# Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

im Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Preprint

ISSN 0946 - 8633

## Finite Element Methods for Surface Diffusion

Eberhard Bänsch<sup>1</sup>, Pedro Morin<sup>2</sup>, Ricardo H. Nochetto<sup>3</sup>

submitted: January 10th 2003

- <sup>1</sup> Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Mohrenstrasse 39, D 10117 Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin, Germany email: baensch@wias-berlin.de
- <sup>2</sup> Departamento de Matermática Facultad de Ingenieria Quimica, Universidad Nacional del Litoral and Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral (IMAL), Güemes 3450, 3000 Santa Fe, Argentina e-mail: pmorin@math.un1.edu.ar
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742, USA e-mail: rhn@math.umd.edu



2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K55, 65M12, 65M15, 65M60, 65Z05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Surface diffusion, fourth-order parabolic problem, finite elements, a priori error estimates, Schur complement, smoothing effect, pinch-off.

Edited by Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik (WIAS) Mohrenstraße 39 D — 10117 Berlin Germany

Fax:+ 49 30 2044975E-Mail:preprint@wias-berlin.deWorld Wide Web:http://www.wias-berlin.de/

### **Finite Element Methods for Surface Diffusion**

Eberhard Bänsch, Pedro Morin, and Ricardo H. Nochetto

**Abstract.** Surface diffusion is a (4th order highly nonlinear) geometric driven motion of a surface with normal velocity proportional to the surface Laplacian of mean curvature. We present a novel variational formulation for the parametric case, develop a finite element method, and propose a Schur complement approach to solve the resulting linear systems. We also introduce a new graph formulation and state an optimal a priori error estimate. We conclude with several significant simulations, some with pinch-off in finite time.

**Keywords:** Surface diffusion, fourth-order parabolic problem, finite elements, a priori error estimates, Schur complement, smoothing effect, pinch-off.

AMS subject classification: 35K55, 65M12, 65M15, 65M60, 65Z05.

#### 1. Surface Diffusion and Mixed Formulation

The overall goal of this work is to devise efficient numerical tools for simulating morphological changes in stressed epitaxial films and thereby study their complicated nonlinear dynamics. To model the misfit between the crystalline structure of the substrate and epitaxial film, the film may be thought of as subjected to mechanical stresses. This causes a plastic deformation of the free surface of the film. This morphological instability of the free surface may eventually lead to crack formation and fracture, an issue of paramount importance in Materials Science; see for instance [1, 4, 14] and also the list of references in [2].

The dynamics of the free surface  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is governed by

$$V = -\Delta_S(\kappa + \varepsilon),\tag{1}$$

which is a 4th order highly nonlinear PDE. Hereafter,  $d \in \{2, 3\}$ , V and  $\kappa$  are the (scalar) normal velocity and mean curvature of  $\Gamma$ , respectively,  $\nabla_S$  is the surface gradient,  $\Delta_S = \operatorname{div}_S \nabla_S$  the Laplace-Beltrami operator and  $\varepsilon$  is the elastic energy density of the bulk  $\Omega(t)$  enclosed by  $\Gamma(t)$ . In this paper, we take  $\varepsilon = 0$  throughout.

A number of issues arise, from existence, well posedness and regularity to effective algorithms for (1). The chief mathematical and numerical difficulties arise from the 4th order nonlinear operator  $\Delta_S \kappa$  and the fact that one cannot work directly with the curvature vector  $\vec{\kappa}$  as in [8]. In contrast to [5, 10], we have been able to derive, from a suitable *semi-implicit* time discretization, a variational finite element formulation for surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which involves the 4 unknowns scalar

curvature  $\kappa$ , curvature vector  $\vec{\kappa}$ , normal velocity  $\vec{V}$ , and (scalar) normal velocity V as follows:

$$\vec{\kappa} = \Delta_S \vec{X}, \quad \kappa = \vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\nu}, \quad V = -\Delta_S \kappa, \quad \vec{V} = V \vec{\nu},$$
(2)

where  $\vec{\nu}$  denotes the unit normal vector to  $\Gamma$ , pointing outward of the bulk enclosed by  $\Gamma$ . In view of this *mixed formulation*, which involves only second order operators, our finite element method solely requires continuity of the discrete functions; in particular we do not need  $C^1$  elements to handle curvature but can accommodate any polynomial degree. A Schur complement approach, with a symmetric and positive definite operator, is used to reduce the system (2) to the single unknown V. This allows for an efficient solution technique via preconditioned CG.

This paper is organized as follows. We introduce the time discretization and natural variational formulation in §2, and present its finite element discretization in §3. We discuss the ensuing algebraic problem along with a Schur complement approach to its solution in §4. We present in §5 the graph formulation together with an error estimate. We document the performance of parametric and graph methods in §6 via several simulations which exhibit singularities in finite time.

#### 2. Time Discretization and Variational Formulation

We now consider a *semi-implicit* time discretization of first order with time-step  $\tau$ , representing the next surface  $\Gamma^{n+1}$  in terms of the current surface  $\Gamma^n$  as follows:

$$\vec{X}^{n+1} = \vec{X}^n + \tau \vec{V}.$$
(3)

This time discretization implies that all *geometric* quantities such as  $\vec{\nu}$  are evaluated on the current surface  $\Gamma^n$ . Consequently, if  $\Delta_S$  denotes now the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\Gamma = \Gamma^n$ , then the only modification to (2) is as follows:

$$\vec{\kappa} - \tau \Delta_S \vec{V} = \Delta_S \vec{X}^n. \tag{4}$$

To derive a weak formulation to (2) and (4), we simply multiply them by test functions and integrate. If  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma) := H^1(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{X}(\Gamma)$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$  of functions with mean value zero, we thus seek  $\vec{V} \in \vec{\mathcal{V}}(\Gamma), \kappa \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma), \vec{\kappa} \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}(\Gamma)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{X}(\Gamma)$  such that

$$\langle \vec{\kappa}, \varphi \rangle + \tau \left\langle \nabla_{\!S} \vec{V}, \nabla \varphi \right\rangle = - \left\langle \nabla_{\!S} \vec{X}, \nabla_{\!S} \varphi \right\rangle \qquad \forall \varphi \in \vec{\mathcal{X}}(\Gamma),$$

$$\langle \kappa, \phi \rangle - \langle \vec{\kappa}, \vec{v}, \phi \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$$

$$(5)$$

$$\langle \kappa, \phi \rangle - \langle \vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\nu}, \phi \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma),$$

$$\langle V, \phi \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\tau} \kappa, \nabla_{\tau} \phi \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$$

$$(7)$$

$$\langle V, \phi \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\!S} \kappa, \nabla_{\!S} \phi \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall \ \phi \in \mathcal{X}(\Gamma),$$
(7)

$$\left\langle \vec{V}, \varphi \right\rangle - \left\langle V, \varphi \cdot \vec{\nu} \right\rangle = 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in \vec{\mathcal{V}}(\Gamma).$$
 (8)

Hereafter the symbol  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  stands for the  $L^2$  scalar product over the current surface  $\Gamma = \Gamma^n$ , which is described by the vector function  $\vec{X} = \vec{X}^n$ ; we thus suppress the superscripts n and n+1 since no confusion arises. Since  $V \in \mathcal{X}(\Gamma)$  has mean value zero, we realize that volume is preserved in the sense  $\int_{\Gamma} V = 0$ .

#### 3. Finite Element Discretization

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a regular but possibly graded mesh of triangular finite elements over the surface  $\Gamma$ , which from now on is assumed to be polyhedral. Let h denote the local meshsize of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  be a typical triangle and let  $\vec{\nu}_T = (\nu_T^k)_{k=1}^d$  be the unit normal to T pointing outwards. We denote by  $\vec{\nu}$  the outward unit normal to  $\Gamma$ , which satisfies  $\vec{\nu}|_T = \vec{\nu}_T$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ , and is thus discontinuous across  $\partial T$ .

Let  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^I$  be the set of canonical basis functions of the finite element space  $\mathcal{V}_h(\Gamma)$  of piecewise linear functions over  $\Gamma$ ; this is a *conforming* approximation of  $\mathcal{V}(\Gamma)$ . If  $\vec{Id} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the identity matrix, consider the following matrix entries

$$M_{ij} := \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle, \qquad A_{ij} := \langle \nabla_{\!\!S} \phi_i, \nabla_{\!\!S} \phi_j \rangle, \tag{9}$$

$$\vec{M}_{ij} := M_{ij} \vec{Id}, \qquad \vec{N}_{ij} := \left\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \nu^k \right\rangle_{k=1}^d, \tag{10}$$

and corresponding mass and stiffness matrices

$$M := (M_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{I}, \qquad \vec{M} := (\vec{M}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{I}, \qquad \vec{N} := (\vec{N}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{I}, \qquad (11)$$

$$A := (A_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{I}, \qquad \vec{A} := (\vec{A}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{I}.$$
(12)

We point out that  $\vec{M}, \vec{A}$  and  $\vec{N}$  possess matrix-valued entries and therefore the matrix-vector product is understood in the following sense

$$\vec{M}\vec{V} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{I} \vec{M}_{ij}\vec{V}_j\right)_{i=1}^{I}$$

because each component of  $\vec{V} = (\vec{V}_i)_{i=1}^I$ , as well as of  $\vec{M}\vec{V}$ , is itself a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The vector of nodal values of a finite element function is written in bold face, namely  $\mathbf{V} = (V_i)_{i=1}^I \in \mathbb{V} := \mathbb{R}^I$  is equivalent to  $V = \sum_{i=1}^I V_i \phi_i \in \mathcal{V}_h(\Gamma)$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_h(\Gamma)$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{V}_h(\Gamma)$  of functions with mean value zero, and let  $\mathbb{X} = \{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{V} : \mathbf{V} \cdot M\mathbf{1} = 0\}$  be the corresponding subspace of  $\mathbf{V}$  with  $\mathbf{1} := (1)_{i=1}^I$ :

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{I} V_i \phi_i \in \mathcal{X}_h(\Gamma) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{V} = (V_i)_{i=1}^{I} \in \mathbb{X}.$$
 (13)

Upon expanding the functions  $V, \kappa, \vec{V}, \vec{\kappa}$  in terms of the basis functions  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^I$  and testing against the latter, a discretization of system (5)–(8) can be written in matrix form as follows: find  $\vec{V} \in \vec{\mathbb{V}}, \vec{K} \in \vec{\mathbb{V}}, \vec{K} \in \vec{\mathbb{X}}, V \in \mathbb{X}$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\tau} \vec{A} & 0 & \vec{M} & 0\\ 0 & -A & 0 & M\\ \vec{M} & 0 & 0 & -\vec{N}\\ 0 & M & -\vec{N}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{V} \\ K\\ \vec{K}\\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\vec{A}\vec{X} \\ 0\\ \vec{0}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (14)

We discuss the solvability of (14) and propose an algorithm for its solution in §4.

#### 4. Schur Complement Approach

Consider the following vector equation with a (possibly singular) square block A:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U} \\ \boldsymbol{Q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{F} \\ \boldsymbol{G} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let A be symmetric with (nontrivial) kernel ker(A). Then the range  $\mathbb{Y}$  of A is the orthogonal complement of ker(A). Let  $S : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Y}$  be the *right* inverse of A: AS = Id on  $\mathbb{Y}$ . If P denotes the orthogonal projection onto ker(A), we have

$$SAV = V - PV = (Id - P)V \quad \forall V \in \mathbb{R}^{I}.$$
 (15)

The Schur complement equation for Q then reads

$$-CSB + D)\mathbf{Q} + CP\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{G} - CS\mathbf{F}.$$
(16)

Solvability of this system depends on the structure of the two terms on the left hand-side of (16). We intend to apply this splitting to (14), which involves dealing with the block containing  $\vec{A}$  and A on the diagonal.

Since the kernel of A in (12) is  $\mathbb{Z} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{1}\}$ , with  $\mathbf{1} = (1)_{i=1}^{I}$ , the range of A is  $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Z}^{\perp}$ . Then the spaces X, defined in (13), and Y are related as follows:

$$V \in \mathbb{X} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad MV \in \mathbb{Y}.$$
 (17)

Let  $S : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Y}$  be the right inverse of A and  $P : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be the orthogonal projection into  $\mathbb{Z}$ , thereby satisfying (15) with  $P = \frac{1 \otimes 1}{1 \cdot 1}$ .

Applying (16) to (14) with vectors  $\boldsymbol{U} = [\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{K}]^T$  and  $\boldsymbol{Q} = [\boldsymbol{K}, \boldsymbol{V}]^T$ , we obtain the symmetric system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau} \vec{M} \vec{S} \vec{M} & \vec{N} \\ \vec{N}^T & -MSM \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{K} \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\tau} \vec{M} \vec{S} \vec{A} \vec{X} + \vec{M} \vec{P} \vec{V} \\ MPK \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (18)

We observe that  $\vec{S}\vec{A}\vec{X}$  makes sense because  $\vec{A}\vec{X} \in \vec{\mathbb{Y}}$ ; this could be viewed as a compatibility condition. To investigate the solvability of (18), we note that both components of Q satisfy  $\vec{K} \in \vec{\mathbb{X}}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{X}$  or, in view of (17),  $\vec{M}\vec{K} \in \vec{\mathbb{Y}}$ , and  $MV \in \mathbb{Y}$ . Since the upper left block of (18),  $\vec{M}\vec{S}\vec{M} : \vec{\mathbb{X}} \to \vec{M}\vec{\mathbb{Y}}$ , is nonsingular with inverse  $\vec{M}^{-1}\vec{A}\vec{M}^{-1}$ , we can apply (16) again to arrive at

$$\left(\tau \vec{N}^T \vec{M}^{-1} \vec{A} \vec{M}^{-1} \vec{N} + MSM\right) \mathbf{V} + MP \mathbf{K} = -\vec{N}^T \vec{M}^{-1} \vec{A} \vec{\mathbf{X}}.$$
 (19)

Let  $\Pi := Id - \frac{M\mathbf{1} \otimes M\mathbf{1}}{M\mathbf{1} \cdot M\mathbf{1}}$  be the orthogonal projection onto X. Since  $MPK \in$  span  $\{M\mathbf{1}\} = \mathbb{X}^{\perp}$ , applying  $\Pi$  to (19) yields the final form of the *Schur complement*:

$$\Pi \left( \tau \vec{N}^T \vec{M}^{-1} \vec{A} \vec{M}^{-1} \vec{N} + MSM \right) \Pi \boldsymbol{V} = -\Pi \vec{N}^T \vec{M}^{-1} \vec{A} \vec{\boldsymbol{X}},$$
(20)

because  $\Pi V = V$ . The ensuing matrix of this *reduced* system is nonsingular because  $\Pi MSM\Pi : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$  is symmetric and positive definite, and the first term in (20) is symmetric and positive semi-definite.

The method actually implemented consists of first solving for V using (20), next solving  $\vec{M}\vec{V} = \vec{N}V$  for  $\vec{V}$  and finally updating  $\vec{X}$  via  $\vec{X} + \tau \vec{V}$ .

#### 5. Graph Formulation and Error Analysis

We now discuss the case of  $\Gamma$  being a graph. To this end let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  with  $t \in [0,T]$  for some T > 0,  $d \in \{2,3\}$  and  $\Gamma(t) := \{(x,u(t,x)) \mid x \in \Omega\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $Q(u) := \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}$  denotes the elementary surface area, then we have the following formulas for the geometric quantities  $\nu, \kappa$  and V:

$$\nu = \frac{1}{Q(u)} (-\nabla u, 1)^T, \quad \kappa = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u}{Q(u)}\right), \quad V = \frac{\partial_t u}{Q(u)}, \tag{21}$$

with  $\nabla = (\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{d-1}})^T$ . According to (21), (1) can be written as the system

$$\frac{\partial_t u}{Q(u)} = -\Delta_S \kappa, \qquad \kappa = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u}{Q}\right). \tag{22}$$

This system has to be supplied with suitable initial and boundary conditions. We restrict ourselves to periodic boundary conditions for ease of presentation, but Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions can be handled as well [2]. In this vein, let  $\Omega$  be a parallelogram and  $\mathcal{X}$  be the subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$  with periodic boundary values. Then, (22) admits the following *variational formulation*: find u and  $\kappa$  with  $u(t), \kappa(t) \in \mathcal{X}$  for a.e. t and  $u(0) = u_0$  in a suitable sense, fulfilling

$$\langle \partial_t u, \psi \rangle - \int_{\Gamma} \nabla_{\!\!S} \kappa \cdot \nabla_{\!\!S} \psi = 0 \qquad \forall \ \psi \in \mathcal{X}, \tag{23}$$

$$\langle \kappa, \varphi \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi}{Q(u)} = 0 \qquad \forall \ \phi \in \mathcal{X},$$
 (24)

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the  $L^2(\Omega)$  inner product; compare with §2. Note that, in contrast to the mean curvature flow of graphs [6, 7], (23) does not have the weight  $Q(u)^{-1}$  because the equation is written on  $\Gamma$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}_h$  is a finite element subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ , then a *semi space discrete* scheme is obvious from (23) and (24). In particular, upon taking  $\psi = 1 \in \mathcal{X}_h$  we deduce exact volume conservation  $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_h = 0$ . We can also prove stability as well as coercivity properties, which are crucial in deriving the following *error estimate* [2].

**Theorem 5.1** (A Priori Error Estimate for the Semidiscrete Scheme). Let  $u, \kappa$  be sufficiently smooth in [0,T], and let  $k \ge 1$  be the polynomial degree of  $\mathcal{X}_h$ . Then, there exists a constant C > 0, solely depending on the regularity of  $u, \kappa$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \| (u - u_h)(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Gamma_h(t)} |\nabla_{\!\!S} u - \nabla_{\!\!S} u_h)|^2 \right) \le C h^{2k},$$

$$\int_0^T \left( \| (\kappa - \kappa_h)(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Gamma_h(t)} |\nabla_{\!S} \kappa - \nabla_{\!S} \kappa_h|^2 \right) dt \le C h^{2k}.$$
(25)

Since (25) involve the tangential gradients  $\nabla_{S} u$  and  $\nabla_{S} \kappa$ , the order of convergence  $O(h^k)$  is optimal. This is corroborated by the numerical experiments of [2], which are obtained via a *semi-implicit* time discretization similar to [7]. It consists of writing the equations for step n + 1 on the current surface  $\Gamma_n$ , which linearizes the system and allows for a Schur complement solver similar to that in §4.

#### 6. Implementation and Simulations

In this section we explain briefly the implementation of both the parametric and graph formulations within ALBERT [12, 13], and document their performance.

#### 6.1. Mesh Regularization



FIGURE 1. Pathological *ear* formation in the evolution of a  $4 \times 1 \times 1$  prism by surface diffusion. Surface at time t = 0 and t = 0.07.



FIGURE 2. Steps towards the pathological formation of ears. Zoom into a vertex of the initial prism with surfaces at  $t = k \times 10^{-3}$  for  $0 \le k \le 9$ . After 6 time steps some triangles collapse into segments, thereby making the mesh degenerate and producing numerical artifacts.

The geometric flow by parametric surface diffusion is not as gentle as the corresponding mean curvature flow [8], and leads to severe mesh distortions. Even if the parametric formulation of §3 allows corners and edges, which are rather singular for surface diffusion, they give rise to fast node motion and mesh distortion. This is illustrated by the creation of *ears* during the evolution of a  $4 \times 1 \times 1$  prism in Figs. 1 and 2 towards a ball. This is clearly a numerical artifact and cannot be cured by mesh refinement and/or coarsening. We use mesh regularization instead, which consists of replacing each node by the projection onto the surface of a weighted average of the nodes belonging to its finite element star, see also [11]. This regularization is equivalent to one time step of the discretized (tangential) heat equation. Its beneficial effect is reflected in the subsequent simulations of Figs. 3-6, all computed with forcing term  $\epsilon = 0$ .

#### 6.2. Example 1: Smoothing Effect

We illustrate the evolution of a  $4 \times 1 \times 1$  prism towards a ball with the same volume in Fig. 3, thereby showing the smoothing effect of surface diffusion.



FIGURE 3. Smoothing effect of surface diffusion. Evolution of a  $4 \times 1 \times 1$  prism towards a ball with equal volume at various time instants.



6.3. Example 2: Pinch-Off in Finite Time

FIGURE 4. Pinch-off in finite time. Evolution of an  $8 \times 1 \times 1$  prism at various time instants leading to a dumbbell and cusp formation.

Surface diffusion is not always a regularizing flow and may in fact create singularities in finite time depending on the initial configuration. This is depicted in Figs. 4-6 which correspond to prisms with larger aspect ratios than before. Figs. 4-5 display the evolution of an  $8 \times 1 \times 1$  prism towards a dumbbell and cusp formation in finite time. Increasing the aspect ratio of the prism seems to be an effective mechanism to produce several simultaneous cusps as reported in Fig. 6.



FIGURE 5. Detailed view of the pinch-off for the  $8 \times 1 \times 1$  prism. Mesh regularization appears to cure mesh distortion until the moment of pinch-off when the elements are rather elongated but not degenerate.



FIGURE 6. Evolution of a  $12 \times 1 \times 1$  prism towards two simultaneous cusps revealing that the number of singularities depends on the aspect ratio of the initial prism.

#### 6.4. Example 3: Mushroom Formation

We consider the graph formulation of §5 of a curve in 2d starting from the initial condition  $u_0(x) = 1 + \delta(x)$ , where  $\delta$  is a steep perturbation with zero meanvalue (see Figure 7). Since the slope seems to become vertical around  $t = 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$  in Fig. 7, the classical solution might cease to exist in finite time.

To investigate the formation of singularities in finite time, we use the parametric formulation with the same initial data upon embedding the graph of  $u_0$ into a closed curve (see Figure 8 top left). For the time scale of Fig. 7, the effect of this extension is negligible. Fig. 8 displays a sequence of solutions obtained for the same eight time instants of Fig. 7. It is worth noticing the striking similarity of the solutions obtained with both methods. Even though the parametric solution develops a *mushroom* shape at  $t = 9.6 \times 10^{-5}$ , and thus the solution to the graph formulation is questionable thereon, they still exhibit an excellent quantitative agreement for  $t > 9.6 \times 10^{-5}$  (compare the last two plots of Figs. 8 and 7).



FIGURE 7. Evolution of a graph in 2d starting from  $u_0(x) = 1 + \delta(x)$  with a steep perturbation  $\delta(x)$ . In all plots for various times t, the x-axis ranges from -1 to 1, and the y-axis ranges from 0 to 1.5.



FIGURE 8. Mushroom formation. Parametric evolution of a curve in 2d with the same initial condition and the same times of Fig. 7. The rectangles in thin lines are  $[-1, 1] \times [0, 1.5]$ . The effect of embedding the graph of Fig. 7 into a close curve (see top-left picture) is negligible for the time scale of this evolution.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank K.G. Siebert for his assistance in incorporating new data structures to handle surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and curves in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  within ALBERT [12, 13]. We were all partially supported by the NSF-DAAD international cooperation grant INT-9910086.

#### References

- R. J. ASARO AND W. A. TILLER, Surface morphology development during stress corrosion cracking: Part I: via surface diffusion, Metall. Trans., 3 (1972), 1789-1796.
- [2] E. BÄNSCH, P. MORIN, AND R.H. NOCHETTO, Surface diffusion of graphs: variational formulation, error analysis and simulations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. (submitted).
- [3] E. BÄNSCH, P. MORIN, AND R.H. NOCHETTO, A finite element method for surface diffusion: The parametric case, (to appear).
- [4] J. CAHN AND J. TAYLOR, Surface motion by surface diffusion, Acta Metall. Mater., 42 (1994), 1045-1063.
- [5] B.D. COLEMAN, R.S. FALK, AND M. MOAKHER, Space-time finite element methods for surface diffusion with applications to the theory of stability of cylinders, SIAM J. Sci. Comp., 17 (1996), 1434-1448.
- [6] K. DECKELNICK AND G. DZIUK, Convergence of a finite element method for the non-parametric mean curvature flow, Numer. Math. 72 (1995), 197-222.
- [7] K. DECKELNICK AND G. DZIUK, Error estimates for a semi-implicit fully discrete finite element scheme for the mean curvature flow of graphs, Interfaces and Free Boundaries 2, (2000), 341-359.
- [8] G. DZIUK, An algorithm for evolutionary surfaces, Numer. Math., 58 (1991), 603-611.
- K. DECKELNICK, G. DZIUK, AND C. ELLIOTT, Semidiscrete finite elements for axially symmetric surface diffusion, CMAIA Research Report No 2002/05 (2002).
- [10] U.F. MAYER, Numerical solutions for the surface diffusion flow in three space dimensions, Comp. Appl. Math. (to appear).
- [11] A. SCHMIDT, Computation of three dimensional dendrites with finite elements, J. Comput. Physics, 125 (1996), 293-312.
- [12] A. SCHMIDT AND K.G. SIEBERT, ALBERT: An Adaptive Hierarchical Finite Element Toolbox, Documentation, Preprint 06/2000 Universität Freiburg, 244 p; avaiulable online from http://www.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/IAM/ALBERT.
- [13] A. SCHMIDT AND K.G. SIEBERT, ALBERT-Software for scientific computations and applications, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.), 70 (2001), 105-122.
- [14] B.J. SPENCER, S.H. DAVIS, AND P.W. VOORHEES, Morphological instability in epitaxially-strained dislocation-free solid films: nonlinear evolution, Phys. Rev. B, 47 (1993), 9760-9777.

Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics Mohrenstrasse 39, 10117 Berlin, and Freie Universität Berlin, GERMANY *E-mail address*: baensch@wias-berlin.de

Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral, Güemes 3450, 3000 Santa Fe, and Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ingeniería Química, Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Santa Fe, ARGENTINA Partially supported by CONICET of Argentina and NSF Grant DMS-9971450. *E-mail address:* pmorin@math.unl.edu.ar

Department of Mathematics and Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA Partially supported by NSF Grants DMS-9971450 and DMS-0204670. *E-mail address*: rhn@math.umd.edu