

Weierstraß-Institut
für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik
Leibniz-Institut im Forschungsverbund Berlin e. V.

Preprint

ISSN 0946 – 8633

**A Widder's type theorem for the heat equation
with nonlocal diffusion**

Begoña Barrios¹, Ireneo Peral¹, Fernando Soria¹, Enrico Valdinoci²

submitted: June 14, 2013

¹ Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma
Ciudad Universitaria de Cantoblanco
28049 Madrid
Spain
E-Mail: bego.barrios@uam.es
ireneo.peral@uam.es
fernando.soria@uam.es

² Weierstrass Institute
Mohrenstr. 39
10117 Berlin
Germany
E-Mail: enrico.valdinoci@wias-berlin.de

No. 1798
Berlin 2013



2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K05, 35K15, 35C15, 35B30, 35B99.

Key words and phrases. Heat equation, fractional Laplacian, trace of strong solutions, uniqueness of non-negative solutions.

Work partially supported by project MTM2010-18128, MICINN and by the ERC grant ϵ (*Elliptic Pde's and Symmetry of Interfaces and Layers for Odd Nonlinearities*).

Edited by
Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS)
Leibniz-Institut im Forschungsverbund Berlin e. V.
Mohrenstraße 39
10117 Berlin
Germany

Fax: +49 30 20372-303
E-Mail: preprint@wias-berlin.de
World Wide Web: <http://www.wias-berlin.de/>

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this work is to prove that every non-negative *strong solution* $u(x, t)$ to the problem

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

can be written as

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y) u(y, 0) dy,$$

where

$$P_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^{n/\alpha}} P\left(\frac{x}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right),$$

and

$$P(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi - |\xi|^\alpha} d\xi.$$

This result shows uniqueness in the setting of non-negative solutions and extends some classical results for the heat equation by D. V. Widder in [12] to the nonlocal diffusion framework.

1. INTRODUCTION

The heat equation has the hyperplane $t = 0$ as a characteristic surface and this causes that the Cauchy problem with initial data on $t = 0$ is not well posed in general. However there is a clear agreement between the Principles of Thermodynamics and the model of transfer of heat given by such an equation. This is reflected into the fact that the initial temperature evolves in time as the convolution with a kernel, giving rise to an average, and smoothing out in this way the potential effect of sharp thermal differences (this is in agreement with the entropy effect of the Second Principle of Thermodynamics); also uniqueness holds true under a positivity assumption on the function (this is in agreement with the so called Third Principle of Thermodynamics, according to which temperatures are always positive if measured in the Kelvin scale). In this sense D. V. Widder in [12] proved the following classical result:

Assume that $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is so that

$$u(x, t) \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)), \quad u_t, u_{x_i x_i} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)),$$

and satisfies

$$u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T),$$

in the classical sense.

Then

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y, 0) \exp\left(-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}\right) dy.$$

In this paper we obtain a similar result for the *nonlocal heat equation*, that is the equation,

$$(1.1) \quad u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

in which the diffusion is given by a power of the Laplacian (we refer to [5] and [11] for basic definitions and properties of the fractional Laplace operator).

It is worthy to point out that for every $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ the operator in (1.1) does not satisfies the so called *Hadamard condition*, that is, the Cauchy problem is ill posed (see [7] for more details). As a consequence we face here a problem similar to the one of the heat equation.

It is well known that the operator in (1.1) and its inverse are nonlocal. In a sense, equation (1.1) is perhaps one of the simplest classical examples of pseudodifferential operators. Notice that if we

consider the problem

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \quad 0 < \alpha < 2$$

with initial datum $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ assuming, say, $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then a solution is obtained by the convolution with the kernel

$$(1.2) \quad P_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^{n/\alpha}} P\left(\frac{x}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right),$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad P(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi - |\xi|^\alpha} d\xi.$$

That is, a solution is given by

$$(1.4) \quad u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y) u_0(y) dy.$$

We look here for a class of solutions of the fractional parabolic equation, such that the sign condition $u(x, t) \geq 0$ imply that u , necessarily, is of the form (1.4) with $u_0(x)$ replaced by the trace $u(x, 0)$. This is the type of extension that we propose for the classical result of Widder to the nonlocal equation in (1.1).

In this article we will describe several interpretations of what *solution to equation* (1.1) means, and consider weak, viscosity and strong solutions, in a sense that we now make more precise.

Weak solutions. Consider the space

$$\mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}} dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}} dx.$$

If $u \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u$ can be defined in the weak sense as a distribution, that is we can compute the duality product $\langle (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u, \varphi \rangle$, for every φ in the Schwartz class.

Definition 1.1. We say that $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution of the fractional heat problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

if the following conditions hold:

- i) $u \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for every $T' < T$.
- ii) $u \in \mathcal{C}((0, T), L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.
- iii) For every test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T))$ and $0 < T' < T$ one has that

$$(1.5) \quad \int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-u(x, t)\varphi_t(x, t) + u(x, t)(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\varphi(x, t)] dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, T')\varphi(x, T') dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x)\varphi(x, 0) dx.$$

Condition ii) is imposed so that the right hand side of equality (1.5) makes sense for every T' . Notice that the continuity would follow in any case from the left hand side of (1.5) due to the integrability condition in i).

Viscosity solutions. Consider $Q = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$ and

$$\mathcal{C}_p^{1,2}(Q) = \left\{ f : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f_t \in \mathcal{C}(Q), f_{x_i, x_j} \in \mathcal{C}(Q) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \sup_{t \in (0, T)} |f(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^p \right\}$$

Definition 1.2. A function $u \in \mathcal{C}(Q)$ is a viscosity subsolution (resp. supersolution) of

$$(1.6) \quad u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = 0$$

in Q if for all $(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \in Q$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_p^{1,2}(Q)$ such that $u - \varphi$ attains a local maximum (minimum) at (\hat{x}, \hat{t}) one has

$$\varphi_t(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \varphi(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \leq 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \geq).$$

We say that $u \in \mathcal{C}(Q)$ is a viscosity solution of (1.6) in Q if it is both a viscosity subsolution and supersolution. See [8] for more details about these type of solutions.

Strong solutions. We know (see Proposition 2.1.4 of [10]) that if $u \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha+\gamma-1}$ if $\alpha > 1$), for some $\gamma > 0$ then $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u$ is a continuous function and it is well defined as the following principal value

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(x) := C(n, \alpha) \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2).$$

Here $C(n, \alpha)$ denotes the constant satisfying the identity

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^\alpha \mathcal{F}u), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathcal{S}, \alpha \in (0, 2);$$

that is,

$$C(n, \alpha) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 - \cos(\xi_1)}{|\xi|^{n+\alpha}} d\xi \right)^{-1},$$

see [5].

In order to allow a larger class of functions, and following standard procedures in the theory of singular integrals, we will define hereafter the principal value as the two-sided limit

$$\text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{y \mid \epsilon < |x-y| < 1/\epsilon\}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy.$$

We will use the same notation for this extended operator. When $u \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ this definition coincides with the usual one.

Definition 1.3. We say that $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T),$$

if the following conditions hold:

- i) $u_t \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))$.
- ii) $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T))$.
- iii) The equation is satisfied pointwise for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$, that is,

$$u_t(x, t) + C(n, \alpha) \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x, t) - u(y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy = 0.$$

Note that if $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution then

$$\text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x, t) - u(y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)).$$

Observe also that if $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation satisfying $u(x, t) \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for every $T' < T$, then $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution of the fractional heat equation (as a byproduct of our results, we will see that this holds true for non-negative strong solutions, see the forthcoming Corollary 3.6).

Our main contribution in this setting is the following Widder's type result

Theorem 1.4. *If $u \geq 0$ is a strong solution of*

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

then

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y) u(y, 0) dy.$$

Note that, if for a given polynomial p with real coefficients we consider the differential operator $p(D)$, then we obtain that

$$p(D)P_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(i\xi) e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} d\xi.$$

Since $e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}$ is a tempered distribution we deduce that $P_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ (see for instance [6] and [3] for more details). In particular, and as a consequence of Theorem 1.4, we get that if u is a non negative strong solution of the fractional heat equation then $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove a uniqueness result for weak solutions that, in turn, will be the key step to obtain our representation theorem. Next in Section 3 we prove the main result for strong solutions. We start by proving a comparison result that will allow us to show that every positive strong solution $u(x, t)$ is bigger than or equal to the convolution of the trace $u(x, 0)$ with the kernel $P_t(x)$. By a scaling argument we will prove that any positive strong solution is also a weak solution and then, by the uniqueness result of the previous section, we will conclude with the proof of the theorem.

Finally, in the last section we establish the pointwise behavior of positive strong solution that has an interest on its own and that could provide an alternative proof to our representation result.

2. UNIQUENESS FOR WEAK SOLUTIONS

To start with, we prove a uniqueness result for weak solutions with vanishing initial condition. The proof is quite complicated and it involves many fine integral estimates. The nonlocal feature of the problem also makes localization and cutoff arguments much harder than in the classical case.

Theorem 2.1. *Set $T > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and let u be a weak solution of the fractional heat equation*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Then $u(x, t) = 0$ for every $t \in (0, T)$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. We must show that $u(x, t_0) = 0$ for an arbitrary $t_0 \in (0, T)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For this, we fix $R_0 > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B_{R_0})$ and we will prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t_0)\theta(x) dx = 0.$$

For any $t \in [0, t_0)$, we define

$$\varphi(x, t) := (\theta(\cdot) * P_{t_0-t}(\cdot))(x),$$

where P_t is the kernel defined in (1.2) and (1.3). By [9] (see also [2] and [4]) we know that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}} \leq P(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi, t) = \hat{\theta}(\xi)e^{-(t_0-t)|\xi|^\alpha} = C(\xi)e^{t|\xi|^\alpha}.$$

Since

$$\hat{\varphi}_t(\xi, t) = |\xi|^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(\xi, t),$$

we have that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_t - (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\varphi = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, t_0), \\ \varphi(x, t_0) = \theta(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Now we claim that

$$(2.4) \quad |\varphi(x, t)| = |\theta(x) * P_{t_0-t}(x)| \leq \frac{C_1}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}},$$

where C_1 depends of $n, \alpha, R_0, M := \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}$ and t_0 .

Indeed, considering without loss of generality that $2R_0 > 1$, we will distinguish two cases:

When $|x| \leq 2R_0$, using that P_t is a summability kernel in L^1 we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{t_0-t}(y)\theta(x-y) dy \right| &\leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{t_0-t}(y) dy = M \\ &\leq M \frac{1 + (2R_0)^{n+\alpha}}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = c_1(n, \alpha, R_0, M)$.

Consider now $|x| > 2R_0$. Note that from (1.2) and (2.2), we obtain that

$$(2.6) \quad P_t(y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n}{\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{|y|^{n+\alpha}}{t^{\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha}}}\right)} \leq \frac{Ct}{|y|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

Then, since for $|x-y| \leq R_0$ it follows that $|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}$, we have

$$P_{t_0-t}(y) \leq \frac{2^{n+\alpha}C(t_0-t)}{|x|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{t_0-t}(y) \theta(x-y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq R_0} P_{t_0-t}(y) \theta(x-y) dy \right| \\
&\leq 2^{n+\alpha} C M |B_{R_0}| \frac{t_0-t}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \\
&\leq 2^{n+\alpha} 2 C M |B_{R_0}| \frac{t_0}{1+|x|^{n+\alpha}} \\
(2.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{c_2}{1+|x|^{n+\alpha}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_2 = c_2(n, \alpha, R_0, M, t_0)$ and $|B_{R_0}|$ denotes, as usual, the Lebesgue measure of the ball. Hence, (2.4) follows from (2.5) and (2.7).

Also, applying (2.7) to the derivatives of $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B_{R_0})$, we also have

$$(2.8) \qquad |\nabla \varphi(x, t)| = |\nabla \theta(x) * P_{t_0-t}(x)| \leq \frac{C_2}{1+|x|^{n+\alpha}},$$

where C_2 depends also of $n, \alpha, R_0, M' := \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0})}$ and t_0 .

Then, from (2.4) and the fact that $u \in L^1([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ we deduce that

$$(2.9) \qquad M_2 := \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t) \varphi(x, t)| dx dt < \infty.$$

Let now $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$(2.10) \qquad \chi_{B_{1/2}} \leq \phi \leq \chi_{B_1}.$$

For $R > 2R_0$ we define $\phi_R(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)$ and

$$\psi(x, t) := \varphi(x, t) \phi_R(x),$$

as a test function of problem (2.1). Also, by an explicit computation, one sees that, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}(fg)(x) = f(x)(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g(x) + g(x)(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}f(x) - B(f, g)(x)$$

with $B(f, g)$ the bilinear form given by

$$B(f, g)(x) := C(n, \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y))}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy.$$

Applying this formula (for a fixed t) to the functions φ and ϕ_R and recalling (2.3) we obtain

$$(2.11) \qquad (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\psi = \varphi(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\phi_R + \phi_R\varphi_t - B(\varphi, \phi_R).$$

Moreover, the function $u\psi$ evaluated at t_0 is $u(x, t_0)\theta(x)\phi_R(x)$, thanks to the terminal time condition in (2.3). Thus, considering ψ as a test function in (2.1) and using that φ is a solution of the problem

(2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t_0) \theta(x) \phi_R(x) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [u \varphi_t(x, t) \phi_R(x) - u(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}(\psi(x, t))] dx dt \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [uB(\varphi, \phi_R)(x, t) - u\varphi(x, t)(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\phi_R(x)] dx dt \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u\varphi(x, t)| |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\phi_R(x)| dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| |B(\varphi, \phi_R)(x, t)| dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

Then, since θ is supported in B_{R_0} and $R_0 < R/2$, and recalling (2.10), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t_0) \theta(x) dx \right| \leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u\varphi(x, t)| |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\phi_R(x)| dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| |B(\varphi, \phi_R)(x, t)| dx dt \\
&=: I_1(R) + C(n, \alpha) I_2(R).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_1(R) + I_2(R) = 0.$$

Indeed, since

$$|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\phi_R(x)| = R^{-\alpha} \left| ((-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\phi) \left(\frac{x}{R} \right) \right| \leq C_0 R^{-\alpha},$$


by (2.9), it follows that

$$I_1(R) \leq C_0 R^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u\varphi(x, t)| dx dt \leq C_0 M_2 R^{-\alpha}$$

and so

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_1(R) = 0.$$

Now we are going to estimate $I_2(R)$. For this we cover $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with six domains suitably described by the radii $R/4$, $R/2$, R and $2R$ and represented (for $n = 1$) in the following picture:



Therefore

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^5 A_k \right) \cup C,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= \{(x, y) : |x| > R/2, |y| \leq R/4\}, & A_2 &:= \{(x, y) : |x| \leq R/4, |y| > R/2\}, \\ A_3 &:= \{(x, y) : |x| \geq 2R, R/4 < |y| < R\}, & A_4 &:= \{(x, y) : R/4 < |x| < R, |y| \geq 2R\}, \\ A_5 &:= \{(x, y) : R/4 < |x| < 2R, R/4 < |y| < 2R\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C := \{(x, y) : |x| \leq R/2, |y| \leq R/2\} \cup \{(x, y) : |x| \geq R, |y| \geq R\}.$$

From (2.10), we know that $\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y) = 0$ if $(x, y) \in C$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(R) &= \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t)| |\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\ (2.15) \quad &\leq \sum_{k=1}^5 I_2^{A_k}(R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_2^{A_k}(R) = \int_0^{t_0} \int_{A_k} |u(x, t)| \frac{|\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t)| |\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt,$$

for $k = 1, \dots, 5$.

We are going to estimate each of these five integral separately. For $(x, y) \in A_1$ we get that $|x - y| \geq C|x|$. Moreover by (2.4) it follows that

$$|\varphi(x, t)| + |\varphi(y, t)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |y|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^{A_1}(R) &\leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|x| > R/2} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{|y| \leq R/4} \frac{C}{1 + |y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\ (2.16) \quad &\leq C \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|x| > R/2} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Following the same ideas, since for $(x, y) \in A_2$ we obtain that

$$(2.17) \quad |\varphi(x, t)| + |\varphi(y, t)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{n+\alpha}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |x - y| \geq C|y|,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^{A_2}(R) &\leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|x| \leq R/4} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{|y| > R/2} \frac{C}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\ (2.18) \quad &\leq CR^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|x| \leq R/4} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since for $(x, y) \in A_3$ (2.17) is satisfied, then

$$(2.19) \quad I_2^{A_3}(R) \leq CR^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{|x| \geq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt.$$

Similarly, using again the good decay of φ and the fact that $|x - y| \geq C|y|$ for every $(x, y) \in A_4$, we obtain that

$$(2.20) \quad I_2^{A_4}(R) \leq CR^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{R/4 < |x| < R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt.$$

Then, using the Monotone Convergence Theorem, by (2.9) and the fact that $u \in L^1([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, from (2.16), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20) it follows that

$$(2.21) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{A_1}(R) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{A_2}(R) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{A_3}(R) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{A_4}(R) = 0.$$

To estimate $I_2^{A_5}(R)$ we will treat separately the cases $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in [1, 2)$.

We start with the case $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $(x, y) \in A_5$. Since in A_5 the roles of x and y are symmetric, we deduce from (2.4) that, in this case,

$$(2.22) \quad |\varphi(x, t)| + |\varphi(y, t)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{n+\alpha}}.$$

Also

$$|\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y)| \leq \frac{C}{R}|x - y|.$$

Thus

$$(2.23) \quad I_2^{A_5}(R) \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |y| \leq 2R} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx dt.$$

By the change of variables $\tilde{y} := x - y$, it follows from (2.23) that

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2^{A_5}(R) &\leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x - \tilde{y}| \leq 2R} \frac{1}{|\tilde{y}|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\tilde{y} dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{|\tilde{y}| \leq 4R} \frac{1}{|\tilde{y}|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\tilde{y} dx dt \\ &\leq CR^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using that $u \in L^1([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, we conclude that

$$(2.25) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{A_5}(R) = 0, \quad \text{when } \alpha \in [0, 1).$$

We consider now the case $\alpha \in [1, 2)$. By (2.8), we get that

$$(2.26) \quad |\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|^{n+\alpha}} |x - y|,$$

for some z in the segment joining x and y . We take the family

$$Q := \left\{ (x, y) \in A_5 : |x - y| \leq \frac{R}{100} \right\}.$$

Note that, if $(x, y) \in Q$ then every point z lying on the segment from x to y verifies $|z| \geq C|x|$. Hence, (2.26) and the previous estimate for ϕ_R , gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in Q} |u(x, t)| \frac{|(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))(\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in Q} |u(x, t)| \frac{C}{R|x|^{n+\alpha}|x - y|^{n+\alpha-2}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |y| \leq 2R} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-2}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x - \tilde{y}| \leq 2R} \frac{1}{|\tilde{y}|^{n+\alpha-2}} d\tilde{y} dx dt \\
(2.27) \quad & \leq CR^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $(x, y) \in A_5 \setminus Q$ we have that

$$(2.28) \quad |x - y| > \frac{R}{100} \geq C|y|.$$

Then by (2.22) and (2.28) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in A_5 \setminus Q} |u(x, t)| \frac{|(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))(\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in A_5 \setminus Q} |u(x, t)| \frac{|(\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in A_5 \setminus Q} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \frac{1}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |y| \leq 2R} \frac{1}{|y|^{n+\alpha-1}} dy dx dt \\
(2.29) \quad & \leq CR^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.27) and (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^{A_5}(R) & \leq \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in Q} |u(x, t)| \frac{|(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))(\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\
& + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{(x,y) \in A_5 \setminus Q} |u(x, t)| \frac{|(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))(\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(y, t))|}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy dx dt \\
& \leq CR^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt \\
(2.30) \quad & + CR^{-\alpha} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\frac{R}{4} \leq |x| \leq 2R} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^{n+\alpha}} dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, since $u \in L^1([0, t_0], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, using the Monotone Convergence Theorem we obtain

$$(2.31) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{A_5}(R) = 0, \quad \text{when } \alpha \in [1, 2).$$

That is, by (2.25) and (2.31), we get

$$(2.32) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |I_2^{A_5}(R)| = 0, \quad \text{whenever } \alpha \in (0, 2).$$

Putting together (2.15), (2.21) and (2.32) it follows that

$$(2.33) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_2(R) = 0, \quad \text{when } \alpha \in (0, 2).$$

Therefore, from (2.13), by (2.14) and (2.33) we conclude that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t_0) \theta(x) dx = 0,$$

for an arbitrary $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B_{R_0})$, $R_0 < 2R$. □

3. UNIQUENESS FOR STRONG POSITIVE SOLUTIONS

In this section we will establish the representation of the positive strong solutions of the fractional heat equation as the Poisson integral of the initial value. That is

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. If $u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y) u(y, 0) dy = u(x, t).$$

To prove this theorem we will need some previous results that we present as follows. First of all, we establish that, among all possible positive solutions of the fractional heat equation, the smallest one is given by a formula that involves the convolution with the fractional heat kernel (see Lemma 3.3). To prove it we will use the following

Lemma 3.2 (A maximum principle). *Set $D_T := \Omega \times (0, T)$ and let $v(x, t) \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ satisfy, pointwise, the following problem*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} v_t + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v \leq 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in D_T, \\ v(x, t) \leq 0 & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]) \setminus D_T. \end{cases}$$

Then $v \leq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$.

Proof. Fixing an arbitrary $T' \in (0, T)$, we define

$$v(x_0, t_0) := \max_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T']} v(x, t).$$

Our goal is to show that $v(x_0, t_0) \leq 0$. The proof is by contradiction, assuming that

$$(3.2) \quad v(x_0, t_0) > 0.$$

Then, (x_0, t_0) cannot lie in $(\partial\Omega \times [0, T]) \cup (\Omega \times \{0\})$, since $v \leq 0$ there, thanks to the boundary conditions in (3.1). As a consequence, (x_0, t_0) lies in $\Omega \times (0, T']$ and therefore $v_t(x_0, t_0) = 0$. Therefore the equation in (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v(x_0, t_0) = C_{n,\alpha} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{v(x_0, t_0) - v(y, t_0)}{|y - x_0|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\ &= C_{n,\alpha} \left(\text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v(x_0, t_0) - v(y, t_0)}{|y - x_0|^{n+\alpha}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{v(x_0, t_0) - v(y, t_0)}{|y - x_0|^{n+\alpha}} dy \right) \\ &\geq C_{n,\alpha} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} \frac{v(x_0, t_0) - v(y, t_0)}{|y - x_0|^{n+\alpha}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v(y, t_0) \leq 0$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, thanks to (3.1), we obtain that the latter integrand is strictly positive, due to (3.2), and this is a contradiction. □

Now we are able to prove that strong solutions are upper bounds for the kernel convolutions:

Lemma 3.3. Let $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. If $u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation then

$$(3.3) \quad I := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x-y)u(y, 0) dy \leq u(x, t),$$

where $P_t(x)$ is the function defined in (1.2).

Proof. First of all, observe that the integral $I = I(x, t)$ exists, for is given by the integration of two (measurable) positive functions, although we do not know a priori that I is finite. However, this will be a consequence of our result that gives the inequality $I \leq u(x, t)$ for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)$. To this aim, we let

$$(3.4) \quad \phi_R(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq R-1, \\ R-|x|, & R-1 \leq |x| \leq R, \\ 0, & |x| > R. \end{cases}$$

We define

$$v_R(x, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x-y)\phi_R(y)u(y, 0) dy = (P_t(\cdot) * \phi_R u(\cdot, 0))(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_R}{\partial t} + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v_R = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ v_R(x, t) \geq 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ v_R(x, 0) = \phi_R(x)u(x, 0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let $|x| > R$. As $u(x, t) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T))$ we can define the real number

$$M_R := \sup_{|y| < R} u(y, 0) < \infty.$$

By (2.6) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq v_R(x, t) &\leq M_R \int_{B_R} P_t(x-y) dy \\ &\leq CM_R \int_{B_R} \frac{T}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\ &\leq C(T, M_R) \int_{B_R} \frac{dy}{||x|-R|^{n+\alpha}} \\ &= C(T, M_R, n) \frac{R^n}{||x|-R|^{n+\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $(x, t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R) \times (0, T)$.

Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq v_R(x, t) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for any } |x| \geq \rho, t \in (0, T),$$

where

$$\rho = R + \left(\frac{C(T, M_R, n)R^n}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+\alpha}} > 0.$$

Moreover, as $u(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)$ we obtain that

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq v_R(x, t) \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon + u(x, t), \quad \text{for any } |x| \geq \rho, t \in (0, T)$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad v_R(x, 0) = \phi_R(x)u(x, 0) \leq u(x, 0) \leq \varepsilon + u(x, 0), \quad \text{for any } |x| \leq \rho.$$

Consider the cylinder

$$D_{\rho,T} = B_{\rho} \times (0, T).$$

We define the function

$$w(x, t) := v_R(x, t) - u(x, t) - \varepsilon.$$

Then, by (3.6) and (3.7), we get that $w(x, t) \leq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \setminus D_{\rho,T}$. Therefore, since $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$, applying Lemma 3.2 in $D_{\rho,T}$ to the function $w(x, t)$ we have that

$$v_R(x, t) \leq \varepsilon + u(x, t), \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \rho \text{ and } t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore, from (3.6), it follows that

$$v_R(x, t) \leq \varepsilon + u(x, t), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \in [0, T].$$

Since ε is fixed but arbitrary, the previous inequality implies that

$$v_R(x, t) \leq u(x, t), \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t \in [0, T].$$

Finally, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, as $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \phi_R = 1$, we conclude that

$$0 \leq v(x, t) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} v_R(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y)u(y, 0)dy \leq u(x, t). \quad \square$$

By a simple time translation, we obtain from Lemma 3.3 the following:

Corollary 3.4. *Let $0 < \tau < T$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T - \tau)$. If $u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation then*

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y)u(y, \tau) dy \leq u(x, t + \tau),$$

where $P_t(x)$ is the function defined in (1.2). As a consequence, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, T - \tau)$ we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^{T-t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y)u(y, \tau) dy d\tau \leq \int_0^{T-t} u(x, t + \tau) d\tau.$$

Moreover we have the following

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. If $u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation then, $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $0 < T' < T$. Taking $t = T - T'$ in (3.8), from (2.2), we get that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{T - T'}{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(y, \tau)}{(T - T')^{\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha}} + |x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{T-T'}(x - y)u(y, \tau) dy \\ &\leq u(x, T - T' + \tau) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$C(T) := \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{(T - T')^{\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha}}} \right\}.$$

Then, since

$$\frac{1}{(T - T')^{\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha}} + |y|^{n+\alpha}} \geq C(T) \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{n+\alpha}},$$

taking $x = 0$ in (3.10), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{(1 + |y|^{n+\alpha})} dy < \infty. \quad \square$$

We also have

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. If $u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation, then $u(\cdot, t) \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for every $0 < T' < T$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary $0 < T' < T$. By (3.9) with $t = T - T'$ we have that

$$\int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{T-T'}(x - y) u(y, \tau) dy d\tau \leq \int_0^{T'} u(x, \tau) d\tau.$$

Then, as $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T'])$ we get

$$\int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{T-T'}(x - y) u(y, \tau) dy d\tau < \infty.$$

Therefore doing as the proof of Corollary 3.5 we get that

$$\int_0^{T'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y, t)|}{(1 + |y|^{n+\alpha})} dy dt < \infty.$$

That is, $u \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for every $0 < T' < T$. \square

Note that Corollary 3.6 affirms that if $u(x, t) \geq 0$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation then u is also a weak solution of the same equation.

Now we are able to prove our main result:

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Corollary 3.6 we get that $u \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for every $0 < T' < T$. Moreover if we define

$$p(x, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y) u(y, 0) dy,$$

by (3.9) with $t = T - T'$, we also have that $p \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Let now the function

$$w(x, t) := u(x, t) - p(x, t) \geq 0.$$

It is clear that w is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation. Moreover, as $w \in L^1([0, T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $w(x, t)$ is also a solution in the weak sense with zero initial datum. Therefore applying Theorem 2.1 we conclude that $w(x, t) = 0$ for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. \square

3.1. About viscosity solutions. As was said at the beginning of this work, it is natural to consider viscosity solutions of the fractional heat equation. Our purpose here is to describe some cases in which a positive viscosity solution has the unique representation in terms of the kernel P_t .

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative, strong solutions of the fractional heat equation converging, uniformly over compact sets, to a given function u . Then $u \geq 0$ is a viscosity solution of (1.1) satisfying*

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x-y)u(y,0) dy \leq u(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad u \in L^1([0,T'], \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{for every } 0 < T' < T.$$

That is, the conclusions of Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.6 are satisfied.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x-y)u_n(y,0) dy \leq u_n(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T).$$

Applying the Fatou Lemma we obtain (3.11). Therefore, doing as in the proof of the Corollary 3.6 we conclude (3.12). Note also that, by the comparison principle (Corollary 2.1.6 of [10]), $u_n \geq 0$ is a viscosity solution of (1.1) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, since u is the uniform limit over compact sets of viscosity solutions, we get that u is also a viscosity solution of (1.1). \square

Notice that to conclude the equality in (3.11) we would need to know that u is a weak solution.

If we add a monotonicity condition over the sequence $\{u_n\}$ then we obtain the following

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a monotone sequence of non-negative, strong solutions of the fractional heat equation converging, uniformly over compact sets, to a given function u . Then $u \geq 0$ is a strong solution of (1.1) satisfying*

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x-y)u(y,0) dy = u(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T).$$

Proof. Since, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_n \geq 0$ satisfies Theorem 1.4, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem we obtain (3.13). Clearly this implies that $u \geq 0$ is a strong solution of (1.1). \square

Remark 3.9. *It would be interesting to find the biggest class of positive viscosity solution for which the representation property (3.13) holds. This seems to be an open problem as far as we know.*

4. FURTHER RESULTS.

As in the local case (see [13]), given a solution u , one can define the *enthalpy*

$$v(x,t) = \int_0^t u(x,s) ds$$

which is also a solution of the fractional heat equation. Indeed we have the following result:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$. If $u(x,t)$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation with vanishing initial condition, then the entalpy term*

$$v(x,t) = \int_0^t u(x,s) ds$$

is also a strong solution of the fractional heat equation. Moreover if u is positive the function v is increasing in t for x fixed and $\alpha/2$ -subharmonic as a function of x in the weak sense.

Proof. Let $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. First of all note that $v(x, t)$ satisfies the conditions i)-iii) of the Definition 1.3. Therefore, since $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and Fubini Theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(n, \alpha) \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{v(x, t) - v(y, t)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\
&= C(n, \alpha) \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\int_0^t u(x, s) ds - \int_0^t u(y, s) ds}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\
&= C(n, \alpha) \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^t \frac{u(x, s) - u(y, s)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} ds dy \\
&= \int_0^t (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(x, s) ds \\
&= - \int_0^t u_s(s, t) ds \\
&= -u(x, t) \\
&= -v_t(x, t),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T]$. Then, $v(x, t)$ satisfies the fractional heat equation in the strong sense. Also if $u \geq 0$ from the calculations done before we deduce that

$$-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v(x, t) = v_t(x, t) = u(x, t) \geq 0.$$

So v is $\alpha/2$ -subharmonic as a function of x and increasing in t for x fixed. \square

Lemma 4.1 shows that the enthalpy belongs to the special class of positive strong solutions that are also $\alpha/2$ -subharmonic. This class naturally satisfies a polynomial estimate, as shown by the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. Let $u(x, t) \geq 0$ such that*

- i) $u(x, t)$ is an $\alpha/2$ -subharmonic function with respect to the variable x .
- ii) $u(x, t)$ is a strong solution of the fractional heat equation.

Then $u(x, t) \leq C(t)(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})$ if $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$.

Proof. By hypothesis it is clear that u is an $\alpha/2$ -subharmonic function with respect to the variable x for t fixed and therefore u is increasing in time.

Let now $0 < t_1 < T$ and $0 < t_0 < T - t_1$. By Corollary 3.4 we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t(x - y)u(y, t_1)dy \leq u(x, t + t_1), \text{ for any } 0 < t < T - t_1.$$

Therefore

$$M_{t_0} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{t_0}(y)u(y, t_1)dy \leq u(0, t_0 + t_1) < \infty.$$

Our objective is to show that

$$(4.1) \quad |u(x, t_1)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}).$$

Once this is done, using that u is increasing in time, we would get

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq u(x, t_1) \leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}) \text{ for every } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, t_1).$$

But, since t_0 and t_1 are fixed but arbitrary, we would conclude that

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha}).$$

So, we are left to showing that (4.1) is true. Note that from Corollary 3.5 we have that $u \in \mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then as u is $\alpha/2$ -subharmonic, by Proposition 2.2.6 of [10] u also satisfies the following mean value property:

$$(4.2) \quad u(x_0, t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma_\lambda(y - x_0) u(y, t) dy \text{ for every } x_0 \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \lambda \leq \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega),$$

where

$$\gamma_\lambda(x) := (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \Gamma_\lambda(x),$$

and

$$\Gamma_\lambda(x) := \frac{1}{\lambda^{n-\alpha}} \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right).$$

Here Γ is a $C^{1,1}$ function that coincides with $\Phi(x) := c|x|^{\alpha-n}$ outside the ball B_λ and Γ is a paraboloid inside this ball. Note that Φ is the fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$. From (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t_1)(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} &\leq (1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma_\lambda(y) u(x - y, t_1) dy \\ &= (1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\{y: |y| \geq \lambda\}} \gamma_\lambda(y) u(x - y, t_1) dy \\ &\quad + (1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\{y: |y| \leq \lambda\}} \gamma_\lambda(y) u(x - y, t_1) dy \\ (4.3) \quad &:= I_1(x) + I_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda = |x|/4,$$

we have that $|x - y| \leq 5|y|$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2.3 of [10], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &\leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\{y: |y| \geq \lambda\}} \frac{u(x - y, t_1)}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x - y, t_1)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \\ (4.5) \quad &\leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := C_1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using that the fractional Laplacian of a paraboloid is bounded, by (2.2) and (4.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &\leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\{y: |y| \leq \lambda\}} u(x - y, t_1) dy \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\{z: |x-z| \leq \lambda\}} u(z, t_1) dz \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} \int_{\{z: |z| \leq 2|x|\}} u(z, t_1) P_1(z) \frac{1}{P_1(z)} dz \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} (1 + (2|x|)^{n+\alpha}) \int_{\{z: |z| \leq 2|x|\}} u(z, t_1) P_1(z) dz \\ &\leq CM_1(1 + |x|^{n+\alpha})^{-1} (1 + (2|x|)^{n+\alpha}) \\ (4.6) \quad &\leq C(n, \alpha) u(0, 1 + t_1) := C_2. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain (4.1) and we conclude the proof. \square

Remark 4.3. *It would be interesting to prove that the pointwise behavior in Proposition 4.2 and some comparison arguments provide an alternative proof to our main result, namely, the representation of every solution as a convolution with the associated Poisson kernel.*

REFERENCES

- [1] P. BÉNILAN, M. G. CRANDALL, M. PIERRE, *Solutions of the porous medium equation in \mathbb{R}^n under optimal conditions on initial values*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **33** (2003), no. 1, 51-87.
- [2] K. BOGDAN, A. STÓS, A. SZTONYK, *Harnack inequality for stable processes on d -set*. Studia Math. **158** (2003), no. 2, 163-198.
- [3] K. BOGDAN, T. JAKUBOWSKI, *Estimates of the heat kernel of fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators*. Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 1, 179-198.
- [4] X. CABRÉ, J. M. ROQUEJOFFRE, *The influence of fractional diffusion in Fisher-KPP equations*. To appear in Comm. Math. Phys.
- [5] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI AND E. VALDINOCI, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*. Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521-573.
- [6] J. DRONIOU, T. GALLOÛET, J. VOVELLE, *Global solution and smoothing effect for a non-local regularization of a hyperbolic equation*. J. Evol. Equ. **3** (2003), no. 3, 499-521.
- [7] J. HADAMARD, *Lectures on Cauchy's problem in linear partial differential equations*. Dover Publications, New York, 1953. iv+316 pp.
- [8] C. IMBERT, *A non-local regularization of first order Hamilton-Jacobi equations*. J. Differential Equations **211** (2005), no. 1, 218-246.
- [9] V. N. KOLOKOLTSOV, *Symmetric stable laws and stable-like jump-diffusions*. London Math. Soc. **80** (2000), 725-768.
- [10] L. SILVESTRE, *PhD thesis*. The University of Texas at Austin, 2005.
- [11] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993
- [12] D. V. WIDDER, *Positive Temperatures on an Infinite Rod*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1944), no. 1, 85-95.
- [13] D. V. WIDDER. *The heat equation*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.