

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdussalam, H. R & Sitompul. (2005). *Sistem Peradilan Pidana*. Cetakan ke- 2. Jakarta: PTIK Press.
- Adhami, C. (2008). *Hukum Pembuktian Tindak Pidana Korupsi*. Bandung: Alumni.
- Ainsworth, J. E. (1993). In a different register: the pragmatics of powerlessness in police interrogation. *The Yale Law Journal*, 103(2), 259-322.
- Aldridge, J. & Cameron, S. (1999). Interviewing child witnesses: Questioning techniques and the role of training. *Applied Developmental Science*, 3, 136–147.
- Alfitra. (2011). *Hukum Pembuktian dalam Beracara Pidana, Perdata dan Korupsi di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Raih Asa Sukses.
- Allen, K. (1986). *Linguistics Meaning*. London & Newyork: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Allen, R. J. (1991). The Nature of Judicial Proof. *Cardozo Law Review*, 373-422 .
- Andriyani, Y., dkk. (2015). *Laporan Tahunan Komisi Tindak Kekerasan dan Orang Hilang*. Jakarta: KontraS.
- Atmasasmita, R. (2010). *Sistem Peradilan Pidana Kontemporer*. Bandung: Kencana.
- Austin, J. L. (1962). *How To Do Things with Words*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Austin, J. L. (1962a). *Sense and Sensibilia*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Aziz, E. A. (2000). “Refusing in Indonesian”. Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Department of Linguistics, Monash University, Australia.
- Aziz, E. A., dkk. (2013). “Ancangan Baru Aplikasi Linguistik untuk Sistem Peradilan di Indonesia: Sebuah Contoh Penyiapan Berita Acara Pemeriksaan (BAP) oleh Polisi versus Pengakuan Terperiksa”. Artikel dalam Prosiding Kongres Internasional Masyarakat Linguistik Indonesia (KIMLI) 2013, Bandar Lampung.
- Bach, K. & Harnish, R.M. (1979). *Linguistic Communication and Speech Acts*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Baldwin, J. & McConville, M. (1980). *Confessions in the Crown Court* . Research Study No. 5, Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure. London: HMSO
- Baldwin, J. (1993). Police interview techniques: Establishing truth or proof? *The British Journal of Criminology*, 325-352.
- Barak, A. (2005). *Purposive Interpretation in Law*. Transl. Sary Bashi. Princeton.
- Bekerian, D.A. & Dennet, J.L. (1993). The cognitive interview technique: Reviving the issue. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 275–297.
- Berk-Seligson, S. (1990). *The bilingual courtroom*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Andika Dutha Bachari, 2018

PENERAPAN WAWANCARA INVESTIGATIF DIKAITKAN DENGAN DAYA BUKTI BERITA ACARA PEMERIKSAAN DALAM SISTEM PERADILAN PIDANA DI INDONESIA

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Bhatia, V.K., Christopher N. Candlin, Jan Engberg, and Anna Trosborg. (2003). *Multilingual and multicultural contexts of legislation: An international perspective*. Bern: Peter Lang.
- Bix, B. (1993). *Law, language, and legal determinacy*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Bjorklund, D. F., Bjorklund, B., Brown, R., & Cassel, W. (1998). Children's susceptibility to repeated questions: How misinformation changes children's answers and their minds. *Applied Developmental Science*, 2, 99–111.
- Bloomfield, L. (1933). *Language*. London: George Allen & Unwin Ltd.
- Bodde, D, and Clarence Morris. (1973). *Law in imperial China*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Borgatti, S. (2005). Introduction to grounded theory. Retrieved May, 15, 2010.
- Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and Symbolic Power*. Polity Press.
- Bowers, F. (1989). *Linguistic aspects of legislative expression*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.
- Brown, D. A., & Pipe, M.-E. (2003b). Variations on a technique: Enhancing children's recall using narrative elaboration training. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 17, 377–399.
- Brown, G., & Yule, G. (1983). *Discourse analysis*. Cambridge university press.
- Bugental, D. B., Blue, J., Cortez, V., Fleck, K., & Rodriguez, A. (1992). Influences of witnessed affect on information processing in children. *Child Development*, 63, 774–786.
- Cacioppo, J. T., & Petty, R. E. (1981). Social psychological procedures for cognitive response assessment: The thought-listing technique. In T. V. Merluzzi, C. R. Glass & M. Genest (Eds.), *Cognitive Assessment* (pp. 309–342). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Capone, A, Piparo, F.L., & Marco Carapezza (Ed.). (2003). *Perspectives on Linguistic Pragmatics*. Heidelberg, Newyork, Dordecht, London: Springer.
- Cassel, W. S., Roebers, C. E. M., & Bjorklund, D. F. (1996). Developmental patterns of eyewitness responses to repeated and increasingly suggestive questions. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 61, 116–133.
- Ceci, S. J. & Friedman, R. D. (2000). The suggestibility of children: Scientific research and legal implications. *Cornell Law Review*, 86, 34–108.
- Chahal, K. & Cassidy, T. (1995). Deception and its detection in children: A study of adult accuracy. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 1, 237–245.
- Cherryman, J. (1999). Police investigative interviewing: skill analysis and concordance of evaluations. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth.
- Cherryman, J., Bull, R., & Vrij, A. (1998). “British Police Officers' Evaluations of Investigative Interviews With Suspects”. In Poster presented at the 24th International Congress of Applied Psychology, San Fransisco.
- Chomsky, N. A. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. Paris: Mouton The Hague.
- Clarke, C. & Milne, R. (2001). *National Evaluation of the PEACE Investigative Interviewing Course*. Police Research Award Scheme Report No: PRAS/149. London, United Kingdom.

Andika Dutha Bachari, 2018

PENERAPAN WAWANCARA INVESTIGATIF DIKAITKAN DENGAN DAYA BUKTI BERITA ACARA PEMERIKSAAN DALAM SISTEM PERADILAN PIDANA DI INDONESIA

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Conley, J. M., and O'Barr, W.M. (1990). *Rules versus relationships: The ethnography of legal discourse*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Corbin, J. M., & Strauss, A. (1990). Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. *Qualitative sociology*, 13(1), 3-21.
- Corcos, C. A. (2000). *An international guide to law and literature*. Buffalo, N.Y.: William S. Hein & Co.
- Cotterill, J. (2003). *Language and power in court: A linguistic analysis of the O.J. Simpson trial*. Houndmills: Palgrave.
- Coulthard, M. (2002). *Whose voice is it?: Invented and concealed dialogue in written records of verbal evidence produced by the police. Language in the legal process 19 (Janet Cotterill ed.)*. Houndmills: Palgrave.
- Croft, W., & Cruse, D. A. (2004). *Cognitive linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Cruse, D. A. (2000). *Implicatures Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Davies, G. M., Westcott, H. L., & Horan, N. (2000). The impact of questioning style on the content of investigative interviews with respected child sexual abuse victims. *Psychology, Crime, and the Law*, 6, 81–97.
- Davies, G., & Wilson, C. (1997). Implementation of the Memorandum: An overview. In H. Westcott & J. Jones (Eds.), *Perspectives on the Memorandum: Policy, practice and research in investigative interviewing* (pp. 1– 12). Aldershot, England: Arena Publishers.
- De Pinto, A. (2011). *Sistem Peradilan Pidana dalam KUHP*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Dent, H. R. (1982). The effects of interviewing strategies on the results of interviews with child witnesses. In A. Trankell (ed.), *Reconstructing the past: The role of psychologists in criminal trials* (pp. 279–297). Stockholm: Norstedt.
- DePaulo, B. M., Charlton, K., Cooper. H., Lindsay, J. L., & Muhlenbruck, L. (1997). The accuracy-confidence correlation in the detection of deception. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 1, 346–357.
- Dey, I. (1993). *Qualitative data analysis: A userfriendly guide for social scientists*. London: Routledge.
- Dickson, D., & Hargie, O. (2006). *Questioning*. London: Routledge.
- Domaneschi, F. (2016). *Presuppositions and Cognitive Processes: Understanding the Information Taken for Granted*. London: MacMilan Printed Ltd.
- Dworkin, R. (1986). *Law's empire*. Harvard University Press.
- Eades, D. (2005). Applied linguistics and language analysis in asylum seeker cases. *Applied Linguistics* 26:503-526.
- Ehrlich, S. (2001). *Representing rape: Language and sexual consent*. London: Routledge.

- Endres, J., Poggenpohl, C., & Erneb, C. (1999). Repetitions, warnings and video: Cognitive and motivational components in preschool children's suggestibility. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 4, 129–146.
- Fairclough, N. & Wodak, R. (1997). 'Critical Discourse Analysis'. In T. A. van Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse as Social Interaction* (P. 258–284). London: Sage Pub.
- Fairclough, N. (1992a). *Critical Language Awareness*. London: Longman
- Fairclough, N. (1992b). *Discourse and Social Change*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Fairclough, N. (1995). *Critical discourse analysis. The critical study of language. Language in Social Life Series*. London: Longman
- Fairclough, N. (1996). Technologisation of discourse. In Carmen R. Caldas-Coulthard dan Malcolm Coulthard (editor). *Texts and Practices: readings in critical discourse analysis*. London: Routledge, pp. 71-83.
- Fairclough, N. (2003). *Analysing Discourse: textual analysis for social research*. London: Routledge.
- Fish, S. (1989). *Doing what comes naturally: Change, rhetoric, and the practice of theory in literary and legal studies*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Fisher, R. P. (1995). Interviewing victims and witnesses of crime. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 1(4), 732–764.
- Fisher, R. P., Brennan, K. H., & McCauley, M. R. (2002). The cognitive interview method to enhance eyewitness recall. In G. S. Goodman, M. L. Eisen, & J. A. Quas (eds.), *Memory and suggestibility in the forensic interview* (pp. 265–286). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Fisher, R. P., Geiselman, R. E., & Raymond, D. S. (1987). Critical analysis of police interview techniques. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 15(3), 177–185.
- Fivush, R., Peterson, C., & Schwarzmuller, A. (2002). Questions and Answers: The credibility of child witnesses in the context of specific questioning techniques. In M. L. Eisen, J. A. Quas, & G. S. Goodman (eds.), *Memory and suggestibility in the forensic interview* (pp. 331–354). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Foley, M. A. & Johnson, M. K. (1985). Confusions between memories for performed and imagined actions: A developmental comparison. *Child Development*, 56, 1145–1155.
- Gao, J. (2010). Review and prospects of the research of forensic linguistics in China. *Asian Social Science*, 6(10), 127.
- Garven, S., Wood, J. M., Malpass, R. S., & Shaw III, J. S. (1998). More than suggestion: The effect of interviewing techniques from the McMartin Preschool case. *Journal of applied psychology*, 83(3), 347.
- Garven, S., Wood, J. M., Malpass, R. S., & Shaw, J. S. (1998). More than suggestion: The effect of interviewing techniques from the McMartin Preschool case. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83, 347–359.
- Geiselman, R. E. & Padilla, J. (1988). Cognitive interviewing with child witnesses. *Journal of Police Science & Administration*, 16, 236–242.

- George, R. & Clifford, B. (1992). "Making The Most of Witnesses Policing". 8(3): pp185-198.
- Geurts, B. (2010). *Quantity Implicatures*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gibbons, J. (1990). 'Applied linguistics in court'. *Applied Linguistics*, 11(3), 229–237.
- Gibbons, J. (1996). 'Distortions of the police interview process revealed by videotape'. In *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language & the Law*, 3(2), p.289–298.
- Gibbons, J. (1999). Language and the law. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 19, 156-173.
- Gibbons, J. (2003). *Forensic linguistics: An introduction to language in the justice system*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Gifford, S. (1998). Analysis of non-numerical research. In C. Kerr, R. Taylor & G. Heard (Eds.), *Handbook of public health methods* (pp. 543–554). New York: The McGrawHill Companies.
- Glaser, B. G. (1992). *Basics of grounded theory analysis: Emergence vs forcing*. Sociology Press
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: strategies for qualitative theory*.
- Goodrich, P. (1987). *Legal discourse: Studies in linguistics, rhetoric and legal analysis*. London: Macmillan.
- Gordon, B. N., Jens, K. G., Hollings, R., & Watson, T. E. (1994). Remembering activities performed versus those imagined: Implications for testimony of children with mental retardation. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 23, 239–248.
- Greenawalt, K. (1989). *Speech, crime, and the uses of language*. Oxford: University Press.
- Gregory, M. (2011). A Comparison of US Police Interviewers Notes with their Subsequent Reports, *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 8(2), p.203-215.
- Grice, H.P. (1975). *Logic and Conversation*. In: Syntax and Semantics, Vol. 3, p. 41–58, Speech Acts, Edited by Peter Cole and Jerry L. Morgan. New York: Academic Press.
- Grice, H.P. (1989). *Logic and conversation*. Studies in the way of words, 22- 40. Harvard: University Press.
- Grundy, P. (1995). *Doing Pragmatics*. London, New York, Sydney & Auckland: Edward Arnold.
- Gudjonsson, G. H., & Petursson, H. (1991). Custodial interrogation: Why do suspects confess and how does it relate to their crime, attitude and personality?. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 12(3), 295-306.
- Gudjonsson, G.H. (2006). The psychology of interrogations and confessions. In Williamson, Tom (Ed.). *Investigative Interviewing: Rights, Research, Regulation* (p. 147-166). Cullompton: Willan.

Andika Dutha Bachari, 2018

PENERAPAN WAWANCARA INVESTIGATIF DIKAITKAN DENGAN DAYA BUKTI BERITA ACARA PEMERIKSAAN DALAM SISTEM PERADILAN PIDANA DI INDONESIA

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Haiman, F. S. (1993). *"Speech acts" and the First Amendment*. Chicago: University Press.
- Hall, C., Slembrouck, S., & Sarangi, S. (2006). *Language Practices In Social Work: Categorization and Accountability in Child Welfare*. Psychology Press.
- Hamzah, A. (2004). *Pengkajian Masalah Hukum Penanggulangan Tindak Pidana Korupsi*. Jakarta: Badan Pembinaan Hukum Nasional Departemen Kehakiman dan Hak Asasi Manusia RI.
- Hamzah, A. (2014). *Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- Harahap, M. Y. (2005). *Pembahasan Permasalahan dan Penerapan KUHAP: Pemeriksaan Sidang Pengadilan, Banding, Kasasi, dan Peninjauan Kembali. Edisi Kedua*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- Haugh, M. (2015). *Im/Politenes Implicature*. Berlin, Munich, Boston: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Hendar, S. (2011). *Hukum Pembuktian dalam Acara Pidana*. Bandung: Alumni.
- Hershkowitz, I. (2001). Children's responses to open-ended utterances in investigative interviews. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 6, 49–63.
- Hershkowitz, I., Fisher, S., Lamb, M. E., & Horowitz, D. (2007). Improving credibility assessment in child sexual abuse allegations: The role of the NICHD Investigative Interview Protocol. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 31, 99–110.
- Heydon, G. (2005). *The Language of Police Interviewing*. Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Heydon, G. (2012). Helping the police with their enquiries: Enhancing the investigative interview with linguistic research. *The Police Journal*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 101-122.
- Hornberger, N. H., & McKay, S. (Eds.). (2010). *Sociolinguistics and language education* (Vol. 18). Multilingual Matters.
- Hymes, D.H. (1972). On Communicative Competence'. In: J.B. Pride and J. Holmes (Eds.). *Sociolinguistics. Selected Readings*. Harmondsworth: Penguin, pp. 269-293.
- Inbau, F.E., Reid, J.E., dan Buckley, J.P. (1986). *Criminal interrogation and confessions*. Williams & Watkins: Baltimore.
- Irving, B.L. (1980). *Police interrogation: a case study of current practice*. Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure. London: HMSO.
- Jackson, B. S. (1985). *Semiotics and legal theory*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Kassin, S.M., & Gudjonsson, G.H. (2004). The psychology of confessions: A review of the literature and issues. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 5, 35-59.
- Kniffka, H. (1981). *Der Linguist als Gutachter bei Gericht: Überlegungen und Materialien zu einer angewandten Soziolinguistik*. Bouvier.
- Kohnken, G., Milne, R., Memon, A., & Bull, R. (1999). The cognitive interview: A meta-analysis. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 5, 3–27.

Andika Dutha Bachari, 2018

PENERAPAN WAWANCARA INVESTIGATIF DIKAITKAN DENGAN DAYA BUKTI BERITA ACARA PEMERIKSAAN DALAM SISTEM PERADILAN PIDANA DI INDONESIA

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Kurzon, D. (1986). *It is hereby performed: Legal speech acts*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Lamb, M. E. & Fauchier, A. (2001). The effects of question type on self contradiction by children in the course of forensic interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 15, 1–9.
- Lamb, M. E., Hershkowitz, I., Sternberg, K. J., Esplin, P. W., Hovav, M., Manor, T., & Yudilevitch, L. (1996b). Effects of investigative utterance types on Israeli children's responses. *International Journal of Behavioural Development* 19, 627–637.
- Lamb, M. E., Sternberg, K. J., Orbach, Y., Esplin, P. W., & Mitchell, S. (2002a). Is ongoing feedback necessary to maintain the quality of investigative interviews with allegedly abused children? *Applied Developmental Science*, 6(1), 35–41.
- Lamb, M. E., Sternberg, K. J., Orbach, Y., Hershkowitz, I., Horowitz, D., & Esplin, P. W. (2002b). The effects of intensive training and ongoing supervision on the quality of investigative interviews with alleged sex abuse victims. *Applied Developmental Science*, 6(3), 114–125.
- Lamintang, P.F. (1954). *Dasar-Dasar Hukum Pidana Indonesia*. Bandung: PT. Citra Aditya Bakti.
- Langemeijer, G. E. (1954). *Opmerkingen omtrent de huidige stand van de wijsbegeerte des rechts* (Vol. 15). NV Noord-Hollandsche Uitgevers Maatschappij.
- Leech, G. N. (1983). *Pragmatics*. London: Longman.
- Levi, J. (1994). *Language and the law: A bibliographic guide to social science research in the U.S.A.* Chicago: American Bar Association.
- Levi, J. N., & Walker, A. G. (Eds.). (1990). *Language in the judicial process* (Vol. 5). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge textbooks in linguistics.
- Levinson, S. C. (1992). *Activity types and language*. In *Talk at work: Interaction in institutional settings* (pp. 66-100). Cambridge University Press.
- Lipton, J. P. (1977). On the psychology of eyewitness testimony. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 62, 90–93.
- Lukmana, I. (2007). “Modalitas dan Identitas Media: Sebuah Tinjauan Wacana Kritis”. Makalah dipresentasikan pada Konferensi Linguistik Nasional, diselenggarakan oleh Masyarakat Linguistik Indonesia di Solo.
- Lukmana, I. (2010). Analisis Bahasa Untuk Kajian Sosial: Pemaknaan Kritis Terhadap Praktek Berwacana Sebagai Praktek Sosial. *Linguistika*, 17(32).
- Marmor, A. (2013). Truth in law. *Law and language*, 45-61.
- Marpaung, L. (1992). *Proses Penanganan Perkara Pidana*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- Matoesian, G. (2001). *Law and the language of identity: Discourse in the William Kennedy Smith rape trial*. Oxford: University Press.
- Mayring, P (2000). Qualitative content analysis. *Forum qualitative sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 1(2), Art. 20.

Andika Dutha Bachari, 2018

PENERAPAN WAWANCARA INVESTIGATIF DIKAITKAN DENGAN DAYA BUKTI BERITA ACARA PEMERIKSAAN DALAM SISTEM PERADILAN PIDANA DI INDONESIA

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- McElhinny, B. (1995). 'Challenging hegemonic masculinities: Female and male police officers handling domestic violence', in K. Hall & M. Bucholtz (eds.), *Gender Articulated: Language and the Socially Constructed Self*; Routledge, New York, 217-243.
- McElhinny, B. (2003). Fearful, forceful agents of the law: Ideologies about language and gender in police officers' narratives about the use of physical force. *Pragmatics*, 13(2), 253-284.
- McGurk, B.J., Carr, M.J., dan McGurk, D. (1993). Investigative interviewing courses for police officers: an evaluation. *Police Research Series: Paper No.4*. Home Office: London.
- McKay, S. L. & Hornberger, N. H. (1996). *Sociolinguistics and Language Teaching*. Cambridge MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Megarry, R.E. (1958). *Miscellany-at-law: A diversion for lawyers and others*. London: Stevens and Sons.
- Mellinkoff, D. (1963). *The language of the law*. London: Little, Brown & Co.
- Midgley, G. C. J. (1958, January). "Linguistic rules". In Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society (Vol. 59, pp. 271-290). Aristotelian Society, Wiley.
- Miller, G. P. (1990). Pragmatics and the maxims of interpretation. *Wisconsin Law Review* 1990:1179.
- Milne, R., & Bull, R. (1999). *Investigative interviewing: Psychology and practice*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Moeljatno. (1999). *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Mondada, L., & Pekarek Doehler, S. (2004). Second Language Acquisition as Situated Practice. *The Modern Language Journal*, 88(4), 501-518.
- Mutiara, D. (1957). *Pedoman dalam Praktik Mengurus Perkara Taktik dan Teknik Pemeriksaan Perkara Kriminal dari Bermula Sampai Penuntutan ke Depan Persidangan*. Jakarta: Pustaka Islam.
- Nedrud, D.R.(1969). *The Supreme Court and The Law of Criminal Investigation*. California: L.E. Publisher.
- Neuendorf, K. A.(2002) *The content analysis guidebook*. New York: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Orbach, Y., Hershkowitz, I., Lamb, M. E., Sternberg, K. J., Esplin, P. W., & Horowitz, D. (2000). Assessing the value of structured protocols for forensic interviews of alleged child abuse victims. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 24(6), 733–752.
- Oxburgh, G. E., Myklebust, T., & Grant, T. (2010). The question of question types in police interviews. *Int. J. Speech Lang. Law*, 17(1), 45-66.
- Parker, A. dan Sedgwick, E. K. (Eds.). (2013). *Performativity and performance*. Routledge.
- Plato. (1926). *Plato in twelve volumes, XI, Laws, vol. 2 (R.G. Bury trans.)*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Poole, D. A., & Lamb, M. E. (1998). *Investigative interviews of children: A guide for helping professionals*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Posner, R. A. (1996). Pragmatic adjudication. *Cardozo L. Rev.*, 18, 1.
- Posner, R.A. (1988). *Law and literature: A misunderstood relation*. Harvard: University Press.
- Powell, M. B. (2000). PRIDE: The essential elements of a forensic interview with an aboriginal person. *Australian Psychologist*, 35(3), 186–192.
- Powell, M. B. (2002). Specialist training in investigative and evidential interviewing: Is it having any effect on the behaviour of professionals in the field? *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 9(1), 44–55.
- Powell, M. B., Fisher, R. P., & Wright, R. (2005). Investigative interviewing. In N. Brewer & K. Williams (Eds.), *Psychology and law: An empirical perspective* (pp. 11–42). New York: Guilford.
- Prakoso, D. (1988). *Alat Bukti dan Kekuatan Pembuktian di dalam Proses Pidana (Cet. 1)*. Yogyakarta: Liberty.
- Prakoso, D. (1988). *Pemecahan Perkara Pidana (Splitsing)*. Cetakan ke-1, Yogyakarta: Liberty.
- Quinn, S. (2000). *From orality to literacy in medieval Iceland. Old Icelandic literature and society 30 (Margaret Clunies Ross ed.)*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Roberts, K. P., & Powell, M. B. (2001). Describing individual incidents of sexual abuse: A review of research on the effects of multiple sources of information on children's reports. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 25(12), 1643–1659.
- Rusli, M. (2007). *Hukum Acara Pidana Kontemporer*. Bandung: Citra Aditya Bakti.
- Sarangi, S., & Roberts, C. (1999). The dynamics of interactional and institutional orders in work-related settings. *Talk, work and institutional order: Discourse in medical, mediation and management settings*, pp. 1-57
- Sarat, A, and Thomas B. Kearns (eds.). (1994). *The rhetoric of law*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Scalia, A. (1989). The rule of law as a law of rules. *The University of Chicago Law Review*, 56(4), 1175-1188.
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts. An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Oxford: Cambridge University Press.
- Shaw, G. (1992). Interviewing techniques. *Series of articles in Police Review*. 15 March, 5 April, 26 April, 7 June.
- Shaw, G. (1996). The new PEACE. *Police Review*. 5 January, pp26-27.
- Shawyer, A. & Milne, R. (2009). “Investigative interviewing: Investigation, counter-fraud and behavior”. Paper presented at the 2nd International Investigative Interviewing Research Group Conference, University of Teesside.

Andika Dutha Bachari, 2018

PENERAPAN WAWANCARA INVESTIGATIF DIKAITKAN DENGAN DAYA BUKTI BERITA ACARA PEMERIKSAAN DALAM SISTEM PERADILAN PIDANA DI INDONESIA

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Shawyer, A. (2009). "Investigative interviewing: Interviewing counter fraud and deception". Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University of Portsmouth.
- Shawyer, A., Milne, B., & Bull, R. (2009). Investigative interviewing in the UK. *International developments in investigative interviewing*, 24-38.
- Shepherd, E. (2007). *Investigative interviewing: The conversation management approach*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Shuy, R. (1993). *Language crimes: The use and abuse of language evidence in the courtroom*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Shuy, R. W. (1997). 'Ten unanswered questions about Mir&a'. *Forensic Linguistics: the International Journal of Speech, Language & the Law*, 4(2), 175-96.
- Simons, D. (1941). *Leerboek van het nederlandse strafrecht II*. Batavia & Groningen: Noordhoff N.V.
- Sinclair, M.B.W. (1985). Law and language: The role of pragmatics in statutory interpretation. *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*, 46: 373.
- Sitompul, DPM & Abdusalam, R. (2005). *Sistem Peradilan Pidana*. (Cet. Ke-2). Jakarta: PTIK Press.
- Soedjono, D. (1982). *Pemeriksaan Pendahuluan Menurut KUHAP (Cet. 1)*. Bandung: Penerbit Alumni.
- Soesilo, R. (1982). *Hukum Acara Pidana (Prosedur Penyelesaian Perkara Pidana menurut KUHAP bagi Penegak Hukum)*. Cet. 1. Bogor: Politeia.
- Soesilo, R. (1984). *Istilah Teknik Berita Acara*. Cet. 1. Bogor: Politeia.
- Soesilo, R. (1996). *Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana (KUHP) serta Komentar-komentarnya Lengkap Pasal demi Pasal*. Bogor: Politeia.
- Soesilo, R. (1985). *Membuat Berita Acara dan Laporan Polisi (Menurut KUHAP)*. Bogor: Politea.
- Soesilo, R. (2000). *Taktik dan Teknik Penyidikan Kriminil*. Bogor: Politea.
- Softley, P. (1980). Police Interrogation: An observational study in four police stations. Home Office Research Study No. 61. London: HMSO.
- Solan, L.M & Peter Tiersma. (2005). *Speaking of crime: The language of criminal justice*. Chicago: University Press.
- Solan, L.M. (1993). *The language of judges*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Solan, L.M. (1998). Law, language, and lenity. *William & Mary Law Review*, 40:57.
- Solehudin, M. (2003). *Sistem Sanksi dalam Hukum Pidana: Ide Dasar Double Track System dan Implementasinya*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Sternberg, K. J., Lamb, M. E., Davies, G. M., & Westcott, H. L. (2001). The Memorandum of Good Practice: Theory versus application. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 25, 669-681.
- Sternberg, K. J., Lamb, M. E., Orbach, Y., Esplin, P. W., & Mitchell, S. (2001). Use of a structured investigative protocol enhances young children's responses

- to free-recall prompts in the course of forensic interviews. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(5), 997–1005.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1967). *Discovery of grounded theory*.
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research* (Vol. 15). Newbury Park, CA: Sage
- Stygall, G. (1994). *Trial language: Differential discourse processing and discursive formation*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Texas Law Review. (1982). Symposium: Law and literature. *University of Texas Law Review*, 60: 373-586.
- Thomas, J. A. (1995). *Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics*. London: Longman.
- Tiersma, P. M. (2010). *Parchment, paper, pixels: Law and the technologies of communication*. University of Chicago Press
- Tiersma, P., & Solan, L. M. (2005). *Speaking of crime: the language of criminal justice*.
- Tiersma, P.M. (1993). Nonverbal communication and the freedom of “speech.” *Wisconsin Law Review*, 1993: 1525-1589.
- Tiersma, P.M. (1999). *Legal Language*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Tiersma, P.M. (2005). The new Black’s. *Journal of Legal Education*, 55:386.
- Tiersma, P.M. (2006). Toward more understandable jury instructions. *Criminal Justice* 21:4.
- Tolib, E. (2014). *Dasar-Dasar Hukum Acara Pidana: Perkembangan dan Pembaharuannya di Indonesia*. Malang: Setara Press.
- Trosborg, A. (Ed.). (1997). *Text typology and translation* (Vol. 26). John Benjamins Publishing.
- VerSteeg, R. (2000). *Early Mesopotamian law*. Durham: Carolina Academic Press.
- Walsh, D. & Bull, R. (2011). Benefit fraud investigative interviewing: A self-report study of investigation professionals’ beliefs concerning practice. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 8, 131-148.
- Walsh, D. & Milne, R. (2007). “Giving PEACE a Chance”. *Public Administration*. 85 (3), 525-540.
- Wardhaugh, R. (2006). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics. 6th Edition*. Malden, Mass., USA: Blackwell Pub.
- Warren, A. R., Woodall, C. E., Thomas, M., Nunno, M., Keeney, J. M., Larson, S. M., et al. (1999). Assessing the effectiveness of a training program of interviewing child witnesses. *Applied Developmental Science*, 3(2), 128–135.
- Williamson, T (Ed.). (2006). *Investigative Interviewing. Second Edition*. New York and London: Routledge.
- Winter, S L. (2005). *A clearing in the forest: Law, life, and mind*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical investigations*. GEM Anscombe, trans.

- Wydick, R. (1994). *Plain English for lawyers (third edition)*. Durham: Carolina Academic Press.
- Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rujukan Perundang-undangan

1. *Investigative Interviewing. Police Circular 22/92*. London, Home Office.
2. Peraturan Kapolri Nomor 14/2012 Tentang Manajemen Penyidikan Perkara Pidana
3. Perkap No. 8 tahun 2009 tentang Implementasi Prinsip dan Standar Hak Asasi Manusia dalam
4. *Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)*.
5. Undang-Undang Nomor 1 Tahun 1980 Tentang Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana (KUHP).
6. Undang-Undang Nomor 8 Tahun 1981 Tentang Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Acara Pidana (KUHAP)
7. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 35 Tahun 2004 tentang Perlindungan Anak.
8. UU RI No. 2 tahun 2012 tentang Kepolisian Negara Republik Indonesia
9. UU RI No.11 Tahun 2012 tentang Sistem Peradilan Anak