambda_k^s a_k^i(u)^2 = (1-s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d(k)} \lambda_k^{s-t} \lambda_k^t a_k^i(u)^2 \\ &\leq (1-s) \lambda_1^{s-t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d(k)} \lambda_k^t a_k^i(u)^2 \\ &\leq (1-s) \lambda_1^s c_N (1-t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d(k)} \lambda_k^t a_k^i(u)^2 \\ &= (1-s) s(N-s) \frac{P_s(B)}{P(B)} c_N (1-t) [u]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 \\ &\leq c_N (1-t) [u]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 2.8.** We note that the result established in the previous proposition remains true also in the case  $t = 1$ . Indeed, since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} (1-t)[u]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 = [u]_{H^1(\partial B)}^2$$

as established in [6, Cor. 2], we can pass to the limit  $t \rightarrow 1^-$  in (2.17).

### 3. PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES ON THE ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

In the following we shall consider parameters  $s, t \in (0, 1)$  satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad t - s \geq \delta_0 > 0.$$

All the constants in this work, unless differently specified, will depend only on  $N$  and  $\delta_0$ , so that it will be possible to pass to the limits in a straightforward way as  $s \rightarrow 0^+$  or  $t \rightarrow 1^-$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists  $c_0 = c_0(N, \delta_0)$  such that, for any  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $0 < s < t < 1$  satisfying (3.1), it holds*

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \geq \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)}{2} - c_0|E|.$$

*Proof.* Set  $m := |E|$ . We apply Young inequality with exponents  $\frac{t}{t-s}$  and  $\frac{t}{s}$  to the right hand side of (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} c_N \frac{1}{s} \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} |E|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} (1-t)^{\frac{s}{t}} P_t(E)^{\frac{s}{t}} &= \left[ c_N \frac{2^{\frac{s}{t}}}{s} \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \right] [2^{-1}(1-t)P_t(E)]^{\frac{s}{t}} \\ &\leq \left[ c_N \frac{2^{\frac{s}{t}}}{s} \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \right]^{\frac{t}{t-s}} + \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.3) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) &= (1-t)P_t(E) - sP_s(E) \geq (1-t)P_t(E) - c_N \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} |E|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} (1-t)^{\frac{s}{t}} P_t(E)^{\frac{s}{t}} \\
&\geq (1-t)P_t(E) - \left[2^{\frac{s}{t}} c_N \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}}\right]^{\frac{t}{t-s}} - \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)}{2} \\
&= \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)}{2} - \left[2^{\frac{s}{t}} c_N \frac{t}{t-s}\right]^{\frac{t}{t-s}} m
\end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $|E| = m$ . Then both  $P_t(E)$  and  $P_s(E)$  are bounded above by quantities only depending on  $m$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E)$ . More explicitly*

$$(3.3) \quad (1-t)P_t(E) \leq 2(\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) + c_0 m)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{and} \quad sP_s(E) \leq c_0^{1-\frac{s}{t}} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}} (\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) + c_0 m)^{\frac{s}{t}},$$

with  $c_0$  as in Proposition 3.1.

*Proof.* We obtain (3.3) easily from Proposition 3.1. Then (3.4) follows from (2.3) and (3.3).  $\square$

Now we define the isovolumetric function  $\phi : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\phi(m) = \inf_{|E|=m} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \quad m \in (0, +\infty).$$

A general estimate on  $\phi(m)$  goes as follows:

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have*

$$(3.5) \quad -c_0 m \leq \phi(m) \leq c_1 m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \left(1 - \frac{c_2}{c_1} m^{\frac{t-s}{N}}\right),$$

with  $c_0$  as in Proposition 3.1 and

$$(3.6) \quad c_1 := \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 := \frac{sP_s(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-s}{N}}}.$$

*Proof.* Let us begin by proving the estimate from above of  $\phi(m)$ . For this, we take the unit ball  $B$  we set  $\rho := (m/|B|)^{1/N}$  and we consider the ball  $B(0, \rho)$  of radius  $\rho$ . Notice that  $|B(0, \rho)| = \rho^N |B| = m$ ,

$$P_t(B(0, \rho)) = \rho^{N-t} P_t(B) = \frac{P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}$$

and

$$P_s(B(0, \rho)) = \rho^{N-s} P_s(B) = \frac{P_s(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-s}{N}}} m^{\frac{N-s}{N}}.$$

By minimality, we get, with  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  as in (3.6),

$$\phi(m) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(B(0, \rho)) = (1-t)P_t(B(0, \rho)) - sP_s(B(0, \rho)) = c_1 m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \left(1 - \frac{c_2}{c_1} m^{\frac{t-s}{N}}\right),$$

that proves (3.5).

The first inequality in (3.5) follows from Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** We recall the fractional isoperimetric inequality, which holds true for any measurable set  $E$  such that  $|E| < +\infty$ :

$$(3.7) \quad |E|^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq c_N t (1-t) P_t(E).$$

For the optimal constant  $c_N$  we refer to [20] (see in particular Equations (1.10) and (4.2) there).

**Lemma 3.5.** *There exist  $m_0 = m_0(N, \delta_0)$  and  $m_1 = m_1(N, \delta_0)$  such that:*

$$(3.8) \quad \text{if } m > m_1, \text{ then } \phi(m) < 0;$$

$$(3.9) \quad \text{if } m \in (0, m_0), \text{ then } \phi(m) \geq \frac{c_N}{t} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} > 0.$$

Moreover

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(m) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We have that (3.8) and (3.10) plainly follow from (3.5).

Now we prove (3.9). For this, we use Proposition 3.1 and the fractional isoperimetric inequality in the form (3.7) to obtain that, if  $|E| = m$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \geq \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)}{2} - c_0 m \geq \frac{m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}}{2c_N t} - c_0 m = \frac{m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}}{2c_N t} \left(1 - 2c_0 c_N t m^{\frac{t}{N}}\right).$$

In particular, if  $m$  is small enough, we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(E) \geq \frac{m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}}{4c_N t}$$

and this implies (3.9).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $m_1$  be as in Lemma 3.5, and let  $F$  be a minimizer of  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$  among sets of measure  $m > m_1$ . We have*

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{c_N}{t} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq (1-t)P_t(F) < c_0 m \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c_N}{t} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} < sP_s(F) \leq c_0 m,$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5 we know that  $(1-t)P_t(F) < sP_s(F)$ , hence from (2.3) and from the fractional isoperimetric inequality (3.7) we get

$$\frac{m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}}{c_N t} \leq (1-t)P_t(F) < sP_s(F) \leq c_0^{\frac{t-s}{t}} 2^{-\frac{s}{t}} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}} [(1-t)P_t(F)]^{\frac{s}{t}}$$

with  $c_0$  given in Proposition 3.1. Then  $(1-t)P_t(F) < c_0 2^{-\frac{s}{t-s}} m$ . This and (2.3) also implies the desired bound on  $sP_s(F)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** By inspecting the proof of the Lemma 3.5 we obtain explicit estimates for  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ :

$$m_0 \geq [4c_0 c_N t]^{-\frac{N}{t}} = \left[ 4 \left( \frac{c_N t 2^{s/t}}{t-s} \right)^{\frac{t}{t-s}} c_N t \right]^{-\frac{N}{t}}$$

$$m_1 \leq \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right)^{\frac{N}{t-s}} = \left[ \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{sP_s(B)} \right]^{\frac{N}{t-s}} |B|.$$

Moreover, the first inequality in the second formula in (3.11)

$$\frac{c_N}{t}|F|^{\frac{N-t}{N}} < sP_s(F)$$

entails that  $|F| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  (and thus  $\delta_0 \rightarrow 0$ ). Indeed, letting  $t = s + \delta_0$ , and using the fact that  $sP_s(F) \rightarrow N\omega_N|F|$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ , after an elementary computation we get that

$$m_1 \geq |F| \geq \left( \frac{c_N}{s + \delta_0} \right)^{\frac{N}{s + \delta_0}}.$$

which gives also a lower bound on  $m_1$  in terms of  $s$  and  $\delta_0$ . Notice that if  $t \rightarrow 0$ , then also  $s$  and  $\delta_0$  converge to 0 and so  $m_1 \rightarrow \infty$ . Also it is not a direct consequence of our investigation, we stress that it is natural to expect that also if only  $s$  converges to 0, then  $m_1$  diverges to  $+\infty$ .

**Proposition 3.8** (Non-optimality criterion). *There exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(N, \delta_0)$  such that, if  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  can be written as  $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ , with  $|F_1 \cap F_2| = 0$ ,*

$$(3.12) \quad |F_2| \leq \varepsilon \min(1, |F_1|),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \text{and} \quad (1-t)[P_t(F_1) + P_t(F_2) - P_t(F)] \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2)}{2},$$

then there exists a set  $G$  with  $|G| = |F|$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) < \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F)$  (i.e.,  $F$  is not a minimizer).

In addition, we have that the set  $G$  is either a ball of volume  $m$ , or a dilation of the set  $F_1$ , according to the following formula:

$$(3.14) \quad G = \sqrt[N]{1 + \frac{|F_2|}{|F_1|}} F_1$$

*Proof.* Let  $m := |F|$ ,  $m_1 := |F_1|$  and  $m_2 := |F_2|$ . We may suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F)$  is less than or equal than  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$  of the ball of volume  $m$ ,  $B_m$ , otherwise we can take  $G$  equal to such ball, decrease the energy and finish our proof. That is, we may suppose that

$$(3.15) \quad \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(B_m) \leq (1-t)P_t(B_m) \leq \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}.$$

Let  $G = \lambda F_1$ , with  $\lambda := \sqrt[N]{1 + \gamma}$  and  $\gamma = m_2/m_1$ . Notice that this is in agreement with (3.14), and also  $|G| = m$ . Moreover, by (3.12) we have that

$$\gamma \leq \frac{\varepsilon \min(1, m_1)}{m_1} \leq \varepsilon,$$

so that  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  can be taken as small as we like.

From Bernoulli's inequality we get

$$(1 + \gamma)^{\frac{N-s}{N}} \geq 1 + \frac{N-s}{N}\gamma,$$

and we also have

$$(1 + \gamma)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq 1 + \frac{N-s}{N}\gamma.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$(\lambda^{N-t} - 1)(1-t)P_t(F_1) - (\lambda^{N-s} - 1)sP_s(F_1) \leq \frac{N-s}{N}\gamma[(1-t)P_t(F_1) - sP_s(F_1)].$$

As a consequence, letting  $\tilde{\gamma} := \frac{N-s}{N}\gamma$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) &= (1-t)P_t(G) - sP_s(G) \\ &= \lambda^{N-t}(1-t)P_t(F_1) - \lambda^{N-s}sP_s(F_1) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) + [(\lambda^{N-t} - 1)(1-t)P_t(F_1) - (\lambda^{N-s} - 1)sP_s(F_1)] \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{N-s}{N}\gamma\right)\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) = (1 + \tilde{\gamma})\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have, by (2.2) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) - \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) &\leq (1 + \tilde{\gamma})\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) - (1-t)P_t(F) + sP_s(F) \\ &\leq (1 + \tilde{\gamma})\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) - (1-t)P_t(F) + sP_s(F_1) + sP_s(F_2) \\ &\leq (1 + \tilde{\gamma})\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) + sP_s(F_1) + sP_s(F_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2) - (1-t)P_t(F_1) - (1-t)P_t(F_2) \\ (3.16) \quad &= \tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by (3.9), since  $m_2$  can be chosen in  $(0, m_0)$ ,  $m_0$  as in Lemma 3.5 (up to decreasing the value of  $\varepsilon$ ), we have

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2) \geq \phi(m_2) \geq \frac{c_N}{t} m_2^{\frac{N-t}{N}}.$$

Also, using again (2.2) and (3.13), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_1) &= [(1-t)P_t(F_1) + (1-t)P_t(F_2) - sP_s(F_1) - sP_s(F_2)] - \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) + [(1-t)P_t(F_1) + (1-t)P_t(F_2) - (1-t)P_t(F) - \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2)] \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2) < \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F).\end{aligned}$$

This, (3.16) and (3.17) give that

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) - \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) \leq \tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2) \leq \tilde{\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) - \frac{c_N}{2t} m_2^{\frac{N-t}{N}}.$$

Accordingly, recalling (3.15) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) - \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) &\leq \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} \tilde{\gamma}(m_1 + m_2)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} - \frac{c_N}{2t} m_2^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \\ &= m_2^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \left[ \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} - \frac{c_N}{2t} \right] \\ &\leq m_2^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \left[ \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} \tilde{\gamma}(2\tilde{\gamma}^{-1})^{\frac{N-t}{N}} - \frac{c_N}{2t} \right] \\ &= m_2^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \left[ \frac{2^{\frac{N-t}{N}}(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} \tilde{\gamma}^{\frac{t}{N}} - \frac{c_N}{2t} \right]\end{aligned}$$

which is negative if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is small enough, i. e.

$$\tilde{\gamma} < \left[ \frac{c_N}{2t} \frac{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}}{2^{\frac{N-t}{N}}(1-t)P_t(B)} \right]^{\frac{N}{t}}.$$

The proof is concluded.  $\square$

When (3.13) does not hold, one obtains for free some interesting density bounds.

Given a measurable set  $E$  we denote by  $\partial^m E$  the measure theoretic boundary of  $E$  defined as

$$\partial^m E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |E \cap B_r(x)| > 0 \text{ and } |E \setminus B_r(x)| > 0 \text{ for all } r > 0\}.$$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $F$  be a set of finite  $t$ -perimeter and volume  $m$ , and let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Assume*

$$(3.18) \quad \text{either } F_1 := F \setminus B(x_0, r) \text{ and } F_2 := F \cap B(x_0, r),$$

$$(3.19) \quad \text{or } F_2 := F \setminus B(x_0, r) \text{ and } F_1 := F \cap B(x_0, r),$$

and suppose that  $|F_2| < m_0$ , with  $m_0$  be as in Lemma 3.5, and

$$(3.20) \quad (1-t)[P_t(F_1) + P_t(F_2) - P_t(F)] \geq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2)}{2}.$$

Then

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{F_1} \int_{F_2} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \geq \frac{c_N}{t(1-t)} |F_2|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}$$

If  $x_0 \in \partial^m F$  and (3.20) holds for any  $r \leq r_0$ , we also have the estimate

$$(3.22) \quad |F \cap B(x_0, r)| \geq c_0 r^N \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, r_0],$$

where the constant  $c_0 > 0$  depend only on  $N$  and  $\delta_0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume  $x_0 = 0$ . Also, using either (3.18) or (3.19), (3.20) and (2.1), we have that

$$\int_{F_1} \int_{F_2} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} = \frac{1-t}{2(1-t)} (P_t(F_1) + P_t(F_2) - P_t(F)) \geq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_2)}{4(1-t)} \geq \frac{\phi(|F_2|)}{4(1-t)}.$$

This and (3.9) (which can be used here thanks to the fact that we are assuming  $|F_2| < m_0$ ) imply (3.21).

Now we prove (3.22). For this, we take  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  as in (3.18) and we define  $\mu(r) := |B(0, r) \cap F| = |F_2|$ . Note that by the co-area formula

$$\mu'(r) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial B(0, r) \cap F), \quad \text{for a. e. } r.$$

Then, by (3.21) and the fact that  $F_1 := F \setminus B(0, r) \subset (B(0, r))^c$ ,

$$\frac{c_N}{t(1-t)} \mu(r)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq \int_{F_2} \int_{F_1} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \leq \int_{F_2} \int_{(B(0,r))^c} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}}.$$

For any  $x \in F \cap B(0, r)$ , we have

$$\int_{(B(0,r))^c} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \leq \int_{(B(x,r-|x|))^c} \frac{dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} = \frac{N\omega_N}{t} (r-|x|)^{-t}$$

that leads to

$$\int_{F_2} \int_{(B(0,r))^c} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \leq \frac{c_N}{t} \int_0^r \mu'(z) (r-z)^{-t} dz.$$

Finally we arrive at the following integro-differential inequality

$$\mu(r)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq c_N(1-t) \int_0^r \mu'(z) (r-z)^{-t} dz.$$

We may integrate the last inequality in the  $r$  variable on the interval  $(0, \rho)$  and get

$$\int_0^\rho \mu(r)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dr \leq c_N(1-t) \int_0^\rho \int_0^r \mu'(z) (r-z)^{-t} dz dr,$$

interchanging the order of integration,

$$\int_0^\rho \int_0^r \mu'(z)(r-z)^{-t} dz dr = \int_0^\rho \mu'(z) \int_z^\rho (r-z)^{-t} dr dz,$$

we get

$$\int_0^\rho \mu(r)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dr \leq c_N \rho^{1-t} \mu(\rho).$$

Now we arrive at the desired result, indeed, following [12] (see the end of p. 9), it is possible to prove that

$$(3.23) \quad \mu(r) \geq g(r) := \left[ \frac{1}{2c_N(N+1-t)} \right]^{\frac{N}{t}} r^N$$

for any  $r < r_0 = (m_0/\omega_N)^{1/N}$ , where  $g$  satisfies

$$\int_0^\rho g(r)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dr \geq 2c_N \rho^{1-t} g(\rho),$$

with the same constant  $c_N$  as in (3.23).  $\square$

The combination of Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 yield the following density estimate:

**Proposition 3.10.** *There exist  $r_0 = r_0(m, N, \delta_0) > 0$  such that, if  $F$  is a minimizer for  $\phi(m)$  and  $x_0 \in \partial^m F$ , there holds*

$$|B(x_0, r) \cap F| \geq c_0 r^N$$

for any  $r < r_0$ , where  $c_0$  is as in (3.22).

*Proof.* Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be as in (3.18). Up to choosing  $r_0$  small enough, that is,

$$\omega_N r_0^N \leq \varepsilon(N, \delta_0) \min(1, m),$$

we can suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 3.8. Thus, since  $F$  is a minimum, we obtain that (3.13) cannot hold true. Hence (3.20) is satisfied, and so we can apply (3.22) in Lemma 3.9 and obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $F$  be a minimum for  $\phi(m)$ . Then  $F$  is essentially bounded. Moreover, if  $t = 1$ , for any  $s < t$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $F$  is also essentially connected in the sense of [2], that is, it cannot be decomposed into two disjoint sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of positive measure such that  $P(F) = P(F_1) + P(F_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a minimum. First we prove that it is bounded. By contradiction, if not, there exists a sequence  $x_k \in \partial^m F$  such that  $|x_k| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, up to a subsequence, we may suppose that all the balls  $B(x_k, 1)$  are disjoint, hence so are the balls  $B(x_k, r)$  when  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Hence

$$m = |F| \geq \sum_k |B(x_k, r) \cap F|.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.10, we know that  $|B(x_k, r) \cap F| \geq c_0 r^N$  if  $r$  is small enough, hence we obtain that

$$m \geq \sum_k c_0 r^N = +\infty,$$

which is clearly not possible.



This proves that  $F$  is bounded. Now we show that, if  $t = 1$ ,  $F$  is also essentially connected. Suppose, by contradiction, that  $F$  can be decomposed into two disjoint sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of positive measure such that

$$(3.24) \quad P(F) = P(F_1) + P(F_2).$$

Since  $F$  is bounded, so are  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , say  $F_1, F_2 \subseteq B(0, R)$ , for some  $R > 0$ . Hence, we consider the translation  $F_{2,k} := F_2 + (k, 0, \dots, 0)$  and we observe that if  $x \in F_1$  and  $y \in F_{2,k}$  we have that

$$|x - y| \geq |y| - |x| \geq k - 2R \geq \frac{k}{2}$$

if  $k$  is large enough. Accordingly, we have that

$$\int_{F_1} \int_{F_{2,k}} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+s}} \leq \int_{B(0,R)} \int_{B(0,R)+(k,0,\dots,0)} \frac{dx dy}{(k/2)^{N+s}} = \frac{c_N R^{2N}}{k^{N+s}}$$

and so

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{F_1} \int_{F_{2,k}} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+s}} = 0.$$

Notice also that, if  $G_k := F_1 \cup F_{2,k}$  we have that  $|G_k| = |F_1| + |F_{2,k}| = |F_1| + |F_2| = |F|$ , for  $k$  large, and so, by the minimality of  $F$ , (2.1), (2.2) and (3.24) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & N\omega_N P(F_1) + N\omega_N P(F_2) - sP_s(F_1) - sP_s(F_2) + 2s \int_{F_1} \int_{F_2} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+s}} \\ &= N\omega_N P(F) - sP_s(F) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{s,1}(F) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}_{s,1}(G_k) \\ &= N\omega_N P(G_k) - sP_s(G_k) \\ &\leq N\omega_N P(F_1) + N\omega_N P(F_{2,k}) - sP_s(F_1) - sP_s(F_{2,k}) + 2s \int_{F_1} \int_{F_{2,k}} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+s}} \\ &= N\omega_N P(F_1) + N\omega_N P(F_2) - sP_s(F_1) - sP_s(F_2) + 2s \int_{F_1} \int_{F_{2,k}} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and using (3.25), we obtain that

$$2s \int_{F_1} \int_{F_2} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+s}} \leq 0.$$

This says that either  $F_1$  or  $F_2$  must have zero measure, against our assumptions.  $\square$

We conclude the section with the following estimate on the fractional isoperimetric deficit, which will be important to localize minimizing sequences.

**Lemma 3.12.** *There exists  $m_2 = m_2(N, \delta_0)$  such that for any  $m \in (0, m_2)$  the following statement holds true.*

*Let  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a set of finite perimeter. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(B_m)$ . Then there exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that*

$$(3.26) \quad D_t(F) := \frac{P_t(F) - P_t(B_m)}{P_t(B_m)} \leq c_0 m^{\frac{t-s}{N}}.$$

*In addition, there exists a translation of  $F$  (still denoted by  $F$  for simplicity) such that*

$$(3.27) \quad |F \Delta B_m| \leq c_0 m^{1 + \frac{t-s}{2N}}.$$

*Proof.* First recall that

$$(3.28) \quad P_t(B_m) = \frac{P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}}.$$

Also, by our assumptions,

$$(3.29) \quad (1-t)P_t(F) - sP_s(F) = \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(B_m) \leq (1-t)P_t(B_m).$$

Using (3.4) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} sP_s(F) &\leq c_0^{1-\frac{s}{t}} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}} [(1-t)P_t(B_m) + c_0 m]^{\frac{s}{t}} \\ &\leq c_0^{1-\frac{s}{t}} m^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \left[ \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} + c_0 m \right]^{\frac{s}{t}} \\ &\leq c_0^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \left[ \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} + c_0 \right]^{\frac{s}{t}} m^{\frac{N-s}{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

for small  $m$ . From this and (3.29), we have that

$$\frac{P_t(F) - P_t(B_m)}{P_t(B_m)} \leq c_0^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \left[ \frac{(1-t)P_t(B)}{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}} + c_0 \right]^{\frac{s}{t}} \frac{|B|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}}{(1-t)P_t(B)} m^{\frac{N-s}{N} - \frac{N-t}{N}} \leq c_0 m^{\frac{t-s}{N}}.$$

This proves (3.26).

To prove (3.27) it is sufficient to use (3.26) and the estimate

$$c_0 D_t(F) \geq \frac{|F \Delta B_m|^2}{|B_m|^2},$$

which was proved in [18, Theorem 1.1] for any  $t \geq \delta_0 > 0$ . Together with (3.26) and possibly increasing the constant  $c_0$ , this implies (3.27).  $\square$

#### 4. EXISTENCE OF MINIMIZERS

In order to prove the first statement in Theorem 1.1, and for further use as well, we prove a general result on integro-differential equations:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $m, t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $c, \bar{\rho} \geq 0$  be such that*

$$(4.1) \quad c \geq (1-t)m^{\frac{t}{N}},$$

*and let  $\mu : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, m]$  be a non-increasing function such that*

$$(4.2) \quad - \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \mu'(z)(z-\rho)^{-t} dz \geq \frac{3c}{1-t} \mu(\rho)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \quad \text{for all } \rho \geq \bar{\rho}.$$

*Then, there holds*

$$(4.3) \quad \mu \left( \bar{\rho} + \frac{(2m)^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{ct} \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Integrating (4.2) between  $R \geq \bar{\rho}$  and  $+\infty$ , we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad - \int_R^{\infty} \left( \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \mu'(z)(z-\rho)^{-t} dz \right) d\rho \geq \frac{3c}{1-t} \int_R^{\infty} \mu(\rho)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} d\rho.$$

Also, if  $z \in [R, R + 1]$  we have that  $z - R \leq 1$  and so, since  $\mu' \leq 0$  a. e., we get that

$$-\int_R^{R+1} \mu'(z)(z - R)^{1-t} dz \leq -\int_R^{R+1} \mu'(z) dz = \mu(R) - \mu(R + 1).$$

Therefore, interchanging the order of integration in (4.4), integrating by parts and using that  $\mu \in [0, m]$  and (4.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_R^\infty \left( \int_\rho^\infty \mu'(z)(z - \rho)^{-t} dz \right) d\rho &= -\int_R^\infty \left( \int_R^z \mu'(z)(z - \rho)^{-t} d\rho \right) dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{1-t} \int_R^\infty \mu'(z)(z - R)^{1-t} dz \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(R) - \mu(R + 1)}{1-t} - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{R+1}^\infty \mu'(z)(z - R)^{1-t} dz \\ &= \frac{\mu(R)}{1-t} + \int_{R+1}^\infty \mu(z)(z - R)^{-t} dz \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(R)}{1-t} + \int_{R+1}^\infty \mu(z) dz \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(R)}{1-t} + m^{\frac{t}{N}} \int_R^\infty \mu(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-t} \left( \mu(R) + c \int_R^\infty \mu(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (4.4), this gives the integro-differential inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \mu(\rho) \geq 2c \int_\rho^\infty \mu(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz \quad \text{for all } \rho \geq \bar{\rho}.$$

Let now

$$g(\rho) := \begin{cases} \left[ (2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} - \frac{ct}{N}(\rho - \bar{\rho}) \right]^{\frac{N}{t}} & \text{if } \rho \in \left[ \bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho} + \frac{(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{ct} \right] \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho > \bar{\rho} + \frac{(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{ct}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $g$  is continuous and it satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad 2c \int_\rho^\infty g(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz = 2g(\rho) \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \left[ \bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho} + \frac{(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{ct} \right].$$

We now claim that

$$(4.7) \quad g(\rho) \geq \mu(\rho) \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \left[ \bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho} + \frac{(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{ct} \right].$$

Indeed, we consider the set  $S := \inf\{\rho > \bar{\rho} : \mu(z) \geq g(z) \text{ for all } z \geq \rho\}$ . By construction,  $S \subseteq [\bar{\rho}, +\infty)$ . Furthermore, if  $z \geq \bar{\rho} + [(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N]/ct$  then  $g(z) = 0 \leq \mu(z)$ , therefore  $\bar{\rho} + [(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N]/ct \in I$ . As a consequence, we can define  $R_* := \inf I$ , and we have that

$$(4.8) \quad R_* \in [\bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho} + [(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N]/ct].$$

By definition of  $R_*$ , there exists a sequence  $R_n \rightarrow R_*$ , with  $R_n \leq R_*$ , such that  $g(R_n) > \mu(R_n)$ . Then, recalling (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad g(R_n) &> \mu(R_n) \\
&\geq 2c \int_{R_n}^{\infty} \mu(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz \\
&\geq 2c \int_{R_n}^{R_*} \mu(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz + 2c \int_{R_*}^{\infty} g(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz \\
&= 2c \int_{R_n}^{R_*} \mu(z)^{\frac{N-t}{N}} dz + 2g(R_*).
\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in (4.9) as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we get  $g(R_*) \geq 2g(R_*)$ , which means  $g(R_*) = 0$ . This implies that  $R_* \geq \bar{\rho} + [(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N]/ct$ .

This information, combined with (4.8), gives that  $R_* = \bar{\rho} + [(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N]/ct$ , and this in turn implies (4.7).

Then, we evaluate (4.7) at  $\rho = \bar{\rho} + [(2\mu(\bar{\rho}))^{\frac{t}{N}} N]/ct$  and we obtain (4.3).  $\square$

With the above result, we are in the position to prove the first statement in Theorem 1.1, concerning the existence of minimizers for small volumes.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For any  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ ,  $t - s \geq \delta_0 > 0$ , there exists  $\bar{m}_0 = \bar{m}_0(N, \delta_0) > 0$  such that for all  $m \in (0, \bar{m}_0)$ , problem (1.3) has a minimizer  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $0 < s < t < 1$ . We use the direct method of the calculus of variations. Let us consider a minimizing sequence  $\{F_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , that is a sequence of sets of finite  $t$ -perimeter  $F_k$  with  $|F_k| = m$  such that

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_k) = \phi(m).$$

Let also set  $r_m := (m/\omega_N)^{1/N} > 0$ , so that  $|B(0, r_m)| = m$ . Our goal is to show that we can reduce ourselves to the case in which  $F_k$  lies in a large ball, independent of  $k$ . More precisely, we claim that there exist  $\rho_* > 0$  and sets  $G_k$ , with  $|G_k| = m$ , such that

$$(4.11) \quad G_k \subseteq B(0, \rho_*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G_k) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_k).$$

To prove it, we take  $\rho \geq r_m$  and we set

$$(4.12) \quad X_k^\rho := F_k \cap B(0, \rho) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_k^\rho := F_k \setminus B(0, \rho).$$

We distinguish two cases:

$$(4.13) \quad \text{either for any } \rho \geq r_m \text{ we have} \quad (1-t)[P_t(X_k^\rho) + P_t(Y_k^\rho) - P_t(F_k)] \geq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(Y_k^\rho)}{2}$$

$$(4.14) \quad \text{or there exists } \rho \geq r_m \text{ such that} \quad (1-t)[P_t(X_k^\rho) + P_t(Y_k^\rho) - P_t(F_k)] \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(Y_k^\rho)}{2}.$$

Let us first deal with (4.13). Then, thanks to (4.13), we can apply Lemma 3.9 using the setting in (3.19): accordingly, from (3.21) we see that

$$\int_{X_k^\rho} \int_{Y_k^\rho} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \geq \frac{c_N}{t(1-t)} |Y_k^\rho|^{\frac{N-t}{N}}.$$

Let us define the non-increasing function  $\eta(\rho) := |F_k \setminus B(0, \rho)| = |Y_k^\rho|$ . Note that by the co-area formula

$$\eta'(\rho) = -\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial B(0, \rho) \cap F), \quad \text{for a. e. } \rho > 0.$$

Proceeding in a similar way to the Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_k^\rho} \int_{X_k^\rho} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} &\leq \int_{Y_k^\rho} \int_{B(0, \rho)} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \\ &\leq \int_{Y_k^\rho} \left( \int_{(B(y, |y|-\rho))^c} \frac{dx}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \right) dy \\ &\leq -\frac{N\omega_N}{t} \int_\rho^\infty \eta'(z)(z-\rho)^{-t} dz, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$-\int_\rho^\infty \eta'(z)(z-\rho)^{-t} dz \geq \frac{c_N}{1-t} \eta(\rho)^{\frac{N-t}{N}},$$

which is (4.2). We now apply Lemma 4.1 with  $\mu = \eta$ ,  $c = c_N/3$  and  $\bar{\rho} = r_m$ . Notice that, possibly reducing  $\bar{m}_0$ , we can ensure that condition (4.1) is satisfied. From (4.3) we conclude that

$$\eta \left( r_m + \frac{3(2m)^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{c_N t} \right) = 0,$$

that is,

$$F_k \subseteq B \left( 0, r_m + \frac{3(2m)^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{c_N t} \right).$$

This proves (4.11) for such

$$\rho_* := r_m + \frac{3(2m)^{\frac{t}{N}} N}{c_N t}$$

in case (4.13) (here one can take  $G_k := F_k$ ).

So, we now deal with case (4.14). In this case, we use (3.27) and we obtain (up to a translation of  $F_k$  that is still denoted by  $F_k$ ) that

$$|F_k \setminus B(0, r_m)| + |B(0, r_m) \setminus F_k| = |F_k \Delta B(0, r_m)| \leq c_0 m^{1+\frac{t-s}{2N}},$$

$c_0$  as in Lemma 3.12. In particular, if  $\rho \geq r_m$  is the one given by (4.14) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_k \cap B(0, \rho)| &\geq |F_k \cap B(0, r_m)| \\ &= |B(0, r_m)| - |B(0, r_m) \setminus F_k| \\ &\geq m - c_0 m^{1+\frac{t-s}{2N}} \\ &\geq \frac{m}{2} \end{aligned}$$

if  $m$  is small enough, i. e.

$$(4.15) \quad m \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2c_0} \right]^{\frac{2N}{t-s}}$$

and moreover

$$|F_k \setminus B(0, \rho)| \leq |F_k \setminus B(0, r_m)| \leq c_0 m^{1+\frac{t-s}{2N}}.$$

Therefore, for small  $m$ , recalling (4.12) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_0 m^{\frac{t-s}{2N}} \min(1, |X_k^\rho|) &= 2c_0 m^{\frac{t-s}{2N}} \min(1, |F_k \cap B(0, \rho)|) \geq 2c_0 m^{\frac{t-s}{2N}} \frac{m}{2} \\ &= c_0 m^{1+\frac{t-s}{2N}} \geq |F_k \setminus B(0, \rho)| = |Y_k^\rho|. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to this and (4.14), we can apply Proposition 3.8, with  $\varepsilon := 2c_0 m^{\frac{t-s}{2N}}$ ,  $F_1 := X_k^\rho$  and  $F_2 := Y_k^\rho$ .

Hence, from Proposition 3.8, we find  $G_k$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G_k) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_k)$ ; notice also that, in light of (3.14), we know that  $G_k$  is either a ball or a dilation of  $X_k^\rho$ , which is contained in  $B(0, 2\rho)$ . Thus also  $G_k$  is contained in a ball of universal radius, and this establishes (4.11) also in case (4.14).

Thus, by (4.11), we have constructed a minimizing sequence  $G_k$  that is uniformly contained in a fixed ball. By Proposition 3.1, we also obtain that

$$(1-t)P_t(G_k) \leq 2[\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G_k) + c_0 m] \leq 2[\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(B(0, r_m)) + c_0 m],$$

hence the  $t$ -perimeter of  $G_k$  is bounded uniformly in  $k$ .

By the compact embedding of  $H^{\frac{t}{2}}$  into  $H^{\frac{s}{2}}$  (see [16, Section 7]), up to extracting a subsequence, the sets  $G_k$  converge in  $W^{s,1}$  (hence also in  $L^1$ ) to a limit set  $G$ , and it holds

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} P_s(G_k) = P_s(G).$$

The lower semicontinuity of the  $t$ -perimeter yields that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} P_t(G_k) \geq P_t(G)$$

Hence, by (4.10) and (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) &= (1-t)P_t(G) - sP_s(G) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} [(1-t)P_t(G_k) - sP_s(G_k)] \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G_k) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}(F_k) \leq \phi(m), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}(G) = \phi(m)$  and so  $F := G$  is the desired minimizer.

In the case  $0 = s < t \leq 1$ , our problem reduces to the (fractional) isoperimetric problem, hence it is well known that there exists a minimizer  $F$  for (1.3) and it is a ball of volume  $m$ , for any  $m > 0$ .

When  $0 < s < t = 1$  the previous arguments can be easily adapted, including the analog of Lemma 4.1 which becomes an ordinary differential inequality, and the only difference is that one needs to use the compact embedding of  $BV$  into  $H^{\frac{s}{2}}$  for  $0 < s < 1$ .  $\square$

## 5. REGULARITY OF MINIMIZERS

The aim of this section is to prove the regularity and rigidity theory necessary to prove the second statement in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. We begin with a simple observation.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be the function describing problem (1.3). Then  $F$  is a minimizer of  $\phi(m)$  if and only if  $F/m^{1/N}$  is a minimizer of problem*

$$\min\{(1-t)P_t(U) - m^{\frac{t-s}{N}} sP_s(U) : |U| = 1\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $|F| = m$  and let  $U = F/m^{1/N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)P_t(F) - sP_s(F) &= (1-t)P_t(m^{1/N}U) - sP_s(m^{1/N}U) \\ &= m^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \left[ (1-t)P_t(U) - m^{\frac{t-s}{N}} sP_s(U) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result.  $\square$

The previous lemma allow us to consider, in what follows, the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon = (1-t)P_t - \varepsilon sP_s,$$

where we set  $\varepsilon = m^{(t-s)/N}$ . Indeed, the behavior of a minimizer of  $\phi(m)$  is the same, up to a rescaling, to that of

$$(5.1) \quad \min \{ \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(E) : |E| = \omega_N \}.$$

Indeed

$F$  is a minimizer for problem (5.1) if and only if

$$(5.2) \quad \left( \frac{m}{\omega_N} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} F \text{ is a minimizer for problem (1.3) with } \varepsilon = \left( \frac{m}{\omega_N} \right)^{\frac{t-s}{N}}.$$

The next lemma allows us to say that if  $F$  is a set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $||F| - \omega_N|$  is small enough than the volume constraint can be dropped. Let us consider the following problem:

$$(5.3) \quad \min \{ \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}(E) : ||E| - \omega_N| < \Lambda^{-1} \},$$

for some  $\Lambda > 0$ , where

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}(E) = (1-t)P_t(E) - \varepsilon sP_s(E) + \Lambda||E| - \omega_N|.$$

Letting

$$(5.4) \quad \varepsilon_0 := \left( \frac{\bar{m}_0}{\omega_N} \right)^{\frac{t-s}{N}},$$

with  $\bar{m}_0$  as in Theorem 4.2, we have the following result:

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exists  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda_0(N, \delta_0) > 0$  such that  $F_\varepsilon$  is a volume constrained minimizer of problem (5.1), with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , if and only if  $F_\varepsilon$  is a minimizer of problem (5.3), for any  $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0(1 + \varepsilon_0)$ .*

*Proof.* First, let  $F_\varepsilon$  be a minimizer of problem (5.3) with  $|F_\varepsilon| = \omega_N$ . Then, for any set  $G$  with  $|G| = \omega_N$ , we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(G) = \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}(G) \geq \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,\Lambda}(F_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon),$$

which shows that  $F_\varepsilon$  is a minimizer of problem (5.1).

Viceversa, we prove that a volume constrained minimizer  $F_\varepsilon$  of problem (5.1), with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , is also a minimizer of (5.3) for any  $\Lambda$  sufficiently large. For this, we argue by contradiction and we assume that there exist a sequence  $\Lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and sets  $E_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that, letting  $\mathcal{G}_n := \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,\Lambda_n}$ , we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{G}_n(E_n) < \mathcal{G}_n(F_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon).$$

Notice that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there holds

$$(5.6) \quad \sigma_n := ||E_n| - \omega_N| > 0.$$

Indeed, if by contradiction we suppose that  $\sigma_n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we would have that  $|E_n| = \omega_N$ , thus

$$\mathcal{G}_n(E_n) = \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(E_n) \geq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon),$$

due to the minimality of  $F_\varepsilon$ . This would be in contradiction with (5.5), and so (5.6) is proved. We also claim that there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  independent of  $n$ , such that

$$(5.7) \quad (1-t)P_t(E_n) \leq c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad sP_s(E_n) \leq c_0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To show this, proceeding as in Proposition 3.1 and (5.5), we see that, for  $\Lambda_n \geq c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)P_t(E_n) &\leq 2 \left[ \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(E_n) + c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} |E_n| \right] \\ &\leq 2 \left[ \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(E_n) + c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} \left| |E_n| - \omega_N \right| + \omega_N c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} \right] \\ &\leq 2 \left[ \mathcal{G}_n(E_n) + \omega_N c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} \right] \\ &\leq 2 \left[ \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon) + \omega_N c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} \right] \\ &\leq 2 \left[ \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(B) + \omega_N c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} \right] \\ &\leq 2 \left[ (1-t)P_t(B) + \omega_N c_0 \varepsilon_0^{\frac{t}{t-s}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

recalling that  $B$  denotes the ball centered in 0 and radius 1, with  $|B(0,1)| = \omega_N$ . This gives the bound for  $(1-t)P_t(E_n)$ , and then the bound on  $sP_s(E_n)$  follows from (2.3). This proves (5.7).

From (5.5) and (5.7) it follows that  $\Lambda_n \sigma_n$  is also uniformly bounded, that is,

$$\sigma_n \leq \frac{c_0}{\Lambda_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, for  $\sigma_n \leq 1/2$  we have, supposing  $\sigma_n = |E_n| - \omega_N > 0$  (the other case can be treated in a similar way),

$$(5.8) \quad \left( \frac{|E_n|}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{N-s}{N}} \geq \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_n}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{N-s}{N}} \geq 1 - \frac{N-s}{N} \frac{\sigma_n}{\omega_N},$$

and similarly

$$(5.9) \quad \left( \frac{|E_n|}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_n}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{N-t}{N}} = 1 + \frac{N-t}{N} \frac{\sigma_n}{\omega_N}.$$

We now define

$$\tilde{E}_n = \left( \frac{|E_n|}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{1}{N}} E_n,$$

and we use (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9) to obtain

$$sP_s(\tilde{E}_n) = \left( \frac{|E_n|}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{N-s}{N}} sP_s(E_n) \geq \left( 1 - \frac{N-s}{N} \frac{\sigma_n}{\omega_N} \right) sP_s(E_n) \geq sP_s(E_n) - c_0 \sigma_n,$$

$$\text{and} \quad (1-t)P_t(\tilde{E}_n) = \left( \frac{|E_n|}{\omega_N} \right)^{-\frac{N-t}{N}} (1-t)P_t(E_n) \leq (1-t)P_t(E_n) + c_0 \sigma_n,$$

where the constant  $c_0$  may differ from line to line.



Therefore, since  $|\tilde{E}_n| = \omega_N$ , the minimality of  $F_\varepsilon$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon) &\leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(\tilde{E}_n) = (1-t)P_t(\tilde{E}_n) - \varepsilon s P_s(\tilde{E}_n) \\ &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_n) - \varepsilon s P_s(E_n) + c_0(1+\varepsilon_0)\sigma_n \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(E_n) + c_0(1+\varepsilon_0)\sigma_n. \end{aligned}$$

By plugging this into (5.5) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(E_n) &< \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(E_n) + c_0(1+\varepsilon_0)\sigma_n \\ &= \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(E_n) - \Lambda_n \sigma_n + c_0(1+\varepsilon_0)\sigma_n. \end{aligned}$$

We simplify the term  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(E_n)$  and we divide by  $\sigma_n$ , which is possible thanks to (5.6), we conclude that

$$0 < -\Lambda_n + c_0(1+\varepsilon_0).$$

This gives a contradiction for  $\Lambda_n$  large enough, and proves that  $F_\varepsilon$  is a minimizer for problem (5.3).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $F_\varepsilon$  be a minimizer of problem (5.3) with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and  $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$  as in Lemma 5.2, and let  $E_\varepsilon$  be a set of finite perimeter with  $||E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N| < 1/\Lambda$ . Then,*

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon) &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon c_N \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} |F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} [(1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon)]^{\frac{s}{t}} \\ &\quad + \Lambda ||E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Notice that, denoting by  $\int_U = \int_U f$  for a non-negative function  $f$ , the following computation holds

$$\int_{F_\varepsilon} \int_{F_\varepsilon^c} = \int_{F_\varepsilon \setminus E_\varepsilon} \int_{(F_\varepsilon \cup E_\varepsilon)^c} + \int_{F_\varepsilon \setminus E_\varepsilon} \int_{E_\varepsilon \setminus F_\varepsilon} + \int_{F_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} \int_{(F_\varepsilon \cup E_\varepsilon)^c} + \int_{F_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} \int_{E_\varepsilon \setminus F_\varepsilon}.$$

By interchanging the roles of  $F_\varepsilon$  and  $E_\varepsilon$ , and setting  $f(x, y) = |x - y|^{-(s+N)}$  we get

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_s(F_\varepsilon) - P_s(E_\varepsilon) &= \int_{F_\varepsilon \setminus E_\varepsilon} \int_{(F_\varepsilon \cup E_\varepsilon)^c} - \int_{E_\varepsilon \setminus F_\varepsilon} \int_{(F_\varepsilon \cup E_\varepsilon)^c} + \int_{F_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} \int_{E_\varepsilon \setminus F_\varepsilon} - \int_{E_\varepsilon \cap F_\varepsilon} \int_{F_\varepsilon \setminus E_\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \int_{F_\varepsilon \setminus E_\varepsilon} \int_{(F_\varepsilon \cup E_\varepsilon)^c} + \int_{E_\varepsilon \setminus F_\varepsilon} \int_{F_\varepsilon \cap E_\varepsilon} \leq P_s(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the minimality of  $F_\varepsilon$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon) &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon [sP_s(F_\varepsilon) - sP_s(E_\varepsilon)] + \Lambda \left( ||E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N| - ||F_\varepsilon| - \omega_N| \right) \\ &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon s P_s(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) + \Lambda ||E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the desired result follows from (2.3).  $\square$

We point out that from Lemma 5.3 it follows that  $F_\varepsilon$  is a multiplicative  $\omega$ -minimizer for the  $t$ -perimeter. In the sequel, as customary, the fractional perimeter of a set  $E$  in a ball  $B(x, R)$  will be denoted by  $P_t(E, B(x, R))$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$  be as in Lemma 5.2. Let  $F_\varepsilon$  be a minimizer of (5.1) with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , let  $x \in \partial^m F_\varepsilon$ , and let  $E_\varepsilon$  be a set of finite  $t$ -perimeter with*

$$(5.12) \quad F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon \subset B(x, R).$$

There holds

$$(5.13) \quad P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \leq \frac{1 + c_0 R^{t-s}}{1 - c_0 R^{t-s}} P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R))$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$  and for any  $R < R_0 = R_0(N, \delta_0)$ .

*Proof.* We observe that, by direct calculations, from (5.12), follows

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t(F_\varepsilon) - P_t(E_\varepsilon) &= P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) - P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \\ \text{and} \quad P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) &\leq P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) + P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, thanks to Lemma 5.2 we know that  $F_\varepsilon$  is also a minimizer of (5.3), with  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ . From (5.10) and the fractional isoperimetric inequality (3.7), we then get

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0 c_N \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} [c_N t]^{1-\frac{s}{t}} |F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon|^{\frac{t-s}{N}} (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \Lambda_0 ||E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, again from the fractional isoperimetric inequality and using (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_0 ||E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N| &= \Lambda_0 \left| |E_\varepsilon| - \omega_N| - |F_\varepsilon| - \omega_N| \right| \\ &\leq \Lambda_0 |F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon|^{\frac{N-t}{N}} |F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon|^{\frac{t}{N}} \\ &\leq c_N \Lambda_0 t (1-t) P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) |F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon|^{\frac{t}{N}} \\ &\leq c_N \Lambda_0 t (1-t) P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) R^t. \end{aligned}$$

From this, (5.14) and (5.15) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_0 c_N \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} [c_N t]^{1-\frac{s}{t}} R^{t-s} (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \Lambda_0 c_N t R^t (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon) \\ &\leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \\ &\quad + c_0 R^{t-s} (1-t) [P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) + P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R))] \end{aligned}$$

which gives (5.13), if  $R < \min\{1, 1/c_0^{\frac{1}{t-s}}\} =: R_0$ , with

$$c_0 := \varepsilon_0 c_N \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{-1} [c_N t]^{1-\frac{s}{t}} + \Lambda_0 c_N t.$$

□

**Lemma 5.5.** *There exists  $\Theta = \Theta(N, \delta_0) > 0$  and  $R_0 = R_0(N, \delta_0) > 0$  such that, for any  $x \in \partial^m F_\varepsilon$  and  $R < R_0$ , there holds*

$$(5.16) \quad (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \leq \Theta R^{N-t}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon \setminus B(x, R)$ , and observe that  $P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \leq P_t(B(x, R))$ . From (5.13), possibly reducing  $R_0$ , we then get

$$(1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \leq (1 + c_0 R^{t-s})(1-t)P_t(B(x, R)) \leq \Theta R^{N-t}.$$

□

From Lemma 5.5 it follows that  $F_\varepsilon$  is also an additive  $\omega$ -minimizer for the  $t$ -perimeter.

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $\varepsilon_0$  be as in Lemma 5.2. Let  $F_\varepsilon$  be a minimizer of (5.1) with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , let  $x \in \partial^m F_\varepsilon$ , and let  $E_\varepsilon$  be a set of finite  $t$ -perimeter with*

$$(5.17) \quad F_\varepsilon \Delta E_\varepsilon \subset B(x, R).$$

*There holds*

$$(5.18) \quad (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \leq (1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) + c_0 R^{N-s}$$

*for any  $R < R_0$ , with  $R_0, c_0$  depending only on  $N, \delta_0$ .*

*Proof.* By (5.13) and (5.16), possibly increasing the constant  $c_0$  we have

$$(1-t)P_t(E_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \geq (1 - c_0 R^{t-s}) (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) \geq (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon, B(x, R)) - c_0 \Theta R^{N-s}$$

*for any  $R < R_0$ .  $\square$*

The following result can be proved exactly as in [18, Theorem 3.4].

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ , there exist  $\tau, \delta, q \in (0, 1)$ , depending only on  $N, t_0$ , such that if  $F$  is an additive  $\omega$ -minimizer of  $P_t$  for any  $t \in [t_0, 1]$ , with  $0 \in \partial^m F$  and*

$$\partial^m F \cap B(0, 1) \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |(y-x) \cdot e| < \tau\},$$

*for some  $e \in S^{N-1}$ , then there exists  $e_0 \in S^{N-1}$  such that*

$$\partial^m F \cap B(0, \eta) \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |(y-x) \cdot e_0| < q\delta\tau\}.$$

From Corollary 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 we derive the  $C^{1,\beta}$  regularity minimizer of (5.1) following standard arguments that can be found in [12, Theorem 1] (see also [18, Corollary 3.5]).

**Corollary 5.8.** *There exists  $\beta = \beta(N, \delta_0) < 1$  such that any minimizer  $F_\varepsilon$  of (5.1), with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , as in Lemma 5.2, has boundary of class  $C^{1,\beta}$  outside of a closed singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most  $N - 2$ .*

**Remark 5.9.** If  $t = 1$ , by the general regularity theory for  $\omega$ -minimizers of the classical perimeter developed in [4, 35] we have that  $F_\varepsilon$  has boundary of class  $C^{1,\beta}$  outside of a closed singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most  $N - 8$ .

We are in the position of completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The existence follows from Theorem 4.2. The regularity of  $\partial F$  follows from Corollary 5.4 and Corollary 5.8.  $\square$

## 6. RIGIDITY OF MINIMIZERS FOR SMALL VOLUMES

We now develop the rigidity theory needed to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 6.1.** *For any  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}(\eta, N, \delta_0) > 0$  such that any minimizer  $F_\varepsilon$  of (5.1), with  $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$ , can be written as*

$$(6.1) \quad \partial F_\varepsilon = \{(1 + u_\varepsilon(x))x : x \in \partial B\},$$

*where  $B$  is the ball of radius 1 having the same barycenter of  $F_\varepsilon$ , and  $u_\varepsilon : \partial B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{C^1(\partial B)} \leq \eta.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.12, putting  $m = \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{t-s}} \omega_N$  there, it follows that  $|F_\varepsilon \Delta B| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . From the density lower bound proved in Proposition 3.10 it then follows that  $\partial F_\varepsilon \rightarrow \partial B$  in the Hausdorff topology. The result now follows via a standard argument based on the  $\omega$ -minimality of  $F_\varepsilon$  and on the regularity of the limit set  $B$  (see [18, Corollary 3.6] and, for  $t = 1$ , [35, Theorem 1] and [30, Theorem 26.6]).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.** *There exist  $\tau_0, c_1, c_2 > 0$  depending only on  $N$ , with  $c_1 < c_2$ , with the following property. Suppose that  $E_\tau$  is such that, for  $\tau \in [0, \tau_0]$ ,  $\partial E_\tau$  takes the form*

$$\partial E_\tau = \{(1 + \tau u(x))x : x \in \partial B\},$$

where  $u : \partial B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\|u\|_{C^1(\partial B)} \leq 1/2.$$

Suppose moreover that the barycenter of  $E_\tau$  is the same of that of  $B$ , say 0, and that  $|E_\tau| = |B|$ . Then, for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  it holds true that

$$(6.2) \quad c_1 \tau^2 \left( [u]_{H^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 + \alpha P_\alpha(B) \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2 \right) \leq P_\alpha(E_\tau) - P_\alpha(B) \leq c_2 \tau^2 [u]_{H^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\partial B)}^2.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality in (6.2) has been proved in [18, Theorem 2.1]. It remains to prove the second inequality.

As in [18, Formula (2.20)], after some calculations we get that

$$(6.3) \quad P_\alpha(E_\tau) = \frac{\tau^2}{2} g(\tau) + \frac{P_\alpha(B)}{P(B)} h(\tau),$$

where we set

$$h(\tau) := \int_{\partial B} (1 + \tau u(x))^{N-\alpha} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x),$$

$$\text{and} \quad g(\tau) := \int_{\partial B} \int_{\partial B} \left( \int_{u(y)}^{u(x)} \int_{u(y)}^{u(x)} f_{|x-y|}(1 + \tau r, 1 + \tau \rho) dr d\rho \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y),$$

being

$$(6.4) \quad f_\theta(a, b) := \frac{a^{N-1} b^{N-1}}{(|a-b|^2 + ab\theta^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}}.$$

We observe that  $r$  and  $\rho$  in the definition of  $g$  range in  $[-\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B)}, \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B)}] \subseteq [-1, 1]$ , since  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B)} \leq 1$ . Hence, comparing with the definition of  $g$ , we notice that  $a$  and  $b$  in (6.4) range in  $[1 - \tau, 1 + \tau]$ , and therefore they are bounded and bounded away from zero. As a consequence, we get

$$f_\theta(a, b) \leq \frac{C_1}{(C_2 + C_3\theta^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}} \leq \frac{C_1}{(C_3\theta^2)^{\frac{N+\alpha}{2}}} = \frac{C_4}{\theta^{N+\alpha}},$$

for suitable constants  $C_1, \dots, C_4 > 0$ . Therefore, up to renaming the constants, we have

$$g(\tau) \leq \int_{\partial B} \int_{\partial B} \left( \int_{u(y)}^{u(x)} \int_{u(y)}^{u(x)} \frac{c_N}{|x-y|^{N+\alpha}} dr d\rho \right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(y) = c_N [u]_{H^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\partial B)}^2.$$

Thus, since  $h(0) = P(B)$ , by (6.3) we get

$$(6.5) \quad P_\alpha(E_\tau) - P_\alpha(B) \leq c_N \tau^2 [u]_{H^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 + \frac{P_\alpha(B)}{P(B)} (h(\tau) - h(0)).$$

Now we want to estimate  $h(\tau) - h(0)$ . Since  $|E_\tau| = |B|$ , using polar coordinates, we get

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{\partial B} (1 + \tau u)^N d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = N|E_\tau| = N|B| = P(B).$$

Thus

$$(6.7) \quad h(\tau) - h(0) = \int_{\partial B} (1 + \tau u)^{N-\alpha} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - P(B) = \int_{\partial B} (1 + \tau u)^N ((1 + \tau u)^{-\alpha} - 1) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

By a Taylor expansion, we know that for any  $x \geq 0$  small enough, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1+x)^{-\alpha} - 1)(1+x)^N \\ &= \left( -\alpha x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} x^2 + \alpha\beta(x) \right) \left( 1 + Nx + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} x^2 + \gamma(x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $|\beta(x)| + |\gamma(x)| \leq c_N x^3$ , so that

$$((1+x)^{-\alpha} - 1)(1+x)^N \leq -\alpha x + \left( \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} - N\alpha \right) x^2 + \alpha c_N x^3.$$

By applying such an inequality to (6.7), and using the fact that  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B)} < 1$ , we get

$$(6.8) \quad h(\tau) - h(0) \leq -\alpha \int_{\partial B} \left[ \tau u + \left( N - \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \right) \tau^2 u^2 \right] d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \alpha c_N \tau^3 \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2.$$

Also, from (6.6), we have

$$0 = \int_{\partial B} ((1 + \tau u)^N - 1) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{\partial B} (N\tau u + N(N-1)\tau^2 u^2 + c_N \tau^3 u^3) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Hence, since  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B)} < 1$ , we obtain

$$-\int_{\partial B} \tau u d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \frac{N-1}{2} \tau^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2 + c_N \tau^3 \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2,$$

so that (6.8) gives

$$h(\tau) - h(0) \leq -\frac{\tau^2}{2} \alpha (N - \alpha) \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2 + \alpha c_N \tau^3 \|u\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2 \leq 0$$

for  $\tau \leq \tau_0(N)$ . By inserting this into (6.5) we obtain the second inequality in (6.2).  $\square$

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We have to show that there exists  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(N, \delta_0) \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$  as in (5.4), and so  $\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m}_1(N, \delta_0) \in (0, \bar{m}_0]$ , such that the ball  $B$  is the only minimizer of problem (5.1) for  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ . Let  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$  and let  $F_\varepsilon$  be a minimum of problem (5.1), which exists by Theorem 1.1. By the minimality of  $F_\varepsilon$  we have

$$(6.9) \quad (1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon) - (1-t)P_t(B) \leq \varepsilon (sP_s(F_\varepsilon) - sP_s(B))$$

where  $B$  has the same barycenter of  $F_\varepsilon$ . Possibly reducing  $\varepsilon$  we can assume that  $\partial F_\varepsilon$  can be written as in (6.1), with  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{C^1(\partial B)} \leq \tau_0/2$ , where  $\tau_0$  is as in Theorem 6.2. Then, from (6.9)

and (6.2) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1(1-t)[u_\varepsilon]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 &\leq c_1(1-t) \left( [u_\varepsilon]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 + tP_t(B)\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\partial B)}^2 \right) \\
&\leq ((1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon) - (1-t)P_t(B)) \\
&\leq \varepsilon (sP_s(F_\varepsilon) - sP_s(B)) \\
(6.10) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \varepsilon s c_2 [u_\varepsilon]_{H^{\frac{1+s}{2}}(\partial B)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.17) it then follows

$$c_1(1-t)[u_\varepsilon]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 \leq c_N \frac{\varepsilon s}{(1-s)} (1-t)[u_\varepsilon]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2$$

which implies  $u_\varepsilon = 0$ , that is  $F_\varepsilon = B$ , whenever  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small.  $\square$

We conclude the section with the following counterpart to Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 6.3.** *For all  $0 < s < t \leq 1$ , there exists a volume  $\bar{m}_2 = \bar{m}_2(N, s, t) \geq \bar{m}_1$  such that, for  $m > m_2$ , the ball is not a local minimizer of problem (1.3).*

*Proof.* We have to show that there exists  $\varepsilon_2 \geq \varepsilon_1$  such that the ball  $B$  is not a local minimizer of problem 5.1 for  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_2$ . We look for a competitor  $F_\varepsilon \neq B$  which can be written as in (6.1), with  $u \not\equiv 0$  and  $\|u\|_{C^1(\partial B)} \leq \tau_0/2$ , where  $\tau_0$  is as in Theorem 6.2. As above, from (6.2) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
((1-t)P_t(F_\varepsilon) - (1-t)P_t(B)) &\leq c_2(1-t)[u]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 \\
(6.11) \qquad \qquad \qquad &< \varepsilon c_1 s [u]_{H^{\frac{1+s}{2}}(\partial B)}^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon (sP_s(F_\varepsilon) - sP_s(B)),
\end{aligned}$$

as soon as

$$\varepsilon > \varepsilon_2 := \frac{c_2(1-t)[u]_{H^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(\partial B)}^2}{c_1 s [u]_{H^{\frac{1+s}{2}}(\partial B)}^2}.$$

This shows that  $F_\varepsilon$  has lower energy than  $B$ , so that the ball cannot be a local minimizer of problem (1.3).  $\square$

Notice that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \bar{m}_2(N, s, t) = +\infty$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ , which is consistent with the fact that the ball is the unique minimizer of the  $t$ -perimeter, with volume constraint.

## 7. A FRACTIONAL ISOPERIMETRIC PROBLEM

Consider the functional  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}$  defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{((1-t)P_t(E))^{N-s}}{(sP_s(E))^{N-t}} & \text{if } 0 < s < t < 1 \\ \frac{(N\omega_N P(E))^{N-s}}{(sP_s(E))^{N-1}} & \text{if } 0 < s < t = 1 \\ \frac{(1-t)P_t(E)^N}{(N\omega_N |E|)^{N-t}} & \text{if } 0 = s < t < 1 \\ N\omega_N \frac{P(E)^N}{|E|^{N-1}} & \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

In this section we consider the generalized isoperimetric problem

$$(7.1) \quad \min_{E \subset \mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{s,t}(E), \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq 1.$$

**Remark 7.1.** Notice that the quantity in (7.1) is scale invariant, hence without loss of generality we can look for minimizers  $E$  satisfying a volume constraint  $|E| = \omega_N$ .

The main aim of this section is the following existence theorem.

**Theorem 7.2.** *There exists a minimizer of problem (7.1).*

To prove it, we need some preliminary results, namely a suitable version of the isoperimetric inequality (Lemma 7.4) and an existence result with uniform estimates for a constrained minimization problem (Lemma 7.5).

**Remark 7.3.** In what follows, with a slight abuse of notation, we extend the functionals  $(1-t)P_t(\cdot)$  and  $sP_s(\cdot)$  to  $t = 1$  and  $s = 0$  respectively, meaning that for  $t = 1$  it equals  $N\omega_N P(\cdot)$ , while for  $s = 0$  it equals  $N\omega_N |\cdot|$ .

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $s < t \in [0, 1]$  satisfy (3.1). For any  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  there holds*

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{((1-t)P_t(E))^{\frac{N-s}{N-t}}}{(sP_s(E))} \geq c$$

for some  $c = c(N, \delta_0) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s < t \in [0, 1]$  and let  $\delta_0 = t - s$ . Notice that

$$(7.3) \quad \frac{t}{t-s} = 1 + \frac{s}{t-s} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_0}.$$

Then from (2.15), and since  $\delta_0 < t$ , it follows

$$|E|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \leq C(N, \delta_0) ((1-t)P_t(E))^{\frac{N(t-s)}{(N-t)t}}.$$

Plugging this estimate into (2.3) (or (2.9) if  $t = 1$ ) we get

$$sP_s(E) \leq C(N, \delta_0) \frac{t}{t-s} ((1-t)P_t(E))^{\frac{N-s}{N-t}},$$

which, together with (7.3) gives (7.2).  $\square$

We notice that, if  $s = 0$ , the claim is an immediate consequence of the the fractional isoperimetric inequality (2.15).

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $s < t \in [0, 1]$  satisfy (3.1). For  $R > 1$  let  $Q_R = [-R, R]^N$ . Then, there exists a minimizer  $E_R$  of the problem*

$$(7.4) \quad \min_{E \subset Q_R, |E|=m} \frac{((1-t)P_t(E))^{N-s}}{(sP_s(E))^{N-t}}.$$

Moreover

$$(7.5) \quad (1-t)P_t(E_R) \leq C$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $R$ .

*Proof.* We recall that, thanks to the notation introduced in Remark 7.3 we can deal at once with the cases  $t < 1$  and  $t = 1$ . By Lemma 7.4 we know that

$$C(R) = \inf_{E \subset Q_R, |E|=m} \frac{((1-t)P_t(E))^{\frac{N-s}{N-t}}}{(sP_s(E))}$$

is a strictly positive quantity. Clearly the map  $R \mapsto C(R)$  is non-increasing. Let  $C = C(1) + 1$  and let  $E_n$  be a minimizing sequence for (7.4), so that for  $n$  big enough it holds  $(1-t)P_t(E_n) \leq C(sP_s(E_n))^{(N-t)/(N-s)}$ . Possibly increasing the constant  $C$ , from (2.3) (or (2.9) if  $t = 1$ ) it follows

$$(1-t)P_t(E_n) \leq C((1-t)P_t(E_n))^{\frac{s(N-t)}{t(N-s)}}$$

which gives

$$(7.6) \quad (1-t)P_t(E_n) \leq C \quad \text{for all } n.$$

The existence of a minimizer now follows by the direct method the calculus of variations, since the compact embedding of  $L^1(Q_R)$  and  $H^s(Q_R)$  into  $H^t(Q_R)$  and the estimate (7.5) directly follows from (7.6).  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 7.2.

*Proof of Theorem 7.2.* If  $s = 0$  then the claim of the theorem is equivalent to that of the isoperimetric inequality (the fractional isoperimetric inequality if  $t < 1$ ). Thus we consider just the case  $s > 0$ . Again, we shall always write  $(t-1)P_t$  meaning that such a functional is equivalent to the classical perimeter if  $t = 1$  (see Remark 7.3).

Let  $E_n$  be a minimizer of (7.4) with  $R = n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m = 1/2$ . We divide  $Q_n$  into  $(2n)^N$  unit cubes with vertices in  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ , and we let  $\{Q_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^{I_n}$  be the unit cubes with non-negligible intersection with  $E_n$ , that is,  $x_{i,n} = |E_n \cap Q_{i,n}| \in (0, 1/2]$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, I_n\}$ , for some  $I_n \in \{1, \dots, (2n)^N\}$ .

We remark that, from (2.10) (and omitting the integrands for simplicity), we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_t(E_n, Q_{i,n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n \cap Q_{i,n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E_n} + \int_{Q_{i,n} \setminus E_n} \int_{E_n \setminus Q_{i,n}} \leq \int_{E_n \cap Q_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E_n} + \int_{Q_n \setminus E_n} \int_{E_n},$$

which implies that

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_t(E_n, Q_{i,n}) \leq 2 \int_{E_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E_n} = 2P_t(E_n).$$

Now, up to reordering the cubes  $Q_{i,n}$  we can assume that the sequence  $\{x_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^{I_n}$  is non-increasing in  $i$ , and we set  $x_{i,n} := 0$  for  $i > I_n$ . We have that

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i,n} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and, recalling (2.12), (7.5) and (7.7), and the fact that  $x_{i,n} \leq |E_n| = 1/2 = |Q_{i,n}|/2$ , we get

$$(7.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i,n}^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-t)P_t(E_n, Q_{i,n}) \leq 2C(1-t)P_t(E_n) \leq C,$$

up to renaming  $C$ . As in [25, Lemma 4.2], from (7.8) and (7.9) it follows that

$$(7.10) \quad \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} x_{i,n} \leq C k^{-\frac{1}{N}}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $C$  depends only on  $(N, s, t)$ .



Up to extracting a subsequence (using either a diagonal process or Tychonoff Theorem), we can suppose that  $x_{i,n} \rightarrow \alpha_i \in [0, 1/2]$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that by (7.8) and (7.10) we have

$$(7.11) \quad \sum_i \alpha_i = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Fix now  $z_{i,n} \in Q_{i,n}$ . Up to extracting a further subsequence, we can suppose that  $d(z_{i,n}, z_{j,n}) \rightarrow c_{ij} \in [0, +\infty]$ , and (recalling (7.5)) that there exists  $G_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$(7.12) \quad (E_n - z_{i,n}) \rightarrow G_i \quad \text{in the } L_{\text{loc}}^1\text{-convergence}$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $i \sim j$  if  $c_{ij} < +\infty$  and we denote by  $[i]$  the equivalence class of  $i$ . Notice that  $G_i$  equals  $G_j$  up to a translation, if  $i \sim j$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{[i] : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We claim that

$$(7.13) \quad \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_t(G_i) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_t(E_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_s(E_n).$$

To prove it, we first fix  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $R > 0$ . We take different equivalent classes  $i_1, \dots, i_M$  and we notice that if  $i_k \neq i_j$  then the set  $z_{i_k, n} + Q_R$  is drifting far apart from  $z_{i_j, n} + Q_R$ , and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{z_{i_k, n} + Q_R} \int_{z_{i_j, n} + Q_R} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+t}} = 0.$$

Accordingly, by (2.11), (7.12) and the lower semicontinuity of the perimeter,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^M P_t(G_i, Q_R) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^M P_t(E_n, (z_{i_k, n} + Q_R)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_t \left( E_n, \bigcup_{k=1}^M (z_{i_k, n} + Q_R) \right) + 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k, j \leq M \\ i_k \neq i_j}} \int_{z_{i_k, n} + Q_R} \int_{z_{i_j, n} + Q_R} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{N+t}} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_t(E_n). \end{aligned}$$

By sending first  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  and then  $M \rightarrow +\infty$ , this yields (7.13).

Now we claim that

$$(7.14) \quad \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} |G_i| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Indeed, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $R > 0$  we have

$$|G_i| \geq |G_i \cap Q_R| = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |(E_n - z_{i,n}) \cap Q_R|.$$

If  $j$  is such that  $j \sim i$  and  $c_{ij} \leq \frac{R}{2}$ , possibly enlarging  $R$  we have  $Q_{j,n} - z_{i,n} \subset Q_R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} |(E_n - z_{i,n}) \cap Q_R| &= \sum_{j=1}^{I_n} |(E_n - z_{i,n}) \cap Q_R \cap (Q_{j,n} - z_{i,n})| \\ &\geq \sum_{j: c_{ij} \leq \frac{R}{2}} |(E_n - z_{i,n}) \cap Q_R \cap (Q_{j,n} - z_{i,n})| = \sum_{j: c_{ij} \leq \frac{R}{2}} |(E_n - z_{i,n}) \cap (Q_{j,n} - z_{i,n})| \\ &= \sum_{j: c_{ij} \leq \frac{R}{2}} |E_n \cap Q_{j,n}|, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|G_i| \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |(E_n - z_{i,n}) \cap Q_R| \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j: c_{ij} \leq \frac{R}{2}} |E_n \cap Q_{j,n}| = \sum_{j: c_{ij} \leq \frac{R}{2}} \alpha_j.$$

Letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  we then have

$$|G_i| \geq \sum_{j: i \sim j} \alpha_j = \sum_{j \in [i]} \alpha_j,$$

hence, recalling (7.11),

$$\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} |G_i| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

thus proving (7.14) (since the other inequality is trivial).

We now claim that

$$(7.15) \quad \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_s(E_n).$$

Indeed, by (7.14) we have that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $R, \ell$  such that there exist  $\ell$  distinct equivalence classes  $[i_1], \dots, [i_\ell] \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(7.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} |G_{i_k} \cap B_R| = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} |(E_n - z_{i_k,n}) \cap B_R|.$$

For  $\rho > 0$  we let

$$E_{n,1}^\rho = E_n \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} (z_{i_k,n} + B_\rho) \quad E_{n,2}^\rho = E_n \setminus E_{n,1}^\rho.$$

For  $n$  sufficiently large we have that the balls  $z_{i_k,n} + B_R$  are disjoint (since the  $z_{i_k,n}$  are drifting far away from each other, being each  $i_k$  in a different equivalence class). Therefore (7.16) gives that

$$(7.17) \quad |E_{n,1}^R| \geq \frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |E_{n,2}^R| \leq 2\varepsilon$$

if  $n$  is large enough. We claim that

$$(7.18) \quad \int_{E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}} \int_{E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \leq \frac{c_N}{s(1-s)} |E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}|^{\frac{N-s}{N}} \quad \text{for some } \bar{\rho} \in [R, R + (2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{N}}],$$

where the constants  $c_N, \delta$  depend only on  $N$ .

Indeed, if this is not the case, we would have that

$$(7.19) \quad |E_{n,2}^\rho| > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{E_{n,1}^\rho} \int_{E_{n,2}^\rho} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+s}} > \frac{c_N}{s(1-s)} |E_{n,2}^\rho|^{\frac{N-s}{N}} \quad \text{for every } \rho \in [R, R + (2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{N}}].$$

So we let

$$\mu(\rho) := |E_{n,1}^\rho| = |E_n| - |E_{n,2}^\rho| = \frac{1}{2} - |E_{n,2}^\rho|$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.20) \quad \frac{c_N}{s(1-s)} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \mu(\rho) \right)^{\frac{N-s}{N}} &< \int_{E_{n,1}^\rho} \int_{E_{n,2}^\rho} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \\
&\leq \int_{E_{n,1}^\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\ell (z_{i_k,n} + B_\rho)} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \\
&\leq \frac{N\omega_N}{s} \int_{E_{n,1}^\rho} \frac{dx}{(\rho - |x - z_{i_k(x),n}|)^s} \\
&= \frac{N\omega_N}{s} \int_0^\rho \frac{\mu'(z)}{(\rho-z)^s} dz
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\rho \in \left[ R, R + (2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \right]$ , where  $k(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $x \in z_{i_k(x),n} + B_\rho$ .

From (7.20) and Lemma 4.1 (used here with  $m := 1/2$  and  $\bar{\rho} := R$ ), we obtain that  $\mu(\rho) = 1/2$  (and so  $|E_{n,2}^\rho| = 0$ ) for  $\rho = R + (2\delta)^{-\frac{1}{N}}$ , which leads to a contradiction with (7.19). We thus proved (7.18). Notice that inequality (7.18) holds also with  $t$  instead of  $s$ . So, by (7.18) and the fact that  $|E_{n,2}^\rho| \leq 2\varepsilon$  (recall (7.17)), we obtain that

$$(7.21) \quad \int_{E_{n,1}^\rho} \int_{E_{n,2}^\rho} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-s}{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{E_{n,1}^\rho} \int_{E_{n,2}^\rho} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-t}{N}},$$

for some  $C > 0$ , possibly depending on  $n$ ,  $s$  and  $t$ .

From this, (2.1) and (7.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.22) \quad P_t(E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}) + P_t(E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}) &= P_t(E_n) + 2 \int_{E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}} \int_{E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+t}} \\
&\leq P_t(E_n) + C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-t}{N}} \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by (2.3), we get

$$P_s(E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}) \leq C |E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} P_t(E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\frac{s}{t}},$$

up to renaming  $C$ . Using this, (7.22) and then (7.17) once more, and possibly renaming  $C$  again, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
P_s(E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}) &\leq C |E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \left( P_t(E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}) + P_t(E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}) \right)^{\frac{s}{t}} \\
&\leq C |E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}|^{1-\frac{s}{t}} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{1-\frac{s}{t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (2.1) and (7.21), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.23) \quad P_s(E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}) &= P_s(E_n) - P_s(E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}) + 2 \int_{E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}} \int_{E_{n,2}^{\bar{\rho}}} \frac{dx dy}{|x-y|^{N+s}} \\
&\geq P_s(E_n) - C\varepsilon^{1-\frac{s}{t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, from (7.5), (7.12) and the compact embedding of  $H^{\frac{t}{2}}$  into  $H^{\frac{s}{2}}$  (see [16, Section 7]), we see that

$$(7.24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_s((E_n - z_{i_k,n}) \cap B_{\bar{\rho}}) = P_s(G_{i_k} \cap B_{\bar{\rho}}).$$

Now we recall that if  $K$  is a convex set, then  $P(E \cap K) \leq P_t(E)$  (see for instance [18, Lemma B.1]). Together with (2.2) and (7.23), this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} P_s(G_{i_k} \cap B_{\bar{\rho}}) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} P_s((E_n - z_{i_k, n}) \cap B_{\bar{\rho}}) \\
&\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_s(E_{n,1}^{\bar{\rho}}) \\
&\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_s(E_n) - C(N, s, t) \varepsilon^{\frac{t-s}{t}},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives (7.15) by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ .

From (7.13) and (7.15) we obtain that

$$(7.25) \quad \frac{\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} (1-t) P_t(G_i)}{\left(s \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1-t) P_t(E_n)}{\left(s P_s(E_n)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}.$$

Let us now prove that there exists  $j$  such that

$$(7.26) \quad \frac{(1-t) P_t(G_j)}{\left(s P_s(G_j)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \leq \frac{\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} (1-t) P_t(G_i)}{\left(s \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} =: S.$$

Indeed, if it is not the case, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} (1-t) P_t(G_i)}{\left(s \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} = \frac{\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \frac{(1-t) P_t(G_i)}{\left(s P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \right) \left(s P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}{\left(s \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \\
&> S \frac{\sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} \left(s P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}{\left(s \sum_{[i] \in \mathcal{A}} P_s(G_i)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \geq S,
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. To get the last estimate we used the elementary inequality  $(\sum_i c_i)^\alpha \leq \sum_i c_i^\alpha$  which holds true for  $c_i \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Now, let  $j$  be the index satisfying (7.26). Then, by (7.25) we get

$$(7.27) \quad \frac{(1-t) P_t(G_j)}{\left(s P_s(G_j)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1-t) P_t(E_n)}{\left(s P_s(E_n)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}.$$

Then, given any set  $E$ , fixed any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we intersecate  $E$  with a big ball  $B_{R_\epsilon}$  in such a way that

$$\frac{(1-t) P_t(E \cap B_{R_\epsilon})}{\left(s P_s(E \cap B_{R_\epsilon})\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \leq \frac{(1-t) P_t(E)}{\left(s P_s(E)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} + \epsilon.$$

Then, by the minimality of  $E_n$ ,

$$\frac{(1-t) P_t(E \cap B_{R_\epsilon})}{\left(s P_s(E \cap B_{R_\epsilon})\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \geq \frac{(1-t) P_t(E_n)}{\left(s P_s(E_n)\right)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}$$

for any  $n \geq n_\epsilon$ . Thus, by (7.27),

$$\frac{(1-t)P_t(E)}{(sP_s(E))^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} + \epsilon \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1-t)P_t(E_n)}{(sP_s(E_n))^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \geq \frac{(1-t)P_t(G_j)}{(sP_s(G_j))^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}.$$

By sending  $\epsilon \searrow 0$  we see that  $G_j$  is the desired minimizer, which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $F$  be a minimizer of (7.1). Then  $F$  is a multiplicative  $\omega$ -minimizer of the  $t$ -perimeter, that is, for any set  $E$  such that  $F\Delta E \subset B(x, R)$ , there holds*

$$P_t(F, B(x, R)) \leq (1 + CR^{t-s}) P_t(E, B(x, R)) \quad \text{for any } R < R_0,$$

where  $R_0, C$  depend only on  $N, \delta_0$  and  $|F|$ .

*Proof.* First, if  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , by graphic the functions, one sees that, for any  $r \geq 0$ ,

$$(7.28) \quad 1 - r^\alpha \leq |1 - r|.$$

Also, from (5.11), we know that

$$P_s(F) - P_s(E) \leq P_s(F\Delta E),$$

for any sets  $E$  and  $F$ , and so, by possibly exchanging the roles of  $E$  and  $F$  we obtain

$$(7.29) \quad |P_s(E) - P_s(F)| \leq P_s(F\Delta E)$$

Now, letting  $E$  be such that  $F\Delta E \subset B(x, R)$ , using the minimality of  $F$ , (7.28) and (7.29) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(E) &\geq P_s(E)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}} \frac{P_t(F)}{P_s(F)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} \\ &= P_t(F) + \left( \frac{P_s(E)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}}{P_s(F)^{\frac{N-t}{N-s}}} - 1 \right) P_t(F) \\ &\geq P_t(F) - \left| \frac{P_s(E)}{P_s(F)} - 1 \right| P_t(F) \\ &\geq P_t(F) - \frac{P_t(F)}{P_s(F)} |P_s(E) - P_s(F)| \\ &\geq P_t(F) - \frac{P_t(F)}{P_s(F)} P_s(F\Delta E). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by applying the fractional isoperimetric inequality (2.15) to  $P_s(F)$ , we obtain that

$$P_t(E) \geq P_t(F) - C(N, \delta_0) |F|^{-\frac{N-s}{N}} P_s(F\Delta E).$$

As in (5.15), by means of (2.3) and again the fractional isoperimetric inequality we then get

$$\begin{aligned} P_t(E, B(x, R)) &\geq P_t(F, B(x, R)) - C(N, \delta_0) |F|^{-\frac{N-s}{N}} |F\Delta E|^{\frac{t-s}{N}} P_t(F\Delta E) \\ &= \left( 1 - C(N, \delta_0) |F|^{-\frac{N-s}{N}} R^{t-s} \right) P_t(F, B(x, R)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$P_t(F, B(x, R)) \leq \frac{|F|^{-\frac{N-s}{N}}}{1 - C(N, \delta_0) R^{t-s}} P_t(E, B(x, R)).$$

$\square$

Reasoning as in Section 5, from Proposition (7.6) we obtain the following regularity result.

**Corollary 7.7.** *There exists  $\beta = \beta(N, \delta_0) < 1$  such that any minimizer  $F$  of (7.1) is bounded and has boundary of class  $C^{1,\beta}$ , outside of a closed singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most  $N - 2$  (respectively  $N - 8$  if  $t = 1$ ).*

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* The existence claim is a consequence of Theorem 7.2 and the regularity follows from Corollary 7.7.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACERBI, N. FUSCO, M. MORINI, Minimality via second variation for a nonlocal isoperimetric problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **322** (2013), 515–557.
- [2] L. AMBROSIO, V. CASELLES, S. MASNOU, J.-M. MOREL, Connected components of sets of finite perimeter and applications to image processing. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **3** (2001), no. 1, 39–92.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, G. DE PHILIPPIS, L. MARTINAZZI,  $\Gamma$ -convergence of nonlocal perimeter functionals. *Manuscripta Math.*, **134** (2011), 377–403.
- [4] E. BOMBIERI, Regularity theory for almost minimal currents. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **78** (1982), 99–130.
- [5] M. BONACINI, R. CRISTOFERI, Local and global minimality results for a nonlocal isoperimetric problem on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . To appear in *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*.
- [6] J. BOURGAIN, H. BREZIS, P. MIRONESCU, Another look at Sobolev spaces, *Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations*, J. L. Menaldi, E. Rofman, A. Sulem (Eds.), a volume in honor of A. Bensoussan’s 60th birthday, IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2001, 439–455.
- [7] J. BOURGAIN, H. BREZIS, P. MIRONESCU, Limiting embedding theorems for  $W^{s,p}$  when  $s \nearrow 1$  and applications. *J. Anal. Math.*, **87** (2002), 77–101.
- [8] L. BRASCO, E. LINDGREN, E. PARINI, The fractional Cheeger problem. *Preprint* (2013). Available at <http://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/2225/>.
- [9] L. CAFFARELLI, J. M. ROQUEJOFFRE, O. SAVIN, Non-local minimal surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **63** (2010), 1111–1144.
- [10] L. CAFFARELLI, E. VALDINOCI, Uniform estimates and limiting arguments for nonlocal minimal surfaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **41** (2011), no. 1-2, 203–340.
- [11] L. CAFFARELLI, E. VALDINOCI, Regularity properties of nonlocal minimal surfaces via limiting arguments. *Adv. Math.*, **248** (2013), 843–871.
- [12] M. C. CAPUTO, N. GUILLEN, Regularity for non-local almost minimal boundaries and applications. *Preprint* (2011). Available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1003.2470>.
- [13] M. CICALESE, E. SPADARO, Droplet minimizers of an isoperimetric problem with long-range interactions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **66** (2013), 1298–1333.
- [14] J. DÁVILA, On an open question about functions of bounded variation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **15** (2002), no. 4, 519–527.
- [15] J. DÁVILA, M. DEL PINO, J. WEI, Nonlocal  $s$ -minimal surfaces and Lawson cones. *Preprint* (2014). Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4173>.
- [16] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI, E. VALDINOCI, Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev Spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **136** (2012), 521–573.
- [17] S. DIPIERRO, A. FIGALLI, G. PALATUCCI, E. VALDINOCI, Asymptotics of the  $s$ -perimeter as  $s \searrow 0$ , *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **33** (2013), no. 7, 2777–2790.
- [18] A. FIGALLI, N. FUSCO, F. MAGGI, V. MILLOT, M. MORINI, Isoperimetry and stability properties of balls with respect to nonlocal energies. *Preprint* (2014). Available at <http://cvgmt.sns.it/paper/2380/>.
- [19] R.L. FRANK, E.H. LIEB, R. SEIRINGER, Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional schrödinger operators. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **21** (2008), 925–950.
- [20] R.L. FRANK, R. SEIRINGER, Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **255** (2008), 3407–3430.
- [21] B. FUGLEDE, Stability in the isoperimetric problem for convex or nearly spherical domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **314** (1989), 619–638.
- [22] N. FUSCO, V. JULIN, *On the regularity of critical and minimal sets of a free interface problem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.6810 (2013).

- [23] N. FUSCO, F. MAGGI, A. PRATELLI, The sharp quantitative isoperimetric inequality. *Ann. of Math.*, **168** (2008), 941–980.
- [24] N. FUSCO, V. MILLOT, M. MORINI, A quantitative isoperimetric inequality for fractional perimeters. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **26** (2011), 697–715.
- [25] M. GOLDMAN, M. NOVAGA, Volume-constrained minimizers for the prescribed curvature problem in periodic media. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **44** (2012), no. 3, 297–318.
- [26] M. GOLDMAN, M. NOVAGA, B. RUFFINI, Existence and stability for a non-local isoperimetric model of charged liquid drops, *Preprint* (2013).
- [27] V. JULIN, *Isoperimetric problem with a Coulombic repulsive term*. To appear in *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*.
- [28] H. KNÜPFER, C. B. MURATOV, On an Isoperimetric Problem with a Competing Nonlocal Term I: The planar case. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **66** (2013), 1129–1162.
- [29] H. KNÜPFER, C. B. MURATOV, On an Isoperimetric Problem with a Competing Nonlocal Term II: The general case. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, doi:10.1002/cpa.21479.
- [30] F. MAGGI, *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems. An introduction to Geometric Measure Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **135**, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [31] V. MAZ'YA, T. SHAPOSHNIKOVA, On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **195** (2002), no. 2, 230–238.
- [32] S. G. SAMKO, *Hypersingular integrals and their applications. Analytical Methods and Special Functions*. Taylor & Francis, Ltd., London, 2002.
- [33] O. SAVIN, E. VALDINOCI,  $\Gamma$ -convergence for nonlocal phase transitions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **29** (2012), 479–500.
- [34] O. SAVIN, E. VALDINOCI, Regularity of nonlocal minimal cones in dimension 2. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **48** (2013), no. 1-2, 33–39.
- [35] I. TAMANINI, Boundaries of Caccioppoli sets with Hölder-continuous normal vector. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **334** (1982), 27–39.
- [36] A. VISINTIN, Generalized coarea formula and fractal sets. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **8** (1991), no. 2, 175–201.